

International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

OPERATOR REALIZATION OF THE $SU(2)$ WZNW MODEL

Paolo Furlan
Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
and
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy,

Ludmil K. Hadjiivanov¹
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy
and
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy,
and

Ivan T. Todorov¹
Department of Mathematics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, USA.

MIRAMARE – TRIESTE
December 1995

¹On leave of absence from: Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria.

ABSTRACT

Decoupling the chiral dynamics in the canonical approach to the WZNW model requires an extended phase space that includes left and right monodromy variables M and \bar{M} . Earlier work on the subject, which traced back the quantum group symmetry of the model to the Lie–Poisson symmetry of the chiral symplectic form, left some open questions:

- How to reconcile the necessity to set $M\bar{M}^{-1} = 1$ (in order to recover the monodromy invariance of the local $2D$ group valued field $g = u\bar{u}$) with the fact the M and \bar{M} obey different exchange relations?
- What is the status of the quantum symmetry in the $2D$ theory in which the chiral fields $u(x - t)$ and $\bar{u}(x + t)$ commute?
- Is there a consistent operator formalism in the chiral (and the extended $2D$) theory in the continuum limit?

We propose a constructive affirmative answer to these questions for $G = SU(2)$ by presenting the quantum fields u and \bar{u} as sums of products of chiral vertex operators and q -Bose creation and annihilation operators.

1 Introduction and summary of earlier results

A key step in the treatment of the Wess–Zumino–Novikov–Witten (WZNW) model [1], both axiomatic [2–4] and Lagrangean [5–9], is the construction of chiral vertex operators (CVO) and conformal current algebra blocks. While the resulting 2-dimensional (2D) braid invariant correlation functions satisfy all Wightman axioms and can be used to reconstruct the quantum field operator formalism in the physical state space, this is not the case for the chiral theory. Trying to combine, following [10, 11], the CVO of a given weight into a quantum group tensor we have to abandon Hilbert space positivity. The alternative of using (weak) quasi Hopf algebras [12] requires giving up coassociativity.

Here we continue our study [9] of the canonical approach to the problem (which follows Gawędzki *et al.* [7]) specializing to the case $G = SU(2)$. We proceed to summarizing relevant background and earlier results which will serve as a starting point for the present paper.

The general group valued periodic solution $g(t, x + 2\pi) = g(t, x)$ of the WZNW equations of motion factorizes into a product of right and left movers' factors,

$$g(t, x) = u(x - t)\bar{u}(x + t), \quad u, \bar{u} \in SU(2), \quad (1.1)$$

satisfying a weaker, *twisted periodicity* condition:

$$u(x + 2\pi) = u(x)M, \quad \bar{u}(\bar{x} + 2\pi) = \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{u}(\bar{x}). \quad (1.2)$$

The symplectic form of the 2D theory can be presented as a sum of two decoupled closed chiral 2-forms at the price of considering the monodromy matrices M and \bar{M} as independent of each other additional dynamical variables. One then derives [7] quadratic Poisson bracket relations for the components M_{\pm} of the Gauss decomposition of M :

$$M = M_+ M_-^{-1}, \quad M_+ = e^{-i\pi\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} d & b \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_- = e^{i\pi\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.3)$$

($\Delta = \frac{3}{4h}$ being the conformal dimension of u , see Eq.(1.13)) – and similarly for the bar variables. Using the tensor product notation of Faddeev *et al.* [13], $\overset{1}{u} = u \otimes \mathbb{1}$, $\overset{2}{u} = \mathbb{1} \otimes u$, one can write the quantized exchange relations in the form [7, 9]

$$\overset{2}{u}(x_2) \overset{1}{u}(x_1) = \overset{1}{u}(x_1) \overset{2}{u}(x_2) R(x_{12}), \quad x_{12} = x_1 - x_2 \quad (1.4)$$

where the quantum R -matrix is given by

$$R(x) = R^- \theta(x) + R^+ \theta(-x). \quad (1.5)$$

The step function θ on the infinite cover of the circle is assumed to have a periodic derivative:

$$2\pi\theta'(x) = \delta(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{inx}, \quad \theta(x) + \theta(-x) = 1. \quad (1.6)$$

R^\pm are 4×4 matrices solving (properly extended on the tensor cube of spaces) the Yang–Baxter equation

$$R_{12}^\varepsilon R_{13}^\pm R_{23}^\pm = R_{23}^\pm R_{13}^\pm R_{12}^\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = +, - . \quad (1.7)$$

They yield (upon multiplication with a permutation P , $P(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$) a pair of braid operators with inverse eigenvalues:

$$\check{R}^\pm = R^\pm P = q^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} \Pi_3 - q^{\pm \frac{3}{2}} \Pi_1 . \quad (1.8)$$

Here Π_i ($i = 1, 3$) are i -dimensional orthogonal projectors,

$$\Pi_i^2 = \Pi_i = \Pi_i^*, \quad \Pi_1 \Pi_3 = 0, \quad \Pi_1 + \Pi_3 = 1, \quad \text{tr } \Pi_i = i \quad (= 1, 3) . \quad (1.9)$$

If we introduce the $SL_q(2)$ invariant “ q -skew symmetric” tensor

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{1/2} \\ \bar{q}^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{q} = q^{-1} \quad (1.10a)$$

and its inverse

$$(\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}) = (-\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}), \quad \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\sigma} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma\beta} = \delta_\beta^\alpha, \quad (1.10b)$$

then we can write

$$\Pi_1^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} = -\frac{1}{[2]} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}_{\rho\sigma} \quad \left(-\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} = [2] := q + \bar{q} \right) \quad (1.11a)$$

$$\Pi_3^{\alpha\beta}_{\rho\sigma} = \delta_\rho^\alpha \delta_\sigma^\beta + \frac{1}{[2]} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{E}_{\rho\sigma} . \quad (1.11b)$$

