

Implementation of the Prelle-Singer Method for 1ODEs

L.G.S. Duarte, S.E.S. Duarte, L.A.C.P. da Mota and J.E.F. Skea ¹

*Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,
Instituto de Física, Depto. de Física Teórica,
20550-013 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*

Abstract

A set of MapleV R5 software routines for solving first order ordinary differential equations (1ODEs) is presented. The package implements the Prelle-Singer Method in its original form (see [1]) plus its extension to include elementary functions (ELFs)² on the integrating factor (see [3, 4, 5]). The package also presents a theoretical extension to deal with all 1ODEs presenting liouvillian solutions (LIS) [6].

(Submitted to Computer Physics Communications)

¹E-mails: lduarte@dft.if.uerj.br, lduarte@dft.if.uerj.br, damota@dft.if.uerj.br and jimsk@dft.if.uerj.br

²For the definition of elementary function see [2].

PROGRAM SUMMARY

Title of the software package: PSolver.

Catalogue number: (supplied by Elsevier)

Software obtainable from: CPC Program Library, Queen's University of Belfast, N. Ireland (see application form in this issue)

Licensing provisions: none

Operating systems under which the program has been tested: Linux (RedHat 5.2 and Debian 2.0.34), Windows 95, Windows 98.

Programming languages used: Maple V Release 5.

Memory required to execute with typical data: 32 Megabytes.

No. of lines in distributed program, including On-Line Help, etc.: 1370.

Keywords: Elementary functions, Prelle-Singer, first order differential equations, symbolic computing.

Nature of mathematical problem

Solving of first order differential equations via the Prelle-Singer Method.

Methods of solution

The method of solution is partially the standard Prelle-Singer Method extended to include the case where the 1ODE presents elementary functions. We have also implemented an extension of our own to deal with 1ODEs which solutions are liouvillian.

Restrictions concerning the complexity of the problem

If the integrating factor for the 1ODE in charge has factors of high degree in the dependent and independent variables and in the ELF's appearing on the 1ODE then the package may expend much computational time to catch it.

Typical running time

This depends strongly on the 1ODE.

Unusual features of the program

Apart from being a solver of 1ODEs, implementing the Prelle-Singer approach, our package is a research tool allowing the user to follow each and every step of the procedure, for example, which are the eigenpolynomials associated with the 1ODE (see section 4). Besides that, our package proved to be successful in solving many classes of 1ODEs that were missed by some of the best solvers available. Last but not least, our package implements a theoretical extension (for details, see section 3 and our paper[6]) to the original Prelle-Singer approach that enhances its scope allowing for it to tackle all 1ODEs that present LIS.

1 Introduction

The fundamental position of differential equations (DEs) in scientific progress has, over the last three centuries, led to a vigorous search for methods to solve them. The overwhelming majority of these methods are based on classification of the DE into types for which a method of solution is known, which has resulted in a gamut of methods that deal with specific classes of DEs. This scene changed somewhat at the end of the 19th century when Sophus Lie developed a general method to solve (or at least reduce the order of) ordinary differential equations (ODEs) given their symmetry transformations [7, 8, 9]. Lie's method is very powerful and highly general, but first requires that we find the symmetries of the differential equation, which may not be easy to do. Search methods have been developed [10, 11] to extract the symmetries of a given ODE, however these methods are heuristic and cannot guarantee that, if symmetries exist, they will be found.

On the other hand in 1983 Prelle and Singer (PS) presented a deductive method for solving 1ODEs that presents a solution in terms of ELF's (SELF) if such a solution exists [1]. The attractiveness of the PS method lies not only in the fact that it is based on a totally different theoretical point of view but also because, if the given 1ODE has a SELF, the method guarantees that this solution will be found (though, in principle it can admittedly take an infinite amount of time to do so).

Despite all the good points about the PS-method it presents a shortcoming: it can not guarantee to solve 1ODEs which solutions are not SELF. In order to improve that situation, we have developed [6] an extended PS-method.

So, apart from implementing the PS-method (for the first time, in Maple, with the complete approach and the additional bonus of the afore mentioned research tools), we present and implement a theoretical extension to the scope of the method.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we present a short introduction to the PS-approach. In the following section, we introduce the main ideas of our extension to the procedure. Next, in section 4, we present the package. In sections 5 and 6 we, respectively, present some examples and the performance analysis of the package. Finally, we end with the conclusion.

2 The Prelle-Singer Method

Despite its usefulness in solving 1ODEs, the Prelle-Singer procedure is not very well known outside mathematical circles, and so we present a brief overview of the main ideas of the procedure.

2.1 Rational 1ODEs

Consider the class of 1ODEs which can be written as

$$y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{M(x, y)}{N(x, y)} \quad (1)$$

where $M(x, y)$ and $N(x, y)$ are polynomials with coefficients in the complex field C .

