

The path integral for Chern-Simons quantum mechanics

Silvio J. Rabello* and Arvind N. Vaidya

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ

Caixa Postal 68.528-CEP 21945-970, Brasil

Abstract

The path integral representation for a system of N non-relativistic particles on the plane, interacting through a Chern-Simons gauge field, is obtained from the operator formalism. An effective interaction between the particles appears, generating the usual Aharonov-Bohm phases. The spin-statistics relation is also considered.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz, 31.15.Kb, 74.20.Kk

Typeset using REVTeX

*e-mail: rabello@if.ufrj.br

The unifying concept for planar systems with fractional statistics is the long range, non-local mutual interaction of particles carrying an U(1) charge e with “statistical” flux tubes at their location, in an Aharonov-Bohm effect scenario [1]. A local field theoretical description of these systems is provided if we couple the matter fields to a gauge field $A_\mu = (\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t), A_0(\mathbf{x}, t))$ with the dynamics given by the topological Chern-Simons density [2]

$$\mathcal{L}(A) = \frac{\theta}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\mu \partial^\nu A^\lambda. \quad (1)$$

This interaction has the effect of endowing the coupled particles with flux tubes of strength $-\frac{e}{\theta}$, and the statistics enters through the Aharonov-Bohm phase that appears when one particle encircles another, in addition to the intrinsic statistical one.

In this paper we obtain the path integral representation for the transition amplitude of a planar system with N non-relativistic particles, in interaction with a Chern-Simons gauge field. The effective action of this path integral displays both the self-induced spin and Aharonov-Bohm effects. To obtain this representation we perform the canonical quantization of this system and after that apply a method previously discussed in [3] for non-relativistic particles with background gauge fields.

The Lagrangian for this planar system with N non-relativistic particles, interacting with the Chern-Simons gauge field is given by

$$L = \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a^2 + e \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}_a, t) - e A_0(\mathbf{x}_a, t) \right) + \theta \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \dot{A}_i A_j - A_0 B \right). \quad (2)$$

In order to quantize the above system we proceed by defining the momenta for the point particles as $p_a^i \equiv \partial L / \partial \dot{x}_a^i$ and passing to the Hamiltonian formalism. The corresponding quantum operators and canonical commutation relations are given by ($\hbar = 1$)

$$[\hat{A}_i(\mathbf{x}, t), \hat{A}_j(\mathbf{y}, t)] = \frac{i}{\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (3)$$

$$[\hat{x}_i^a, \hat{x}_j^b] = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$[\hat{x}_i^a, \hat{\pi}_j^b] = i \delta^{ab} \delta_{ij}, \quad (5)$$

$$[\hat{\pi}_i^a, \hat{\pi}_j^b] = i e \epsilon_{ij} [\hat{B}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b) \delta_{ab} + \frac{e}{\theta} \delta(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_b)], \quad (6)$$

with $\hat{\pi}_a^i \equiv \hat{p}_a^i - e\hat{A}^i(x_a)$ and $\hat{B} = \epsilon_{ij}\partial_i\hat{A}_j$. The \hat{A}_0 field has zero conjugate momentum being so a Lagrange multiplier. The time evolution is generated by the Hamiltonian operator

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\frac{\hat{\pi}_a^2}{2m} + e\hat{A}_0(x_a, t) \right) + \theta \int d^2x \hat{A}_0(x, t) \hat{B}(x, t). \quad (7)$$

Introducing the eigenvectors of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(t)$ defined by $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(t)|x', t\rangle = x'_a|x', t\rangle$, and the Schrödinger wave functional $\Psi[A, t]$ for the gauge fields we want to consider the transition amplitude

$$G(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T) = \int [d\mu(A)] \Psi[A, 0]^* \langle \mathbf{x}'' | e^{-i\hat{H}T} | \mathbf{x}' \rangle \Psi[A, 0] \quad (8)$$

where $|x', 0\rangle \equiv |x'\rangle$ and $[d\mu(A)]$ is the integration measure over all the configurations of the gauge field A_μ . To obtain the wave functional $\Psi[A, t]$ we must decide on which components of the gauge field it depends since they do not commute with one another. We start with a convenient decomposition of the Schrödinger representation field ¹ $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x})$ into longitudinal and transverse parts

$$\hat{A}_i(\mathbf{x}) = \partial_i \hat{\xi}(\mathbf{x}) - \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_j}{\nabla^2} \hat{B}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (9)$$