The condition that the eigenvalues of \check{R}^- coincide with those of the braid matrices (computed from the conformal and $SU(2)$ invariant 3-point functions) fixes the value of q ; in particular, the triply degenerate eigenvalue is

$$q^{1/2} = \exp \left\{ i\pi \left(\Delta_1 - 2\Delta_{1/2} \right) \right\} = \exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{2h} \right), \quad h = k + 2 \quad (1.12)$$

where k is the Kac-Moody level, the conformal dimension Δ_I for a CVO of isospin I (at height h) being

$$\Delta_I = \frac{1}{h} I(I+1) . \quad (1.13)$$

The R -matrix so obtained yields the correct $k \rightarrow \infty$ limit in terms of classical Poisson brackets.

The exchange relations involving the triangular factors M_{\pm} of the monodromy matrix (1.3) are also expressed in terms of R^{\pm} :

$${}^1M_{\pm} {}^2u(x) = {}^2u(x) R^{\pm} {}^1M_{\pm} , \quad (1.14)$$

$$R^{\varepsilon} {}^1M_{\pm} {}^2M_{\pm} = {}^2M_{\pm} {}^1M_{\pm} R^{\varepsilon} , \quad \varepsilon = +, - , \quad (1.15a)$$

$$R^{\pm} {}^1M_{\pm} {}^2M_{\mp} = {}^2M_{\mp} {}^1M_{\pm} R^{\pm} . \quad (1.15b)$$

The symmetry of (1.4) and (1.14) under (local) left shifts of $u(x)$ is generated by a periodic chiral current $j(x) = j^a(x)\sigma_a \in su_2$ such that

$$[{}^1u(x_1), {}^2j(x_2)] = C {}^1u(x_1)\delta(x_{12}), \quad (1.16)$$

$$[{}^1j(x_1), {}^2j(x_2)] = [C, {}^1j(x_1)]\delta(x_{12}) + ikC\delta'(x_{12}) . \quad (1.17)$$

Here C is the Casimir invariant

$$C = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_a \sigma_a = P - \frac{1}{2} 1 . \quad (1.18)$$

Classically the current j is expressed in terms of the group valued field u as $j = -ik u'u^{-1}$. The quantum version of this relation is the operator Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation [2, 3]:

$$-ihu'(x) = :j(x)u(x): , \quad (1.19)$$

where the normal product in the right-hand side is defined in terms of the frequency parts of j :

$$\begin{aligned} :j(x)u(x): &= \sigma_a \left\{ j_{(+)}^a(x)u(x) + u(x)j_{(-)}^a(x) \right\} , \\ j_{(-)}^a(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n^a e^{inx} , \quad j_{(+)}^a(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} J_n^a e^{inx} , \\ j(x) &= j_{(+)}(x) + j_{(-)}(x) , \quad j_{(-)}(x)|0\rangle = 0 = \langle 0|j_{(+)}(x) . \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

Similar, although not identical, relations are derived [7, 9] for the left mover (bar) sector:

$${}^2\bar{u}(\bar{x}_2) {}^1\bar{u}(\bar{x}_1) = \bar{R}(\bar{x}_{21}) {}^1\bar{u}(\bar{x}_1) {}^2\bar{u}(\bar{x}_2) , \quad (1.21a)$$

where

$$\bar{R}(\bar{x}) = \bar{R}^- \theta(\bar{x}) + \bar{R}^+ \theta(-\bar{x}) \quad (1.21b)$$

and \bar{R}^\pm are related to R^\pm by

$$\bar{R}^\pm = PR^\pm P, \quad \bar{R}^\pm R^\mp = 1; \quad (1.21c)$$

$$\bar{M}_\pm^1 \bar{u}^2(\bar{x}) = R^\pm \bar{u}^2(\bar{x}) \bar{M}_\pm^1; \quad (1.22)$$

$$\bar{M}_\pm^1 \bar{M}_\pm^2 R^\varepsilon = R^\varepsilon \bar{M}_\pm^2 \bar{M}_\pm^1, \quad \varepsilon = +, - \quad (1.23a)$$

$$\bar{M}_\pm^1 \bar{M}_\mp^2 R^\pm = R^\pm \bar{M}_\mp^2 \bar{M}_\pm^1; \quad (1.23b)$$

$$[\bar{J}^1(\bar{x}_1), \bar{u}^2(\bar{x}_2)] = \delta(\bar{x}_{12}) \bar{u}^2(\bar{x}_2) C. \quad (1.24)$$

The left and right sectors are completely decoupled, their dynamical variables commute between each other:

$$[\bar{u}^1(x), \bar{u}^2(\bar{x}')] = 0 = [\bar{M}_\varepsilon^1, \bar{M}_{\varepsilon'}^2] = [\bar{u}^1(x), \bar{M}_\varepsilon^2] = [\bar{M}_\varepsilon^1, \bar{u}^2(\bar{x})]. \quad (1.25)$$

It is instructive to verify that the above exchange relations imply the local commutativity of g (1.1) :

$$[\bar{g}^1(t_1, x_1), \bar{g}^2(t_2, x_2)] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad (x_{12} - t_{12})(x_{12} + t_{12}) > 0. \quad (1.26)$$

There appears to be a price for the decoupling of the left and right dynamics. There is a difference between the monodromy exchange relations (1.15) and (1.23) (which can be traced back to a sign difference between the corresponding classical Poisson brackets – see [9]). Hence, *one cannot identify the dynamical variables M and \bar{M}* . The question arises: *can we then recover the monodromy invariance (i.e., the single valuedness) of the 2D field (1.1) ?* A related problem emerges in trying to make precise the quantum group invariance of g (see Sec.2 below). The clue to the solution of these problems lies in the realization that the left–right extended WZNW model has features of a gauge quantum field theory. The physical Hilbert space of the 2D theory is a proper subquotient of the tensor product of state spaces of the chiral theories. The monodromy invariance of the product (1.1) is recovered in a weak sense – as an equation for matrix elements between physical states. The quantum group properties of the 2D theory are examined in similar terms. We start by factorizing the dependence of the chiral field $u(x) = (u_\beta^\alpha(x))$ on its quantum group index β and use the ensuing q –Bose creation and annihilation operators to express the monodromy matrices M_\pm .

