# Derivation of the Supersymmetric Harish–Chandra Integral for $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$

Thomas  $\operatorname{Guhr}^1$  and  $\operatorname{Heiner}$   $\operatorname{Kohler}^2$ 

<sup>1</sup> Matematisk Fysik, LTH, Lunds Universitet, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Teoría de Materia Condensada, Universidad Autónoma, Madrid, Spain

(Dated: November 16, 2018)

The previous supersymmetric generalization of the unitary Harish–Chandra integral prompted the conjecture that the Harish–Chandra formula should extend to all classical supergroups. We prove this conjecture for the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ . To this end, we construct and solve an eigenvalue equation.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Harish–Chandra<sup>1</sup> gave a closed formula for a class of group integrals. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a compact semi–simple Lie group and let a and b fixed elements in the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}_0$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Harish–Chandra's formula then reads

1

$$\int_{U \in \mathcal{G}} \exp\left(\operatorname{tr} U^{-1} a U b\right) d\mu(U) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{W}|} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \frac{\exp\left(\operatorname{tr} w(a)b\right)}{\Pi(a)\Pi(w(b))} , \qquad (1.1)$$

where  $d\mu(U)$  stands for the properly normalized invariant measure and  $\Pi(a)$  for the product of all positive roots of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{W}$  is the Weyl reflection group of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $|\mathcal{W}|$  is the number of its elements. We notice that the integrals (1.1) should not be confused with Gelfand's spherical functions<sup>2,3,4,5</sup>. They are defined by a group integral which looks at first sight just like the one in Eq. (1.1), however, for Gelfand's spherical functions, a and b are not in the Cartan subalgebra. Thus, Gelfand's spherical functions are very different objects. Only in the case of  $\mathcal{G} = SU(N)$ , the Harish-Chandra integral (1.1) coincides with the unitary spherical function of Gelfand. It is known as the Itzykson-Zuber integral<sup>6</sup>. A very handy diffusion equation method was developed in Ref. 6 to derive this unitary case.

A supersymmetric generalization of the Itzykson–Zuber integral, i.e. the extension of the Harish–Chandra integral to the case of the unitary supergroup  $U(k_1/k_2)$ , was first obtained in Ref. 7 by generalizing the Itzykson–Zuber diffusion equation method to supersymmetry. In its most general form, this integral was obtained in Ref. 8 as an application of Gelfand–Tzetlin coordinates for  $U(k_1/k_2)$  and also in Ref. 9 by employing the methods as given in Ref. 7.

Serganova<sup>10</sup> and Zirnbauer<sup>11</sup> conjectured that Harish–Chandra's formula should not only have a supersymmetric extension in the unitary case, but also generalize to all classical supergroups SG. Thus, one would expect a result of the following form to hold,

$$\int_{u \in S\mathcal{G}} \exp\left(\operatorname{trg} u^{-1} sur\right) d\mu(u) = \frac{1}{|S\mathcal{W}|} \sum_{w \in S\mathcal{W}} \frac{\exp\left(\operatorname{trg} w(s)r\right)}{\pi(s)\pi(w(r))} , \qquad (1.2)$$

where s and r are in the Cartan subalgebra  $S\mathcal{H}_0$  of  $S\mathcal{G}$ . The Weyl reflection group  $S\mathcal{W}$  and the root system  $\pi(s)$  have to be properly generalized to superspace,  $|S\mathcal{W}|$  is the number of elements in  $S\mathcal{W}$ . The extension of the Harish–Chandra integral to the case of the unitary supergroup  $U(k_1/k_2)$  is certainly of the form (1.2). The most interesting remaining case is the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ . For this case, we present a proof of the conjecture (1.2) in this note. In Sec. II, we state and derive the supersymmetric Harish–Chandra integral for  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ . We summarize and conclude in Sec. III.

# II. THE SUPERGROUP INTEGRAL AND ITS DERIVATION

After briefly summarizing properties of the super group  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$  and introducing our notation in Sec. II A, we state the group integral in Sec. II B. The solution is sketched in the following two sections. In Sec. II C, we formulate an eigenvalue equation for the integral over the supergroup algebra, which is solved by separation in Sec. II D.

