

A CLASS OF QUANTUM INTEGRABLE MODELS ASSOCIATED WITH THE INFRA-RED LIMIT OF MASSIVE CHERN-SIMONS THEORY

V. V. Sreedhar*

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences

JD Block, Sector 3, Bidhannagar

Calcutta 700091, India

Abstract

We study the infra-red limit of non-abelian Chern-Simons gauge theory perturbed by a non-topological, albeit gauge invariant, mass term. It is shown that, in this limit, we may construct an infinite class of integrable quantum mechanical models which, for the case of $SU(2)$ group, are labelled by the angular momentum eigenvalue. The first non-trivial example in this class is obtained for the triplet representation and it physically describes the gauge invariant coupling of a non-abelian Chern-Simons particle with a particle moving on S^3 - the $SU(2)$ group manifold. In addition to this, the model has a fascinating resemblance to the Landau problem and may be regarded as a non-abelian and a non-linear generalisation of the same defined on the three-sphere with the uniform magnetic field replaced by an angular momentum field. We explicitly solve for some eigenstates of this model in a closed form in terms of some generalised orthogonal polynomials. In the process, we unravel some startling connections with Anderson's chain models which are important in the study of disordered systems in condensed matter physics. We also sketch a method which allows us, in principle, to find the energy eigenvalues corresponding to the above eigenstates of the theory if the Lyapunov exponents of the transfer matrix of the infinite chain model involved are known.

* E-mail address: sreedhar@bose.ernet.in

Gauge theories with Chern-Simons terms [1] have spanned a wide range of interests in the past decade or so. The impressive array of topics making use of ideas related to Chern-Simons gauge theories extends, on the one hand, from the purely mathematical - pertaining to issues of the topology of three manifolds [2] - to the phenomenological, on the other, as in models of quantum Hall effect [3] which are testable in the laboratory. From a more formal point of view, Chern-Simons gauge theories have shed light on aspects of anyonic spin and statistics, and conformally invariant quantum field theories in two space dimensions [4]. Driven by a desire to understand some aspects of such theories in the simpler setting of 0+1 dimensions, models of abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics have also been constructed, canonically quantised, and solved [5, 6]. These models are described by Lagrangians which are quantum mechanical analogues of the so-called self-dual models in 2+1 dimensions with and without a Maxwell term [7]. The equation of motion of one of these models is given by the famous Lorentz equation for a charged particle moving in an external electromagnetic field. As is well known, the spectrum of this model is described by Landau levels [8]. The other model, obtained by tuning a certain dimensionful coupling to zero, corresponds to projecting on to the lowest Landau level. As such, both the models are of immediate relevance in quantum Hall effect.

The conventional way of thinking of the Chern-Simons term is to regard it as a gauge invariant mass term in the lagrangian density for a gauge theory in 2+1 dimensions with the kinetic piece being given, as usual, by the Maxwell or the Yang-Mills term [1]. Contrary to this, one may also construct theories in which the Chern-Simons term plays the role of the kinetic term while a Proca term takes the place of a mass term [7]. This is the abelian self-dual model mentioned above. As described in [6], the infra-red limit of such a theory yields one of the Chern-Simons quantum mechanics models discussed in [5]. In the present paper we consider a non-abelian extension of this model and show

that we may construct an infinite class of quantum integrable models which, for the case of $SU(2)$ group, are labelled by the eigenvalue of the angular momentum operator. The first non-trivial model in this class will be discussed in some detail and explicit expressions will be worked out for some of its eigenstates. We will also sketch a method which allows us to calculate, in principle, the corresponding energy eigenvalues.

To begin with, let us recall some basic notions and establish notations. The non-abelian Chern-Simons gauge theory is defined by the lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr}(A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\lambda + i \frac{2}{3} A_\mu A_\nu A_\lambda) \quad (1)$$

where $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T_a$, T_a being traceless, hermitian matrices in the fundamental representation of the gauge group $SU(N)$. The T_a satisfy the following algebra:

$$[T_a, T_b]_- = if_{ab}^c T_c, \quad [T_a, T_b]_+ = d_{ab}^c T_c + \frac{1}{N} \delta_{ab}, \quad \text{Tr}(T_a T_b) = \frac{\delta_{ab}}{2}$$

The metric $h_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$, the completely antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor is such that $\epsilon^{0ij} = \epsilon^{ij} = -\epsilon_{ij}$ with $\epsilon^{012} = 1$ and we sum over repeated indices without comment. From simple dimensional considerations it follows that A_μ has dimensions of mass and, consequently, the coupling k is dimensionless. Under a gauge transformation,

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu^U(x) = U^{-1}(x) A_\mu(x) U(x) - i U^{-1}(x) \partial_\mu U(x) \quad (2)$$

where $U(x) \in SU(N)$, the action transforms as follows:

$$S[A] \rightarrow \tilde{S}[A^U] = S[A] + 2\pi k n \quad (3a)$$

where

$$n = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int d^3x \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \text{Tr}(U^{-1} \partial_\mu U U^{-1} \partial_\nu U U^{-1} \partial_\lambda U) \quad (3b)$$

is the winding number of the map

$$U(x) : M_3 \rightarrow SU(N) \quad (3c)$$

M_3 being the compact three-manifold on which the theory is defined. Thus, although the action is not gauge invariant, the generating function would be so if the dimensionless Chern-Simons coupling k is quantised to be an integer.

