

**EASY AND NEARLY SIMULTANEOUS PROOFS
OF THE ERGODIC THEOREM AND MAXIMAL ERGODIC
THEOREM**

KARL PETERSEN

This note arose from a conversation I had with Mike Keane after his talk at E.S.I. in Vienna on a simple proof of the Ergodic Theorem. Thanks to E. Lesigne and X. Mela for inducing several clarifications.

Let (X, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a probability space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ a (possibly noninvertible) measure-preserving transformation, and $f \in L^1(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$. Let

$$A_k f = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f T^j, \quad f_N^* = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq N} A_k f, \quad f^* = \sup_N f_N^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} A_k f.$$

When λ is a constant, the following result is the Maximal Ergodic Theorem. Choosing $\lambda = \bar{A} - \epsilon$ covers most of the proof of the Ergodic Theorem.

Theorem. *Let λ be an invariant ($\lambda \circ T = \lambda$ a.e.) function on X with $\lambda^+ \in L^1$. Then*

$$\int_{\{f^* > \lambda\}} (f - \lambda) \geq 0.$$

Proof. We may assume that $\lambda \in L^1\{f^* > \lambda\}$, since otherwise

$$\int_{\{f^* > \lambda\}} (f - \lambda) = \infty \geq 0.$$

But then actually $\lambda \in L^1(X)$, since on $\{f^* \leq \lambda\}$ we have $f \leq \lambda$, so that on this set $\lambda^- \leq -f + \lambda^+$, which is integrable.

Assume first that $f \in L^\infty$. Fix $N = 1, 2, \dots$, and let

$$E_N = \{f_N^* > \lambda\}.$$

Notice that

$$(f - \lambda)\chi_{E_N} \geq (f - \lambda),$$

since $x \notin E_N$ implies $(f - \lambda)(x) \leq 0$. Thus for a very large $m \gg N$, we can break up

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (f - \lambda)\chi_{E_N}(T^k x)$$

Date: September 17, 2018.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 28D05.

into convenient strings of terms as follows. There is maybe an initial string of 0's during which $T^k x \notin E_N$. Then there is a first time k when $T^k x \in E_N$, which initiates a string of no more than N terms, the sum of which is positive (using on each of these terms the fact that $(f - \lambda)\chi_{E_N} \geq (f - \lambda)$). Beginning after the last term in this string, we repeat the previous analysis, finding maybe some 0's until again some $T^k x \in E_N$ initiates another string of no more than N terms and with positive sum. The full sum of m terms may end in the middle of either of these two kinds of strings (0's, or having positive sum). Thus we can find $j = m - N + 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (f - \lambda)\chi_{E_N}(T^k x) \geq \sum_{k=j}^{m-1} (f - \lambda)\chi_{E_N}(T^k x) \geq -N(\|f\|_\infty + \lambda^+(x)).$$

Integrating both sides, dividing by m , and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} m \int_{E_N} (f - \lambda) &\geq -N(\|f\|_\infty + \|\lambda^+\|_1), \\ \int_{E_N} (f - \lambda) &\geq \frac{-N}{m}(\|f\|_\infty + \|\lambda^+\|_1), \\ \int_{E_N} (f - \lambda) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Dominated Convergence Theorem concludes the proof for the case $f \in L^\infty$.

To extend to the case $f \in L^1$, for $s = 1, 2, \dots$ let $\phi_s = f \cdot \chi_{\{|f| \leq s\}}$, so that $\phi_s \in L^\infty$ and $\phi_s \rightarrow f$ a.e. and in L^1 . Then for fixed N

$$(\phi_s)_N^* \rightarrow f_N^* \quad \text{a.e. and in } L^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\{(\phi_s)_N^* > \lambda\} \triangle \{f_N^* > \lambda\}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq \int_{\{(\phi_s)_N^* > \lambda\}} (\phi_s - \lambda) \rightarrow \int_{\{f_N^* > \lambda\}} (f - \lambda),$$

again by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. The full result follows by letting $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Corollary (Ergodic Theorem). *The sequence $(A_k f)$ converges a.e..*

Proof. It is enough to show that

$$\int \bar{A} \leq \int f.$$

For then, letting $\underline{A} = \liminf A_k f$, applying this to $-f$ gives

$$-\int \underline{A} \leq -\int f,$$

so that

$$\int \bar{A} \leq \int f \leq \int \underline{A} \leq \int \bar{A},$$

and hence

$$\int(\bar{A} - \underline{A}) = 0, \quad \text{so that } \bar{A} = \underline{A} \text{ a.e..}$$

Consider first f^+ and its associated \bar{A} , denoted by $\bar{A}(f^+)$. For any invariant function $\lambda < \bar{A}(f^+)$ such that $\lambda^+ \in L^1$, for example $\lambda = \bar{A}(f^+) \wedge n - 1/n$, we have $\{(f^+)^* > \lambda\} = X$, so the Theorem gives

$$\int f^+ \geq \int \lambda \nearrow \int \bar{A}(f^+).$$

Thus $(\bar{A})^+ \leq \bar{A}(f^+)$ is integrable (and, by a similar argument, so is $(\bar{A})^- \leq \bar{A}(f^-)$.)