In [1], Prelle and Singer proved that, if an elementary first integral of (1) exists, it is possible to find an integrating factor R with $R^n \in C$ for some (possible non-integer) n , such that

$$\frac{\partial(RN)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(RM)}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (2)$$

The ODE can then be solved by quadrature. From (2) we see that

$$N \frac{\partial R}{\partial x} + R \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + M \frac{\partial R}{\partial y} + R \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Thus

$$\frac{D[R]}{R} = - \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$D \equiv N \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + M \frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (5)$$

Now let

$$R = \prod_i f_i^{n_i} \quad (6)$$

where f_i are irreducible polynomials and n_i are non-zero rationals. From (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D[R]}{R} &= \frac{D[\prod_i f_i^{n_i}]}{\prod_i f_i^{n_i}} = \frac{\sum_i f_i^{n_i-1} n_i D[f_i] \prod_{j \neq i} f_j^{n_j}}{\prod_k f_k^{n_k}} \\ &= \sum_i \frac{f_i^{n_i-1} n_i D[f_i]}{f_i^{n_i}} = \sum_i \frac{n_i D[f_i]}{f_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From (4), plus the fact that M and N are polynomials, we conclude that $D[R]/R$ is a polynomial. Therefore, from (7), we see that $f_i | D[f_i]$ (i.e., f_i is a divisor of $D[f_i]$).

We now have a criterion for choosing the possible f_i (build all the possible divisors of $D[f_i]$) and, by using (4) and (7), we have

$$\sum_i \frac{n_i D[f_i]}{f_i} = - \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \right). \quad (8)$$

If we manage to solve (8) and thereby find n_i , we know the integrating factor for the 1ODE and the problem is reduced to a quadrature. Risch's algorithm [12] can then be applied to this quadrature to determine whether a solution exists in terms of elementary functions.

2.2 Algebraic and Transcendental 1ODEs

Note that the procedure explained above assumed (in eq.1) that $M(x, y)$ and $N(x, y)$ are polynomials in x and y over $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$.

In order to allow the PS-method to solve differential equations with transcendental or algebraic terms, Shtokhamer [13] regarded the different transcendental and algebraic

terms appearing in $M(x, y)$ and $N(x, y)$ as new variables (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) to be used, in addition to the original variables x and y , in the construction of the polynomials f_i described above.

Bearing that in mind, it is easy to notice that eq. 4 will become:

$$\frac{D[R]}{R} = - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \right) N(x, y, u_{1\dots n}) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i} \right) M(x, y, u_{1\dots n}) \quad (9)$$

A quick inspection of the equation above reveals that its right-hand-side will not generally be polynomial, as it would be necessary to apply the PS-method.

The non-polynomial terms might have two origins:

- $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y}$ may produce functions that are not in $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$.
- Further than that, $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y}$ could be rational instead of polynomial.

We can address the first question by noticing that the u_i , where $i = 1, \dots, n$, present on the 1ODE are, by hypothesis, elementary. Therefore, we can construct a complete set $\{u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots, u_{n+m}\}$ (which we will call the basis of functions), by including the new functions (generated by differentiation as explained above) until we exhaust the process, i.e., no new functions appear.

The second problem can be fixed by multiplying the whole eq.(9) by all the denominators generated by $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial y}$.

To illustrate these mystical arguments let us use a simple example. Suppose we have the following 1ODE:

$$y' = \frac{\ln(x) + \sin(x)}{y(x)}. \quad (10)$$

So, we have

$$u_1 = \ln(x), \quad u_2 = \sin(x). \quad (11)$$

Let us first check if the derivatives introduce new functions:

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x}, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} = \cos(x), \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (12)$$

From eq.(12), one can see that a new function, $\cos(x)$, was introduced. So, we have

$$u_1 = \ln(x), \quad u_2 = \sin(x), \quad u_3 = \cos(x). \quad (13)$$

Now, we would have to, once again, verify if the derivation will introduce new functions. In this case, it is easy to see that no more functions will appear, since

$$\frac{\partial \cos(x)}{\partial x} = -\sin(x), \quad \left(\frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x} = -u_2 \right), \quad (14)$$

thus completing the set.

Therefore, we have solved the first problem mentioned above. From eq.(12) we can see that the derivative of $\ln(x)$ generates a denominator (x) . Then, to ensure that the right-hand-side of eq.(9) is polynomial, we would have to multiply the whole equation by x (i.e., by the denominator of $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x}$).

So, we can summarize the approach described in this section by three steps:

- complete the set of functions appearing on the 1ODE.
- define a new differential operator \mathcal{D} as $\mathcal{D} \equiv (\prod \text{denominators})D$
- substitute $\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}$ by $\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right)(\prod \text{denominators})$. obtaining

$$\sum_i \frac{n_i \mathcal{D}[f_i]}{f_i} = - \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial y} \right) (\prod \text{denominators}). \quad (15)$$

- Then the procedure follows as in the case of the rational 1ODEs.

In this section we presented an overview of the PS procedure. Remember that we can only guarantee to solve 1ODEs which solutions are SELF. In the next section, we will present a theoretical extension of the PS approach that will permit us to tackle all 1ODEs with LIS.