2 Operator realization of the chiral exchange relations

2A Quantum group symmetry. Basic building blocks: the U_q oscillators

The Lie–Poisson symmetry of the quadratic Poisson brackets of $u(x)$ and M_\pm [6, 7] gives rise to a “quantum symmetry” under $GL_q(2)$ transformation

$$u(x) \rightarrow u(x)T, \quad M \rightarrow T^{-1}MT \quad (2.1)$$

where the 2×2 matrix T has non-commuting elements characterized by the exchange relations [13]

$$\overset{1}{T}\overset{2}{T} R^\varepsilon = R^\varepsilon \overset{2}{T}\overset{1}{T}, \quad \varepsilon = +, - \quad (2.2a)$$

or

$$T_2^\beta T_1^\beta = q T_1^\beta T_2^\beta, \quad T_\beta^2 T_\beta^1 = q T_\beta^1 T_\beta^2, \quad [T_2^1, T_1^2] = 0, \quad [T_1^1, T_2^2] = (\bar{q} - q) T_2^1 T_1^2. \quad (2.2b)$$

$GL_q(2)$ has for generic q a 1-dimensional centre generated by the q -determinant:

$$\det_q T = T_1^1 T_2^2 - \bar{q} T_2^1 T_1^2 = T_2^2 T_1^1 - q T_2^1 T_1^2; \quad (2.3a)$$

this allows to define the factor algebra

$$SL_q(2) = \{T \in GL_q(2); \det_q T = 1\}. \quad (2.3b)$$

It follows from (2.2) that the tensor product of quantum group matrices commutes with the braid operators (1.8):

$$\left[\check{R}^\pm, \overset{1}{T}\overset{2}{T} \right] = 0 \Leftrightarrow \left[\Pi_i, \overset{1}{T}\overset{2}{T} \right] = 0, \quad i = 1, 3. \quad (2.4)$$

The entries of T can be viewed as linear functionals on the quantum universal enveloping algebra (QUEA) $U_q = U_q(s\ell_2)$ [13].

An elementary realization of the exchange relations (1.4) is given by two (conjugate) pairs of $SL_q(2)$ covariant oscillators a_α^\pm satisfying

$$a_\alpha^\varepsilon a_\beta^\varepsilon = q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}} a_\rho^\varepsilon a_\sigma^\varepsilon (\check{R}^\pm)_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho\sigma} \quad (2.5a)$$

$$a_\alpha^- a_\beta^+ = q^{\frac{1}{2}} a_\rho^+ a_\sigma^- (\check{R}^+)_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho\sigma} + \bar{q}^N \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.5b)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the $SL_q(2)$ invariant tensor (1.10),

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rho\sigma} T_\alpha^\rho T_\beta^\sigma = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} \left(= -q^{\mp \frac{3}{2}} \mathcal{E}_{\rho\sigma} (\check{R}^\pm)^{\rho\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \right) . \quad (2.6)$$

We shall interpret a_α^+ and a_α^- as creation and annihilation operators setting

$$a_\alpha^- |0\rangle = 0 = \langle 0 | a_\beta^+ \Rightarrow a_\alpha^- a_\beta^+ |0\rangle = \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} |0\rangle . \quad (2.7)$$

The $SL_q(2)$ invariant combinations of a_α^\pm are expressed in terms of the *number operator* N determined (mod $2h$ for $q^h = -1$) by

$$q^N a_\alpha^\pm = a_\alpha^\pm q^{N\pm 1} , \quad (q^N - 1)|0\rangle = 0 ; \quad (2.8)$$

we have

$$a_\alpha^+ \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta^- = [N] := \frac{q^N - \bar{q}^N}{q - \bar{q}} , \quad a_\alpha^- \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta^+ = -[N + 2] , \quad (2.9a)$$

$$a_\alpha^\pm \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta^\pm = 0 \Leftrightarrow a_2^\pm a_1^\pm = q a_1^\pm a_2^\pm . \quad (2.9b)$$

The $SL_q(2)$ invariance of the exchange relations (2.5) is equivalent to their U_q invariance [14]. Introducing the raising and lowering Chevalley generators E and F such that

$$[E, F] = [H] , \quad q^H E = E q^{H+2} , \quad q^H F = F q^{H-2} \quad (2.10a)$$

and defining their coproduct by

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes q^H + 1 \otimes E , \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes 1 + \bar{q}^H \otimes F , \quad \Delta(q^{\pm H}) = q^{\pm H} \otimes q^{\pm H} , \quad (2.10b)$$

we verify that the relations (2.5) are invariant under the following U_q transformation law:

$$q^H a_1^\pm = a_1^\pm q^{H+1} , \quad q^H a_2^\pm = a_2^\pm q^{H-1} \quad (2.11a)$$

$$[E, a_1^\pm] = 0 = F a_2^\pm - q a_2^\pm F , \quad [E, a_2^\pm] = a_1^\pm q^H , \quad F a_1^\pm - \bar{q} a_1^\pm F = a_2^\pm . \quad (2.11b)$$

