

On the Necessity of Rational Velocities: a Gedankenexperiment ¹

M.C. Nemes⁽¹⁾ and Saulo C.S. Silva⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais
CP702, 30000, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil.

⁽²⁾Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo
CP20516, 01498, São Paulo, SP, Brasil.

Abstract

In the present contribution we propose a gedankenexperiment in which the restriction of rational values on the velocities emerges as a necessary condition from Classical Electromagnetism and Quantum Mechanics. This restriction is shown to be intimately connected to Dirac's electric charge quantization condition.

Important experimental results or currently accepted theoretical principles remain unaffected if velocities are required to be rational numbers. This interesting result has been recently given by Horzela et al^[1]. They show, moreover, that such restriction can be easily incorporated into special relativity, since rational velocities are Lorentz invariant quantities.

In the present contribution we propose a gedankenexperiment in which such restriction on the velocities emerge as a necessary condition from Classical Electromagnetism and Quantum Mechanics, intimately connected with Dirac's electric charge quantization condition.

Let us consider the scattering of a charge e under the influence of the field generated by the charge distribution depicted in fig 1: two concurring planes with opposite charge densities, the concurring line being the z -axis. The surface charge densities on the planes, σ , is given by

¹Work partially supported by CNPq and FAPESP

$$\sigma = \frac{g\rho}{4(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta} \quad (1)$$

where g is a constant, θ is the angle between the concurring planes and ρ is the polar distance to the z -axis. The electric field generated by such distribution (in the outside region, i.e., with polar angles ϕ outside the interval $[0, \theta]$) is given by

$$\vec{E} = \frac{g}{4\pi} \frac{\rho}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \hat{e}_\phi \quad (2)$$

Now, as to the scattering situation, we assume that the charge e is moving with constant velocity v in z -direction at a large enough impact parameter $\rho = b$ such that the initial particle's trajectory remains unaffected.

The variation of the charge's momentum during the scattering can be immediately calculated to be

$$\Delta \vec{p} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \vec{F} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e \vec{E} dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{eg}{4\pi} \frac{\rho}{(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \hat{e}_\phi dt \quad (3)$$

Inserting $\rho = b$ and $z = vt$ in (3), we get

$$\Delta \vec{p} = \frac{egb}{4\pi} \hat{e}_\phi \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{(b^2 + v^2 t^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{egb}{2\pi v} \hat{e}_\phi \quad (4)$$

To this momentum variation there will be a corresponding angular momentum's change given by

$$\Delta \vec{L} = \frac{eg}{2\pi v} \hat{e}_z \quad (5)$$

which is independent of the impact parameter b . Using now Bohr's quantization rule, we arrive at

$$\Delta L = \frac{eg}{2\pi v} = n \quad (6)$$

The above relation can only be satisfied if we simultaneously fulfill

$$\frac{eg}{2\pi} = m \quad (7)$$

and

$$v = \frac{m}{n} \quad (8)$$

The first one of these conditions is the celebrated Dirac's condition for charge quantization^[2], which restricts the values of e and g to integer multiples of e_0 and $g_0 = 2\pi/e_0$ respectively. The second condition represents the restriction of velocity values to rational numbers. Since the charge's velocity is defined asymptotically, the condition obtained cannot be regarded as an artifact of the particular scattering situation. So we conclude that it arises as a natural consequence of fundamental laws of Electromagnetism (Lorentz force equation) and Quantum Mechanics (Bohr's quantization condition).

It is interesting to note at this point the connection between conditions (7) and (8) and magnetic monopoles. The very same conditions arise if we were to consider the scattering of an electric charge e by an axial monopole^[3] g at rest since the field generated by such monopole is precisely the same as the one given by (2). This shows that the axial monopoles proposed in [3] can be interpreted as the particular charge distribution considered here. If we take the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ with

$$\sigma\theta = \frac{g\rho}{4(\rho^2 + z^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \quad (9)$$

remaining finite for ρ and z fixed, we would obtain a two-dimensional topological defect, whose corresponding field is the axial monopole's field, also given by (2). Such physical picture of axial monopole as a domain-wall is the subject of a forthcoming publication.

In spite of this interesting connection with axial magnetic monopoles, we should like to stress that the results presented here, namely equations (7) and (8), are independent

of this picture.

References

- [1] A.Horzela, E.Kapuscik, J.Kempczynski and C.Uzes, Prog.Theor.Phys. 88(1992)1065.
- [2] P.A.M.Dirac, Proc.Roy.Soc. A133(1931)60.
- [3] P.C.R.Cardoso de Melo, M.C.Nemes and Saulo C.S.Silva, Axial Currents in Electrodynamics, submitted to Phys.Rev.Lett.