Obviously, a mass term $A_\mu A^\mu$ for the gauge field is gauge non-invariant. Let us, however, consider the following term

$$\mathcal{L}_m = -m \text{Tr}[(A_\mu + iV^{-1}\partial_\mu V)(A^\mu + iV^{-1}\partial^\mu V)] \quad (4)$$

where the $\text{SU}(N)$ -valued auxiliary field V is designed to transform in such a way that the whole term is gauge invariant. m has dimensions of mass and the auxiliary field V is dimensionless. The total action $S = \int d^3x \mathcal{L} = \int d^3x (\mathcal{L}_{CS} + \mathcal{L}_m)$ is then gauge invariant under the simultaneous gauge transformations

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu^U = U^{-1}A_\mu U - iU^{-1}\partial_\mu U \quad (5a)$$

and

$$V \rightarrow V^U = VU \quad (5b)$$

In S only terms linear and quadratic in A_0 appear. We may therefore readily do the A_0 integration in the path integral after doing a Wick rotation $t \rightarrow it$. After the A_0 integration, the lagrangian density takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{m}{2}[(A_i^a + (iV^{-1}\partial_i V)^a)(A_{ia} + (iV^{-1}\partial_i V)_a) + (V^{-1}\partial_0 V)^a(V^{-1}\partial_0 V)_a] \\ & - \frac{k^2}{32\pi^2 m}[F_{ij}^a + \frac{4\pi m}{k}(V^{-1}\partial_0 V)^a][F_{ija} + \frac{4\pi m}{k}(V^{-1}\partial_0 V)_a] - \frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon^{ij}A_i^a\delta_{ab}\partial_0 A_j^b \end{aligned}$$

The F_{ij} in the above equation is the usual field strength tensor defined by

$$F_{ij}^a = \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a - f_{bc}^a A_i^b A_j^c$$

In order to be able to pick the relevant degrees of freedom of each of the fields appearing in the above terms in the infra-red limit, it is useful to put the system in a box. The

dimensions of the box L will subsequently be taken to infinity. Introduce, therefore,

$$A_i(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \exp \frac{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{L} q_{i\mathbf{n}}(t) \quad (6a)$$

and

$$V(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \exp \frac{2\pi i \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{L} v_{\mathbf{n}}(t) \quad (6b)$$

In the long wavelength, or the infra-red, limit all the massive modes will be suppressed and we can approximate the sums on the right hand sides of the above equations by just the zero momentum components. In what follows, the spatially constant modes thus obtained for A_i and V are simply denoted by q_i and v respectively. If we now take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ in the action and drop all the irrelevant terms we get

$$S = \int dt \left[-\frac{k}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ij} q_i^a \mathcal{D}_{ab} q_j^b - \frac{m}{2} q_i^a q_{ia} - m(v^{-1} \partial_0 v)^a (v^{-1} \partial_0 v)_a \right] \quad (7a)$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the covariant derivative in the adjoint representation

$$\mathcal{D}_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \partial_0 - f_{ab}^c (v^{-1} \partial_0 v)_c \quad (7b)$$

Introducing local coordinates ξ^a on the group manifold we now have

$$Tr(v^{-1} \partial_0 v)^2 = g_{ab}(\xi) \dot{\xi}^a \dot{\xi}^b$$

where $g_{ab}(\xi)$ is the metric induced on the manifold by the map in Eq.(3c), and is given by

$$g_{ab}(\xi) = Tr(v^{-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi^a} v^{-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi^b}) \quad (8)$$

The action now takes the form

$$S = \int dt \left[-\frac{k}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ij} q_i^a \mathcal{D}_{ab} q_j^b - \frac{m}{2} q_i^a q_{ia} - 2m g_{ab}(\xi) \dot{\xi}^a \dot{\xi}^b \right] \quad (9a)$$

The covariant derivative in the above equation is written in terms of ξ^a as

$$\mathcal{D}_{ab} = \delta_{ab} \partial_0 - f_{ab}^c g_{cd}(\xi) \dot{\xi}^d \quad (9b)$$

Let us first consider the ξ -independent part of the Lagrangian which we denote by L_0 .

$$L_0 = -\frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon^{ij}q_i^a\dot{q}_{ja} - \frac{m}{2}q_i^a q_{ia} \quad (10)$$

The momentum conjugate to q is then given by

$$p_j^a \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{ja}} = \frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon_{ij}q_i^a \quad (11a)$$

The above equation yields the following second class constraints

$$\chi_j^a \equiv p_j^a - \frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon_{ij}q_i^a \approx 0 \quad (11b)$$

