

The inverse problem for perturbed harmonic oscillator on the half-line

Dmitry Chelkak* and Evgeny Korotyaev†

14th November 2018

Abstract

We consider the perturbed harmonic oscillator $T_D\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi$, $\psi(0) = 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $q \in \mathbf{H}_+ = \{q', xq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$ is a real-valued potential. We prove that the mapping $q \mapsto \text{spectral data} = \{\text{eigenvalues of } T_D\} \oplus \{\text{norming constants}\}$ is one-to-one and onto. The complete characterization of the set of spectral data which corresponds to $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ is given. Moreover, we solve the similar inverse problem for the family of boundary conditions $\psi'(0) = b\psi(0)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

1 Introduction

Consider the Schrödinger operator

$$H = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{x}^2} + \mathbf{x}^2 + q(|\mathbf{x}|), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

acting in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We set $x = |\mathbf{x}| \geq 0$ and assume q is a real-valued bounded function. The operator H has pure point spectrum. Using the standard transformation $u(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow xu(\mathbf{x})$ and expansion in spherical harmonics, we obtain that H is unitary equivalent to a direct sum of the Schrödinger operators acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The first operator from this sum is given by

$$T_D\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi, \quad \psi(0) = 0, \quad x \geq 0.$$

The second is $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + \frac{2}{x^2} + q(x)$ etc. Below we consider the simplest case, i.e., the operator T_D . In our paper we assume that

$$q \in \mathbf{H}_+ = \left\{ q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : q', xq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \right\}.$$

*Correspondence author. Dept. of Math. Analysis, Math. Mech. Faculty, St.Petersburg State University. Universitetskij pr. 28, Staryj Petergof, 198504 St.Petersburg, Russia. Partly supported by grants VNP Minobrazovaniya 3.1-4733, RFFR 03-01-00377 and NSh-2266.2003.1. E-mail: delta4@math.spbu.ru

†Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin. Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany. Partly supported by DFG project BR691/23-1. E-mail: evgeny@math.hu-berlin.de

This class of potentials is convenient to solve the inverse problem as it was shown in [CKK2], which is devoted to the analogous problem on the whole real line. Recall that the complete characterization of the set of spectral data that corresponds to potentials $q \in L^2$ is unknown.

Define the unperturbed operator $T_D^0 \psi = -\psi'' + x^2 \psi$, $\psi(0) = 0$. The spectrum $\sigma(T_D)$ of T_D is the increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{2n+1} = \lambda_{2n+1}^0 + o(1)$, $n \geq 0$, where $\lambda_{2n+1}^0 = 4n+3$, $n \geq 0$, are the eigenvalues of T_D^0 . The spectrum $\sigma(T_D)$ does not determine $q(x)$ uniquely, see Theorems 2.2 and 2.3. Then what does the isospectral set

$$\text{Iso}_D(q) = \{p \in \mathbf{H}_+ : \lambda_{2n+1}(p) = \lambda_{2n+1}(q) \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}$$

of all potentials p with the same Dirichlet spectrum as q look like?

We come to the inverse problem. It has two parts: i) to describe the set $\text{Iso}_D(q)$; ii) to characterize all sequences of real numbers which arise as the Dirichlet spectra of $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. In order to describe $\text{Iso}_D(q)$, we need "additional" spectral data. Below we consider two choices of such data:

1) The Neumann spectrum $\sigma(T_N)$ of $q(x)$, where $T_N \psi = -\psi'' + x^2 \psi + q(x)\psi$, $\psi'(0) = 0$. The spectrum $\sigma(T_N)$ is the increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{2n} = \lambda_{2n}^0 + o(1)$, where $\lambda_{2n}^0 = 4n+1$, $n \geq 0$. The corresponding inverse spectral problem $q \mapsto \{\lambda_{2n}\}_{n=0}^\infty \cup \{\lambda_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is equivalent to the inverse spectral problem on the whole real line with even potentials $q(x) = q(-x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Theorem 2.2 and Sect. 3).

2) The sequence of the so-called norming constants for eigenfunctions of T_D . The corresponding inverse problem is the main point of our paper (see Theorem 2.3 and Sect. 4).

We also consider the inverse problem for the family of operators $\{T_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$T_b \psi = -\psi'' + x^2 \psi + q(x)\psi, \quad \psi'(0) = b\psi(0), \quad x \geq 0,$$

see Theorem 2.4 and Sect. 5. Note that the analysis of the whole family $\{T_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ is simpler than the case of the fixed constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$. It is similar to the Sturm-Liouville problem on the unit interval ([IT], [IMT], [RT]). We give the explicit expression of b in terms of spectral data in Theorem 2.5.

Our approach is a generalization of the method applied in [CKK2] and [PT] (devoted to the inverse Dirichlet problem on $[0, 1]$). There is a big difference (see e.g. [GS]) between the case of the whole real line and the case of the half-line. The main point in the inverse problem for the perturbed harmonic oscillator T_D on \mathbb{R}_+ is the characterization of "additional" spectral data, i.e. the characterization of the set $\text{Iso}_D(q)$ (see [GS] about the connectedness of this set in various classes of potentials). We introduce the standard norming constants $s_{2n+1}(q)$ by (2.5), the same way as in [CKK2], [PT]. Unfortunately, we have two problems: i) the sequence $\{s_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ doesn't belong to a "proper" Hilbert space; ii) the eigenvalues and the norming constants are not "independent coordinates" in the space of potentials.

Roughly speaking, the set of all sequences $\{s_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $p \in \text{Iso}_D(q)$, essentially depends on the spectrum $\{\lambda_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Recall that in the case of the Sturm-Liouville operators $-y'' + q(x)y$ on $[0, 1]$ with the mixed boundary conditions $y(0) = y'(1) = 0$ ¹ (see [K2]) the eigenvalues and the norming constants are not independent since in this case we have the identity

¹More general, $y(0) = 0$, $y'(1) = ky(1)$ with any fixed k .

similar to (2.10). Note that the dependence between $\{\lambda_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{s_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is more complicated. Therefore, we need an essential modification of the norming constants. We define the new coordinates $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ by (2.6). The new parameters $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are "independent coordinates" and $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_{3/4}^2$ (see Theorem 2.3). Moreover, the spectral mapping $q \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty})$ is a real-analytic isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and $\mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$, where

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_n^0 + \mu_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}_D = \left\{ \{h_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda_1^0 + h_0 < \lambda_3^0 + h_1 < \lambda_5^0 + h_2 < \dots \right\}.$$

In other words, after this modification we have the spectral mapping with similar properties as in the case of the real line [CKK2] and the new parameters $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \in \ell_{3/4}^2$ give the correct parameterization of the isospectral manifolds.

The inverse problem for the family of operators $\{T_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ is similar to the case of T_D but we need to control the dependence of our spectral data from the boundary constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Here Theorem 2.5 plays a crucial role. It is similar to the case of the Sturm-Liouville operators $-y'' + q(x)y$ on $[0, 1]$ with the mixed boundary conditions $y(0) = y'(1) = 0$, see [K2].

The ingredients of the proof of main theorem are:

- i) Uniqueness Theorem. We adopt the proof from [PT] and [CKK1]. This proof requires only some estimates of the fundamental solutions.
- ii) Analysis of the Fréchet derivative of the nonlinear spectral mapping $\{\text{potentials}\} \mapsto \{\text{spectral data}\}$ at the point $q = 0$. We emphasize that this linear operator is complicated (in particular, it is not the Fourier transform, as it was in [PT]). Here we essentially use the technique of generating functions (from [CKK2]), which are analytic in the unit disc.
- iii) Asymptotic analysis of the difference between spectral data and its Fréchet derivatives at $q = 0$. Here the calculations and asymptotics from [CKK2] play an important role.
- iv) The proof that the spectral mapping is a surjection, i.e. the fact that each element of an appropriate Hilbert (or Banach) space can be obtained as spectral data of some potential $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Here we use the standard Darboux transform of second-order differential equations.

The present paper continues the series of papers [CKK1], [CKK2] devoted to the inverse spectral problem for the perturbed harmonic oscillator on the real line. Note that the set of spectra which correspond to potentials from \mathbf{H}_+ (see Sect. 3 for details) is similar to the space of spectral data in [CKK2]. In particular, the range of the linear operator $f(z) \mapsto (1-z)^{-1/2}f(z)$ acting in some Hardy-Sobolev space in the unit disc plays an important role. As a byproduct of our analysis, we give the simple proof of the equivalence between two definitions of the space of spectral data which was established in [CKK2] using a more involved technique.

2 Main results

We recall some basic results from [CKK2]. Consider the operator

$$T\psi = -\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi, \quad q \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{even}} = \left\{ q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : q', xq \in L^2(\mathbb{R}); q(x) = q(-x), x \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

acting in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is an increasing sequence of simple eigenvalues given by

$$\lambda_n(q) = \lambda_n^0 + \mu_n(q), \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_n^0 = \lambda_n(0) = 2n+1, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n(q) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Define the real weighted ℓ^2 -space

$$\ell_r^2 = \left\{ c = \{c_n\}_{n=0}^\infty : c_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \|c\|_{\ell_r^2}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} (1+n)^{2r} |c_n|^2 < +\infty \right\}, \quad r \geq 0,$$

and the Hardy-Sobolev space of functions, which are analytic in the unit disc $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$:

$$H_r^2 = H_r^2(\mathbb{D}) = \left\{ f(z) \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} : f_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \|f\|_{H_r^2} = \|\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty\|_{\ell_r^2} < +\infty \right\}, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Introduce the **space of spectral data**

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ h = \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty : \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \equiv \frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad f \in H_{\frac{3}{4}}^2 \right\}, \quad \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f\|_{H_{\frac{3}{4}}^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.1 ([CKK2]). *The mapping $q \mapsto \{\mu_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a real-analytic isomorphism² between the space of even potentials \mathbf{H}_{even} and the following open convex subset of \mathcal{H} :*

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda_0^0 + h_0 < \lambda_1^0 + h_1 < \lambda_2^0 + h_2 < \dots \right\}.$$

Remark. The inequalities in the definition of \mathcal{S} correspond to the condition of monotonicity of eigenvalues of T : $\lambda_0(q) < \lambda_1(q) < \lambda_2(q) < \dots$

Recall the following more precise representation from [CKK2]:

$$\lambda_n(q) = \lambda_n^0 + \mu_n(q), \quad \mu_n(q) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} q(t) dt}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda_n^0}} + \tilde{\mu}_n(q), \quad \{\tilde{\mu}_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}_0, \quad (2.2)$$

where the subspace $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ of codimension 1 is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \left\{ h \in \mathcal{H} : \sqrt{1-z} \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \Big|_{z=1} = f(1) = 0 \right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark that Lemma 3.4 yields $\tilde{\mu}_n(q) = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we consider the inverse problem for the operator T_D on \mathbb{R}_+ . For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ we put $q(-x) = q(x)$, $x \geq 0$. This gives a natural isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and \mathbf{H}_{even} . We have

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(T_D) \cup \sigma(T_N), \quad \sigma(T_N) = \{\lambda_{2n}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, \quad \sigma(T_D) = \{\lambda_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty.$$

²By definition, the mapping of Hilbert spaces $F : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a local real-analytic isomorphism iff for any $y \in H_1$ it has an analytic continuation \tilde{F} into some complex neighborhood $y \in U \subset H_{1\mathbb{C}}$ of y such that \tilde{F} is a bijection between U and some complex neighborhood $F(y) \in \tilde{F}(U) \subset H_{2\mathbb{C}}$ of $F(y)$ and both \tilde{F} , \tilde{F}^{-1} are analytic. The local isomorphism F is a (global) isomorphism iff it is a bijection.

Using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.5, we obtain the following description of the perturbations of $\sigma(T_D)$ and $\sigma(T_N)$ which correspond to potentials $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$:

$$\mathcal{S}_D = \left\{ \{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q \in \mathbf{H}_+ \right\} = \left\{ \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda_1^0 + h_0 < \lambda_3^0 + h_1 < \lambda_5^0 + h_2 < \dots \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{S}_N = \left\{ \{\mu_{2n}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q \in \mathbf{H}_+ \right\} = \left\{ \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H} : \lambda_0^0 + h_0 < \lambda_2^0 + h_1 < \lambda_4^0 + h_2 < \dots \right\} = \mathcal{S}_D$$

(recall that $\lambda_{2n+1}^0 = \lambda_{2n}^0 + 2$, $n \geq 0$). It is important that two spectra $\sigma(T_D)$ and $\sigma(T_N)$ are not independent. The following Theorem describes this relationship between $\{\mu_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\mu_{2n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Let

$$\tau_n = \mu_{2n} - \mu_{2n+1} = \lambda_{2n} - \lambda_{2n+1} + 2, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.2. (i) *The mapping $q \mapsto \left(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty \right)$ is a real-analytic isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and the following open and convex subset of $\mathcal{H} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$:*

$$\left\{ \left(\{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\tau_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 : \lambda_0^0 + h_0 + \tau_0 < \lambda_1^0 + h_0 < \lambda_2^0 + h_1 + \tau_1 < \lambda_3^0 + h_1 < \dots \right\}.$$

(ii) *The mapping $q \mapsto \left(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty \right)$ is a real-analytic isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and the following open and convex subset of $\mathcal{H} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$:*

$$\left\{ \left(\{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\tau_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \right) \in \mathcal{H} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 : \lambda_0^0 + h_0 < \lambda_1^0 + h_0 - \tau_0 < \lambda_2^0 + h_1 < \lambda_3^0 + h_1 - \tau_1 < \dots \right\}.$$

(iii) *For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ the identity $q(0) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \tau_n(q)$ holds true.*

Remark. As in Theorem 2.1, these subsets are open and convex. Inequalities in its definitions correspond to the monotonicity of the sequence $\{\lambda_m(q)\}_{m=0}^\infty$.

We now come to the main results of our paper. Namely, we consider the spectrum of T_D and a convenient choice of additional spectral data (coordinates of an isospectral set). As a first step, we introduce the norming constants $s_{2n+1}(q)$. In order to keep the connection with the problem on \mathbb{R} we use odd indices. We consider the unperturbed equation

$$-\psi'' + x^2\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

and its solutions $\psi_+^0(x, \lambda) = D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)$, where $D_\mu(x)$ is a Weber function (see [B]). The perturbed equation

$$-\psi'' + x^2\psi + q(x)\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad q \in \mathbf{H}_+, \quad (2.4)$$

has a solution $\psi_+(x, \lambda, q)$ such that $\psi_+(x, \lambda, q) = \psi_+^0(x, \lambda)(1 + o(1))$, $x \rightarrow +\infty$ (see (A.13)). The norming constant (see also [MT], [IT], [PT]) is defined by

$$s_{2n+1}(q) = -\log |\psi'_+(0, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)| = \log \left[(-1)^n \frac{\varphi(\cdot, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)}{\psi_+(\cdot, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)} \right], \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where φ is the solution of (2.4) such that $\varphi(0, \lambda, q) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0, \lambda, q) = 1$.