Now let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and apply the Theorem to $\lambda = \bar{A} - \epsilon$ to conclude that

$$\int f \geq \int \lambda \nearrow \int \bar{A}.$$

□

Remark. Keane's proof was a development of the Katznelson-Weiss proof [9] based on Kamae's nonstandard-analysis proof [7] and presented also in the Bedford-Keane-Series collection [1]. That proof is given in a paper by Keane [10] and has been extended to deal also with the Hopf Ratio Ergodic Theorem and with the case of higher-dimensional actions [8]. The proof given above yields both the Pointwise and Maximal Ergodic Theorems essentially simultaneously without adding any real complications. Roughly contemporaneously with our conversation, Roland Zweimüller prepared some preprints [17, 18] also giving short proofs based on the Kamae-Katznelson-Weiss approach. Without going too deep into the complicated history of the Ergodic Theorem and Maximal Ergodic Theorem, it is interesting to note some recurrences as the use of maximal theorems arose and waned repeatedly. After the original proofs by von Neumann [19], Birkhoff [2], and Khinchine [11], the role and importance of the Maximal Lemma and Maximal Theorem were brought out by Wiener [20] and Yosida-Kakutani [21], making possible the exploration of connections with harmonic functions and martingales. Proofs by upcrossings followed an analogous pattern. It also became of interest, for instance to allow extension to new areas or new kinds of averages, again to prove the Ergodic Theorem without resort to maximal lemmas or theorems, as in the proof by Shields [15] inspired by the Ornstein-Weiss proof of the Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem for actions of amenable groups [13], or in Bourgain's proofs by means of variational inequalities [3]. Sometimes it was pointed out, for example in the note by R. Jones [6], that these approaches could also with very slight modification prove the Maximal Ergodic Theorem. Of course there are the theorems of Stein [16] and Sawyer [14] that make the connection explicit, just as the transference techniques of Wiener [20] and Calderón [4] connect ergodic theorems with their analogues in analysis like the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal Lemma [5]. In many of the improvements over the years, ideas and tricks already in the papers of Birkhoff, Kolmogorov [12], Wiener, and Yosida-Kakutani have continued to play an essential role.

REFERENCES

1. T. Bedford, M. Keane, and C. Series, *Ergodic Theory, Symbolic Dynamics and Hyperbolic Spaces*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1991.
2. G. D. Birkhoff, *Proof of the ergodic theorem*, Proc. nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **17** (1931), 656–660.
3. J. Bourgain, *On the maximal ergodic theorem for certain subsets of the integers*, Israel J. Math. **61** (1988), 39–72.
4. A. Calderón, *Ergodic theory and translation-invariant operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **59** (1968), 349–353.
5. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *A maximal theorem with function-theoretic applications*, Acta Math. **54** (1930), 81–116.
6. R. L. Jones, *New proofs for the maximal ergodic theorem and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 681–684.
7. T. Kamae, *A simple proof of the ergodic theorem using nonstandard analysis*, Israel J. Math. **42** (1982), 284–290.
8. T. Kamae and M. Keane, *A simple proof of the ratio ergodic theorem.*, Osaka J. Math. **34** (1997), 653–657.
9. Y. Katznelson and B. Weiss, *A simple proof of some ergodic theorems*, Israel J. Math. **42** (1982), 291–296.
10. M. S. Keane, *The essence of the law of large numbers*, Algorithms, fractals, and dynamics (Y. Takahashi, ed.), Plenum, 1995, pp. 125–129.
11. A. I. Khinchine, *Zu Birkhoffs Lösung des Ergodenproblems*, Math. Ann. **107** (1933), 485–488.
12. A. Kolmogorov, *über die Summen durch den Zufall bestimmter unabhängiger Grössen*, Math. Ann. **99** (1928), 309–319.
13. D. Ornstein and B. Weiss, *The Shannon-McMillan-Breiman Theorem for a class of amenable groups*, Israel J. Math. **44** (1983), 53–60.
14. S. Sawyer, *Maximal inequalities of weak type*, Ann. of Math. **84** (1966), 157–174.
15. P. C. Shields, *The ergodic and entropy theorems revisited*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **33** (1987), 263–266.
16. E. M. Stein, *On limits of sequences of operators*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1961), 140–170.
17. R. Zweimüller, *A simple proof of the strong law of large numbers*, Preprint, April 1997.
18. ———, *A unified elementary approach to some pointwise ergodic theorems*, Preprint, May 1997.
19. J. von Neumann, *Proof of the quasi-ergodic hypothesis*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **18** (1932), 70–82.
20. N. Wiener, *The ergodic theorem*, Duke Math. J. **5** (1939), 1–18.
21. K. Yosida and S. Kakutani, *Birkhoff's ergodic theorem and the maximal ergodic theorem*, Proc. Japan Acad. **15** (1939), 165–168.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CB 3250, PHILLIPS HALL, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA,
CHAPEL HILL, NC 27599 USA

E-mail address: `petersen@math.unc.edu`