

Compactness Theorems for Invariant Connections

Johan Råde

§1. Condition C for invariant Yang-Mills

The Palais-Smale Condition C holds for the Yang-Mills functional on principal bundles over compact manifolds of dimension ≤ 3 . This was established by S. Sedlacek [17] and C. Taubes [18] Proposition 4.5 using the compactness theorem of K. Uhlenbeck [20]; see also [23]. It is well known that Condition C fails for Yang-Mills over compact manifolds of dimension ≥ 4 . The example of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -invariant $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ -connections over S^4 , see [2], [14], and [16], suggested that Condition C holds for Yang-Mills over compact manifolds of any dimension when restricted to connections that are invariant under a group action on the manifold with orbits of codimension ≤ 3 . Such a result, essentially Theorem 3 below, was established by T. Parker [14]. In this paper we generalize his result.

Let X be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold of any dimension, let G be a compact Lie group, and let P be a smooth principal G -bundle over X . Let \mathcal{A} denote the space of smooth connections on P and \mathcal{G} the group of smooth bundle automorphisms of P . We denote the gauge equivalence class of $A \in \mathcal{A}$ by $[A] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$. We fix a G -invariant positive definite inner product on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then the Yang-Mills functional is given by

$$\mathcal{YM}[A] = \frac{1}{2} \int_X |F_A|^2 dx$$

where F_A is the curvature of A . The critical points of the Yang-Mills functional are the solutions of the Yang-Mills equation $d_A^* F_A = 0$.

Let H be a compact Lie group. We fix a smooth action $\rho : H \times X \rightarrow X$ by isometries. We then consider the set of smooth lifts of ρ to actions $\sigma : H \times P \rightarrow P$ by bundle maps. The group \mathcal{G} of gauge transformations acts on this set; $g \cdot \sigma(h) = g \sigma(h) g^{-1}$. We choose one representative

$$\sigma_i : H \times P \rightarrow P$$

from each gauge equivalence class of lifts of ρ ; here i ranges over some index set I . The action σ_i of H on P induces actions of H on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{G} . Let \mathcal{A}_i^H and \mathcal{G}_i^H denote the fixed point sets of these actions. The group \mathcal{G}_i^H acts on the affine space \mathcal{A}_i^H and we form the quotient $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$. We denote the equivalence class of $A \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ in $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$ by $[A, \sigma_i]$; see §3. There are natural maps

$$\pi_i : \mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$$

given by $\pi_i([A, \sigma_i]) = [A]$.

Example 1. Let $X = S^1$, $G = \mathrm{U}(1)$, and $H = \mathrm{SO}(2)$. There is a unique principal $\mathrm{U}(1)$ -bundle over S^1 . Let $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ act in the natural way on S^1 . This action has a unique lift, up to gauge equivalence. Thus we omit the index i . The space $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{SO}(2)}$ is a copy of \mathbb{R} , its image in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} is a copy of S^1 , and π is the universal covering map. The Yang-Mills functional of course vanishes.

Example 2. Let $X = S^3$, $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$, and $H = \mathrm{Spin}(4)$. There is a unique principal $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ -bundle over S^3 . Let $\mathrm{Spin}(4)$ act in the natural way on S^3 . This action has exactly two lifts, up to gauge equivalence, the trivial lift σ_0 and a nontrivial lift σ_1 . Then $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$ consists of a single point while $\mathcal{A}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$ is a copy of \mathbb{R} . The image in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} of $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$ is the trivial connection, while the image of $\mathcal{A}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$ is a curve in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} with a self intersection point at the trivial connection. The Yang-Mills functional of course vanishes on $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$ while on $\mathcal{A}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$, suitably identified with \mathbb{R} , it is given by a constant times the polynomial $(t^2 - 1)^2$. The image in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} of the points $t = \pm 1$ in $\mathcal{A}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$ is the trivial connection. The image of the point $t = 0$ is gauge equivalent to the Levi-Civita connection on S^3 .

Next we discuss the Palais-Smale Condition C for the Yang-Mills functional for invariant connections. The above examples show that the maps π_i are not in general injective. Hence there are two forms of Condition C for invariant Yang-Mills. In the following $\|\cdot\|_{L_A^{-1,2}}$ is the norm on $L^{-1,2}$ dual to the norm $\|b\|_{L_A^{1,2}}^2 = \|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla_A b\|_{L^2}^2$ on $L^{1,2}$. The Morrey space $L_3^{1,2}$ is discussed briefly in §2 and at length in Appendix A. Note that on manifolds of dimension ≤ 3 , $L_3^{1,2} = L^{1,2}$.

Definition. A sequence $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be a Palais-Smale sequence if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\|F_{A_k}\|_{L^2(X, \Lambda^2 T^* X \otimes \mathrm{Ad} P)} < M \quad \text{for all } k$$

and

$$\|d_{A_k}^* F_{A_k}\|_{L_{A_k}^{-1,2}(X, T^* X \otimes \mathrm{Ad} P)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition. *Strong Condition C is said to hold on \mathcal{A}_i^H if there for any Palais-Smale sequence $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ exists a subsequence, which we also denote A_k , and a sequence $g_k \in \mathcal{G}_i^H$ such that $g_k.A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ converges in $L_3^{1,2}$ to a Yang-Mills connection $A_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Definition. *Weak Condition C is said to hold on \mathcal{A}_i^H if there for any Palais-Smale sequence $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ exists a subsequence, which we also denote A_k , and a sequence $g_k \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g_k.A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ converges in $L_3^{1,2}$ to a Yang-Mills connection $A_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

In strong Condition C, $g_k \in \mathcal{G}_i^H$. Thus strong Condition C is essentially Condition C for Yang-Mills on $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$. This space has the structure of an infinite dimensional orbifold, similar to the structure of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} . If the action of H on X is free, then strong Condition C for invariant Yang-Mills on X is equivalent to Condition C for the corresponding Yang-Mills-Higgs functional on X/H .

In weak Condition C, $g_k \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus weak Condition C is essentially Condition C for Yang-Mills on the image $\pi_i(\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H)$ of \mathcal{A}_i^H in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} . This can be a rather singular subset of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} . In the situation in the proof of Corollary 3, the trivial connection is a self intersection point in $\pi(\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}^{\text{SO}(2)})$ of infinite multiplicity.

The following are our two main theorems. They are proven in §2. Recall that X can be of any dimension and that G and H are only assumed to be compact.

Theorem 1. *If all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 , then weak Condition C holds on \mathcal{A}_i^H for all $i \in I$.*

Theorem 2. *Assume that all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 . Then strong Condition C holds on \mathcal{A}_i^H if and only if every Yang-Mills connection in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} has only finitely many preimages in $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$ under the map π_i .*

The next two theorems give explicit sufficient conditions for strong Condition C. They are special cases of Theorem 2, obtained in §3 using Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3. (T. Parker [14]) *If all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 , G is semisimple, and every Yang-Mills connection in \mathcal{A}_i^H is irreducible, then strong Condition C holds on \mathcal{A}_i^H .*

Theorem 4. *If all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 and H is semisimple, then strong Condition C holds on \mathcal{A}_i^H for all $i \in I$.*

Theorem 3 was established by Parker on 4-manifolds with the slightly stronger assumption that every connection in \mathcal{A}_i^H is irreducible. Under this assumption $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$ is a finite covering space of $\pi_i(\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H)$.

The following is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *If all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 , then the Yang-Mills functional on A_i^H attains its infimum.*

As an application we show how Theorem 4 gives a simple proof of the following result, similar to the result of H.Y. Wang [22].

Corollary 2. *There exist irreducible non-(anti-)selfdual Yang-Mills $SU(2)$ -connections over $S^2 \times S^2$, with the standard metric, of any even second Chern number not equal to ± 2 or ± 4 .*

This is proven in §4 by applying “the mountain pass lemma” to paths between reducible Yang-Mills connections in spaces of connections that are invariant under a $Spin(3)$ -action on $S^2 \times S^2$. H.-Y. Wang [22] showed, using a technically complicated gluing scheme, that there exist irreducible $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills connections over $S^2 \times S^2$ of second Chern number $\pm 2pq$, for any integers $p \geq q \geq 0$ such that $p \neq q(2k+1)+k(k+1)$ for all nonnegative integers k . Thus Wang covered second Chern number ± 4 , but not $\pm 2, \pm 10, \pm 22, \dots$ (The non-(anti-)selfdual $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills connection over $S^2 \times S^2$ constructed in [21] Proposition 9.4 is reducible.) For another application of Theorem 4, see U. Gritsch [10].