3 Extended PS procedure

In this section, we are going to briefly introduce the ideas behind a theoretical extension[6] that will enhance the scope of applicability of the PS-procedure. We are going to use an example to make clear what we are talking about.

Consider the following example, taken from the book by Kamke³ [14](eq. k21):

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = y^2 - y \sin(x) + \cos(x), \quad (16)$$

which solution is

$$y = \sin(x) - \frac{e^{-\cos(x)}}{C + \int e^{-\cos(x)} dx}. \quad (17)$$

Note that the solution is not a SELF. So the PS-procedure can not guarantee to get it. To understand why, let us then consider the integrating factor for this 1ODE:

$$R = \frac{e^{-\cos(x)}}{(y - \sin(x))^2} \quad (18)$$

What can we learn from that? Examining R we can see that it is written as a product of ELF's. Indeed, we can claim the R is of the form:

$$R = \prod_i h_i^{n_i} \quad (19)$$

where, in this case, $h_1 = y - \sin(x)$ and $h_2 = e^{-\cos(x)}$. Let us now compare this with eq.(6). What is the fundamental difference between them? The point is that, in eq.(19), not all h_i are polynomials over the algebraic extension of eq.(16). In this example, the guilty party is h_2 , thus explaining why the PS-procedure would not work.

Let us introduce our extended PS-procedure that will allow to deal with all those cases. Some of what is about to come will involve the Lie theory[7, 8, 9] for 1ODEs.

³ A classical reference on the field, generally used as a testing ground.

The reader that is not familiar with this should not despair. We are going to explain (in English) what every step means.

Every 1ODE of the form

$$y' = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{M(x, y)}{N(x, y)} \quad (20)$$

where $M(x, y)$ and $N(x, y)$ are polynomials of ELFs, presents an infinite number of symmetries. We can guarantee that at least one is of the form:

$$[\xi = 0, \eta = \eta(x, y)] \quad (21)$$

In this language, the integrating factor is:

$$R = \frac{1}{M\xi - N\eta} \Rightarrow R = \frac{-1}{N\eta(x, y)} \quad (22)$$

So, if the integrating factor R , for the 1ODE (20), is of the form given by eq.(19), then $\eta(x, y)$ must also be of the form

$$\eta(x, y) = \prod_k h_k^{m_k}, \quad (23)$$

since, by assumption, N is polynomial on the algebraic extension of the 1ODE (20). So, for the 1ODE (16), using eqs.(18) and (22), we have:

$$\eta(x, y) = -e^{\cos(x)} (y - \sin(x))^2 \quad (24)$$

Applying the Lie theory one can obtain the most general form for an 1ODE, with a given symmetry. For the symmetry presented on eq.(21), this is:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \eta(x, y) \int \frac{\eta_x(x, y)}{\eta(x, y)^2} dy + \eta(x, y) F(x) \quad (25)$$

Examining eq.(16), we see that it does not contain $e^{\cos(x)}$. On the other hand, by looking at eqs.(24) and (25), it apparently should contain it! How can $e^{\cos(x)}$ disappear from eq.(25)?!

First of all, from the last term of eq.(25), we can see that any multiplicative factor, present on $\eta(x, y)$, can be eliminated via the arbitrariness of $F(x)$. To be sure that this multiplicative factor does not “survive” in the other term (the one with the integral) it can not be a general function of x and y . Actually, one can see (from the general structure of the term) that this factor has to be an exponential [6].

Summarizing the ideas presented on this section, we see that the PS-procedure (for both the rational and algebraic-transcendental cases) is based on the fact that some quantities are divisors of others (see (8) and (15)). With the example we have presented, we hope to have left it plain to the reader that one might have factors appearing on R that are not present on the 1ODE and, indeed, that those factors have to be exponentials where the arguments are functions of x and y . The question that presents itself is: what are those functions? By inspecting eqs. (8) and (15) we can see that they have to be taken from the basis of functions of the corresponding 1ODE (defined, as explained on section 2.2, by the functions present on N and M of the 1ODE).

We would like to point out that the example dealt with on this section (and the reasoning following it) is just a part of the whole body of ideas and arguments we present on [6] to conclude that there is a possible extension to the PS-procedure. Nevertheless, it is a complete part in the sense that it should be enough to “convince” the reader of the importance of this extension. In other words, we hope to have showed that, without this, a whole class of 1ODEs would elude the PS-procedure. We will, later on this paper, show some more examples only solved by this PS-extended-procedure and comment on our computational implementation of these ideas.

