

HITCHIN SYSTEMS AT LOW GENERA

KRZYSZTOF GAWĘDZKI

I.H.E.S., C.N.R.S., F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

PASCAL TRAN-NGOC-BICH

Université de Paris-Sud, F-91405 Orsay, France

Abstract

The paper gives a quick account of the simplest cases of the Hitchin integrable systems and of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard connection at genus 0, 1 and 2. In particular, we construct the action-angle variables of the genus 2 Hitchin system with group SL_2 by exploiting its relation to the classical Neumann integrable systems.

1 Hitchin systems

As was realized by Hitchin in [16], a large family of integrable systems may be obtained by a symplectic reduction of a chiral 2-dimensional gauge theory. Let Σ denote a closed Riemann surface of genus g and let G be a complex Lie group which we shall assume simple, connected and simply connected. We shall denote by \mathcal{A} the space of $Lie(G)$ -valued 0,1-gauge fields¹ $A = A_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}$ on Σ . Hitchin's construction [16] associates to Σ and G an integrable system obtained by a symplectic reduction of the infinite-dimensional complex symplectic manifold $T^*\mathcal{A}$ of pairs (A, Φ) where $\Phi = \Phi_z dz$ is a $Lie(G)$ -valued 1,0-Higgs field. The holomorphic symplectic form on $T^*\mathcal{A}$ is

$$\int_{\Sigma} \text{tr } \delta\Phi \delta A \quad (1.1)$$

where tr stands for the Killing form on $Lie(G)$ normalized so that $\text{tr } \phi^2 = 2$ for the long roots ϕ . The local gauge transformations $h \in \mathcal{G} \equiv \text{Map}(\Sigma, G)$ act on $T^*\mathcal{A}$ by

$$A \longmapsto {}^h A \equiv h A h^{-1} + h \bar{\partial} h^{-1}, \quad \Phi \longmapsto {}^h \Phi \equiv h \Phi h^{-1} \quad (1.2)$$

preserving the symplectic form. The corresponding moment map $\mu : T^*\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow Lie(\mathcal{G})^* \cong \wedge^2(\Sigma) \otimes Lie(G)$ takes the form

$$\mu(A, \Phi) = \bar{\partial}\Phi + A\Phi + \Phi A. \quad (1.3)$$

The symplectic reduction gives the reduced phase space

$$\mathcal{P} = \mu^{-1}(\{0\}) / \mathcal{G} \quad (1.4)$$

¹one may work in a fixed smoothness class and use the Sobolev norms to define topology in \mathcal{A}

with the symplectic structure induced from that of $T^*\mathcal{A}$. \mathcal{P} may be identified with the complex cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{N}$ to the orbit space $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{G}$ and \mathcal{N} , in turn, with the moduli space of holomorphic G -bundles on Σ . More precisely, care should be taken to avoid non-generic bad orbits in order to obtain tractable orbit spaces. This may be done by considering only gauge fields A leading to stable G -bundles forming smooth moduli space \mathcal{N}_s or those leading to semi-stable bundles giving rise to a, generally singular, compactification \mathcal{N}_{ss} of \mathcal{N}_s . In what follows we shall be somewhat cavalier about such details.

The Hitchin system has \mathcal{P} as its phase space. Its Hamiltonians are obtained the following way. Let p be a homogeneous Ad -invariant polynomial on $Lie(G)$ of degree d_p . Then

$$h_p(A, \Phi) = p(\Phi) = p(\Phi_z)(dz)^{d_p} \quad (1.5)$$

defines a d_p -differential on Σ which is holomorphic if $\mu(A, \Phi) = 0$. Since h_p is constant on the orbits of \mathcal{G} , it descends to the reduced phase space:

$$h_p : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow H^0(K^{d_p}). \quad (1.6)$$

Here K stands for the canonical bundle (of covectors $\propto dz$) and $H^0(K^{d_p})$ is the (finite-dimensional) vector space of the holomorphic d_p -differentials on Σ . The (components of) h_p Poisson-commute (they Poisson-commute already as functions on $T^*\mathcal{A}$ since they depend only on the "momenta" Φ). The point of Hitchin's construction is that, by taking a complete system of polynomials p , one obtains on \mathcal{P} a complete system of Hamiltonians in involution. For the matrix groups, the values of Hamiltonians h_p at a point of \mathcal{P} may be encoded in the spectral curve \mathcal{C} obtained by solving the characteristic equation

$$\det(\Phi - \xi) = 0 \quad (1.7)$$

for $\xi \in K$. The spectral curve of the eigenvalues ξ is a ramified cover of Σ . The corresponding eigenspaces of Φ form then a holomorphic line bundle over \mathcal{C} belonging to a subspace of the Jacobian of \mathcal{C} on which the Hamiltonians h_p induce linear flows.

For the quadratic polynomial $p_2 = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}$, the map h_{p_2} takes values in the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials $H^0(K^2)$. This is the space cotangent to the moduli space of complex curves Σ . Variations of the complex structure of Σ are described by Beltrami differentials $\delta\mu = \delta\mu_{\bar{z}}^z \partial_z d\bar{z}$ such that $z' = z + \delta z$ with $\partial_{\bar{z}}\delta z = \delta\mu_{\bar{z}}^z$ gives new complex coordinates. The Beltrami differentials $\delta\mu$ may be paired with quadratic differentials β by

$$(\beta, \delta\mu) \mapsto \int_{\Sigma} \beta \delta\mu. \quad (1.8)$$

The differentials $\delta\mu = \bar{\partial}(\delta\xi)$, where $\delta\xi$ is a vector field on Σ , describe variations of the complex structure due to diffeomorphisms of Σ and they pair to zero with β . The quotient space $H^1(K^{-1})$ of differentials $\delta\mu$ modulo $\bar{\partial}(\delta\xi)$ is the tangent space to the moduli space of curves Σ and $H^0(K^2)$ is its dual. The pairing (1.8) defines then for each $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(K^{-1})$ a Hamiltonian

$$h_{\delta\mu} \equiv \int_{\Sigma} h_{p_2} \delta\mu. \quad (1.9)$$

The Hamiltonians $h_{\delta\mu}$ Poisson-commute for different $\delta\mu$.

Hitchin's construction possesses a natural generalization [20][22][5][8]. Let $x_n \in \Sigma$ be a finite family of distinct points in Σ and \mathcal{O}_n a family of (co)adjoint orbits in $\text{Lie}(G)^* \cong \text{Lie}(G)$.

$$\mathcal{O} = \left\{ \sum_n \lambda_n \delta_{x_n} \mid \lambda_x \in \mathcal{O}_n \right\}, \quad (1.10)$$

where δ_x stands for the Dirac delta measure at x , forms a coadjoint orbit of the group \mathcal{G} of local gauge transformations. In the symplectic reduction we may replace definition (1.4) with

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mu^{-1}(\{\mathcal{O}\}) / \mathcal{G} \cong \mu^{-1}(\sum \lambda_n \delta_{x_n}) / \mathcal{G}_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{x}} \quad (1.11)$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{x}}$ is the subgroup of \mathcal{G} fixing $\sum \lambda_n \delta_{x_n}$. Upon restriction to properly defined stable pairs (A, Φ) , $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}}$ gives a smooth space with a semi-stable compactification [20]. Its second representation in (1.11) allows to equip $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}}$ with the symplectic structure inherited from $T^*\mathcal{A}$. The reduced Hamiltonians h_p take now values in $H^0(K^{d_p}(d_p \sum x_n))$, i.e. define meromorphic d_p -differentials with possible poles at x_n of order $\leq d_p$ and they still define an integrable system on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}}$. In particular, h_{p_2} takes values in $H^0(K^2(2 \sum x_n))$ which is dual to $H^1(K^{-1}(-2 \sum x_n))$, the tangent space to the moduli space of curves Σ with fixed punctures x_n and first jets at x_n of holomorphic local parameters z_n , $z_n(x_n) = 0$. The corresponding Beltrami differentials $\delta\mu$ behave like $\mathcal{O}(z_n^2)$ around x_n and they are taken modulo $\bar{\partial}(\delta\xi)$ where the vector fields ξ are also $\mathcal{O}(z_n^2)$ around x_n (such vector fields do not change the first jets at x_n of the local parameters z_n). $\delta\mu$ may still be coupled to quadratic differentials $\beta \in H^0(K^2(2 \sum x_n))$ by (1.8) and Eq. (1.9) defines for $[\delta\mu] \in H^1(K^{-1}(-2 \sum x_n))$ Hamiltonians on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}}$ that are in involution.

