

On associative algebras with unity and Lie index 1.

Vladimir Dergachev

November 14, 2018

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Multiplication table of associative algebra	2
3	Classification of 2-dimensional associative algebras	5
4	Classification of 3-dimensional associative algebras with unity	6
4.1	Index equals 3	6
4.2	Index equals 1	6
5	Jordan theory in multiplication table analysis	8
6	Associative algebras with unity and index 1	15

1 Introduction

This paper continues the study of associative algebras started in [2]. We prove several interesting results concerning the roots of characteristic polynomial of associative algebra. Further development yeilds a new way of analysis of associative algebras which we apply to classification of associative algebras with unity and Lie index 1.

This new approach to analysis of associative algebras is remarkable on several counts.

First, unlike in classical theory, we do not deal with characteristics of particular elements in algebra but we analyse their relationship with functionals on the algebra.

Secondly, the method bears most resemblance to the famous theory of commutative C^* -algebras, in which particular importance was attached to multiplicative functionals. These multiplicative functionals can be considered as rank 1 functionals (since the multiplication table of the algebra evaluated on such a functional has rank 1). In our method we study the functionals for which this rank is maximal possible.

2 Multiplication table of associative algebra

Definition 1 [Characteristic polynomial of associative algebra] *Let \mathfrak{A} be an associative algebra, and let A be the multiplication table of this algebra in some basis $\{e_i\}$.*

$$\chi(\lambda, \mu, F) = \det(\lambda A + \mu A^T)$$

here F is an element of a dual space \mathfrak{A}' , and A is considered as matrix of functions on \mathfrak{A}' .

Characteristic polynomial $\chi(\lambda, \mu, F)$ is homogeneous in λ and μ and thus, for each particular F , can be decomposed as product of factors

$$\chi(\lambda, \mu, F) = C \lambda^k \mu^k \prod_i (\lambda - \alpha_i \mu)$$

Definition 2 [α -stabilizer of F] *Let F be an element of \mathfrak{A}' . We define*

$$\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \forall b \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow F(ab) = \alpha F(ba)\} = \ker(A|_F - \alpha A^T|_F)$$

where $A|_F$ stands for matrix A evaluated in point F .

Obviously $(\lambda - \alpha \mu)$ divides χ if and only if $\text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$ is non-trivial. It is also convenient to introduce the following definitions:

Definition 3 *Let F be an element of \mathfrak{A}' . We define*

$$\text{Stab}_F(\infty) := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \forall b \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow F(ba) = 0\} = \ker A^T|_F$$

and (this follows from the definition above)

$$\text{Stab}_F(0) := \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \forall b \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow F(ab) = 0\} = \ker A|_F$$

Definition 4 Let F be an element of \mathfrak{A}' . We define

$$\text{Nil}_F = \{a \in \mathfrak{A} : \forall b \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow F(ab) = 0 \text{ and } F(ba) = 0\}$$

Statement 1 For all distinct α and β we have

$$\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) \cap \text{Stab}_F(\beta) = \text{Nil}_F$$

Definition 5 [Q_F] We define symmetric bilinear form Q_F on $\text{Stab}_F(1)$ as follows:

$$Q_F(a, b) = F(ab)$$

Statement 2 The following properties of an associative algebra are equivalent:

- Nil_F is trivial
- the characteristic polynomial $\chi(\lambda, \mu, F)$ is non-zero in point F .

Statement 3 The following properties of an associative algebra are equivalent:

- Q_F is non-degenerate
- the maximum power of $\lambda + \mu$ that divides characteristic polynomial is equal to the index of the algebra

Note also that this non-degeneracy of Q_F implies that characteristic polynomial of \mathfrak{A} is non-zero. As an example, all associative algebras with unity and index 1 have these properties. For proof see [2].

Statement 4 Let α and β be two finite numbers. Then

$$\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) \cdot \text{Stab}_F(\beta) \subset \text{Stab}_F(\alpha\beta)$$

Proof Let $a \in \text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$, $b \in \text{Stab}_F(\beta)$ and $c \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$F((ab)c) = F(a(bc)) = \alpha F((bc)a) = \alpha F((b(ca))) = \alpha\beta F((ca)b) = \alpha\beta F(c(ab))$$

■

Statement 5 *The following inclusions are true:*

$$\text{Stab}_F(0) \cdot \text{Stab}_F(\infty) \subset \text{Nil}_F$$

$$\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) \cdot \text{Stab}_F(\infty) \subset \text{Stab}_F(\infty) \text{ (when } \alpha \neq 0\text{)}$$