Finally we give an application of Theorem 3. This example shows that nontrivial phenomena occur already in dimensions less than four. Let $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ be the twisted Yang-Mills-Higgs functional

$$\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}[A, \Phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S^2} \left(|F_A - *\Phi|^2 + |d_A \Phi|^2 \right) dx$$

on S^2 with the standard metric. Here A is a connection on the trivial $SU(2)$ -bundle over S^2 and Φ is a section of the adjoint bundle. The functional $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ over S^2 is the dimensional reduction of the $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills functional over S^3 under the Hopf action of $SO(2)$ on S^3 . The following result is proven in §5.

Corollary 3. **a)** *The minimum of $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ is 0. There are countably infinitely many gauge equivalence classes of minimizers.*

b) *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then Condition C holds for any Palais-Smale sequence (A_k, Φ_k) for $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}[A_k, \Phi_k] \geq \varepsilon$ for all k .*

c) *The set of critical values of $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ is unbounded.*

As was first pointed out by Gritsch [9], there is a technical error in [14] on page 346, lines 11–13. Here the Uhlenbeck compactness theorem is used to construct a

subsequence A_k and a sequence of gauge transformations $g_k \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g_k.A_k$ converges in $L^{1,2}$ to a Yang-Mills connection A_∞ . Then the invariant Sobolev embedding $(L^{1,2})^H \rightarrow L^6$ is applied to the sequence $g_k.A_k$. However, this embedding theorem can only be applied if all the connections $g_k.A_k$ are known to be invariant under the same action. At this point in the proof, the connection $g_k.A_k$ is only known to be invariant under the gauge transformed action $g_k.\sigma_i$. In the present paper we overcome this difficulty by working in the Morrey space $L_3^{1,2}$. The advantage of using $L_3^{1,2}$ instead of the invariant Sobolev space $(L^{1,2})^H$ as in [14] is that $L_3^{1,2}$ gives a topology on \mathcal{A} while $(L^{1,2})^H$ only gives a topology on the subspace \mathcal{A}_i^H . This is crucial in §2.

It seems likely that one could work directly in $L^{1,2} \cap L^6$ on manifolds of dimension ≤ 5 or in $L^{1,2} \cap L^6 \cap C^{-1,1/2}$ on manifolds of any dimension without introducing Morrey spaces. One would then have to establish first an embedding $(L^2)^H \rightarrow L^2 \cap L^{-1,6}$ or $(L^2)^H \rightarrow L^2 \cap L^{-1,6} \cap C^{-2,1/2}$ and then an $L^{1,2} \cap L^6$ or $L^{1,2} \cap L^6 \cap C^{-1,1/2}$ estimate for connections with $L^2 \cap L^{-1,6}$ or $L^2 \cap L^{-1,6} \cap C^{-2,1/2}$ bounds respectively on curvature.

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Gil Bor, Magnus Fontes, Ursula Gritsch, Arne Meurman, Jaak Peetre, Lorenzo Sadun, and Karen Uhlenbeck for interesting discussions. He also wishes to thank the Department of Mathematics at University of Texas at Austin, where some of this research was carried out, and in particular Dan Freed for their hospitality.

§2. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

We first review the basic setup for invariant gauge theory as in [3], [6], [7], and [14]. The extended gauge group $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined as the group of ordered pairs (φ, h) such that $h \in H$ and $\varphi : P \rightarrow P$ is a bundle map that covers the isometry $\rho(h) : X \rightarrow X$. There is a short exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1.$$

By a splitting of this short exact sequence we mean a smooth left inverse $H \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ of the homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow H$. Thus a splitting of (2.1) is the same as a lift σ of ρ .

There are compatible group actions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A} \\ H \times \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}. \end{aligned}$$

The first two actions are defined the obvious way. The third action was introduced by Furuta [7] and is defined as follows: Given $h \in H$ and $[A] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, let φ be any bundle

map that covers the action of h on X . We then define $h.[A] = [\varphi.A] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$. As A and φ are unique up to gauge transformations, $\varphi.A$ is unique up to gauge transformations. Hence $[\varphi.A]$ is a well-defined element of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} . We denote the fixed point set of the action $H \times \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ by $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$.

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ these actions have isotropy subgroups

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_A &= \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g.A = A\} \\ \widehat{\Gamma}_A &= \{(\varphi, h) \in \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \mid \varphi.A = A\} \\ \Gamma_{[A]} &= \{h \in H \mid h.[A] = [A]\}.\end{aligned}$$

These are compact Lie groups, and they form a short exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_A \longrightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_A \longrightarrow \Gamma_{[A]} \longrightarrow 1.$$

We will work in Morrey spaces $L_d^{k,p}$. These are function spaces well adapted for handling invariant problems. They are discussed in some detail in Appendix A; here we briefly state their main properties.

- Functions in $L^{k,p}(X)$ that are invariant under a Lie group action on X , all of whose orbits have codimension $\leq d$, lie in $L_d^{k,p}(X)$. (Lemma A.1)
- The spaces $L_d^{k,p}(X)$ for X of any dimension satisfy “the same” multiplications, embeddings, and compact embeddings as the space $L^{k,p}(X)$ for X of dimension d . (Lemma A.2, Lemma A.3, Lemma A.4)
- Elliptic estimates hold in $L_d^{k,p}(X)$. (Lemma A.5, Remark A.6)

Using these properties it follows, in the usual way, that for any $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon} = \{A_0 + a \in \mathcal{A} \mid d_{A_0}^* a = 0 \text{ and } \|a\|_{L_{3, A_0}^{1,2}(X, T^*X \otimes \text{Ad } P)} < \varepsilon\}$$

is a local slice through A_0 for the action of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{A} . More precisely, this means that the natural map

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{G} \times_{\Gamma_{A_0}} \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant diffeomorphism onto its image. In particular $\mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon}/\Gamma_{A_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image.

Next we prove that the image of π_i is closed. This was established by Furuta [7], see also [3], in the case when G has trivial center and all connections in \mathcal{A}_i^H are irreducible. Here we extend his result to arbitrary compact G and reducible connections.

Lemma 2.1. *For any $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon}$, σ is a lift of ρ , and A is invariant under σ , then A_0 is invariant under σ .*

Proof. If a connection A is invariant under any lift σ of ρ , then $[A] \in (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$. The fixed point set $(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$ is a closed subset of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} in the $L_3^{1,2}$ topology. So if $[A_0] \notin (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that no connection in $\mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon}$ is invariant under any lift σ of ρ . Thus we may assume that $[A_0] \in (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$. Then $\Gamma_{[A_0]} = H$, so the short exact sequence (2.2) becomes

$$(2.4) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_A \longrightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_A \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the action $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ restricts to an action $\widehat{\Gamma}_{A_0} \times \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon}$. Thus we can form the Banach manifold $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\widehat{\Gamma}_{A_0}} \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon}$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.4) that the natural map $\mathcal{G} \times_{\Gamma_{A_0}} \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\widehat{\Gamma}_{A_0}} \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon}$ is a diffeomorphism. It then follows from (2.3) that the natural map

$$(2.5) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{G}} \times_{\widehat{\Gamma}_{A_0}} \mathcal{O}_{A_0, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto its image. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $\widehat{\Gamma}_A \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}_{A_0}$. Let $(\varphi, h) \in \widehat{\Gamma}_A$. Then $((1, 1), A)$ and $((\varphi, h), A)$ have the same image A under the map (2.5). Hence $(\varphi, h) \in \widehat{\Gamma}_{A_0}$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *$\pi_i(\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H)$ is a closed subset of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} in the $L_3^{1,2}$ topology.*

Proof. Let $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$. Assume that $[A_k] \rightarrow [A_\infty]$ in $L_3^{1,2}$ for some $A_\infty \in \mathcal{A}$. This means that there exist $g_k \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g_k.A_k \rightarrow A_\infty$ in $L_3^{1,2}$. We have to show that A_∞ is gauge equivalent to a connection in \mathcal{A}_i^H .

