

Bosonisation in Three-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory

R. Banerjee*

Institut für Theoretische Physik

Universität Heidelberg

Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg

Germany

Abstract

We show in three dimensions, using functional integral techniques, the equivalence between the partition functions of the massive Thirring model and a gauge theory with two gauge fields, to all orders in the inverse fermion mass. Detailed bosonisation identities, also valid to all orders in the inverse mass, are derived. Specialisation to the lowest (and next to lowest) orders reveals that the gauge theory simplifies to the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory. Some interesting consequences of the mapping are discussed in this case.

* On leave of absence from S.N.Bose Natl. Ctr. for Basic Sciences, DB-17, Sec. 1, Salt Lake, Calcutta 700064, India

The mapping of bosonic theories into fermionic ones and vice versa, commonly known as bosonisation (fermionisation), provide a powerful approach to study (in 1+1 dimensions) the non-perturbative behaviour of either quantum field theories [1] or condensed matter systems [2]. Although bosonisation is reasonably well understood in 1+1 dimensional theories [3], the situation is much less clear in higher dimensions. This is because a Mandelstam [1]-like operator construction is non-trivial in higher dimensions. Similarly, Schwinger terms in the current algebra in these cases is also rather involved so that bosonisation identities relating the currents in the different (bosonic or fermionic) theories cannot be so easily deduced as happens in 1+1 dimensions. Very recently, however, Fradkin and Schaposnik [4] have shown the equivalence (in 2+1 dimensions) of the massive Thirring model, to the leading order in the inverse mass, with the Maxwell-Chern-Simons gauge theory. This work may be viewed in the perspective of ideas initiated by Polyakov [5] and elaborated by Deser and Redlich [6], who had revealed a connection between the 2+1 dimensional CP^1 model with a Chern-Simons term and of a charged massive fermion, to lowest order in the inverse fermion mass. The extension of these findings to higher orders is problematic and even leads to ambiguities [6].

In the present paper we discuss bosonisation in 2+1 dimensions, similar in spirit to [4], within a path-integral framework. The partition function of the massive Thirring model (MTM) is shown to be equivalent to all orders in the inverse fermion mass, with the partition function of an interacting gauge theory involving two gauge fields. Detailed bosonisation identities, once again valid to all orders in the inverse fermion mass, mapping the Thirring current and its dual with corresponding (dual) field strengths in the gauge theory, are provided. A similar mapping for the free term of the MTM is also given. The results of [4], which are valid only in the leading order, are reproduced when the MTM is identified with the Maxwell-Chern-Simons (MCS) theory. Furthermore, in the next-to-leading order, the MTM is still shown to be equivalent to the MCS theory but the mass of the gauge boson is renormalised. Application of the bosonisation identities in

this case show that for the MTM; (i) the current satisfies a self-duality relation, (ii) there is a Schwinger term in the current algebra and (iii) the energy-momentum tensor can be expressed in a Sugawara [7] form.

Consider the following (2+1 dimensional) master Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}^i (i\not{\partial} - m - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} f) \psi^i - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} f^\mu \partial^\nu A^\rho \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and ψ^i are N two-component Dirac spinors. Master Lagrangians [8-10] have proved useful in exhibiting equivalences between different models in 2+1 dimensions. In particular, the master Lagrangian suggested by Deser and Jackiw [8] to reveal the correspondence of a self-dual model [11] with the MCS theory involved (contrary to (1)) only Bose fields and was used in [4] to discuss bosonisation. The Lagrangian (1) is invariant under the independent $U(1)$ gauge transformations,

$$\psi^i \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi^i, \quad f_\mu \rightarrow f_\mu - \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \partial_\mu \alpha, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu - \partial_\mu \beta \quad (2)$$

while the equations of motion obtained by varying the different fields are

$$(i\not{\partial} - m - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} f) \psi^i = 0 \quad (3a)$$

$$j_\mu = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu A^\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} F_\mu \quad (3b)$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu f^\lambda = \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} \quad (3c)$$

where

$$j_\mu = \bar{\psi}^i \gamma_\mu \psi^i \quad (4)$$

is the gauge-invariant (conserved) $U(1)$ current and we have introduced the dual field strength F_μ . The generating functional for (1) in the presence of external sources $J_\mu, \tilde{J}_\mu$ coupled to gauge-invariant fields is given by