Statement 6 *The following equalities are true:*

$$\dim \text{Stab}_F(0) = \dim \text{Stab}_F(\infty)$$

$$\dim \text{Stab}_F(\alpha) = \dim \text{Stab}_F\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)$$

Proof Indeed

$$\dim \ker A|_F = \dim \ker A^T|_F$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \ker \left(A|_F - \alpha A^T|_F \right) &= \\ &= \dim \ker \left(A^T|_F - \alpha A|_F \right) = \\ &= \dim \ker \left(A|_F - \frac{1}{\alpha} A^T|_F \right) \end{aligned}$$

■

Statement 7 *For all α and β such that $\alpha\beta \neq 1$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (\infty, 0)$ we have*

$$\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) \cdot \text{Stab}_F(\beta) \subset \ker F$$

(or for all $a \in \text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$ and $b \in \text{Stab}_F(\beta)$ we have $F(ab) = 0$).

Statement 8 *$\text{Stab}_F(1)$ is commutative (for generic F).*

Proof

Indeed the Lie algebra derived from $\text{Stab}_F(1)$ is commutative (see [3]).

■

3 Classification of 2-dimensional associative algebras

We will now apply the general theory presented above to the problem of classification of 2-dimensional algebras.

Such an algebra is either commutative (index is 2) or non-commutative (index is 0). The first case is easy and has been covered in literature. Let us now concentrate on the second possibility.

Each algebra of index 0 must have non-zero characteristic polynomial that is not divisible by $\lambda + \mu$ (see statement 3).

Lemma 9 *Let \mathfrak{A} be a 2-dimensional associative algebra with index 0. Let F be a generic element of \mathfrak{A}^* . Then $\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$.*

Proof Assume the converse. In view of statement 3 Nil_F is trivial and thus $\mathfrak{A} = \text{Stab}_F(\alpha) \oplus \text{Stab}_F(1/\alpha)$. Because of statement 4 the multiplication is trivial (0) - which contradicts our assumption that \mathfrak{A} is non-commutative. ■

Thus we must have that $\dim \text{Stab}_F(0) = \dim \text{Stab}_F(\infty) = 1$. Let us choose $x \in \text{Stab}_F(0)$ and $y \in \text{Stab}_F(\infty)$, $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$.

The multiplication table must look like

$\times_{\mathfrak{A}}$	x	y
x	μx	0
y	$\beta x + \gamma y$	νy

Now we will use the fact that \mathfrak{A} is associative to obtain the following equations:

$$x(yx) = (xy)x \Rightarrow \mu\beta x = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$y(xx) = (yx)x \Rightarrow \mu\beta x + \mu\gamma y = \mu\beta x + \gamma(\beta x + \gamma y) \tag{2}$$

$$y(yx) = (yy)x \Rightarrow \beta(\beta x + \gamma y) + \nu\gamma y = \nu\beta x + \nu\gamma y \tag{3}$$

$$y(xy) = (yx)y \Rightarrow 0 = \gamma\nu y \tag{4}$$

Combining first two equations we derive:

$$\mu(\beta x + \gamma y) = \gamma(\beta x + \gamma y)$$

And using equations 3 and 4 we get:

$$\beta(\beta x + \gamma y) = \nu(\beta x + \gamma y)$$

Since \mathfrak{A} is not commutative $\beta x + \gamma y$ must be non-zero. Hence $\mu = \gamma$ and $\beta = \nu$. Also using equation 1 we get $\mu\nu = 0$. This leaves us only two choices - one when μ is non-zero and one when ν is non-zero. By multiplying x or y by appropriate constants we can normalize non-zero parameter (ν or μ) to 1.

Thus the only two non-commutative associative algebras are

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \times_{\mathfrak{A}} & x & y \\ \hline x & x & 0 \\ y & y & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} \times_{\mathfrak{A}} & x & y \\ \hline x & 0 & 0 \\ y & x & y \end{array}$$

4 Classification of 3-dimensional associative algebras with unity

We will now approach the problem of classification of 3-dimensional associative algebras with unity.

For these algebras the index can attain only 2 values: 1 or 3.

4.1 Index equals 3

In this case the algebra is commutative.