### A. The supergroup $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$

 $\operatorname{Kac}^{12,13}$  gave a classification of the classical superalgebras similar to Cartan's classification of the Lie algebras in ordinary space. In principle, to each classical superalgebra a supergroup is associated by the exponential mapping.

However, the classification pattern of the supergroups is usually somewhat coarser<sup>14</sup>. If one omits the supergroup stemming from the exceptional superalgebras, one is left with only four different types of subgroups of the general linear supergroup  $GL(k_1/k_2)$ , namely the unitary supergroup  $U(k_1/k_2)$ , the orthosymplectic supergroup  $OSp(k_1/2k_2)$  whose compact form is denoted by  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$  and the groups associated with the strange superalgebras P(k) and Q(k). In most applications, the  $U(k_1/k_2)$  and the  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$  are used. The  $OSp(k_1/2k_2)$  is formed by the elements u of  $GL(k_1/2k_2)$  which leave invariant the metric

$$L = \operatorname{diag}\left(1_{k_1}, J\right) \,, \tag{2.1}$$

such that  $u^T L u = L$ . Here, J is the symplectic metric

$$J = \tau^{(1)} \otimes 1_{k_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1_{k_2} \\ -1_{k_2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \tau^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(2.2)

Restricting  $OSp(k_1/2k_2)$  to its compact part, we arrive at  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ . While the fermionic dimension  $2k_2$  is always even, the bosonic dimension  $k_1$  can be even or odd, eventually resulting in some slight differences for the group integral. The superalgebra  $uosp(k_1/2k_2)$  and the supergroup  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$  are, as usual, connected via the exponential mapping. For  $\sigma \in uosp(k_1/2k_2)$  we have  $u = exp(\sigma) \in UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$ . These generators span the algebra. A compact real form of this algebra can be written as

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{(o)} & \sigma^{(a)} \\ \sigma^{(a)\dagger} & i\sigma^{(usp)} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(2.3)

One uses the supertrace denoted by trg as Killing–Cartan form. The  $k_1 \times k_1$  matrix  $\sigma^{(o)}$  is antisymmetric, it is in the algebra  $o(k_1)$  and generates the ordinary group  $O(k_1)$ . The  $2k_2 \times 2k_2$  matrix  $\sigma^{(usp)}$  is in the algebra  $usp(2k_2)$  and generates the ordinary group USp $(2k_2)$ , i.e. in the basis defined by Eq. (2.1) it is of the form

$$\sigma^{(\text{usp})} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^{(\text{usp},1)} & -\sigma^{(\text{usp},2)} \\ \sigma^{(\text{usp},2)\dagger} & -\sigma^{(\text{usp},1)T} \end{bmatrix} .$$
(2.4)

Here  $\sigma^{(\text{usp},1)}$  is a skew-hermitean matrix and  $\sigma^{(\text{usp},2)}$  is skew-symmetric. The  $k_1 \times 2k_2$  matrix  $\sigma^{(a)}$  in Eq. (2.3) contains the anticommuting variables, it is in the sector  $\text{usop}(k_1/2k_2) - o(k_1) - \text{usp}(2k_2)$  and has the symmetry  $\sigma^{(a)*}J = -\sigma^{(a)}$ . The asterix denotes the complex conjugate of the second kind for Grassmann variables.

Particularly important in the present context is the Cartan subalgebra  $uosp^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$  of  $uosp(k_1/2k_2)$ . As in the theory of Lie–algebrae in ordinary space there is a difference for the orthogonal group in even or odd dimension. We introduce the notation  $[k_1/2]$  for the integer part of  $k_1/2$ . Then, for even bosonic dimension  $[k_1/2] = k_1/2$ , the elements of  $uosp^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$  are the matrices

$$s = \operatorname{diag}\left(is_{11}\tau^{(1)}, \dots, is_{[k_1/2]1}\tau^{(1)}, is_{12}, \dots, is_{k_21}, -is_{11}, \dots, -is_{k_21}\right),$$

$$(2.5)$$

while for odd bosonic dimension  $[k_1/2] = (k_1 - 1)/2$ , the Cartan subalgebra  $uosp^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$  consists of the matrices

$$s = \operatorname{diag}\left(is_{11}\tau^{(1)}, \dots, is_{[k_1/2]1}\tau^{(1)}, 0, is_{12}, \dots, is_{k_21}, -is_{11}, \dots, -is_{k_21}\right).$$
(2.6)

Thus,  $uosp^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$  is the direct sum of the Cartan subalgebras of  $o(k_1)$  and  $usp(2k_2)$ .

