

# BLOCH'S CONJECTURE AND CHOW MOTIVES

MORIHIKO SAITO

RIMS Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan

Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective complex surface. J. Murre [7] constructed a decomposition of Chow motives for  $X$ , i.e. there exist mutually orthogonal idempotents  $\pi_i \in \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as correspondences for  $0 \leq i \leq 4$  such that  $\sum_i \pi_i$  is equal to the diagonal and the action of  $\pi_i$  on  $H^j(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is the identity for  $i = j$ , and vanishes otherwise. The decomposition is not uniquely characterized by the above properties. See also [8]. The theory of Chow motives would be rather complicated if the following condition is not satisfied:

(0.1) An idempotent of  $\mathrm{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is zero if so is its cohomology class.

Here we can also consider a stronger condition:

(0.2)  $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H^4(X \times X, \mathbb{Q}))$  is a nilpotent ideal.

This is related to Beilinson's conjectures [2]. If (0.2) is true, the uniqueness of the projectors modulo inner automorphisms can be proved due to Beilinson. See [7, 7.3].

Let  $h^i(X)$  denote the 'image' of the projector  $\pi_i$  in the motivic sense. Then  $h^2(X)$  carries the Albanese kernel and the Neron-Severi group as well as the transcendental cycles. S. Bloch [3] conjectured that the vanishing of the transcendental cycles (i.e. that of  $p_g$ ) would be equivalent to:

(0.3) The Albanese map is injective.

Note that the noninjectivity of the Albanese map in the case  $p_g \neq 0$  is a theorem of D. Mumford [6], and Bloch's conjecture is proved at least if  $X$  is not of general type [4]. See also [1], [13] for some more cases.

In [7] the second projector  $\pi_2$  is actually defined as the difference between the diagonal and the sum of the other projectors  $\pi_i$ . In the case  $p_g = 0$ , we can construct explicitly a projector  $\tilde{\pi}_2$  which is homologically equivalent to  $\pi_2$  and is orthogonal to the other projectors  $\pi_i$  ( $i \neq 2$ ). Then we can prove Bloch's conjecture if  $\tilde{\pi}_2$  coincides with  $\pi_2$  (module rational equivalence). So the conjecture is reduced to (0.1). Actually, we can show

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C30, 32S35.

*Key words and phrases.* Chow motive, Albanese kernel.

**0.4. Theorem.** *In the case  $p_g = 0$ , the above three conditions (0.1–3) are all equivalent, and the cube of the ideal in (0.2) is zero if it is nilpotent.*

The proof of  $(0.3) \Rightarrow (0.2)$  uses an argument similar to [3] together with the bijectivity of the cycle map in the divisor case. Combined with the above mentioned result of [4], we get

**0.5. Theorem.** *If  $p_g = 0$  and  $X$  is not of general type (or, if  $X$  is as in [1], [13]), the cube of the ideal in (0.2) is zero.*

We can show that the square of the ideal in (0.2) does not vanish if the irregularity  $q (= \dim \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^1))$  is nonzero.

In Sect. 1, we review Murre's decomposition of Chow motives, and prove  $(0.1) \Rightarrow (0.3)$ . In Sect. 2 we show  $(0.3) \Rightarrow (0.2)$  using a variant of the construction of [3].

Part of this work was done during my stay at the university of Leiden. I would like to thank J.P. Murre for useful discussions on Chow motives that have originated this work. I thank also the staff of the institute for the hospitality.

## 1. Chow motives

**1.1. Correspondences.** For smooth proper complex algebraic varieties  $X, Y$  such that  $X$  is purely  $n$ -dimensional, we define the group of correspondences with rational coefficients by

$$C^i(X, Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{CH}^{n+i}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