The standard identities $\psi_+^2 = \{\dot{\psi}_+, \psi_+\}'$, $\varphi^2 = \{\dot{\varphi}, \varphi\}'$ imply³

$$\begin{aligned}\|\psi_+(\cdot, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)\|_+^2 &= (-1)^n \dot{w}_D(\lambda_{2n+1}(q), q) e^{-s_{2n+1}(q)}, \\ \|\varphi(\cdot, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)\|_+^2 &= (-1)^n \dot{w}_D(\lambda_{2n+1}(q), q) e^{s_{2n+1}(q)},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_D(\lambda, q) = \{\varphi, \psi_+\}(\lambda, q) = -\psi_+(0, \lambda, q) = -\psi_+^0(0, \lambda) \cdot \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2n+1}(q)}{\lambda - \lambda_{2n+1}^0}$$

and the entire function $\psi_+^0(0, \lambda) = D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0)$ is given by (A.3).

If $q=0$, then the norming constants have the form⁴

$$s_{2n+1}^0 = -\log |(\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda_{2n+1}^0)| = -\log \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n+\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2}) \sim -n \log n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In many cases⁵, the sequence of eigenvalues and the sequence of norming constants are "independent coordinates" in the space of potentials. But, different from most known cases, $\{\mu_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{s_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are not independent parameters (establishing a real analytic isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and some Hilbert space). To find such an isomorphism, we use some **modification** $\{r_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of the norming constants $\{s_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$. This modification is not trivial and it uses the discrete Hilbert transform. Namely, we define $r_{2n+1}(q)$ by

$$s_{2n+1}(q) = s_{2n+1}^0 + \alpha_{2n+1} \cdot \mu_{2n+1}(q) + \frac{q(0)}{4(2n+1)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\mu_{2m+1}(q)}{2(n-m)+1} + r_{2n+1}(q), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\alpha_{2n+1} = -\frac{(\dot{\psi}_+^0)'}{(\psi_+^0)'}(0, \lambda_{2n+1}^0) \sim -\log n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 2.3. (i) Let $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Then $\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$, where r_{2n+1} is given by (2.6)
(ii) The mapping $q \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ is a real-analytic isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and $\mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$.

Remark. $(q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ are "independent coordinates" in the isospectral sets.

We consider the inverse problem for the family of operators $T_b y = -y'' + x^2 y + q(x)y$ with the boundary conditions $y'(0) = by(0)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote its spectrum by

$$\sigma(T_b) = \{\lambda_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty = \{\lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty$$

(note that $\lambda_{2n}(q, 0) = \lambda_{2n}(q)$). Then (see (5.2))

$$\lambda_{2n}(q, b) = \lambda_{2n}^0 + \frac{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} q(t) dt + 2b}{\pi \sqrt{\lambda_{2n}^0}} + \tilde{\mu}_{2n}(q, b), \quad \{\tilde{\mu}_{2n}(q, b)\}_0^\infty \in \mathcal{H}_0, \quad (2.7)$$

for $(q, b) \in \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ and, in particular, $\tilde{\mu}_{2n}(q, b) = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} n)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

³Here and below we use the notations $\dot{\cdot} = \partial/\partial \lambda$, $\{f, g\} = fg' - f'g$, $\|\cdot\|_+ = \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$, $(\cdot, \cdot)_+ = (\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$.

⁴We use the standard notation $f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f = g(1 + o(g))$.

⁵Sturm-Liouville operators $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)$ on $[0, 1]$ ([PT], [IT], [IMT], [DT], [K1]) with all types of "separated" boundary conditions; perturbed harmonic oscillator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 + q(x)$ on \mathbb{R} ([MT], [CKK2]).

By analogy with (2.5), (2.6) we introduce the norming constants $s_{2n}(q, b)$ and the new parameters $r_{2n}(q, b)$ by

$$s_{2n}(q, b) = -\log |\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}(q), q)| = \log \left[(-1)^n \frac{(\vartheta + b\varphi)(\cdot, \lambda_{2n}(q), q)}{\psi_+(\cdot, \lambda_{2n}(q), q)} \right] \quad (2.8)$$

$$= s_{2n}^0 + \alpha_{2n} \cdot \mu_{2n}(q, b) - \frac{q(0) - 2b^2}{4(2n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\mu_{2m}(q, b)}{2(n-m)-1} + r_{2n}(q, b), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

where ϑ is the solution of (2.4) such that $\vartheta(0, \lambda, q) = 1$, $\vartheta'(0, \lambda, q) = 0$ and

$$s_{2n}^0 = -\log |\psi_+^0(0, \lambda_{2n}^0)| \sim -n \log n, \quad \alpha_{2n} = -\frac{\dot{\psi}_+^0}{\psi_+^0}(0, \lambda_{2n}^0) \sim -\log n, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 2.4. (i) Let $(q, b) \in \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $\{r_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$, where r_{2n} is given by (2.9).
(ii) The mapping $(q, b) \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q(0) - 2b^2, \{r_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ is a real-analytic isomorphism between $\mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}_N \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$.

Finally, we give the explicit expression of the "boundary" constant b in terms of spectral data. Introduce the Wronskian

$$w_N(\lambda, q, b) = \psi'_+(0, \lambda, q) - b\psi_+(0, \lambda, q), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Note that the entire function $w_N(\lambda, q, b)$ can be reconstructed by its zeros $\{\lambda_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ as

$$w_N(\lambda, q, b) = (\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda) \cdot \prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda - \lambda_{2n}(q, b)}{\lambda - \lambda_{2n}^0}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the entire function $(\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda) = \sqrt{2}D'_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0)$ is given by (A.3).

Theorem 2.5. For each $(b, q) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{H}_+$ the following identity is fulfilled:

$$-b = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{(-1)^n e^{-s_{2n}(q, b)}}{w_N(\lambda_{2n}(q, b), q, b)} - 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n \right), \quad E_n = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

Remark. In the proof we use ideas from [K2].

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 3 we recall some results of [CKK2], describe basic properties of \mathcal{H} and prove Theorem 2.2. Section 4 is devoted to the Dirichlet boundary condition at $x=0$ (here we prove Theorem 2.3). In Section 5 we solve the inverse problem for the family of the operators $\{T_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ (Theorems 2.4, 2.5). All needed properties of fundamental solutions, gradients of spectral data and asymptotics are collected in Appendix.

3 Preliminaries and proof of Theorem 2.2

We recall some crucial points of [CKK2]. Let ψ_n^0 be the normalized eigenfunctions of the unperturbed harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R} . It is well-known that

$$\psi_n^0(x) = (n!\sqrt{\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}} D_n(\sqrt{2}x) = (2^n n! \sqrt{\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $H_n(x)$ are the Hermite polynomials. For each $n \geq 0$ we consider the second solution

$$\chi_n^0(x) = \left(\frac{n!\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\right)^{1/2} \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \operatorname{Im} D_{-n-1}(i\sqrt{2}x), & n \text{ is even,} \\ (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \operatorname{Re} D_{-n-1}(i\sqrt{2}x), & n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

of the equation $-y'' + x^2 y = \lambda_n^0 y$, which is uniquely defined by the conditions

$$\{\chi_n^0, \psi_n^0\} = 1, \quad (\psi_n^0 \chi_n^0)(-x) = -(\psi_n^0 \chi_n^0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that $(\psi_n^0 \chi_n^0)(x) \sim (-1)^{n+1} x$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, and $(\psi_n^0 \chi_n^0)(x) \sim -x^{-1}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $s_{2m}(q) = s_{2m}(q, 0)$, $m \geq 0$. Define the modified norming constants $\tilde{s}_n(q)$ by

$$s_n(q) = s_n^0 + \alpha_n \mu_n(q) + \tilde{s}_n(q), \quad n \geq 0 \quad (3.1)$$

(recall that $s_n^0 = s_n(0)$, $\alpha_{2m+1} = -(\dot{\psi}_+^0)' / (\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda_{2m+1}^0)$ and $\alpha_{2m} = -\dot{\psi}_+^0 / \psi_+^0(0, \lambda_{2m}^0)$, $m \geq 0$).

Theorem 3.1. *For each potential $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled⁶:*

$$\mu_n(q) = 2\hat{q}_n^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \quad \tilde{s}_n(q) = \check{q}_n^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \quad (3.2)$$

for some absolute constant $\delta > 0$, uniformly on bounded subsets of \mathbf{H}_+ , where

$$\hat{q}_n^+ = (q, (\psi_n^0)^2)_+, \quad \check{q}_n^+ = (q, \psi_n^0 \chi_n^0)_+.$$

Remark. i) This result does not cover the general boundary condition $y'(0) = by(0)$, $b \neq 0$.

ii) Using Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2, it is easy to see that $\{\hat{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}$, $\{\check{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}_0$ for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Then, Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.3 (i) yield

$$\hat{q}_n^+ = \pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} q(t) dt \cdot (\lambda_n^0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} n), \quad \check{q}_n^+ = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\mu_n = \mu_n(q)$. We suppose that n is odd, the other case is similar. Repeating arguments of [CKK1] Lemma 4.2 and using Rouché's Theorem, we obtain $\mu_n(q) = O(n^{-1/2})$. Note that the value μ_n is the solution of the equation $\psi_+(0, \lambda_n^0 + \mu_n, q) = 0$. Due to estimates from Corollary A.3 and asymptotics (A.15), we have

$$0 = \frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda_n^0 + \mu_n, q)}{\dot{\kappa}_n} = \frac{\psi_+^{(1)}(0, \lambda_n^0, q) + \dot{\kappa}_n \cdot \mu_n}{\dot{\kappa}_n} + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n).$$

⁶Here and below $a_n = b_n + \ell_r^2(n)$ means that $\{a_n - b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_r^2$. We say that $a_n(q) = b_n(q) + \ell_r^2(n)$ holds true uniformly on some set iff norms $\|\{a_n(q) - b_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty\|_{\ell_r^2}$ are uniformly bounded on this set.

Hence, Lemma A.6 (ii) gives $\mu_n = 2\hat{q}_n^+ + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n)$. Let $\mu_n^{(1)} = 2\hat{q}_n^+$. Using the similar arguments, we obtain

$$0 = \frac{1}{\dot{\kappa}_n} \left(\psi_+^{(1)} + \psi_+^{(2)} + \dot{\psi}_+^0 \cdot \mu_n + \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} \cdot \mu_n^{(1)} + \frac{\ddot{\psi}_+^0}{2} (\mu_n^{(1)})^2 \right) (0, \lambda_n^0, q) + O(n^{-\frac{3}{2}} \log^3 n).$$

Together with Lemma A.6 (ii), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mu_n}{2} &= \hat{q}_n^+ - \check{q}_n^+ \hat{q}_n^+ + \left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} \hat{q}_n^+ + \frac{1}{2} \check{q}_n^+ \right) \cdot 2\hat{q}_n^+ - \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_n}{\kappa_n} (\hat{q}_n^+)^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \\ &= \hat{q}_n^+ + \left(\frac{2\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} - \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_n}{\kappa_n} \right) \cdot (\hat{q}_n^+)^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) = \hat{q}_n^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A.16).

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{s_n^0 - s_n(q)} &= \frac{\psi_+'(0, \lambda_n(q), q)}{\kappa_n'} = 1 - \check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} \cdot \mu_n + \frac{1}{2} (\check{q}_n^+)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8} (\hat{q}_n^+)^2 \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} \check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8} \hat{q}_n^+ \right) \cdot \mu_n^{(1)} + \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_n'}{2\kappa_n'} (\mu_n^{(1)})^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^0 - s_n(q) &= -\check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} \cdot \mu_n + \left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} - \frac{(\dot{\kappa}_n')^2}{(\kappa_n')^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16} \right) \cdot \frac{(\mu_n^{(1)})^2}{2} + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \\ &= -\check{q}_n^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_n'}{\kappa_n'} \cdot \mu_n + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A.16). This yields the second part of (3.2) in view of (3.1). \square

Below we need some special representation of the sequences $\{\hat{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\check{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Define the functions

$$\tilde{\psi}_n^0(x) = 2^{1/4} \psi_n^0(\sqrt{2}x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Note that the system of functions $\{\tilde{\psi}_{2m}^0\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is the orthogonal basis of \mathbf{H}_{even} , i.e. the orthogonal basis of the space \mathbf{H}_+ , while the system $\{\tilde{\psi}_{2m+1}^0\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is the orthogonal basis of its subspace $\mathbf{H}_+^0 = \{q \in \mathbf{H}_+ : q(0) = 0\} \subsetneq \mathbf{H}_+$.

Following [CKK2], for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ we define two (analytic in the unit disc \mathbb{D}) functions

$$\begin{aligned} (F^+q)(z) &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/4}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sqrt{E_k} (q, \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0)_+ \cdot z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \\ (G^+q)(z) &\equiv -\frac{(2\pi)^{1/4}}{2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(q, \tilde{\psi}_{2k+1}^0)_+}{\sqrt{(2k+1)E_k}} z^k, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$E_k = \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k}(k!)^2} \sim \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that $F^+q, G^+q \in H_{3/4}^2$ for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Moreover, the mapping $q \mapsto F^+q$ is a linear isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and $H_{3/4}^2$, while the mapping $q \mapsto G^+q$ is a linear isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+^0 and $H_{3/4}^2$. Recall that $\hat{q}_n^+ = (q, (\psi_n^0)^2)_+$ and $\check{q}_n^+ = (q, \psi_n^0 \chi_n^0)_+$, $n \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.2. *For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ the following identities⁷ are fulfilled:*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{q}_n^+ z^n \equiv \frac{(F^+q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_n^+ z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{(G^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$(F^+q)(1) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} q(t) dt, \quad (F^+q)(-1) = 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} q(0). \quad (3.5)$$

Remark. Here and below we put $(P_+f)(z) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=1} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta-z}$ for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. In particular, the identity $(P_+ \sum_{n=-k}^k c_n \zeta^n)(z) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^k c_n z^n$ holds true for any $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Identities (3.4) were proved in [CKK2] (Propositions 1.2 and 2.9). Also, in [CKK2] it was shown that

$$1 = \sum_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(t) dt = (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sqrt{E_k} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

in the sense of distributions, which gives $(F^+q)(1) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} q(t) dt$. Furthermore,

$$\delta(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(x) \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(x) \cdot \frac{2^{1/4} H_{2k}(0)}{(\sqrt{\pi} 2^{2k} (2k)!)^{1/2}} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \sqrt{E_k} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0(x)$$

in the sense of distributions. Together with (3.3), this implies $(F^+q)(-1) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{q(0)}{2}$. \square

Emphasize that definition (2.1) of the space \mathcal{H} is directly motivated by Eq. (3.4). The following Proposition gives the basic properties of \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_0 (see [CKK2] Lemmas 2.10, 2.11).