2 Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard connection

The phase space $\mathcal{P} \cong T^*\mathcal{N}$ may be (geometrically) quantized by considering the space $H^0(\mathcal{L}^k)$ of holomorphic sections of the k^{th} power of the determinant line bundle \mathcal{L} over \mathcal{N} (more exactly, over its semi-stable version \mathcal{N}_{ss}) as the space of quantum states. Such sections are given by holomorphic functions ψ on \mathcal{A} satisfying the Ward identity

$$\psi(A) = e^{-k S(h, A)} \psi(h^{-1}A) \quad (2.1)$$

for $h \in \mathcal{G}$ and with $S(h, A)$ standing for the action of the gauged Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model. The identity (2.1) expresses the gauge invariance on the quantum level. The vector spaces $H^0(\mathcal{L}^k)$ arise naturally in the context of the WZNW model and of the Chern-Simons theory [25]. They are finite-dimensional and their dimension is given by the Verlinde formula [24]. Put together for different complex structures of Σ , they form a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{W} over the moduli space of complex curves. In the language of functions ψ , the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of this bundle is given by

$$\bar{\partial}_{\delta\mu} \psi = \left(d_{\delta\mu} + \frac{k}{4\pi i} \int_{\Sigma} \text{tr} (A \bar{\delta\mu}) A \right) \psi \quad (2.2)$$

where $d_{\bar{\mu}}$ differentiates ψ viewed as a function of the unitary gauge field $B = -A^* + A = -A_{\bar{z}}^* dz + A_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z}$ (functions of B are naturally identified for different complex structures on Σ). The bundle \mathcal{W} may be equipped with a projectively flat connection ∇^{KZB} [25][17] which may be traced back to the works of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov [18] and Bernard [3][4]. In the present description of \mathcal{W} , the KZB connection takes the form [12]

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}}\psi = \left(d_{\delta\mu} - \int_{\Sigma} \text{tr} A^* \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A} \delta\mu \right) - \frac{\pi i}{\kappa} \int_{\Sigma} \text{tr} \bullet \frac{\delta}{\delta A} \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A} \delta\mu \right) \bullet \right) \psi, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}}\psi = \bar{\partial}_{\delta\mu}\psi \quad (2.4)$$

where $\kappa = k + g^{\vee}$ with g^{\vee} denoting the dual Coxeter number of G . The symbol $\bullet \bullet$ indicates that one should remove the singularity at the coinciding points of $\frac{\delta}{\delta A(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta A(y)} \psi$ before setting $x = y$. How this is precisely done depends on some choices (e.g. of a projective connection or a metric on each Σ) but the choices lead to connections differing by addition of a scalar form.

The second order operator on the right hand side of Eq. (2.3) has the principal symbol (obtained by replacement of $\frac{\delta}{\delta A}$ by $\frac{k}{2\pi i} \Phi$) proportional to the Hitchin Hamiltonian $h_{\delta\mu}$. The KZB connection $\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}}$ may be considered a quantization of $\frac{k}{2\pi i} h_{\delta\mu}$ which, instead of acting in a fixed space $H^0(\mathcal{L}^k)$ relates two such spaces for the complex structures differing by $\delta\mu$ [17]. Note that if we rescale $\delta\mu \mapsto \kappa\delta\mu$, we should obtain from the KZB connection in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ operators acting in the space $H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-g^{\vee}})$ corresponding to a fixed complex structure. This space becomes non-trivial if we admit singular sections of \mathcal{L} or work with higher cohomologies of $\mathcal{L}^{-g^{\vee}}$. It also admits a quantization of the non-quadratic Hitchin Hamiltonians [2][11]. For $k \neq -g^{\vee}$ we may also obtain from Eq. (2.3) operators in a single space if we chose a local trivialization of the bundle \mathcal{W} (or of a bundle $\mathcal{W}' \supset \mathcal{W}$).

The above quantization extends to the case of the phase space $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{O}}$ if the coadjoint orbits \mathcal{O}_n associated to points $x_n \in \Sigma$ correspond to irreducible holomorphic representations of G in vector spaces V_n (i.e. to irreducible unitary representations of the compact form of G). The quantum states are now represented by holomorphic maps on \mathcal{A} with values in $V = \bigotimes_n V_n$ satisfying the Ward identities

$$\psi(A) = e^{-k S(h,A)} \otimes_n h(x_n) \psi(h^{-1}A) \quad (2.5)$$

for $h \in \mathcal{G}$ generalizing Eq. (2.1). The spaces of solutions are still finite-dimensional and form a holomorphic vector bundle over the moduli space of punctured curves with first jets of local parameters at the punctures. The complex structure and the KZB connection are given by the same formulae with Beltrami differentials $\delta\mu$ restricted to behave like $\mathcal{O}(z_n^2)$ at the punctures. Since $\frac{\delta}{\delta A(x)}\psi = \mathcal{O}(z_n^{-1})$ and $\text{tr} \bullet \frac{\delta}{\delta A(x)} \frac{\delta}{\delta A(x)} \bullet \psi = \mathcal{O}(z_n^{-2})$ around x_n , there is no problem of convergence of the integrals over Σ . Again, $\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}}$ may be viewed as the quantization of the Hitchin Hamiltonian $\frac{k}{2\pi i} h_{\delta\mu}$ and all the above remarks apply.

3 Genus zero

Up to diffeomorphisms, there is only one Riemann surface of genus zero: the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. On \mathbb{P}^1 , the gauge orbit of the zero gauge field is open and dense in \mathcal{A} , i.e. the generic gauge field takes the form

$$A = h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h \quad (3.1)$$

where $h \in \mathcal{G}$ is determined up to left multiplication by a constant $g \in G$. The equation $\mu(A, \Phi) = \sum_n \lambda_n \delta_{z_n}$, with λ_n belonging to the (co)adjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_n associated to the puncture z_n , becomes

$$\bar{\partial}({}^h\Phi) = \sum_n \nu_n \delta_{z_n} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\nu_n = h(z_n) \lambda_n h(z_n)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_n$. This equation has a (unique) solution

$${}^h\Phi(z) = \sum_n \frac{\nu_n}{z - z_n} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \quad (3.3)$$

if and only if the sum of residues is zero, i.e. if $\sum_n \nu_n = 0$. We obtain then for $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{O}$ defined by Eq. (1.11):

$$\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{O} \cong \left\{ \underline{\nu} \in \times_n \mathcal{O}_n \mid \sum_n \nu_n = 0 \right\} / G. \quad (3.4)$$

The (co)adjoint orbits carry a natural symplectic structure leading to the Poisson bracket $\{\nu^a, \nu^b\} = i f^{abc} \nu^c$ for $\nu^a = \text{tr } t^a \nu$ where t^a are the generators of $\text{Lie}(G)$ s.t. $\text{tr } t^a t^b = \delta^{ab}$ and $[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c$. It is easy to check that the complex symplectic structure on $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{O}$ coincides with the one obtained by the symplectic reduction of $\times_n \mathcal{O}_n$ with respect to the diagonal action of G .

The Hamiltonians h_p are

$$h_p(z) = p \left(\sum_n \frac{\nu_n}{z - z_n} \right) \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i} \right)^{d_p}. \quad (3.5)$$

In the special case $p_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}$,

$$h_{p_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n,m} \frac{\nu_n^a \nu_m^a}{(z - z_n)(z - z_m)} \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i} \right)^2 = \sum_n \left(\frac{1}{(z - z_n)^2} \delta_n + \frac{1}{z - z_n} h_n \right) \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\delta_n = \frac{1}{2} \nu_n^a \nu_n^a, \quad h_n = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\nu_n^a \nu_m^a}{z_n - z_m}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $\delta\mu$ be a Beltrami differential regular at infinity and behaving like $\mathcal{O}((z - z_n)^2)$ around the insertions. Necessarily, $\delta\mu = \bar{\partial}(\delta\xi)$ for a regular vector field $\delta\xi = \delta\xi^z \partial_z$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . $\delta\xi$ is determined up to infinitesimal Möbius transformations $(a + bz + cz^2)\partial_z$. We may take $z' = z + \delta\xi^z$ as the new complex coordinate on \mathbb{P}^1 with the modified complex structure.