For  $\xi \in C^i(X, Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $\eta \in C^j(Y, Z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the composition is denoted by  $\eta \circ \xi \in C^{i+j}(X, Z)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . For  $\zeta \in \text{CH}^i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , let

$$(1.1.1) \quad \Gamma_{\zeta} \in C^i(pt, X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{CH}^i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

denote the element defined by  $\zeta$ . For a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_f$  the graph of  $f$  which belongs to  $C^0(Y, X)$ . Sometimes we will use the notation

$$(1.1.2) \quad f^* = \Gamma_f, \quad f_* = {}^t\Gamma_f.$$

Assume  $X, Y$  connected. By Hodge theory together with the Künneth decomposition and the duality, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(1.1.3) \quad \frac{\text{CH}^1(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}}{pr_1^* \text{CH}^1(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} + pr_2^* \text{CH}^1(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}} = \text{Hom}_{\text{HS}}(H^{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Q})(n-1), H^1(Y, \mathbb{Q})),$$

where the right-hand side is the group of morphisms of Hodge structures. See also [7], [12]. Let  $\xi \in \text{CH}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}, \xi' \in \text{CH}_0(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  with degree one. Then the left-hand side of (1.1.3) is isomorphic to

$$(1.1.4) \quad \{\Gamma \in C^{1-n}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \Gamma \circ \Gamma_{\xi} = 0 \text{ and } {}^t\Gamma_{\xi'} \circ \Gamma = 0\}.$$

**1.2. Murre's construction.** Let  $X$  be a connected smooth projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . We choose and fix an embedding of  $X$  into a projective space. Let  $l$  denote the multiplication by the hyperplane section class. Let  $C$  be the intersection of  $n - 1$  generic smooth hyperplane sections. (Note that  $[C] \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X)$  is independent of the choice of  $C$ .) By (1.1.3–4) there exists uniquely  $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}^1(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that

$$(1.2.1) \quad \Gamma \circ \Gamma_{\xi} = 0, \quad {}^t\Gamma_{\xi'} \circ \Gamma = 0,$$

$$(1.2.2) \quad \Gamma_* \circ l^{n-1} = \mathrm{id} \text{ on } H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

We have  ${}^t\Gamma = \Gamma$  if  $\xi = \xi'$ . Note that (1.2.1) implies

$$(1.2.3) \quad \Gamma_* : H^{i+2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Q})(n-1) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}) \text{ vanishes for } i \neq 1.$$

Let  $i : C \rightarrow X$  denote the inclusion morphism. Let

$$\pi' = \Gamma \circ i_* \circ i^*,$$

where  $i_* = {}^t\Gamma_i$ ,  $i^* = \Gamma_i$  as in (1.1.2). Following [12] we define

$$\pi_0 = \Gamma_{[X]} \circ {}^t\Gamma_{\xi'}, \quad \pi_1 = \pi' \circ (1 - {}^t\pi'/2), \quad \pi_{2n-1} = {}^t\pi_1, \quad \pi_{2n} = {}^t\pi_0,$$

where 1 denotes the diagonal of  $X$ . Then

$$(1.2.4) \quad (\pi_i)_* | H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \delta_{i,j} \mathrm{id} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, 2n-1, 2n.$$

If  $n = 2$ , we define  $\pi_2 = 1 - \sum_{i \neq 2} \pi_i$ .

**1.3. Theorem.** (Murre [7]).  $\pi_i \circ \pi_j = \delta_{i,j} \pi_i$  for  $\{i, j\} \subset \{0, 1, 2n-1, 2n\}$ .

*Outline of proof.* We recall here some arguments of the proof which will be needed in the proof of the main theorems. See [7], [12] for details.

We have  $\Gamma \circ i_* \circ i^* \circ \Gamma = \Gamma$  by (1.1.3–4), and  ${}^t\Gamma \circ \Gamma = 0$  in  $\mathrm{CH}^{2-n}(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}$ , because it is cohomologically zero by (1.2.3). So we get

$$(1.3.1) \quad \pi'^2 = \pi', \quad {}^t\pi' \circ \pi' = 0.$$

Then we can verify

$$(1.3.2) \quad \pi_1^2 = \pi_1, \quad \pi_{2n-1} \circ \pi_1 = 0, \quad \pi_1 \circ \pi_{2n-1} = 0.$$

We have furthermore

$$(1.3.3) \quad \pi_0 \circ \pi' = \pi_{2n} \circ \pi' = \pi' \circ \pi_0 = \pi' \circ \pi_{2n} = 0$$

Indeed,  $\pi_0 \circ \pi' = 0$  by (1.2.1), and  $\pi' \circ \pi_0 = \pi_{2n} \circ \pi' = 0$ , because  $\pi' \circ \Gamma_{[X]} \in C^0(pt, X)$  is cohomologically zero (and similarly for  ${}^t\Gamma_{[X]} \circ \pi'$ ). Finally the vanishing of  $\pi' \circ \pi_{2n}$  follows from  $i_* \circ i^* \circ \Gamma_{\xi'} \in C^{2n-1}(pt, X) = 0$ .