$$Z = \int d[\psi, \bar{\psi}, f_\mu, A_\mu] \delta(\partial_\mu f^\mu) \delta(\partial_\mu A^\mu) e^{i \int d^3x [\mathcal{L} + F_\mu J^\mu + \tilde{f}_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu]} \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{f}_\mu = \lambda^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu f^\lambda$ is the dual to f_μ normalised by λ^2 so that the sources have identical dimensions, and a covariant gauge has been chosen for both f_μ and A_μ fields. The Gaussian integration over A_μ is easily performed by implementing the gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$ using 't Hooft's prescription to yield

$$Z = \int d[\psi, \bar{\psi}, f_\mu] \delta(\partial_\mu f^\mu) e^{i \int d^3x [\bar{\psi}^i (i\cancel{\partial} - m - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \mathbf{f}) \psi^i + \frac{1}{2} f^\mu f_\mu + f_\mu J^\mu + \frac{1}{2} J_\mu (g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{\square}) J_\nu + \tilde{f}_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu]} \quad (6)$$

We now express $\delta(\partial_\mu f^\mu)$ as a Fourier transform with variable $\beta(x)$. Then (6) may be written as

$$Z = \int d[\psi, \bar{\psi}, f_\mu, \beta] e^{i \int d^3x [\bar{\psi}^i (i\cancel{\partial} - m - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} (\mathbf{f} + \cancel{\partial} \beta)) \psi^i + \frac{1}{2} (f^\mu + \partial^\mu \beta) (f_\mu + \partial_\mu \beta) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \beta \partial^\mu \beta + (f_\mu + \partial_\mu \beta) J^\mu + \beta \partial_\mu J^\mu + \frac{1}{2} J_\mu (g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial^\mu \partial^\nu}{\square}) J_\nu + \lambda^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu (f^\lambda + \partial^\lambda \beta) \tilde{J}^\mu]} \quad (7)$$

where conservation of the current (3b,4) has been used. (Recall that there are no divergence anomalies in arbitrary odd-dimensions [12].) Introducing the new fields $h_\mu = f_\mu + \partial_\mu \beta$ and performing the β integration yields

$$Z = \int d[\psi, \bar{\psi}, h_\mu] e^{i \int d^3x [\bar{\psi}^i (i\cancel{\partial} - m - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \mathbf{h}) \psi^i + \frac{1}{2} h^\mu h_\mu + h_\mu (J^\mu + \lambda^2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial_\nu \tilde{J}_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} J^\mu J_\mu]} \quad (8)$$

Finally, integrating over h_μ leads to

$$Z = \int d[\psi, \bar{\psi}] e^{i \int d^3x [\mathcal{L}_{MTM} + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} j_\mu J^\mu + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{N}} \tilde{j}_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu - \frac{\lambda^4}{4} (\partial_\mu \tilde{J}_\nu - \partial_\nu \tilde{J}_\mu)^2 - \lambda^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} J^\mu \partial^\nu \tilde{J}^\rho]} \quad (9a)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{MTM} = \bar{\psi}^i (i\cancel{\partial} - m) \psi^i - \frac{\lambda^2}{2N} j_\mu j^\mu \quad (9b)$$

is the Lagrangian for the massive Thirring model (MTM) (with j_μ given in 4), which is known to be renormalisable in the $1/N$ expansion [13], while

$$\tilde{j}_\mu = \lambda^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu j^\lambda \quad (10)$$

is the dual Thirring current. In the absence of sources $J_\mu, \tilde{J}_\mu$ we see that (9) represents the partition function for the MTM.