The modification is then equivalent to the shift $\delta z_n = \delta \xi^z(z_n)$ of the insertion points and the shift $\delta \chi_n = \chi_n \partial_z(\delta \xi_n^z)(z_n)$ of the first jet of the local parameter at the punctures parametrized by the ∂_z -derivative χ_n of the parameter at z_n . An easy calculation involving cutting out small balls around the insertions and integration by parts shows that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\delta\mu} &= \sum_n \int_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{1}{(z - z_n)^2} \delta_n + \frac{1}{z - z_n} h_n \right) \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \bar{\partial}(\delta \xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_n \left(\delta_n \chi_n^{-1} \delta \chi_n + h_n \delta z_n \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The quantum states ψ at genus zero may be labeled by their values $\psi(0)$ at $A = 0$ which belong to the subspace V^G of the G -invariant tensors in $V \equiv \otimes_n V_n$. Indeed, ψ is determined by its values on the dense \mathcal{G} -orbit of $A = 0$. Hence the bundle \mathcal{W} is a subbundle of the trivial bundle with the fiber V^G . The KZ(B) connection reduces in this case [18] to the formula

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZ}} \psi(0) = \sum_n \left(\delta \chi_n (\partial_{\chi_n} - \chi_n^{-1} \Delta_n) + \delta z_n (\partial_{z_n} - \frac{1}{\kappa} H_n) \right) \psi(0), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZ}} \psi(0) = \sum_n \left(\overline{\delta \chi_n} \partial_{\bar{\chi}_n} + \overline{\delta z_n} \partial_{\bar{z}_n} \right) \psi(0) \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\Delta_n = \frac{1}{2\kappa} t_n^a t_n^a, \quad H_n = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{t_n^a t_m^a}{z_n - z_m} \quad (3.11)$$

with t_n^a denoting the action of the generator t^a in the factor V_n of V . Δ_n is a number, the *conformal weight* assigned in the WZNW theory to the irreducible representation of G in V_n [18]. Note that, modulo the shift $k \mapsto \kappa = k + g^\vee$, Δ_n and $\frac{1}{\kappa} H_n$ may be obtained from $\frac{k}{(2\pi i)^2} \delta_n$ and $\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} h_n$, respectively, by the (geometric) quantization of the coadjoint orbits which replaces the functions ν_n^a by the operators $\frac{2\pi}{ki} t_n^a$ so that the Poisson bracket turns into $\frac{ki}{2\pi}$ times the commutator ($\frac{2\pi}{k}$ plays the role of the Planck constant). The flatness of the connection ∇^{KZ} , $(\nabla^{\text{KZ}})^2 = 0$, follows from the equation $[H_n, H_m] = 0$, equivalent to the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE) for $\frac{t_1^a t_2^a}{z}$:

$$\left[\frac{t_n^a t_m^a}{z_n - z_m}, \frac{t_m^a t_p^a}{z_m - z_p} \right] + \text{cyclic permutations} = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

4 Genus one

At genus one, every Riemann surface is isomorphic to an elliptic curve $E_\tau \equiv \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ where τ is a complex number of positive imaginary part τ_2 . Denote by Δ (Δ_+) the set of (positive) roots of $\text{Lie}(G)$, by e_α the step generators attached to the roots α and let (η^j) be an orthonormal basis of the Cartan algebra $\text{Lie}(T)$. We set $u_\alpha = \text{tr } u \alpha$ and $u^j = \text{tr } u \eta^j$ for $u \in \text{Lie}(T)$. We shall need some elliptic functions: the Jacobi theta function

$$\vartheta_1(z) = -i \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell e^{\pi i \tau (\ell + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \pi i z (2\ell + 1)}, \quad (4.1)$$

the Green function P_x of the twisted $\bar{\partial}$ -operator

$$P_x(z) = \frac{\vartheta'_1(0) \vartheta_1(x+z)}{\vartheta_1(x) \vartheta_1(z)}, \quad (4.2)$$

with the properties $P_x(z+1) = P_x(z)$, $P_x(z+\tau) = e^{-2\pi i x} P_x(z)$ and $P_x(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \mathcal{O}(1)$ around $z=0$, the function

$$\rho = \vartheta'_1/\vartheta_1 \quad (4.3)$$

s.t. $\rho(z+1) = \rho(z)$, $\rho(z+\tau) = \rho(z) - 2\pi i$, $\rho(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \mathcal{O}(1)$ around $z=0$ and, finally, the Weyl-Kac denominator

$$\Pi(u) = e^{2\pi i \tau d/24} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (e^{\pi i u_\alpha} - e^{-\pi i u_\alpha}) \prod_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - e^{2\pi i \ell \tau})^r \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (1 - e^{2\pi i \ell \tau} e^{2\pi i u_\alpha}) \right] \quad (4.4)$$

where d denotes the dimension and r the rank of G .

On E_τ a generic gauge field is in the orbit of $A_u = \pi u d\bar{z}/\tau_2$, for $u \in \text{Lie}(T)$, i.e.

$$A = h^{-1} A_u^{01} = (h_u h)^{-1} \bar{\partial}(h_u h) \quad (4.5)$$

where $h_u = e^{\pi(u\bar{z} - \bar{u}z)/\tau_2}$. Consequently, the gauge fields may be parametrized by u and h . To avoid ambiguities, we have to identify the pairs as follows

$$(u, h) \sim (wuw^{-1}, wh) \sim (u + q^\vee, h_{q^\vee}^{-1} h) \sim (u + \tau q^\vee, h_{\tau q^\vee}^{-1} h), \quad (4.6)$$

for q^\vee in the coroot lattice Q^\vee and w in the normalizer N of $\text{Lie}(T)$ in G . Similarly to the genus zero case, we have to solve the equation

$$\bar{\partial}(h_u h \Phi) = \sum_n \nu_n \delta_{z_n} \quad (4.7)$$

where $\nu_n = (h_u h)(z_n) \lambda_n (h_u h)(z_n)^{-1}$. Decomposing $\nu_n = \sum_\alpha \nu_n^{-\alpha} e_\alpha + \nu_n^0$ with $\nu_n^0 = \nu_n^j \eta^j \in \text{Lie}(T)$, we can solve the above equation if and only if $\sum_n \nu_n^0 = 0$. In that case,

$$h_u h \Phi(z) = \left(\varphi_0 + \sum_n \left(\sum_\alpha P_{u_\alpha}(z - z_n) \nu_n^{-\alpha} e_\alpha + \rho(z - z_n) \nu_n^0 \right) \right) \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \quad (4.8)$$

for an arbitrary constant $\varphi_0 = \varphi_0^j \eta^j$. Performing the symplectic reduction, we find

$$\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{O} \simeq \left\{ (u, \varphi_0, \underline{\nu}) \in T^* \text{Lie}(T) \times (\times_n \mathcal{O}_n) \mid \sum_n \nu_n^0 = 0 \right\} / N \rtimes (Q^\vee + \tau Q^\vee) \quad (4.9)$$

where the action of $N \rtimes (Q^\vee + \tau Q^\vee)$ implements the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \varphi_0, \underline{\nu}) &\sim (wuw^{-1}, w\varphi_0 w^{-1}, w\underline{\nu} w^{-1}) \sim (u + q^\vee, \varphi_0, (h_{q^\vee}^{-1}(z_n) \nu_n h_{q^\vee}(z_n))) \\ &\sim (u + \tau q^\vee, \varphi_0, (h_{\tau q^\vee}^{-1}(z_n) \nu_n h_{\tau q^\vee}(z_n))). \end{aligned}$$

The symplectic structure of $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{O}$ is that of the reduction of $T^*Lie(T) \times (\times_n \mathcal{O}_n)$ by the group $N \ltimes (Q^\vee + \tau Q^\vee)$. Now it is easy to write down the Hitchin Hamiltonians. Let us do it for $p_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}$. A straightforward computation identifying the pole terms leads to

$$h_{p_2} = \left\{ - \sum_n \rho'(z - z_n) \delta_n + \sum_n \rho(z - z_n) h_n + h_0 \right\} \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \quad (4.10)$$

where, as before, $\delta_n = \frac{1}{2} \nu_n^a \nu_n^a$ and

$$h_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \varphi_0^j \varphi_0^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \left\{ \sum_\alpha \partial_x P_{u_\alpha}(z_n - z_m) \nu_n^\alpha \nu_m^{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\vartheta_1''}{\vartheta_1}(z_n - z_m) \nu_n^j \nu_m^j \right\}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$h_n = \sum_{j=1}^r \nu_n^j \varphi_0^j + \sum_{m \neq n} \left(\sum_\alpha P_{u_\alpha}(z_n - z_m) \nu_n^\alpha \nu_m^{-\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^r \rho(z_n - z_m) \nu_n^j \nu_m^j \right). \quad (4.12)$$

Note the similarity to the genus 0 case (3.6).