4 The *PSolver* package

Summary

A brief review of the commands of the package is as follows:⁴

- **PSolve** solves 1ODEs, using the Prelle-Singer approach. It deals not only with rational 1ODEs but also with the ones containing ELF's (algebraic and transcendental functions).
- **PSolvexp** extends the applicability of the PS-procedure to all 1ODEs with LIS.
- **Intfact** returns an integrating factor for the 1ODE.
- **Dop** constructs the \mathcal{D} operator associated to the 1ODE.
- **Basis** constructs a list of equations of transformation which name a set of algebraic and transcendental functions (e.g., $[u_1 = f_1(x, y), \dots]$). Those functions are, see sections 2.2 and 4.3, what we call the basis of functions for the corresponding 1ODE.
- **dBasis** presents the list with the partial derivatives of the basis of functions (e.g., $[\partial u_1 / \partial x = -u_2, \dots]$).
- **Eigenpol** calculates all the polynomials f_i that are divisors of $\mathcal{D}f_i$, i.e., that satisfy the equation $\mathcal{D}f_i = g_i f_i$.
- **EigenPval** calculates the polynomials g_i that are the “eigenvalues” for the equation $\mathcal{D}f_i = g_i f_i$.

Description

A complete description of the *PSolver* package's commands is found in the on-line help. Here we present the most relevant part of that description.

⁴This subsection and the next one may contain some information already presented in the previous sections; this is necessary to produce a self-contained description of the package.

4.1 Command name: PSolve

Feature: This command applies the PS-procedure to solve 1ODEs.

*Calling sequence*⁵:

```
> PSolve(1ode,n,optional_parameters);
```

Parameters:

- 1ode** - a first order ordinary differential equation.
- n** - an integer which sets the highest degree the command will consider for finding the polynomials f_i .

Optional Parameters:

- NumberF=integer** - Tells the program the number of f_i it is going to use to look for the integrating factor.
- NumberF=All** - Tells the program to use all f_i in searching for the integrating factor.
- AllSolutions** - The absence of this argument truncates the searching for all possible f_i in a way that, for the majority of cases, still allows the command to find the integrating factor but with considerable gain in time.
- Extended** - Indicates to the program that it should use the set of routines that look for the exponentials in order to calculate the integrating factor.
- Guess** - It activates an algorithm for fast determination of f_i candidates.

Synopsis:

The **PSolve** command is a part of the **PSolver** package, which, to brutally summarize things, is designed to solve 1ODEs with LIS. The command implements the PS-procedure and an extension of our own (see section 3) which can be divided into five steps:

- First, from the 1ODE, one can determine the basis of functions.
- In second place, from the basis (mentioned above) one constructs the \mathcal{D} operator, see section 2.2.
- Following that, we can calculate the “eigen-polynomials” and “eigenvalues” (which are themselves polynomials) for the operator \mathcal{D} .
- From these results, we find (or not, if it does not exist) the integrating factor for the 1ODE.

⁵In what follows, the *input* can be recognized by the Maple prompt >.

- Finally, one can then use the integrating factor to find the desired solution for the 1ODE.

The arguments

The first argument of `PSolve` is the 1ODE. The second argument is an integer that tells the command the highest degree it is going to use in the search for the integrating factor. We would like to remind the reader that the PS-procedure is a semi-decision one[1, 3], in other words, if the integrating factor has not be found for this degree, it does not exists at this level. But, it could as well exist for higher degree, we have to keep looking.

The optional arguments

The optional arguments can be given alone or in conjunction and in any order. In order to improve its practicability (speed combined with efficiency) `PSolve`, by default, uses seven f_i to construct the integrating factor. Why is that so? Well, this process of calculate the integrating factor can be broadly divided into two steps: first one has to find all possible f_i , then use them to look for the integrating factor. This process of looking for the IF is “computationally expensive” and, furthermore, we have noticed that it is seldom the case where all the f_i are needed to do the job. We have also noticed that, generally, using the “simpler” f_i was enough. What do we mean by “simpler”? We call a simple f_i the one which leads to a faster calculation. Luckily for us, there is a direct relation between this desired property and the form of the f_i . Actually, we have observed that the “smaller” the f_i the faster is the computation time. So we introduced a algorithm for ordering the possible f_i by “size”⁶. The default number seven was defined heuristically. Of course there will be the odd case where seven won’t be enough, so we let the door open for the user to increase this number via the optional argument `NumberF=integer`.

How about the other step? Can we improve the efficiency of our package in the finding of all f_i ? In fact, for the 1ODEs which involve radicals, the problem of computational-time-expenditure can be even greater. For instance, in a particular example, the program took several minutes to find some forty f_i . It turned out that we developed a different algorithm that, although loosing some possible solutions, still produces enough of them (for a great number of cases) thus allowing the program to find the IF. For the remaining (few) cases, the user may then apply the optional argument `AllSolutions` which will tell the program to use the first (slower) algorithm.

The importance of the option `Extended` lies in the fact it implements a body of new ideas making it possible for the user to extend the PS-procedure to a greater range of 1ODEs, to cover all the ones with LIS (not only with SELFs). See section 3.

Finally, the `Guess` option implements an algorithm for fast pattern recognition determining possible f_i candidates of high degree, thus shortening the computational time required by the PS-procedure. This algorithm will help in some (difficult) cases but it complicates the general one and so it is optional.