The $U_q(gl_2)$ Cartan subalgebra generated by q^H and q^N involves the individual number operators N_α , $\alpha = 1, 2$, satisfying

$$N_1 + N_2 = N , \quad N_1 - N_2 = H \quad (2.12a)$$

and consequently, in view of (2.8), (2.11a),

$$q^{N_\alpha} a_\beta^+ = a_\beta^+ q^{N_\alpha + \delta_{\alpha\beta}} , \quad [N_\alpha, a_1^+ a_2^-] = 0 = [N_\alpha, a_2^+ a_1^-] . \quad (2.12b)$$

The Fock space of the q -oscillator algebra (with an U_q invariant vacuum vector satisfying (2.7)) possesses two U_q invariant forms: a hermitean (sesquilinear) one, $(\ , \)$, and a bilinear one, $\langle \ , \ \rangle$. There are, accordingly, two antiinvolutions (i.e. involutive algebra antihomomorphisms) that extend the known ones for U_q – cf. [15]. One can define – for any O in the oscillator algebra – an *antilinear hermitean conjugation* $O \rightarrow O^*$ for which

$$E^* = F, \quad F^* = E, \quad (q^H)^* = \bar{q}^H \quad (2.13a)$$

(and $\Delta(X^*) = \Delta(X)^*$ for $(X_1 \otimes X_2)^* = X_2^* \otimes X_1^*$, $\forall X, X_1, X_2 \in U_q$) such that the following counterpart of the familiar relation between (undeformed) creation and annihilation, and number operators holds:

$$a_\alpha^+(a_\alpha^+)^* = [N_\alpha], \quad (a_\alpha^+)^* a_\alpha^+ = [N_\alpha + 1], \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \quad (2.13b)$$

and a *linear transposition* $O \rightarrow {}^t O$, satisfying

$${}^t E = F q^H, \quad {}^t F = \bar{q}^H E, \quad {}^t(q^H) = q^H \quad (2.14a)$$

(so that $\Delta({}^t X) = {}^t \Delta(X)$ for ${}^t(X_1 \otimes X_2) = {}^t X_1 \otimes {}^t X_2$), and

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^2 a_\alpha^+ {}^t(a_\alpha^+) = [N], \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 a_\alpha^- {}^t(a_\alpha^+) = 0. \quad (2.14b)$$

It is an easy exercise to verify that (2.13b) is satisfied if we set

$$(a_1^+)^* = q^{\frac{1}{2}+N_2} a_2^- =: a_1, \quad (a_2^+)^* = -\bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}+N_1} a_1^- =: a_2, \quad a_\alpha^* = a_\alpha^+, \quad (q^{N_\alpha})^* = \bar{q}^{N_\alpha}, \quad (2.15)$$

while (2.14b) will take place if

$${}^t(a_\alpha^+) = \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta^- =: a^\alpha, \quad {}^t(a_\alpha^-) = -\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta^+, \quad {}^t(q^{N_\alpha}) = q^{N_\alpha}, \quad (2.16a)$$

so that

$$a^1 = \bar{q}^{N_2} a_1, \quad a^2 = q^{N_1} a_2. \quad (2.16b)$$

The (infinite dimensional) Fock space $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\Phi_{n_1 n_2} = (a_1^+)^{n_1} (a_2^+)^{n_2} |0\rangle, \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (2.17a)$$

that form an orthogonal basis with respect to both forms:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{n_1 n_2}, \Phi_{m_1 m_2}) &= \langle 0 | a_2^{n_2} a_1^{n_1} (a_1^*)^{m_1} (a_2^*)^{m_2} | 0 \rangle = \\ &= \delta_{n_1 m_1} \delta_{n_2 m_2} [n_1]! [n_2]! \end{aligned} \quad (2.17b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_{n_1 n_2}, \Phi_{m_1 m_2} \rangle &= \langle 0 | (a^2)^{n_2} (a^1)^{n_1} (a_1^+)^{m_1} (a_2^+)^{m_2} | 0 \rangle = \\ &= \delta_{n_1 m_1} \delta_{n_2 m_2} \bar{q}^{n_1 n_2} [n_1]! [n_2]!. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17c)$$

The sesquilinear form (2.17b) is real but not positive definite (for $q\bar{q} = 1, q \neq 1$). For $q^{1/2}$ given by (1.12) ($q^h = -1$) it is *semidefinite*: $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contains an infinite dimensional subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(0)}$ of *null (zero norm) vectors* spanned by $|n_1, n_2\rangle$ with $\max(n_1, n_2) \geq h$. Setting

$$(a_\alpha^\pm)^h = 0 \ , \quad (2.18)$$

one obtains a *finite* (h^2) dimensional Fock quotient space $\mathcal{F}_h = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(0)}$ on which the sesquilinear form (2.17b) is already positive definite. \mathcal{F}_h splits into a direct sum of unitary irreducible representations of U_q with spins $0 \leq I \leq \frac{h-1}{2}$. Except for $I = \frac{h-1}{2}$, all other spins appear twice – in a “standard” and a “shadow” representation differing by the eigenvalue of q^N .

One can identify the U_q generators E, F and $q^{\pm H}$ with

$$E = a_1^* a_2 \ q^{N_1} \ , \quad F = \bar{q}^{N_1} \ a_2^* a_1 \ , \quad q^{\pm H} = q^{\pm(N_1 - N_2)} \ . \quad (2.19)$$

In verifying the consistency between (2.19) and (2.10a), (2.11) one uses (2.12b), (2.13b) and the definitions (2.5), (2.15) which imply

$$a_2 a_1 = q a_1 a_2 \ , \quad a_1 a_2^* = q a_2^* a_1 \quad (\Rightarrow \ a_2^* a_1^* = q a_1^* a_2^* \ , \quad a_2 a_1^* = \bar{q} a_1^* a_2 \) \ . \quad (2.20)$$

We note that (2.10a), (2.11) and (2.19) (implying $EF = [N_1] [N_2 + 1]$, $FE = [N_1 + 1] [N_2]$) yield the basic (anti) commutation relations of the Chevalley generators of the quantum superalgebra $U_{q^{1/2}}(osp(1, 4))$. We are, in fact, dealing with its (h^2 dimensional Fock space) *singleton representation* [16].