Let $\delta\mu = \delta\mu_{\bar{z}}^z \partial_z d\bar{z}$ be a Beltrami differential on E_τ behaving like $\mathcal{O}((z - z_n)^2)$ around the insertions. The modified complex structure corresponds to the complex coordinate $z' = z + \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i\tau_2} \delta\tau + \delta\xi^z$ s.t. $\partial_{\bar{z}} z' = \delta\mu_{\bar{z}}^z$. We require that $\delta\xi^z(z+1) = \delta\xi^z(z+\tau) = \delta\xi^z(z)$. $\delta\tau$ is determined from the condition that the integral of $\delta\mu_{\bar{z}}^z$ over E_τ is equal to that of $\frac{i}{2\tau_2} \delta\tau$. $\delta\xi^z$ is unique up to an additive constant. Note that $z'(z+1) = z'(z) + 1$ whereas $z'(z+\tau) = z' + \tau'$ where $\tau' = \tau + \delta\tau$. Hence the deformed curve is isomorphic to $E_{\tau'}$ with the punctures moved to $z'_n = z_n + \delta z_n$ and the first jets of local parameters changed to $\chi'_n = \chi_n + \delta\chi_n$ with

$$\delta z_n = \frac{z_n - \bar{z}_n}{2i\tau_2} \delta\tau + \delta\xi^z(z_n), \quad \chi_n^{-1} \delta\chi_n = \frac{\delta\tau}{2i\tau_2} + \partial_z \delta\xi^z(z_n). \quad (4.13)$$

Again by a straightforward calculation substituting $\delta\mu_{\bar{z}}^z = \partial_{\bar{z}} z'$, cutting out small balls around points z_n and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$h_{\delta\mu} = \int_{E_\tau} h_{p_2} \delta\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_n \left(\delta_n \chi_n^{-1} \delta\chi_n + h_n \delta z_n + \frac{1}{2\pi i} h_0 \delta\tau \right). \quad (4.14)$$

The quantum states ψ at genus one may be characterized by giving holomorphic functions $\tilde{\psi}(u)$ on $Lie(T)$ with values in V^T , the subspace of T -invariant tensors in the product V of the representation spaces,

$$\tilde{\psi}(u) = \Pi(u) e^{-\pi k \text{tr } u^2 / (2\tau_2)} \otimes_n \left(e^{-\pi(z_n - \bar{z}_n)u / \tau_2} \right)_n \psi(A_u). \quad (4.15)$$

The KZB connection takes the form [6, 7, 10, 9, 8]

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}} \tilde{\psi} = \sum_n \left(\delta\chi_n (\partial_{\chi_n} - \chi_n^{-1} \Delta_n) + \delta z_n (\partial_{z_n} - \frac{1}{\kappa} H_n) + \delta\tau (\partial_\tau - \frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa} H_0) \right) \tilde{\psi}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}} \tilde{\psi} = \sum_n \left(\overline{\delta\chi_n} \partial_{\bar{\chi}_n} + \overline{\delta z_n} \partial_{\bar{z}_n} + \overline{\delta\tau} \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \right) \tilde{\psi} \quad (4.17)$$

where Δ_n is as before and the operators $\frac{1}{k}H_0$ and $\frac{1}{k}H_n$ are obtained from the Hamiltonians $\frac{k}{(2\pi i)^2}h_0$ and $\frac{k}{(2\pi i)^2}h_n$ by the replacement

$$\varphi_0^j \mapsto \frac{2\pi}{ki} \partial_{w^j}, \quad \mu_n^\alpha \mapsto \frac{2\pi}{ki} e_{\alpha n}, \quad \mu_n^j \mapsto \frac{2\pi}{ki} \eta_n^j, \quad (4.18)$$

i.e. by the geometric quantization. The resulting Hamiltonians H_0 and H_n act on general meromorphic functions on $Lie(T)$ with values in V^T . The flatness of the KZB connection is ensured by their commutation: $[H_n, H_m] = 0$, for $n, m = 0, 1, \dots$, following from the so called dynamical CYBE [10].

5 Genus two

5.1 Curve and its Jacobian

Let Σ be a curve of genus 2. Choosing a marking, i.e. a symplectic homology basis (A^a, B^a) , $a = 1, 2$, on Σ , we may fix the corresponding basis (ω^a) of the holomorphic 1,0-forms (abelian differentials) s.t. $\int_{A^a} \omega^b = \delta^{ab}$. The B^a -periods of the abelian differentials give rise to the symmetric period matrix τ , $\tau^{ab} = \int_{B^a} \omega^b$, with a positive imaginary part. The map

$$\Sigma \ni x \mapsto z(x) = \frac{\omega^2(x)}{\omega^1(x)} \quad (5.1)$$

realizes Σ as a double covering of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified over six Weierstrass points x_n or as a hyperelliptic curve given by the equation

$$y^2 = \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n). \quad (5.2)$$

The coordinates $z_n = z(x_n)$ are assumed to be finite. This may be always achieved by an appropriate choice of the marking. Curve Σ may be viewed as composed of the points (y, z) and of two points at infinity. The covering of \mathbb{P}^1 is $(y, z) \mapsto z$. In this representation, the holomorphic 1,0-forms and the holomorphic quadratic differentials on Σ have the form

$$\omega = (a + bz)dz/y, \quad \beta = (a + bz + cz^2)(dz)^2/y^2, \quad (5.3)$$

respectively. In particular,

$$\omega^1 \propto dz/y, \quad \omega^2 \propto z dz/y \quad (5.4)$$

with the same proportionality constant.

The Jacobian J^1 of the degree 1 holomorphic line bundles may be represented as $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}^2 + \tau\mathbb{Z}^2)$. In particular, the spin structures $L, L^2 = K$, correspond to points $e + \tau e'$ with $e \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. There are 6 odd spin structures $e_n + \tau e'_n$ labeled by the Weierstrass points z_n , the zeros of the holomorphic sections they admit, and 10 even spin structures without holomorphic sections.

5.2 Theta functions

The degree 1 bundles with holomorphic sections form the theta-divisor in J^1 . The holomorphic sections of the k^{th} -power of the corresponding theta bundle over J^1 may be represented by the holomorphic theta functions of degree k on \mathbb{C}^2 defined by the relations

$$e^{\pi i k n \cdot \tau n + 2\pi i k n \cdot u} \theta(u + m + \tau n) = \theta(u) \quad (5.5)$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. They form the space Θ_k of dimension k^2 . For $k = 1$ there is a single (up to normalization) theta function

$$\vartheta(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{\pi i n \cdot \tau n + 2\pi i n \cdot u}, \quad (5.6)$$

the Riemann theta function. For $k = 2$ there are four independent θ -functions. One can take them as

$$\theta_e(u) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{2\pi i (n+e) \cdot \tau (n+e) + 4\pi i (n+e) \cdot u} \quad (5.7)$$

for $e \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$.

$$\vartheta(u+v)\vartheta(u-v) = \sum_e \theta_e(u)\theta_e(v) \quad (5.8)$$

is a second order theta function in both u and v . The map $v \mapsto \vartheta(\cdot+v)\vartheta(\cdot-v)$ determines an embedding of the Kummer surface $J^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}^2 + \tau\mathbb{Z}^2)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ onto a quartic surface \mathcal{K} in the 3-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}\Theta_2$ (\mathbb{Z}_2 maps the degree 1 line bundles L into $L^{-1}K$ or $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$ into $-v$).