Let ε be as in Lemma 2.1. By the slice theorem, we may assume that $g_k.A_k \in \mathcal{O}_{A_\infty, \varepsilon}$ for large k . As A_k is invariant under σ_i , we have that $g_k.A_k$ is invariant under $g_k.\sigma_i$. It then follows from Lemma 2.1 that A_∞ is invariant under $g_k.\sigma_i$ for large k . Hence $g_k^{-1}.A_\infty$ is invariant under σ_i , i.e. $g_k^{-1}.A_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let A_k be a Palais-Smale sequence in \mathcal{A}_i^H . It follows from Lemma A.1 that

$$\|F_{A_k}\|_{L_3^2(X, \Lambda^2 T^*X \otimes \text{Ad } P)} \leq M' \quad \text{for all } k$$

for some $M' > 0$ and

$$\|d_{A_k}^* F_{A_k}\|_{L_{3, A_k}^{-1,2}(X, T^*X \otimes \text{Ad } P)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Arguing exactly as in the proof of Condition C for Yang-Mills in three dimensions, [17], [18] Proposition 4.5, [20], [23], but using the Morrey space theory in Appendix A instead of standard Sobolev space theory, we see that there exists a subsequence, which we also denote A_k , and a sequence $g'_k \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g'_k.A_k$ converges in $L_3^{1,2}$ to a smooth Yang-Mills connection $A'_\infty \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows from Proposition 2.2 that there exists $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $A_\infty = g.A'_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$. Weak Condition C follows with $g_k = gg'_k$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2, sufficiency. Assume that every Yang-Mills connection in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} has only finitely many preimages in $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$. Let $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ be a Palais-Smale sequence. After passing to a subsequence there exists by Theorem 1 a sequence $g'_k \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g'_k.A_k$ converges in $L_3^{1,2}$ to a Yang-Mills connection A'_∞ . Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 2.2 we may assume that

$$g'_k{}^{-1}.A'_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$$

for large k . In particular $[g'_k{}^{-1}.A'_\infty, \sigma_i] \in \pi_i^{-1}[A'_\infty]$ for large k . By our assumptions, $\pi_i^{-1}[A'_\infty]$ is finite. After passing to a subsequence we may therefore assume that all $[g'_k{}^{-1}.A'_\infty, \sigma_i]$ are equal. This means that for any integer k_0 there exists a sequence $g_k \in \mathcal{G}_i^H$ such that $g_k g'_k{}^{-1}.A'_\infty = g'_{k_0}{}^{-1}.A'_\infty$ for all k . Then

$$s_k = g'_{k_0} g_k g'_k{}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{A'_\infty}.$$

The group $\Gamma_{A'_\infty}$ is compact. Hence, after passing to a subsequence, s_k converges in C^∞ to some $s_\infty \in \Gamma_{A'_\infty}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$g_k.A_k = g'_{k_0}{}^{-1} s_k g'_k.A_k \rightarrow g'_{k_0}{}^{-1} s_\infty.A'_\infty = g'_{k_0}{}^{-1}.A'_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$$

in $L_3^{1,2}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Strong Condition C follows with $A_\infty = g'_{k_0}{}^{-1}.A'_\infty$.

Necessity. If a Yang-Mills connection in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G} has infinitely many preimages in $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$, then these preimages form a Palais-Smale sequence and should provide a counterexample to strong Condition C. To prove this, we have to verify that for any $[A] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, the set $\pi_i^{-1}([A])$ is a discrete subset of $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$. This means that for any $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $A \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$, $\|A - A_0\|_{L_{3,A_0}^{1,2}} \leq \varepsilon$, and $[A] = [A_0] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, then $[A, \sigma_i] = [A_0, \sigma_i] \in \mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$. Consider such an A . If ε is small enough, then it follows from the slice theorem that $A = \exp \psi.A_0$ for a unique ψ in $\Omega^0(\text{Ad } P)$ that is L^2 -perpendicular to the null space of d_{A_0} and has small $L_{3,A_0}^{2,2}$ norm. By uniqueness, ψ is invariant under σ_i , so $\exp \psi \in \mathcal{G}_i^H$. It follows that $[A, \sigma_i] = [A_0, \sigma_i]$. \square

§3. Proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4

In order to apply Theorem 2 we need a way of determining $\pi_i^{-1}([A])$. That is our next topic. It follows from the definitions that $g.\sigma_i = \sigma_i$ if and only if $g \in \mathcal{G}_i^H$. In other words, σ_i is invariant under g if and only if g is invariant under σ_i . Using this one sees that there is a natural map

$$(3.1) \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i^H / \mathcal{G}_i^H \longrightarrow \left\{ (A, \sigma) \left| \begin{array}{l} A \in \mathcal{A}, \sigma \text{ is a lift of } \rho, \text{ and} \\ A \text{ is invariant under } \sigma \end{array} \right. \right\} / \mathcal{G}$$

given by $\mathcal{A}_i^H \ni A \mapsto (A, \sigma_i)$, and that this map is a 1–1 correspondence. Here \mathcal{G} acts by $g.(A, \sigma) = (g.A, g.\sigma)$. Thus we can view an element of $\mathcal{A}_i^H / \mathcal{G}_i^H$ as the gauge equivalence class of a pair (A, σ_i) . This is the reason we use the notation $[A, \sigma_i]$ for elements of $\mathcal{A}_i^H / \mathcal{G}_i^H$.

It follows from (3.1) that for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a 1–1 correspondence

$$(3.2) \quad \bigcup_{i \in I} \pi_i^{-1}[A] \longrightarrow \left\{ \sigma \left| \begin{array}{l} \sigma \text{ is a lift of } \rho \text{ such that} \\ A \text{ is invariant under } \sigma \end{array} \right. \right\} / \Gamma_A.$$

This can be reformulated in Lie theoretic terms. Recall that a lift σ of ρ is the same as a splitting of the short exact sequence (2.1). If there exists any lift σ of ρ such that A is invariant under σ , then $A \in (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$, or equivalently $\Gamma_{[A]} = H$. Then the short exact sequence (2.2) becomes

$$(3.3) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_A \longrightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_A \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow 1,$$

and a lift σ of ρ such that A is invariant under σ is the same as a splitting of this short exact sequence. Combining this with (3.2) we get the following:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $[A] \in (\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G})^H$. Then there is a 1–1 correspondence between the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i \in I} \pi_i^{-1}[A]$ and the set of Γ_A -conjugacy classes of splittings of the short exact sequence (3.3).*

Example. For the trivial connection A_0 in Example 2 in §1 the sequence (3.3) is

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{Spin}(4) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(4) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Now $\mathrm{Spin}(4)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$. Thus this short exact sequence has three conjugacy classes of splittings, given by $\tau(x, y) = (1, x, y)$, $\omega_+(x, y) = (x, x, y)$ and $\omega_-(x, y) = (y, x, y)$. It then follows from Proposition 3.1 that $[A_0] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ has three preimages, $[A_0, \tau]$, $[A_0, \omega_+]$, and $[A_0, \omega_-]$, in $\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)} / \mathcal{G}_0^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)} \cup \mathcal{A}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)} / \mathcal{G}_1^{\mathrm{Spin}(4)}$. In

the notation of §1 Example 2, τ is gauge equivalent to σ_0 and ω_{\pm} are gauge equivalent to σ_1 . $[A_0, \sigma_0]$ is the unique element in $\mathcal{A}_0^{\text{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{Spin}(4)}$ and $[A_0, \omega_{\pm}]$ are the points $t = \pm 1$ in $\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{Spin}(4)}/\mathcal{G}_1^{\text{Spin}(4)}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. If A is irreducible, then $\Gamma_A = Z(G)$. If G is semisimple, then $Z(G)$ is finite. It is easy to see that if Γ_A is finite, then (3.3) has only finitely many splittings. Theorem 3 now follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 3.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. By Theorem 2 and Proposition 3.1, we only have to show that the short exact sequence (3.3) has only finitely many Γ_A -conjugacy classes of splittings. We denote the homomorphism $\widehat{\Gamma}_A \rightarrow H$ by μ . By Lemma B.1 in Appendix B there are only finitely many $\widehat{\Gamma}_A$ -conjugacy classes of homomorphisms $H \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_A$. Thus we have to show that any $\widehat{\Gamma}_A$ -conjugacy class of homomorphisms $H \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_A$ contains only finitely many Γ_A -conjugacy classes of splittings of (3.3).