Proposition 3.3. (i) *For each $\{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}$ there is a unique decomposition $h_n = \frac{v}{\sqrt{\lambda_n^0}} + h_n^{(0)}$, where $v = (\pi/2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1-z} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} h_n z^n|_{z=1}$ and $\{h_n^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}_0$. The mapping $h \mapsto (v, h^{(0)})$ is a linear isomorphism between \mathcal{H} and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{H}_0$. If $h = \{\hat{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty$, then $v = \pi^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} q(t) dt$.*
(ii) *The set of finite sequences $\{(h_0, \dots, h_k, 0, 0, \dots), k \geq 0, h_j \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H}_0 .*
(iii) *The embeddings $\ell_{3/4}^2 \subset \mathcal{H}_0 \subset \ell_{1/4}^2$ are fulfilled.*

Remark. Since $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \ell_{1/4}^2$, the sequence of leading terms $\{v/\sqrt{\lambda_n^0}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ doesn't belong to \mathcal{H}_0 .

The next Lemma gives the O -type estimate for elements of \mathcal{H}_0 .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $h = \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Then $h_n = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

⁷We write $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ iff the identity $f(z) = g(z)$ holds true for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [Ch]. Definition (2.3) of \mathcal{H}_0 yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \equiv \frac{\sum_{k \geq 0} f_k z^k}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad \{f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2, \quad \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k = f(1) = 0.$$

Recall that $(1-z)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \equiv \sum_{m \geq 0} E_m z^m$. Hence,

$$h_n = \sum_{k=0}^n E_{n-k} f_k = \sum_{k=1}^n (E_{n-k} - E_n) f_k - E_n \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty f_k.$$

It is easy to see that $E_n = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and $E_{n-k} - E_n = O(kn^{-1}(n-k+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| E_n \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty f_k \right| &\leq E_n \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} k^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} k^{\frac{3}{2}} |f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}}), \\ \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (E_{n-k} - E_n) f_k \right| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n O\left(\frac{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{n^2(n-k+1)} \right) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^{\frac{3}{2}} |f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}} \log^{\frac{1}{2}} n), \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k^{\frac{3}{2}} |f_k|^2 < +\infty$ has been used. \square

In order to prove Theorem 2.2 we need

Lemma 3.5. (i) Let $h = \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $h_N = \{h_{2n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $h_D = \{h_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Delta h = \{h_{2n} - h_{2n+1}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$.

(ii) Both mappings $h \mapsto (h_N, \Delta h)$, $h \mapsto (h_D, \Delta h)$ are isomorphisms between \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$.

Proof. (i) For $z \in \mathbb{D}$ denote $h(z) \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n$, $h_N(z) \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} h_{2n} z^n$ and so on. By definition of \mathcal{H} , we have

$$h_N(z^2) + z h_D(z^2) \equiv h(z) \equiv \frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad f \in H_{3/4}^2.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h_N(z^2) &\equiv \frac{f_N(z^2)}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_N(z^2) \equiv \frac{f(z)\sqrt{1+z} + f(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2}, \\ h_D(z^2) &\equiv \frac{f_D(z^2)}{\sqrt{1-z^2}}, \quad \text{where} \quad f_D(z^2) \equiv \frac{f(z)\sqrt{1+z} - f(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2z}, \\ (\Delta h)(z^2) &\equiv h_N(z^2) - h_D(z^2) \equiv \frac{-f(z)\sqrt{1-z} + f(-z)\sqrt{1+z}}{2z}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Due to $\sqrt{1 \pm z} \in H_{3/4}^2$, we obtain $f_N, f_D, \Delta h \in H_{3/4}^2$, and hence $h_N, h_D \in \mathcal{H}$.

(ii) By definition of \mathcal{H} , the mappings $h \mapsto f$, $h_N \mapsto f_N$ and $h_D \mapsto f_D$ are isomorphisms between \mathcal{H} and $H_{3/4}^2$. We show that both mappings $f \mapsto (f_N, \Delta h)$, $f \mapsto (f_D, \Delta h)$ are isomorphisms between $H_{3/4}^2$ and $H_{3/4}^2 \times H_{3/4}^2$. Indeed, due to (3.6), the direct mappings are bounded. On the other hand, identities

$$f(z) \equiv f_D(z^2)\sqrt{1+z} + \Delta h(z^2)\sqrt{1-z} \equiv f_N(z^2)\sqrt{1+z} - \Delta h(z^2)z\sqrt{1-z}$$

follow that the inverse mappings are bounded too. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Theorem 2.1 and the second part of Lemma 3.5 give that both mappings $q \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ and $q \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\tau_n(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ are real-analytic isomorphisms. We show that $q(0) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \tau_n(q)$. Proposition 3.2 yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\hat{q}_{2n}^+ - \hat{q}_{2n+1}^+) = \frac{(F^+ q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}} \Big|_{z=-1} = \frac{q(0)}{4}. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, it is sufficient to check that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+) = 0, \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n+1}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+) = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

(note that these series converge due to Theorem 3.1). Below we prove the first identity, the proof of the second is similar.

Put $q(-x) = q(x)$, $x \geq 0$, and consider the harmonic oscillator on \mathbb{R} perturbed by the potential $q(x)$. The perturbation theory (see e.g. [Ka]) yields⁸

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(x)} = \psi_{2n}^2(x, q), \quad \frac{\partial \psi_{2n}(x, q)}{\partial q(y)} = \psi_{2n}(y, q) \sum_{m: m \neq 2n} \frac{\psi_m(y, q) \psi_m(x, q)}{\lambda_{2n}(q) - \lambda_m(q)},$$

where $\psi_m(t, q)$, $m \geq 0$, are the normalized eigenfunctions of the operator T .

Therefore,

$$\mu_{2n}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ = \int_0^1 (q, \psi_{2n}^2(sq))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} ds - \hat{q}_{2n} = \int_0^1 (q, \psi_{2n}^2(sq) - (\psi_{2n}^0)^2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (q(x), \psi_{2n}^2(x, sq) - (\psi_{2n}^0)^2(x))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)} = \int_0^s \left(q(x), \frac{d}{dt} \psi_{2n}^2(x, tq) \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)} dt \\ & = \int_0^s \left(q(x), 2\psi_{2n}(x, tq) \cdot \left(q(y), \psi_{2n}(y, tq) \sum_{m \neq 2n} \frac{\psi_m(y, tq) \psi_m(x, tq)}{\lambda_{2n}(tq) - \lambda_m(tq)} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy)} \right)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(q, (\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m+1})(\cdot, tq))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$ for any $n, m \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+) = 2 \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m: m \neq n} \frac{(q, (\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m})(tq))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\lambda_{2n}(tq) - \lambda_{2m}(tq)} dt.$$

Let

$$S_k = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m: m \neq n} \frac{(q, (\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m})(tq))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\lambda_{2n}(tq) - \lambda_{2m}(tq)} = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(q, (\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m})(tq))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\lambda_{2n}(tq) - \lambda_{2m}(tq)}$$

Using Lemma A.7 and the estimate $|\lambda_{2n}(tq) - \lambda_{2m}(tq)| \geq c(m-n)$ for some $c > 0$ and $m > n \geq 0$, we obtain $S_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which yields $\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+) = 0$. \square

⁸Here and below $\partial \xi(q)/\partial q = \zeta(q)$ means that for any $v \in L^2$ the equation $(d_q \xi)(v) = (v, \bar{\zeta})_{L^2}$ holds true.

4 Inverse problem for the operator T_D

We begin with the connection between two functions F^+q and G^+q (given by (3.3)) for fixed $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Recall that the system of functions $\{\tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a basis of \mathbf{H}_+ . Therefore, it is possible to rewrite G^+q in terms of F^+q . For $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, $\zeta \neq -1$, we put $\sqrt{\zeta} = \sqrt{e^{i\phi}} = e^{\frac{i\phi}{2}}$, where $\zeta = e^{i\phi}$, $\phi \in (-\pi, \pi)$. We have the following identity in $L^2(\mathbb{T})$:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\zeta}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{2l+1} \zeta^l, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}. \quad (4.1)$$

Lemma 4.1. *For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ the following identity is fulfilled:*

$$(G^+q)(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left(\frac{(F^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We determine the coefficients of the function ψ_{2m+1}^0 with respect to the basis $\{\psi_{2k}^0\}_{k=0}^\infty$. The standard identity $\{\psi_{2k}^0, \psi_{2m+1}^0\}' = (\lambda_{2k}^0 - \lambda_{2m+1}^0) \psi_{2k}^0 \psi_{2m+1}^0$, yields

$$(\psi_{2m+1}^0, \psi_{2k}^0)_+ = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \psi_{2m+1}^0(x) \psi_{2k}^0(x) dx = \frac{\{\psi_{2k}^0, \psi_{2m+1}^0\}(0)}{\lambda_{2m+1}^0 - \lambda_{2k}^0} = \frac{\psi_{2k}^0(0) (\psi_{2m+1}^0)'(0)}{2(2(m-k)+1)}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{2k}^0(0) &= \frac{H_{2k}(0)}{(\sqrt{\pi} 2^{2k} (2k)!)^{1/2}} = \frac{(-1)^k 2^k (2k-1)!!}{(\sqrt{\pi} 2^{2k} (2k)!)^{1/2}} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\pi^{1/4}} \sqrt{E_k}, \\ (\psi_{2m+1}^0)'(0) &= \frac{H'_{2m+1}(0)}{(\sqrt{\pi} 2^{2m+1} (2m+1)!)^{1/2}} = \frac{(-1)^m 2^{m+1} (2m+1)!!}{(\sqrt{\pi} 2^{2m+1} (2m+1)!)^{1/2}} = \frac{(-1)^m \sqrt{2}}{\pi^{1/4}} \sqrt{(2m+1)E_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(\tilde{\psi}_{2m+1}^0, \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0)_+ = (\psi_{2m+1}^0, \psi_{2k}^0)_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{2(m-k)+1} \sqrt{(2m+1)E_m E_k}.$$

Since $\|\tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0\|_+^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\tilde{\psi}_{2m+1}^0}{\sqrt{(2m+1)E_m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-k}}{2(m-k)+1} \cdot \sqrt{E_k} \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0.$$

It gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_+ \left(\frac{(F^+q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \right) &\equiv \frac{2^{3/4}}{\pi^{5/4}} P_+ \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^l}{2l+1} \zeta^l \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{E_k} (q, \tilde{\psi}_{2k}^0)_+ \zeta^k \right) \\ &\equiv \frac{2^{1/4}}{\pi^{3/4}} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(q, \tilde{\psi}_{2m+1}^0)_+}{\sqrt{(2m+1)E_m}} z^m \equiv -\frac{2}{\pi} (G^+q)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned}$$

where definition (3.3) of the functions F^+q and G^+q has been used. \square

We should mention that Lemma 4.1 gives the simple proof of [CKK2] Theorem 4.2 about the equivalent definition of \mathcal{H}_0 . Following [CKK2], we introduce the linear operator

$$(\mathcal{A}f)(z) \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{f(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right] \equiv P_+ \left[\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}} \cdot \frac{f(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right], \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}). \quad (4.3)$$

Let

$$\mathring{H}_{3/4}^2 = \{f \in H_{3/4}^2 : f(1) = 0\} \subset H_{3/4}^2.$$

Corollary 4.2. (i) The operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathring{H}_{3/4}^2 \rightarrow H_{3/4}^2$ and its inverse are bounded.
(ii) The following equality is fulfilled:

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \left\{ \{h_n\}_{n=0}^\infty : \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{g(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right], \quad g \in H_{3/4}^2 \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

The norms $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\|g\|_{H_{3/4}^2}$ are equivalent, i.e. $C_1 \|g\|_{H_{3/4}^2} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C_2 \|g\|_{H_{3/4}^2}$ for any $g \in H_{3/4}^2$ and some absolute constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

Remark. This equivalence was proved in [CKK2] using different and complicated arguments.

Proof. (i) Recall that the mapping $q \mapsto (G^+q)(-z)$ is a linear isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+^0 and $H_{3/4}^2$. Also, due to the identity $(F^+q)(-1) = 2^{-3/2}q(0)$ (see Proposition 3.2), the mapping $q \mapsto (F^+q)(-z)$ is a linear isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+^0 and $\mathring{H}_{3/4}^2$. Therefore, the mapping

$$f(z) \equiv (F^+q)(-z) \mapsto q \mapsto (G^+q)(-z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(F^+q)(-\zeta)}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \right] \equiv \mathcal{A}f(z)$$

is a linear isomorphism between $\mathring{H}_{3/4}^2$, \mathbf{H}_+^0 and $H_{3/4}^2$ respectively.

(ii) If $g \in H_{3/4}^2$, then $g_0(z) \equiv g(z) - g(1) \in \mathring{H}_{3/4}^2$ and so $|g_0(\zeta)| \leq C|\zeta - 1|^{1/4}$, $|\zeta| = 1$, for some constant $C > 0$. Hence, the following equivalence is valid:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \equiv P_+ \left(\frac{g(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right) &\Leftrightarrow \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \equiv g(1) + \frac{g_0(z)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{z}}} - P_- \left(\frac{g_0(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow P_+ \left(\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n \zeta^n \right) \equiv g(1) + g_0(z) \equiv g(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_- f \equiv f - P_+ f$ is the projector to the subspace of antianalytic functions in \mathbb{D} . Therefore, the equation

$$\frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{z}}} \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{g(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right], \quad \text{where } f \in \mathring{H}_{3/4}^2, \quad g \in H_{3/4}^2,$$

is equivalent to $g(z) \equiv (\mathcal{A}f)(z)$. Then (i) follows (4.4). \square

Consider the linear terms in asymptotics (3.2). Due to Lemma 3.5, the identities

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2n}^+ z^n \equiv \frac{(F_N q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad \text{where} \quad (F_N q)(z^2) \equiv \frac{(F^+ q)(z)\sqrt{1+z} + (F^+ q)(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2},$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ z^n \equiv \frac{(F_D q)(z)}{\sqrt{1-z}}, \quad \text{where} \quad (F_D q)(z^2) \equiv \frac{(F^+ q)(z)\sqrt{1+z} - (F^+ q)(-z)\sqrt{1-z}}{2z}$$

hold true. Note that

$$(F^+ q)(z) \equiv \frac{(F_N q)(z^2) + z(F_D q)(z^2)}{\sqrt{1+z}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}. \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.3. *For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ there exists functions $G_N q, G_D q \in H_{3/4}^2$ such that the following identities are fulfilled:*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{(G_N q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right], \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n+1}^+ z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{(G_D q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right].$$

Moreover,

$$(G_N q)(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} (F_D q)(z), \quad (G_D q)(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} (S_* F_N q)(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $(S_* F)(z) \equiv P_+[\bar{\zeta} \cdot F(\zeta)]$ is the "left-shift" operator.

