Is Brane Inflation Eternal?

Xingang Chen^{1,2}, Sash Sarangi², S.-H. Henry Tye³ and Jiajun Xu³

¹Institute for Fundamental Theory

Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611 ²Institute of Strings, Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics Physics Department, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027 ³Newman Laboratory for Elementary Particle Physics Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we show that eternal inflation of the random walk type is generically absent in the brane inflationary scenario. Depending on how the brane inflationary universe originated, eternal inflation of the false vacuum type is still quite possible. Since the inflaton is the position of the D3-brane relative to the D3-brane inside the compactified bulk with finite size, its value is bounded. In DBI inflation, the warped space also restricts the amplitude of the scalar fluctuation. These upper bounds impose strong constraints on the possibility of eternal inflation. We find that eternal inflation due to the random walk of the inflaton field is absent in both the KKLMMT slow roll scenario and the DBI scenario. A more careful analysis for the slow-roll case is also presented using the Langevin equation, which gives very similar results. We discuss possible ways to obtain eternal inflation of the random walk type in brane inflation. In the multi-throat brane inflationary scenario, the branes may be generated by quantum tunneling and roll out the throat. Eternal inflation of the false vacuum type inevitably happens in this scenario due to the tunneling process. Since these scenarios have different cosmological predictions, more data from the cosmic microwave background radiation will hopefully select the specific scenario our universe has gone through.

KEYWORDS: Brane world, inflation, string theory, cosmology.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The absence of eternal inflation in the KKLMMT scenari	o 5
	2.1 The KKLMMT slow-roll scenario	5
	2.2 Eternal inflation in the slow roll scenario	7
3.	Langevin analysis for the slow-roll scenario	11
4.	The absence of eternal inflation in DBI inflation	15
	4.1 The UV model	15
	4.2 The intermediate case	17
	4.3 The IR model	18
5.	Possible presence of eternal inflation in brane inflation	20
	5.1 On top of the potential in the bulk	20
	5.2 At the tip of the IR throat	21
	5.3 Multi-throat brane inflation	21
	5.4 Multi-brane inflation	22
6.	Conclusions and implications	23
А.	Langevin analysis for DBI inflation	25

1. Introduction

The idea of eternal inflation was introduced more than 20 years ago, and has been considered as a generic phenomenon common to a wide class of inflationary models [1, 2, 3, 4]. If eternal inflation is present in the inflationary universe, the inflating part of the universe is exponentially larger than the non-inflating part (which includes our observable universe) today. This suggests that it is exponentially more likely for a random occurrence to take place in the part of the universe that is still inflating. This will force us to invoke something like the anthropic principle in order to explain our very existence. Although a weak form of such an anthropic principle may be acceptable, philosophically at least, the absence/presence of eternal inflation is an interesting question.

There are two types of mechanisms that drive eternal inflation. The first type involves a meta-stable false vacuum in the inflaton potential [3]. The false vacuum decays exponentially, but at the same time, the volume occupied by it is expanding exponentially. In most successful inflation models, the expansion rate is much faster than the decay rate, the false vacuum never totally disappears and the total volume of it, once inflation starts, continues to grow exponentially with time. So there are always bubbles of false vacuum in the entire universe where inflation never ends. We call this eternal inflation of the false vacuum type. This is different from the original graceful exit problem since inflation with enough e-folds happened after tunneling.

The second type of eternal inflation was proposed in the framework of chaotic inflation [5]. In this scenario, quantum fluctuation and "no hair" theorem of the de-Sitter space make eternal inflation possible. The inflaton field is subjected to Brownian motion due to the superposition of classical motion and quantum fluctuation. There exists a certain critical field value above which the quantum fluctuation dominate over the classical motion. If inflation starts above the critical field value, the inflaton field may fluctuate up the potential quantum mechanically in at least one Hubble patch. Since the de-Sitter space has event horizon given by the inverse Hubble parameter H^{-1} , different Hubble patches evolve independently. The patch with inflaton field fluctuating up will expand at a faster rate and produce more Hubble patches, among which there will be again at least one patch with inflaton field even higher uphill. This leads to the self-reproduction of the inflationary domains and the process will repeat eternally. We call this eternal inflation of the random walk type.

Eternal inflation of the random walk type is natural in chaotic inflation since it assumes inflation starts with all possible initial conditions including those initial values well above the critical value and trans-Planckian. In more general slow-roll inflationary models, whether such type of eternal inflation can happen depends on the assumption on the extension of the inflaton potential. For the single field inflation, the inflaton is responsible for both the inflation and density perturbations. The density perturbations δ_H has to be of order 10^{-5} in our observational horizon with $N_e \sim 55$. If the density perturbations can reach $\delta_H \sim 1$ when we extend the inflation back to larger N_e , then the quantum fluctuations of the inflaton will compensate against the classical rolling downhill and the eternal inflation of the random walk type will be realized.