Then we can verify the remaining assertions.

*Remark.* The Albanese map  $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Alb}_X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  induces an isomorphism

$$(\pi_{2n-1})_* \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Alb}_X(\mathbb{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

If  $n = \dim X = 2$ ,  $(\pi_2)_* \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  coincides with the kernel of the Albanese map with  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients. See [7, 7.1].

**1.4. Construction of  $\tilde{\pi}_2$ .** Assume  $n = \dim X = 2$  and  $p_g = 0$ . Let  $C_i$  be irreducible curves on  $X$  such that the cohomology classes of  $[C_i]$  form a basis of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})(1)$ . Let  $\tilde{C}_i$  be the normalization of  $C_i$ , and  $\tilde{C}$  the disjoint union of  $\tilde{C}_i$  with  $\tilde{i} : \tilde{C} \rightarrow X$  the canonical morphism. Let  $A = (A_{i,j})$  be the intersection matrix of the  $C_i$  (i.e.  $A_{i,j} = C_i \cdot C_j$ ). Let  $B = (B_{i,j})$  be the inverse of  $A$ .

Let  $\Gamma_B \in C^{-1}(\tilde{C}, \tilde{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{CH}^0(\tilde{C} \times \tilde{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  defined by the matrix  $B$ . Let

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{i}_* \circ \Gamma_B \circ \tilde{i}^*.$$

Since the composition

$$\tilde{i}^* \circ \tilde{i}_* : H^0(\tilde{C}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{C}, \mathbb{Q})(1)$$

is given by the matrix  $A$  (using the projection formula), we see that

$$(1.4.1) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_* | H^i(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \delta_{i,2} id.$$

(The assertion for  $i \neq 2$  follows from the definition of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ .) Note that  ${}^t\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}$  because  $B$  is symmetric. We define

$$\tilde{\pi}_2 = (1 - \pi') \circ \tilde{\Gamma} \circ (1 - {}^t\pi')$$

Then the symmetry  ${}^t\tilde{\pi}_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2$  is clear.

**1.5. Proposition.**  $\tilde{\pi}_2$  is an idempotent, and is orthogonal to  $\pi_i$  for  $i \neq 2$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\pi' \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 = 0$  by (1.3.1). Since

$$\Gamma_B \circ \tilde{i}^* \circ \Gamma \in C^{-2}(X, \tilde{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{CH}^0(X \times \tilde{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is cohomologically zero by (1.2.3), we get

$$(1.5.1) \quad \tilde{\Gamma} \circ \pi' = 0, \quad \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi' = 0,$$

using (1.3.1). Then we have  ${}^t\pi' \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ {}^t\pi' = 0$  by transpose, and

$$(1.5.2) \quad \pi_i \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 = \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \pi_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 3.$$

For  $i = 0, 4$ , we have  $\tilde{\Gamma} \circ \pi_0 = \tilde{\Gamma} \circ \pi_4 = 0$  by  $\Gamma_B \circ \tilde{i}^* \circ \Gamma_{[X]} \in C^{-1}(pt, \tilde{C}) = 0$  and  $\tilde{i}^* \circ \Gamma_{\xi'} \in C^2(pt, \tilde{C}) = 0$ . Then (1.5.2) holds also for  $i = 0, 4$  using (1.3.3), because  ${}^t\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}$ .

Finally we have

$$(1.5.3) \quad \tilde{\pi}_1^2 = \tilde{\pi}_2.$$

Indeed,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is an idempotent, because  $\Gamma_B \circ \tilde{i}^* \circ \tilde{i}_* \circ \Gamma_B \in \mathrm{CH}^0(\tilde{C} \times \tilde{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  coincides with  $\Gamma_B$  (cohomologically). Then (1.5.3) follows from (1.5.1) and (1.3.1).