With this decomposition we have that (3) leads to

$$[\hat{\xi}(\mathbf{x}), \hat{B}(\mathbf{y})] = -\frac{i}{\theta} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (10)$$

Now we choose our wave functional to depend only on ξ since the conjugate momentum to A_0 is zero. \hat{B} acts on it as the functional derivative $i\delta/\delta(\theta\xi)$. The functional Schrödinger equation for the gauge field coupled to N point-like sources as one can read from (7) is [4]

$$i\partial_t \Psi[\xi, t] = \int d^2x \left[i \left(A_0 + \frac{1}{\theta} J_i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_j}{\nabla^2} \right) \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi} + A_0 \rho - \xi \dot{\rho} \right] \Psi[\xi, t], \quad (11)$$

with $J_i(x, t) = e \sum_{a=1}^N \dot{x}_i^a(t) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a(t))$ and $\rho(x, t) = e \sum_{a=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a(t))$. The solution is given by

¹ hereafter the fields with no time argument are evaluated at t=0

$$\Psi[\xi, t] = \exp \left[i \int d^2x \left(\xi(\mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{x}, t) + \int^t d\tau J_i(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_j}{\nabla^2} \rho(\mathbf{x}, \tau) \right) \right] \quad (12)$$

As we can see the above wave functional satisfies the Gauss law

$$\left[\hat{B}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{e}{\theta} \sum_{a=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_a(t)) \right] \Psi[\xi, t] = 0, \quad (13)$$

fixing the possible eigenvalues of the conjugate momentum of $\hat{\xi}$. Since both \hat{A}_0 and $\hat{\xi}$ momenta are constrained we must, in order to obtain a scalar product for $\Psi[\xi, t]$, choose an integration measure $[d\mu(\xi, A_0)]$ that selects one particular configuration of the fields. Our choice is $[d\xi][dA_0]\delta[\xi - \xi']\delta[A_0 - A'_0]$, where ξ' and A'_0 are arbitrary functions of \mathbf{x} , that for the sake of simplicity we set equal to zero everywhere. With this wave functional at hand we proceed to write a path integral for the operator valued kernel $G(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}}) \equiv \langle \mathbf{x}'' | e^{-i\hat{H}T} | \mathbf{x}' \rangle$, decomposing it as [5]

$$G(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}}) = \int d\mathbf{x}_p \dots \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \langle \mathbf{x}'', T | \mathbf{x}_p, t_p \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}_p, t_p | \mathbf{x}_{p-1}, t_{p-1} \rangle \dots \langle \mathbf{x}_1, t_1 | \mathbf{x}', 0 \rangle. \quad (14)$$

Then we take $T = (p+1)\varepsilon$ and $t_n = n\varepsilon$ ($n = 1, \dots, p$) letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ with T fixed.

To evaluate the small time propagator $\langle \mathbf{x}_{n+1}, t_n + \varepsilon | \mathbf{x}_n, t_n \rangle$ we use a method developed by Schwinger in his early investigations on effective actions [6]. We write the kernel $G(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})$ as $\exp(iW)$, where $W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})$ is a complex functional of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$, the end point coordinates and time. Defining the expectation value of an observable $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ by