# B. Statement of the supergroup integral

Using the definitions of the previous section, we can write formula (1.2) for the case of the supergroup  $\text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)$ more explicitly. For two fixed elements s and r of the Cartan subalgebra  $\text{uosp}^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$ , we have

$$\int_{u \in \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)} \exp\left(i \operatorname{trg} u^{-1} sur\right) d\mu(u) \\ = \frac{1}{[k_1/2]! k_2!} \frac{\left(\det[\cos(2s_{p_1} r_{q_1})] + \det[i\sin(2s_{p_1} r_{q_1})]\right) \det[-2i\sin(2s_{p_2} r_{q_2})]}{B_{k_1 2k_2}(s) B_{k_1 2k_2}(r)}$$
(2.7)

for  $k_1$  even and

$$\int_{u \in \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)} \exp\left(i \text{trg}\,u^{-1} sur\right) d\mu(u) = \frac{1}{[k_1/2]! k_2!} \frac{\det[i \sin(2s_{p1}r_{q1})] \det[2\cos(2s_{p2}r_{q2})]}{B_{k_12k_2}(s) B_{k_12k_2}(r)}$$
(2.8)

for  $k_1$  odd. We introduced the function  $B_{k_12k_2}(s)$  which is given by

$$B_{k_12k_2}(s) = 2^{k_2} \frac{\prod_{p < q} (s_{p_1}^2 - s_{q_1}^2) \prod_{p < q} (s_{p_2}^2 - s_{q_2}^2) \prod_{p=1}^{k_2} s_{p_2}}{\prod_{p,q} (s_{p_1}^2 + s_{q_2}^2)}$$
(2.9)

for even bosonic dimension  $k_1$  and by

$$B_{k_12k_2}(s) = 2^{k_2} \frac{\prod_{p < q} (s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2) \prod_{p < q} (s_{p2}^2 - s_{q2}^2) \prod_{p=1}^{[k_1/2]} s_{p1}}{\prod_{p,q} (s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)}$$
(2.10)

for odd bosonic dimension  $k_1$ . These two formulae differ only in the last terms of the numerators.

Formulae (2.7) and (2.8) contain, as special cases, the ordinary orthogonal and unitary symplectic Harish–Chandra integrals for  $\mathcal{G} = SO(k_1)$  and for  $\mathcal{G} = USp(2k_2)$ , if we set  $k_2 = 0$  or  $k_1 = 0$ , respectively. Thus, the derivation of the supersymmetric integral to follow also includes a rederivation of those ordinary integrals. For equal bosonic and fermionic dimension, formula (2.7) was conjectured in Ref. 11 and used to calculate the correlation functions in a certain circular random matrix ensemble.

We mention in passing that the invariant measure  $d\mu(u)$  and its normalization relate to non-trivial questions of certain boundary contributions in superanalysis<sup>15</sup> which are highly important in applications. In the present context, however, we do not need to go into this.

### C. Eigenvalue equation

The main idea for the derivation of formulae (2.7) and (2.8) is to properly modify the supersymmetric extension<sup>7</sup> of the Itzykson–Zuber diffusion equation method<sup>6</sup> to the present case. It turns out that it is somewhat more convenient to construct the eigenvalue equation associated with the diffusion equation. The two equations are related by Fourier expansion. Such an eigenvalue equation for the ordinary case of SU(N) as originally discussed by Itzykson and Zuber<sup>6</sup> was constructed by Brézin<sup>16</sup>. Berezin and Karpelevich<sup>17</sup> had studied such an eigenvalue equation to calculate the twofold group integral named after them, see also Ref. 18. To construct the eigenvalue equation needed to derive formulae (2.7) and (2.8), we adjust the steps made in Refs. 19,20, where a supersymmetric eigenvalue equation was employed for the extension of the Berezin–Karpelevich integral.