It is straightforward to evaluate the Dirac bracket [9] for such a constrained system and it yields

$$\{q_i^a, q_j^b\}_{DB} = \frac{4\pi}{k}\epsilon_{ji}\delta^{ab} \quad (12a)$$

We can now work out the canonical momentum as

$$p_i^a = \frac{k}{4\pi}\epsilon_{ji}q_j^a \quad (12b)$$

An identical expression is arrived at directly by using the Faddeev-Jackiw formalism [9]. The Hamiltonian is given by the usual Legendre prescription as follows

$$H_0 = p_i^a \dot{q}_i^a - L = m\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2 \left(\frac{p_1^a p_1^a}{2} + \left(\frac{k}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{q_1^a q_1^a}{2} \right) \quad (13)$$

if we eliminate one of the degrees of freedom using the definition of the canonical momentum in Eq.(12b) to arrive at the second equality. Thus, the ξ -independent part of the Hamiltonian just represents a bunch of $(N^2 - 1)$ harmonic oscillators. This is very much like in abelian Chern-Simons quantum mechanics of [5,6]. It is curious, though, to note in passing, that the non-abelian feature of the problem is reflected in the fact that the frequency of each of these oscillators is quantised in units of $\frac{1}{4\pi}$.

We now turn our attention to the more interesting ξ -dependent part of the Lagrangian which we denote by L_ξ .

$$L_\xi = -2mg_{ab}(\xi)\dot{\xi}^a\dot{\xi}^b + \frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon^{ij}q_i^a q_j^b f_{ab}^c g_{cd}(\xi)\dot{\xi}^d \quad (14)$$

Once again, the momentum canonically conjugate to ξ can be written as

$$\pi^a \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\xi}_a} = -4m\dot{\xi}^a + \frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon^{ij}q_i^b q_j^c f_{bc}^a \quad (15)$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian works out to be

$$H_\xi = -\frac{1}{2m}g_{ab}(\xi)\mathcal{P}^a\mathcal{P}^b \quad (16a)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^a = \frac{1}{2}(\pi^a - \frac{k}{8\pi}\epsilon^{ij}q_i^c q_j^d f_{cd}^a) \quad (16b)$$

Physically, this part of the Hamiltonian just represents the dynamics of a particle moving on the $SU(N)$ group manifold. The extra term in $\mathcal{P}^a$ is, however, reminiscent of the gauge field in the magnetic translation operator of the Landau problem [8]. Indeed, in this case it leads to a gauge invariant coupling with the non-abelian Chern-Simons particle whose Hamiltonian is given in Eq.(13). It may be appropriate to mention at this stage that H_ξ commutes with H_0 . Since the latter is trivially solved, we shall henceforth concentrate our efforts exclusively on the ξ -dependent part of the theory.

To get a better insight into the problem, it is prudent, at this juncture, for us to specialise to the case of $SU(2)$. An element $v \in SU(2)$ can always be represented in terms of Euler angles α, β, γ and the Pauli matrices as follows:

$$v = \exp(i\sigma_3\frac{\alpha}{2})\exp(i\sigma_2\frac{\beta}{2})\exp(i\sigma_3\frac{\gamma}{2}) \quad (17a)$$

where

$$0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \pi, \quad -2\pi < \gamma < 2\pi \quad (17b)$$

Now let

$$\xi^1 \equiv \alpha, \quad \xi^2 \equiv \beta, \quad \xi^3 \equiv \gamma \quad (18)$$

The metric on the $SU(2)$ group manifold can then be calculated using the formula in Eq.(8) and works out to be

$$g_{ab} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cos \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \cos \beta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

The determinant of the metric is easily shown to be

$$\det |g_{ab}| = -\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \beta \quad (20)$$

The metric is therefore singular at $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = \pi$. These two points, for which the analysis needs to be done separately, will be ignored in the following. Substituting the metric in the expression for H_ξ we get

$$H_\xi = \frac{1}{4m} \{ \eta_{ab} \mathcal{P}^a \mathcal{P}^b + \cos \beta (\mathcal{P}^1 \mathcal{P}^3 + \mathcal{P}^3 \mathcal{P}^1) \} \quad (21)$$

where η_{ab} is the flat metric. Using the fact that for $SU(2)$, $f^{abc} = \epsilon^{abc}$ we may recast the expression for $\mathcal{P}$ as

$$\mathcal{P}^a = \frac{1}{2} (\pi^a - 2L^a) \quad (22)$$

where L^a are the components of the angular momentum operator. It is useful to record the commutation relations satisfied by the operators in H_ξ

$$[\xi^a, \xi^b] = [\pi^a, \pi^b] = 0, \quad [\xi^a, \pi^b] = i\hbar g^{ab}(\xi) \quad (23a)$$

and

$$[\mathcal{P}^a, \mathcal{P}^b] = i\hbar \epsilon^{abc} L^c = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \epsilon^{abc} (\pi^c - 2P^c) \quad (23b)$$

It is interesting to note that the system has three degrees of freedom *viz.*, α, β , and γ . However, α and γ are cyclic coordinates. Hence, it also has three constants of motion,

namely, π^α, π^γ , and the Hamiltonian, H_ξ . It is therefore integrable. In fact, since $\mathcal{P}^a$ depends on the angular momentum operator, L^a , we have one integrable system for each representation of the Casimir $\mathbf{L}^2$. This is the infinite class of quantum integrable models advertised in the title of the paper and alluded to earlier.