Alternatively, doing the fermionic integration in (5) just amounts to evaluating the fermion determinant in presence of the external field f_μ . This is a well-known [6,12,14] gauge-invariant expression computed in inverse powers of the fermion mass which, when substituted in (5) yields

$$Z = \int d[f_\mu, A_\mu] \delta(\partial_\mu f^\mu) \delta(\partial_\mu A^\mu) e^{i \int d^3x [-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^\mu \partial^\nu A^\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^\mu \partial^\nu f^\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{24\pi m} (\partial_\mu f_\nu - \partial_\nu f_\mu)^2 + 0(\frac{1}{m^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^\mu \partial^\nu \square f^\lambda) + F_\mu J^\mu + \tilde{f}_\mu \tilde{J}^\mu]} \quad (11)$$

In the absence of sources, (11) represents an interacting gauge theory involving two fields f_μ and A_μ . Since (9) and (11) were derived from a common origin (5), we conclude the equivalence of the partition functions associated with the MTM and a gauge theory (GT), i.e.

$$Z_{MTM} = Z_{GT} \quad (12)$$

where Z_{GT} is the r.h.s. of (11) in the absence of sources. Note that Z_{GT} in the lowest (up to m^{-1}) order is just the master expression considered in [10] to discuss the connection [8,15] between the self-dual model of [11] and the MCS theory.

It is now possible to deduce the bosonisation identities by comparing the source terms appearing in (9) and (11). We find, modulo non-propagating contact terms, the following mappings

$$j_\mu \leftrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} F_\mu = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\nu A^\rho \quad (13a)$$

$$\tilde{j}_\mu \leftrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \tilde{f}_\mu = \left(\frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \right) \lambda^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \partial^\nu f^\rho \quad (13b)$$

where j_μ and $\tilde{j}_\mu$ are defined in (4) and (10), respectively. Thus gauge invariance (and conservation) of the Thirring current and its dual are preserved. The above relations are manifestations of the equations of motion (3b,c). This happens because of the Gaussian nature of the problem. Finally, observe that (13a) leads to the identification of the current-current interaction term in (9b) with the conventional Maxwell term in (11). This allows

the further correspondence

$$\bar{\psi}^i(i\not{D} - m)\psi^i \leftrightarrow \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^\mu \partial^\nu A^\lambda - \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} f^\mu \partial^\nu f^\lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{24\pi m} (\partial_\mu f_\nu - \partial_\nu f_\mu)^2 + 0 \left(\frac{1}{m^2} \right) \quad (14)$$

Equations (12-14) comprise some of the crucial results of this paper. Observe that the identification implied by these equations holds to all orders in the inverse fermion mass.

It is now simple to reproduce the results in [4] valid to the leading order (i.e. up to $\frac{1}{m}$) in the inverse fermion mass. Note that the Gaussian nature of (11) allows the possibility of performing either the f_μ or A_μ integration. Doing the integration over A_μ does not yield a physically interesting theory (although in the leading order, one can show [10] that it yields the self-dual model of [11]). We thus perform the f_μ integration. Since the Seeley coefficients expressing the fermion determinant in derivatives of f_μ (see (11)) are known only up to $0(m^{-2})$ [6], our subsequent discussion is valid to this order. Implementing the gauge $\partial_\mu f^\mu = 0$ by 't Hooft's method and doing the f_μ integration, one finds up to $0(m^{-2})$

$$Z = \int DA_\mu \delta(\partial_\mu A^\mu) e^{i \int d^3x [-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{2\pi}{3m\lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^2} \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta} A^\mu \partial^\alpha A^\beta + F_\mu J^\mu + (4\pi F_\mu - \frac{8\pi}{3m} \partial^\nu F_{\nu\mu}) \tilde{J}^\mu]} \quad (15)$$

where a non-propagating contact term has been dropped. Scaling the A_μ field to recast the Maxwell term in its conventional form, we find

$$Z = \int DA_\mu \delta(\partial_\mu A^\mu) e^{i \int d^3x [\mathcal{L}_{MCS} + (1 + \frac{4\pi}{3m\lambda^2}) F_\mu (J^\mu + 4\pi \tilde{J}^\mu) - \frac{8\pi}{3m} \partial^\nu F_{\nu\mu} \tilde{J}^\mu]} \quad (16a)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{MCS} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{M}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\mu \partial^\nu A^\lambda; \quad M = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda^2} \left(1 + \frac{8\pi}{3m\lambda^2} \right) \quad (16b)$$

is the MCS Lagrangian where M is the mass of the vector gauge boson satisfying the equation of motion [16],

$$F_\mu = \frac{1}{M} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu F^\lambda \quad (17)$$

expressed in terms of the dual (3b). Thus, up to $0(m^{-2})$, we conclude

$$Z_{MTM} \approx Z_{MCS} \quad (18)$$

In the leading (up to m^{-1}) order this equivalence was demonstrated in [4]. The mass of the MCS gauge boson found there was $4\pi/\lambda^2$ which agrees with the leading order term in M given in (16b).

