

February 9, 1993  
 hep-th/9302044

## Finite Lorentz Transformations, Automorphisms, and Division Algebras

Corinne A. Manogue <sup>1</sup>

*Department of Physics, Oregon State University,  
 Corvallis, OR 97331, USA*

*Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, 1000 Centennial Drive,  
 Berkeley, CA 94720, USA*

corinne@physics.orst.edu

Jörg Schray

*Department of Physics, Oregon State University,  
 Corvallis, OR 97331, USA*

schrayj@physics.orst.edu

### ABSTRACT

We give an explicit algebraic description of finite Lorentz transformations of vectors in 10-dimensional Minkowski space by means of a parameterization in terms of the octonions. The possible utility of these results for superstring theory is mentioned. Along the way we describe automorphisms of the two highest dimensional normed division algebras, namely the quaternions and the octonions, in terms of conjugation maps. We use similar techniques to define  $SO(3)$  and  $SO(7)$  via conjugation,  $SO(4)$  via symmetric multiplication, and  $SO(8)$  via both symmetric multiplication and one-sided multiplication. The non-commutativity and non-associativity of these division algebras plays a crucial role in our constructions.

PACS: 11.30.Cp, 02.20.+b, 02.10.+w, 11.17.+y

---

<sup>1</sup> Permanent address is Oregon State University.

## 1. Introduction

Recent research by several groups [1] on the  $(9, 1)$  dimensional<sup>2</sup> superstring has shown that a parameterization in terms of octonions is natural and may help to illuminate the symmetries of the theory. In particular, an isomorphism between  $SO(9, 1)$  and  $SL(2, O)$  can be used to write the  $(9, 1)$  vector made up of the bosonic coordinates of the superstring as a  $2 \times 2$  dimensional hermitian matrix with octonionic entries in the same way that the standard isomorphism between  $SO(3, 1)$  and  $SL(2, C)$  is used to write a  $(3, 1)$  vector as a  $2 \times 2$  dimensional hermitian matrix with complex entries. But what exactly is meant by  $SL(2, O)$ ? The infinitesimal version of  $SL(2, O)$  has been known for some time [2]. However, since the octonions are not associative, it is not possible to “integrate” the infinitesimal transformations to obtain a finite transformation in the usual way. In this paper, we show how to get around this problem and give an explicit algebraic description of finite transformations in  $SL(2, O)$ . Along the way, we also develop explicit octonionic characterizations of the finite transformations of a number of other interesting groups, especially  $G_2$ ,  $SO(7)$ , and  $SO(8)$ .

In Section 2 we present some basic information about division algebras and introduce our notation. This section may be safely omitted by the reader who is already familiar with division algebras. In Section 3 we give an explicit algebraic description of finite elements of  $SO(3)$  and  $SO(7)$ . ( $SO(3) \approx Aut(H)$  is the group of continuous proper automorphisms of the quaternions.) We also find a simple restriction of  $SO(7)$  which gives a construction of the continuous proper automorphisms of the octonions  $G_2 \approx Aut(O)$ . Then in Section 4 we find a related algebraic description of  $SO(4)$  and two descriptions of  $SO(8)$ . We use these results in Section 5 to construct **finite** Lorentz transformations of vectors in  $(5, 1)$  and  $(9, 1)$  dimensions. Section 6 summarizes our conclusions and discusses how our work relates to the work of others.

## 2. Division Algebra Basics

In this section we introduce the basic definitions and properties of the normed division algebras. We take an intuitive approach in order to make a first encounter accessible. For a more rigorous mathematical treatment see, for example, [3].

According to a theorem by Hurwitz [4], there are only four algebras over the reals,

---

<sup>2</sup> For notational convenience we use the symbol  $(m, l)$  to denote the total dimension of Minkowski space, where  $m$  is the number of spatial dimensions and  $l$  is the number of timelike dimensions.

called normed division algebras, with the property that their norm is compatible with multiplication. These are the reals  $R$ , the complexes  $C$ , the quaternions  $H$ , and the octonions  $O$ ; which we denote by  $K_n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, 4, 8$  is their respective dimension as vector spaces over the reals.

First we need to define these algebras. An element  $p$  of  $K_n$  is written<sup>3</sup>  $p = p^i e_i$  for  $p^i \in R$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The  $e_i$ 's can be identified with an orthonormal basis in  $R^n$ , but they also carry the information which determines the algebraic structure of  $K_n$ . Addition on  $K_n$  is just addition of vectors in  $R^n$ :

$$p + q = (p^i e_i) + (q^i e_i) = (p^i + q^i) e_i \quad (1)$$

and is therefore both commutative and associative. Multiplication is described by the tensor  $\Lambda$ . ( $\Lambda$  must be defined so as to contain the structural information necessary to yield norm compatibility. We discuss the detailed properties of  $\Lambda$  below.)

$$pq = (p^j e_j)(q^k e_k) = (\Lambda^i_{jk} p^j q^k) e_i \quad (2)$$

where  $\Lambda^i_{jk} \in R$  for  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ . We see that multiplication is bilinear and distributive, i.e. determined by the products of the basis vectors, but it is not necessarily commutative nor even associative.

We write the multiplicative identity in  $K_n$  as  $e_1 = 1$  and call it the real unit.<sup>4</sup> Due to the linearity of (2),  $Re_1$  is an embedding of  $R$  in  $K_n$  and multiplication with an element of  $R \approx Re_1$  is commutative. The other basis vectors satisfy  $e_i e_i = e_i^2 = -1 = -e_1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  and we call them imaginary basis units. The imaginary basis units anticommute with each other, i.e.  $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$  for  $i \neq j$  and the product of two imaginary basis units yields another, i.e.  $e_i e_j = \pm e_k$  for some  $k$ .

In the familiar way, we have  $\{e_1 = 1\}$  for  $R$  and  $\{e_1 = 1, e_2 = i\}$  for  $C$ . For  $H$  we have  $\{e_1 = 1, e_2, e_3, e_4 = e_2 e_3\}$ . Because there is more than one imaginary basis unit, multiplication on  $H$  is not commutative, but it is still associative. The rest of the multiplication table follows from associativity. We can visualize multiplication in  $H$  by an

---

<sup>3</sup> Throughout this paper summation over repeated indices is implied unless otherwise noted.

<sup>4</sup> In most references the identity is denoted by  $e_0$  or  $i_0$  and indices run from 0 through  $n - 1$ . For later notational convenience our indices run from 1 through  $n$ .

oriented circle<sup>5</sup>; see Figure 1. The product of two imaginary basis units, represented by nodes on the circle, is the imaginary basis unit represented by the third node on the line connecting them if the product is taken in the order given by the orientation of the circle, otherwise there is a minus sign in the result. Multiplication of the imaginary basis units in  $H$  is reminiscent of the vector product in  $R^3$  :  $\vec{i} \times \vec{j} = \vec{k} = -\vec{j} \times \vec{i}$ . Because of this,  $e_2, e_3, e_4$  are often denoted  $i, j, k$ .

For  $O$  the multiplication table is most transparent when written as a triangle; see Figure 2. The product of two imaginary basis units is determined as before by following the oriented line connecting the corresponding nodes, where each line on the triangle is to be interpreted as a circle by connecting the ends. Moving opposite to the orientation of the line again contributes a minus sign, e.g.  $e_3e_4 = e_2$  or  $e_8e_6 = -e_3$ . In general, multiplication in  $O$  is not associative, but  $e_1$  and any triple of imaginary basis units lying on a single line span a 4-dimensional vector space isomorphic to  $H$ . Therefore products of octonions from within such a subspace are associative. Products of triples of imaginary basis units not lying on a single line are precisely anti-associative so switching parentheses results in a change of sign. For example,  $e_2(e_3e_4) = e_2(e_2) = -1 = (e_4)e_4 = (e_2e_3)e_4$ , but  $e_2(e_3e_5) = e_2(-e_7) = -e_8 = -(e_4)e_5 = -(e_2e_3)e_5$ .