4.2 Command name: `Intfact`

Feature: Calculates a integrating factor (R) for the 1ODE.

⁶This definition of “size” and the creation of the routines to measure it are a part of our package.

Calling sequence:

```
> Intfact(1ode,n,optional_parameter);
```

Parameters:

The parameters `1ode` and `n` have the same meaning as explained above⁷.

Optional Parameter:

- | | |
|------------------------------|---|
| <code>NumberF=integer</code> | - Tells the program the number of f_i it is going to use to look for the integrating factor. |
| <code>NumberF=All</code> | - Tells the program to use all f_i in searching for the integrating factor. |
| <code>AllSolutions</code> | - The absence of this argument truncates the searching for all possible f_i in a way that, for the majority of cases, still allows the command to find the integrating factor but with considerable gain in time. |
| <code>Extended</code> | - Indicates to the program that it should use the set of routines that look for the exponentials in order to calculate the integrating factor. |
| <code>Guess</code> | - It activates an algorithm for fast determination of f_i candidates. |

Synopsis:

Actually this command embodies the heart of the package and that is so for, basically, two reasons: The brilliance of the PS-procedure lies exactly on the calculation of the integrating factor. After that step, the finding of the solution is no novelty. The second reason is that our extension to the PS-procedure can be also found mostly on this command (via the optional parameter mentioned above). Again, after we find the integrating factor, it is straightforward to look for the solution to the 1ODE.

4.3 Command name: Basis

Feature: Determines the basis of functions for the 1ODE.

Calling sequence:

```
> Basis(1ode);
```

Synopsis:

With the somewhat more specialized user in mind, we implement a set of commands to help the research with 1ODEs. The first one is this command that generates, from the ELFs present on the 1ODE, a set of functions that is complete under differentiation.

⁷In what follows, these parameters will always have this meaning.

4.4 Command name: DBasis

Feature: Returns a list with the partial derivatives of the functions in the Basis.

Calling sequence:

```
> DBasis(1ode);
```

Synopsis:

This is another one of the commands to help in the research on the structure of 1ODEs. It generates a table with all the first partial derivatives of the Basis, written in terms of this Basis and of the independent and dependent variables. This will be used to construct the \mathcal{D} operator.

4.5 Command name: Dop

Feature: Returns the \mathcal{D} operator (for the 1ODE) that is a essential ingredient in applying the PS-procedure.

Calling sequence:

```
> Dop(1ode);
```

Synopsis:

This command returns the \mathcal{D} operator associated with the 1ODE. The operator is written in the basis of functions for the 1ODE (see section 5).

4.6 Command name: Eigenpol

Feature: Returns the “eigen-polynomials” for the \mathcal{D} operator.

Calling sequence:

```
> Eigenpol(1ode,n);
```

Synopsis:

This command finds all the polynomials f_i (of maximum degree n) satisfying the equation $\mathcal{D}f_i = g_i f_i$ and those will be the candidates to build the integrating factor for the 1ODE.

4.7 Command name: EigenPval

Feature: Returns the “eigenvalues” for the \mathcal{D} operator.

Calling sequence:

```
> EigenPval(1ode,n);
```

Synopsis:

This command finds the polynomials g_i that play the role of eigenvalues for the equation $\mathcal{D}f_i = g_i f_i$.

5 Examples

In this section, we are going to exemplify some aspects of the routines in the package and show that, besides being valid only on the basis of being an implementation of the regular PS-procedure (and that this fact already greatly enhances the solving of 1ODEs capabilities within the Maple environment), our program proved to be a successful attempt of implementing news ideas, extending even further the applicability of the PS-procedure.

In order to avoid boring the reader to death and to allow him/her to focus on the relevant aspects, we are going to concentrate (try to) in a few 1ODEs that will serve as examples for as many features as possible.

5.1 Usage of the package commands

Let us use a simple 1ODE to show the commands in action. Consider the following 1ODE:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y(\cos(x) + ye^{-x} + 1)}{\cos(x)} \quad (26)$$

Usually, people only want to find the solution for the 1ODE. So, that would simply require the input lines:

```
> eq := diff(y(x),x) = y(x)*(cos(x)+y(x)*exp(-x)+1)/cos(x):
> PSolve(eq,1);
```

$$\ln(y(x) + e^x) - \ln(\tan(1/2 x) - 1) + \ln(\tan(1/2 x) + 1) - \ln(y(x)) - _C1 = 0 \quad (27)$$

Sometimes the user is interested on having a look at just the integrating factor, so he/she could type:

```
> R := Intfact(eq,1);
```

For the 1ODE in the form

$$\frac{d}{dx}y(x) = \frac{y(x)(\cos(x)e^x + y(x) + e^x)}{\cos(x)e^x}$$

the integrating factor will be

$$R = \frac{1}{y \cos(x) (y + e^x)}$$

Please notice that the program informs the user the format in which it is considering the 1ODE to be in, that is important since it may be the case where the input 1ODE gets transformed by the simplification routines and is regarded in a different shape (of course it is still the same 1ODE) and that will affect the integrating factor.