If $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, \widehat{\Gamma}_A)$ is a splitting, then the other splittings in the $\widehat{\Gamma}_A$ -conjugacy class of σ are of the form $k\sigma k^{-1}$ with $k \in \mu^{-1}(Z(H))$. Two such splittings $k_1\sigma k_1^{-1}$ and $k_2\sigma k_2^{-1}$ lie in the same Γ_A -conjugacy class if $k_1 k_2^{-1} \in \Gamma_A Z(\widehat{\Gamma}_A)$. Thus it suffices to show that $\Gamma_A Z(\widehat{\Gamma}_A)$ is a subgroup of $\mu^{-1}(Z(H))$ of finite index. It is a closed normal subgroup. We have $\mu^{-1}(Z(H))/\Gamma_A Z(\widehat{\Gamma}_A) = Z(H)/\mu(Z(\widehat{\Gamma}_A))$. Next we analyze the Lie algebras of the groups involved.

The short exact sequence (3.3) of Lie groups induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \gamma_A \longrightarrow \widehat{\gamma}_A \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow 0$$

of Lie algebras. Recall that if G is a compact Lie group, then its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} has a unique decomposition as a direct sum $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}$ of an abelian Lie algebra and a semisimple Lie algebra; the abelian part is the center of \mathfrak{g} . An ideal or quotient of an abelian or semisimple Lie algebra is abelian or semisimple respectively. Hence we have short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{z}(\gamma_A) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\gamma}_A) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow (\gamma_A)_{\text{ss}} \longrightarrow (\widehat{\gamma}_A)_{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ss}} \longrightarrow 0$$

of Lie algebras. It follows that $Z(H)$ and $\mu(Z(\widehat{\Gamma}_A))$ have the same Lie algebra $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}) = \mu_* \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\gamma}_A)$. Hence their quotient $Z(H)/\mu(Z(\widehat{\Gamma}_A))$ is a discrete group. But it is also compact, so it is finite. The theorem follows. \square

§4. Proof of Corollary 2

Let ρ be the $\text{Spin}(3)$ action on $S^2 \times S^2$ given by the standard action on each factor. Corollary 2 is established by applying Theorem 4 to spaces of connections that are invariant under lifts σ of ρ , using the “the mountain pass lemma” argument for paths between $\text{U}(1)$ -reducible Yang-Mills connections. To carry out this we have to first classify the lifts σ of ρ to principal $\text{SU}(2)$ -bundles over $S^2 \times S^2$, then classify the $\text{U}(1)$ -reducible Yang-Mills connections that are invariant under these lifts, and finally determine the index and nullity of the Hessian of the Yang-Mills functional on the normal bundles of the gauge orbits of these connections. This is done in Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3 below.

The principal $\text{SU}(2)$ bundles over $S^2 \times S^2$ are classified by the second Chern number. We let P_d denote the bundle with second Chern number d . We let \mathcal{A}_d and \mathcal{G}_d denote the space of connections and the group of gauge transformations on P_d .

Lemma 4.1. *The lifts σ of the action ρ of $\text{Spin}(3)$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ to P_d are classified, up to gauge equivalence, by pairs (w_+, w_-) of nonnegative integers such that $w_+ \equiv w_- \pmod{2}$ and $d = (w_+^2 - w_-^2)/2$.*

We denote the corresponding lifts by σ_{w_+, w_-} and we denote the space of connections and the group of gauge transformations that are invariant under σ_{w_+, w_-} by $\mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$.

Proof. This follows from [21] §3, except the formula for d , which follows by examining the examples $[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ below. \square

The numbers w_+ and w_- have the following geometric meaning. For $(x, y) \in S^2 \times S^2$, let θ denote the angle between x and y , viewed as unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ parametrizes the space of orbits of the action ρ . For $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, the orbit is a copy of $\text{SO}(3) = \text{Spin}(3)/\mathbb{Z}_2$. For $\theta = 0$ and π , the orbit is a copy of $S^2 = \text{Spin}(3)/\text{Spin}(2)$. The orbit $\theta = 0$ consists of all pairs (x, x) and the orbit $\theta = \pi$ of all pairs $(x, -x)$ with $x \in S^2$. We denote these two orbits by S_+^2 and S_-^2 . Each point in the orbits S_+^2 and S_-^2 has isotropy subgroup $\text{Spin}(2)$. Thus $\text{Spin}(2)$ acts on the fibers over these two orbits. These actions give two homomorphisms from $\text{Spin}(2)$ to $\text{SU}(2)$, well-defined up to conjugacy. Such conjugacy classes of homomorphisms are classified by nonnegative integral weights. This gives the weights w_+ and w_- . For more details, see [21] §3.

Next we construct the $\text{U}(1)$ -reducible Yang-Mills connections in $\mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}/\mathcal{G}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$. Principal $\text{U}(1)$ -bundles over S^2 are classified by the first Chern number. The standard $\text{Spin}(3)$ -action on S^2 has unique lifts to these bundles up to gauge equivalence. There

are unique invariant Yang-Mills connections on these bundles. We take the tensor product of the Chern number p and q principal $U(1)$ -bundles over the first and second factor of $S^2 \times S^2$ and we then form the associated principal $SU(2)$ -bundle. It has second Chern number $2pq$. The construction gives an $\text{Spin}(3)$ -action $\tau_{p,q}$ on the bundle that covers ρ and a $U(1)$ -reducible invariant Yang-Mills connection $A_{p,q}$. The action $\tau_{p,q}$ has $w_+ = |p+q|$ and $w_- = |p-q|$. By Lemma 4.1, $\tau_{p,q}$ is thus gauge equivalent to $\sigma_{|p+q|,|p-q|}$. Hence $[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ defines an element in $\mathcal{A}_{|p+q|,|p-q|}^{\text{Spin}(3)} / \mathcal{G}_{|p+q|,|p-q|}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$. We have $[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}] = [A_{-p,-q}, \tau_{-p,-q}]$; otherwise these elements are distinct.

Another lift ω of ρ to the trivial bundle $S^2 \times S^2 \times SU(2) \rightarrow S^2 \times S^2$ is obtained by letting $\text{Spin}(3)$ act in the standard way on the first two factors and by left multiplication on the third factor. This action has $w_+ = w_- = 1$. By Lemma 4.1, ω is thus gauge equivalent to $\sigma_{1,1}$. The trivial connection $A_{0,0}$ is invariant under ω . Thus $[A_{0,0}, \omega]$ defines an element of $\mathcal{A}_{1,1}^{\text{Spin}(3)} / \mathcal{G}_{1,1}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$. The following lemma says that there are no other reducible invariant Yang-Mills connections.

Lemma 4.2. *The following are the elements of $\mathcal{A}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)} / \mathcal{G}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ that are given by $U(1)$ -reducible Yang-Mills connections:*

- $w_+, w_- > 0, (w_+, w_-) \neq (1, 1)$: $[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ and $[A_{q,p}, \tau_{q,p}]$ with $p = (w_+ + w_-)/2$ and $q = (w_+ - w_-)/2$,
- $(w_+, w_-) = (1, 1)$: $[A_{1,0}, \tau_{1,0}]$, $[A_{0,1}, \tau_{0,1}]$, and $[A_{0,0}, \omega]$,
- $w_+ > 0, w_- = 0$: $[A_{p,p}, \tau_{p,p}]$ with $p = w_+/2$,
- $w_+ = 0, w_- > 0$: $[A_{p,-p}, \tau_{p,-p}]$ with $p = w_-/2$,
- $w_+ = w_- = 0$: $[A_{0,0}, \tau_{0,0}]$.

Proof. The reducible Yang-Mills connections in $\mathcal{A}_d / \mathcal{G}_d$ are $[A_{p,q}]$ with $2pq = d$. To establish the lemma we only have to find the preimages in $\bigcup_{(w_+, w_-)} \mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)} / \mathcal{G}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ of $[A_{p,q}]$. By Proposition 3.1 these are classified by the conjugacy classes of splittings of the sequence (3.3) for $A_{p,q}$.

For $[A_{p,q}]$ with $(p, q) \neq 0$, the sequence is

$$1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(1) \times \text{Spin}(3) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(3) \rightarrow 1.$$

This sequence has exactly one splitting. The corresponding preimage is $[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$.