Since π^α and π^γ are constants of motion, let us, without further ado, set them equal to zero. The Hamiltonian, henceforth to be simply denoted by H , reduces to

$$H = \frac{1}{4m}\mathbf{L}^2 - \frac{1}{4m}\pi L_z + \frac{1}{16m}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{4m}[L_y, L_x]_+ \cos \beta \quad (24)$$

where we have identified L^1, L^2 , and L^3 with the components of the angular momentum L_y, L_z , and L_x respectively and dropped the suffix on the second component of the momentum for elegance. With $\mathbf{L}^2$ and L_z being diagonal, as usual, we get for the Hamiltonian in the singlet representation, H_s ,

$$H_s = \frac{1}{16m}\pi^2 \quad (25)$$

i.e., it is just the Hamiltonian for a free particle. The triplet representation presents the simplest non-trivial model in the infinite class of integrable models presented above and will now be discussed. Introduce then the angular momentum matrices for the triplet representation of SU(2) group.

$$L_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}^2 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Plugging in these matrices in the equation for H we get for the Hamiltonian in the triplet representation, H_t ,

$$H_t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\pi^2}{16m} - \frac{\pi}{4m} & 0 & -\frac{i}{4m} \cos \beta \\ 0 & \frac{\pi^2}{16m} & 0 \\ \frac{i}{4m} \cos \beta & 0 & \frac{\pi^2}{16m} + \frac{\pi}{4m} \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

The Schrödinger equation can then be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\hbar^2}{16m}d_\beta^2 + \frac{i\hbar^2}{4m}d_\beta & 0 & -\frac{i}{4m}\cos\beta \\ 0 & -\frac{\hbar^2}{16m}d_\beta^2 & 0 \\ +\frac{i}{4m}\cos\beta & 0 & -\frac{\hbar^2}{16m}d_\beta^2 - \frac{i\hbar^2}{4m}d_\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\beta) \\ \psi_2(\beta) \\ \psi_3(\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\beta) \\ \psi_2(\beta) \\ \psi_3(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

The equation for ψ_2

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{16m}d_\beta^2\psi_2(\beta) = \epsilon\psi_2(\beta) \quad (28a)$$

is easily solved to get

$$\psi_2 = C_1 \exp(i\frac{4\beta}{\hbar}\sqrt{m\epsilon}) + C_2 \exp(-i\frac{4\beta}{\hbar}\sqrt{m\epsilon}) \quad (28b)$$

C_1 and C_2 being arbitrary constants. This is very much like in the Landau problem [8] where the component of the wavefunction along the direction of the magnetic field is just given by a plane wave solution. The non-trivial physics resides in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field. It is also well-known that, in this plane, the problem can be mapped into the problem of a particle in a harmonic oscillator potential. As already mentioned, the present problem is a non-linear generalisation of the above situation in the sense that the harmonic oscillator gives way to the mathematical pendulum. Further, because of the non-abelian nature of the problem, we have a matrix mathematical pendulum to contend with. With this understanding we may now return to the case at hand *i.e.*, obtaining the other two components of $\psi(\beta)$ by solving the two simultaneous differential equations

$$(d_\beta^2 - 4id_\beta)\psi_1(\beta) + 4i\cos\beta\psi_3(\beta) = E\psi_1(\beta) \quad (29a)$$

$$(d_\beta^2 + 4id_\beta)\psi_3(\beta) - 4i\cos\beta\psi_1(\beta) = E\psi_3(\beta) \quad (29b)$$

where

$$E = -\frac{16m}{\hbar^2}\epsilon \quad (30)$$

This is a set of second order linear differential equations with periodic coefficients. Hence the solution we are looking for can be expanded in a Fourier series as

$$\psi_1(\beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \exp(in\beta) \quad (31a)$$

$$\psi_3(\beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} b_n \exp(in\beta) \quad (31b)$$

where the coefficients a_n and b_n are, in general, complex. It is worth mentioning here that the solutions of a system of differential equations with periodic coefficients need not, in general, be periodic [10]. Indeed, for a generic period, the wavefunction is only required to return to itself modulo a phase which is determined by an appropriate unitary representation of the translation group. By setting this phase equal to the identity we are effectively restricting ourselves to the centre of each energy band in the Bloch picture. It is only for this subset of eigenstates that we will be able to obtain some exact results. Substituting the above expansions in Eq.(29) we get, after collecting terms, and setting the coefficient of each Fourier mode to zero,

$$-f(n)a_n + 2i(b_{n-1} + b_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (32a)$$

and

$$-f(-n)b_n - 2i(a_{n-1} + a_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (32b)$$

where

$$f(n) = n^2 - 4n + E \quad (32c)$$

The above two equations can be recast as a single matrix equation in terms of a two component column vector A_n .

