

An Heterotic SUSY Version
of one Non-Stable Integrability
Theorem Due to K.H. Mayer

Juan Fernando Ospina G.

Departamento de Física
Universidad de Antioquia
A.A. 1226, Medellin, Colombia

Abstract

By using Heterotic SUSY path integral, one version and generalization of an integrability theorem due to Mayer is obtained.

1 Introduction

The typical relation which links heterotic SUSY with differential topology is [1]

“Heterotic SUSY Path Integral = integer”

$$\int_{\text{H.SUSY. B.C.}} \mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Heterotic SUSY}) e^{-S(\text{Heterotic SUSY})} = \text{integer} \quad (1)$$

$\mathcal{DM}_F$ (Heterotic SUSY) is an heterotic SUSY-Feynmann integration measure which splits in bosonic and heterotic fermionic parts:

$$\mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Heterotic SUSY}) = \mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Bosonic}) \mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Heterotic Fermionic}) \quad (2)$$

$S(\text{Heterotic SUSY})$ is a heterotic SUSY action. (H. SUSY. B. C) is a heterotic set of SUSY boundary conditions:

$$(\text{H. SUSY. B. C}) = (\text{B. B. C})(\text{H. F. B. C}) \quad (3)$$

(B. B. C) is a set of bosonic boundary conditions. (H. F. B. C) is a heterotic set of fermionic boundary conditions. (1) can be written as (using (2) and (3):

$$\int_{(\text{B.B.C})(\text{H.F.B.C})} \mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Bosonic}) \mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Heterotic Fermionic}) e^{-S(\text{heterotic SUSY})} = \text{integer} \quad (4)$$

For to evaluate the heterotic SUSY path integral of (4) one must give a heterotic SUSY lagrangian.

2 The Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \alpha g_1(\dot{\Phi}, \dot{\Phi}) + \beta g_1(\Psi, \nabla_{\dot{\Phi}} \Psi) + \sum_{i=1}^4 \beta_i g_i(\Psi_i, \nabla_{\dot{\Phi}}^i \Psi_i) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \gamma_i F_i(\Psi_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}, \Psi_1, \Psi_1) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$\{g_i\}$ is a set of metrics. $\{F_i\}$ is a set of curvatures of Yang-Mills field. Φ is a set of bosonic coordinates. $\{\Psi, \{\Psi_i\}\}$ is a set of fermionic fields.

$\{\alpha, \beta, \{\beta_i\}, \{\gamma_i\}\}$ is a set of constants. $\dot{\Phi}$ is the derivate of Φ with respect to the time. $\{\nabla_{\dot{\Phi}}, \{\nabla_{\dot{\Phi}}^i\}\}$ is a set of covariant derivates with respect $\dot{\Phi}$.

Taking Φ like a perturbation U with respect to a background Φ_0 ($\Phi = \Phi_0 + U$). Taking Ψ_1 like a perturbation with respect to a background Ψ_0 : [2] $\Psi_1 = A_U \Psi_0$. $A_U = L_U - \nabla_U$; L_U is the Lie derivate with respect to U . Taking Ψ_3, Ψ_4 paraleles (constants) and using $\nabla_Y(A_X) = R(X, Y)$; (5) transforms to:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \alpha g_1(\dot{U}, \dot{U}) + \beta g_1(\Psi, \nabla_{\dot{U}} \Psi) + \beta_1 g_1(\Psi_0, R(U\dot{U})\Psi_0) \\ & + \beta_2 g_2(\Psi_2, \nabla_{\dot{U}}^2 \Psi_2) + \sum_{i=1}^3 \gamma_i F_i(\Psi_{i+1}, \Psi_{i+1}, \Psi_0, \Psi_0) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In tensorial notation (6) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \alpha g_{ab} \dot{U}^a \dot{U}^b + \beta g_{ab} \Psi^a \nabla_{\dot{U}} \Psi^b + \beta_1 R_{abcd} \Psi_0^c \Psi_0^d U^a \dot{U}^b \\ & + \beta_2 g_{AB} \Psi_2^A \nabla_{\dot{U}} \Psi_2^B + \gamma_1 F_{1abAB} \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \Psi_2^A \Psi_2^B \\ & + \gamma_2 F_{2ab\alpha\beta} \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \Psi_3^\alpha \Psi_3^\beta + \gamma_3 F_{3ab\mu\nu} \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \Psi_4^\mu \Psi_4^\nu \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Where small latin indexes correspond to bosonic target space; capital indexes correspond to the group of the Yang-Mills field F_1 ; greek indexes correspond to the group of the Yang-Mills field F_2 (the first ones) and the Yang-Mills field F_3 (the last ones).