Proof. Define the function

$$(G_N q)(z) \equiv P_+ \left[\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ \zeta^n \right] \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

It gives

$$P_+ \left[\frac{(G_N q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right] \equiv P_+ \left[\sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ \zeta^n - \frac{P_-[\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ \zeta^n]}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right] \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ z^n.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ z^{2n} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(P_+ \left[\frac{(G^+ q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right] + P_+ \left[\frac{(G^+ q)(-\zeta)}{\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}} \right] \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(G_N q)(z^2) \equiv \frac{1}{2} P_+ \left[\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}} \cdot (G^+ q)(\zeta) + \sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}} \cdot (G^+ q)(-\zeta) \right].$$

Lemma 4.1 yields

$$(G_N q)(z^2) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4} P_+ \left[\frac{\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\zeta}}} \cdot (F^+ q)(\zeta) + \frac{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}} \cdot (F^+ q)(-\zeta) \right].$$

Due to (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (G_N q)(z^2) &\equiv -\frac{\pi}{4} P_+ \left[\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\zeta}}\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}} + \frac{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right) (F_N q)(\zeta^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{\zeta\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\zeta}}\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}} - \frac{\zeta\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right) (F_D q)(\zeta^2) \right] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} (F_D q)(z^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identities

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{\bar{\zeta}}\sqrt{1+\bar{\zeta}}} = \frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}, \quad \zeta \neq -1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} = -\frac{1}{\bar{\zeta}}, \quad \zeta \neq 1.$$

The proof of the identity $(G_D q)(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ [\bar{\zeta} \cdot (F_N q)(\zeta)]$ is similar. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (i). Recall that Theorem 3.1 gives $\tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) = \check{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n)$, which yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) z^n \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n+1}^+ z^n + \omega(z), \quad \omega \in H_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2, \quad \delta > 0.$$

Lemma 4.3 implies

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{(G_D q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right] + \omega(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(F_N q)(\zeta)}{\zeta \sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}} \right] + \omega(z).$$

Since $(F_N q)(z) \equiv \sqrt{1-z} \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ z^m$ and $\sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}}/\zeta \sqrt{1-\bar{\zeta}} = -1/\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}$, $\zeta \neq 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) z^n \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ \zeta^m \right] + \omega(z). \quad (4.6)$$

Let

$$(\triangle H q)(z) \equiv \sum_{m \geq 0} (\hat{q}_{2m}^+ - \hat{q}_{2m+1}^+) z^m, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Note that Lemma 3.5 yields $\triangle H q \in H_{3/4}^2$ and Theorem 3.1 gives

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ z^m \equiv (\triangle H q)(z) + \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m+1}^+ z^m \equiv (\triangle H q)(z) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mu_{2m+1}(q) z^m + \omega_1(z), \quad \omega_1 \in H_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2.$$

Moreover, (3.8) yields $\omega_1 \in \mathring{H}_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$. Substituting the last identity into (4.6), we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) z^n \equiv \frac{\pi}{4} P_+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mu_{2m+1}(q) \zeta^m \right] + \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(\triangle H q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}} \right] + \omega_2(z),$$

where $\omega_2(z) = \omega(z) + \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ [\omega_1(\zeta)/\sqrt{-\bar{\zeta}}] \in H_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$ since the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathring{H}_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \rightarrow H_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$ given by (4.3) is bounded⁹.

⁹The proof repeats the proof of [CKK2] Theorem 4.2 or Corollary 4.2 in the present paper.

Furthermore, denote values \tilde{q}_n^+ by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{q}_n^+ z^n \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(\Delta H q)(\zeta) - (\Delta H q)(1)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}} \right] \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(\Delta H q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}} \right] - \frac{\pi q(0)}{8} P_+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}} \right], \quad (4.7)$$

where we have used (3.7). Note that $\{\tilde{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$, since the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathring{H}_{3/4}^2 \rightarrow H_{3/4}^2$ is bounded (see Corollary 4.2). Summarizing, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) z^n \equiv \frac{\pi}{4} P_+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mu_{2m+1}(q) \zeta^m \right] + \frac{\pi q(0)}{8} P_+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\zeta}} \right] + \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{q}_n^+ z^n + \omega_2(z).$$

Since $1/\sqrt{-\zeta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \zeta^l / (2l+1)$, it implies

$$\tilde{s}_{2n+1}(q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\mu_{2m+1}(q)}{2(n-m)+1} + \frac{q(0)}{4(2n+1)} + r_{2n+1}(q), \quad r_{2n+1}(q) = \tilde{q}_n^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \quad (4.8)$$

In particular, $\{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$. □

Remark. Due to definition of F^+q and Lemma 3.5, the mappings $q \mapsto F^+q \mapsto (F_D q, \Delta H q)$ are linear isomorphisms between \mathbf{H}_+ , $H_{3/4}^2$ and $H_{3/4}^2 \times H_{3/4}^2$ respectively. Since the operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathring{H}_{3/4}^2 \rightarrow H_{3/4}^2$ and its inverse are bounded (see Corollary 4.2) and due to definition (4.7) of \tilde{q}_n^+ , the mappings

$$\Delta H q \mapsto ((\Delta H q)(0); \Delta H q - (\Delta H q)(0)) \mapsto ((\Delta H q)(0); \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{q}_n^+ z^n),$$

are linear isomorphisms between $H_{3/4}^2$, $\mathbb{R} \times \mathring{H}_{3/4}^2$ and $\mathbb{R} \times H_{3/4}^2$. Therefore, using the identity $(\Delta H q)(0) = \frac{1}{4} q(0)$, we obtain that the mappings

$$\begin{aligned} q &\mapsto (F_D q; \Delta H q) \mapsto \left(\{\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty; q(0); \{\tilde{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \right), \\ \mathbf{H}_+ &\leftrightarrow H_{3/4}^2 \times H_{3/4}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

are linear isomorphisms.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (ii). Consider the mapping $\Psi : \mathbf{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$, given by

$$\Psi : q \mapsto \left(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^\infty \right),$$

which maps potential to spectral data. The proof given below consists of four steps: 1) Ψ is injective; 2) Ψ is analytic; 3) Ψ is a local isomorphism; 4) Ψ is surjective.

1. Uniqueness Theorem. The proof repeats the case of the whole real line [CKK1]. Let

$$(\{\mu_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, p(0), \{r_{2n+1}(p)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}) = (\{\mu_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}, q(0), \{r_{2n+1}(q)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}),$$

for some potentials $p, q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Note that it gives

$$\lambda_{2n+1} = \lambda_{2n+1}(p) = \lambda_{2n+1}(q), \quad s_{2n+1} = s_{2n+1}(p) = s_{2n+1}(q), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Recall that $\varphi(x, \lambda, q)$ is the solution of Eq. (2.4) such that $\varphi(0, \lambda, q) = 0$, $\varphi'(0, \lambda, q) = 1$. Define functions

$$f_1(\lambda; x, q, p) = \frac{F_1(\lambda; x, q, p)}{w_D(\lambda, q)}, \quad F_1(\lambda; x, q, p) = \varphi(x, \lambda, p)\psi'_+(x, \lambda, q) - \psi_+(x, \lambda, p)\varphi'(x, \lambda, q),$$

$$f_2(\lambda; x, q, p) = \frac{F_2(\lambda; x, q, p)}{w_D(\lambda, q)}, \quad F_2(\lambda; x, q, p) = \varphi(x, \lambda, p)\psi_+(x, \lambda, q) - \psi_+(x, \lambda, p)\varphi(x, \lambda, q),$$

where

$$w_D(\lambda, q) = \{\varphi(\cdot, \lambda, q), \psi_+(\cdot, \lambda, q)\} = -\psi_+(0, \lambda, q).$$

Both functions f_1, f_2 are entire with respect to λ . Indeed, all roots $\lambda_{2n+1}(p) = \lambda_{2n+1}(q)$ of the denominator $w_D(\cdot, q)$ are simple and all these values are roots of the numerators F_1, F_2 , since the definition of norming constants yields

$$\varphi(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, q) = e^{s_{2n+1}} \cdot \psi_+(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, q), \quad \varphi(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, p) = e^{s_{2n+1}} \cdot \psi_+(x, \lambda_{2n+1}, p).$$

Standard estimates (see Lemma A.2 and asymptotics (A.7)) of φ and ψ_+ give

$$f_1(\lambda; x, p, q) = O(1), \quad f_2(\lambda; x, p, q) = O(|\lambda|^{-1/2}), \quad |\lambda| = \lambda_{2n'}^0, \quad n' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, the maximum principle and asymptotics of f_1 as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ implies

$$f_1(\lambda; x, p, q) = 1, \quad f_2(\lambda; x, p, q) = 0, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This follows $\varphi(x, \lambda, p) = \varphi(x, \lambda, q)$ and $\psi_+(x, \lambda, p) = \psi_+(x, \lambda, q)$, i.e. $p = q$. \square

2. Analyticity of Ψ . Recall that for some $\delta > 0$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled (see (3.2) and (4.8)):

$$\mu_{2n+1}(q) = 2\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \quad r_{2n+1}(q) = \tilde{q}_n^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \quad (4.10)$$

Let $\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of the space \mathbf{H}_+ . Due to Lemma A.4, for each real potential $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ all spectral data $\mu_{2n+1}(q), s_{2n+1}(q), n \geq 0$, extend analytically to some complex neighborhood $Q \subset \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ of q . Repeating the proof of (4.10) we obtain that these asymptotics hold true uniformly on bounded subsets of Q . Note that Lemma A.4 gives

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = \psi_{2n+1}^2(t, q), \quad \frac{\partial s_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = (\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})(t, q), \quad (4.11)$$

where $\psi_{2n+1}(\cdot, q)$ is the n -th normalized eigenfunction of T_D and $\chi_{2n+1}(\cdot, q)$ is some special solution of Eq. (2.4) for $\lambda = \lambda_{2n+1}(q)$ such that $\{\chi_{2n+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} = 1$. In particular,

$$(\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})(t, q) \sim t, \quad t \rightarrow 0, \quad (\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})(t, q) \sim -t^{-1}, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let

$$\Psi = \Psi_0 + \Psi_1, \quad \Psi_0 : q \mapsto \left(\{2\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty; q(0); \{\tilde{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \right), \quad \Psi_1 : q \mapsto \Psi q - \Psi_0 q.$$

As it was shown above (see (4.9)), the linear operator Ψ_0 is an isomorphism between \mathbf{H}_+ and $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$. In particular, Ψ_0 is a real-analytic mapping. Consider the second term

$$\Psi_1 : q \mapsto \left(\{\mu_{2n+1}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty; 0; \{r_{2n+1}(q) - \tilde{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \right),$$

$$\Psi_1 : \mathbf{H}_+ \rightarrow \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \subset \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}}^2.$$

Each "coordinate function" $\mu_{2n+1}(q) - 2\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+, r_{2n+1}(q) - \tilde{q}_n^+$ is analytic and the mapping Ψ_1 is correctly defined and bounded in some complex neighborhood of each real potential (since (4.10) holds true uniformly on bounded subsets). Then, Ψ_1 is a real-analytic mapping from \mathbf{H}_+ to $\ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$. Hence, $\Psi = \Psi_0 + \Psi_1$ is a real-analytic mapping. \square

3. Local Isomorphism. Since Ψ is analytic, the Fréchet derivative $d_q \Psi : \mathbf{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$ is bounded for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$. We need to show that $(d_q \Psi)^{-1}$ is bounded too. Note that the operator

$$d_q \Psi_1 : \mathbf{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}}^2$$

is compact since it maps \mathbf{H}_+ into $\ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$ and the embedding $\ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \subset \ell_{\frac{3}{4}}^2$ is compact. Therefore,

$$d_q \Psi = d_q \Psi_0 + d_q \Psi_1 = \Psi_0 + d_q \Psi_1 : \mathbf{H}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}}^2$$

is a Fredholm operator (due to (4.9), the inverse operator Ψ_0^{-1} is bounded). By Fredholm's Theory, in order to prove that $(d_q \Psi)^{-1}$ is bounded, it is sufficient to check that the range $\text{Ran } d_q \Psi$ is dense:

$$\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 = \overline{\text{Ran } d_q \Psi}. \quad (4.12)$$

Due to Lemma A.5 (i), for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ the following standard identities are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(q), \psi_{2m+1}^2(q))_+ &= 0, \quad ((\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(q), (\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})(q))_+ = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{mn}, \\ ((\psi_{2n+1}\chi_{2n+1})'(q), (\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})(q))_+ &= 0, \quad n, m \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Using (4.11) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\left(2(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(q), \frac{\partial \mu_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q} \right)_+ = 0, \quad \left(2(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(q), \frac{\partial \tilde{s}_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q} \right)_+ = \delta_{nm}.$$

Note that $(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(\cdot, q) \in \mathbf{H}_+$ and $(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(0, q) = 0$. By definition (2.6) of $r_{2m+1}(q)$, we have

$$\left(2(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(q), \frac{\partial r_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q} \right)_+ = \delta_{nm}.$$

Hence,

$$(d_q \Psi) (2(\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(\cdot, q)) = (\mathbf{0}; 0; \mathbf{e}_n) ,$$

where $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$, $\mathbf{e}_0 = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$, $\mathbf{e}_1 = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$ and so on. Therefore,

$$\{(\mathbf{0}; 0)\} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 = \{(\mathbf{0}; 0; \mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{c} \in \ell_{3/4}^2\} \subset \overline{\text{Ran } d_q \Psi} . \quad (4.14)$$