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ b_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

After some algebra, this equation can be written in the following compact form

$$A_{n-1} + A_{n+1} + \mathbf{M}_n A_n = 0 \quad (34)$$

the matrix $\mathbf{M}_n$ being given by the equation

$$\mathbf{M}_n = \frac{f(n) + f(-n)}{4} \sigma_2 + \frac{f(n) - f(-n)}{4} \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \quad (35)$$

Before we embark on solving this equation, it is worth recalling what we are interested in. In terms of A_n , the two components of the wavefunction we are trying to solve for can be assembled into a column vector, which, for convenience, we shall denote by ψ .

We therefore have

$$\psi(\beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n \exp(in\beta) \quad (36a)$$

and

$$\psi^\dagger(\beta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n^\dagger \exp(-in\beta) \quad (36b)$$

Notice now that the system of Eq.(29) is invariant under the discrete transformations

$$\beta \rightarrow -\beta, \quad \psi_1 \rightarrow \psi_3, \quad \psi_3 \rightarrow -\psi_1 \quad (37)$$

Hence, if $\psi_1(\beta)$ and $\psi_3(\beta)$ is a set of solutions of the above equations, so is the set $\psi_3(-\beta)$ and $-\psi_1(-\beta)$. Once again, as in the discussion following Eq. (31), the latter set could differ from the former by a constant multiplicative matrix. If we restrict ourselves to solutions which are strictly symmetric under the above discrete transformations, however, we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(-\beta) \\ \psi_3(-\beta) \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_2 \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\beta) \\ \psi_3(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (38a)$$

This can be further translated into a relation between A_n and A_{-n} as follows.

$$A_{-n} = -i\sigma_2 A_n \quad (38b)$$

Substituting the above results in Eqs.(36) we get

$$\psi(\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(\mathbf{1} - i\sigma_2) A_n \cos n\beta + i(\mathbf{1} + i\sigma_2) A_n \sin n\beta]$$

and

$$\psi^\dagger(\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [A_n^\dagger (\mathbf{1} - i\sigma_2)^\dagger \cos n\beta - iA_n^\dagger (\mathbf{1} + i\sigma_2)^\dagger \sin n\beta]$$

It easily follows from the above two equations that

$$\int_0^\pi \psi^\dagger(\beta) \psi(\beta) d\beta = 4\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^\dagger A_n = 4\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2) \quad (39)$$

We shall argue that the above sum is, in general, convergent. Hence the wavefunction is square integrable. We shall also derive closed expressions for the above set of eigenfunctions.

In Eq. (32a, b) let us eliminate one variable, say b_n . The resulting equation for a_n couples a_n with a_{n-2} and a_{n+2} . This is significant because the even and odd coefficients completely decouple and may be treated separately. Since we are trying to solve a three-term recursion relation we need to fix two coefficients. We may set all the odd coefficients to zero by choosing the first two of them to vanish. Let us then deal only with the even coefficients. It is straightforward to show that these coefficients obey the recursion relation

$$\mu_{p-1}\alpha_{p-1} + \mu_p\alpha_{p+1} + \rho_p\alpha_p = 0 \quad (40a)$$

where

$$\mu_p = \frac{1}{f(-(2p+1))} \quad (40b)$$

and

$$\rho_p = \frac{f(-(2p+1)) + f(-(2p-1)) - f(2p)f(-(2p+1))f(-(2p-1))}{4f(-(2p-1))f(-(2p+1))} \quad (40c)$$

and

$$\alpha_p \equiv a_{2p} \quad (40d)$$

If, on the contrary, we choose to set all the even coefficients to zero, the odd coefficients

$$\beta_p \equiv a_{2p+1}$$

satisfy a similar equation with slightly modified parameters μ and ρ . Identical considerations apply for the coefficients b_n .

We now introduce a one (complex) parameter family of the above three-term recursion relation as shown below and appeal to two theorems * in the theory of orthogonal polynomials. The proofs of these theorems, well-known in mathematics literature, can be found in [11].

Theorem 1: For any non-real λ , there exists at least one solution $\{\alpha_n(\lambda)\}_0^\infty$ of the equation

$$\mu_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1}(\lambda) + \mu_n\alpha_{n+1}(\lambda) + \rho_n\alpha_n(\lambda) = \lambda\alpha_n(\lambda)$$

for which

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(\lambda)|^2 < \infty$$

The above equation actually has two linearly independent solutions by virtue of the fact that it is a second order linear difference equation. These solutions $P_n(\lambda)$ and $Q_n(\lambda)$ which satisfy the conditions

$$P_0(\lambda) = 1, \quad P_1(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda - \rho_0}{\mu_0}$$

and

$$Q_0(\lambda) = 0, \quad Q_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\mu_0}$$

are called orthogonal polynomials of the first and second kind respectively. Hence, let $\alpha_n(\lambda)$ be given by $P_n(\lambda)$. Then,

Theorem 2: If the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |P_n(\lambda)|^2$ converges at any non-real point λ , then it converges uniformly in every finite part of the complex λ -plane. An identical result also holds for $Q_n(\lambda)$.