The package can also provide information about the basis of functions (that can be useful in analyzing the structure of the 1ODE).

```
> basis := Basis(eq);
```

$$basis = [u_1 = \cos(x), u_2 = \sin(x), u_3 = e^x]$$

For the user that is interested in the limits of applicability of the PS- procedure or that is otherwise involved in more pure research in the algebraic properties of 1ODEs, that can prove to be a very valuable piece of information. The same applies to the commands that follow.

For instance, the following command to be exemplified (*dBasis*) provides essential information in order to apply the PS-procedure (please refer to the above definition of *basis* and, for further detail and meaning, see section2.2):

```
> d_basis := dBasis(eq);
```

$$d_basis = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_1(x, y) = -u_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_2(x, y) = u_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_3(x, y) = u_3, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y}u_1(x, y) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}u_2(x, y) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}u_3(x, y) = 0]$$

The above result is used by the following command which defines the \mathcal{D} operator.

```
> D_operator := Dop(eq);
```

$$D_operator = w \mapsto u_1u_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}w + y(u_1u_3 + y + u_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}w - u_2u_1u_3\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}w + \\ u_1^2u_3\frac{\partial}{\partial u_2}w + u_3^2u_1\frac{\partial}{\partial u_3}w$$

The user who decides to use this command has to bear in mind that it returns a procedure. Ops, what does that mean? It defines a mapping to be used later on by the user. To clarify what we mean, the best to do is to see it in action in a simple case. Let us apply the recently defined *D_operator* to (for example) u_1 . One would get:

```
> example := D_operator(u[1]);
```

$$example = -u_2u_1u_3$$

Of course, one has to apply *D_operator* to quantities written on the basis, i.e., as a function (for our example) of x, y, u_1, u_2 and u_3 .

The next two commands are very specialized (for the user really into 1ODE research). Please see sections 2 and 4 for recalling the meaning of them. For our particular example, we have:

```
> eigen_p := Eigenpol(eq,1);
```

$$eigen_p = [y, e^x, \cos(x), y + e^x, \cos(x) - I \sin(x)]$$

```
> eigen_p_val := EigenPval(eq,1);
```

$$eigen_p_val = [\cos(x)e^x + y + e^x, \cos(x)e^x, -e^x \sin(x), y + \cos(x)e^x, -I \cos(x)e^x]$$

Let us have a closer look at this results. The two lists above are consistent in the sense that their order is related, i.e., the “eigen-value” associated with y is $\cos(x)e^x + y + e^x$, the “eigen-value” associated with e^x is $\cos(x)e^x$ and so on. In the language of the basis of functions, that would translate to, for example:

$$\mathcal{D}[y] = y(u_1 u_3 + y + u_3)$$

As previously mentioned, we have used a single 1ODE to exemplify the usage of the package commands, we hope to have clarify that usage and helped the reader to understand further the PS-procedure. Now we are going to further exemplify the package but with a different approach. We will show that our package complements the solving capabilities of the existing solvers in Maple. We will divide that into two main groups: the first talks about the fact that our implementation solves 1ODEs, with SELFs, that are missed by the powerful solvers on the MAPLE algebraic package. The second one, deals with our theoretical extension to the PS-procedure (and our implementation of that) and tackles 1ODEs with LIS.

5.2 1ODEs with SELFs

The solver (in MAPLE) is the *dsolve* command and it is consider by many the most powerful solver of ordinary differential equations commercially available. It fails to solve the following two examples:

$$eq_1 = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{e^x}{y^2} + 9 \frac{(e^x)^2}{y^2} - 6 y e^x + y^4 \quad (28)$$

$$eq_2 = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{(y^2 \ln(x)^5 + 4 y \ln(x)^3 + 4 \ln(x) + y^2) y^2}{(y \ln(x)^2 + 2)^2 x} \quad (29)$$

Our program finds the following integrating factors for the above 1ODEs:

$$R_1 = -(-3 e^x + y^3)^{-2}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{1}{xy^4}$$

which, in turn, lead to the following solutions:

$$sol_1 = -1 + 9 x e^x - 3 x y^3 + 9 C_1 e^x - 3 C_1 y^3 = 0$$

$$sol_2 = -y^3 \ln(x)^6 - 6 y^2 \ln(x)^4 - 12 y \ln(x)^2 - 6 \ln(x) y^3 - 8 + 6 C_2 y^3 = 0$$

It is worth reminding the reader that the **dsolve** command brings within it many algorithms implementing the Lie Method for solving ordinary differential equations [10, 11]. But, for an infinity of cases (of which the two equations above are an example), the symmetries can not be found by the this heuristic approach. On the other hand, the PS-procedure, being of a semi-decision nature, guarantees that, if the solutions exists it will be caught (it is only a matter of computational power).