The double theta function (5.8) determines a non-degenerate symmetric quadratic form on the space Θ_2^* dual to Θ_2 . It permits to identify Θ_2^* with Θ_2 by sending $\phi \in \Theta_2^*$ to $\iota(\phi) \in \Theta_2$ defined by

$$\iota(\phi)(u) = \langle \vartheta(u + \cdot)\vartheta(u - \cdot), \phi \rangle. \quad (5.9)$$

The identification exchanges the basis (θ_e) of Θ_2 with the dual basis (θ_e^*) and the Kummer quartic \mathcal{K} with its dual version $\mathcal{K}^* \subset \mathbb{P}\Theta_2^*$. \mathcal{K}^* is composed of linear forms proportional to the evaluation forms ϕ_u defined by

$$\langle \theta, \phi_u \rangle = \theta(u). \quad (5.10)$$

The group $(\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^4$ of spin structures acts on Θ_k for even k by endomorphisms $U_{e,e'}$ defined by

$$(U_{e,e'}\theta)(u) = e^{\pi i k e' \cdot \tau e' + 2\pi i k e' \cdot u} \theta(u + e + \tau e'). \quad (5.11)$$

For k not divisible by 4 this action is only projective: $U_{e,e'}U_{f,f'} = (-1)^{4e \cdot f'} U_{e+f, e'+f'}$ and it lifts to a Heisenberg group. For $k = 2$,

$$U_{e,e'}\theta_{e''} = (-1)^{4e \cdot e''} \theta_{e'+e''}. \quad (5.12)$$

The action of $U_{e,e'}$ preserves the Kummer quartic $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{P}\Theta_2$ and the action of the transposed endomorphisms $U_{e,e'}^t$ preserves \mathcal{K}^* .

5.3 Moduli space of SL_2 -bundles

In the fundamental paper [21], Narasimhan and Ramanan proved that the moduli space \mathcal{N}_s of the stable SL_2 holomorphic bundles is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}\Theta_2 \setminus \mathcal{K}$. The isomorphism associates to an SL_2 -bundle E the second order theta function θ vanishing at the points $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$ corresponding to the duals of the line-subbundles of the rank 2 bundle associated to E . In other words, if A is the gauge field whose \mathcal{G} -orbit corresponds to E and if L_u denotes the degree 1 line bundle corresponding to $u \in \mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}^2 + \tau\mathbb{Z}^2)$ then the theta function θ associated to E vanishes at u if and only if there exists a pair $s = (s_1, s_2)$ composed of sections of L_u s.t. $(\bar{\partial} + A)s = 0$. The semistable compactification of the moduli space \mathcal{N}_s is

$$\mathcal{N}_{ss} \cong \mathbb{P}\Theta_2 \quad (5.13)$$

and, exceptionally, it is smooth. The points of the Kummer quartic \mathcal{K} represent (classes of) the semistable but not stable bundles. Hence for $G = SL_2$ the phase space of the Hitchin system on the genus 2 curve with no insertions is

$$T^*\mathcal{N}_{ss} \cong T^*\mathbb{P}\Theta_2 \cong \{(\theta, \phi) \in \Theta_2 \times \Theta_2^* \mid \theta \neq 0, \langle \theta, \phi \rangle = 0\} / \mathbb{C}^\times \quad (5.14)$$

with the action of $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ given by $(\theta, \phi) \mapsto (t\theta, t^{-1}\phi)$. As a symplectic space, it is the symplectic reduction of $T^*(\Theta_2 \setminus \{0\})$ by the action of \mathbb{C}^\times . Using the bases (θ_e) and (θ_e^*) to decompose

$$\theta = \sum q_e \theta_e, \quad \phi = \sum p_e \theta_e^*, \quad (5.15)$$

we may represent $T^*\mathcal{N}_{ss}$ as the space of pairs $(q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{C}^4$, $q \neq 0$, $q \cdot p = 0$, with the identification $(q, p) \sim (tq, t^{-1}p)$ and the symplectic form induced from $dp \cdot dq$.

5.4 Hitchin map for $G = SL_2$

The Hitchin map $h_{p_2} : T^*\mathbb{P}\Theta_2 \rightarrow H^0(K^2)$ appears to take a particularly simple form resembling the genus 0 formula (3.6):

$$h_{p_2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n, m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^6 \frac{r_{nm}}{(z - z_n)(z - z_m)} \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\right)^2 = \sum_{n=1}^6 \frac{h_n}{z - z_n} \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$r_{nm}(\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{16} \langle U_{e_n, e'_n} \theta, U_{e_m, e'_m}^t \phi \rangle \langle U_{e_m, e'_m} \theta, U_{e_n, e'_n}^t \phi \rangle \quad (5.17)$$

(the last two factors on the right hand side coincide modulo sign) and

$$h_n = \sum_{m \neq n}^6 \frac{r_{nm}}{z_n - z_m}. \quad (5.18)$$

With the help of Eq. (5.12), r_{nm} 's may be rewritten in the language of q 's and p 's as explicit homogeneous polynomials of order 2 in q_e and in p_e , see below. The identity

$$\sum_{m \neq n} r_{nm} = 0 \quad (5.19)$$

holding for each n guarantees that $\prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n) \sum_{\substack{n,m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^6 \frac{r_{nm}}{(z - z_n)(z - z_m)}$ is a quadratic polynomial in z . It follows that the right hand side of Eq. (5.16) determines a quadratic differential on Σ of the general form given by Eq. (5.3).

The equality (5.16) is not immediate. It was established in four steps. We shall only enumerate them here. The two first crucial steps were performed in [14]. It was shown there that for any $\theta \neq 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}^2$ s.t. $\theta(u) = 0$,

$$h_{p_2}(\theta, \phi_u) = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2} (\partial_{u^a} \theta(u) \omega^a)^2. \quad (5.20)$$

The above equation describes the quadratic polynomial $h_{p_2}(\theta, \cdot)$ (with values in $H^0(K^2)$) on the quartic $\mathcal{K}_\theta^* = \mathcal{K}^* \cap \mathbb{P}\theta^\perp$ in the projectivized subspace of Θ_2^* perpendicular to θ . In principal, it determines h_{p_2} completely. It was observed then that the above formula implies that for each Weierstrass point z_n the conic

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{\mathbb{C}^\times \phi \in \mathbb{P}\theta^\perp \mid h_{p_2}(\theta, \phi)|_{z_n} = 0\} \quad (5.21)$$

is in fact the union of two bitangents to \mathcal{K}_θ^* . The explicit equations for the bitangents to the Kummer quartics known since about a century permitted then to establish Eq. (5.16) up to multiplication by a θ -dependent factor [14]. The other steps in the proof of the formula (5.16) were taken in [13] where it was established that the Hitchin map h_{p_2} possesses the important self-duality property:

$$h_{p_2}(\iota(\phi), \iota^{-1}(\theta)) = h_{p_2}(\theta, \phi) \quad (5.22)$$

or that $h_{p_2}(q, p) = h_{p_2}(p, q)$ in the language of (5.15). This property, far from obvious in the original formulation of the Hitchin system, restricted the ambiguity on the right hand side of Eq. (5.16) to a (possibly curve-dependent) constant factor. The latter was fixed in [13] by a tedious calculation of h_{p_2} at special points of $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}^*$.

5.5 Deformations of complex structure

For the hyperelliptic curve, the variations of the complex structure described by the Beltrami differentials $\delta\mu$ on Σ change the images z_n of the ramification points of the covering of \mathbb{P}^1 . Let us find these changes. Let

$$\omega'^a = \omega^a + \delta\omega^a + \bar{\delta}\omega^a \quad (5.23)$$

denote a deformed basis (ω'^a) of the abelian differentials with $\delta\omega^a$ of 1,0-type and $\bar{\delta}\omega^a$ of 0,1-type in the original complex structure. $\delta\omega^a$ and $\bar{\delta}\omega^a$ have to satisfy the relations

$$\bar{\delta}\omega^a = \omega^a \delta\mu, \quad \bar{\partial}(\delta\omega^a) = -\partial(\omega^a \delta\mu) \quad (5.24)$$

stating, respectively, that ω'^a is of the 1,0-type in the deformed structure and that it is a closed form. The equation for $\delta\omega^a$ always has solutions. They are defined modulo abelian differentials and the ambiguity may be fixed by demanding that $\int_{A^a} \omega'^b = \delta^{ab}$. The deformed covering map onto \mathbb{P}^1 is then

$$z'(x) = \frac{\omega'^2(x)}{\omega'^1(x)} = z(x) + \frac{\delta\omega^2}{\omega^1}(x) - z(x) \frac{\delta\omega^1}{\omega^1}(x). \quad (5.25)$$