* These theorems, due to E. Hellinger and R. Nevanlinna, are discrete analogues of Weyl's theorems concerning Sturm-Liouville differential equations on the semi-infinite real line.

It then follows immediately, by choosing the finite part of the complex plane to be the origin, and α_n to be one or the other of the two types of orthogonal polynomials mentioned above, that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n(0)|^2 < \infty$. The convergence of the series $\sum |\beta_n(0)|^2$ can be argued analogously. Note further that for $\lambda = 0$, the one parameter family of recursion relations we have introduced collapses to the recursion relation we are trying to solve. Since identical considerations also apply for b_n s, we may conclude that the sums on the right hand side of Eq. (39) are in general convergent and hence, the wavefunction is square integrable.

The α_n or β_n , hence a_n , and similarly b_n , and consequently A_n , can be obtained in a closed form. In order to do this let us consider a related problem. This problem is specified by an infinite sequence of orthonormal orbitals $\{u_0, u_1, u_2, \dots\}$ and a set of real parameters $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \mu_0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots\}$ which describe the action of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ on the orbitals by a symmetric three-term recursion relation [12, 13]

$$\mathcal{H}u_n = \rho_n u_n + \mu_n u_{n+1} + \mu_{n-1} u_{n-1} \quad (41)$$

The α_n will be related to the eigenstates of the above Hamiltonian in a very specific way. The chain model defined above has a nice physical interpretation. The orbital u_0 represents the initial state of the system and it could be, for example, an electron on a particular atom in a solid. The chain model Hamiltonian allows not only a certain on-site probability for each of the orbitals that are available, but also an amplitude for hopping from one site on the one dimensional lattice to the nearest and the next nearest neighbour sites. The example we are trying to solve is a particularly complicated chain model in the sense that both the hopping amplitude and the on-site amplitude are inhomogeneous. Let us, however, press ahead with our programme, undeterred, for a while. We begin by introducing a basis for the orbitals u_n . This basis is specified by representing u_n as an infinite component vector all of whose elements except the n th are zero. The non-zero element is, further, chosen to be one. Remembering that n runs

from 0 to ∞ , then

$$u_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix} \quad u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix} \quad \cdots \quad (42)$$

It follows rather easily that the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is expressed in this basis as a Jacobi (or a tridiagonal) matrix

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & \mu_0 & & & \\ \mu_0 & \rho_1 & \mu_1 & & \\ & \mu_1 & \rho_2 & \mu_2 & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \quad (43)$$

In this representation it is obvious why the chain Hamiltonian is called a symmetric chain Hamiltonian. An eigenstate of the Hamiltonian is some linear combination of the states $\{u_0, u_1, \cdots\}$ denoted below by a deliberate misuse of notation as

$$\phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n \quad (44)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{H}\phi = \lambda\phi \quad (45)$$

The above time-independent Schrödinger equation can be written in a matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - \rho_0) & -\mu_0 & & & \\ -\mu_0 & (\lambda - \rho_1) & -\mu_1 & & \\ & -\mu_1 & (\lambda - \rho_2) & -\mu_2 & \\ & & -\mu_2 & (\lambda - \rho_3) & \\ & & & \cdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (46)$$

The eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ are given by the zeroes of the determinant $\Delta(\lambda)$ of the above matrix. The Jacobi form of the above matrix gives rise to a very simple recursion relation for its determinant. It is easy to show that if we define $\Delta_{-1}(\lambda) = 0$ and $\Delta_0(\lambda) = 1$ then,

$$\mu_n \Delta_{n+1}(\lambda) + \mu_{n-1} \Delta_{n-1}(\lambda) + \rho_n \Delta_n(\lambda) = \lambda \Delta_n(\lambda) \quad (47)$$

The determinants appearing in the above equation are polynomials in λ with the same order as their subscripts. If we now substitute for ϕ in Eq.(45) and collect coefficients of each orthogonal orbital u_n , we get

$$\mu_{n-1}\alpha_{n-1}^{(p)} + \mu_n\alpha_{n+1}^{(p)} + \rho_n\alpha_n^{(p)} = \lambda_p\alpha_n^{(p)} \quad (48)$$

where λ_p is a zero of $\Delta_N(\lambda)$ and hence an eigenvalue of the N -chain, N being a generic integer which will be finally taken to ∞ . $\alpha_n^{(p)}$ defines an eigenstate corresponding to an eigenvalue λ_p through the relation

$$\mathcal{H}\phi^{(p)} = \lambda_p\phi^{(p)}$$

By comparing Eq.(47) and (48) we get, apart from a common normalization,

$$\alpha_n^{(p)} = \Delta_n(\lambda_p) \quad (49)$$

If we now assume that there always exists an eigenvalue $\lambda_p = 0$,

$$\alpha_n \equiv \Delta_n(0) \quad (50)$$

yield solutions of Eq.(40a) that we had initially embarked upon solving. A few words regarding the properties of the above determinants are now in order. First, it is obvious that the secular determinants of the type discussed above would be finite for any model with N points on the chain where N is a generic, but finite integer. Since we are finally interested in solving an infinite chain model, we need to ensure that the determinant of the infinite dimensional matrix under consideration converges. This is, in general, not possible. However, if one plots the zeroes of successively larger determinants, it is known that in the infinite limit, they converge to the eigenvalues of the infinite chain. That is to say that the determinant converges on the spectrum of the theory but diverges for λ lying between two energy levels. For getting the β_n s one has to repeat the entire exercise above with the parameters μ and ρ appropriately modified.