4.2 Index equals 1

Lemma 10 *Let \mathfrak{A} be a 3-dimensional associative algebra with unity and index 1. Let F be a generic element of \mathfrak{A}^* . Then $\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) = 0$ for all $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$.*

Proof Indeed, assume that the converse is true. Then there exist non-zero elements x and y such that $x \in \text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$, $y \in \text{Stab}_F(1/\alpha)$, $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$ and F is generic. Let us normalize F so that $F(1) = 1$.

Thus \mathfrak{A} is spanned by 1 , x and y . Since $\alpha^2 \neq \alpha$ we must have that $x^2 = y^2 = 0$. Since $\text{Stab}_F(\alpha) \cdot \text{Stab}_F(1/\alpha) \subset \text{Stab}_F(1)$ we have $xy = \gamma \cdot 1$.

But

$$xyx = (xy)x = (\gamma \cdot 1) \cdot x = x \cdot (\gamma \cdot 1) = x(xy) = x^2y = 0$$

Thus $xy = 0$. Analogously $yx = 0$. But this contradicts the assumption that our algebra is not commutative (index equals 1). \blacksquare

In view of statement 3 the characteristic polynomial must be non-zero and divisible only by first power of $\lambda + \mu$. Hence the only other possibility is for $\dim \text{Stab}_F(0) = \dim \text{Stab}_F(\infty) = 1$.

Let $x \in \text{Stab}_F(0)$ and $y \in \text{Stab}_F(\infty)$ such that $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Then 1 , x and y span \mathfrak{A} . Since $\text{Nil}_F = 0$ we must have $xy = 0$. Thus the multiplication table should look like:

$\times_{\mathfrak{A}}$	1	x	y
1	1	x	y
x	x	αx	0
y	y	$\gamma + \mu x + \nu y$	βy

Note that yx must be non-zero since the algebra is non-commutative.

Using the fact that \mathfrak{A} is associative we derive the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x(yx) = (xy)x = 0 &\Rightarrow \gamma + \alpha\mu = 0 \\ (yx)y = y(xy) = 0 &\Rightarrow \gamma + \beta\nu = 0 \\ (yx)x = y(x^2) &\Rightarrow \nu = \alpha \\ y(yx) = (y^2)x &\Rightarrow \mu = \beta \end{aligned}$$

Thus the table reduces to:

$\times_{\mathfrak{A}}$	1	x	y
1	1	x	y
x	x	αx	0
y	y	$-\alpha\beta + \beta x + \alpha y$	βy

We see that we only have three principally different cases - one when both α and β are non-zero (2×2 upper-triangular matrices):

$\times_{\mathfrak{A}}$	1	x	y
1	1	x	y
x	x	x	0
y	y	$-1 + x + y$	y

and another two when one of α and β vanishes:

$\times_{\mathfrak{A}}$	1	x	y
1	1	x	y
x	x	0	0
y	y	x	y

and

$\times_{\mathfrak{A}}$	1	x	y
1	1	x	y
x	x	x	0
y	y	y	0

Both of the above two cases give valid multiplication tables which are, in fact, isomorphic to the first case (2×2 upper-triangular matrices), however, such tables are not possible with our choice of x , y and F . (Indeed, for these tables characteristic polynomial evaluated in F would give zero - which contradicts our assumption that F is generic).

Hence there is only one non-commutative 3-dimensional associative algebra with unity.

5 Jordan theory in multiplication table analysis

While the methods presented above worked fine for algebras of small dimensions, one cannot expect to find the direct sum of $\text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$ equal to the algebra \mathfrak{A} in the general case. In the following we will assume that characteristic polynomial of the algebra \mathfrak{A} is non-zero. Fix a generic element F of \mathfrak{A}^* . Let A be the multiplication table of \mathfrak{A} evaluated in F . Let μ be such a value that $A - \mu A^T$ is invertible.

Definition 6 We define $V_{-1}(\alpha) = \{0\}$.

Definition 7 We introduce $V_0(\alpha) = \text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$.

Definition 8 We define $V_k(\alpha)$ - a space of "Jordan vectors" - as

$$V_{k+1}(\alpha) := \{b \in \mathfrak{A} : \exists a \in V_k(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow F(bx) - \alpha F(xb) = F(ax) - \mu F(xa))\}$$

or in terms of multiplication table of \mathfrak{A} :

$$V_{k+1}(\alpha) := \{b \in \mathfrak{A} : \exists a \in V_k(\alpha) \Rightarrow bA - \alpha bA^T = aA - \mu aA^T\}$$

Statement 11 The space $V_k(\alpha)$ does not depend on the choice of $\mu \neq \alpha$.