For $[A_{0,0}]$ the sequence is

$$1 \rightarrow SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2) \times \text{Spin}(3) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(3) \rightarrow 1.$$

This sequence has exactly two conjugacy classes of splittings. The corresponding preimages are $[A_{0,0}, \tau_{0,0}]$ and $[A_{0,0}, \omega]$. \square

In the following we view elements of $\mathcal{A}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}/\mathcal{G}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ as $\mathcal{G}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ -orbits in $\mathcal{A}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$.

Lemma 4.3. *The index and nullity of the Hessian of the Yang-Mills functional on the normal bundle in $\mathcal{A}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ of the $\mathcal{G}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ -orbit of a $\text{U}(1)$ -reducible Yang-Mills connection in $\mathcal{A}_{w_+,w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ is as follows:*

$[A, \sigma]$	index	nullity	
$[A_{0,\pm 2}, \tau_{0,\pm 2}]$	0	2	
$[A_{\pm 2,0}, \tau_{\pm 2,0}]$	0	2	
$[A_{p,p\pm 1}, \tau_{p,p\pm 1}]$	2	0	
$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$	0	0	for other (p, q)
$[A_{0,0}, \omega]$	0	0.	

Proof. The Hessian of the Yang-Mills functional is $H_A a = d_A^* d_A a + [*F_A, a]$. The spectral decomposition of the operator $(H_A + d_A d_A^*)a = \Delta_A a + [*F_A, a]$ has been carried out in [22] Appendix A. There $T_A \mathcal{A}_d = \Omega^1(S^2 \times S^2, \text{Ad } P_d)$ is decomposed as an orthogonal direct sum of six subspaces that are invariant under $H_A + d_A d_A^*$. On these subspaces the operator is written in terms of the scalar Laplacian on S^2 and $\bar{\partial}$ -Laplacians on holomorphic line bundles over S^2 . It is shown in [22] Appendix A, although never stated explicitly, that the spectral decomposition of $H_A + d_A d_A^*$ on $T_A \mathcal{A}_d$ is as follows:

$[A]$	eigenvalue	multiplicity	
$[A_{p,q}]$	$k^2 + k + l^2 + l$	$2(2k+1)(2l+1)$	$k \geq 1, l \geq 0$
	$k^2 + k + l^2 + l$	$2(2k+1)(2l+1)$	$k \geq 0, l \geq 1$
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2(2k+1)(2l+1)$	$k \geq p-1 , l \geq q $
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2(2k+1)(2l+1)$	$k \geq p+1 , l \geq q $
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2(2k+1)(2l+1)$	$k \geq p , l \geq q-1 $
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2(2k+1)(2l+1)$	$k \geq p , l \geq q+1 $

Multiplicities for repeated eigenvalues should be added. It is straightforward to keep track of our $\text{Spin}(3)$ -actions throughout [22] Appendix A. The action of $\text{Spin}(3)$ on \mathcal{A}_d induces actions on the eigenspaces as follows:

$[A, \sigma]$	eigenvalue	eigenspace	
$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$	$k^2 + k + l^2 + l$	$2V_k \otimes V_l$	$k \geq 1, l \geq 0$
	$k^2 + k + l^2 + l$	$2V_k \otimes V_l$	$k \geq 0, l \geq 1$
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2V_k \otimes V_l$	$k \geq p-1 , l \geq q $
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2V_k \otimes V_l$	$k \geq p+1 , l \geq q $
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2V_k \otimes V_l$	$k \geq p , l \geq q-1 $
	$k^2 + k - p^2 + l^2 + l - q^2$	$2V_k \otimes V_l$	$k \geq p , l \geq q+1 $

$$\begin{array}{llll}
[A_{0,0}, \omega] & k^2 + k + l^2 + l & 2V_k \otimes V_l \otimes V_1 & k \geq 1, l \geq 0 \\
& k^2 + k + l^2 + l & 2V_k \otimes V_l \otimes V_1 & k \geq 0, l \geq 1.
\end{array}$$

Here V_k denotes the spin- k representation of $\text{Spin}(3)$. The $\text{Spin}(3)$ -invariant subspaces of the above eigenspaces give the spectral decomposition of $H_A + d_A d_A^*$ on $T_A \mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$:

$[A, \sigma]$	eigenvalue	multiplicity	
$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ with $ p > q $	$2k^2 + 2k$	4	$k \geq 1$
	$p^2 - 2 p - q^2$	2	
	$p^2 + 2 p - q^2$	6	
	$2k^2 + 2k - p^2 - q^2$	8	$k \geq p + 1$
$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ with $ p = q \geq 1$	$2k^2 + 2k$	4	$k \geq 1$
	$2 p $	4	
	$2k^2 + 2k - 2p^2$	8	$k \geq p + 1$
$[A_{0,0}, \tau_{0,0}]$	$2k^2 + 2k$	12	$k \geq 1$
$[A_{0,0}, \omega]$	$2k^2 + 2k$	4	$k \geq 1$
	2	4	
	$2k^2$	8	$k \geq 2$.

The spectrum is unchanged if we replace (p, q) by (q, p) . Therefore we may assume that $|p| \geq |q|$. Arguing as above, one sees that the spectral decomposition of Δ_A on $\Omega^0(\text{Ad } P_d)^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ is as follows:

$[A, \sigma]$	eigenvalue	multiplicity	
$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ with $ p \geq q $ and $ p \geq 1$	$2k^2 + 2k$	1	$k \geq 0$
	$2k^2 + 2k - p^2 - q^2$	2	$k \geq p $
$[A_{0,0}, \tau_{0,0}]$	$2k^2 + 2k$	3	$k \geq 0$
$[A_{0,0}, \omega]$	$2k^2 + 2k$	1	$k \geq 1$
	$2k^2$	2	$k \geq 1$.

After removing the zero eigenspace we have the spectral decomposition of $d_A d_A^*$ on $T_A(\mathcal{G}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)} \cdot A)$. Removing this from the spectral decomposition of $H_A + d_A d_A^*$ on $T_A \mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ we finally get the spectral decomposition of H_A on $T_A^\perp(\mathcal{G}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)} \cdot A)$:

$[A, \sigma]$	eigenvalue	multiplicity	
$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ with $ p > q $	$2k^2 + 2k$	3	$k \geq 1$
	$p^2 - 2 p - q^2$	2	
	$p^2 + 2 p - q^2$	4	
	$2k^2 + 2k - p^2 - q^2$	6	$k \geq p + 1$

$[A_{p,q}, \tau_{p,q}]$ with $ p = q \geq 1$	$2k^2 + 2k$	3	$k \geq 1$
	$2 p $	2	
	$2k^2 + 2k - 2p^2$	6	$k \geq p + 1$
$[A_{0,0}, \tau_{0,0}]$	$2k^2 + 2k$	9	$k \geq 1$
$[A_{0,0}, \omega]$	$2k^2 + 2k$	3	$k \geq 1$
	2	2	
	$2k^2$	6	$k \geq 2$.

The only nonpositive eigenvalues are -1 in the case $|p| = |q| + 1$ and 0 in the case $|p| = 2, q = 0$. Both have multiplicity 2. The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Corollary 2. Let d be an even integer $\neq \pm 2, \pm 4$. Then there exist nonnegative integral weights w_+ and w_- , as in Lemma 4.1, with $w_+, w_- \neq 0, 1$, $(w_+, w_-) \neq (2, 2)$ and $d = (w_+^2 - w_-^2)/2$. By Lemma 4.2 there are exactly two $\mathfrak{g}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ -orbits of $U(1)$ -reducible Yang-Mills connections in $\mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$. By Lemma 4.3 the Hessian of the Yang-Mills functional is positive definite on the normal bundles of these orbits. It then follows from Theorem 4, using “the mountain pass lemma” argument, that there exists a Yang-Mills connection in $\mathcal{A}_{w_+, w_-}^{\text{Spin}(3)}$ with energy greater than the energy of the two reducible ones. This Yang-Mills connection has to be irreducible non-(anti-)selfdual and has second Chern number d . \square

§5. Proof of Corollary 3

Proof of Corollary 3. The minimum of $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ is 0, and the minimizers are the pairs (A, Φ) where A has covariantly constant curvature and $\Phi = *F_A$. Such connections are $U(1)$ -reducible; the reduction is given by the spectral decomposition of F_A . The $U(1)$ -bundles over S^2 are classified by the first Chern number. On each such bundle there is a unique connection with covariantly constant curvature. These connections on the $U(1)$ -bundles with first Chern numbers k and $-k$ give the same $SU(2)$ -connection. Thus the minima of $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ are naturally indexed by nonnegative integers k . This completes the proof of Part a).