The ramification points x'_n of the map z' are determined by solving the equation

$$\partial' z'(x'_n) = 0 \quad (5.26)$$

which, upon rewriting $x'_n = x_n + \delta x_n$ becomes

$$(\partial)^2 z(x_n) \delta x_n + \partial\left(\frac{\delta \omega^2}{\omega^1}\right)(x_n) - \partial\left(z \frac{\delta \omega^1}{\omega^1}\right)(x_n) = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Since $\bar{\partial} z(x_n) = 0$, the quadratic differential $(\bar{\partial})^2 z(x_n)$ is well defined. Besides, since the ramification points are isolated, it does not vanish so that one may solve the above equations for δx_n . The ramification points x'_n are mapped to

$$z'_n = z'(x_n + \delta x_n) = z_n + \frac{\delta \omega^2}{\omega^1}(x_n) - z_n \frac{\delta \omega^1}{\omega^1}(x_n). \quad (5.28)$$

We infer that

$$\delta z_n \equiv z'_n - z_n = \frac{\delta \omega^2}{\omega^1}(x_n) - z_n \frac{\delta \omega^1}{\omega^1}(x_n) \quad (5.29)$$

are the variations of z_n corresponding to the Beltrami differential $\delta \mu$.

We may now find the values of the Hitchin Hamiltonians $h_{\delta \mu} = \int_{\Sigma} h_{p_2} \delta \mu$ related to the Beltrami differentials. Note that, by virtue of Eqs. (5.25) and (5.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}(\delta z) &\equiv \bar{\partial}(z' - z) = \bar{\partial}(\delta \omega^2)/\omega^1 - z \bar{\partial}(\delta \omega^1)/\omega^1 = -\partial(\omega^2 \delta \mu)/\omega^1 \\ &+ z \partial(\omega^1 \delta \mu)/\omega^1 = -\partial(z \omega^1 \delta \mu)/\omega^1 + z \partial(\omega^1 \delta \mu)/\omega^1 = (dz) \delta \mu. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

A straightforward integration by parts over the region in Σ without small balls around the Weierstrass points x_n and around 2 points at infinity gives now:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\delta \mu} &= \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{n=1}^6 \frac{h_n}{z - z_n} \left(\frac{dz}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \delta \mu = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{n=1}^6 \frac{h_n}{z - z_n} dz \bar{\partial}(\delta z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^6 h_n \delta z_n. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

The comparison with Eq. (3.8) shows an additional factor 2 which comes from the double covering.

5.6 Relation to Neumann systems

The Poisson-commutation of the Hamiltonians h_n (of which any 3 give independent action variables of our integrable system) is equivalent to the CYB-like equations

$$\left\{ \frac{r_{nm}}{z_n - z_m}, \frac{r_{mp}}{z_m - z_p} \right\} + \text{cycl.} = 0, \quad \left\{ \frac{r_{nm}}{z_n - z_m}, \frac{r_{pq}}{z_p - z_q} \right\} = 0 \quad (5.32)$$

for $\{n, m\} \cap \{p, q\} = \emptyset$. The above relations may be directly checked, as noticed in [14]. The more recent observations of the paper [15] on the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard connection in the same setup (see below) permit to identify the integrable system

with the Hamiltonians h_n of Eq. (5.18): it is a modified version of the classical genus 2 Neumann systems [23, 19, 1] whose original version is also rooted in the modular geometry of hyperelliptic curves. This goes as follows.

The phase space $T^*\mathbb{P}^3$ (without the zero section) may be identified with the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_1 of the complex group SL_4 composed of the traceless rank 1 matrices $|q\rangle\langle p|$. The action of SL_4 in $\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^4$ preserves the quadratic form induced by the exterior product on $\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^4$ and the identification $\wedge^4\mathbb{C}^4 \cong \mathbb{C}^1$. It leads to the double covering $SL_4 \rightarrow SO_6$ if we choose in $\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^4$ the Plücker basis turning the quadratic form into the sum of squares. The inverse relation is the complexified version of the twistor calculus. Upon the identification of sl_4 with so_6 , the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_1 becomes the one composed of (complex) rank 2 antisymmetric matrices $J = (J_{nm})$ of square zero: $J^2 = 0$. Such matrices are of the form $J_{nm} = Q_n P_m - P_n Q_m$ with vectors $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^6$ spanning an isotropic subspace, i.e. with $Q^2 = Q \cdot P = P^2 = 0$. Given (q, p) with $q \cdot p = 0$, in order to find the corresponding pair (Q, P) , it is enough to complete vector q to a basis (q, f_1, f_2, f_3) of \mathbb{C}^4 s.t. $f_1 \cdot p = f_2 \cdot p = 0$ and $f_3 \cdot p = 1$ and to set

$$Q = q \wedge f_1, \quad R = f_2 \wedge f_3, \quad P = q \wedge f_2 / Q \cdot R \quad (5.33)$$

in the language of $\wedge^2\mathbb{C}^4 \cong \mathbb{C}^6$. In the Plücker coordinates, we may take

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= -(q_1 p_3 + q_4 p_2), & Q_2 &= i(q_1 p_3 - q_4 p_2), & Q_3 &= -i(q_1 p_2 + q_4 p_3), \\ Q_4 &= q_1 p_2 - q_4 p_3, & Q_5 &= q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3, & Q_6 &= i(q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3), \\ P_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{q_2 p_4 + q_3 p_1}{q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3}, & P_2 &= \frac{i}{2} \frac{q_2 p_4 - q_3 p_1}{q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3}, & P_3 &= \frac{i}{2} \frac{q_3 p_4 + q_2 p_1}{q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3}, \\ P_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{q_3 p_4 - q_2 p_1}{q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3}, & P_5 &= \frac{1}{2}, & P_6 &= -\frac{i}{2} \end{aligned}$$

where $q_1 \equiv q_{(0,0)}$, $q_2 \equiv q_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}$, $q_3 \equiv q_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}$, $q_4 \equiv q_{(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}$ and similarly for p 's. In terms of (Q, P) , the symplectic form is $dP \cdot dQ$. The functions J_{nm} on \mathcal{O}_1 have the Poisson bracket

$$\{J_{nm}, J_{mp}\} = -J_{np} \quad \text{for } n, m, p \text{ different}, \quad (5.34)$$

$$\{J_{nm}, J_{pq}\} = 0 \quad \text{for } n, m, p, q \text{ different} \quad (5.35)$$

A straightforward check shows now that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12} &= \frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_1 + q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3 - q_4 p_4), & J_{13} &= -\frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_4 - q_2 p_3 - q_3 p_2 - q_4 p_1), \\ J_{14} &= \frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_4 + q_2 p_3 - q_3 p_2 - q_4 p_1), & J_{15} &= -\frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_3 - q_2 p_4 - q_3 p_1 + q_4 p_2), \\ J_{16} &= \frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_3 + q_2 p_4 + q_3 p_1 + q_4 p_2), & J_{23} &= -\frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_4 - q_2 p_3 + q_3 p_2 - q_4 p_1), \\ J_{24} &= -\frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_4 + q_2 p_3 + q_3 p_2 + q_4 p_1), & J_{25} &= \frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_3 - q_2 p_4 + q_3 p_1 - q_4 p_2), \\ J_{26} &= \frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_3 + q_2 p_4 - q_3 p_1 - q_4 p_2), & J_{34} &= -\frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2 + q_3 p_3 - q_4 p_4), \\ J_{35} &= -\frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_2 + q_2 p_1 + q_3 p_4 + q_4 p_3), & J_{36} &= -\frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 - q_3 p_4 + q_4 p_3), \\ J_{45} &= \frac{1}{2}(q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 + q_3 p_4 - q_4 p_3), & J_{46} &= -\frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_2 + q_2 p_1 - q_3 p_4 - q_4 p_3), \\ J_{56} &= \frac{i}{2}(q_1 p_1 - q_2 p_2 - q_3 p_3 + q_4 p_4) \end{aligned} \quad (5.36)$$

and that

$$r_{nm} = -\frac{1}{4} (J_{nm})^2. \quad (5.37)$$

The equations (5.32) assuring that the Hamiltonians $h_n = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{J_{nm}^2}{z_n - z_m}$ Poisson-commute follow directly from the so_6 algebra (5.34), (5.35). The original Neumann systems are very similar but involve the coadjoint orbits of SO_N composed from rank 2 antisymmetric matrices of square $\neq 0$ [1]. Such orbits, contrary to the one we consider, have nontrivial standard real forms.