To describe the results of switching parentheses, it is useful to define the associator  $[p, q, r] := p(qr) - (pq)r$  of three octonions  $p, q, r$ . The associator is totally antisymmetric in its arguments. From the antisymmetry of the associator we see that the octonions have a weak form of associativity, called alternativity, i.e. if the imaginary parts of any two of  $p, q, r$  point in the same direction in  $R^7$ , the associator is zero. In particular,  $[p, q, p] = 0$ . As a consequence of alternativity, some products involving four factors have special associativity properties given by the Moufang [5] identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
q(p(qx)) &= (qpq)x \\
((xq)p)q &= x(qpq) & \forall p, q, x, y \in K_n \\
q(xy)q &= (qx)(yq)
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

As in the familiar case of the complex numbers, complex conjugation is accomplished by changing the sign of the components of the imaginary basis units, i.e. the complex conjugate of  $p := p^i e_i$  is given by

---

<sup>5</sup> In the figures and occasionally in the text, we will drop the  $e$  from the notation for a basis unit and refer to it just by its number, i.e.  $e_2 \equiv 2$  and  $e_i \equiv i$ .

$$\bar{p} = \text{Bar}(p) := p^1 e_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n p^i e_i \quad (4)$$

We define the real and imaginary parts<sup>6</sup> of  $p$  via

$$\text{Re } p := \frac{1}{2}(p + \bar{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im } p := \frac{1}{2}(p - \bar{p}) \quad (5)$$

The complex conjugate of a product is the product of the complex conjugates in the opposite order:

$$\overline{pq} = \bar{q}\bar{p}, \quad \forall p, q \in K_n \quad (6)$$

The inner product on  $K_n$  is just the Euclidian one inherited from  $R^n$ :

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n p^i q^i} \quad (7)$$

which can be written in terms of complex conjugation via

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(p\bar{q} + q\bar{p}) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{q}p + \bar{p}q) = \text{Re}(p\bar{q}) \quad (8)$$

In this language, an imaginary unit is any vector which is orthogonal to the real unit and has norm 1. Two imaginary units which anticommute are orthogonal. This geometric picture relating orthogonality to anticommutativity is often helpful, but it lacks the notion of associativity.

The inner product, (7) and (8), induces a norm on  $K_n$  given by

$$|p| = |p^i e_i| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (p^i)^2} = \sqrt{p\bar{p}} \quad (9)$$

It can be shown that the norm is compatible with multiplication in  $K_n$ :

$$|pq| = |p||q| \quad (10)$$

In the case of the octonions, (10) is known as the eight squares theorem, because a product of two sums, each of which consists of eight squares, is written as a sum of eight squares.

---

<sup>6</sup> Note that  $\text{Im } p$  as we define it is not real. For  $H$  and  $O$  which have more than one imaginary direction, this definition is more convenient than the usual one.

Norm compatibility (10) and the relation of the norm to complex conjugation (9) are essential for a normed division algebra, since they allow division. For  $p \neq 0$ , the inverse of  $p$  is given by

$$p^{-1} = \frac{\bar{p}}{|p|^2} \quad (11)$$

An element  $p \in K_n$  can be written in exponential form just as in the complex case:

$$p = N \exp(\theta \hat{r}) = N (\cos \theta + \sin \theta \hat{r}) \quad (12)$$

where  $N = |p| \in R$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  is given implicitly by  $\text{Re } p = N \cos \theta$ , and  $\hat{r}$  is an imaginary unit<sup>7</sup> given implicitly by  $\text{Im } p = N \sin \theta \hat{r}$ . For the special case  $N = 1$  we will sometimes denote  $p$  by the ordered pair

$$p = (\hat{r}, \theta) \quad (13)$$

What are the  $m$ th roots of  $p = N \exp(\theta \hat{r}) \in K_n$ ? If  $p$  is not a real number, then in the plane determined by  $e_1$  and  $\hat{r}$  the calculation reduces to the complex case, i.e. there are precisely  $m$   $m$ th roots given by

$$p^{\frac{1}{m}} = N^{\frac{1}{m}} \exp\left(\frac{\theta + 2\pi l}{m} \hat{r}\right) \quad (14)$$

where  $m \geq 2$  is a positive integer,  $l < m$  is a non-negative integer, and  $N^{\frac{1}{m}}$  is the positive, real  $m$ th root of the positive, real number  $N$ . However for  $K_4$  and  $K_8$ , if  $p \in K_n$  is a real number the situation is different. If  $p$  is real, it does not determine a unique direction  $\hat{r}$  in the pure imaginary space of  $K_n$ . Therefore (14) is no longer well-defined (unless, of course, the root is real). Indeed, if  $p_{\pm}^{\frac{1}{m}} = N^{\frac{1}{m}} \exp(\pm \frac{\theta + 2\pi l}{m} e_2)$ , for fixed  $l$ , are a complex conjugate pair of roots of  $p$  lying in  $C$ , then  $N^{\frac{1}{m}} \exp(\frac{\theta + 2\pi l}{m} \hat{r})$  is also a root for any  $\hat{r}$ . We see that the roots of  $p$ , which form complex conjugate pairs in  $C$ , in  $K_n$  form an  $S^{n-2}$  subspace of  $R^n$ . Throughout this paper, whenever we refer to the root of an element of  $K_n$ , we will mean any of these roots, so long as all of the roots of that element in a given equation are taken to be the same.

In the discussion so far we assumed that the basis  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  was given. But what happens if we change basis in  $K_n$ ? Any linear transformation would preserve the vector space structure of  $K_n$ , but the structure tensor  $\Lambda$  would transform according to the tensor

---

<sup>7</sup> We will use hats (e.g.  $\hat{r}$ ) to denote purely imaginary units.

transformation rules. In order to preserve the multiplicative structure, i.e. to get the same multiplication rules and the same formulas for complex conjugation and norm, we would need for the transformation to be an automorphism of  $K_n$ . Any such transformation yields a basis of the following form: (a)  $e_1$  is the multiplicative identity in  $K_n$  and must be fixed by the transformation. For  $R$ ,  $\{e_1\}$  is the basis. (b)  $e_2$  can be any imaginary unit, i.e. anything in  $K_n$  which squares to  $-1$ . For  $C$  there is only one choice (up to sign), so the basis in this case is now complete. (c)  $e_3$  can be any imaginary unit which anticommutes with  $e_2$ . Then  $e_4$ , the third unit in the associative triple, is determined by the multiplication table, i.e.  $e_4 = e_2e_3$ . Now we have a basis for  $H$ . (d) For  $O$  we still need to pick another imaginary unit,  $e_5$ , which anticommutes with all of  $e_2$ ,  $e_3$ , and  $e_4$ . The remaining units are then determined by the triangle.

The procedure above provides a convenient simplification for calculations which involve up to three arbitrary octonions  $x, y, z$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x = x^1e_1 + x^2e_2$ ,  $y = y^1e_1 + y^2e_2 + y^3e_3$ , and  $z = z^1e_1 + z^2e_2 + z^3e_3 + z^4e_4 + z^5e_5$ . In particular, any calculation involving only one arbitrary octonion reduces to the complex case and any involving only two arbitrary octonions reduces to the quaternionic case. In a calculation involving three arbitrary octonions, it may be assumed that only one component of one of them lies outside a single associative triple. Only the fourth arbitrary octonion in a calculation cannot be chosen to have some vanishing components. These simplifications can be especially useful when combined with computer algebra techniques.

The multiplication rules which we have chosen are not unique, but all other choices amount to renumberings of the circle or triangle, including those which switch signs (nodes may be relabeled  $\pm 2, \dots, \pm 8$ ). Even some of these turn out to be equivalent to the original triangle. The seven points of the triangle can be identified with the projective plane over the field with two elements, so the possible renumberings of the imaginary basis units correspond to transformations of this plane. For future reference we give the form of  $\Lambda$  corresponding to our choice of multiplication rules in Appendix A.