The Hopf fibration gives a free action $\rho : SO(2) \times S^3 \rightarrow S^3$. Here we take S^3 to be the 3-sphere of radius 2. Then the quotient space is the 2-sphere of radius 1. There is a unique principal $SU(2)$ -bundle P over S^3 . The action ρ lifts in a unique way, up to gauge equivalence, to an action $\sigma : SO(2) \times P \rightarrow P$. Thus we omit the index i and write $\mathcal{A}^{SO(2)}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^{SO(2)}$. It is a straightforward calculation to verify that, apart from

a constant, $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ is the dimensional reduction of \mathcal{YM} under this action. In particular, the space of pairs (A, Φ) over S^2 can be identified with $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}$ and the group of gauge transformations over S^2 can be identified with $\mathcal{G}^{\text{SO}(2)}$. Thus Condition C for $\mathcal{YM}\mathcal{H}$ over S^2 is equivalent to strong Condition C for \mathcal{YM} on $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}$. We will state the rest of the proof in terms of \mathcal{YM} on $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}^{\text{SO}(2)}$.

We need a slight extension of the general theory. The following theorem is proven exactly the same way as Theorem 2.

Theorem 2'. *Let $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ with $a < b$. Assume that all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 . Then strong Condition C holds for every Palais-Smale sequence $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$, with $\mathcal{YM}[A_k] \in [a, b]$ for all k , if and only if every Yang-Mills connection $[A] \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$, with $\mathcal{YM}[A] \in [a, b]$, has only finitely many preimages in $\mathcal{A}_i^H/\mathcal{G}_i^H$ under the map π_i .*

Combining this with Proposition 3.1 we get the following.

Theorem 3'. *Let $a, b \in [0, \infty)$ with $a < b$. If all orbits of the action of H on X have codimension ≤ 3 , G is semisimple, and every Yang-Mills connection $A \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ with $\mathcal{YM}[A] \in [a, b]$ is irreducible, then strong Condition C holds for any Palais-Smale sequence $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_i^H$ with $\mathcal{YM}[A_k] \in [a, b]$ for all k .*

The trivial connection is the only reducible $\text{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills connection over S^3 . Part b) thus follows from Theorem 3'.

Finally we sketch the proof of Part c). Let \mathcal{G}_0 be the subgroup of \mathcal{G} consisting of gauge transformations that act trivially on the fiber over a fixed point in S^3 . Let $\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G}^{\text{SO}(2)}$ consisting of gauge transformations that act trivially on the fibers over a fixed $\text{SO}(2)$ -orbit in S^3 . Then $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$ are Hilbert manifolds. Using the above form of strong Condition C one can then establish a Morse theory for a small perturbation of \mathcal{YM} on $\mathcal{YM}^{-1}([\varepsilon, \infty)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

On the other hand, Condition C holds for \mathcal{YM} on $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_0$. The trivial connection is a nondegenerate critical point of \mathcal{YM} on $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_0$. Hence there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the trivial connection is the only critical point of \mathcal{YM} in $\mathcal{YM}^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]) \subseteq \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_0$. Thus the preimages of the trivial connection are the only critical points of \mathcal{YM} in $\mathcal{YM}^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$. These are also nondegenerate; it is not hard to determine the spectral decompositions of the Hessians. It follows from Part a) that the trivial connection in $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}_0$ has countably infinitely many preimages in $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$. This also follows from Proposition 3.1; for the trivial connection the sequence (3.3) is

$$1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SO}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(2) \rightarrow 1.$$

We conclude that $\mathcal{YM}^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$ is the disjoint union of countably infinitely many 0-cells.

This gives a decomposition of $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$ as a CW-complex with infinitely many 0-cells. The Hilbert manifold $\mathcal{A}^{\text{SO}(2)}/\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{SO}(2)}$ is connected, so there then have to be infinitely many 1-cells. These are given by critical points of index 1. By the above form of strong Condition C, they can not have uniformly bounded energy. \square

Appendix A. Morrey spaces

The Morrey space $L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in [0, \infty)$, is defined as the space of all $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\sup_{\rho \in (0, 1]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{d-n} \|f\|_{L^p(B_\rho(x))}^p < \infty.$$

It is a Banach space with norm

$$(A.1) \quad \|f\|_{L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p + \sup_{\rho \in (0, 1]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{d-n} \|f\|_{L^p(B_\rho(x))}^p.$$

The Morrey space $L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with k a positive integer, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the space of all $f \in L^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\partial_x^\alpha f \in L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all α with $|\alpha| \leq k$. It is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_x^\alpha f\|_{L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p.$$

The Morrey space $f \in L_d^{-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with k a positive integer, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the space of all $f \in L^{-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which there exist $g_\alpha \in L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $|\alpha| \leq k$, such that

$$(A.2) \quad f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \partial_x^\alpha g_\alpha.$$

It is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{L_d^{-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p = \inf_{(g_\alpha)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|g_\alpha\|_{L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p$$

where we take infimum over all collections $(g_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq k}$ of functions in $L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfy (A.2). Note that $L_0^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{k,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $d \geq n$.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the Morrey space $L_d^{k,p}(\Omega)$, with k an integer, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the space of all $f \in L^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that there exists $g \in L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $f = g|_\Omega$. For negative k the restriction is to be understood in the sense of distributions. It is a Banach space with norm

$$(A.3) \quad \|f\|_{L_d^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \inf_g \|g\|_{L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where we take infimum over all $g \in L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f = g|_{\Omega}$.

Let X be an n -dimensional smooth compact Riemannian manifold. Then the Morrey space $L_d^p(X)$, with k a positive integer and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the space of all $f \in L^p(X)$ such that the norm

$$(A.4) \quad \|f\|_{L_d^p(X)}^p = \|f\|_{L^p(X)}^p + \sup_{\rho \in (0, \rho_0/2]} \sup_{x \in X} \rho^{d-n} \|f\|_{L^p(B_\rho(x))}^p$$

is finite; here ρ_0 is the injectivity radius of X . This norm is invariant under isometries of X . Let E be a Euclidean vector bundle over X . Let A be a smooth connection on E . Then the Morrey space $L_d^{k,p}(X, E)$, with k a nonnegative integer, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the space of all $s \in L^{k,p}(X, E)$ such that the norm

$$(A.5) \quad \|s\|_{L_{d,A}^{k,p}(X,E)}^p = \sum_{j=0}^k \left\| (|\nabla_A^j s|) \right\|_{L_d^p(X)}^p$$

is finite. The Morrey space $L_d^{-k,p}(X, E)$, with k a positive integer, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the space of all $s \in L^{-k,p}(X, E)$ such that the norm

$$(A.6) \quad \|s\|_{L_{d,A}^{-k,p}(X,E)}^p = \inf_{(t_j)} \sum_{j=0}^k \left\| (|t_j|) \right\|_{L_d^p(X)}^p$$

is finite; here we take infimum over all (t_0, \dots, t_k) such that $t_j \in L_d^p(X, (T^*X)^{\otimes j} \otimes E)$ and $s = \sum_{j=0}^k (\nabla_A^*)^j t_j$. Different smooth connections A give equivalent norms.

We can get equivalent norms for $L_d^{k,p}(X, E)$ as follows. Choose an atlas of good local coordinate charts $\Phi_\nu : \Omega_\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and good lifts $\Psi_\nu : E|_{\Omega_\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^N$. Here good means that the maps can be extended smoothly to neighborhoods of $\overline{\Omega}_\nu$. Then

$$(A.7) \quad \|s\|^p = \sum_{\nu} \left\| (\Psi_\nu^{-1})^* s \right\|_{L_d^{k,p}(\Phi_\nu(\Omega_\nu), \mathbb{R}^N)}^p$$

is a norm for $L_d^{k,p}(X, E)$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Using this norm analysis in Morrey spaces on manifolds can be reduced to analysis in Morrey spaces on \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma A.1. *Let H be a compact Lie group that acts smoothly on X , in such a way that all H -orbits have codimension $\leq d$, and that acts smoothly on E covering the action on X . If $s \in L^{k,p}(X, E)$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$, is H -invariant, then $s \in L_d^{k,p}(X, E)$. If A is an H -invariant connection on E , then*

$$\|s\|_{L_{d,A}^{k,p}(X,E)} \leq c \|s\|_{L_A^{k,p}(X, \cdot)}.$$

The constant c does not depend on A and s .