**1.6.** *Proof of (0.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (0.3).* Applying (0.1) to  $1 - (\sum_{i \neq 2} \pi_i + \tilde{\pi}_2)$ , we get  $\tilde{\pi}_2 = \pi_2$  by (0.1). So it is enough to show  $(\tilde{\pi}_2)_* \mathrm{CH}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$  by [7, 7.1] (because the Albanese kernel is torsion free by [9]). See Remark after (1.3). By the definition of  $\tilde{\pi}_2$ , it is enough to show  $\tilde{i}^* \mathrm{CH}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ . But this is clear.

## 2. Cycle maps and correspondences

**2.1.** Let  $X$  be a smooth proper complex variety with structure morphism  $a_X : X \rightarrow pt$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  denote the Tate Hodge structure of type  $(-j, -j)$  (see [5]) which is naturally identified with a mixed Hodge Module on  $pt$  (see [10, (4.2.12)]). Then we have a cycle map

$$(2.1.1) \quad cl : \mathrm{CH}^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{2p}(a_X^* \mathbb{Q}, a_X^* \mathbb{Q}(p)) = \mathrm{Ext}^{2p}(\mathbb{Q}, (a_X)_* a_X^* \mathbb{Q}(p)),$$

where  $\mathrm{Ext}$  is taken in the derived category of mixed Hodge Modules on  $X$  or  $pt$ , and the last isomorphism follows from the adjoint relation. See (4.5.18) of loc. cit. The target is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Deligne cohomology, and (2.1.1) is an isomorphism for  $p = 1$  as well-known. (This cycle map coincides with Deligne's cycle map which uses local cohomology, and can also be obtained by using the theory of Bloch and Ogus as was done by Beilinson and Gillet.)

Let  $X, Y$  be smooth proper complex varieties. Assume  $X$  is purely  $n$ -dimensional. Then the cycle map induces

$$(2.1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} cl : C^i(X, Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{2n+2i}(\mathbb{Q}, (a_{X \times Y})_* a_{X \times Y}^* \mathbb{Q}(n+i)) \\ &= \mathrm{Ext}^{2i}((a_X)_* a_X^* \mathbb{Q}, (a_Y)_* a_Y^* \mathbb{Q}(i)). \end{aligned}$$

See [11, II]. This is an isomorphism for  $n+i = 1$ . By (3.3) of loc. cit, (2.1.2) is compatible with the composition of correspondences.

**2.2. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a connected smooth proper complex surface, and  $\Gamma \in \mathrm{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Assume  $\Gamma$  is homologically equivalent to zero, and the Albanese map for  $X$  is injective. Then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$  where  $\Gamma_1$  is supported on  $D \times X$  for a curve  $D$  on  $X$ , and  $\Gamma_2 = [X] \times \xi$  for  $\xi \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})_X$  denote a constant variation of Hodge structure on  $X$  such that the fibers are  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Q}_X)$ . This is identified with the direct image of  $\mathbb{Q}_{X \times X}$  by the first projection. Since the restriction of  $\Gamma$  to  $\{x\} \times X$  is homologically equivalent to zero for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\Gamma$  determines a normal function

$$e \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_X, H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})_X(2)),$$

where  $\text{Ext}$  is taken in the derived category of mixed Hodge Modules (or variations of mixed Hodge structures by [10, 3.27]). Note that  $e$  can be identified with a section of an abelian variety such that the fibers are the Albanese variety of  $X$ , if we replace rational coefficients with integral coefficients.

By the adjoint relation for  $a_X : X \rightarrow pt$ , we have a short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}, H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})(2)) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{Q}_X, H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})_X(2)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}, H^1(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H^3(X, \mathbb{Q})(2)) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Ext}$  and  $\text{Hom}$  are taken in the category of mixed Hodge structures. Since  $\Gamma$  is homologically equivalent to zero, the image of  $e$  in the last term is zero, and hence  $e$  comes from the first term, i.e. it is constant. So there exists  $\xi \in \text{CH}_0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}^0$  such that  $e$  is the image of  $[X] \times \xi$ . Then replacing  $\Gamma$  with  $\Gamma - [X] \times \xi$ , we may assume  $e = 0$ .