Proof Indeed, for $a \in V_k(\alpha)$ and μ_1 and μ_2 different from α :

$$\begin{aligned} F(ax) - \mu_2 F(xa) &= \\ &= (1 - \delta)F(ax) + \delta\alpha F(xa) + \delta(F(a'x) - \mu_1 F(xa')) - \mu_2 F(xa) = \\ &= (1 - \delta)F(ax) + (\delta\alpha - \mu_2)F(xa) + \delta(F(a'x) - \mu_1 F(xa')) = \\ &= F(((1 - \delta)a + \delta a')x) - \mu_1 F(x((\delta\alpha - \mu_2)a/\mu_1 - \delta a')) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{\mu_1 + \alpha}$ and $a'' = (1 - \delta)a + \delta a'$ We get $F(ax) - \mu_2 F(xa) = F(a''x) - \mu_1 F(xa'')$, where a'' is also in $V_{k-1}(\alpha)$. A similar argument is used to prove the statement when one of μ_1, μ_2 is infinity. \blacksquare

For $V_k(\infty)$ we need a special definition:

Definition 9

$$V_{k+1}(\infty) := \{b \in \mathfrak{A} : \exists a \in V_k(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\forall x \in \mathfrak{A} \Rightarrow F(xb) = F(xa) - \mu F(xa))\}$$

or

$$V_{k+1}(\infty) := \{b \in \mathfrak{A} : \exists a \in V_k(\alpha) \Rightarrow bA^T = aA - \mu aA^T\}$$

Statement 12 The spaces $V_k(\alpha)$ possess the following properties:

- $V_k(\alpha) \subset V_{k+1}(\alpha)$

- $V_k(\alpha) \cdot V_m(\beta) \subset V_{k+m}(\alpha\beta)$

$$(\alpha \neq \infty, \beta \neq \infty)$$

Proof The first part of the statement follows by induction from the fact that $V_{-1}(\alpha) \subset V_0(\alpha)$.

To prove the second part we will make induction on the parameter $N = k+m$. The base of induction follows immediately from properties of $\text{Stab}_F(\alpha)$ (statement 4). Assume that the statement is true for all k and m such that $k+m < N+1$. Let us pick certain α and β . Let $b_1 \in V_k, b_2 \in V_m$, where both $k+m = N+1$. Let $a_1 \in V_{k-1}(\alpha)$ be an element corresponding to b_1 according to definition 8 and $a_2 \in V_{m-1}(\beta)$ be the element corresponding to b_2 . Let x be an arbitrary element of \mathfrak{A} . Assume $\mu = \infty$ (since α and β are finite). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} F(b_1 b_2 x) &= \\ &= \alpha F(b_2 x b_1) + F(b_2 x a_1) = \\ &= \alpha \beta F(x b_1 b_2) + \alpha F(x b_1 a_2) + F(b_2 x a_1) = \\ &= \alpha \beta F(x b_1 b_2) + F(x(\alpha b_1 a_2 + \beta a_1 b_2 + a_1 a_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Now by assumption of induction we have

$$\alpha b_1 a_2 + \beta a_1 b_2 + a_1 a_2 \subset V_{k+m-1}(\alpha\beta)$$

which concludes the proof. ■

Statement 13 For all $\alpha \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(\alpha) \cdot V_m(\infty) &\subset V_{k+m}(\infty) \\ V_k(\infty) \cdot V_m(\alpha) &\subset V_{k+m}(\infty) \end{aligned}$$

Statement 14

$$V_k(0) \cdot V_m(\infty) \subset V_k(0) \cap V_m(\infty)$$

The proof of the above two statements is analogous to proof of statement 12.

Statement 15 Let \mathfrak{A} be an associative algebra with unity. Then $F(V_k(\alpha)) = 0$ for all $\alpha \neq 1$.

Proof Case $k = 0$: for all $b \in V_0(\alpha)$ we must have

$$F(bx) = \alpha F(xb)$$

Setting $x = 1$ we get $F(b) = \alpha F(b)$, hence $F(b) = 0$.

For arbitrary k we proceed by induction. Again let us set $x = 1$ in the definition 8. We get

$$F(b) - \alpha F(b) = F(a)$$

But we already know that $F(a) = 0$, thus $F(b) = 0$ as well.