2B Factorized form of $u(x)$. Monodromy matrices

The correlation functions of the chiral field $u(x) = (u_\beta^\alpha(x))$ can be reconstructed if we factorize the dependence on the $SU(2)$ index α and the quantum group index β setting

$$u_\beta^\alpha(x) = u_+^\alpha(x, N) a_\beta^+ + a_\beta^- \ u_-^\alpha(x, N), \quad (2.21a)$$

with

$$u_-|0\rangle = 0 = \langle 0|u_+ \ . \quad (2.21b)$$

It is assumed that u_\pm and a^\pm are only coupled through the number operator:

$$a_\beta^\varepsilon \ u_{\varepsilon'}^\alpha(x, N) = u_{\varepsilon'}^\alpha(x, N - \varepsilon) a_\beta^\varepsilon \ . \quad (2.22)$$

Inserting (2.21) into (1.4) and using (2.5) we “diagonalize” the exchange relations for the CVO u_ε^α . The result is particularly simple for an equal frequency pair:

$$u_\pm^{\alpha_2}(x_2, N \pm 1) u_\pm^{\alpha_1}(x_1, N) = q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x_{12})} \ u_\pm^{\alpha_1}(x_1, N \pm 1) u_\pm^{\alpha_2}(x_2, N) \quad (2.23a)$$

where

$$\varepsilon(x) = \theta(x) - \theta(-x) \quad . \quad (2.23b)$$

For a product of opposite frequency u 's we introduce a (symmetric) 3-vector and a (skewsymmetric) scalar bilocal combination (obtained by applying the projectors Π_3 and Π_1 (1.11), respectively):

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2; N) &= u_+^{\alpha_1}(x_1, N) u_-^{\alpha_2}(x_2, N) + \\ &\quad + qu_-^{\alpha_1}(x_1, N+1) u_+^{\alpha_2}(x_2, N+1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2; N) &= u_+^{\alpha_1}(x_1, N) u_-^{\alpha_2}(x_2, N)[N] - \\ &\quad - u_-^{\alpha_1}(x_1, N+1) u_+^{\alpha_2}(x_2, N+1)[N+2] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.24b)$$

These are again just multiplied by a phase, under an exchange of the arguments:

$$V^{\alpha_2\alpha_1}(x_2, x_1; N) = q^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x_{12})} V^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2; N) \quad (2.25a)$$

$$S^{\alpha_2\alpha_1}(x_2, x_1; N) = -\bar{q}^{\frac{3}{2}\varepsilon(x_{12})} S^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(x_1, x_2; N) \quad . \quad (2.25b)$$

(In deriving (2.25) we have used the properties (2.5) of a^\pm which imply, in particular, $(a_{\alpha_1}^- a_{\alpha_2}^+ - qa_{\alpha_1}^+ a_{\alpha_2}^-) \Pi_{3\beta_1\beta_2}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2} = 0$.)

The term ‘‘CVO’’ for u_\pm is justified by the fact that they diagonalize the monodromy:

$$u_\pm^\alpha(x+2\pi, N) = e^{-2\pi i L_0} u_\pm^\alpha(x, N) e^{2\pi i L_0} = q^{\mp(N+\frac{1}{2})} u_\pm^\alpha(x, N) \quad . \quad (2.26)$$

(In choosing the sign of L_0 in the middle expression we have taken into account the fact that u depends on $x-t$.) The true justification of the representation (2.21) stems from the possibility to express M , satisfying

$$a_\sigma^- M_\beta^\sigma = a_\beta^- q^{N+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a_\sigma^+ M_\beta^\sigma = a_\beta^+ \bar{q}^{N+\frac{3}{2}} = \bar{q}^{N+\frac{1}{2}} a_\beta^+ \quad (2.27)$$

and the exchange relations (1.14), (1.15), in terms of a^\pm :

$$M_\beta^\alpha = (\bar{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}) \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\sigma} a_\sigma^+ a_\beta^- + \bar{q}^{N+\frac{3}{2}} \delta_\beta^\alpha \quad . \quad (2.28)$$

Eq.(2.27) follows from the last equation (2.9). To verify the exchange relations we work out the Gauss decomposition (1.3) for M (2.28) with the result

$$d = \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}H}, \quad b = (1 - q^2) q^{\frac{1}{2}H} F, \quad c = (q^2 - 1) E \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}H} \quad . \quad (2.29)$$

We note that due to the non-commutativity of b , c and d the inverse of M_\pm (1.3) are

$$M_+^{-1} = q^{\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & -\bar{q}b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_-^{-1} = \bar{q}^{\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ -qc & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.30)$$

(for $db = qbd$, $cd = qdc$). Eq.(1.14) is translated into

$$(M_{\pm})_{\beta}^{\alpha} a_{\gamma}^{\varepsilon} = (R^{\pm})_{\sigma\gamma}^{\alpha\rho} a_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} (M_{\pm})_{\beta}^{\sigma} , \quad (2.31)$$

which, in view of (2.29), expresses the U_q transformation law of a^{ε} (cf. (2.12)).

We note that the n -point correlation function of the chiral field (2.21) combines together all n -point conformal blocks (cf. [10]).