We come to the second component of $(d_q \Psi)\xi$, i.e. to the value $\xi(0)$. We consider the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_0(q)$ of the operator T_N (with the same potential q) and the function

$$\xi(t) = (\varphi \vartheta)'(t, \lambda_0(q), q) , \quad t \in \mathbb{R} .$$

Note that $\xi(0)=1$ and asymptotics (A.13), (A.14) give $\xi \in \mathbf{H}_+$ since $\vartheta(\cdot, \lambda_0(q), q)$ is proportional to $\psi_+(\cdot, \lambda_0(q), q)$. Moreover, since $\varphi(0, \lambda_0(q), q) = \psi_{2m+1}(0, q) = 0$, we have

$$\left(\xi , \frac{\partial \mu_{2m+1}(q)}{\partial q} \right)_+ = (\xi, \psi_{2m+1}^2(q))_+ = \frac{-\{\psi_{2m+1}, \varphi\} \{\psi_{2m+1}, \vartheta\}(0, \lambda_0(q), q)}{2(\lambda_{2m+1}(q) - \lambda_0(q))} = 0 .$$

Hence,

$$(d_q \Psi)\xi = (\mathbf{0}; 1; (d_q r)\xi) , \quad \text{where} \quad (d_q r)\xi = \left\{ \left(\xi , \frac{\partial r_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q} \right)_+ \right\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2 .$$

Together with (4.14) this gives

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 \subset \overline{\text{Ran } d_q \Psi} .$$

Furthermore, we consider the functions $-2(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(q) \in \mathbf{H}_+$ (see asymptotics (A.13), (A.14)). Identities (4.11), (4.13) and $(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(0, q) = 1$ give

$$(d_q \Psi) (-2(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(q)) = (\mathbf{e}_m; -2; (d_q r) (-2(\psi_{2m+1}\chi_{2m+1})'(q))) .$$

Due to Proposition 3.3 (ii), the set of finite sequences is dense in \mathcal{H}_0 . Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 \subset \overline{\text{Ran } d_q \Psi} . \quad (4.15)$$

In conclusion, we consider an arbitrary function $\zeta \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \zeta(t) dt \neq 0$. Proposition 3.3 (i) follows

$$(d_q \Psi)\zeta \notin \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 .$$

Together with (4.15) it yields (4.12), since the codimension of \mathcal{H}_0 in \mathcal{H} is equal to 1. \square

4. Ψ is surjective. We need

Lemma 4.4. *Let $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$, $n \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote*

$$q_{2n+1}^t(x) = q(x) - 2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \eta_{2n+1}^t(x, q) , \quad \eta_{2n+1}^t(x, q) = 1 + (e^t - 1) \int_x^{+\infty} \psi_{2n+1}^2(s, q) ds .$$

Then $q_{2n+1}^t \in \mathbf{H}_+$ and

$$\lambda_{2m+1}(q_{2n+1}^t) = \lambda_{2m+1}(q) , \quad s_{2m+1}(q_{2n+1}^t) = s_{2m+1}(q) + t \delta_{mn}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Moreover, $q_{2n+1}^t(0) = q(0)$.

Remark. By definition (2.6), we have $r_{2m+1}(q_n^t) = r_{2m+1}(q) + t \delta_{nm}$ for all $m \geq 0$.

Proof. This Lemma is similar to [CKK2] Theorem 3.5 and can be proved by direct calculations using the so-called Darboux transform of second-order differential equation (see also [MT], [PT]). Note that

$$\eta_{2n+1}^t(x, q) = e^t - (e^t - 1) \int_0^x \psi_{2n+1}^2(s, q) ds = e^t + O(x^3), \quad x \downarrow 0.$$

This implies $q_{2n+1}^t(0) = q(0)$. □

We consider an arbitrary spectral data $(h^*; u^*; c^*) \in \mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$. Due to Theorem 2.2, there exists a potential $q^* \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that

$$\mu_{2n+1}(q^*) = h_n^*, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad q^*(0) = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \tau_n(q^*) = u^*.$$

It gives

$$(h^*; u^*; r(q^*)) \in \Psi(\mathbf{H}_+), \quad \text{where} \quad r(q^*) = (r_1(q^*), r_3(q^*), \dots).$$

Due to Proposition 3.3 (ii), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a finite sequence $t_\varepsilon = (t_1, \dots, t_{2k-1}, 0, \dots)$ such that

$$\|(c^* - r(q^*)) - t_\varepsilon\| = \|(c^* - t_\varepsilon) - r(q^*)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Since Ψ is a local isomorphism, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$(h^*; u^*; c^* - t_\varepsilon) = (h^*; u^*; (c_1^* - t_1, \dots, c_{2k-1}^* - t_{2k-1}, c_{2k+1}^*, c_{2k+3}^*, \dots)) \in \Psi(\mathbf{H}_+).$$

It means that $(h^*; u^*; c^* - t_\varepsilon) = \Psi(q_k)$ for some $q_k \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Using Lemma 4.4 step by step, we construct the sequence of potentials $q_j \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that

$$q_{j-1} = (q_j)_{2j-1}^{t_{2j-1}}, \quad j = k, k-1, \dots, 1,$$

$$\Psi(q_j) = (h^*; u^*; (c_1^* - t_1, \dots, c_{2j-1}^* - t_{2j-1}, c_{2j+1}^*, c_{2j+3}^*, \dots)).$$

Then, $\Psi(q_0) = (h^*; u^*; c^*)$. □

5 Perturbed oscillator on \mathbb{R}_+ , general case

In this Section we consider the family of self-adjoint operators $\{T_b\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$T_b y = -y'' + x^2 y + q(x)y, \quad y'(0) = b y(0), \quad q \in \mathbf{H}_+.$$

We begin with asymptotics of spectral data. Recall that

$$w_N(\lambda, q, b) = \psi'_+(0, \lambda, q) - b \psi_+(0, \lambda, q), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Due to standard estimates of ψ_+ , ψ'_+ (see Lemma A.2 and asymptotics (A.7)), we have

$$w_N(\lambda, q, b) = (\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda) \cdot (1 + O(|\lambda|^{-1/2})), \quad |\lambda| = \lambda_{2k+1}^0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Repeating arguments of Lemma 4.2 [CKK1] and using Rouché's Theorem, we obtain

$$\lambda_{2n}(q, b) = \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}(q, b), \quad \mu_{2n}(q, b) = O(n^{-1/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $(q, b) \in \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

We define the modified norming constant $\tilde{s}_{2n}(q, b)$ by

$$s_{2n}(q, b) = s_{2n}^0 + \alpha_{2n}\mu_{2n}(q, b) + \tilde{s}_{2n}(q, b), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where $s_{2n}^0 = s_{2n}(0, 0)$ and $\alpha_{2n} = -\dot{\psi}_+^0 / \psi_+^0(0, \lambda_{2n}^0)$.

Theorem 5.1. *For each $(q; b) \in \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ and some absolute constant $\delta > 0$ the following asymptotics are fulfilled:*

$$\mu_{2n}(q, b) = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{2E_n}{\sqrt{\pi}}b + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \quad \tilde{s}_{2n}(q) = \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\pi E_n^2}{8}b^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \quad (5.2)$$

uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark. Recall that $E_n = 2^{-2n}(2n)!/(n!)^2 = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}n^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+O(n^{-1}))$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $\lambda_{2n} = \lambda_{2n}(q, b)$ and $\mu_{2n} = \mu_{2n}(q, b)$. We use arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Due to Corollary A.3, Lemma A.6 (i) and asymptotics (A.15), we have

$$\frac{\psi'_+(0, \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}, q)}{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'} = -2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \mu_{2n} + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n), \quad \frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}, q)}{\kappa_{2n}} = 1 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n).$$

Since $(\psi'_+ - b\psi_+)(0, \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}, q) = 0$ and $\kappa_{2n} = O((\lambda_{2n}^0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \dot{\kappa}_{2n}')$ (see (A.15)), we obtain

$$\mu_{2n} = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\kappa_{2n}}{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'}b + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n) = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{2E_n}{\sqrt{\pi}}b + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n). \quad (5.3)$$

Let $\mu_{2n}^{(1)} = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_nb$. Using Lemma A.6 (i) again, we see that

$$\frac{\psi'_+(0, \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}, q)}{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'} = -2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \mu_{2n} + 2\check{q}_{2n}^+ \hat{q}_{2n}^+ - \left(\frac{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \check{q}_{2n}^+ \right) \cdot \mu_{2n}^{(1)} + \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'} (\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n)$$

and

$$\frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}, q)}{\kappa_{2n}} = 1 - \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \mu_{2n}^{(1)} + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n).$$

Substituting these asymptotics into the equation $(\psi'_+ - b\psi_+)(0, \lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}, q) = 0$, we obtain

$$\mu_{2n} = \mu_{2n}^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n}'} \right) \cdot (\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) = \mu_{2n}^{(1)} + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n).$$

We come to the second part of (5.2). Due to Lemma A.6 (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{s_{2n}^0 - s_{2n}(q, b)} &= \frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}, q)}{\kappa_{2n}} = 1 - \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \mu_{2n} + \frac{(\check{q}_{2n}^+)^2}{2} - \frac{\pi^2 (\hat{q}_{2n}^+)^2}{8} \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8} \hat{q}_{2n}^+ \right) \mu_{2n}^{(1)} + \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{2\kappa_{2n}} (\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{2n}^0 - s_{2n}(q, b) &= -\check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \mu_{2n} - \frac{\pi^2}{8} \left(\hat{q}_{2n}^+ - \frac{\mu_{2n}^{(1)}}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}^2}{\kappa_{2n}^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16} \right) \frac{(\mu_{2n}^{(1)})^2}{2} + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \\ &= -\check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \mu_{2n} - \frac{\pi E_n^2}{8} b^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \end{aligned}$$

This asymptotic is equivalent to (5.2) due to definition (5.1) of $\tilde{s}_{2n}(q, b)$. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(q, b) \in \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Then the following identity is fulfilled:*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n}(q, b) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ - 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n b) = -\frac{b^2}{2}.$$

Remark. This formula generalizes the first identity in (3.8).

Proof. We put $q(-x) = q(x)$, $x \geq 0$, and consider the following symmetric potential on \mathbb{R} :

$$q_\delta(x) = q(x) + 2b \cdot \delta(x).$$

Then (formally) we have $\lambda_{2n}(q_\delta) = \lambda_{2n}(q, b)$. Note that

$$(q_\delta)_{2n}^\wedge = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + (\psi_{2n}^0)^2(0) \cdot 2b = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n \cdot b.$$

The right-hand side coincides with the main (linear with respect to q, b) term in the first asymptotics (5.2). Repeating the proof of (3.8) (and Lemma A.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n}(q, b) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ - 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n b) &= 2 \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m: m \neq n} \frac{(q_\delta, (\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m})(\cdot, tq_\delta))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{\lambda_{2n}(tq_\delta) - \lambda_{2m}(tq_\delta)} dt \\ &= 8b^2 \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m: m \neq n} \frac{(\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m})^2(0, tq_\delta)}{\lambda_{2n}(tq_\delta) - \lambda_{2m}(tq_\delta)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\psi_{2n}^0)^2(0) = \pi^{-1/2} E_n = \pi^{-1}(n+1)^{-1/2} + O(n^{-3/2})$, we have

$$\frac{(\psi_{2n} \psi_{2m})^2(0, tq_\delta)}{\lambda_{2n}(tq_\delta) - \lambda_{2m}(tq_\delta)} = \frac{(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}m^{-1} + n^{-1}m^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{4\pi^2(n-m)}$$

uniformly with respect to $t \in [0, 1]$. Note that the double sum $\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{m: m \neq n} \frac{O(\dots)}{4(n-m)}$ is absolutely convergent and so is equal to 0. Hence,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu_{2n}(q, b) - 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ - 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n b) = \frac{b^2}{\pi^2} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=0, m \neq n}^{+\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{n-m}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{b^2}{\pi^2} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n+1}{k} \cdot \frac{m+1}{k}} \left(\frac{n+1}{k} - \frac{m+1}{k} \right)} = \frac{b^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{xy} (x-y)} \\
&= \frac{4b^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 dx \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{x^2 - y^2} = -\frac{2b^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \log \frac{1+x}{1-x} \frac{dx}{x} = -\frac{4b^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2s+1)^2} = -\frac{b^2}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

since $\log \frac{1+x}{1-x} = 2 \sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} \frac{x^{2s+1}}{2s+1}$, $x \in (0, 1]$ and $\sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} (2s+1)^{-2} = \frac{3}{4} \sum_{s=0}^{+\infty} s^{-2} = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{6} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Define the meromorphic function

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda, q)}{w_N(\lambda, q, b)} = \frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda, q, b)}{\psi'_+(0, \lambda, q) - b\psi_+(0, \lambda, q)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By definition (2.8) of $s_{2n}(q, b)$, we have

$$\operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0(q, b)} f(\lambda) = \frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}(q, b), q)}{\dot{w}_N(\lambda_{2n}(q, b), q, b)} = \frac{(-1)^n e^{-s_{2n}(q, b)}}{\dot{w}_N(\lambda_{2n}(q, b), q, b)}.$$

Note that

$$f_0(\lambda) = \frac{\psi_+^0(0, \lambda)}{(\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{(\lambda-1)\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}(\lambda+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4}(\lambda+3))}, \quad \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} f_0(\lambda) = 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n.$$

Put $|\lambda| = 2k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, due to (A.7), we have $C_1 k^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq |f_0(\lambda)| \leq C_2 k^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for some absolute constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. Lemma A.2 gives

$$\frac{\psi_+(0, \lambda, q)}{\psi_+^0(0, \lambda)} = 1 + \frac{\psi_+^{(1)}(0, \lambda, q)}{\psi_+^0(0, \lambda)} + O(k^{-1}), \quad \frac{\psi'_+(0, \lambda, q)}{(\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda)} = 1 + \frac{(\psi_+^{(1)})'(0, \lambda, q)}{(\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda)} + O(k^{-1})$$

Note that (A.4) implies $\psi_+^{(1)}(0) = \int_0^{+\infty} (\psi_+^0 \varphi^0)(t) q(t) dt$ and $(\psi_+^{(1)})'(0) = -\int_0^{+\infty} (\psi_+^0 \vartheta^0)(t) q(t) dt$, where we omit λ and q for short. Since $\psi_+^0(t) = \psi_+^0(0) \vartheta^0(t) + (\psi_+^0)'(0) \varphi^0(t)$, we have

$$\frac{f(\lambda)}{f_0(\lambda)} = \frac{1 + \frac{\psi_+^{(1)}}{\psi_+^0}(0) + O(k^{-1})}{1 + \frac{(\psi_+^{(1)})'}{(\psi_+^0)'}(0) - b f_0(\lambda) + O(k^{-1})} = 1 + b f_0(\lambda) + \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} (\psi_+^0)^2(t, \lambda) q(t) dt}{\psi_+^0(0) (\psi_+^0)'(0)} + O(k^{-1}).$$