The case $\alpha = \infty$ is similar: from the definition we get

$$F(b) = F(a)$$

and from the definition of $V_0(\infty)$ it follows that $F(V_0(\infty)) = 0$. ■

Statement 16

- $V_k(\alpha) \subset V_{k+1}(\alpha)$
- $V_k(\alpha)$ stabilizes for sufficiently large k .

Statement 17

$$\mathfrak{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} V_N(\alpha)$$

(here N is sufficiently large)

Proof We will reduce this problem to the classical theorem about Jordan normal form for matrices.

Recall the definition of $V_{k+1}(\alpha)$:

$$V_{k+1}(\alpha) := \{b \in \mathfrak{A} : \exists a \in V_k(\alpha) \Rightarrow bA - \alpha bA^T = aA - \mu aA^T\}$$

The equation $bA - \alpha bA^T = aA - \mu aA^T$ can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} bA - \alpha bA^T = aA - \mu aA^T &\Leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow b([A - \mu A^T] - (\alpha - \mu)A^T) &= a(A - \mu A^T) \Leftrightarrow \\ b(1 - (\alpha - \mu)A^T(A - \mu A^T)^{-1}) &= a \Leftrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

Thus $V_k(\alpha) = \ker(1 - (\alpha - \mu)A^T(A - \mu A^T)^{-1})^k$. Hence $V_k(\alpha)$ is nothing more but the space of Jordan vectors of rank k corresponding to eigenvalue $(\alpha - \mu)^{-1}$ (note also a non-standard order of multiplication between vectors and operators). ■

Let us summarize our findings in the following theorem:

Theorem 18 *Let \mathfrak{A} be an associative algebra over an algebraically closed field. Assume that characteristic polynomial of \mathfrak{A} is non-zero. Let F be a generic element of \mathfrak{A}^* . Then*

- $\mathfrak{A} = \oplus V_N(\alpha)$, ($N = \dim \mathfrak{A}$)
- $\dim V_N(\alpha) = \dim V_N(1/\alpha)$
- $F(V_k(\alpha) \cdot V_m(\beta)) = 0$ when $\alpha\beta \neq 1$ (provided $1 \in \mathfrak{A}$)
- $F(V_k(\alpha)) = 0$ when $\alpha \neq 1$ (provided $1 \in \mathfrak{A}$)
- the multiplication relations for $V_N(\alpha)$ can be summarized in the following table:

	$V_k(0)$	$V_k(\alpha)$	$V_k(\infty)$
$V_m(0)$	$V_{k+m}(0)$	$V_{k+m}(0)$	$V_m(0) \cap V_k(\infty) = 0$
$V_m(\alpha)$	$V_{k+m}(0)$	$V_{k+m}(\alpha/\beta)$	$V_{k+m}(\infty)$
$V_m(\infty)$	*	$V_{k+m}(\infty)$	$V_{k+m}(\infty)$

- $V_N(0)$, $V_N(1)$ and $V_N(\infty)$ are closed under multiplication
- $V_N(0)$ is solvable (as Lie algebra)
- $(\lambda - \alpha\gamma)^{\dim V_k(\alpha)}$ is the highest power of $\lambda - \alpha\gamma$ that divides characteristic polynomial of \mathfrak{A} (for $\alpha = \infty$ we use γ in instead of $\lambda - \alpha\gamma$).

Proof Most of these statements have already been discussed. The fact that $V_m(0) \cap V_k(\infty) = 0$ follows by induction from $\text{Nil}_F = 0$ - which, in turn, is due to the requirement that characteristic polynomial is non-zero. $\dim V_N(\alpha) = \dim V_N(1/\alpha)$ in view of the symmetry $A \leftrightarrow A^T$, $\alpha \leftrightarrow 1/\alpha$. Solvability of $V_N(1)$ is due to commutativity of $\text{Stab}_F(1)$. The last statement follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda A + \gamma A^T) &= \\ &= \det(\lambda + (\gamma + \mu\lambda)A^T(A - \mu A^T)^{-1}) \cdot \det(A - \mu A^T) \end{aligned}$$

■

Statement 19 Let $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Define $A_\alpha : V_k(\alpha) \times V_m(1/\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$A_\alpha(a, b) = F(ab)$$

then the map A_α^T :

$$A_\alpha^T(a, b) = F(ba)$$

is related to A_k by the following equation:

$$A_\alpha(a, b) = A_\alpha^T(U_k a, b)$$

where U_k is an automorphism of $V_k(\alpha)$ with only eigenvalue α .