We may assume that the connection A in the norms (A.5) and (A.6) is invariant under H . Then the norms (A.4)–(A.6) are invariant under the action of H .

Proof. One first shows that if Ω_1 is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , Ω_2 is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with compact closure contained in Ω_1 , and $f \in L^p(\Omega_1)$ only depends on (x_1, \dots, x_d) , then $f \in L_d^p(\Omega_2)$ and $\|f\|_{L_d^p(\Omega_2)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}$. The idea is that any ball of radius r in Ω_2 has the order of magnitude r^{d-n} disjoint translates in Ω_1 in the (x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n) directions and f has the same L^p -norm on all these balls; we leave the detailed verification to the reader.

Next consider the case of a compact manifold X and $k = 0$. In a neighborhood of each point on X there exist local coordinates such that points with the same (x_1, \dots, x_d) lie in the same H -orbit. The lemma then follows by applying the above estimate to these coordinate charts. For $k > 0$ the lemma follows by applying the case $k = 0$ to the functions $|\nabla_A^j s|$. For $k < 0$ the lemma follows by applying the case $k = 0$ to the functions $|t_j|$, once we observe that by averaging we may take the sections t_j in (A.6) to be H -invariant. \square

Lemma A.2. *Multiplication gives bounded linear maps*

$$L_d^p(X, E) \times L_d^q(X, F) \rightarrow L_d^r(X, E \otimes F)$$

for all $1 \leq p, q, r < \infty$ such that $1/p + 1/q = 1/r$.

Using the norms (A.7) we see that it suffices to show that multiplication gives bounded linear maps $L_d^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L_d^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_d^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$. That follows immediately from the Hölder inequality applied to \mathbb{R}^n and to the balls $B_\rho(x)$ in (A.1).

Lemma A.3. *Let $d \in (0, n)$. Then there are continuous embeddings*

$$L_d^{1,p}(X, E) \rightarrow \begin{cases} L_d^{p^*}(X, E) & \text{for } p \in (1, d) \\ C^{0,\alpha}(X, E) & \text{for } p \in (d, \infty) \end{cases}$$

where $1/p^* = 1/p - 1/d$ and $\alpha = 1 - d/p$.

Again it suffices to establish the analogous embedding on \mathbb{R}^n . The first embedding is due to D.R. Adams [1] Theorem 3.2; see also [4] Theorem 2. The second embedding is a classical result by C.B. Morrey; see [8] Chapter 3, Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 1.2.

Lemma A.4. *Let $d \in (0, n)$ and $p \in (1, d)$. Let $q \in [1, p^*)$ where $1/p^* = 1/p - 1/d$. Then the embedding $L_d^{1,p}(X, E) \rightarrow L_d^q(X, E)$ is compact.*

We have not found a reference for this particular result, so we include a sketch of the proof. It is of course similar to the proofs of other compact embedding theorems.

Proof. It suffices to show that if Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , then the embedding $L_d^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_d^q(\Omega)$ is compact. We may assume that $q \in [p, p^*)$. Let $\alpha = d/q - d/p^* \in (0, 1]$. We say that $f \in L_{d,\alpha}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $f \in L_d^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\sup_{0 < |h| \leq 1} |h|^{-\alpha} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_{L_d^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

This is a Banach space with norm

$$\|f\|_{L_{d,\alpha}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q = \|f\|_{L_d^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q + \sup_{0 < |h| \leq 1} |h|^{-\alpha q} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_{L_d^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q.$$

Using the identity $f(x) = c_n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n} \partial_j f(y) dy$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |h|^{-\alpha} (f(x+h) - f(x)) \\ &= c_n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h|^{-\alpha} ((x_j - y_j + h_j) |x - y + h|^{-n} - (x_j - y_j) |x - y|^{-n}) \partial_j f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now $|h|^{-\alpha} |(z_j + h_j) |z + h|^{-n} - z_j |z|^{-n}| \leq c(|z + h|^{1-n-\alpha} + |z|^{1-n-\alpha})$, so

$$|h|^{-\alpha} |f(x+h) - f(x)| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{1-n-\alpha} (|\nabla f(y)| + |\nabla f(y+h)|) dy.$$

It then follows from [1] Theorem 3.1 or [4] Theorem 2 that the right hand side, viewed as a function of x , is bounded in $L_d^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ uniformly in h . We conclude that there is a continuous embedding $L_d^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_{d,\alpha}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We define $L_{d,\alpha}^q(\Omega)$ by extension to \mathbb{R}^n as in (A.3). Then there is a continuous embedding $L_d^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{d,\alpha}^q(\Omega)$. It is straightforward to show that if Ω is bounded, then the embedding $L_{d,\alpha}^q(\Omega) \rightarrow L_d^q(\Omega)$ is compact. \square

Lemma A.5. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then any elliptic partial differential operator $L_d^{k+m,p}(X, E) \rightarrow L_d^{k,p}(X, F)$ of order m with smooth coefficients is a Fredholm operator.*

This follows from the estimates for singular integral operators on Morrey spaces due to J. Peetre [15] Theorem 1.1; see also [4] Theorem 3 and [19] Proposition 3.3.

Remark A.6. Elliptic boundary value problems have not been studied in Morrey spaces. However, it is clear that the classical treatment of the Neumann and Dirichlet problems for the Laplacian by even and odd reflection across the boundary carries over to Morrey spaces.

Remark A.7. In this paper we have worked with smooth connections and smooth gauge transformations. One can of course complete the space of connections and the group of gauge transformations in $L_3^{1,2}$ and $L_3^{2,2}$ respectively. It can be shown that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not dense in $L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $d \in [0, n)$. The closure of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $d \in [0, n)$ is the space $L_{d,0}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all $f \in L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f(\cdot + h) \rightarrow f(\cdot)$ in $L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $h \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is of course a Banach space with the same norm as $L_d^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the usual techniques, one can define $L_{d,0}^{k,p}(X, E)$, which then is the closure of $C^\infty(X, E)$ in $L_d^{k,p}(X, E)$. Thus the completions of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{G} are the space of connections in $L_{3,0}^{1,2}$ and the group of gauge transformations in $L_{3,0}^{2,2}$.

Appendix B. Homomorphisms of compact Lie groups

The purpose of this appendix is to prove the following lemma:

Lemma B.1. *If H is a semisimple compact Lie group and K is a compact Lie group, then there exist only finitely many K -conjugacy classes of smooth homomorphisms $H \rightarrow K$.*

This follows from the next two lemmas. For H and K compact Lie groups, we let $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ denote the set of continuous, and hence smooth, homomorphisms $H \rightarrow K$. We view $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ as a subset of the Banach manifold $C(H, K)$ of continuous maps $H \rightarrow K$. The group K acts on $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ and $C(H, K)$ by conjugation.

Lemma B.2. *If H is a semisimple compact Lie group and K is a compact Lie group, then $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ is a compact subset of $C(H, K)$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{k} be the Lie algebras of H and K . Let $\text{hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k})$ be the space of linear maps $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k})$ the set of Lie algebra homomorphisms $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$. Since \mathfrak{h} is semisimple, any homomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ takes values in the semisimple part \mathfrak{k}_{ss} of $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}}$. The Killing form $|X|^2 = -\text{Tr}(\text{ad } X)^2$ defines norms on \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{k}_{ss} . This gives a norm

$$|\lambda|^2 = \sup_{0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{h}} \frac{|\lambda X|^2}{|X|^2} = \sup_{0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{h}} \frac{\text{Tr}(\text{ad}(\lambda X))^2}{\text{Tr}(\text{ad } X)^2}$$

on $\text{hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}})$. Now $\text{ad} \circ \lambda$ gives a representation of \mathfrak{h} on \mathfrak{k} . We see that $|\lambda|$ only depends on (the isomorphism class of) this representation. It follows from the classification of representations of semisimple Lie algebras that there are only finitely many representations of \mathfrak{h} of given dimension. Hence $|\lambda|$ can assume only finitely many values. In particular, $\mathfrak{hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a bounded subset of $\text{hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}_{\text{ss}})$.