Therefore,

$$f(\lambda) = f_0(\lambda) + b \cdot (f_0(\lambda))^2 + h(\lambda, q) + O(k^{-\frac{3}{2}}), \quad \text{where} \quad h(\lambda, q) = \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} (\psi_+^0)^2(t, \lambda) q(t) dt}{((\psi_+^0)')^2(0, \lambda)}.$$

Applying the Cauchy Theorem in the disc $|\lambda| \leq 2k$ to this function and passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{(-1)^n e^{-s_{2n}(q, b)}}{\dot{w}_N(\lambda_{2n}(q, b), q, b)} - 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n \right) = b \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} (f_0(\lambda))^2 + \sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} h(\lambda). \quad (5.4)$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} (f_0(\lambda))^2 = -\frac{\ddot{g}_0(\lambda_{2n}^0)}{(\dot{g}_0(\lambda_{2n}^0))^3}, \quad \text{where} \quad g_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{f_0(\lambda)} = \frac{(\psi_+^0)'}{\psi_+^0}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

On the other hand, the identity $g_0(\lambda_{2n}^0 + \mu_{2n}(0, \beta)) = \beta$ gives

$$\left. \frac{d\mu_{2n}(0, \beta)}{d\beta} \right|_{\beta=0} = \frac{1}{\dot{g}_0(\lambda_{2n}^0)}, \quad \left. \frac{d^2\mu_{2n}(0, \beta)}{d\beta^2} \right|_{\beta=0} = -\frac{\ddot{g}_0(\lambda_{2n}^0)}{(\dot{g}_0(\lambda_{2n}^0))^3} = \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} (f_0(\lambda))^2.$$

Due to Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} (f_0(\lambda))^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left. \frac{d^2\mu_{2n}(0, \beta)}{d\beta^2} \right|_{\beta=0} = -1. \quad (5.5)$$

Furthermore,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{|\lambda|=2k} h(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{|\lambda|=2k} \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} (\psi_+^0)^2(t, \lambda) q(t) dt}{((\psi_+^0)')^2(0, \lambda)} d\lambda.$$

Using Lemma A.2, asymptotics (A.7) and the estimate $\rho(t, \lambda) \geq \rho(t, |\lambda|)$, we obtain

$$\left| \oint_{|\lambda|=2k} h(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq \int_0^{+\infty} |q(t)| R_k(t) dt, \quad R_k(t) = \frac{C}{k^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^2(t, 2k)} \cdot \oint_{|\lambda|=2k} e^{-2\sigma(t, \lambda)} |d\lambda|,$$

where $C > 0$ is some absolute constant. Since $\sigma(t, \lambda) \geq 0$, we have $R_k(t) = O(k^{\frac{1}{2}}/\rho^2(t, 2k))$ uniformly with respect to t and k . Therefore, (A.11) yields the following estimate, which is uniform with respect to k :

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |q(t)| R_k(t) dt = O(k^{1/2}) \cdot \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|q(t)| dt}{\rho^2(t, 2k)} = O(k^{1/2}) \cdot \beta(q, 2k) = O(\|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+}).$$

On the other hand, due to definitions (A.5), for any fixed $t > 0$ we have

$$R_k(t) = O(1) \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-2\sigma(t, 2ke^{i\phi})} d\phi \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $e^{-2\sigma(t, 2ke^{i\phi})} \leq 1$ and $\sigma(t, 2ke^{i\phi}) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\phi \in (0, 2\pi)$. Hence,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} |q(t)| R_k(t) dt \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

This follows

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{res}_{\lambda=\lambda_{2n}^0} h(\lambda) = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Substituting (5.5) and (5.6) into (5.4), we obtain (2.10). \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4 (i). Note that Theorem 5.1 gives $\tilde{s}_{2n}(q) = \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{1}{8} \pi E_n^2 b^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n)$. Arguing in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 (i), we obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ z^n \equiv P_+ \left[\frac{(G_N q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(F_D q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m+1}^+ \zeta^m \right].$$

Recall that $(\triangle H q)(z) \equiv \sum_{m \geq 0} (\hat{q}_{2m}^+ - \hat{q}_{2m+1}^+) z^m$. Then,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ z^n \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ \zeta^m \right] + \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \cdot (\triangle H q)(\zeta) \right], \quad (5.7)$$

Due to Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ z^m \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mu_{2m}(q, b) z^m + \frac{b}{\pi^{1/2}} \sum_{m \geq 0} E_m z^m + \omega(z), \quad \omega \in H_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2.$$

Note that Lemma 5.2 yields $\omega(1) = \frac{1}{4} b^2$. Since $\sum_{m \geq 0} E_m z^m \equiv (1-z)^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ z^m \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mu_{2m}(q, b) z^m + \frac{\pi^{-1/2} b}{\sqrt{1-z}} + \frac{b^2}{4} + \omega_1(z), \quad \omega_1 \in \mathring{H}_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2.$$

Due to the identity $P_+[\sqrt{-\zeta}/\sqrt{1-\zeta}] \equiv P_+[1/\sqrt{1-\zeta}] \equiv 1$, we obtain

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \sum_{m \geq 0} \hat{q}_{2m}^+ \zeta^m \right] \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \sum_{m \geq 0} \mu_{2m}(q, b) \zeta^m \right] + \frac{\pi^{1/2} b}{2} - \frac{\pi b^2}{8} P_+[\sqrt{-\zeta}] + \omega_2(z), \quad (5.8)$$

where $\omega_2(z) \equiv -\frac{\pi}{2} P_+[\sqrt{-\zeta} \omega_1(\zeta)] \in H_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$.

We consider the second term in (5.7). Recall that $(\triangle H q)(1) = \frac{1}{4} q(0)$ and

$$\frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\frac{(\triangle H q)(\zeta) - (\triangle H q)(1)}{\sqrt{-\zeta}} \right] \equiv (\tilde{H} q)(z) \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{q}_n^+ z^n \in H_{3/4}^2.$$

Since $1/\sqrt{-\zeta} = \sqrt{1-\zeta}/\sqrt{1-\zeta}$, $\zeta \neq 1$, we have

$$(\triangle H q)(z) - (\triangle H q)(1) \equiv \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-z} P_+ \left[\frac{(\tilde{H} q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right].$$

Using the identity $z P_+[f(\zeta)](z) \equiv P_+[\zeta f(\zeta)](z) - P_+[\zeta f(\zeta)](0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{2} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \cdot ((\triangle H q)(\zeta) - (\triangle H q)(1)) \right] &\equiv P_+ \left[\frac{1-\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} P_+ \left[\frac{(\tilde{H} q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right] \right] \\ &\equiv P_+ \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \left(P_+ \left[\frac{(1-\zeta)(\tilde{H} q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right] + P_+ \left[\frac{\zeta(\tilde{H} q)(\zeta)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \right](0) \right) \right] \\ &\equiv P_+ \left[\frac{(1-\zeta)(\tilde{H} q)(\zeta)}{1-\zeta} \right] + \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{k+1} \tilde{q}_k^+ \equiv - \sum_{n \geq 1} \tilde{q}_{n-1}^+ z^n + \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{k+1} \tilde{q}_k^+. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Substituting (5.8) and (5.9) into (5.7), we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \check{q}_{2n}^+ z^n \equiv -\frac{\pi}{4} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \sum_{n \geq 0} \mu_{2n}(q, b) \zeta^n \right] + \frac{\pi(q(0) - b^2)}{8} P_+ \left[\sqrt{-\zeta} \right] - \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{q}_{n-1}^+ z^n + \omega_2(z),$$

where

$$\tilde{q}_{-1}^+ = - \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{k+1} \tilde{q}_k^+ - \frac{\pi^{1/2} b}{2}. \quad (5.10)$$

Using Theorem 5.1 and the identity $\sqrt{-\zeta} = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} \zeta^l / (2l-1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{2n}(q) &= \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\pi E_n^2}{8} b^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\mu_{2m}(q, b)}{2(n-m)-1} - \frac{q(0) - 2b^2}{4(2n-1)} + r_{2n}(q, b), \\ r_{2n}(q, b) &= -\tilde{q}_{n-1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

In particular, $\{\mu_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$, since $\{\tilde{q}_{n-1}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \ell_{3/4}^2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4 (ii). As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we prove that the mapping Φ is 1) injective; 2) analytic; 3) local isomorphism and 4) surjective, where

$$\Phi : (q; b) \mapsto (\{\mu_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty; q(0) - 2b^2; \{r_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty), \quad \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_N \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2.$$

1. Uniqueness Theorem. Suppose that

$$(\{\mu_{2n}(p, a)\}_{n=0}^\infty, p(0) - 2a^2, \{r_{2n}(p, a)\}_{n=0}^\infty) = (\{\mu_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty, q(0) - 2b^2, \{r_{2n}(q, b)\}_{n=0}^\infty)$$

for some potentials $p, q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ and some real constants $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows

$$\lambda_{2n}(p) = \lambda_{2n}(q), \quad s_{2n}(p) = s_{2n}(q), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.5 yields $a = b$. The rest of the proof repeats the proof of Theorem 2.3 with the function $\vartheta(x, \lambda, q) - b\varphi(x, \lambda, q)$ instead of $\varphi(x, \lambda, q)$. \square

2. Analyticity of Φ . Arguments, similar to the proof of (4.9), follow that the mappings

$$\begin{aligned} q &\mapsto (F_N q; \triangle H q) \mapsto \left(\{\hat{q}_{2n}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty; q(0); \{\tilde{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \right), \\ \mathbf{H}_+ &\leftrightarrow H_{3/4}^2 \times H_{3/4}^2 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2, \end{aligned}$$

are linear isomorphisms. Also, it is clear that the mapping

$$\left(\{\hat{q}_{2n}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty; b \right) \mapsto \left(\{2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_n b\}_{n=0}^\infty; b \right)$$

is a linear isomorphism between $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, since \tilde{q}_{-1}^+ is a linear combination of \tilde{q}_n^+ , $n \geq 0$, and b (see (5.10)), the mapping

$$(b; \{\tilde{q}_n^+\}_{n=0}^\infty) \mapsto \{\tilde{q}_{n-1}^+\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$$

is a linear isomorphism between $\mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$ and $\ell_{3/4}^2$. Combining these isomorphisms, we obtain that the linear operator

$$\Phi_0 : (q; b) \mapsto \left(\{2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} E_n b\}_{n=0}^\infty; q(0); \{\tilde{q}_{n-1}^+\}_{n=0}^\infty \right), \quad \Phi_0 : \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$$

and its inverse are bounded. Recall that Theorem 5.1 gives

$$\mu_{2n}(q, b) = 2\hat{q}_{2n}^+ + 2\pi^{-1/2} E_n b + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n), \quad r_{2n}(q) = -\tilde{q}_{n-1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n).$$

In other words, if we put $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1$, then Φ_1 maps $\mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ into the space $\ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$. Moreover, Φ_1 is locally bounded. Using Lemma A.4 by the same way as in Sect. 4, we obtain that Φ_1 is analytic and hence Φ is analytic too. \square

3. Local Isomorphism. The proof is similar to the case of Dirichlet boundary condition in Sect. 4. Due to Lemma A.4, the following identities are fulfilled:

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial q(t)} = \psi_{2n}^2(t, q, b), \quad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})(t, q, b), \quad (5.12)$$

where $\psi_{2n}(\cdot, q, b)$ is n -th normalized eigenfunction of T_b and $\chi_{2n}(\cdot, q, b)$ is some special solution of Eq. (2.4) for $\lambda = \lambda_{2n}(q, b)$ such that $\{\chi_{2n+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} = 1$. In particular, $(\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})' \in \mathbf{H}_+$. Also,

$$\frac{\partial \mu_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial b} = \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b), \quad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial b} = (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})(0, q, b). \quad (5.13)$$

Note that for each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fréchet derivative

$$d_{(q;b)} \Phi = \Phi_0 + d_{(q;b)} \Phi_1 : \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$$

is a Fredholm operator since Φ_0^{-1} is bounded and $d_{(q;b)} \Phi_1$ maps $\mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ into $\ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2$ and so is compact as an operator from \mathbf{H}_+ to $\ell_{\frac{3}{4}}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{\frac{3}{4}}^2$. Hence, it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 = \overline{\text{Ran } d_{(q;b)} \Psi}. \quad (5.14)$$

Using (5.12), (5.13) and Lemma A.5 (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(2(\psi_{2n}^2)'(q, b), \frac{\partial \mu_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial q} \right)_+ + \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b) \frac{\partial \mu_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial b} &= 0, \\ \left(2(\psi_{2n}^2)'(q, b), \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial q} \right)_+ + \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b) \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial b} &= \delta_{nm}, \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that

$$(d_{(q;b)}(q(0) - 2b^2))(2(\psi_{2n}^2)'(q, b); \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b)) = 2(\psi_{2n}^2)'(0, q, b) - 4b\psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b) = 0,$$

since $\psi_{2n}'(0, q, b) = b\psi_{2n}(0, q, b)$. Hence, definition (2.9) gives

$$(d_{(q;b)} \Phi) (2(\psi_{2n}^2)'(\cdot, q, b); \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b)) = (\mathbf{0}; 0; \mathbf{e}_n).$$

Therefore,

$$\{(\mathbf{0}; 0)\} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 \subset \overline{\text{Ran } d_{(q;b)}\Phi}.$$

We consider the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_1(q)$ of the operator T_D (with the same potential q) and the function

$$\xi(t) = ((\vartheta - b\varphi)\varphi)'(t, \lambda_1(q), q).$$

Note that $\xi(0) = 1$, $\xi \in \mathbf{H}_+$ and $(\xi, \psi_{2m}^2(q))_+ = 0$. Hence,

$$(d_{(q;b)}\Phi)(\xi; 0) = (\mathbf{0}; 1; (d_{(q;b)}r)(\xi; 0)).$$

This implies

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 \subset \overline{\text{Ran } d_{(q;b)}\Phi}.$$

Furthermore, using Lemma A.5 (ii) again, we obtain

$$(d_{(q;b)}\Phi)(-2(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})'; -(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0)) = (\mathbf{e}_m; 2; (d_{(q;b)}r)(-2(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})'; -(\psi_{2m}\chi_{2m})(0))).$$

Since the set of finite sequences is dense in \mathcal{H}_0 , it yields

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2 \subset \overline{\text{Ran } d_{(q;b)}\Phi}.$$

In conclusion, we consider an arbitrary function $\zeta \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \zeta(t)dt \neq 0$. Due to asymptotics (2.7), we have

$$(d_{(q;b)}\Phi)(\zeta; 0) \notin \mathcal{H}_0 \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2.$$

Hence, (5.14) holds true since the codimension of \mathcal{H}_0 in \mathcal{H} is equal to 1. □

4. Φ is surjective. The following Lemma is an analogue of Lemma 4.4:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote*

$$q_{2n}^t(x) = q(x) - 2\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \eta_{2n}^t(x, q, b), \quad b_{2n}^t = b + (1 - e^{-t}) \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b),$$

where

$$\eta_{2n}^t(x, q, b) = 1 + (e^t - 1) \int_x^{+\infty} \psi_{2n}^2(s, q, b) ds.$$

Then $q_{2n}^t \in \mathbf{H}$ and

$$\lambda_{2m}(q_{2n}^t, b_{2n}^t) = \lambda_{2m}(q, b), \quad s_{2m}(q_{2n}^t, b_{2n}^t) = s_{2m}(q, b) + t\delta_{nm}$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Moreover, $q_{2n}^t(0) - 2(b_{2n}^t)^2 = q(0) - 2b^2$.