Proof We will proceed by induction. For $k = 0$ the statement is true with $U_0 = \alpha$. Assume that the statement holds for all $k < K$. By definition for $b \in V_{K+1}(\alpha)$ and $x \in V_m(1/\alpha)$ we have

$$F(bx) = \alpha F(xb) + F(xa) = F(x(\alpha b + a))$$

where $a \in V_K(\alpha)$. Let us choose a basis $\{e_i\}$ in $V_{K+1}(\alpha)$ such that first $l = \dim V_K(\alpha)$ vectors are in $V_K(\alpha)$. Let $\{a_i\}$ be vectors $a_i \in V_K(\alpha)$ corresponding to vectors $e_{l+1} \dots e_n$. Then the operator U_{K+1} is given by the matrix

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & e_1 \dots e_l & e_{l+1} \dots e_n \\ \hline e_1 \dots e_l & U_K & (a_{l+1} \dots a_n) \\ e_{l+1} \dots e_n & 0 & \alpha \cdot 1 \end{array}$$

■

Statement 20 Let \mathfrak{A} be an associative algebra with non-zero characteristic polynomial. Then the map A_α defined in statement 19 is a duality between spaces $V_N(\alpha)$ and $V_N(1/\alpha)$.

Proof Indeed, consider the multiplication table evaluated in point F :

	$V_N(0)$	$V_N(\alpha)$	$V_N(1)$	$V_N(1/\alpha)$	$V_N(\infty)$
$V_N(0)$	0	0	0	0	0
$V_N(\alpha)$	0	0	0	$U(\alpha)A_\alpha^T$	0
$V_N(1)$	0	0	Q_F	0	0
$V_N(1/\alpha)$	0	A_α^T	0	0	0
$V_N(\infty)$	A_∞	0	0	0	0

(here $A_\infty(a, b) = F(ab)$ is a bilinear form defined on $V_N(\infty) \times V_N(0)$) The characteristic polynomial is then given by the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\lambda, \mu, F) &= \det(\lambda A_\infty) \det(\mu A_\infty) \det((\lambda + \mu)Q_F) \cdot \\ &\cdot \prod_{\alpha_k \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}} \det((\lambda U(\alpha_k) + \mu)A_{\alpha_k}^T) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the only way for $\chi(\lambda, \mu, F)$ to be nonzero is for A_∞ , Q_F and A_{α_k} to be invertible. Note, however, that the form Q_F here is defined on $V_N(1)$ - as opposed to $\text{Stab}_F(1)$ as used in statement 3. ■

A consequence of the above results and classification of 3-dimensional algebras is the following theorem:

Theorem 21 *Let \mathfrak{A} be an associative algebra with unity and Lie index 1. Then $\dim V_k(\alpha) = 0$ for all α except 0, 1 and ∞ .*

Proof Assume the converse, i.e. there exists $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$ such that

$$\dim V_N(\alpha) > 0$$

If there is more than one candidate let us choose one that has maximum absolute value. By theorem 18 α^{-1} should have the smallest possible value (because otherwise there would have existed non-zero $V_N(1/\alpha_1)$ and due to symmetry $V_N(\alpha_1)$ as well).

Pick two vectors $v \in V_N(\alpha)$ and $u \in V_N(1/\alpha)$. We will show that their product is zero. Indeed, $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + \mathbb{C} \cdot v + \mathbb{C} \cdot u$ forms a 3-dimensional subalgebra¹ with unity in \mathfrak{A} . Due to classification of 3-dimensional associative algebras with unity we know that they have trivial spaces $V_N(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \notin \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Thus $v \cdot u \in V_N(1) = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ must be 0.

This proves that the map $V_N(\alpha) \times V_N(1/\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ is trivial. However this contradicts statement 20 which states that characteristic polynomial must be zero in this case (and it is not in view of statement 3). ■

Definition 10 *We define $\phi_{\alpha, \beta} : V_N(\alpha) \times V_N(\beta) \rightarrow V_N(\alpha\beta) : (x, y) \rightarrow xy$.*

¹ Note that we are being slightly inaccurate. If it happens that $\alpha = -1$ then vector spaces $V_N(\alpha)$ and $V_N(1/\alpha)$ coincide. In this case it is possible for vectors u and v to be parallel. However, it is straightforward to show that the product $u \cdot v$ must still be zero.