### 3. $SO(n-1)$ and Automorphisms

A proper automorphism  $\phi$  of  $K_n$  satisfies

$$\phi(x + y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y) \tag{15}$$

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y) \quad (\text{proper}) \tag{16}$$

$\forall x, y \in K_n$ , whereas for an improper or anti-automorphism the order of the factors in (16)

is reversed:

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(y)\phi(x) \quad (\text{improper}) \quad (17)$$

From (6) and the non-commutativity of quaternionic and octonionic multiplication, we see that complex conjugation is an example of an improper automorphism for  $n = 4, 8$ .

Throughout the rest of this paper we will restrict ourselves to the set of continuous proper automorphisms,  $Aut(K_n)$ .<sup>8</sup> Then (15), (16), and continuity are sufficient to show that  $\phi$  is a linear transformation on  $K_n$ . As such,  $\phi$  can be expressed by the action of a real matrix  $A^i_j$  acting on the components  $x^j$  (for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) of  $x$  viewed as a vector in  $R^n$ :

$$\phi : K_n \rightarrow K_n \text{ linear} \iff \phi(x) = A^i_j x^j e_i \quad (18)$$

Combining this form of  $\phi$  with the condition (16) and using the multiplication rule (2) we obtain the following equation for the  $A^i_j$ 's:

$$A^i_l \Lambda^l_{jk} = A^l_j \Lambda^i_{lm} A^m_k \quad (19)$$

This equation defines the Lie group of automorphisms in terms of  $n \times n$  matrices and the structure constants of  $K_n$ .

The formulation which we have just described is the usual one for Lie groups, but it does not take advantage of the special algebraic structure of  $K_n$ . The approach which we prefer to take in this paper is to find algebraic operations on  $K_n$  which yield maps that satisfy (15)–(16) without resorting to the matrix description. The algebraic operations which we will find turn out to have many interesting properties.

Motivated by the structure of inner automorphism on division rings, let us consider conjugation maps  $\phi_q$  on  $K_n = H, O$  ( $n = 4, 8$ ) for  $q \in K_n^* = K_n - \{0\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_q : K_n &\rightarrow K_n & (20) \\ x &\mapsto qxq^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>8</sup> All of the continuous automorphisms of  $H$  or  $O$ , including the improper ones which change the order of the multiplication, can be obtained by taking the direct product of  $Aut(H)$  or  $Aut(O)$  with the group  $\{\mathbf{1}, \text{Bar}\}$ .

These maps are well-defined even for  $K_8 = O$  since the associator  $[q, x, q^{-1}]$  vanishes. (This vanishing associator also implies that  $(\phi_q)^{-1} = \phi_{q^{-1}}$  and  $(\phi_q)^2 = \phi_{q^2}$  for both  $H$  and  $O$ ). The maps (20) satisfy (15) and fix the real part of  $x$ .

We see from (20) that a rescaling of  $q$  does not effect the transformation, so without loss of generality we may divide out the multiplicative center,  $R^* = R - \{0\}$ , and consider only  $q$ 's of unit norm, i.e.  $q = (\hat{r}, \theta)$ .<sup>9</sup> Notice that now  $q^{-1} = \bar{q}$ . Thus we have a map  $\Phi$  which takes  $\{q \in K_n : |q| = 1\} \approx K_n^*/R^* \approx S^{n-1}$  to  $\{\phi_q\}$ , where  $\phi_q$  is a linear transformation on  $K_n$ :

$$\Phi : \{q \in K_n : |q| = 1\} \rightarrow L(K_n, K_n) \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q \mapsto \phi_q = \phi_{(\hat{r}, \theta)} : K_n &\rightarrow K_n \\ x &\mapsto qx\bar{q} = \exp(\theta \hat{r}) x \exp(-\theta \hat{r}) \end{aligned}$$

We see from (10) that  $\phi_q$  is an isometry:

$$|\phi_q(x)| = |q||x||\bar{q}| = |x| \quad (22)$$

In particular it leaves the norm of the imaginary part invariant so the associated  $n \times n$  matrix  $A_q$  (which is defined by:  $\phi_q(x) = (A_q)^i_j x^j e_i$ ) is orthogonal and splits into a trivial  $1 \times 1$  block for the real part and an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  block  $R_q$  which lies in  $SO(n-1)$ . The determinant of  $A_q$  is positive, because  $\phi_q = (\phi_{\sqrt{q}})^2$  (equivalently  $A_q = (A_{\sqrt{q}})^2$ ).

Now we will study the structure of  $\Phi(S^{n-1})$  by looking at generic examples of maps  $\phi_q$ .

**a) Quaternions and  $SO(3)$ :**

For  $K_1 = R$  and  $K_2 = C$ , multiplication is commutative and the conjugation maps (20) are trivial. Therefore let us examine the first nontrivial case,  $K_4 = H$ . If we consider, for example,  $\hat{r} = e_2$ , we get

$$\exp(\theta e_2) x \exp(-\theta e_2) = x^1 e_1 + x^2 e_2 + (\cos 2\theta x^3 - \sin 2\theta x^4) e_3 + (\sin 2\theta x^3 + \cos 2\theta x^4) e_4$$

$$\iff A_{(e_2, \theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ 0 & 0 & \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{so} \quad R_{(e_2, \theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ 0 & \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

---

<sup>9</sup> We could also identify antipodal points on the unit sphere ( $S^{n-1}$ ), since  $\phi_q = \phi_{-q}$ .

This is just a rotation of the imaginary part of  $x$  around  $e_2$  by an angle of  $2\theta$ , i.e. it is a rotation in the 3-4 plane. Similarly, we see that  $\phi_q$  with  $q = \exp(\theta \hat{r})$ , for any imaginary unit  $\hat{r}$ , is a rotation of the imaginary part of  $x$  around  $\hat{r}$  by an angle of  $2\theta$ . Thus  $\Phi$  is the universal covering map, mapping  $S^3$  onto  $SO(3) \approx Aut(H)$ . Since multiplication in  $H$  is associative, composition of maps is given by multiplication in  $H$ , i.e.  $\phi_p \circ \phi_q = \phi_{pq}$  (equivalently  $A_p A_q = A_{pq}$ ),  $\forall p, q \in H$  with  $|p| = |q| = 1$ . Therefore,  $\Phi$  is also a group homomorphism.<sup>10</sup>

We have just parameterized rotations in the 3-dimensional purely imaginary subspace of the quaternions by fixing an axis of rotation and then specifying the value of a continuous parameter, the angle  $\theta$ , which describes the amount of the rotation around that axis in the unique plane orthogonal to that axis. We call this parameterization the axis-angle form. But in dimension greater than 3, there is no unique plane orthogonal to a given axis. Therefore in the octonionic case it will not be sufficient to specify a rotation axis and an angle of rotation. Instead, we will parameterize rotations in another way, which we first describe here for the quaternionic case.