Comparing the source terms in (9) and (16) reveals the following bosonisation relations between the gauge-invariant operators in the MTM (written on the l.h.s.) and MCS theory (written on the r.h.s.)

$$j_\mu \leftrightarrow \frac{\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{4\pi}{3m\lambda^2} \right) F_\mu \quad (19a)$$

$$\tilde{j}_\mu = \lambda^2 \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu j^\lambda \leftrightarrow \frac{4\pi\sqrt{N}}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{4\pi}{3m\lambda^2} \right) F_\mu - \frac{8\pi\sqrt{N}}{3m\lambda} \partial^\nu F_{\nu\mu} \quad (19b)$$

Using (19a) to recast the current-current interaction term in (9) as a Maxwell piece that may be identified with (16b) leads to the further mapping,

$$\bar{\psi}^i (i\not{D} - m) \psi^i \leftrightarrow \frac{2\pi}{\lambda^2} \left(1 + \frac{8\pi}{3m\lambda^2} \right) \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\mu \partial^\nu A^\lambda + \frac{2\pi}{3m\lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \quad (20)$$

Equations (18)-(20) constitute the bosonisation identities valid for an expansion in the inverse fermi mass up to $0(m^{-2})$. These are the analogues of (12)-(14) that were obtained for all orders, corresponding to the gauge theory (11).

Some interesting consequences follow from (19). Notice that both terms in the r.h.s. of (19b) can be directly expressed in terms of j_μ by using (19a). Thus a self-duality relation for the Thirring current is found,

$$j_\mu = \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} \left(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3m\lambda^2} \right) \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} \partial^\nu j^\lambda \quad (21)$$

If we substitute back F_μ in place of j_μ using (19a), the MCS equation of motion (17) is reproduced. This serves as consistency on the overall bosonisation program developed in the inverse fermion mass.

The canonical (equal time) current algebra is next derived. Using (19) we see that this algebra just corresponds to the algebra among the electric and magnetic fields in the

MCS theory. Since the latter is known [16], one can immediately compute the relevant algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} i[j_0(x), j_0(y)] &= 0 \\ i[j_0(x), j_i(y)] &= -\frac{N}{\lambda^2} \left(1 + \frac{8\pi}{3m\lambda^2}\right) \partial_i \delta(x-y) \\ i[j_l(x), j_m(y)] &= \frac{4\pi N}{\lambda^4} \left(1 + \frac{16\pi}{3m\lambda^2}\right) \epsilon_{lm} \delta(x-y) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We thereby find the existence of a Schwinger term whose structure is reminiscent of the 1+1 dimensional model. It is easy to verify that (22) is compatible with the self-duality relation (21).

Let us next compute the energy momentum tensor in the MTM (valid up to $O(m^{-2})$) by recalling that the corresponding tensor in MCS theory is given in terms of F_μ by [8,16]

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{MCS} = F_\mu F_\nu - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} F_\beta F^\beta \quad (23)$$

Using (19a) we immediately obtain the expression for MTM

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{MTM} = \frac{\lambda^2}{N} \left(1 - \frac{8\pi}{3m\lambda^2}\right) (j_\mu j_\nu - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2} j_\beta j^\beta) \quad (24)$$

which, interestingly, has the Sugawara [7] structure. The “quantum” nature of the above construction is manifested by the normalisation which is just the inverse of the normalisation in the Schwinger term (22). Exactly the same phenomenon occurs in 1+1 dimensions [3] where the equivalence of the Sugawara form with the conventional (Noether) energy momentum tensor has also been explicitly shown [17] using operator product expansion techniques. Furthermore, using (22), the conservation of the current j_μ , as well as the self-dual relation (21) follow from (24), as expected. Our findings show that (19) and (21) may truly be regarded as “analogues” of corresponding mappings $j_\mu \sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \phi$, $j_{\mu 5} \sim \partial_\mu \phi$, $j_\mu \sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu} j_5^\nu$ known to exist in 1+1 dimensions.