Theorem 22 *The image of $\phi_{\alpha,1/\alpha}$ is an ideal in $V_N(1)$ for all $\alpha \notin \{0, \infty\}$.*

Proof Indeed, $V_N(1)$ acts on $V_N(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \notin \{0, \infty\}$ both from the left and right. The map $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is equivariant with respect to left action on the first argument and right action on the second argument. Consequently, the image of $\phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ is stable under both left and right action of $V_N(1)$. ■

6 Associative algebras with unity and index 1

Due to theorem 21 we know that for an associative algebra with unity and index 1 the multiplication table is

	x_2	1	y_2
x_1	x_1x_2	x_1	0
1	x_2	1	y_2
y_1	$-1 \cdot A(y_1, x_2) + B(y_1, x_2) + C(y_1, x_2)$	y_1	y_1y_2

Here $x_1, x_2, B(y_1, x_2) \in V_N(0)$ and $y_1, y_2, C(y_1, x_2) \in V_N(\infty)$. Very much like in the case of 3-dimensional algebras with unity we have equations on A , B and C due to associativity:

$$x_1(yx_2) = (x_1y)x_2 \Rightarrow -x_1A(y, x_2) + x_1B(y, x_2) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$(y_1x)y_2 = y_1(xy_2) \Rightarrow -y_2A(y_1, x) + C(y_1, x)y_2 = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (yx_1)x_2 &= y(x_1x_2) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow -x_2A(y, x_1) + B(y_1, x_1)x_2 - \\ &\quad -1 \cdot A(C(y, x_1), x_2) + B(C(y, x_1), x_2) + C(C(y, x_1), x_2) = \\ &= -1 \cdot A(y, x_1x_2) + B(y, x_1x_2) + C(y, x_1, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1(y_2x) &= (y_1y_2)x \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow -y_1A(y_2, x) + y_1C(y_2, x) - \\ &\quad -1 \cdot A(y_1, B(y_2, x)) + B(y_1, B(y_2, x)) + C(y_1, B(y_2, x)) = \\ &= -1 \cdot A(y_1y_2, x) + B(y_1y_2, x) + C(y_1y_2, x) \end{aligned}$$

An immediate consequence of these equations are the following properties of A , B and C :

$$A(y, x_1x_2) = A(C(y, x_1), x_2) \quad (7)$$

$$B(y, x_1x_2) = B(C(y, x_1), x_2) + [B(y, x_1), x_2] \quad (8)$$

$$C(y, x_1x_2) = C(C(y, x_1), x_2) \quad (9)$$

$$A(y_1y_2, x) = A(y_1, B(y_2, x)) \quad (10)$$

$$B(y_1y_2, x) = B(y_1, B(y_2, x)) \quad (11)$$

$$C(y_1y_2, x) = C(y_1, B(y_2, x)) + [y_1, C(y_2, x)] \quad (12)$$

Since, by statement 15, $F(V_N(\alpha)) = 0$ when $\alpha \neq 1$ we must have that $A(y, x)$ is non-degenerate - and thus establishes duality between $V_N(0)$ and $V_N(\infty)$. Property 9 states that $C(y, x)$ establishes a right action of $V_N(0)$ on $V_N(\infty)$ and property 7 states that this action is the same one as induced from multiplication on $V_N(0)$ by duality A . Analogously, property 11 states that B describes left action of $V_N(\infty)$ on $V_N(0)$ and property 10 states that this is the same action as induced from $V_N(\infty)$ by duality A . Thus

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot 1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}'$$

where both $\mathfrak{H} := V_N(0)$ and \mathfrak{H}' possess operations of associative multiplication. The operation of multiplication on \mathfrak{H}' gives rise to a map $\Delta : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{H}$:

$$\langle \Delta(x), y_1 \otimes y_2 \rangle = \langle x, y_1y_2 \rangle$$

Equation 5 states:

$$-x_1A(y_1, x_2) + x_1B(y_1, x_2) = 0$$

Or, equivalently (using the fact that A is a duality),

$$A(y_2, x_1)A(y_1, x_2) = A(y_2, x_1B(y_1, x_2))$$

Rewriting in terms of \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}' :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle &= \langle x_1B(y_1, x_2), y_2 \rangle \Leftrightarrow \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle = \langle B(y_1, x_2), C(y_2, x_1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Equation 6 states:

$$-y_2A(y_1, x_2) + C(y_1, x_2)y_2 = 0$$

or, equivalently (using the fact that A is a duality),

$$A(y_2, x_1)A(y_1, x_2) = A(C(y_1, x_2)y_2, x_1)$$

Rewriting in terms of \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}' we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle &= \langle x_1, C(y_1, x_2)y_2 \rangle \Leftrightarrow \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle x_1, y_2 \rangle \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle = \langle B(y_2, x_1), C(y_1, x_2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence equations 5 and 6 follow from identity

$$\langle x_1, y_2 \rangle \langle x_2, y_1 \rangle = \langle B(y_1, x_2), C(y_2, x_1) \rangle \quad (13)$$

and properties 7, 9, 10 and 11.