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle \equiv \langle \mathbf{x}'', T | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \mathbf{x}', 0 \rangle / \langle \mathbf{x}'', T | \mathbf{x}', 0 \rangle, \quad (15)$$

it is easy to verify that W is determined by the following equations

$$\langle \hat{H}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(T), \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}(T)) \rangle = - \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})}{\partial T}, \quad (16)$$

$$\langle \hat{\pi}_i^a(T) \rangle = \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})}{\partial x''_{i,a}} + \frac{e}{\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\delta W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})}{\delta \hat{A}_j(\mathbf{x}''_a)} - e \hat{A}_i(\mathbf{x}''_a), \quad (17)$$

$$\langle \hat{\pi}_i^a(0) \rangle = - \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})}{\partial x'_{i,a}} - \frac{e}{\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\delta W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T|\hat{\mathbf{A}})}{\delta \hat{A}_j(\mathbf{x}'_a)} - e \hat{A}_i(\mathbf{x}'_a), \quad (18)$$

$$W(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; 0|\hat{\mathbf{A}}) = -i \ln \delta^{2N}(\mathbf{x}'' - \mathbf{x}'). \quad (19)$$

To solve this problem Schwinger noticed that the above equations relate the transition amplitude to the solution of the Heisenberg equations for $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(T)$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_a(T)$. If we solve for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_a(T)$ in terms of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(T)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(0)$ and insert this, in a time ordered fashion, in (16)-(18) we are left with a set of first order equations to integrate. For the small time kernel we must have $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(\varepsilon)$ up to second order in the small time ε

$$\hat{x}_a^i(\varepsilon) = e^{i\hat{H}\varepsilon} \hat{x}_a^i(0) e^{-i\hat{H}\varepsilon} \simeq \hat{x}_a^i(0) + \frac{\hat{\pi}_a^i(0)}{m} \varepsilon + \frac{e}{2m^2} \sum_{b=1}^N \epsilon^{ij} [\hat{B}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b) \delta_{ab} + \frac{e}{\theta} \delta(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_b)] \hat{\pi}_b^j(0) \varepsilon^2 \quad (20)$$

Inverting the above equation to get $\hat{\pi}_a^i(0)$ in terms of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(\varepsilon)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(0)$

$$\hat{\pi}_a^i(0) \simeq m \frac{\Delta \hat{x}_a^i}{\varepsilon} - \frac{e}{2} \sum_{b=1}^N \epsilon^{ij} [\hat{B}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b(\varepsilon)) \delta_{ab} + \frac{e}{\theta} \delta(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(\varepsilon) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_b(\varepsilon))] \Delta \hat{x}_b^j, \quad (21)$$

where $\Delta \hat{x}_a^i = \hat{x}_a^i(\varepsilon) - \hat{x}_a^i(0)$. Using the fact that $\langle (\Delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}_a)^2 \rangle$ is of order ε [5], we see that if we take $\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_a(0) \rangle$ the terms in the above expansion are respectively of order $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. The second term although small in comparison with the first, will give a relevant contribution when used in (17) and (18) to evaluate $W(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n; \varepsilon | \hat{\mathbf{A}})$. From $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_a(0)$ we have by time evolution

$$\hat{\pi}_a^i(\varepsilon) \simeq m \frac{\Delta \hat{x}_a^i}{\varepsilon} + \frac{e}{2} \sum_{b=1}^N \epsilon^{ij} [\hat{B}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_b(\varepsilon)) \delta_{ab} + \frac{e}{\theta} \delta(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_a(\varepsilon) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_b(\varepsilon))] \Delta \hat{x}_b^j. \quad (22)$$

Using the above expression for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_a(0)$ or $\hat{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_a(\varepsilon)$ in \hat{H} , in a time ordered manner, we are ready to integrate (16)

$$W(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n; \varepsilon | \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \simeq \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{m(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_a^n)^2}{2\varepsilon} + iN \ln \varepsilon + \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n | \hat{\mathbf{A}}), \quad (23)$$

where we used that $[\hat{x}_a^i(\varepsilon), \hat{x}_b^j(0)] \simeq -i\delta^{ij}\delta_{ab}\varepsilon/m$ and Φ is a time independent functional of \mathbf{A} and the end point coordinates \mathbf{x}_a^{n+1} and \mathbf{x}_a^n . Inserting the above W in (17) and (18) we have for Φ (remember $\langle (\Delta \hat{\mathbf{x}}_a)^2 \rangle \sim \varepsilon$)