Remark. In this case definition (2.9) yields $r_{2m}(q_{2n}^t, b_{2n}^t) = r_{2m}(q, b) + t\delta_{nm}$.

Proof. The crucial point is the changing of b , i.e. the changing of the boundary condition. It is known (see [PT], [MT], [CKK2]) that for each $m \geq 0$ the function

$$\tilde{\psi}_{2m}(x) = \psi_{2m}(x, q, b) - (e^t - 1) \frac{\psi_{2m}(x, q, b)}{\eta_{2m}^t(x, q, b)} \int_x^{+\infty} \psi_{2m}(s, q, b) \psi_{2m}(s, q, b) ds$$

is a solution of the equation $-\psi'' + x^2\psi + q_{2m}^t(x)\psi = \lambda_{2m}(q, b)\psi$. Direct calculations show that the identities $\tilde{\psi}'_{2m}(0) = b_{2m}^t \tilde{\psi}_{2m}(x)$ holds true for all $m \geq 0$. Hence, $\lambda_{2m}(q_{2m}^t, b_{2m}^t) = \lambda_{2m}(q, b)$. The other equalities are similar to Lemma 4.4. \square

The rest of the proof is the same as in Sect. 4. We consider an arbitrary spectral data $(h^*; u^*; c^*) \in \mathcal{S}_D \times \mathbb{R} \times \ell_{3/4}^2$. Due to Theorem 2.2, there exists potential $q^* \in \mathbf{H}_+$ such that

$$\mu_{2n}(q^*) = \mu_{2n}(q^*, 0) = h_n^*, \quad n \geq 0, \quad q^*(0) = u^*.$$

Since Φ is a local isomorphism and the set of finite sequences is dense in \mathcal{H}_0 , there exists a finite number of values t_0, t_2, \dots, t_{2k} such that

$$(h^*; u^*; (c_0^* - t_0, \dots, c_{2k}^* - t_{2k}, c_{2k+2}^*, c_{2k+4}^*, \dots)) = \Phi(q_{k+1}; b_{k+1}) \in \Phi(\mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}).$$

Using Lemma 5.3 $k+1$ times step by step, we obtain potential $q_0 \in \mathbf{H}_+$ and constant $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\Psi(q_0; b_0) = (h^*; u^*; c^*)$. The proof is finished. \square

A Appendix

Here we collect some technical results from [CKK2], [CKK1] which are essentially used above.

A.1 The unperturbed equation. It is well-known that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the equation

$$-\psi'' + x^2\psi = \lambda\psi. \tag{A.1}$$

has the solution $\psi_+^0(x, \lambda) = D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)$, where $D_\mu(x)$ is the Weber function (or the parabolic cylinder function, see [B]). Moreover, for each x the functions $\psi_+^0(x, \cdot)$ and $(\psi_+^0)'(x, \cdot)$ are entire and the following asymptotics are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+^0(x, \lambda) &= (\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 + O(x^{-2})), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty, \\ (\psi_+^0)'(x, \lambda) &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 + O(x^{-2})), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

uniformly with respect to λ on bounded domains. Note that (see [B])

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+^0(0, \lambda) &= D_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0) = 2^{\frac{\lambda-1}{4}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3-\lambda}{4}\right) = \cos \frac{(\lambda-1)\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{\lambda-1}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{4}\right), \\ (\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda) &= \sqrt{2} D'_{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}(0) = 2^{\frac{\lambda-1}{4}} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{4}\right) = \sin \frac{(\lambda-1)\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{2^{\frac{\lambda+3}{4}}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\lambda+3}{4}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Let $J^0(x, t; \lambda)$ be the solution of (A.1) such that $J^0(t, t; \lambda) = 0$, $(J^0)'_x(t, t; \lambda) = 1$. Note that

$$J^0(0, t; \lambda) = -\varphi^0(t, \lambda), \quad (J^0)'_x(0, t; \lambda) = \vartheta^0(t, \lambda). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In order to estimate ψ_+^0 and J^0 , we introduce real-valued functions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, \lambda) &= 1 + |\lambda|^{1/12} + |x^2 - \lambda|^{1/4}, \\ a(\lambda) &= \left| \frac{\lambda}{2e} \right|^{\frac{\operatorname{Re} \lambda}{4}} e^{\frac{\pi - \phi}{4} \operatorname{Im} \lambda}, \quad \lambda = |\lambda| e^{i\phi}, \quad \phi \in [0, 2\pi), \\ \sigma(x, \lambda) &= \operatorname{Re} \int_0^x \sqrt{y^2 - \lambda} dy, \quad x \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where $\sqrt{y^2 - \lambda} = y + o(1)$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$ (note that it follows $\operatorname{Re} \sqrt{y^2 - \lambda} \geq 0$, if $y \geq 0$).

Lemma A.1. *For all $(x, t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C}$ the following estimates are fulfilled:*

$$|\psi_+^0(x, \lambda)| \leq C_0 a(\lambda) \cdot \frac{e^{-\sigma(x, \lambda)}}{\rho(x, \lambda)}, \quad |(\psi_+^0)'(x, \lambda)| \leq C_0 a(\lambda) \cdot \rho(x, \lambda) e^{-\sigma(x, \lambda)}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$|J^0(x, t; \lambda)| \leq \frac{C_1}{\rho(x, \lambda) \rho(t, \lambda)} e^{|\sigma(x, \lambda) - \sigma(t, \lambda)|}, \quad |(J^0)'_x(x, t; \lambda)| \leq C_1 \frac{\rho(x, \lambda)}{\rho(t, \lambda)} e^{|\sigma(x, \lambda) - \sigma(t, \lambda)|},$$

where C_0, C_1 are some absolute constants.

Proof. See Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 [CKK1]. Note that the proof is based on the result of [O]. \square

Remark. If $x = 0$ and $|\lambda| \geq 1$, then¹⁰ $\sigma(0, \lambda) = 0$ and $\rho(0, \lambda) \asymp |\lambda|^{1/4}$. Identities (A.3) and routine calculations follow

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_+^0(0, \lambda)| &\asymp |\lambda|^{-1/4} a(\lambda), \quad \text{if } |\lambda| = k \neq 4n+3, \\ |(\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda)| &\asymp |\lambda|^{1/4} a(\lambda), \quad \text{if } |\lambda| = k \neq 4n+1, \end{aligned} \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

In other words, the estimates (A.6) of $|\psi_+(0, \lambda)|$ and $|\psi'_+(0, \lambda)|$ are exact on these contours.

A.2 The perturbed equation. The solutions $\psi_+, \vartheta, \varphi$ of the perturbed equation

$$-\psi'' + x^2 \psi + q(x) \psi = \lambda \psi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

can be constructed by iterations:

$$\psi_+(x, \lambda, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \psi_+^{(n)}(x, \lambda, q), \quad \psi_+^{(n+1)}(x, \lambda, q) = - \int_x^{+\infty} J^0(x, t; \lambda) \psi_+^{(n)}(t, \lambda, q) q(t) dt, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\vartheta_{1,2}(x, \lambda, q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \vartheta_{1,2}^{(n)}(x, \lambda, q), \quad \vartheta_{1,2}^{(n+1)}(x, \lambda, q) = \int_0^x J^0(x, t; \lambda) \vartheta_{1,2}^{(n)}(t, \lambda, q) q(t) dt, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where we use the notations $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta$ and $\vartheta_2 = \varphi$ for short.

¹⁰Here and below $f \asymp g$ means that $C_1 |f| \leq |g| \leq C_2 |f|$ for some absolute constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

Introduce functions

$$\beta_+(x, \lambda, q) = C_1 \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t, \lambda)}, \quad \beta_0(x, \lambda, q) = C_1 \int_0^x \frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t, \lambda)} dt.$$

It is easy to see ([CKK2] Lemma 5.5) that

$$\beta(\lambda, q) = \beta_+(x, \lambda, q) + \beta_0(x, \lambda, q) = C_1 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|q(t)|dt}{\rho^2(t, \lambda)} = O(|\lambda|^{-1/2} \|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+}). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Lemma A.2. *For all $(x, \lambda, q) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ the following estimates are fulfilled:*

$$|\psi_+^{(n)}(x, \lambda, q)| \leq C_0 a(\lambda) \frac{e^{-\sigma(x, \lambda)}}{\rho(x, \lambda)} \cdot \frac{\beta_+^n(x, \lambda, q)}{n!},$$

$$|\vartheta_j^{(n)}(x, \lambda, q)| \leq \frac{2C_1}{(1+|\lambda|^{1/4})^{2j-3}} \cdot \frac{e^{\sigma(x, \lambda)}}{\rho(x, \lambda)} \cdot \frac{\beta_0^n(x, \lambda, q)}{n!}, \quad j=1, 2.$$

In particular, series (A.9), (A.10) converge uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, the similar estimates with $\rho(x, \lambda)$ instead of $\frac{1}{\rho(x, \lambda)}$ in right-hand sides hold true for the values $|(\psi_\pm^{(n)})'(x, \lambda, q)|$ and $|(\vartheta_j^{(n)})'(x, \lambda, q)|$.

Proof. See [CKK1] Lemma 3.1 and [CKK2] Lemmas 5.2, 5.3. \square

Corollary A.3. *For all $(\lambda, q) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$, $n, m \geq 0$ and some absolute constant $C > 0$ the following estimates are fulfilled:*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^m \psi_+^{(n)}(0, \lambda, q)}{\partial \lambda^m} \right| \leq \frac{m! C^{n+m+1} \|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+}^n}{n!} \cdot \frac{\log^m(|\lambda|+2) \cdot a(\lambda)}{(|\lambda|+1)^{\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}},$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^m (\psi_+^{(n)})'(0, \lambda, q)}{\partial \lambda^m} \right| \leq \frac{m! C^{n+m+1} \|q\|_{\mathbf{H}_+}^n}{n!} \cdot \frac{\log^m(|\lambda|+2) \cdot a(\lambda)}{(|\lambda|+1)^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Proof. Note that $\sigma(0, \lambda) = 0$ and $\rho(0, \lambda) \asymp 1 + |\lambda|^{1/4}$. Hence, Lemma A.2 and (A.11) give (A.12) for $m = 0$. Recall that $\psi_+^{(n)}(0, \lambda, q)$, $(\psi_+^{(n)})'(0, \lambda, q)$ are entire functions. Therefore, the integration over the contour $\lambda(\phi) = \lambda + e^{i\phi} \log^{-1}(|\lambda|+2)$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$, together with the simple estimate $a(\lambda(\phi)) = O(a(\lambda))$ follows (A.12) in the general case $m > 0$. \square

Let

$$\tilde{\beta}_+(x, q) = \frac{1}{x^2+1} + \int_x^{+\infty} \left| \frac{q(t)}{t} \right| dt.$$

The following asymptotics as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ are fulfilled uniformly on bounded subsets of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ (see [CKK2] p.139 and p.169):

$$\psi_+(x, \lambda, q) = (\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 + O(\tilde{\beta}_+(x, q))),$$

$$\psi'_+(x, \lambda, q) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{\lambda+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 + O(\tilde{\beta}_+(x, q))). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Moreover, if $\chi_+(x, \lambda, q)$ is an arbitrary solution of (A.8) such that $k = \{\chi_+, \psi_+\} \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_+(x, \lambda, q) &= -\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{-\lambda-1}{2}} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 + O(\tilde{\beta}_+(x, q))), \\ \chi'_+(x, \lambda, q) &= -\frac{k}{2}(\sqrt{2}x)^{\frac{-\lambda+1}{2}} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} (1 + O(\tilde{\beta}_+(x, q))).\end{aligned}\tag{A.14}$$

Remark. If $q \in \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$, then (A.13), (A.14) give $(\psi_+ \chi_+)' \in \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}$ (see [CKK2] p. 172).

A.3 Analyticity of spectral data and its gradients.