To accomplish a given elementary rotation (a rotation which takes place in a single coordinate plane), we use a composition of two particular axis-angle rotations, which we call flips because they are both rotations by the same constant angle  $\pi$ . The angle  $\theta$  between the axes of the two flips then takes on the role of a continuously changing parameter which describes the magnitude of the combined rotation. Specifically, choose any two anticommuting (i.e. perpendicular) imaginary units  $\hat{r}$  and  $\hat{s}$  which lie in the plane of the desired rotation. Then if the desired amount of rotation in that plane is  $2\theta$ , do two flips around the two directions  $\hat{r}$  and  $\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s}$  (which are separated by the angle  $\theta$ ). To do this, we define the composition  $\phi_{(\hat{r}, \hat{s}, \theta | \alpha)}^{(2)}$  via

$$\phi_{(\hat{r}, \hat{s}, \theta | \alpha)}^{(2)} := \phi_{(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s}, \alpha)} \circ \phi_{(\hat{r}, -\alpha)} \quad (24)$$

in particular, for  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ :

---

<sup>10</sup> One application of this homomorphism is a quick derivation of the expression for the composition of two rotations given in terms of axes and angles of rotation. If  $p = \exp(\theta \hat{r})$  and  $q = \exp(\eta \hat{s})$ , then  $pq = \exp(\zeta \hat{t})$  where  $\hat{t} = \text{Im}(pq) / |\text{Im}(pq)|$  and  $\cos \zeta = \text{Re}(pq)$ . So a  $2\eta$  rotation around  $\hat{s}$  followed by a  $2\theta$  rotation around  $\hat{r}$  is the same as a  $2\zeta$  rotation around  $\hat{t}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(\hat{r}, \hat{s}, \theta | \frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}(x) := \\ \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s})\right) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{r}\right) x \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{r}\right) \right] \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the superscript “(2)” indicates the number of simple axis-angle  $\phi$ 's involved in the composition. In order to understand why  $\phi^{(2)}$  works, consider its effects on different subspaces. In the plane spanned by  $\hat{r}$  and  $\hat{s}$ ,  $\phi^{(2)}$  is just the composition of two reflections with respect to the two directions  $\hat{r}$  and  $\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s}$  as mirror lines, amounting to a total rotation by  $2\theta$ , so that  $\theta$  is indeed the continuously changing parameter. In particular  $\phi_{(\hat{r}, \hat{s}, 0)}^{(2)} = \mathbf{1}$ . In the direction orthogonal to the plane, the flips are in opposite directions and therefore cancel. We call  $\phi^{(2)}$  the plane-angle form of the rotations because it parameterizes rotations in terms of their plane and angle. In the case of the quaternions we can of course use the group homomorphism property of the  $\phi$ 's to express  $\phi^{(2)}$  as a single  $\phi$ :

$$\phi_{(\hat{r}, \hat{s}, \theta | \frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)} = \phi_{(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s}, \frac{\pi}{2})} \circ \phi_{(\hat{r}, -\frac{\pi}{2})} = \phi_{(\hat{r}\hat{s}, \theta)} \quad (26)$$

since

$$\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s})\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{r}\right) = (\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s})(-\hat{r}) = \cos \theta + \sin \theta \hat{r}\hat{s} \quad (27)$$

We see that  $\phi_{(\hat{r}, \hat{s}, \theta | \frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}$  only depends on the product  $\hat{r}\hat{s}$ , which in turn depends only on the plane (and orientation) of  $\hat{r}$  and  $\hat{s}$ . Therefore any pair of anticommuting units spanning the same plane with the same orientation may replace  $\hat{r}$  and  $\hat{s}$  without changing the combined transformation.

We have seen that  $\Phi$  maps all of  $S^3$  to  $Aut(H)$ , but this new parameterization of the rotations only uses  $q$ 's of the form  $\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{r}\right)$ , i.e. the angle in each of the individual flips is always the constant  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .<sup>11</sup> This means that just a single  $S^2$  slice of  $S^3$  (the equator) maps under  $\Phi$  to a generating set for  $Aut(H)$ .

### b) Octonions and $SO(7)$ :

Now let us examine the more complicated case,  $K_8 = O$ . We notice that for the octonions each line in the triangle, and more generally each associative triple of anticommuting,

---

<sup>11</sup> Because  $(-\theta)\hat{r}$  can be interpreted as  $\theta(-\hat{r})$ , the choice of the sign of the angle in each flip has no consequences. Therefore we have chosen the signs in (25) (and in later sections) for convenience.

purely imaginary octonions of modulus 1, is just a copy of the imaginary units  $\{e_2, e_3, e_4\}$  in  $H$ . Therefore, if we consider the same conjugation map as we did in the quaternionic case with  $q = \exp(\theta e_2)$ , we obtain the associated matrix  $A_{(e_2, \theta)}$ :

$$A_{(e_2, \theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

We see that this transformation yields three simultaneous rotations by an angle of  $2\theta$  in three mutually orthogonal planes which are all orthogonal to  $e_2$ . The pairs of imaginary units which are rotated into each other are just the pairs which each form an associative triple with  $e_2$ . Moreover, since the rotations in the three planes are equal, the choice of these planes is not unique.

For an arbitrary  $\hat{r}$  we can always find a (nonunique) set of 3 pairwise orthogonal planes, orthogonal to  $\hat{r}$ , such that  $\phi_{(\hat{r}, \theta)}$  represents an axis-angle rotation in each of the quaternionic subspaces spanned by one of the planes and  $\hat{r}$ . For the special case  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $A_{(\hat{r}, \theta)}$  has 8 real eigenvalues, 6 of which are  $-1$ . In this case the extra degeneracy means that if we choose  $\hat{r}$  anywhere on, for example, the 2-3-4 subspace the effect on the 5-6 and 7-8 planes is the same.

Because each  $\phi_q$  rotates three planes, it looks naively as if we should only be able to describe a subset of  $SO(7)$  in this way. Surprisingly, this is not true. We can in fact describe all of  $SO(7)$  and it turns out that the non-associativity of multiplication in  $O$  plays a crucial role. For  $K_8 = O$ ,  $\phi_p \circ \phi_q \neq \phi_{pq}$  in general, i.e.  $\Phi$  is not a group homomorphism. In fact,  $\phi_p \circ \phi_q \neq \phi_r$ , for **any**  $r \in O$  unless  $\text{Im } p$  and  $\text{Im } q$  point in the same direction. It is this fact which allows  $\Phi(S^7)$  to generate a Lie group with dimension larger than 7. For instance, by using more than one mapping, we can give explicit expressions for all of the elementary rotations. An elementary rotation in the  $i$ - $j$  plane, for example, is given by  $\phi_p \circ \phi_{\bar{q}} \circ \phi_p \circ \phi_q$ , where  $q = \exp(\theta e_k)$ ,  $p = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} e_i\right)$ ,  $e_k = e_i e_j$ . This yields a rotation by  $4\theta$  in the  $i$ - $j$  plane. The extra transformations undo the rotation in the other two planes, which were initially rotated by  $\phi_q$ . The elementary rotations generate all of  $SO(7)$ .

Alternatively, the plane-angle form of the quaternionic case (involving only rotations with  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ) goes through as before, since in all the directions orthogonal to both axes

the two rotations by  $\pi$  still cancel. Therefore  $\phi_{(e_i, e_j, \theta | \frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}$  is another way of expressing a rotation by  $2\theta$  in the  $i$ - $j$  plane. We see from the axis-angle form of the rotations that  $\Phi$  maps the unit sphere in  $O$  to a generating set of  $SO(7)$ . As the plane-angle form shows, the equatorial  $S^6$  is actually sufficient to provide a generating set of  $SO(7)$ .

**c) Octonions and  $G_2$ :**

In the octonionic case we have obtained a larger group than we were looking for; all of  $SO(7)$  instead of only its subgroup (of automorphisms of the octonions)  $G_2$ . However, we shouldn't have expected  $\phi_q$  to be an automorphism since (16) is equivalent to

$$(qxq^{-1})(qyq^{-1}) = q(xy)q^{-1} \quad (29)$$

which would require the  $q$ 's in between  $x$  and  $y$  to cancel. (29) only holds in general if multiplication is associative; but for certain choices for  $q$ ,  $\phi_q$  might still be an automorphism. For  $q = \exp(\theta e_2)$ , we find that (29) places no restriction on  $\theta$  if  $e_2$ ,  $\text{Im } x$ , and  $\text{Im } y$  lie on one line in the triangle (when the calculation reduces to the quaternionic case). However, if  $e_2$ ,  $x$ , and  $y$  contain anti-associative components, their products are not equal on the two sides of (29). Instead we obtain the following two equations for  $\theta$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 4\theta &= \cos 2\theta \\ -\sin 4\theta &= \sin 2\theta \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The solutions for (30) are  $\theta = k\frac{\pi}{3}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, 5$ . Obviously,  $e_2$  can be replaced by any purely imaginary octonionic unit. Hence a single mapping,  $\phi_q$ , is an automorphism of  $O$  if and only if

$$q = \exp\left(k\frac{\pi}{3}\hat{r}\right), \quad k = 0, \dots, 5 \quad (31)$$

i.e. if and only if  $q$  is a sixth root of unity,  $q^6 = 1$ .