If $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, K)$, then $(\sigma_*)_1 \in \mathfrak{hom}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k})$. Thus we have a uniform bound for $(\sigma_*)_1$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, K)$. By left invariance, this gives a uniform bound for $(\sigma_*)_h$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, K)$ and $h \in H$. Finally, $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ is a closed subset of $C(H, K)$. It then follows from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem that $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ is a compact subset of $C(H, K)$. \square

Lemma B.3. (R.W. Richardson [13], D.H. Lee [12]) *If H and K are compact Lie groups, then $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ is a discrete union of K -orbits in $C(H, K)$.*

We include a simplified version of the proof in [12].

Proof. We have to show that any K -orbit in $\text{Hom}(H, K)$ has a tubular neighborhood in $C(H, K)$ that does not intersect any other K -orbits in $\text{Hom}(H, K)$. We write 1 for the identity elements in H and K . We also write 1 for the map $H \times H \rightarrow K$ that maps $H \times H$ to 1. Then $\text{Hom}(H, K) = T^{-1}(1)$ where the map

$$T : C(H, K) \rightarrow C(H \times H, K)$$

is defined as

$$T(\sigma)(h_1, h_2) = \sigma(h_1) \sigma(h_2) \sigma(h_1 h_2)^{-1}.$$

Formally the tangent space of $C(H, K)$ at σ is given by the null space of the differential $(T_*)_\sigma$.

Let $\sigma \in \text{Hom}(H, K)$. Then the K -orbit of σ is given by the range of the map

$$S : K \rightarrow C(H, K)$$

defined as

$$S(k)(h) = k \sigma(h) k^{-1}.$$

This orbit is a homogeneous K -space. Its tangent space at σ to the K -orbit of σ is given by the range of the differential $(S_*)_1$.

We identify the tangent space at any point in the Lie group K with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} by right translation. For any compact topological space \mathfrak{X} this gives an identification of the tangent space at any point in the Banach manifold $C(\mathfrak{X}, K)$ with the Banach space $C(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{k})$. Under these identifications, the differentials

$$\begin{aligned} (S_*)_1 &: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow C(H, \mathfrak{k}) \\ (T_*)_\sigma &: C(H, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow C(H \times H, \mathfrak{k}) \end{aligned}$$

are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (S_*)_1(\xi)(h) &= \xi - \text{Ad } \sigma(h) \xi \\ (T_*)_\sigma(\lambda)(h_1, h_2) &= \lambda(h_1) + \text{Ad } \sigma(h_1) \lambda(h_2) - \lambda(h_1 h_2). \end{aligned}$$

We see that $(S_*)_1 = -\delta_1$ and $(T_*)_\sigma = \delta_2$ where the linear maps

$$\delta_q : C(H^{q-1}, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow C(H^q, \mathfrak{k})$$

are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_q \mu(h_1, \dots, h_q) &= \text{Ad } \sigma(h_1) \mu(h_2, \dots, h_q) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (-1)^i \mu(h_1, \dots, h_i h_{i+1}, \dots, h_q) + (-1)^q \mu(h_1, \dots, h_{q-1}). \end{aligned}$$

A short calculation shows that the linear maps δ_q define a chain complex. Its cohomology groups $H_{\text{cont}}^q(H, \mathfrak{k})$ are known as the continuous cohomology groups of H with coefficients in the H -module \mathfrak{k} . We have assumed H to be compact, so we can define maps

$$s_q : C(H^q, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow C(H^{q-1}, \mathfrak{k})$$

by

$$s_q \mu(h_1, \dots, h_{q-1}) = (-1)^q \int_H \mu(h_1, \dots, h_{q-1}, h) dh,$$

where dh is the normalized Haar measure on H . Then a short calculation shows that

$$\begin{cases} s_1 \delta_1 = 1 - p \\ \delta_q s_q + s_{q+1} \delta_{q+1} = 1 \quad \text{for } q \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $p(\xi) = \int_H \text{Ad } \sigma(h) \xi \, dh$. The map p is a projection of \mathfrak{k} onto the fixed point set \mathfrak{k}^H . It follows that

$$H_{\text{cont}}^q(H, \mathfrak{k}) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{k}^H & \text{for } q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } q \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

That $H_{\text{cont}}^1(H, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$ tells us that the null space of $(T_*)_\sigma$ is the tangent space of the K -orbit of σ . That $H_{\text{cont}}^2(H, \mathfrak{k}) = 0$ tells us that $(T_*)_\sigma$ has closed range. It then follows from the implicit function theorem that the K -orbit of σ has a tubular neighborhood in $C(H, K)$ where $T \neq 1$ away from the K -orbit itself. \square

Continuous cohomology of Lie groups was introduced in [5]. For modern treatments, see [11] and the references listed there. Continuous cohomology is essentially group cohomology with continuous cochains. It is the natural cohomology theory for Lie groups.

References

- [1] D.R. Adams, *A note on Riesz potentials*, Duke Math. J. **42** (1975), 765–778.
- [2] G. Bor, *Yang-Mills fields which are not self-dual*, Comm. Math. Phys. **145** (1992), 393–410.
- [3] P.J. Braam and G. Matic, *The Smith conjecture in dimension four and equivariant gauge theory*, Forum Math. **5** (1993), 299–311.
- [4] F. Chiarenza and M. Frasca, *Morrey spaces and Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **7** (1987), 273–279.
- [5] W.T. van Est, *On the algebraic cohomology concepts in Lie groups I and II*, Indag. Math. **17** (1955), 225–233, 286–294.
- [6] R. Fintushel and R. Stern, *Pseudofree orbifolds*, Ann. of Math. **122** (1985), 335–364.
- [7] M. Furuta, *A remark on a fixed point of finite group action on S^4* , Topology **28** (1989), 35–38.
- [8] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1983.
- [9] U. Gritsch, *Morse theory for the Yang-Mills functional over equivariant four-manifolds and equivariant homotopy theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 1997.
- [10] ———, *Morse theory for the Yang-Mills functional via equivariant homotopy theory*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [11] A. Guichardet, *Cohomologie des groupes topologiques et des algèbres de Lie*, Cedric-Nathan, Paris, 1980.
- [12] D.H. Lee, *On deformations of homomorphisms of locally compact groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **191** (1974), 353–361.
- [13] A. Nijenhuis and R.W. Richardson, Jr., *Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 175–179.

- [14] T.H. Parker, *A Morse theory for equivariant Yang-Mills*, Duke Math. J. **66** (1992), 337–356.
- [15] J. Peetre, *On convolution operators leaving $L^{p,\lambda}$ spaces invariant*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **72** (1966), 295–304.
- [16] L. Sadun and J. Segert, *Non-self-dual Yang-Mills connections with quadrupole symmetry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **145** (1992), 363–391.
- [17] S. Sedlacek, *A direct method for minimizing the Yang-Mills functional*, Commun. Math. Phys. **86** (1982), 515–527.
- [18] C.H. Taubes, *Path-connected Yang-Mills moduli spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 337–392.
- [19] M.E. Taylor, *Analysis on Morrey spaces and applications to Navier-Stokes and other evolution equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17** (1992), 1407–1456.
- [20] K.K. Uhlenbeck, *Connections with L^p bounds on curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. **83** (1982), 31–42.
- [21] H. Urakawa, *Equivariant theory of Yang-Mills connections over Riemannian manifolds of cohomogeneity one*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **37** (1988), 753–788.
- [22] H.-Y. Wang, *The existence of nonminimal solutions to the Yang-Mills equation with group $SU(2)$ on $S^2 \times S^2$ and $S^1 \times S^3$* , J. Differential Geom. **34** (1991), 701–767.
- [23] D. Wilkins, *The Palais-Smale conditions for the Yang-Mills functional*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **108** (1988), 189–200.

Department of Mathematics, Göteborg University, S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

<http://www.math.chalmers.se/~jrade/>

jrade@math.chalmers.se

April 7, 2000