Equation 8 states:

$$B(y_2, x_1x_2) = B(C(y_2, x_1), x_2) + [B(y_2, x_1), x_2]$$

or, equivalently (using the fact that A is a duality),

$$\langle B(y_2, x_1x_2), y_1 \rangle = \langle B(C(y_2, x_1), x_2), y_1 \rangle + \langle B(y_2, x_1)x_2, y_1 \rangle - \langle x_2B(y_2, x_1), y_1 \rangle$$

After transformation we get

$$\langle x_1x_2, y_1y_2 \rangle = \langle x_2, y_1C(y_2, x_1) \rangle + \langle B(y_2, x_1)x_2, y_1 \rangle - \langle B(y_2, x_1), C(y_1, x_2) \rangle$$

Equation 12 states:

$$C(y_1y_2, x_1) = C(y_1, B(y_2, x_1)) + [y_1, C(y_2, x_1)]$$

or, equivalently (using the fact that A is a duality),

$$\langle x_2, C(y_1y_2, x_1) \rangle = \langle x_2, C(y_1, B(y_2, x_1)) \rangle + \langle x_2, y_1C(y_2, x_1) \rangle - \langle x_2, C(y_2, x_1)y_1 \rangle$$

After transformation we get

$$\langle x_1x_2, y_1y_2 \rangle = \langle B(y_2, x_1)x_2, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_2, y_1C(y_2, x_1) \rangle - \langle B(y_1, x_2), C(y_2, x_1) \rangle$$

which is the same one as for equation 8.

Thus we have reduced the original equations imposed by associativity to the following conditions:

- $V_N(0) = \mathfrak{H}$ is an associative algebra with additional operation of multiplication on its dual space. $V_N(\infty) = \mathfrak{H}'$ is dual to $V_N(0)$
- $A = \langle x, y \rangle$ is given by duality between \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}'
- B is given by the dual of the right action by multiplication on \mathfrak{H}'

$$\langle B(y_2, x), y_1 \rangle = \langle x, y_1 y_2 \rangle$$

- C is given by the dual of the left action by multiplication on \mathfrak{H}

$$\langle x_2, C(y, x_1) \rangle = \langle x_1 x_2, y \rangle$$

- there are two additional conditions on multiplication structures on \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{H}' :

$$\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = \langle B(y_1, x_1), C(y_2, x_2) \rangle \quad (14)$$

and

$$\langle x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2 \rangle = \langle x_2, y_1 C(y_2, x_1) \rangle + \langle B(y_2, x_1) x_2, y_1 \rangle - \langle B(y_1, x_2), C(y_2, x_1) \rangle \quad (15)$$

Definition 11 We define $B_R = B$, $C_L = C$ and

$$\langle B_L(y_1, x), y_2 \rangle = \langle x, y_1 y_2 \rangle$$

$$\langle x_1, C_R(y, x_2) \rangle = \langle x_1 x_2, y \rangle$$

In this notation and using equation 14 one can rewrite equation 15 as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_1 x_2, y_1 y_2 \rangle + \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle &= \\ &= \langle B_L(y_1, x_2), C_L(y_2, x_1) \rangle + \langle B_R(y_2, x_1), C_R(y_1, x_2) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In this form this equation is clearly symmetric with respect to transformation $x \leftrightarrow y$.

References

1. Vladimir Dergachev and Alexandre Kirillov, *Index of Lie algebras of Seaweed type*, to appear in *Journal of Lie theory*.
2. Vladimir Dergachev, *Some properties of index of Lie algebras*, math.RT/0001042.
3. J.Dixmier, “Enveloping algebras”, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1996) 1-379 .
4. Elashvili, A. G, *Frobenius Lie algebras*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **16** (1982), 94–95.
5. —, *On the index of a parabolic subalgebra of a semisimple Lie algebra*, Preprint, 1990.
6. I.M.Gelfand and A.A.Kirillov, *Sur les corps liés aux algèbres enveloppantes des algèbres de Lie*, Publications mathématiques **31** (1966) 5-20.