5.3 1ODEs with LIS

In this subsection, we are going to exemplify the usage of the theoretical extension to the PS-procedure we have explained on section 3, which allows the procedure to be extended to deal with 1ODEs with LIS.

Here are two such examples:

$$eq_3 = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{y + 1 + e^y x^4}{x^2 y} \quad (30)$$

$$eq_4 = \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{-\cos(x)xy - \cos(x)x - \cos(x) + y + 1 + xe^y}{1 + xy} \quad (31)$$

Our program finds the following integrating factors for the above 1ODEs:

$$R_3 = \frac{1}{x^2 e^y e^{x^{-1}}}$$

$$R_4 = \frac{1}{e^y e^{\sin(x)}}$$

which, in turn, lead to the following solutions:

$$sol_3 = -e^{y+x^{-1}} C_3 + 1 + y + e^{y+x^{-1}} \int e^{x+x^{-1}} dx$$

$$sol_4 = (-xy - 1 - x) e^{-y-\sin(x)} - \int x e^{-\sin(x)} dx - C_4 = 0$$

As previously mentioned, these equations would elude the regular PS-procedure. Furthermore, these examples (again they exemplify an infinitude of such cases) also escape solution via the `dsolve` command. So, the implementation of the extended PS-procedure [6], besides enlarging even more the scope of soluble 1ODEs, now allows for the fact that all 1ODEs with LIS (which include the ones with SELFs) can be treated in the context of a semi-decision procedure.

6 Performance

In this section, in order to show the efficiency of the ideas hitherto exposed, we are going to use the equations on the book by Kamke [14]. Which equations should we choose from the book? There are 367 1ODEs of first degree on the book. In principle, this would be our testing arena. However, among those equations there are, for example, some quadrature, some exact 1ODEs, etc. So, we see no point in using those in our test, therefore we will exclude them (see table 1). There is another important restriction one should consider before embarking on the test, some 1ODEs are “out-of-scope”, i.e., they can not be solved by the PS-procedure. More specifically, we mean 1ODEs with arbitrary functions, with solutions in terms of special functions and some 1ODEs with LIS (see table 1). The latter case will be only excluded from our test for the usual PS-procedure. Surely, we will include those in our test for the Extended-PS (See section 6.2).

Quadrature, Exact Separable	1, 4, 7, 12, 17, 23, 26, 39, 57, 59, 61, 69, 70, 71, 73, 76, 89, 90, 131, 150, 154, 200, 209, 223, 227, 229, 245, 248, 251, 263, 267, 270, 271, 273, 274, 285, 288, 289, 290, 298, 299, 300, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 322, 330, 335, 336, 341, 348, 352, 353, 355, 356, 358, 360, 361, 366
ODEs presenting Arbitrary Functions	10, 11, 16, 33, 34, 35, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 72, 74, 79, 80, 84, 85, 86, 87, 110, 126, 127, 128, 201, 202, 212, 219, 230, 250, 268, 269, 330, 331, 365, 367
ODEs with Special Functions in the Solutions	13, 14, 24, 25, 30, 36, 37, 40, 43, 45, 47, 48, 63, 66, 82, 88, 95, 99, 100, 105, 107, 111, 121, 139, 144, 145, 146, 147, 157, 166, 168, 169, 176, 179, 203, 205, 206, 234, 237, 253, 265
ODEs with LIS out of the scope of the PS-method ⁸	5,18, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 46, 83, 129, 133, 164, 235, 343, 351

Table 1: Classification of ODEs (in Kamke) that will not be considered on the procedure testing (the last row will be considered on the testing of the Extended PS-procedure).

6.1 Testing the usual PS-procedure

From table 1 we see that our arena for testing the usual PS-procedure consists of 215 1ODEs. Below, see table 2, we present the results of the application of our package to those 1ODEs.

To complete our test we have to mention the 1ODEs not present on table 2. Those are solved using the optional arguments described on section 4. The 1ODEs 62, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 333, 357 are caught using the optional parameter `AllSolutions` (115, 333 need, besides that, option `NumberF=All`). The 1ODEs 151, 182, 185, 211, 257, 327 are caught by the single use of `NumberF=All`. The 1ODEs 38, 42, 44, 77, 78, 106 are solved using the special algorithm that allows for fast solution of “hard” cases (described on section 4). This algorithm is enabled by the use of the optional parameter, `Guess`.

Finally, the 1ODEs 52, 81, 142, 173, 184, 186-189, 266, 292 exceed the allowed time in our testing (500 seconds).

6.2 Testing the Extended PS-procedure

From table 1, we come to the conclusion that 15 1ODEs should be considered for our testing procedure. These are 1ODEs that would escape solution via the usual PS-procedure. The 1ODEs are numbered 5, 18, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 46, 83, 129, 133, 164, 235, 343, 351. From those, all but two are solved by our implementation. The 1ODEs 22, 46 exceed the allowed testing time of 500 seconds.