5.7 Lax matrix approach

Although the change of the orbit modifies dimensional counts and many details, the methods used in the analysis of the Neumann systems, in particular the Lax method developed in [1], generalize with minor variations to our system and permit to find explicitly the angle variables of the genus 2 Hitchin system. The Lax matrix may be taken as $L(\zeta) = (L_{nm}(\zeta))$ with

$$L_{nm}(\zeta) = \zeta J_{nm} + z_n \delta_{nm}. \quad (5.38)$$

As in [1], the Poisson brackets (5.34), (5.35) may be rewritten in the matrix form as

$$\{L(\zeta) \otimes 1, 1 \otimes L(\zeta')\} = [L(\zeta) \otimes 1, r^-(\zeta, \zeta')] - [1 \otimes L(\zeta'), r^+(\zeta, \zeta')] \quad (5.39)$$

where the r -matrices

$$r^\pm(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{\zeta \zeta'}{\zeta + \zeta'} C \pm \frac{\zeta \zeta'}{\zeta - \zeta'} T \quad (5.40)$$

with $C_{mn,qp} = \delta_{mq} \delta_{np}$ and $T_{mn,qp} = \delta_{mp} \delta_{nq}$ satisfy the CYBE. The above form of the Poisson bracket implies immediately that

$$\{\text{tr } L(\zeta)^\ell, \text{tr } L(\zeta')^{\ell'}\} = 0 \quad (5.41)$$

for all ζ, ζ' . Since

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2 \zeta} \text{tr } L(0)^\ell = 2\ell \sum_{\substack{n,m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^6 z_n^{\ell-1} \frac{J_{nm}^2}{z_n - z_m}, \quad (5.42)$$

the Hamiltonians $h_n = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{J_{nm}^2}{z_n - z_m}$ may be expressed as combinations of the quantities $\text{tr } L(\zeta)^\ell$. It is not difficult to see that the converse is also true.

More generally, Eq. (5.40) implies that

$$\{\text{tr } L(\zeta)^\ell, L(\zeta')\} = [M_\ell(\zeta, \zeta'), L(\zeta')] \quad (5.43)$$

with

$$M_\ell(\zeta, \zeta') = \ell \frac{\zeta \zeta'}{\zeta - \zeta'} L(\zeta)^{\ell-1} + \ell \frac{\zeta \zeta'}{\zeta + \zeta'} L(-\zeta)^{\ell-1}. \quad (5.44)$$

It follows that the commuting time evolutions of the Lax matrix $L(\zeta)$ generated by the Hamiltonians h_n ,

$$\delta_n L(\zeta) = \{h_n, L(\zeta)\} \delta t_n, \quad (5.45)$$

are isospectral. In other words, the spectral curve \mathcal{S} given by the characteristic equation

$$\det(L(\zeta) - z) = 0 \quad (5.46)$$

is left invariant by the dynamics generated by any of the Hamiltonians h_n . An easy calculation using the fact that the matrix J has rank 2 gives

$$\det(L(\zeta) - z) = \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \sum_{\substack{n,m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^6 \frac{J_{nm}^2}{(z - z_n)(z - z_m)} \right). \quad (5.47)$$

Upon the substitution $\sigma = \frac{1}{i\zeta} \prod_n (z - z_n)$, the characteristic equation (5.46) becomes

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n)^2 \sum_{\substack{n,m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^6 \frac{J_{nm}^2}{(z - z_n)(z - z_m)} \equiv P(z). \quad (5.48)$$

Since $P(z)$ is a polynomial in z of order 8 (see the remark after Eq. (5.19)), this is the equation of a hyperelliptic curve \mathcal{S} of genus 3 composed of pairs (σ, z) and 2 points p_∞^\pm corresponding to $z = \infty$. We shall consider only such points of the phase space that \mathcal{S} is smooth. The 1,0-forms

$$\Omega^b = z^b dz / \sigma \quad (5.49)$$

with $b = 0, 1, 2$ form a basis of the abelian differentials on \mathcal{S} .

We shall search for the eigenvectors $X = (X_n)$ of the Lax matrix. This will allow to adapt the arguments described in great detail in Sect. 4 of [19] to the present case. The eigenvector equations

$$\zeta J_{nm} X_m = \zeta Q_n (P \cdot X) - \zeta P_n (Q \cdot X) = (z - z_n) X_n \quad (5.50)$$

imply that

$$(z - z_n) X_n = a Q_n + b P_n. \quad (5.51)$$

Upon multiplication by $\sigma = \frac{1}{i} \zeta^{-1} \prod_n (z - z_n)$, Eq. (5.50) becomes a system of 2 linear equations for a and b :

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma + V) a + i W b &= 0, \\ -i U a + (\sigma - V) b &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

where

$$U(z) = \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n) \sum_{n=1}^6 \frac{Q_n^2}{z - z_n},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V(z) &= i \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n) \sum_{n=1}^6 \frac{Q_n P_n}{z - z_n}, \\
W(z) &= \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n) \sum_{n=1}^6 \frac{P_n^2}{z - z_n}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

are 4th-order polynomials in z . The non-trivial solution exists if

$$\sigma^2 = U(z)W(z) + V(z)^2 = P(z) \tag{5.54}$$

where the last equality follows by a straightforward check. The system (5.52) of linear equations defines a holomorphic line subbundle L of the rank 2 bundle $W = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{O}(4p_\infty^+ + 4p_\infty^-)$ over the hyperelliptic curve \mathcal{S} (the coefficients behave as z^4 at infinity). As solutions of (5.52) we may take for example

$$a = \sigma - V(z), \quad b = iU(z) \quad \text{or} \quad a = -iW(z), \quad b = \sigma + V(z). \tag{5.55}$$

Since a and b are proportional to z^4 at infinity, they define holomorphic sections of $L \subset W$ regular at p_∞^\pm . They vanish at four points

$$p'_\alpha = (V(z'_\alpha), z'_\alpha) \quad \text{or} \quad p''_\alpha = (-V(z''_\alpha), z''_\alpha), \tag{5.56}$$

respectively, where z'_α are the roots of U and z''_α are those of W . Hence the degree of the line bundle L is equal to 4. $H^0(L)$ has dimension 2 and is spanned by the two solutions (5.55).

5.8 Angle variables

The knowledge of the bundle L may be encoded in the image w of L in the Jacobian $J^4(\mathcal{S})$ under the Abel map:

$$w = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \int_{p_0}^{p'_\alpha} \Omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \int_{p_0}^{p''_\alpha} \Omega \tag{5.57}$$

where $\Omega = (\Omega^b)$ is the vector of the abelian differentials (5.49) on \mathcal{S} and p_0 is a fixed point of \mathcal{S} . Under the infinitesimal time evolution (5.45) inducing the changes $\delta_n U$, $\delta_n V$, $\delta_n W$, of the polynomials U, V, W , the image of the Abel map changes by

$$\delta_n w^b = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \frac{z'_\alpha{}^b \delta_n z'_\alpha}{V(z'_\alpha)} = - \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \frac{z''_\alpha{}^b \delta_n z''_\alpha}{V(z''_\alpha)}. \tag{5.58}$$

The variations of the zeros of U are

$$\delta_n z'_\alpha = - \frac{\delta_n U(z'_\alpha)}{U'(z'_\alpha)} \tag{5.59}$$

and similarly for $\delta_n z''_\alpha$. A direct calculation gives

$$\delta_n U(z) = \{h_n, U(z)\} \delta t_n = 4i \prod_{m \neq n} (z_n - z_m)^{-1} \frac{V(z_n)U(z) - U(z_n)V(z)}{z - z_n} \delta t_n, \tag{5.60}$$

see [19], page 3.69. Hence

$$\delta_n w^b = 4i \prod_{m \neq n} (z_n - z_m)^{-1} U(z_n) \delta t_n \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \frac{z'_\alpha{}^b}{(z'_\alpha - z_n) U'(z'_\alpha)}. \quad (5.61)$$

The vanishing of the sum of residues of the meromorphic form $\frac{z^b dz}{(z - z_n) U(z)}$ implies that the last sum is equal to $-z_n^b U(z_n)^{-1}$ so that

$$\delta w^b = \{w^b, h_n\} \delta t_n = \frac{4}{i} \prod_{m \neq n} (z_n - z_m)^{-1} z_n^b \delta t_n \quad (5.62)$$

which does not depend on the phase-space variables. We infer that the Hamiltonians h_n generate constant flows on the complex torus $J^4(\mathcal{S}) \cong \mathbb{C}^3/\Lambda$ where Λ is the lattice of periods of Ω . Modulo a constant linear transformation, the coordinates w^b , $b = 0, 1, 2$, provide together with 3 of the Hamiltonians h_n a Darboux coordinate system for $T^*\mathbb{P}^3$. We have thus found the angle variables of the Hitchin system (as the angles of $J^4(\mathcal{S})$).