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i,a}^{n+1}} + \frac{e}{\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta \hat{A}_j(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1})} = \frac{e}{2} \left[\Delta x_a^{k,n} \frac{\partial \hat{A}_k(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1})}{\partial x_{i,a}^{n+1}} + \hat{A}^i(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1}) + \hat{A}^i(\mathbf{x}_a^n) \right] \quad (24)$$

$$+ \frac{e}{\theta} \sum_{b=1}^N \epsilon^{ij} \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_b^{n+1}) \Delta x_b^{j,n} \Big] + O(\varepsilon), \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_{i,a}^n} + \frac{e}{\theta} \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta \hat{A}_j(\mathbf{x}_a^n)} = \frac{e}{2} \left[\Delta x_a^{k,n} \frac{\partial \hat{A}_k(\mathbf{x}_a^n)}{\partial x_{i,a}^n} - \hat{A}^i(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1}) - \hat{A}^i(\mathbf{x}_a^n) \right] \quad (26)$$

$$+ \frac{e}{\theta} \sum_{b=1}^N \epsilon^{ij} \delta^2(\mathbf{x}_a^n - \mathbf{x}_b^n) \Delta x_b^{j,n} \Big] + O(\varepsilon), \quad (27)$$

with $\Delta \mathbf{x}_a^n = \mathbf{x}_a^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_a^n$. Since in the rhs of the above equations we have $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ terms we are allowed to discard the $O(\varepsilon)$ ones and easily find the solution for Φ

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n | \hat{\mathbf{A}}) = e \sum_{a=1}^N \Delta \mathbf{x}_n^a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^a) + C, \quad (28)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{x}}^a = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_a^{n+1} + \mathbf{x}_a^n)$ and C is the constant $-iN \ln(m/2\pi i)$ determined by (19), setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow -i0_+$ in e^{iW} and using the Gaussian representation for the delta function.

Putting this all together we get the small time kernel

$$G(\mathbf{x}_{n+1}, \mathbf{x}_n; \varepsilon | \hat{\mathbf{A}}) \simeq \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \varepsilon} \right)^N \exp \left[i \sum_{a=1}^N \left(\frac{m(\Delta \mathbf{x}_n^a)^2}{2\varepsilon} + e \Delta \mathbf{x}_n^a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}^a) \right) \right]. \quad (29)$$

Inserting it in (14) we get the functional integral representation for $G(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T | \hat{\mathbf{A}})$,

$$G(\mathbf{x}'', \mathbf{x}'; T | \hat{\mathbf{A}}) = \int [d^N \mathbf{x}] \exp \left(i \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a^2 \right) \mathbf{T} \exp \left(i e \sum_{a=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}_a) \right), \quad (30)$$

we here use the (abusive) continuum language where by $[d^N \mathbf{x}]$ we mean the infinite product of terms $(m/2\pi i \varepsilon)^N d^N \mathbf{x}_k$ and the integration runs from $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}'$ to $\mathbf{x}(T) = \mathbf{x}''$. $\mathbf{T} \exp \int \hat{\mathbf{A}}$ denotes the time ordered exponential that stems naturally from (14). To undo this time ordering in order to insert (30) in (8) we use the BCH formula

$$\exp(M_k) \exp(M_{k-1}) \dots \exp(M_0) = \exp \left(\sum_n M_n \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m>n} [M_m, M_n] \right), \quad (31)$$

($[M_m, M_n]$ =c-number) that gives,

$$\mathbf{T} \exp \left(i e \sum_{a=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}_a) \right) = \exp(i\omega) \exp \left(i e \sum_{a=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}_a) \right), \quad (32)$$

with

$$\omega = -\frac{e^2}{2\theta} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \int_0^T dt \int_0^t d\tau \dot{x}_a^i(t) \epsilon_{ij} \dot{x}_b^j(\tau) \delta(\mathbf{x}_a(t) - \mathbf{x}_b(\tau)). \quad (33)$$