Lemma A.4. *For each $(q; b) \in \mathbf{H}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ all spectral data $\lambda_{2n+1}(q)$, $s_{2n+1}(q)$, $\lambda_{2n}(q, b)$, $s_{2n}(q, b)$ extend analytically to some complex ball $\{(p; a) \in \mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C} : \|p - q\|_{\mathbf{H}_{+\mathbb{C}}}^2 + |a - b|^2 < R^2(q, b)\}$. Moreover, its gradients are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \lambda_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} &= \psi_{2n+1}^2(t, q), & \frac{\partial \lambda_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial q(t)} &= \psi_{2n}^2(t, q, b), \\ \frac{\partial s_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} &= (\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})(t, q), & \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial q(t)} &= (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})(t, q, b),\end{aligned}$$

where ψ_{2n+1} is the n -th normalized eigenfunction of T_D , ψ_{2n} is the n -th normalized eigenfunction of T_b , and

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{2n+1}(t, q) &= \frac{\vartheta(t, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)}{\psi'_{2n+1}(0, q)} - \frac{\dot{\psi}_+}{\psi'_+}(0, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q) \cdot \psi_{2n+1}(t, q), \\ \chi_{2n}(t, q, b) &= -\frac{\varphi(t, \lambda_{2n}(q, b), q)}{\psi_{2n}(0, q, b)} - \frac{\dot{\psi}_+}{\psi_+}(0, \lambda_{2n}(q, b), q) \cdot \psi_{2n}(t, q, b).\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial b} = \psi_{2n}^2(0, q, b), \quad \frac{\partial s_{2n}(q, b)}{\partial b} = (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})(0, q, b).$$

Proof. The proof of the analyticity repeats the proof of [CKK2] Lemma 2.3 (p. 172). In order to calculate gradients note that standard arguments (see [CKK2] Lemma 5.6) give

$$\frac{\partial \psi_+(0, \lambda, q)}{\partial q(t)} = (\varphi \psi_+)(t, \lambda, q), \quad \frac{\partial \psi'_+(0, \lambda, q)}{\partial q(t)} = -(\vartheta \psi_+)(t, \lambda, q), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Applying the implicit function Theorem to the equation $\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q) = 0$ and using the identity $\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \psi_+^2(t, \lambda, q) dt = \{\psi_+, \dot{\psi}_+\}(0, \lambda, q)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial \psi_+(0)/\partial q(t)}{\partial \psi_+(0)/\partial \lambda} = -\frac{(\varphi \psi_+)(t)}{\dot{\psi}_+(0)} = -\frac{\psi_+^2(t)}{\psi'_+(0)\dot{\psi}_+(0)} = \frac{\psi_+^2(t)}{\{\psi_+, \dot{\psi}_+\}(0)} = \psi_{2n+1}^2(t)$$

(here and below we omit $\lambda = \lambda_{2n+1}(q)$ and q for short). Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n+1}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial \log |\psi'_+(0, \lambda_{2n+1}(q), q)|}{\partial q(t)} = \frac{(\vartheta \psi_+)(t) - \dot{\psi}'_+(0) \cdot \psi_{2n+1}^2(t)}{\psi'_+(0)} = (\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})(t).$$

By the same way, the identity $(\psi'_+ - b\psi_+)(0, \lambda_{2n}(q, b), q)$ follows

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial(\psi'_+ - b\psi_+)(0)/\partial q(t)}{\partial(\psi'_+ - b\psi_+)(0)/\partial \lambda} = \frac{(\vartheta + b\varphi)(t)\psi_+(t)}{\dot{\psi}'_+(0) - b\dot{\psi}_+(0)} = \frac{\psi_+^2(t)}{\psi_+(0)(\dot{\psi}'_+(0) - b\dot{\psi}_+(0))} = \psi_{2n}^2(t),$$

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_{2n}(q)}{\partial b} = \frac{\psi_+(0)}{\dot{\psi}'_+(0) - b\dot{\psi}_+(0)} = \frac{\psi_+^2(0)}{\{\psi_+, \dot{\psi}_+\}(0)} = \psi_{2n}^2(0),$$

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{\partial \log |\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}(q), q)|}{\partial q(t)} = -\frac{(\varphi \psi_+)(t) + \dot{\psi}_+(0) \cdot \psi_{2n}^2(t)}{\psi_+(0)} = (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})(t),$$

$$\frac{\partial s_{2n}(q)}{\partial b} = -\frac{\partial \log |\psi_+(0, \lambda_{2n}(q), q)|}{\partial b} = -\frac{\dot{\psi}_+(0) \cdot \psi_{2n}^2(0)}{\psi_+(0)} = (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})(0),$$

where we omit $\lambda = \lambda_{2n}(q, b)$ and q for short. □

Lemma A.5. (i) For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$ and $n, m \geq 0$ the following identities are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\psi_{2n+1}^2)', \psi_{2m+1}^2)_+ &= 0, & ((\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})', \psi_{2m+1}^2)_+ &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{mn}, \\ ((\psi_{2n+1}^2)', \psi_{2m+1} \chi_{2m+1})_+ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{mn}, & ((\psi_{2n+1} \chi_{2n+1})', \psi_{2m+1} \chi_{2m+1})_+ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For each $q \in \mathbf{H}_+$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $n, m \geq 0$ the following identities are fulfilled:

$$\begin{aligned} ((\psi_{2n}^2)', \psi_{2m}^2)_+ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\psi_{2n}^2 \psi_{2m}^2)(0), & ((\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})', \psi_{2m}^2)_+ &= \frac{1}{2} (-\delta_{nm} - (\psi_{2n}^2 \psi_{2m} \chi_{2m})(0)), \\ ((\psi_{2n}^2)', \psi_{2m} \chi_{2m})_+ &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{nm} - (\psi_{2n}^2 \psi_{2m} \chi_{2m})(0)), & ((\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n})', \psi_{2m} \chi_{2m})_+ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\psi_{2n} \chi_{2n} \psi_{2m} \chi_{2m})(0). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. This Lemma is similar to [CKK2] Lemma 2.6 (see also [PT] p. 44-45).

Proof. (i) For instance, we prove the third identity. Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{nm} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\psi_{2n+1}^2)'(t, q) (\psi_{2m+1} \chi_{2m+1})(t, q) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \{\psi_{2m+1} \chi_{2m+1}, (\psi_{2n+1}^2)\}(t, q) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\chi_{2m+1} \psi_{2n+1} \{\psi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} + \psi_{2m+1} \psi_{2n+1} \{\chi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\})(t, q) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \neq m$ it follows

$$I_{nm} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{(\{\psi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} \{\chi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\})'(q, t)}{2(\lambda_{2m+1}(q) - \lambda_{2n+1}(q))} dt = 0.$$

If $n = m$, then we have $\{\psi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} = 0$ and $\{\chi_{2m+1}, \psi_{2n+1}\} = 1$. Therefore,

$$I_{nn} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \psi_{2n+1}^2(t, q) dt = \frac{1}{2}.$$

The proof of others identities and the proof of (ii) is similar. \square

A.4 The leading terms of asymptotics of $\psi_+(0, \lambda)$ and $\psi'_+(0, \lambda)$. Let

$$\kappa_n = \psi_+^0(0, \lambda_n^0), \quad \kappa'_n = (\psi_+^0)'(0, \lambda_n^0), \quad \dot{\kappa}_n = \dot{\psi}_+^0(0, \lambda_n^0) \quad \text{and so on.}$$

Note that (A.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{2n} &\asymp |\lambda_{2n}^0|^{-1/4} \cdot a(\lambda_{2n}^0), & \kappa'_{2n} &= 0, & \dot{\kappa}'_{2n} &\asymp |\lambda_{2n}^0|^{1/4} \cdot a(\lambda_{2n}^0), \\ \kappa_{2n+1} &= 0, & \kappa'_{2n+1} &\asymp |\lambda_{2n+1}^0|^{1/4} \cdot a(\lambda_{2n+1}^0), & \dot{\kappa}_{2n+1} &\asymp |\lambda_{2n+1}^0|^{-1/4} \cdot a(\lambda_{2n+1}^0), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \frac{\ddot{\kappa}'_{2n}}{2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n}} &= O(n^{-1}), & \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} - \frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}^2}{\kappa_{2n}^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16} &= O(n^{-1}), \\ \frac{\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}} - \frac{\ddot{\kappa}_{2n+1}}{2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1}} &= O(n^{-1}), & \frac{\ddot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}} - \frac{(\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1})^2}{(\kappa'_{2n+1})^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{16} &= O(n^{-1}), \end{aligned} \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Lemma A.6. (i) Let $\psi_+^{(1)} = \psi_+^{(1)}(0, \lambda_{2n}^0, q)$, $\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} = \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)}(0, \lambda_{2n}^0, q)$ and so on. The following identities and asymptotics are fulfilled for some absolute constant $\delta > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+^{(1)} &= -\kappa_{2n} \cdot \check{q}_{2n}^+, & \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} &= \kappa_{2n} \left(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8} \hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \ell_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right), \\ (\psi_+^{(1)})' &= -2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n} \cdot \hat{q}_{2n}^+, & (\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)})' &= -2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n} \left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}_{2n}}{\kappa_{2n}} \hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \frac{1}{2} \check{q}_{2n}^+ + \ell_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right), \\ (\psi_+^{(2)})' &= -2\dot{\kappa}'_{2n} \left(-\check{q}_{2n}^+ \hat{q}_{2n}^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right), & \psi_+^{(2)} &= \kappa_{2n} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\check{q}_{2n}^+)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8} (\hat{q}_{2n}^+)^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\psi_+^{(1)} = \psi_+^{(1)}(0, \lambda_{2n+1}^0, q)$, $\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} = \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)}(0, \lambda_{2n+1}^0, q)$ and so on. The following identities and asymptotics are fulfilled for some absolute constant $\delta > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_+^{(1)} &= -2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1} \hat{q}_{2n+1}^+, & \dot{\psi}_+^{(1)} &= -2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1} \left(\frac{\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}} \hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \frac{1}{2} \check{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right), \\ (\psi_+^{(1)})' &= -\kappa'_{2n+1} \cdot \check{q}_{2n+1}^+, & (\dot{\psi}_+^{(1)})' &= \kappa'_{2n+1} \left(-\frac{\dot{\kappa}'_{2n+1}}{\kappa'_{2n+1}} \check{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \frac{\pi^2}{8} \hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{1}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right), \\ \psi_+^{(2)} &= -2\dot{\kappa}_{2n+1} \left(-\check{q}_{2n+1}^+ \hat{q}_{2n+1}^+ + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right), & (\psi_+^{(2)})' &= \kappa'_{2n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\check{q}_{2n+1}^+)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{8} (\hat{q}_{2n+1}^+)^2 + \ell_{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}^2(n) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See [CKK2] Lemmas 5.11, 5.12 and [CKK2] Theorem 6.4. \square

A.5 The convergence of one double sum. Here we prove technical

Lemma A.7. *Let $q, p \in \mathbf{H}$. Then*

$$S_k = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{(q, (\psi_n \psi_m)(p))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2}{m-n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Using Lemma A.2, Corollary A.3 and asymptotics (A.7), we obtain

$$\psi_n^0 = O(\rho^{-1}(x, \lambda_n^0)), \quad \psi_n(x, p) = \psi_n^0(x) + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n \cdot \rho^{-1}(x, \lambda_n^0)).$$

Note that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|q(t)| dt}{\rho(t, \lambda_n^0) \rho(t, \lambda_m^0)} \right)^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (t^2 + 1) |q(t)|^2 dt \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 1) \rho^2(t, \lambda_n^0) \rho^2(t, \lambda_m^0)} = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Let

$$S_k = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=k+1}^{k+k^{3/4}} + \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=k+k^{3/4}}^{+\infty} = S_k^{(1)} + S_k^{(2)}.$$

We have

$$S_k^{(1)} = O(k^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \sum_{n=0}^k O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \sum_{m=k+1}^{k+k^{3/4}} \frac{1}{m-n} = O(k^{\frac{1}{4}}) \sum_{n=0}^k O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} (k-n)^{-1}) = O(k^{-\frac{1}{4}} \log k),$$

i.e. $S_k^{(1)} = o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We estimate $S_k^{(2)}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. If $m \geq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (q, (\psi_n \psi_m)(p))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 &= (q, (\psi_n^0 \psi_m^0))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + O(\log n \cdot n^{-1} m^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \left(\int_{-n^\varepsilon}^{n^\varepsilon} q(t) \psi_n^0(t) \psi_m^0(t) dt \right)^2 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\left(\int_{|t| \geq n^\varepsilon} \frac{|q(t)| dt}{\rho(t, \lambda_n^0) \rho(t, \lambda_m^0)} \right)^2 \leq \int_{|t| \geq n^\varepsilon} (|t|^{3/2} + 1) |q(t)|^2 dt \cdot O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

It is easy to see (see e.g. [CKK2] Lemma 6.7) that

$$\psi_n^0(t) = \sqrt{2/\pi} \cdot (\lambda_n^0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \cos(\sqrt{\lambda_n^0} \cdot t - \frac{\pi n}{2}) + O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\varepsilon}), \quad |t| \leq n^\varepsilon.$$

If $m \geq n + n^{3/4}$, then $\sqrt{\lambda_m^0} - \sqrt{\lambda_n^0} \geq n^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Integration by parts and $q' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ follow

$$\int_{-n^\varepsilon}^{n^\varepsilon} q(t) \psi_n^0(t) \psi_m^0(t) dt = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{4}}) \cdot \int_{-n^\varepsilon}^{n^\varepsilon} |q'(t)| dt + O(n^{-\frac{3}{4}+3\varepsilon} m^{-\frac{1}{4}}) = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{4}})$$

Summarizing, we obtain $(q, (\psi_n \psi_m)(p))_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, if $m \geq n + n^{3/4}$. Therefore,

$$S_k^{(2)} = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=k+k^{3/4}}^{+\infty} \frac{O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} m^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{m-n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^k O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) \sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{O(m^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{m-n}$$

Note that $\sum_{n=0}^k O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) = O(k^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}})$ and $\sum_{m=k+1}^{+\infty} \frac{O(m^{-\frac{1}{2}})}{m-n} = O(\log k \cdot k^{-\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence, $S_k^{(2)} = o(1)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We are done. \square

References

- [B] Bateman H.: *Higher transcendental functions*. Vol. II, New York-Toronto-London: McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [Ch] Chelkak D.: Approximation in the Space of Spectral Data of a Perturbed Harmonic Oscillator. *J. Math. Sci.* **117**(3), 4260–4269 (2003)
- [CKK1] Chelkak D., Kargaev P., Korotyaev E.: An Inverse Problem for an Harmonic Oscillator Perturbed by Potential, Uniqueness. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **64**(1), 7–21 (2003)
- [CKK2] Chelkak D., Kargaev P., Korotyaev E.: Inverse Problem for Harmonic Oscillator Perturbed by Potential, Characterization. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **249**(1), 133–196 (2004)
- [DT] Dahlberg B., Trubowitz E.: The Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem III. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37**, 255–267 (1984)
- [GS] Gesztesy F., Simon B.: Connectedness of the Isospectral Manifold for One-Dimensional Half-Line Schrödinger Operators. *J. Stat. Phys.* **116**, 361–365 (2004)
- [IT] Isaacson E., Trubowitz E.: The Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **36**, 767–783 (1983)
- [IMT] Isaacson E., McKean H.P., Trubowitz E.: The Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **37**, 1–11 (1984)
- [K1] Korotyaev E.: Characterization of the Spectrum of Schrödinger Operators with Periodic Distributions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **37**, 2019–2031 (2003).
- [K2] Korotyaev E.: A Remark on the Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problem with Mixed Boundary Conditions. Preprint SFB 288, No. 598, Berlin 2004.
- [Ka] Kato T.: *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [MT] McKean H.P., Trubowitz E.: The Spectral Class of the Quantum-Mechanical Harmonic Oscillator. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **82**(4), 471–495 (1981/82)
- [O] Olver F.: Two inequalities for parabolic cylinder functions. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **57**, 811–822 (1961)
- [PT] Pöschel P., Trubowitz E.: *Inverse Spectral Theory*. Boston: Academic Press, 1987.
- [RT] Ralston J., Trubowitz E.: Isospectral Sets for Boundary Value Problems on the Unit Interval. *Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys.* **8**, 301–358 (1988)