These maps are not all of the automorphisms of  $O$ , but they do generate the whole group. As in the previous section, we need to consider compositions of  $\phi_q$ 's, this time satisfying (31). We will show that we can obtain all of  $G_2$  in this way by checking that the dimension of the associated Lie algebra is correct. Notice that the set of allowed  $q$ 's splits into four pieces depending on the value of  $\text{Re } q$ ,  $\{\text{Re } q = \pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}\}$ . If  $q = \pm 1$ , then  $\phi_q$  is the identity. The piece with  $\text{Re } q = -\frac{1}{2}$  is made up of points which are antipodal in  $S^7$  to the piece with  $\text{Re } q = \frac{1}{2}$  (see Footnote 9). Therefore these two pieces contain the same maps and we only need to consider the piece with  $\text{Re } q = \frac{1}{2}$ .

To determine the group that is generated by these maps, we consider compositions of maps of the form  $\phi_{(i,j,\theta|\frac{\pi}{3})}^{(2)}$ . These are flips involving angles of  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  so that each individual  $\phi$  is an automorphism (instead of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  as in the last section). Of course,  $\phi_{(i,j,0|\frac{\pi}{3})}^{(2)} = \mathbf{1}$ . Since  $(\phi_q)^{-1} = \phi_{q^{-1}}$ , we also see that the set of maps with  $\text{Re } q = \frac{1}{2}$  contains the inverse of each element. A dimensional analysis of the associated Lie algebra finds the dimension of the space spanned by

$$\left\{ \left. \frac{d}{d\theta} \phi_{(i,j,\theta|\frac{\pi}{3})}^{(2)} \right|_{\theta=0} : i, j = 2, \dots, 8, i \neq j \right\} \quad (32)$$

to be 14 as follows. There are  $7 \times 6 = 42$  choices for  $i$  and  $j$ . It turns out that the 6 choices belonging to one associative triple of units only give 3 linearly independent generators, which leaves us with 21. In addition three triples which have one unit in common also share one generator, which cuts the number down by 7 leaving us with 14 independent generators for the Lie algebra.<sup>12</sup> Therefore the group generated is a 14-dimensional subgroup of  $G_2$ , i.e.  $G_2$  itself.

From the form of  $\phi_{(i,j,\theta|\frac{\pi}{3})}^{(2)}$  we see that  $\left\{ \phi_q : q = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{3} \hat{r}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \hat{r} \right\} \approx S^6$  actually suffices as generating set for  $G_2$ . We saw in the previous subsection that  $\Phi$  maps the equatorial  $S^6$  to a generating set of  $SO(7)$ . Here we see that  $\Phi$  maps a different  $S^6$  slice of the octonionic unit sphere to a generating set of  $G_2$ .

**d) Some Interesting Asides:**

As an interesting aside, we derive two new identities for commutators in  $O$  in the following way. Let  $q = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \hat{r}$  in (29). Then the terms containing  $\sqrt{3}$  and not containing it must be equal independently. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4[\hat{r}, xy] &= (x - 3(\hat{r}x\hat{r}))[\hat{r}, y] + [\hat{r}, x](y - 3(\hat{r}y\hat{r})) \\ [\hat{r}, x][\hat{r}, y] &= xy - 4\hat{r}(xy)\hat{r} + x(\hat{r}y\hat{r}) + (\hat{r}x\hat{r})y - 3(\hat{r}x\hat{r})(\hat{r}y\hat{r}) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where  $x, y, \hat{r} \in O$  with  $\text{Re } \hat{r} = 0$ ,  $|\hat{r}| = 1$ .

As another interesting aside, we note that if  $q^6 = 1$  then  $q^3 = \pm 1$  which implies  $\phi_q^3 = \mathbf{1}$ . This means that the set of elements of  $G_2$  which are third roots of the identity generate  $G_2$ , because it contains all of the maps  $\phi_q$  with  $q^6 = 1$ . But there are third roots

---

<sup>12</sup> To do this analysis we returned to the matrix representation of  $G_2$ , (19), and used the computer algebra package MAPLE. The calculations are nontrivial, especially the proof that the remaining 14 generators are really independent. We were surprised by the result that the generator of  $\phi_{(i,j,\theta|\frac{\pi}{3})}^{(2)}$  is not simply related to the generator of  $\phi_{(j,i,\theta|\frac{\pi}{3})}^{(2)}$ .

of the identity map which are not given by any single  $\phi_q$  with  $q$  in  $O^*/R^*$ . This is due to the fact that  $\phi_q$  is determined completely by its fixed direction  $\hat{r}$ , whereas a third root of the identity map has more free parameters. For example, the following matrix is associated with an automorphism of  $O$  which fixes  $e_2$  and its third power is the identity, but it is not equal to  $A_q$  with  $q = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{3}(\pm e_2)\right)$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \frac{2\pi}{3} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} & \sin \frac{2\pi}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{3} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (34)$$

A similar statement holds for the generating set of  $SO(7)$  which we found. It contains maps which square to the identity, because we had  $q = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\hat{r}\right)$  whence  $q^2 = -1$ . But again not all the elements of  $SO(7)$  which square to the identity are given as a  $\phi_q$ .

#### 4. More Isometries

Due to (10), we see that multiplying an element of  $H$  or  $O$  by an element of modulus 1 is always an isometry. The isometries of the previous section ( $SO(n-1)$  and  $Aut(K_n)$  for  $n = 4, 8$ ) were all obtained using the asymmetric product,  $\phi_q(x) = qxq^{-1}$ . In this section we examine two other classes of isometries on  $H$  and  $O$ .

##### a) Symmetric Products:

First we show that it is possible to describe all of  $SO(n)$  for  $n = 4, 8$  using symmetric products. We define

$$\Psi : \{q \in K_n : |q| = 1\} \rightarrow L(K_n, K_n) \quad (35)$$

$$q \mapsto \psi_q = \psi_{(\hat{r}, \theta)} : K_n \rightarrow K_n$$

$$x \mapsto qxq = \exp(\theta \hat{r}) x \exp(\theta \hat{r})$$

As with the conjugation maps, this is well-defined even for  $K_8 = O$ , since the associator  $[q, x, q]$  vanishes. As before  $(\psi_q)^{-1} = \psi_{q^{-1}}$  and  $(\psi_q)^2 = \psi_{q^2}$  hold. We also note that  $\psi_q = \psi_{-q}$  and that  $\psi_q$  is linear.

This isometry, however, does not fix the reals. We denote the matrix associated with  $\psi_q$  by  $B_q$ , where  $\psi_q(x) = (B_q)^i_j x^j e_i$ . Then  $B_q \in SO(n)$  since  $\psi_q = (\psi_{\sqrt{q}})^2$  (equivalently,  $B_q = (B_{\sqrt{q}})^2$ ). Letting  $q = \exp(\theta e_2)$ , we obtain

$$B_{(e_2, \theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

This is just a rotation by  $2\theta$  in the 1-2 plane. Similarly, any rotation by  $2\theta$  in the plane spanned by  $e_1$  and any imaginary unit  $\hat{r}$  is given by  $\psi_q$  with  $q = \exp(\theta \hat{r})$ .

But what about rotations in the purely imaginary subspace,  $SO(n-1)$ ? Recall from the last section that the plane-angle construction of the elementary rotations in  $SO(n-1)$  used a composition of two flips  $\phi_p \circ \phi_q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  were both purely imaginary. But notice that  $\psi_q = -\phi_q$  when  $q$  is imaginary, i.e. when  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Thus the maps  $\{\psi_q : q = \exp(\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{r}), \text{Re } \hat{r} = 0, |\hat{r}| = 1\}$  generate a group which includes  $SO(n-1)$ . Since we already found the rotations involving the real part we see that  $\Psi(S^{n-1})$  generates all of  $SO(n)$ .