2C Factorization and monodromy for the bar sector

In the second factor, \bar{u} , of the product (1.1) the role of the U_q and $SU(2)$ indices is reversed and we can write

$$\bar{u}_{\gamma}^{\beta}(\bar{x}) = \bar{u}_{\gamma}^{+}(\bar{x}, \bar{N}) \bar{a}_{+}^{\beta} + \bar{a}_{-}^{\beta} \bar{u}_{\gamma}^{-}(\bar{x}, \bar{N}) . \quad (2.32)$$

Here \bar{a}_{\pm} are new (independent) pairs of q -Bose oscillators,

$$[a_{\beta}^{\varepsilon}, \bar{a}_{\varepsilon'}^{\beta'}] = 0, \quad (2.33)$$

such that $\bar{a}_{-}^{\beta}|0\rangle = 0 = \langle 0|\bar{a}_{+}^{\beta}$ and

$$\bar{a}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \bar{a}_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} = q^{\pm\frac{1}{2}} (\check{R}^{\pm})_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_{\varepsilon}^{\rho} \bar{a}_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}, \quad (2.34a)$$

$$\bar{a}_{-}^{\alpha} \bar{a}_{+}^{\beta} = q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{R}^{+})_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_{+}^{\rho} \bar{a}_{-}^{\sigma} - \bar{q}^{\bar{N}} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (2.34b)$$

where we have noted that, in view of (1.8), (1.21c),

$$P\bar{R}^{\pm} = \check{R}^{\pm} . \quad (2.35)$$

The symmetry of the braid matrices \check{R}^{\pm} and the relation $(-\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}) = (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta})$ (see (1.10b)) imply that \bar{a}_{\pm}^{β} satisfy exactly the same exchange relations as a_{β}^{\pm} . We have, in particular,

$$\langle 0|\bar{a}_{-}^{\alpha} \bar{a}_{+}^{\beta}|0\rangle = -\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (2.36)$$

$$\bar{a}_{+}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_{-}^{\beta} = -[\bar{N}] , \quad \bar{a}_{-}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_{+}^{\beta} = [\bar{N} + 2] , \quad \bar{a}_{\pm}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_{\pm}^{\beta} = 0 . \quad (2.37)$$

The definition of the \bar{u} monodromy,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{u}(\bar{x}) &= \bar{u}(\bar{x} + 2\pi) = e^{2\pi i \bar{L}_0} \bar{u}(\bar{x}) e^{-2\pi i \bar{L}_0} = \\ &= \bar{a}_{-} \bar{q}^{\bar{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{u}^{-}(\bar{x}, \bar{N}) + q^{\bar{N} + \frac{1}{2}} \bar{u}^{+}(\bar{x}, \bar{N}) \bar{a}_{+} \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

yields the expression

$$(\bar{M}^{-1})^\beta_\gamma = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - \bar{q}^{\frac{3}{2}}) \bar{a}_+^\beta \bar{a}_-^\sigma \mathcal{E}_{\sigma\gamma} + \bar{q}^{\bar{N}+\frac{3}{2}} \delta_\gamma^\beta \quad (2.39)$$

which satisfies

$$\bar{M}^{-1} \bar{a}_- = \bar{q}^{\bar{N}+\frac{3}{2}} \bar{a}_- = \bar{a}_- \bar{q}^{\bar{N}+\frac{1}{2}} , \quad \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{a}_+ = q^{\bar{N}+\frac{1}{2}} \bar{a}_+ . \quad (2.40)$$

The Borel components of $\bar{M}^{-1} = \bar{M}_- \bar{M}_+^{-1}$ are

$$\bar{M}_- = \bar{q}^{\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}} & 0 \\ (q^2 - 1)q^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}} \bar{F} & \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}} \end{pmatrix} , \quad \bar{M}_+^{-1} = \bar{q}^{\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}} & -q(1 - q^2) \bar{E} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}} \\ 0 & \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{H}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.41)$$

where the \bar{U}_q generators are given by

$$\bar{E} = \bar{a}^{1*} \bar{a}^2 q^{\bar{N}_1} , \quad \bar{F} = \bar{q}^{\bar{N}_1} \bar{a}^{2*} \bar{a}^1 , \quad q^{\pm\bar{H}} = q^{\pm(\bar{N}_1 - \bar{N}_2)} , \quad (2.42)$$

while conjugation is defined by the bar counterpart of (2.15):

$$\bar{a}^1 := q^{\frac{1}{2} + \bar{N}_2} \bar{a}_-^2 , \quad \bar{a}^2 := -\bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2} + \bar{N}_1} \bar{a}_-^1 . \quad (2.43)$$

We recover the exchange relation (1.22) by noting the identity

$$(\bar{M}_\pm)^\alpha_\beta \bar{a}_\varepsilon^\gamma = (R^\pm)^{\alpha\gamma}_{\sigma\rho} \bar{a}_\varepsilon^\rho (\bar{M}_\pm)^\sigma_\beta , \quad \varepsilon = +, - . \quad (2.44)$$

We shall impose again the relation

$$(\bar{a}_\pm^\alpha)^h = 0 , \quad h = k + 2 , \quad (2.45)$$

(cf. (2.18)) thus defining the (bar) h^2 dimensional Fock space $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_h$.

To sum up, we expressed the monodromy of both chiral sectors in terms of the corresponding QUEA generators. Thus the QUEA U_q and \bar{U}_q not only express the hidden symmetry of the (extended) WZNW model, they realize (the monodromy) part of the dynamical variables.

3 The 2D theory: weak monodromy and $U_q \otimes \bar{U}_q$ invariance

We now address the question raised in the introduction: how are the expected properties of the local (observable) 2D field g (1.1) realized in the extended state space of the theory?