Using the polarization (9) we can now evaluate the expectation value in (8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int [d\mu(A)] \Psi[\xi, 0]^* \mathbf{T} \exp \left(i e \sum_{a=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{\mathbf{x}}_a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}_a) \right) \Psi[\xi, 0] \\ &= \exp i \left(\omega + \frac{e^2}{\theta} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{x}_a^i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_a^j}{\nabla_a^2} \delta(\mathbf{x}_a(t) - \mathbf{x}_b(0)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The argument of the exponential in the last line of (34) is the effective interaction between the N particles. Noticing that

$$\omega = \frac{e^2}{\theta} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{x}_a^i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_a^j}{\nabla_a^2} \left(\delta(\mathbf{x}_a(t) - \mathbf{x}_b(t)) - \delta(\mathbf{x}_a(t) - \mathbf{x}_b(0)) \right), \quad (35)$$

we have for the $a \neq b$ case the following interaction

$$\begin{aligned} S_{int} &= \frac{e^2}{\theta} \sum_{\substack{a,b=1 \\ a \neq b}}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{x}_a^i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_a^j}{\nabla_a^2} \delta(\mathbf{x}_a(t) - \mathbf{x}_b(t)) \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2\pi\theta} \sum_{\substack{a,b=1 \\ a \neq b}}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{x}_a^i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{(x_a - x_b)^j}{|\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b|^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

That is just the ordinary long range Aharonov-Bohm interaction between the N particles.

For $a = b$ we have the self-interaction terms

$$\begin{aligned} S_{self} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \frac{e^2}{\theta} \sum_{a=1}^N \int_0^T dt \dot{x}_a^i \epsilon_{ij} \frac{\partial_a^j}{\nabla_a^2} \delta(\mathbf{x}_a(t) - \mathbf{x}_a(t')) \\ &= -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\theta} \sum_{a=1}^N \int_0^T dt \frac{d}{dt} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_2^a(t)}{\dot{x}_1^a(t)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

To arrive at the last line we used the fact that $\epsilon_{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \tan^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{x})$ and the identity [4]

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t'} \frac{d}{dt'} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{x_2(t') - x_2(t)}{x_1(t') - x_1(t)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\dot{x}_2(t)}{\dot{x}_1(t)} \right). \quad (38)$$

The quantity in the last line of (37) is proportional to the sum of angles swept by $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_a(t)$ along the paths. Take for example $N=1$, for a closed smooth path with no self-intersections we have a phase factor $\exp i S_{self} = \exp i(-\frac{e^2}{2\theta}) = \exp i 2\pi s$, with s defined as the spin of the particle, giving the celebrated spin-statistics relation $|s| = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\theta}$. We achieved this result with no regulator for the δ function, if we had used a regulator that preserves the odd nature of $\partial_i \delta(\mathbf{x})$ (e.g. Gaussian) such that $\partial_i \delta(0) = 0$ [4], there would be no spin contribution at all. This ultraviolet ambiguity is a shortfall of the calculations involving the Chern-Simons term. In a recent paper [7] this question was investigated, by avoiding the Chern-Simons term, in a Berry phase calculation and no spin-statistics relation was found on the plane, for the fractional quantum Hall effect quasiparticles.

The authors are grateful to Carlos Farina and Patricio Gaete for reading the manuscript and for many stimulating discussions. This work was partially supported by the CNPq (Brazilian Research Council).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *Nuovo Cimento* **37 B** (1977) 1 ; E.C. Marino and J.A. Swieca, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 170** (1980) 175 ; F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1144.
- [2] C. Hagen, *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) **157** (1984) 342.
- [3] S.J. Rabello and C. Farina, *Phys. Rev.* **A 51** (1995) 2614.
- [4] G. Dunne, R. Jackiw and C. Trugenberger, *Ann. Phys.* (N.Y.) **194** (1989) 197.
- [5] R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965).
- [6] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **82** (1951) 664.
- [7] T. Einarsson, S.L. Sondhi, S.M. Girvin and D.P. Arovas, *Fractional Spin for Quantum Hall Effect Quasiparticles*, preprint cond-mat/9411078.