It is worth noting that the  $\psi_q$ 's work differently from the  $\phi_q$ 's. For a single  $\psi_q$ ,  $q$  is in the plane of rotation, whereas for a single  $\phi_q$ ,  $q$  was a fixed direction. Also,  $\psi_p \circ \psi_q(x) = p(qxq)p \neq \psi_{pq} = (pq)x(pq)$ , even for  $H$ , since the order of the products is different. Therefore  $\Psi$  is not a group homomorphism.

However the Moufang identities (3) do demonstrate a partial group homomorphism property by providing a way of combining three  $\psi$ 's together into a single  $\psi$  in some cases. For arbitrary  $p, q \in K_n$ , with  $|q| = |p| = 1$ ,

$$\psi_q \circ \psi_p \circ \psi_q = \psi_{qpq} \quad \text{since} \quad q(p(qxq)p)q = (qpq)x(qpq) \quad \forall x \in K_n \quad (37)$$

For any anticommuting imaginary units  $\hat{r}$  and  $\hat{s}$ , the following identity is straightforward to prove:

$$\exp(\theta \hat{s}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \hat{r}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta \hat{s})\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \hat{r}\right) \quad (38)$$

Together with (37), (38) shows that a rotation  $\psi_{(e_i, \theta)}$  in the 1- $i$  plane by an arbitrary angle  $2\theta$  can be described as a combination of flips of fixed angle:

$$\psi_{(e_i, \theta)} = \psi_{(\hat{r}, -\frac{\pi}{4})} \circ \psi_{(\cos \theta \hat{r} + \sin \theta e_i, \frac{\pi}{2})} \circ \psi_{(\hat{r}, -\frac{\pi}{4})} \quad (39)$$

where  $\hat{r}$  is any imaginary unit which anticommutes with  $e_i$ . (39) uses flips of angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ . But since a flip with an angle of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  can be written as the square of a flip with

angle  $\frac{\pi}{4}$  and since we were able to write  $SO(n-1)$  in terms of flips with angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , we can write all of  $SO(n)$  in terms of flips of fixed angle  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ . Therefore the image under  $\Psi$  of an  $S^{n-2} \approx \{q = \exp(\frac{\pi}{4} \hat{r}) : \text{Re } \hat{r} = 0, |\hat{r}| = 1\}$  slice of  $S^n$  suffices to generate all of  $SO(n)$ .

To understand how (39) works, notice that the first flip rotates the real direction into some fairly arbitrary imaginary direction  $\hat{r}$ . The second flip then rotates this imaginary direction  $\hat{r}$  with the physically significant imaginary direction  $\hat{s}$ . The last flip rotates the former real part back into place<sup>13</sup>.

**b) One-sided Multiplication:**

Now we consider one-sided multiplication. Of course, left multiplication and right multiplication with elements of modulus 1 together generate  $SO(n)$  because, in particular, they generate the  $\psi_q$ 's. But what about left multiplication alone? We define

$$\mathbf{X} : \{q \in K_n : |q| = 1\} \rightarrow L(K_n, K_n) \quad (40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q &\mapsto \chi_q = \chi_{(\hat{r}, \theta)} : K_n \rightarrow K_n \\ x &\mapsto qx = \exp(\theta \hat{r}) x \end{aligned}$$

For both  $H$  and  $O$ , we have  $(\chi_q)^{-1} = \chi_{q^{-1}}$  and  $(\chi_q)^2 = \chi_{q^2}$ , since the associators  $[q^{-1}, q, x]$  and  $[q, q, x]$  vanish. The following relation, connecting the maps  $\phi_q$  and  $\psi_q$  with  $\chi_q$ , holds for the same reason:

$$\chi_q = \phi_{\sqrt{q}} \circ \psi_{\sqrt{q}} = \psi_{\sqrt{q}} \circ \phi_{\sqrt{q}} \quad (41)$$

Of course we can no longer identify antipodal points since  $\chi_{-q} = -\chi_q \neq \chi_q$ .

For the quaternions  $\mathbf{X}$  is a group homomorphism,  $\chi_p \circ \chi_q = \chi_{pq}$ . So  $\mathbf{X}(S^3)$  must be a 3-dimensional subgroup of  $SO(4)$ . Therefore, to investigate the structure of any  $\chi_q$  on  $H$ , it will be sufficient to consider  $\chi_q$  with  $q = \exp(\theta e_2)$ . The associated matrix  $C_{(e_2, \theta)}$  is

$$C_{(e_2, \theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (42)$$

This transformation rotates two orthogonal planes by  $\theta$ . For the general case  $q = \exp(\theta \hat{r})$ , the rotations are in the plane spanned by  $e_1$  and  $\hat{r}$  and the plane orthogonal to that, as can be seen from the relation (41) and our previous investigation of maps  $\phi_q$  and  $\psi_q$ .

---

<sup>13</sup> This sounds much like manipulations of the Rubik's Cube, which indeed inspired JS in part.

It is interesting that  $\mathbf{X}(S^3)$  is **not**  $SO(3)$ , much less  $SO(4)$ . We might expect, then, that left multiplication for  $K_8 = O$  would only describe a subgroup of  $SO(8)$ . Surprisingly this is not the case. It turns out that the non-associativity of octonionic multiplication allows left multiplication to generate all of  $SO(8)$ , as follows:

First we consider  $\chi_{(e_2, \theta)}$ . The associated matrix  $C_{(e_2, \theta)}$  is:

$$C_{(e_2, \theta)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

$\chi_{(\hat{r}, \theta)}$  always rotates four planes by an angle  $\theta$ . (This is also clear from (41) and the results of previous sections.)

Now suppose we want to do an elementary rotation in just one of these four planes. The key idea is that the composition of two maps (c.f. (25))

$$\chi_{(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \theta | \frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}(x) := \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos \theta \hat{s} + \sin \theta \hat{t})\right) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \hat{s}\right) x \right] \quad (44)$$

where  $\hat{s}\hat{t} = \hat{r}$ , will rotate exactly the same four planes as the map  $\chi_{(\hat{r}, \theta)}$ , but because of non-associativity the rotations will not all be in the same direction in both cases. In particular, the parts of  $x$  which anti-associate with  $s$  and  $t$  will be rotated in opposite directions in the two cases.

As an example, consider  $C_{(3,4, \theta)}^{(2)}$ , the matrix associated with  $\chi_{(3,4, \theta | \frac{\pi}{2})}^{(2)}$ :

$$C_{(3,4, \theta)}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (45)$$

Within the associative portion  $\{e_1, e_2 = e_3e_4, e_3, e_4\}$  the rotation indeed remains the same as in the previous example (43), but the orientation of the rotation in the other two planes is reversed.

Using these ideas, we find that an appropriate composition of  $\chi_{(2,\theta)}$ ,  $\chi_{(3,4,\theta)}^{(2)}$ ,  $\chi_{(5,6,\theta)}^{(2)}$ , and  $\chi_{(7,8,\theta)}^{(2)}$  allows us to rotate any single plane of the four coordinate planes rotated by  $\chi_{(e_2,\theta)}$ . Notice that  $e_3e_4 = e_5e_6 = e_7e_8 = e_2$ , i.e. the combinations which appear are all the independent pairs which, in the multiplication triangle, multiply to the corner  $e_2$ . For example,  $\chi_{(2,\theta)} \circ \chi_{(3,4,\theta)}^{(2)} \circ \chi_{(5,6,\theta)}^{(2)} \circ \chi_{(7,8,\theta)}^{(2)}$  rotates the 1-2 plane by an angle of  $4\theta$ . Similarly,  $\chi_{(2,\theta)} \circ \chi_{(3,4,\theta)}^{(2)} \circ \chi_{(5,6,-\theta)}^{(2)} \circ \chi_{(7,8,-\theta)}^{(2)}$  rotates the 3-4 plane by the same amount.