It should be stressed that the above approach based on the Lax matrix is simpler than the one obtained by following the general procedure for the Hitchin systems. In particular, Eq. (1.7) giving the spectral curve \mathcal{C} in the general approach is, as shown in [13],

$$\xi^2 = -4 \prod_{n=1}^6 (z - z_n) \sum_{\substack{n,m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^6 \frac{J_{nm}^2}{(z - z_n)(z - z_m)} \quad (5.63)$$

to which one has to add the equation (5.2) of the original curve Σ of genus 2. \mathcal{C} is of genus 5 and it is a ramified cover of both Σ (by forgetting ξ) and \mathcal{S} (by setting $\sigma = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \xi y$). While the general construction would give the angle variables as those of a 3-dimensional Prym variety in the 5-dimensional Jacobian of degree -2 line bundles on \mathcal{C} , the Lax approach gave them as the angles of the degree 4 Jacobian of \mathcal{S} .

5.9 KZB connection

The determinant bundle \mathcal{L} over the moduli space $\mathcal{N}_{ss} \cong \mathbb{P}\Theta_2$ of the holomorphic SL_2 -bundles over the genus 2 curve coincides with the dual of the tautological bundle on $\mathbb{P}\Theta_2$ so that $H^0(\mathcal{L}^k)$ is the space of homogeneous polynomials Ψ of degree k on the space Θ_2 . The Lie algebra so_6 acts in the space Θ_2 by the first order differential operators, still denoted by J_{nm} , satisfying the commutation relations (5.34), (5.35) with the Poisson bracket replaced by the commutator. In the (p, q) -language they are obtained by replacing p_n 's in the expressions (5.36) for J_{nm} by $-\partial_{x_n}$. The KZB connection for the case in question has been worked out in [15]. It takes the form (up to a scalar 1-form)

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}} \Psi = \sum_{n=1}^6 \delta z_n (\partial_{z_n} - \frac{1}{\kappa} H_n) \Psi, \quad (5.64)$$

$$\nabla_{\delta\mu}^{\text{KZB}} \Psi = \sum_n \overline{\delta z_n} \partial_{\bar{z}_n} \Psi \quad (5.65)$$

where

$$H_n = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \neq n}^6 \frac{J_{nm}^2}{z_n - z_m} \quad (5.66)$$

so that $\frac{1}{k}H_n$ is a quantization of $\frac{2k}{(2\pi i)^2}h_n$ obtained by the replacement $J_{nm} \mapsto \frac{2\pi}{ki}J_{nm}$ in the classical expression for h_n . The quantum Hamiltonians H_n , $n = 1, \dots, 6$, are commuting second order differential operators on $\Theta_2 \cong \mathbb{C}^4$.

6 Conclusions

We have described above in explicit terms the Hitchin integrable systems and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard connection in the genus 0, 1 and 2 geometries, the last case only for $G = SL_2$ and with no punctures. The main original contribution of the paper is the construction of the angle variables of the genus 2 system. It is a modification of a similar construction, based on the use of a Lax matrix, for the classical Neumann system. The diagonalization of the quantized Hamiltonians H_n entering the genus 2 KZB connection for $G = SL_2$ as well as the identification of the genus 2 Hitchin systems with punctures and for different groups remain open problems.

References

- [1] Avan, J., Talon, M.: *Poisson Structure and Integrability of the Neumann-Moser-Uhlenbeck Model*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 5**, 4477-4488 (1990)
- [2] Beilinson, A. A., Drinfeld, V. G. : *Quantization of Hitchin's Fibration and Langlands Program*. Preprint (1994)
- [3] Bernard, D.: *On the Wess-Zumino-Witten Models on the Torus*. Nucl. Phys. **B 303**, 77-93 (1988)
- [4] Bernard, D.: *On the Wess-Zumino Model on Riemann Surfaces*. Nucl. Phys. **B 309**, 145-174 (1988)
- [5] Enriquez, B. Rubtsov, V.: *Hitchin Systems, Higher Gaudin Operators and r-Matrices*. Math. Res. Lett. **3**, 343-357 (1996)
- [6] Etingof, P., Kirillov, A., Jr.: *Representations of Affine Lie Algebras, Parabolic Differential Equations and Lamé Functions*. Duke Math. J. **74**, 585-614 (1994)
- [7] Falceto, F., Gawędzki, K.: *Chern-Simons States at Genus One*. Commun. Math. Phys. **159**, 549-579 (1994)
- [8] Falceto, F., Gawędzki, K.: *Unitarity of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard Connection and the Bethe Ansatz for the Elliptic Hitchin Systems*. Commun. Math. Phys. **183**, 267-290 (1997)
- [9] Felder, G., Varchenko, A.: *Integral Representations of Solutions of the Elliptic Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard Equations*. Int. Math. Res. Not. **5**, 221-233 (1995)
- [10] Felder, G., Wierczkowski, C.: *Conformal Blocks on Elliptic Curves and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard Equations*. Commun. Math. Phys. **176**, 113-161 (1996)
- [11] Frenkel, E.: *Affine Algebras, Langlands Duality and Bethe Ansatz*. In: *XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics*, ed. Iagolnitzer, D., International Press, Boston 1995, pp. 606-642
- [12] Gawędzki, K.: *Constructive Conformal Field Theory*. In: *Functional Integration, Geometry and Strings*, eds. Haba, Z., Sobczyk, J., Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston Berlin 1989, p.. 277-302

- [13] Gawędzki, K., Tran-Ngoc-Bich, P.: *Self-Duality of the SL_2 Hitchin Integrable System at Genus Two*. solv-int/9710025, to appear in Commun. Math. Phys.
- [14] van Geemen, B., Previato, E.: *On the Hitchin System*. Duke Math. J. **85**, 659-683 (1996)
- [15] van Geemen, B., de Jong, A. J.: *On Hitchin's Connection*. alg-geom/9701007
- [16] Hitchin, N. J.: *Stable Bundles and Integrable Systems*. Duke Math. J. **54**, 91-114 (1987)
- [17] Hitchin, N. J.: *Flat Connections and Geometric Quantization*. Commun. Math. Phys. **131**, 347-380 (1990)
- [18] Knizhnik, V. G., Zamolodchikov, A. B.: *Current Algebra and Wess-Zumino Model in Two Dimensions*. Nucl. Phys **B 247**, 83-103 (1984)
- [19] Mumford, D.: *Tata Lectures on Theta II*. Birkhäuser, Basel 1984
- [20] Markman, E.: *Spectral Curves and Integrable Systems*. Comp. Math. **93**, 255-290 (1994)
- [21] Narasimhan, M. S., Ramanan, S.: *Moduli of Vector Bundles on a Compact Riemann Surface*. Ann. Math. **89**, 19-51 (1969)
- [22] Nekrasov, N.: *Holomorphic Bundles and Many-Body Systems*. Commun. Math. Phys. **180**, 587-603 (1996)
- [23] Neumann, C.: *De Problemate quodam Mechanico, quod ad Primam Integralium Ultraellipticorum Classem Revocatur*. Crelle **56** (1859)
- [24] Verlinde, E.: *Fusion Rules and Modular Transformations in 2D Conformal Field Theory*. Nucl. Phys. **B 300** [FS 22], 360-376 (1988)
- [25] Witten, E.: *Quantum Field Theory and Jones Polynomial*. Commun. Math. Phys. **121**, 351-399 (1989)