We introduce the Laplace operator over the superalgebra  $uosp(k_1/2k_2)$ 

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p < q}^{k_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma_{pq}^{(o)2}} + \sum_{p,q}^{2k_2} \frac{1 + \delta_{pq}}{4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma_{pq}^{(usp)} \partial \sigma_{pq}^{(usp)*}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{k_1} \sum_{q=1}^{k_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma_{pq}^{(a)} \partial \sigma_{pq}^{(a)*}} .$$

$$(2.11)$$

Its eigenfunctions are the plane waves  $\exp(i \operatorname{trg} \sigma \rho)$  with both matrices  $\sigma, \rho \in \operatorname{uosp}(k_1/2k_2)$ . Thus, we have

$$\Delta \exp(i \operatorname{trg} \sigma \rho) = -\operatorname{trg} \rho^2 \exp(i \operatorname{trg} \sigma \rho) .$$
(2.12)

We now diagonalize both matrices according to  $\sigma = u^{-1}su$  and  $\rho = v^{-1}rv$  where u and v are in the supergroup  $UOSp(k_1/2k_2)$  and s and r are in the Cartan subalgebra  $uosp^{(0)}(k_1/2k_2)$ , i.e. given by Eq. (2.5) or Eq. (2.6), respectively. Integrating both sides over v and using the invariance of the measure  $d\mu(v)$ , we arrive at the radial eigenvalue equation

$$\Delta_s \chi_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) = -\operatorname{trg} r^2 \chi_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) , \qquad (2.13)$$

where, now using u instead of v again, the function

$$\chi_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) = \int_{u \in \text{UOSp}(k_1/2k_2)} \exp\left(i \text{trg}\, u^{-1} s u r\right) d\mu(u)$$
(2.14)

is the integral we want to calculate. The operator  $\Delta_s$  in Eq. (2.13) is the radial part of  $\Delta$ . The term radial refers to the Cartan subalgebra. This usage which is common in mathematics should not lead to confusions with the radial operators used, for example, in Refs. 21,22,23,24 where quite different spaces were studied. To obtain the radial operator  $\Delta_s$ , we need the Jacobian, or Berezinian, of the variable transformation  $\sigma = u^{-1}su$ . This Berezinian is given by the functions  $B_{k_12k_2}^2(s)$  of Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10). It was not possible for us to find out where this Berezinian was first obtained, and we do not claim originality for its calculation. In any case, to make the paper self-contained, we sketch the calculation in Appendix A. Hence, the radial part of the Laplacean over  $uosp(k_1/2k_2)$  reads

$$\Delta_s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{[k_1/2]} \frac{1}{B_{k_1 2 k_2}^2(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{p1}} B_{k_1 2 k_2}^2(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{p1}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{k_2} \frac{1}{B_{k_1 2 k_2}^2(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{p2}} B_{k_1 2 k_2}^2(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{p2}} .$$
(2.15)

The number of bosonic eigenvalues is  $[k_1/2]$ , i.e. identical for the pairs  $uosp(k_1/2k_2)$  and  $uosp(k_1 + 1/2k_2)$  with  $k_1$  even. However, the operator  $\Delta_s$  is not the same in these two cases, because the functions (2.9) and (2.10) differ.

### D. Solution by separation

The Laplacean (2.15) is separable. We make an ansatz for the group integral which separates off the square roots of the Berezinians,

$$\chi_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) = \frac{\omega_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r)}{B_{k_1 2 k_2}(s) B_{k_1 2 k_2}(r)} .$$
(2.16)

A tedious but straightforward calculation then yields the trivial eigenvalue equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vec{s}^2} \omega_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) = -\text{trg} \, r^2 \omega_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) \,. \tag{2.17}$$

for the function  $\omega_{k_12k_2}^{(\beta)}(s,r)$ . Here we introduced the gradient

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{s}} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s_{11}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{[k_1/2]1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{12}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{k_22}}\right) , \qquad (2.18)$$

which also defines the flat Laplacean  $\partial^2/\partial \vec{s}^2$  appearing in the eigenvalue equation (2.17). A crucial feature of the square root of the Berezinian enters the derivation of Eq. (2.17). It satisfies the harmonic equation