We shall now, as promised, sketch a method for determining the eigenvalues of the above model. Towards such an end, let us recast Eq. (34) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{n+1} \\ A_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}_n \begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ A_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (51a)$$

where $\mathbf{R}_n$ is a block upper triangular matrix defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{R}_n = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{M}_n(E) & -\mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (51b)$$

It follows by iterating the map in the above equation that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{n+1} \\ A_n \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{T}_n \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (52a)$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{R}_n \mathbf{R}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{R}_1 \quad (52b)$$

This is as it should be. The above equation for A_n merely states that we can work out all the coefficients if two of them are chosen and if the 4×4 transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}_n$ is known. The matrix $\mathbf{T}_n$, like the matrices $\mathbf{R}_n, \mathbf{R}_{n-1}, \dots, \mathbf{R}_1$, has elements which are functions of the variable E , which could, at random, take any value in the spectrum of the theory. It is well-known that this random matrix satisfies the Oseledec condition [14] given by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{T}_n^\dagger \mathbf{T}_n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{S} \quad (53a)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag} (\exp -\Lambda_1, \exp -\Lambda_2, \exp -\Lambda_3, \exp -\Lambda_4) \quad (53b)$$

The Λ_i are called the Lyapunov exponents of the infinite chain model under consideration and are functions of the random variable E . If we choose periodic boundary conditions, the matrix on the left hand side of Eq.(53a) is one of the many $2n$ th roots of the identity matrix *i.e.*, it is described by a set of unitary matrices. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, this

set of matrices densely fills up a limiting unit circle. It then easily follows that $\mathbf{S}$ is also a unitary matrix and

$$[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{T}_n^\dagger \mathbf{T}_n)^{\frac{1}{2n}}]^\dagger = \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{S} \quad (53c)$$

Taking the product of the matrices on the left hand sides of Eq. (53a) and (53c) and equating it to the product of the matrices on the right hand sides we get,

$$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}^* = \mathbf{1} \quad (54)$$

It follows, therefore, that the elements of the diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}$ are pure phases. This information can now be fed back into Eqn. (53a). The determinant of $\mathbf{D}$ is purely imaginary and is given by the determinant of a unitary matrix on the left hand side of Eqn. (53a). The latter, however, is not unique. If we pick the simplest root of identity *i.e.*, the identity matrix itself, on the densely filled limiting circle introduced above, the matching of the determinants yields

$$\Im(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4) = 2\pi r \quad (55)$$

where r is an arbitrary integer. The above equation restricts the randomness in E to some specific functional form in terms of r which may be identified with the spectrum of the theory. For other choices of the root of identity, the right hand side of the above equation gets shifted by a real constant. It is difficult to make progress beyond this analytically without knowing the Lyapunov exponents.

We will conclude this paper by summarising the main results and outlining some prospective directions for further research. Amongst the principal results of this paper is the fact that the infra-red, or the zero-momentum, limit of massive non-abelian Chern-Simons theory is described by an infinite class of models whose elements are labelled by the angular momentum eigenvalue. The first non-trivial model in this class was examined in considerable detail and closed expressions for some of the eigenstates were

obtained in terms of some generalised orthogonal polynomials. A method which, in principle, allows us to find the spectrum of the theory was also sketched. Physically this model corresponds to the coupling of a non-abelian Chern-Simons particle with a quantum mechanical non-linear sigma model or, equivalently, to a particle moving on the three-sphere - the $SU(2)$ group manifold. It would be interesting to see what the models in the infinite class presented by us correspond to physically for higher angular momentum eigenvalues. The two singular points on the three-sphere corresponding to $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = \pi$, that we have ignored in our analysis, merit discussion in their own right. One has to probably use a more refined mathematical method, where one chooses more than one coordinate chart to cover the manifold, in order to study this problem. It is also probable that following the lines of [6] one may be able to derive a phase-space path integral localisation formula for the above model. What is perhaps most fascinating is the connection that our research opens up between Chern-Simons gauge theories and Anderson's chain models. These models, which are of perennial interest in the study of alloys, quasi-crystals, and other disordered systems, are a subject of intense investigations in condensed matter physics [12, 13]. The assumption we made in Eq. (50) regarding the existence of a zero eigenvalue for the chain model is important enough to deserve further scrutiny. There exist methods for testing whether the density of states in such models is peaked around zero, but the evidence in this regard comes from not-so-illuminating numerical work. Finally, one can't help wondering if there is a relationship between our model and the so-called quasi-exactly soluble models of quantum mechanics [15] that have generated some excitement lately. It may be recalled that a quasi-exactly soluble quantum mechanical model is one in which the model is exactly soluble for a certain range of a continuous parameter on which the Hamiltonian depends. In our case, instead of a continuous parameter, the Hamiltonian depends on an infinite, but discrete, set of integers which are the angular momentum eigenvalues.