To answer this question we need to identify the physical space \mathcal{H} . Let us denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ the extended (tensor product) space generated from the vacuum by the action of u, \bar{u}, M_{\pm} and \bar{M}_{\pm} . Concentrating on diagonal theories (which exist for all levels k and are the only ones present for odd k – see [17]) we consider the $2D$ field algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_h(g(t, x))$ generated by polynomials in the group valued field $g = u\bar{u}$ and in the Lie algebra valued chiral currents j and \bar{j} , and set $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{A}|0\rangle$. Then the physical space is the subquotient $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}'/\mathcal{H}''$ where $\mathcal{H}'' \subset \mathcal{H}'$ is the maximal subspace orthogonal to all vectors in \mathcal{H}' .

Note that the currents and the field are related since we can rewrite Eq.(1.19) in terms of g :

$$-\frac{i}{2}h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) g(t, x) = : j(x-t) g(t, x) : \quad \text{etc.} \quad (3.1)$$

and the same is true for the commutation relation (1.16).

The algebra \mathcal{A} is reducible in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. One can indeed verify using (1.14) and (1.22) that the operator matrices L_{\pm} ,

$$(L_{\pm})_{\beta}^{\alpha} := q^{\pm\frac{3}{2}} (\bar{M}_{\pm}^{-1} M_{\pm})_{\beta}^{\alpha} \quad (3.2)$$

commute with $g(t, x)$ (and – trivially – with the currents) and hence with \mathcal{A} . The space \mathcal{H}' (and hence also the physical space \mathcal{H}) is an eigenspace of each of their matrix elements so that $((L_{\pm})_{\beta}^{\alpha} - \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha})\mathcal{H}' = 0$. This becomes obvious if we compute the products (3.2),

$$L_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} D & (1-q^2)B \\ 0 & D^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} D^{-1} & 0 \\ (q^2-1)C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3a)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D &= q^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{H}-H)}, \\ B &= q^{\frac{1}{2}(H+\bar{H})} F - \bar{E} q^{\frac{1}{2}(H-\bar{H}+2)}, \\ C &= E q^{\frac{1}{2}(\bar{H}-H)} - q^{\frac{1}{2}(H+\bar{H}-2)} \bar{F} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3b)$$

and act on the vacuum vector. Since

$$[C, B] = [H - \bar{H}], \quad q^{H-\bar{H}} C = q^2 C q^{H-\bar{H}}, \quad q^{H-\bar{H}} B = q^{-2} B q^{H-\bar{H}}, \quad (3.3c)$$

comparison between (1.3), (2.29) and (3.3) suggests that CD^{-1} , DB and $q^{\pm(H-\bar{H})} = D^{\mp 2}$ should be viewed as generators of the true U_q symmetry of the $2D$ theory.

It turns out that the gist of the matter is contained in the corresponding finite dimensional – oscillator algebra – problem. We therefore proceed to describe the subquotient $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'/\mathcal{F}''$ where \mathcal{F}' is the projection of \mathcal{H}' into the h^4 dimensional tensor product Fock space $\mathcal{F}_h \otimes \bar{\mathcal{F}}_h$ and \mathcal{F}'' is the subspace of zero norm vectors in \mathcal{F}' .

Proposition 3.1 *The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ satisfying $\langle \Phi, O\psi \rangle = \langle {}^t O\Phi, \psi \rangle$ for any O in the oscillator algebra (see (2.16), (2.17)) is positive semidefinite on the subspace \mathcal{F}' spanned by vectors of the form*

$$|n\rangle = (A^+)^n |0\rangle := (a^+ \bar{a}_+)^n |0\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, 2h - 2.$$

One has

$$(N_\alpha - \bar{N}_\alpha) \mathcal{F}' = 0 = a^\pm \bar{a}_\mp \mathcal{F}'. \quad (3.4)$$

\mathcal{F}' admits an h dimensional subspace \mathcal{F}'' of zero norm vectors. The quotient space $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' / \mathcal{F}''$ is $h - 1$ dimensional.

Proof To establish the second equation (3.4) we shall prove the identity $[a^\pm \bar{a}_\mp, A^\pm] = 0$. Taking, to fix the ideas, the upper sign and applying (2.34b) and (2.5), (2.9) we indeed find

$$a^+ \bar{a}_- A^+ = a_\alpha^+ a_\beta^+ (q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{R}^+)_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_+^\rho \bar{a}_-^\sigma - \bar{q}^{\bar{N}} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}) = A^+ a^+ \bar{a}_-. \quad (3.5)$$

The rest of the proof reduces to a computation of the norm square of the basis vectors in \mathcal{F}' . To do this we first establish the commutation relation

$$[A^-, A^+] = [N + \bar{N} + 2] \quad (A^- := a^- \bar{a}_-) . \quad (3.6)$$

Indeed, a straightforward computation using (2.5b), (2.34b) gives

$$\begin{aligned} A^- A^+ &= (q^{\frac{1}{2}} a_\kappa^+ a_\lambda^- (\check{R}^+)_{\alpha\beta}^{\kappa\lambda} + \bar{q}^{\bar{N}} \mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}) (q^{\frac{1}{2}} (\check{R}^+)_{\rho\sigma}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{a}_+^\rho \bar{a}_-^\sigma - \bar{q}^{\bar{N}} \mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}) = \\ &= A^+ A^- + q^2 (\bar{q}^{\bar{N}} [N] + \bar{q}^{\bar{N}} [\bar{N}] + (q - \bar{q}) [N] [\bar{N}]) + [2] \bar{q}^{N+\bar{N}} \end{aligned}$$

which yields (3.6). As a simple corollary we derive

$$A^- |n\rangle = [n][n+1] |n\rangle \quad (3.7)$$

and hence

$$\langle n, n \rangle = [n+1]! [n]! \quad ([n+1]! = [n+1][n]!, \quad [0]! = 1) . \quad (3.8)$$

It follows that this norm square is non-zero for $n \leq h - 2$ only. Proposition 3.1 follows.