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \vec{s}^2} B_{k_1 2 k_2}(s) = 0 , \qquad (2.19)$$

which we prove in Appendix B. Any linear combination of products of exponentials solves the eigenvalue equation (2.17). However, as the group integral and the eigenvalue equations are obviously invariant under permutations of the variables in the  $o(k_1)$  sector  $s_{p1}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, [k_1/2]$  or, equivalently,  $r_{p1}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, [k_1/2]$  and under permutations of the variables in the  $uosp(2k_2)$  sector  $is_{p2}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, k_2$  or, equivalently,  $ir_{p2}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, k_2$ , the desired solution must have the same property. Moreover, there is a symmetry under a parity transformation for the variables  $is_{p2}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, k_2$  and  $ir_{p2}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, k_2$ . That is, the solution must be invariant under the substitution  $s_{p2} \rightarrow -s_{p2}$ and  $r_{p2} \rightarrow -r_{p2}$ . For  $k_1$  odd, the same symmetry must hold also for  $s_{p1}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, [k_1/2]$  and  $r_{p1}$ ,  $p = 1, \ldots, [k_1/2]$ respectively. By these symmetries the solution of Eq. (2.17) for  $k_1$  odd is up to normalization uniquely determined,

$$\omega_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) = \frac{1}{[k_1/2]! k_2!} \det[i \sin(2s_{p_1} r_{q_1})] \det[2 \cos(2s_{p_2} r_{q_2})] .$$
(2.20)

For  $k_1$  even, the part antisymmetric under the parity transformation has to be kept and we find

$$\omega_{k_1 2 k_2}(s, r) = \frac{1}{[k_1/2]! k_2!} \left( \det[\cos(2s_{p_1} r_{q_1})] + \det[i\sin(2s_{p_1} r_{q_1})] \right) \det[-2i\sin(2s_{p_2} r_{q_2})] .$$
(2.21)

These results give, together with the ansatz (2.16), the desired group integrals (2.7) and (2.8). As already mentioned, our derivation of the supersymmetric group integral contains as special cases a rederivation of the ordinary orthogonal and unitary symplectic Harish–Chandra integrals for  $k_2 = 0$  or  $k_1 = 0$ , respectively.

### **III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

We calculated the supersymmetric Harish–Chandra integral for the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup, thereby proving a conjecture<sup>10,11</sup>. Our derivation uses a diffusion equation or, equivalentely, eigenvalue equation method. It is based on the separability of the Laplacean. Our present contribution is a further extension of this technique, which, to the best of our knowledge, has previously only been used for group integrals over the unitary group: orginally, it was introduced for the Harish–Chandra integral over the ordinary unitary group<sup>6,16</sup>, then extended for the supersymmetric Harish–Chandra integral over the unitary supergroup<sup>7</sup>. Already in 1958, Berezin and Karpelevich<sup>17</sup> had developed such a technique for an integral over two unitary groups, see also Ref. 18. This was also extended to the supersymmetric case<sup>19,20</sup>. Here, we considered the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup and adjusted the eigenvalue equation method to this case. As the unitary orthosymplectic supergroup contains the ordinary orthogonal and unitary symplectic groups as subgroups and special cases, we automatically also extended the eigenvalue equation method to these two ordinary groups.

We are aware of only two methods which could be an alternative: character expansions and Gelfand–Tzetlin coordinates. Balantekin developed the character expansion method for the unitary ordinary and supergroup<sup>25,26</sup> and obtained various group integrals. Recently, this method was further extended and employed in Ref. 27. Similar considerations are also of interest if one studies the Itzykson–Zuber integral for matrices of large dimension<sup>28</sup>. Moreover, character expansions could also be developed for the calculation of certain integrals over the ordinary orthogonal and unitary symplectic group<sup>29</sup>, but Harish–Chandra integrals have so far not been tackled with this approach. Gelfand– Tzetlin coordinates<sup>30,31</sup> allow one to compute the ordinary<sup>32</sup> and supersymmetric<sup>8</sup> Itzykson–Zuber integral directly, i.e. without using a diffusion or eigenvalue equation. This method has not been applied yet to work out Harish– Chandra integrals for the ordinary orthogonal or unitary symplectic or the supersymmetric unitary orthosymplectic supergroup. However, it has been employed for Gelfand's spherical functions<sup>21,22,23,24</sup>.