In terms of the multiplication triangle we can give the following rules to determine the composition needed to do an elementary rotation in the  $i$ - $j$  plane. Suppose  $i = 1$ , then we need to choose the corner  $j$  for the single  $\chi$  and the pairs on the lines leading to  $j$  for the three  $\chi^{(2)}$ 's. If neither  $i$  nor  $j$  is 1, the corner, i.e. the single  $\chi$  part, is given by  $e_k = e_i e_j$ . The three  $\chi^{(2)}$  pieces come from the pairs which multiply to  $e_k$ . The  $ij$  piece occurs in the standard orientation and the other two pairs reversed.

The infinitesimal versions of the two examples above show this structure even more clearly. For the first example,  $x \mapsto x + \theta(e_2x + e_3(e_4x) + e_5(e_6x) + e_7(e_8x)) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)$ ; while for the second example,  $x \mapsto x + \theta(e_2x + e_3(e_4x) - e_5(e_6x) - e_7(e_8x)) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2)$ . The infinitesimal version also provides a convenient way to count the dimension of the group. There are 7 units and 21 pairs of units yielding 28 independent generators of  $SO(8)$ . As advertised, we have produced all of  $SO(8)$ .

As with symmetric multiplication, the Moufang identities (3) imply that for any  $q, p \in K_n$ , with  $|q| = |p| = 1$ ,

$$\chi_q \circ \chi_p \circ \chi_q = \chi_{qpq} \quad (46)$$

Therefore we can write any  $\chi_{(\hat{r},\theta)}$  as a series of flips with constant angle  $\frac{\pi}{4}$  using (38) and (46):

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(\hat{r},\theta)}(x) &:= \exp(\theta \hat{r}) x \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \hat{s}\right) \left[ \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\cos \theta \hat{s} + \sin \theta \hat{r})\right) \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{4} \hat{s}\right) x \right] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where  $\hat{s}$  is any imaginary unit which anticommutes with  $\hat{r}$ .

From the second form of  $\chi$  we see that  $\mathbf{X}$ , completely analogously to  $\Psi$  for  $K_8 = O$ , maps the same  $S^6$  ( $\approx \{q \in O : q = \exp(\frac{\pi}{4} \hat{r}), \text{Re } \hat{r} = 0, |\hat{r}| = 1\}$ ), now to a different generating set of  $SO(8)$ .

Right multiplication is completely analogous to left multiplication. The details can easily be worked out using  $xq = \overline{\overline{q}x}$ .

## 5. Lorentz Transformations

In (3, 1) spacetime dimensions, it is standard to use the isomorphism between  $SO(3, 1)$  and  $SL(2, C)$  to write a vector as a  $2 \times 2$  hermitian complex-valued matrix via

$$X^\mu \rightarrow X = \begin{pmatrix} x^+ & x \\ \bar{x} & x^- \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

where  $x^\pm = x^0 \pm x^{n+1} \in R$  are lightcone coordinates,  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x^i e_i \in K_n$ , and  $n = 2$ . The Lorentzian norm of  $X^\mu$  is then given by<sup>14</sup>

$$X^\mu X_\mu = -\det X \quad (49)$$

Standard results on determinants of matrices with complex coefficients show that if  $X'$  is obtained from  $X$  by the unitary transformation

$$X' = M X M^\dagger \quad (50)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \det X' &= \det(M X M^\dagger) = \det M \det X \det M^\dagger \\ &= \det M \det M^\dagger \det X \\ &= |\det M|^2 \det X \\ &= \det(M M^\dagger) \det X \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Therefore, if the determinant of  $M$  has norm equal to 1, then  $\det X' = \det X$  and (50) is a Lorentz transformation. Notice, however, that there is some redundancy.  $M$  can be multiplied by an arbitrary overall phase factor without altering the Lorentz transformation since the phase in  $M^\dagger$  will cancel the phase in  $M$ . To remove this redundancy,  $M$  is usually chosen to have determinant equal to 1 rather than norm 1, but this restriction is not necessary. In Appendix B we record explicit versions of  $M$  which give the elementary boosts and rotations. Any Lorentz transformation can be obtained from this generating set by doing more than one such transformation and since

$$X' = (M_n(\dots(M_1 X M_1^\dagger)\dots)M_n^\dagger) = (M_n \dots M_1) X (M_1^\dagger \dots M_n^\dagger) \quad (52)$$

we see that **any** finite Lorentz transformation can be implemented by a single transformation of type (50).

---

<sup>14</sup> We use signature  $(-1, +1, \dots, +1)$

We can use (48), just as in the complex case, to write a vector in  $(n + 1, 1)$  spacetime dimensions for  $n = 4, 8$  as a  $2 \times 2$  hermitian matrix with entries in  $K_n$ . The extra quaternionic or octonionic components on the off diagonal correspond to the extra transverse spatial coordinates. The manipulations in (51) are no longer valid in these cases due to the non-commutativity and non-associativity of the higher dimensional division algebras, but the last expression on the right hand side is nevertheless equal to the left hand side. (Notice that it is also the only expression on the right hand side which is well-defined.) A quaternion or octonion valued matrix  $M$  which generates a finite Lorentz transformation in  $(n + 1, 1)$  dimensions must satisfy  $\det(MM^\dagger) = 1$ . An octonion valued matrix  $M$  must also satisfy an additional restriction which ensures that the transformation on the right hand side of (50) is well-defined<sup>15</sup>.

Looking at the elementary boosts and rotations in Appendix B, we see that for the quaternionic or octonionic cases if we simply let  $e_2 \rightarrow e_i$ , for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , then we get all of the new boosts and some of the new rotations. The rotations which are missing are just the ones which rotate the purely imaginary parts of  $x$  into each other. But now consider a transformation with  $M = q\mathbf{1} = \exp(\theta \hat{r})\mathbf{1}$ , where  $|q| = 1$ . Since the diagonal elements  $x^\pm$  of  $X$  are real, they are unaffected by these phase transformations. The off-diagonal elements, however, transform by a conjugation map:

$$x \mapsto qx\bar{q} \tag{53}$$

As we saw in Section 3, these conjugation maps give all of  $SO(3)$  in the quaternionic case, and if repeated maps are included they give all of  $SO(7)$  in the octonionic case. This is just what we needed. In the  $(3, 1)$  dimensional complex case the phase freedom is just the residue left over from these extra rotations which occur when there is more than one imaginary direction.

So we have shown that **all** finite Lorentz transformations can be implemented explicitly as in (50), simply by doing several such transformations in a row:

$$X' = (M_n(\dots(M_1 X M_1^\dagger)\dots)M_n^\dagger) \tag{54}$$

---

<sup>15</sup> The condition that  $X'$  be hermitian is identical to the condition that there be no associativity ambiguity in (50). Both of these things will be true if and only if  $\text{Im } M$  contains only one octonionic direction or if the columns of  $\text{Im } M$  are real multiples of each other.

Since the octonions are not associative, (54) is **not** the same as

$$X' = (M_n \dots M_1) X (M_1^\dagger \dots M_n^\dagger) \quad (55)$$

and it is precisely this non-associativity which means that there is enough freedom in (54) to obtain **any** finite Lorentz transformation.

## 6. Discussion

First we described  $SO(3)$  using quaternions and  $SO(7)$  using octonions via (a series of) conjugation maps, namely the maps  $\phi_q$  with  $q = \exp(\theta \hat{r})$ . We obtained  $Aut(O)$  ( $\approx G_2$ ) by restricting  $\theta$  to be  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ . Then we described  $SO(4)$  using quaternions and  $SO(8)$  using octonions via the symmetric maps  $\psi_q$  and also  $SO(8)$  using octonions via left multiplication  $\chi_q$ . We suspect that the existence of two different descriptions of  $SO(8)$  is related to triality of the octonions.