Although we have studied a non-trivial model associated with only one value in this set, for higher angular momentum eigenvalues, as well as for groups other than $SU(2)$, we still expect a discrete spectrum and square integrable wavefunctions because in each case the Hamiltonian is described by a self-adjoint operator on a compact manifold. It is however not clear how one obtains the eigenstates in these more complicated cases in a closed form. Indeed, this might well be impossible. We may therefore conclude that our model is yet another example - clearly more complicated than others hitherto known - of a quasi-exactly soluble model. Indeed, at hindsight, the commonality of the important role played by the mathematics of orthogonal polynomials in our research and that of the subject of quasi-exactly soluble quantum mechanical models seems more than a mere accident.

Acknowledgements: This work was moulded into its present shape by the incorporation of some extremely constructive criticism from A. Chatterjee and A. Dasgupta and I wish to thank them for the same. I am also happy to acknowledge useful discussions I had during the course of this work with P. Mitra, A. Niemi, L. O’Raifeartaigh, A. Polychronakos, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana.

References

1. J. SCHONFELD, *Nucl. Phys.* **B185** (1981), 157; S. DESER, R. JACKIW, AND S. TEMPLETON, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982), 975; *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **140** (1982), 372; C. HAGEN, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **157** (1984), 342.
2. E. WITTEN, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989), 351.
3. R. PRANGE AND S. GIRVIN, *"The Quantum Hall Effect"*, Springer-Verlag, NY (1990).
4. J. M. LEINAAS AND J. MYRHEIM, *Nuovo Cimento* **B37** (1977), 1; F. WILCZEK Ed., *"Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity"*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990; G. W. SEMENOFF, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 517; G. W. SEMENOFF AND P. SODANO, *Nucl. Phys.* **B328** (1989), 753; A. CHATTERJEE AND V. V. SREEDHAR, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** No. 5 (1991), 391; A. CHATTERJEE AND V. V. SREEDHAR, *Phys. Lett.* **B279** (1992), 69; A. CHATTERJEE, R. BANERJEE, AND V. V. SREEDHAR, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **222** (1993), 254; M. BOS AND V. P. NAIR, *Phys. Lett.* **B223** (1989), 61; S. ELITZUR, G. MOORE, A. SCHWIMMER, AND N. SEIBERG, *Nucl. Phys.* **B326** (1989), 108; J. M. F. LABASTIDA AND A. V. RAMALLO, *Phys. Lett.* **B227** (1989), 92; J. FRÖHLICH AND C. KING, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **126** (1989), 167; A. POLYCHRONAKOS, *Ann. Phys.* **203** (1990), 581; E. GUADAGNINI, M. MARTELLINI, AND M. MINTCHEV, *Phys. Lett.* **B235** (1990), 275; *Nucl. Phys.* **B336** (1990), 581.
5. G. DUNNE, R. JACKIW, AND C. TRUGENBERGER, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990), 661.
6. A. J. NIEMI AND V. V. SREEDHAR, *Phys. Lett.* **B336** (1994), 381.
7. S. DESER AND R. JACKIW, *Phys. Lett.* **B139** (1984), 371; P. K. TOWNSEND, K. PILCH, AND P. VAN NIEUWENHUIZEN, *Phys. Lett.* **B136** (1984), 38.

8. L. D. LANDAU AND E. M. LIFSHITZ, *Quantum Mechanics: Non-Relativistic Theory* Pergamon Press (1977).
9. P. A. M. DIRAC, "Lectures on Quantum Mechanics", Yeshiva University Press, New York (1964); L. D. FADDEEV AND R. JACKIW, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988), 1692.
10. E. GOURSAT *A Course in Mathematical Analysis* Vol. **2** Part **2** translated by E. R. HEDRICK AND O. DUNKEL, Dover Publications, New York (1959).
11. N. I. AKHIEZER, "*The Classical Moment Problem*", Oliver & Boyd, London, (1965).
12. P. W. ANDERSON, *Phys. Rev.* **181** (1969), 25.
13. R. HAYDOCK, *Solid State Physics: Advances in Research and Applications*, Vol. **35** ed. H. EHRENREICH, F. SEITZ AND D. TURNBULL, Academic Press, New York (1980).
14. V. J. OSELEDEC *Trans. Moscow Math Soc.* **19** (1968), 197.
15. A. TURBINER, *Sov. Phys., J. E. T. P* **67** (1988), 230; A. TURBINER, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **118** (1988), 467; A. MOROZOV, A. PERELEMОВ, A. ROSLYI, M. SHIFMAN AND A. TURBINER, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A5** (1990), 803; CARL M. BENDER AND GERALD V. DUNNE, "*Quasi-Exactly Solvable Systems and Orthogonal Polynomials*", preprint HEP-95-12.