We are now ready to answer the first question stated in the beginning.

Proposition 3.2 *The field g (1.1) is single valued (monodromy invariant) on the physical subquotient \mathcal{H} :*

$$(g(t, x + 2\pi) - g(t, x)) \mathcal{H} = 0 . \quad (3.9)$$

Proof Using the factorized expressions for u and \bar{u} we can restate (3.9) as a finite dimensional equation

$$(a^\varepsilon M \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{a}_{\varepsilon'} - a^\varepsilon \bar{a}_{\varepsilon'}) \mathcal{F} = 0 . \quad (3.10)$$

Due to (2.27) and (2.40) we have

$$a^\pm M \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{a}_\pm = a^\pm \bar{a}_\pm q^{\pm(\bar{N}-N)}, \quad a^\pm M \bar{M}^{-1} \bar{a}_\mp = a^\pm \bar{a}_\mp q^{\mp(N+\bar{N}+2)} \quad (3.11)$$

which allows to prove (3.10) in view of (3.4).

We come finally to the meaning of $SL_q(2)$ symmetry of the $2D$ theory.

Quantum group invariance of vacuum expectation values of products of $g(t_i, x_i)$ follows from the observation that the $2n$ -point correlation function of g is given by a sum of products of manifestly $SL_q(2)$ invariant conformal current algebra blocks with matrix elements of powers of A^+ and A^- . The computation of the latter (which could be the only source of breaking the $SL_q(2) \otimes \overline{SL}_q(2)$ symmetry) only relies – as we saw – on the exchange relations (2.5) and (2.34) and on the commutativity between a^ε and $\bar{a}_{\varepsilon'}$, all of which are quantum group invariant.

To sum up: the methods of covariant (indefinite metric space) formulation of quantum gauge field theory apply to the (left–right) monodromy extended $SU(2)$ WZNW model. They provide an understanding of monodromy and quantum group invariance in a weak sense – as equations valid when applied to the physical subquotient. Extension of these results to the $SU(n)$ models, for which the R -matrices are known explicitly, appears to be straightforward [9]. Incorporation of nondiagonal models in such a canonical approach is still a challenge.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank A. Alekseev, P. Kulish and especially K. Gawędzki for stimulating and enlightening discussions and Ya. Stanev for a critical reading of an earlier version of the manuscript. LKH thanks the High Energy Section of ICTP and INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Italy for hospitality during the course of this work. ITT thanks the Department of Mathematics at MIT for hospitality and acknowledges the support of a Fulbright grant 19684. This work has been supported in part by the Bulgarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under contract F-404.

References

- [1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **37B** (1971) 95;
S.P. Novikov, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **37:5** (1982) 3 (English translation: Russ. Math. Surveys **37:5** (1982) 1);
E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **92** (1984) 455.
- [2] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B247** (1984) 83.
- [3] I.T. Todorov, International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Physics, Shumen 1984, eds. H.-D. Doebner and T. Palev (World Scientific, Singapore) pp.297–347; Phys. Lett. **B153** (1985) 77.
- [4] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie, Lett. Math. Phys. **13** (1987) 303.
- [5] O. Babelon, Phys. Lett. **B215** (1988) 523;
B. Blok, Phys. Lett. **B233** (1989) 359.
- [6] L.D. Faddeev, Commun. Math. Phys. **132** (1990) 131;
A. Alekseev and S. Shatashvili, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990) 353;
A. Alekseev, L. Faddeev and M. Semenov–Tian–Shansky, Commun. Math. Phys. **149** (1992) 335.
- [7] K. Gawędzki, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 201;
F. Falceto and K. Gawędzki, J. Geom. Phys. **11** (1993) 251.
- [8] M.Chu, P.Goddard, I.Halliday, D.Olive and A.Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 71;
M. Chu and P. Goddard, Nucl. Phys. **B445** (1995) 145.
- [9] P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov and I.T. Todorov, Canonical approach to the quantum WZNW model, ICTP Trieste and ESI Vienna preprint IC/95/74, ESI 234 (1995).
- [10] I.T. Todorov, in: *Quantum Groups*, Clausthal 1989, eds. H.-D. Doebner and J.-D. Hennig, Lecture Notes in Physics **370** (Springer, Berlin, 1990) pp.231–277;
L.K. Hadjiivanov, R.R. Paunov and I.T. Todorov, Nucl. Phys. **B356** (1991) 387.
- [11] K. Gawędzki, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **18B** (1990) 78.
- [12] G. Mack and V. Schomerus, Phys. Lett. **B267** (1991) 207; Nucl. Phys. **B370** (1992) 185.

- [13] L.D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and L.A. Takhtajan, *Algebra i Anal.* **1:1** (1989) 178 (English translation: *Leningrad Math. J.* **1** (1990) 193).
- [14] L.K. Hadjiivanov, R.R. Paunov and I.T. Todorov, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992) 1379.
- [15] J. Fröhlich and T. Kerler, *Quantum Groups, Quantum Categories and Quantum Field Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1542** (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
- [16] M. Flato, L.K. Hadjiivanov and I.T. Todorov, *Foundations of Physics* **23** (1993) 571.
- [17] D. Gepner and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B278** (1986) 493;
D.Bernard, *Nucl. Phys.* **288** (1987) 628;
G. Felder, K. Gawędzki and A. Kupiainen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B299** (1988) 355; *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117** (1988) 127.