To conclude our section on the performance of the package, let us just comment that, apart from the examples we gave on section 5, which are representatives of an infinitude of cases that are missed by the powerful solver in Maple (`dsolve`), the 1ODEs numbered 42, 151, 152, 185, 257, 350, 351 are also solved by our package and missed by `dsolve`.

	Average Time of solution	ODEs
N = 1	1.3 s	2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 19, 29, 31, 58, 60, 64, 65, 67, 68, 75, 91, 92, 93, 94, 96, 97, 98, 101, 102, 103, 117, 118, 119, 120, 122, 123, 124, 125, 130, 132, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 148, 149, 152, 153, 155, 156, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 165, 167, 171, 174, 175, 177, 178, 180, 183, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 197, 198, 199, 204, 207, 210, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218, 221, 222, 224, 225, 226, 228, 231, 232, 233, 236, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 246, 247, 249, 252, 254, 255, 256, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 264, 272, 275, 276, 277, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 286, 287, 291, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 301, 302, 303, 306, 313, 314, 315, 317, 318, 319, 321, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 332, 333, 334, 338, 339, 342, 344, 345, 346, 347, 349, 350, 354, 357, 359, 362, 363, 364
N = 2	3.3 s	15, 41, 104, 108, 109, 140, 141, 143, 163, 170, 195, 208, 217, 220, 304, 312, 340
N = 3	26.5 s	172, 181, 278, 320

Table 2: This table presents the average time it took our program to solve 1ODEs in the book by Kamke. The table is organized by the value of N , the degree of the f_i used to construct the integrating factor.

Besides that, the implementation of the theoretical extension allows us to substantially decrease (in some cases) the time needed to solve the 1ODE. For instance, from the list of 1ODEs that exceed the 500 seconds time limit we have set, the 1ODE 142 is solved by the extended procedure in only 3.4 seconds.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, we presented an implementation of the PS-procedure. As mentioned before, this method has the advantage of being of a semi-decision nature, i.e., it guarantees that, if the solutions exists it will be caught (it is only a question of time). Furthermore, we have made available to the user all the intermediary steps of the PS-procedure: the integrating factor, the \mathcal{D} operator, the basis of functions for the 1ODE etc (see section 4).

We have also implemented a theoretical extension we have developed[6]. This enlarges the scope of PS-procedure to allow it to tackle all 1ODEs with LIS (that contain the set of the 1ODEs with SELfs previously tackled by the usual PS-procedure). As an additional bonus to all this, we have enhanced the 1ODE solving capabilities in Maple, since this implementation of our extension enables the package to solve 1ODEs previously missed by the powerful solver in Maple (dsolve).

As further development for this work, we are currently working on extensions to the package to tackle 1ODEs containing arbitrary functions and on the implementation of the

Collins approach[5] (and references therein).

References

- [1] M. Prelle and M. Singer, *Elementary first integral of differential equations*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **279** 215 (1983).
- [2] M. Singer, *Formal Solutions of Differential Equations*. *J. Symbolic Computation*, **10**, 59-94, (1990).
- [3] Y K Man, Computing Closed Form Solutions of First Order ODEs Using the Prelle-Singer Procedure. *J. Symbolic Computation*, **16** 423–443 (1993)
- [4] Y K Man, First Integrals of Autonomous Systems of Differential Equations and the Prelle-Singer Procedure. *J. Phys. A*, **27** L329–332 (1994)
- [5] Y K Man and M A H MacCallum, A Rational Approach to the Prelle-Singer Algorithm. *J. Symbolic Computation*, **11** 1–11 (1996)
- [6] L.G.S. Duarte, S.E.S. Duarte, L.A.C.P. da Mota and J.E.F. Skea, *Tackling 1ODEs with Liouvillian Solutions within the PS-procedure*. submitted to *J. Phys. A: Mathematical, Nuclear and General*.
- [7] Stephani, H. *Differential equations: their solution using symmetries*, ed. M.A.H. MacCallum, Cambridge University Press, New York and London (1989).
- [8] G.W. Bluman and S. Kumei, *Symmetries and Differential Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences **81**, Springer-Verlag, (1989).
- [9] P.J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, (1986).
- [10] E.S. Cheb-Terrab, L.G.S. Duarte and L.A.C.P. da Mota, *Computer Algebra Solving of First Order ODEs Using Symmetry Methods*. *Comput.Phys.Commun.*, **101**, 254, (1997).
- [11] E.S. Cheb-Terrab, L.G.S. Duarte and L.A.C.P. da Mota, *Computer Algebra Solving of Second Order ODEs Using Symmetry Methods*. *Comput.Phys.Commun.*, **108**, 90, (1998).
- [12] R H Risch, *The Problem of Integration in Finite Terms* *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **139** 167–189 (1969).
- [13] R. Shtokhamer, *Solving first order differential equations using the Prelle-Singer algorithm*, Technical report 88-09, Center for Mathematical Computation, University of Delaware (1988).
- [14] Kamke, E., *Differentialgleichungen: Lösungsmethoden und Lösungen*. Chelsea Publishing Co, New York (1959).