To conclude, we have shown (in 2+1 dimensions) by starting from a novel master Lagrangian containing both Bose and Fermi fields, the equivalence, on the level of partition functions, of the massive Thirring model (MTM) and a gauge theory with two gauge fields,

to all orders in the inverse fermion mass. Bosonisation identities (on the level of correlation functions) once again valid to all orders, mapping the current (and its dual) in the MTM to dual field strengths (corresponding to the two gauge fields) in the gauge theory are deduced. A similar identification is also found for the free part of the MTM. We next specialise up to $0(m^{-2})$ (i.e. the order up to which the Seeley coefficients in the expansion of the fermion determinant are known [6](see 11)) computations whereby one of the gauge fields can be eliminated. To this order the MTM gets identified with the Maxwell-Chern-Simons (MCS) theory whose spectrum has a vector gauge boson with mass $\frac{4\pi}{\lambda^2} (1 + \frac{8\pi}{3m\lambda^2})$. In the leading (i.e. up to m^{-1}) order this reproduces the result derived in [4]. Detailed bosonisation identities (up to $0(m^{-2})$) mapping different operators in the MTM with those in the MCS theory are derived. A self-duality relation for the Thirring current is found. The current algebra in MTM, computed from the bosonisation identities, reveals a Schwinger term. These identities are next used to show that the energy momentum tensor in the MTM has a Sugawara [7] form. The various bosonisation relations and their consequences have a striking resemblance with the 1+1-dimensional case but, contrary to that example, are valid for length scales long compared to the Compton wavelength of the fermion. Finally, note that more detailed computations for higher orders (i. e. up to $0(m^{-3})$) onwards) can be done, exactly as shown here up to $0(m^{-2})$, provided the relevant Seeley coefficients in (11) are explicitly known.

I thank H. J. Rothe for fruitful discussions and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for providing financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Coleman, Phys. Rev.**D11** (1975) 2088; S.Mandelstam, *ibid* 3026
- [2] E.Lieb and D.Mattis, Jour. Math. Phys. **6** (1965) 304; A.Luther and I.Peschel, Phys. Rev. **B12** (1975) 3908
- [3] For recent reviews see, E.Abdalla, M.Abdalla and K.Rothe, “Non-perturbative Meth-

- ods in 2-Dimensional Quantum Field Theory” (World Scientific 1991); E.Fradkin, “Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems” (Frontiers in Physics 1991)
- [4] E.Fradkin and F.Schaposnik, Phys. Lett.**B338** (1994) 253
 - [5] A.Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett.**A3** (1988) 325
 - [6] S.Deser and A.Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 1541
 - [7] H.Sugawara, Phys. Rev. **170** (1968) 1659
 - [8] S.Deser and R.Jackiw, Phys. Lett. **B139** (1984) 371
 - [9] A.Karlhede, U.Lindstrom, M.Rocek and P.van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett.**B186** (1987) 96
 - [10] R.Banerjee and H.J.Rothe, Heidelberg University Report No. HD-THEP-95-16
 - [11] P.K.Townsend, K.Pilch and P.van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. **B136** (1984) 38
 - [12] L.Alvarez-Gaume, S.Della Pietra and G.Moore, Ann. Phys.**163** (1985) 288; R.Banerjee, Mod. Phys. Lett.**A6** (1991) 1915
 - [13] D.Gross in: Methods in Field Theory, Eds. R.Balian and J.Zinn-Justin, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976)
 - [14] A.Redlich, Phys. Rev.**D29** (1984) 2366; R.Gamboa-Saravi, M.Muschietti, F.Schaposnik and J.Solomin, Jour. Math. Phys. **26** (1985) 2045; K.Babu, A.Das and P.Panigrahi, Phys. Rev. **D36** (1987) 3725
 - [15] R.Banerjee, H.Rothe and K.Rothe, Heidelberg University Report No. HD-THEP-95-13
 - [16] S.Deser, R.Jackiw and S.Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982) 975; Ann. Phys. **140** (1982) 372
 - [17] S.Coleman, D.Gross and R.Jackiw, Phys. Rev.**180** (1969) 1359