Let  $k$  be a finitely generated subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $X$  and  $\Gamma$  are defined over  $k$ , i.e. there exist a smooth proper  $k$ -variety  $X_k$  and  $\Gamma_k \in \text{CH}^2(X_k \times_k X_k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $X = X_k \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Gamma = \Gamma_k \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $K = k(X_k)$  the function field of  $X_k$ , and  $X_K$  the generic fiber of the first projection of  $X_k \times_k X_k$ . Let  $\Gamma_K \in \text{CH}^2(X_K)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  denote the restriction of  $\Gamma$ . Then it is enough to show  $\Gamma_K = 0$ .

We choose an embedding  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  extending  $k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $e = 0$ , the image of  $\Gamma_K$  by the Albanese map is zero. So  $\Gamma_K \otimes_K \mathbb{C} \in \text{CH}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is zero by the injectivity of the Albanese map. Then the assertion follows from the injectivity of  $\text{CH}^2(X_K)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{CH}^2(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**2.3. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a connected smooth proper complex surface such that the Albanese map for  $X$  is injective. Then the cube of the ideal in (0.2) is zero.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma \in \text{CH}^2(X \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  that is homologically equivalent to zero. Then  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$  such that  $\Gamma_1$  is supported on  $D \times X$  and  $\Gamma_2 = [X] \times \xi$  as in (2.2). We have to show

$$(2.3.1) \quad \Gamma_i \circ \Gamma' \circ \Gamma'' = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

for any  $\Gamma', \Gamma'' \in C^0(X, X)$  which are homologically equivalent to zero.

The assertion for  $i = 2$  is easy. because  $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_{\xi} \circ {}^t\Gamma_{[X]}$ , and  ${}^t\Gamma_X \circ \Gamma' \circ \Gamma'' \in C^{-2}(X, pt)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{CH}^0(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is homologically equivalent to zero.

For  $i = 1$ , let  $Y$  denote the normalization of  $D$  with  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  the canonical morphism. Then there exists  $\Gamma'_1 \in C^{-1}(X, Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $\Gamma_1 = f_* \circ \Gamma'_1$ . By the injectivity of the cycle map in the divisor case, it is enough to show that the image of  $\Gamma'_1 \circ \Gamma' \circ \Gamma''$  by (2.1.2) is zero. Since  $\Gamma', \Gamma''$  are homologically equivalent to zero, and  $\text{Ext}^2$  vanishes, the assertion follows by using for example a (noncanonical) decomposition

$$(a_X)_* a_X^* \mathbb{Q} \simeq \oplus_i H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})[-i]$$

in the derived category of mixed Hodge Modules on  $pt$  (or equivalently, of graded-polarizable mixed Hodge structures). This completes the proof of (2.3).

## References

- [1] R. Barlow, Rational equivalence of zero cycles for some more surfaces with  $p_g = 0$ , *Inv. Math.* 79 (1985), 303–308.
- [2] A. Beilinson, Height pairing between algebraic cycles, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 1–26.
- [3] S. Bloch, Lectures on algebraic cycles, *Duke University Mathematical series* 4, Durham, 1980.
- [4] S. Bloch, A. Kas and D. Lieberman, Zero cycles on surfaces with  $p_g = 0$ , *Compos. Math.* 33 (1976), 135–145.
- [5] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge I, *Actes Congrès Intern. Math.*, 1970, vol. 1, 425–430 : II, *Publ. Math. IHES*, 40 (1971), 5–57; III *ibid.*, 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [6] D. Mumford, Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 9 (1969), 195–204.
- [7] J.P. Murre, On the motive of an algebraic surface, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 409 (1990), 190–204.
- [8] ———, On a conjectural filtration on Chow groups of an algebraic variety, *Indag. Math.* 4 (1993), 177–201.
- [9] A. Roitman, The torsion in the group of zero cycles modulo rational equivalence, *Ann. Math.* 111 (1980), 553–569.
- [10] M. Saito, Mixed Hodge Modules, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [11] ———, Hodge conjecture and mixed motives, I, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 53 (1991), 283–303; II, in *Lect. Notes in Math.*, vol. 1479, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 196–215.
- [12] A. J. Scholl, Classical Motives, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 55 (1994), Part 1, 163–187.
- [13] C. Voisin, Sur les zéro cycles de certaines hypersurfaces munies d'un automorphisme, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa* 19 (4) (1992), 473–492.

Feb. 1, 2000