It is worth reiterating here that our implementation of the symmetry groups of  $H$  and  $O$  provides an interesting new twist on the interpretation of rotations. The usual way of looking at a finite rotation is that a fixed axis is chosen and then the angle of rotation is changed continuously from zero until the desired rotation is achieved. Instead, the parameterizations in terms of flips presented in this paper use building blocks made of rotations with one fixed angle ( $\frac{\pi}{2}$  for  $SO(n-1)$  and  $\frac{\pi}{4}$  for  $SO(n)$ ). A finite rotation is accomplished by composing several such rotations, all with the same fixed angle. The relationship of the various axes in the composition is varied from initial alignment until the desired rotation is achieved. We used these flips to exhibit generating sets for  $SO(8)$ ,  $SO(7)$ , and  $G_2$  where each generating set is homeomorphic to a different  $S^6$  subset of the octonionic unit sphere  $S^7$ . We believe that the parameterizations in terms of flips are new. In keeping with this point of view, the automorphisms of the octonions require flips with constant angle which is a multiple of  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ .

We then used the results for  $SO(3)$  and  $SO(7)$  to obtain an explicit description of finite Lorentz transformations on vectors in  $(5, 1)$  and  $(9, 1)$  dimensions in terms of unitary transformations on the  $2 \times 2$  quaternionic or octonionic matrix representing the vectors. We believe that the finite version of  $SL(2, O)$  requiring a succession of such unitary transformations is also new.

A number of other authors have attempted to find similar representations for the groups we have considered here. Conway [6] has independently developed the finite transformation rules for  $SO(8)$  and  $SO(7)$  (without flips), and for  $G_2$ . Ramond [7], gives a

simple algebraic representation for the finite elements of  $G_2$ ,  $SO(7)$ , and  $SO(8)$ , but uses a mixture of the various types of multiplication which we have used separately. A messy representation for the finite elements of  $G_2$  and the infinitesimal elements of  $SO(7)$  is given by Günaydin and Gürsey [8]. Finite transformations were used by Cartan and Schouten [9] to investigate absolute parallelisms on  $S^7$ . Coxeter [10] gives a special form for reflections with respect to a hyperplane in  $R^8$ . Infinitesimal transformations are found more frequently [11]. A detailed analysis can be found in [12] where generators of  $SO(8)$ ,  $SO(7)$ , and  $G_2$  are given in terms of octonions. Their relation to integrated transformations is indicated but the actual integration is not carried out.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank John Conway for his remarks. CAM would also like to thank Tevian Dray for his suggestions on the finite Lorentz transformations. This work was partially funded by NSF grants PHY 89-11757, PHY 92-08494, and DMS 85-05550 (to MSRI).

### REFERENCES

1. Some representative papers are:

D.B. Fairlie and C.A. Manogue, *A parameterization of the covariant superstring*, Phys. Rev. **D36** (1987) 475;

C.A. Manogue and A. Sudbery, *General solutions of covariant superstring equations of motion*, Phys. Rev. **40** (1989) 4073;

F. Gürsey, *Super Poincaré Groups and Division Algebras*, Modern Physics **A2**, (1987) 967;

F. Gürsey, *Supergroups in Critical Dimensions and Division Algebras*, Monographs on Fundamental Physics, **Proceedings of Capri Symposia 1983-1987**, ed. Buccella-Franco, Lecture Notes Series No. 15, American Institute of Physics, 1990, p. 529;

I. Bengtsson & M. Cederwall, *Particles, twistors and the division algebras*, Nucl. Phys. B **302**, (1988) 81;

M. Cederwall, *Octonionic particles and the  $S^7$  symmetry*, J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992) 388;

R. Foot & G.C. Joshi, *Space-time symmetries of superstring and Jordan algebras*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **28** (1989) 1449;

E. Corrigan and T.J. Hollowood, *The Exceptional Jordan Algebra and the Superstring*, Commun. Math. Phys. **122** (1989) 393.

2. A. Sudbery, *Division algebras, (pseudo) orthogonal groups and spinors*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **17** (1987) 939.  
K.W. Chung & A. Sudbery, *Octonions and the Lorentz and conformal groups of ten-dimensional space-time*, Phys. Lett. B **198**, (1987) 161.
3. R.D. Schafer, **An Introduction to Non-Associative Algebras** (Academic Press, New York, 1966).
4. A. Hurwitz, *Über die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variablen*, Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, (1898) 309-316.  
L.E. Dickson, *On Quaternions and the History of the Eight Square Theorem*, Annals of Mathematics 2 **20**, (1919) 155.
5. R. Moufang, *Zur Struktur von Alternativkörpern*, Mathematische Annalen, **110**, (1934) 416-430.
6. J.H. Conway, private communication
7. P. Ramond, *Introduction to Exceptional Lie Groups and Algebras*, Caltech preprint CALT-68-577 (1976).
8. M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey, *Quark Structure and Octonions*, J. Math. Phys. **14**. (1973) 1651.
9. E. Cartan and J.A. Schouten, *On Riemannian geometries admitting an absolute parallelism*, Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam, Proceedings of the Section of Sciences, **29**, (1926) 933-946.
10. H.S.M. Coxeter, *Integral Cayley Numbers*, Duke Math. J. **13**, (1946) 561.
11. See for example: A. Gamba, *Peculiarities of the Eight-Dimensional Space*, J. Math. Phys. **8**, (1967) 4.
12. A.R. Dünderer and F. Gürsey, *Octonionic representations of  $SO(8)$  and its subgroups and cosets*, J. Math. Phys. **32**, (1991) 1176.  
A.R. Dünderer and F. Gürsey, *Generalized vector products, duality and octonionic identities in  $D = 8$  geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **25**, (1984) 1496.

## APPENDIX A

Structure matrices for our choice of multiplication rules for the octonions. (Note that if the sign of the first column is changed, the first matrix becomes  $-1$  and each matrix except the first becomes antisymmetric.)

$$[\Lambda^1_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad [\Lambda^2_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\Lambda^3_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad [\Lambda^4_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\Lambda^5_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad [\Lambda^6_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[\Lambda^7_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad [\Lambda^8_{jk}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## APPENDIX B

Using the following correspondence, which is explained in Section 5:

$$X^\mu \longleftrightarrow X = \begin{pmatrix} x^+ & x \\ \bar{x} & x^- \end{pmatrix}$$

we can write the elementary Lorentz transformations  $L^\mu{}_\nu$  in terms of  $2 \times 2$  hermitian matrices  $M$  over  $K_n$ .

$$X'^\mu = L^\mu{}_\nu X^\nu \longleftrightarrow X' = \begin{cases} MXM^\dagger, & \text{for Categories 1 and 2} \\ M_2 \left( M_1 X M_1^\dagger \right) M_2^\dagger, & \text{for Category 3} \end{cases}$$

*Category 1: Boosts*

$X^0 \leftrightarrow X^1$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & \sinh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sinh \alpha & \cosh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) & \sinh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \\ \sinh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) & \cosh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X^0 \leftrightarrow X^i$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sinh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \sinh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cosh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) & e_i \sinh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \\ -e_i \sinh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) & \cosh \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X^0 \leftrightarrow X^n$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \sinh \alpha \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cosh \alpha \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} \exp \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha}{2} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Category 2: Rotations

$X^1 \leftrightarrow X^i$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\sin \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(e_i \frac{\alpha}{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-e_i \frac{\alpha}{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X^1 \leftrightarrow X^n$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) & \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \\ -\sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$X^i \leftrightarrow X^n$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \sin \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow M = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) & e_i \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \\ e_i \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2}) & \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

Category 3: Additional Transverse Rotations

$X^i \leftrightarrow X^j$ :

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & -\sin \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \sin \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & \cos \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow \begin{aligned} M_1 &= \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2} e_i\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ M_2 &= \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} e_i + \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} e_j\right)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1:  
A schematic representation  
of our choice for the  
quaternionic multiplication table.




Figure 2:  
A schematic representation  
of our choice for the  
octonionic multiplication table.