3 The Integration Measure

The bosonic measure is

$$\mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Bosonic}) = \mathcal{D}\Phi_0 \mathcal{D}U$$

The heterotic fermionic measure is

$$\mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Heterotic Fermionic}) = \mathcal{D}\Psi_0 \mathcal{D}\Psi_2 \mathcal{D}\Psi_3 \mathcal{D}\Psi_4 \mathcal{D}\Psi$$

(2) can be written as:

$$\mathcal{DM}_F(\text{Heterotic SUSY}) = \mathcal{D}\Phi_0 \mathcal{D}U \mathcal{D}\Psi_0 \mathcal{D}\Psi_2 \mathcal{D}\Psi_3 \mathcal{D}\Psi_4 \mathcal{D}\Psi \quad (8)$$

4 Boundary Conditions

(3) can be written as:

$$(H. SUSY. B. C) = (B. B. C)(H. F. B. C) = (B. C)_U(B. C)_\Psi(B. C)_{\Psi_2} \quad (9)$$

5 The Calculation of the Path Integral

Using (7), (8), (9) and assuming that $\nabla_{\dot{U}} \simeq \delta_t$ and $g \simeq \delta$; (4) transforms to:
($S = \int \mathcal{L} dt$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \mathcal{D}\Phi_0 \mathcal{D}\Phi_0 \left[\int_{(B.C)_\Psi} \mathcal{D}\Psi e^{-\beta \int \delta_{ab} \Psi^a \dot{\Psi}^b dt} \right] \cdot \left[\int_{(B.C)_U} \mathcal{D}U e^{-\int (\alpha \delta_{ab} \dot{U}^a \dot{U}^b + \beta_1 R_{abcd} \Psi_0^c \Psi_0^d U^a \dot{U}^b) dt} \right] \cdot \\ & \left[\int_{(B.C)_{\Psi_2}} \mathcal{D}\Psi_2 e^{-\int (\beta_2 \delta_{AB} \Psi_2^A \dot{\Psi}_2^B + \gamma_1 F_{1abAB} \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \Psi_2^A \Psi_2^B) dt} \right] \cdot \left[\int \mathcal{D}\Psi_3 e^{-\int \gamma_2 F_{2ab\alpha\beta} \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \Psi_3^\alpha \Psi_3^\beta dt} \right] \cdot \\ & \left[\int \mathcal{D}\Psi_4 e^{-\int \gamma_3 F_{3ab\mu\nu} \Psi_0^a \Psi_0^b \Psi_4^\mu \Psi_4^\nu dt} \right] \\ & = \text{integer} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The regularization of (10) is:

$$\int_M \left[\hat{A}(R) \prod_{i=1}^S 2 \cosh \left(\frac{y_i}{2} \right) ch(F) e^{\frac{d}{2}} \right]_{\text{Top-form}} = \text{integer} \quad (11)$$

$\{y_i\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of F_1 (matrix $2S \times 2S$). $\hat{A}(R)$ is de Dirac genus. $ch(F)$ is the Chern character for the Yang-Mills F_2 . $e^{d/2}$ is the cohomological term for the Yang-Mills F_3 . M is the bosonic space.

6 Mayer's Theorem

(11) is a integrality theorem for the manifold M . (11) is the integrality theorem due to Mayer trated by Hirzebruch. In fact: [3]

Considering that Yang-Mills F_1 corresponds to a $SO(2S)$ -bundle over M with formal factorisation $p(F_1) = \prod_{i=1}^S (1 + y_i^2)$. Considering that Yang-Mills F_2 corresponds to a $GL(q, \mathbf{C})$ -bundle over X . Considering that Yang-Mills F_3 induces an element $d \in H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$ whose reduction *mod* 2 is $w_2(X) + w_2(F_1)$. Then (11) is the expresion of cohomological quantization for M . (11) is the Mayer's theorem.

7 Conclusions

(11) was obtained using $(B.C)_{\psi_2}$; if one use another boundary conditions for Ψ_2 , to say, $(B.C)'_{\Psi_2}$; one obtain:

$$\int_M \left[A(R) \prod_{i=1}^S \sinh \left(\frac{y_i}{2} \right) ch(F) e^{\frac{d}{2}} \right]_{\text{Top-form}} = \text{integer} \quad (12)$$

(11) and (12) have the following generalizations: [1]

$$\int_M \left[A(R) \prod_{i=1}^S 2 \cosh \left(\frac{y_i}{2} \right) ch(F) e^{\frac{d}{2}} (\text{string structure}) \right]_{\text{Top-form}} = \text{character} \quad (13)$$

$$\int_M \left[A(R) \prod_{i=1}^S 2 \sinh \left(\frac{y_i}{2} \right) ch(F) e^{\frac{d}{2}} (\text{string structure}) \right]_{\text{Top-form}} = \text{characte} \quad (14)$$

References

- [1] K. Li, Character-valued index theorems in supersymmetric string theories, MIT preprint CTP-1460 (1987)
- [2] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of Differential Geometry* (Interscience publishers, 1963). Vol. I, p.235, proposition 2.6.
- [3] F. Hirzebruch. *Topological Methods in Algebraic Geometry* (Springer-Verlag (ed. 3) 1966), theorem 26.2.1.