Considering all the cases, in which non-trivial group integrals could be obtained for the first time or in which known results could be rederived faster, the diffusion or eigenvalue equation method used and further extended here shows a remarkably wide range of applicability.

### Acknowledgments

TG and HK acknowledge financial support from the Swedish Research Council and from the RNT Network of the European Union with Grant No. HPRN–CT–2000-00144, respectively. HK also thanks the division of Mathematical Physics, LTH, for its hospitality during his visits to Lund.

# APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF THE BEREZINIAN

We use the standard procedure of obtaining the metric tensor g whose superdeterminant is the square of the Berezinian. The variation of the element  $\sigma = u^{-1}su \in uosp(k_1/2k_2)$  reads

$$d\sigma = u^{-1}(ds + [s, \delta \widetilde{u}])u$$
, where  $\delta \widetilde{u} = duu^{-1}$  (A1)

is also in the algebra  $uosp(k_1/2k_2)$ . Thus, the invariant length element is given by

$$\operatorname{trg} d\sigma^{2} = \operatorname{trg} (ds + [s, \delta \widetilde{u}])^{2} = \operatorname{trg} ds^{2} + \operatorname{trg} [s, \delta \widetilde{u}]^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{p=1}^{[k_{1}/2]} ds_{p1}^{2} + \sum_{p=1}^{k_{2}} ds_{p2}^{2} + \sum_{n} (\alpha_{n}^{(o)})^{2} (\delta \widetilde{u}_{n}^{(o)})^{2} + \sum_{n} (\alpha_{n}^{(\operatorname{usp})})^{2} (\delta \widetilde{u}_{n}^{(\operatorname{usp})})^{2} + \sum_{n} (\alpha_{n}^{(a)})^{2} (\delta \widetilde{u}_{n}^{(a)})^{2} .$$
(A2)

In the last step, we expanded the traces, the metric g can then be read of from the coefficients in front of the squared variation differentials. We split the contribution from the commutator in three terms and introduced a new index n, labelling the roots and the variation differentials stemming from  $\delta \tilde{u}$ . There are three types of roots, corresponding to the  $o(k_1)$  and the usp $(2k_2)$  subalgebras and the remaining sector  $uosp(k_1/2k_2) - o(k_1) - usp(2k_2)$  containing the anticommuting degrees of freedom. For even bosonic dimension  $2k_1$ , there are  $2k_1(k_1 - 1)$  roots  $\alpha_n^{(o)}$  of  $o(2k_1)$ , given by  $\pm s_{p1} \pm s_{q1}$  with independent signs and p < q. For odd bosonic dimension  $2k_1 + 1$ , there are  $2k_1$  additional roots  $\pm s_{p1}$  which are needed for the complete root system of  $o(2k_1 + 1)$ . The roots  $\alpha_n^{(usp)}$  of  $usp(2k_2)$  are  $\pm is_{p2} \pm is_{q2}$  with independent signs and p < q, and furthermore  $\pm 2is_{p2}$ , all together  $2k_2^2$  roots. Finally, we have the  $2k_1k_2$  roots  $\alpha_n^{(a)}$  from  $uosp(k_1/2k_2) - o(k_1) - usp(2k_2)$ , which read  $\pm s_{p1} \pm is_{q2}$  with independent signs and indices p, q.

### APPENDIX B: SQUARE ROOT OF THE BEREZINIAN AS A HARMONIC FUNCTION

The result (2.19) is crucial for the separation ansatz and for the derivation of the ensuing eigenvalue equations. It is tedious, but elementary to prove it by explicit calculation. We consider even  $k_1$ , the case of odd  $k_1$  is treated in the same way. Using relations such as

$$\sum_{p \neq q} \frac{1}{s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p \neq q \neq t} \frac{s_{p1}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 - s_{t1}^2)} = 0 \tag{B1}$$

we find

$$\frac{1}{B_{k_12k_2}(s)} \sum_{p=1}^{[k_1/2]} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_{p1}^2} B_{k_12k_2}(s) = \sum_{p,q,t} \frac{4s_{p1}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{8s_{p1}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)} (s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2) - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{8s_{p1}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)} (s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2) - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)} (s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2) - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)} (s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2) - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{q1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p1}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p2}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p2}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p2}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p2}^2)(s_{p2}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p2}^2)(s_{p2}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2 - s_{p2}^2)(s_{p2}^2 + s_{p2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{2}{(s_{p1}^2$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{B_{k_12k_2}(s)} \sum_{p=1}^{k_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_{p2}^2} B_{k_12k_2}(s) = \sum_{p,q,t} \frac{4s_{p2}^2}{(s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p \neq q,t} \frac{8s_{p2}^2}{(s_{p2}^2 - s_{q2}^2)(s_{p1}^2 + s_{t2}^2)} - \sum_{p,q} \frac{6}{s_{p1}^2 + s_{q2}^2} .$$
(B3)

Combining these two intermediate results, we arrive at

which is Eq. (2.19).

- <sup>1</sup> Harish-Chandra, Am. J. Math. **79**, 87 (1957)
- <sup>2</sup> I.M. Gelfand, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **70** 5 (1950)
- <sup>3</sup> S. Helgason, Groups and Geometric Analysis, San Diego: Academic Press, 1984
- <sup>4</sup> Harish-Chandra, Am. J. Math. **80**, 241 (1958)
- <sup>5</sup> M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep. **94**, 313 (1983)
- <sup>6</sup> C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, J. Math. Phys. **21**, 411 (1980) Phys. Rep. **129**, 367 (1985)
- <sup>7</sup> T. Guhr, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 336 (1991)
- <sup>8</sup> T. Guhr, Commun. Math. Phys. **176**, 555 (1996)
- <sup>9</sup> J. Alfaro, R. Medina and L. Urrutia, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 3085 (1995)
- <sup>10</sup> V. Serganova, private communication, Berkeley (1992)

- <sup>11</sup> M.R. Zirnbauer, J. Phys. **A29**, 7113 (1996)
- <sup>12</sup> V.C. Kac, Comm. Math. Phys. **53**, 31 (1977)
- <sup>13</sup> V.C. Kac, Advances in Math. **26**, 8 (1977)
- <sup>14</sup> V. Rittenberg, A Guide to Lie Superalgebras, Lecture Notes in Physics **79**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1977
- <sup>15</sup> M.J. Rothstein, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **299**, 387 (1987)
- <sup>16</sup> E. Brézin, in: Two dimensional quantum gravity and random surfaces, p. 37, D.J. Gross, T. Piran and S. Weinberg (eds.), Singapore: World Scientific, 1992
- <sup>17</sup> F.A. Berezin and F.I. Karpelevich, Dokl. Akad. NAUK (SSSR) **118**, 9 (1958)
- <sup>18</sup> B. Hoogenboom, Ark. Mat. **20**, 69 (1982)
- <sup>19</sup> T. Guhr and T. Wettig, J. Math. Phys. **37**, 6395 (1996)
- <sup>20</sup> A.D. Jackson, M.K. Sener and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. **B506**, 612 (1997)
- <sup>21</sup> T. Guhr and H. Kohler, math-ph/0011007
- <sup>22</sup> T. Guhr and H. Kohler, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 2707 (2002)
- <sup>23</sup> T. Guhr and H. Kohler, math-ph/0012047
- <sup>24</sup> T. Guhr and H. Kohler, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 2741 (2002)
- <sup>25</sup> A.B. Balantekin, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 085017 (2000); hep-th/0007161
- <sup>26</sup> A.B. Balantekin, Phys. Rev. **E64**, 066105 (2001); cond-mat/0109112
- <sup>27</sup> B. Schlittgen and T. Wettig, math-ph/0209030
- <sup>28</sup> P. Zinn-Justin and J.B. Zuber, math-ph/0209019
- <sup>29</sup> A.B. Balantekin and P. Cassak J. Math. Phys. **43**, 604 (2002)
- <sup>30</sup> I.M. Gelfand and M.L. Tzetlin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. **71**, 825 (1950)
- <sup>31</sup> A.O. Barut and R. Raczka, Theory of Group Representations and Applications, Warszawa: Polish Scientific Publishers, 1980
- <sup>32</sup> S.L. Shatashvili, Commun. Math. Phys. **154**, 421 (1993)