At first sight, eternal inflation appears to be disconnected from experiments, since it is a statement about universes outside of our observational horizon. However, because of the potentially controllable UV origins of string inflation models such as the brane inflation, the mechanisms that are responsible for inflation such as the flatness and extension of the potential or the warping of the background can be concretely constructed and calculated, rather than hypothesized. Therefore by fitting various predictions of different brane inflation models to observations, we can narrow down the parameter space and eventually identify or rule out specific types of models. Then the very interesting possibility arises that we can make concrete statement about the existence/absence of eternal inflation and, if present, even the nature of the eternal inflation that are directly responsible for our own universe.

In this paper, we consider the possibility of eternal inflation in brane inflation [6]. Brane inflation realizes the inflaton as a brane rolling inside a stabilized bulk in a string theory flux compactification, a natural scenario within the brane world framework. Here the presence or absence of eternal inflation takes a distinctly new direction. For a field theoretic model with a large field, the inflaton potential typically extends to very large values of the inflaton field, so that the critical value are automatically included. In brane inflation, the brane must sit inside the bulk, the inflaton value that measures the brane position is constrained by the finite size of the bulk (the finite size of the bulk follows from the finite value of the Planck mass). Random walk eternal inflation typically requires the critical inflaton value be bigger than the size of the bulk, a clear impossibility. In the simplest $D3-\overline{D}3$ inflationary scenario [7], this indeed turns out to be the case. However for a small field inflation model such as starting off the brane from the top of an inverted potential, the eternal inflation of the random walk type is possible.

In brane inflation, in addition to the usual slow-roll scenario, there exists another distinctive mechanism to generate inflation using the speed-limit of the warped space, namely the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) inflation [8, 9, 10, 11]. The DBI action is dictated by string theory for an open string mode such as the inflaton in brane inflation. We again consider the same two types of mechanisms that generate the eternal inflation. Here since the speed limit constraint also applies to the speed of the inflaton fluctuations in the transverse brane directions, when we consider the possibility of the random walk eternal inflation in DBI brane inflation models [9, 12, 10, 13], such a condition can also become important [11, 14] in addition to the condition of the finite bulk size.

To make the discussions more explicit, let us look at several major classes of brane inflation models.

The typical example of slow-roll brane inflation is the KKLMMT model [7], where a D3 brane is moving towards a $\bar{D}3$ brane sitting at the bottom of a warped throat inside the bulk. Flux compactification dynamically stabilizes all moduli of the bulk [15, 16]. The Coulomb attractions between the brane and the antibrane are red-shifted by the warp factor. Since most of the inflation is taking place as the D3 brane is moving down the throat, we need to know the properties of the throat only. The part of the inflaton potential coming from moduli stabilization, in particular the inflaton mass m, depends on the details of the flux compactification and is rather non-trivial to calculate. Treating the warp factor h_A , the total D3 charge N, the string scale α' , the string coupling g_s and the inflaton mass m as free parameters, we can find the critical inflaton value ϕ_c for eternal inflation of the random walk type. Requiring the temperature fluctuation in the cosmic microwave background radiation at $N_e = 55$ to agree with the COBE data, we find that $\beta = m^2/H^2 < 10^{-8}$, yielding $\phi_c \simeq 0.025M_p$, where M_p is the reduced Planck

mass. Since the throat is inside the bulk, the size of this throat must be smaller than the size of the bulk. To satisfy this constraint while allowing $\phi > \phi_c$ requires N < 1, which is impossible, since we expect the D3 charge to be large, $N \gg 1$. It is possible that the inflaton potential is more complicated than suggested. However, it is hard to see how this bound can be satisfied even if we allow substantial fine-tuning. So we conclude that random walk eternal inflation is ruled out in this slow roll scenario.

We find it useful to study this scenario more carefully using the Langevin approach. It is reassuring that the resulting critical inflaton value $\phi_c \simeq 0.028 M_p$ agrees very well with that obtained in the above simple analysis. So this results in no change in the conclusion that random walk type eternal inflation is absent in this model.

If the moduli potential which attracts the brane into the throat becomes very steep, the DBI effect can be triggered. This is the UV DBI model studied in Ref. [8, 9]. In this model although the brane is rolling slowly, it is ultra-relativistic because the velocity is restricted by the speed-limit of the warped space. As we shall discuss, in this model, since the running of the density perturbations is very slow (of order $\mathcal{O}(1/N_e^2)$), it is impossible for δ_H to grow from the observed value 10^{-5} at $N_e = 55$ to 1 anywhere in the throat. This model can be smoothly connected to the slow-roll inflation of the chaotic type once we extend the brane backward to larger ϕ values [12]. Again ϕ_c cannot be trans-Planckian in this warped compactification. So we see that random walk eternal inflation is ruled out in the UV DBI inflation model.

In the intermediate regime between the DBI and slow-roll phase, where the inflaton is moving slowly but relativistically, we do not have an analytic analysis. Fortunately, it is not hard to show via a numerical analysis that the density perturbation never grows substantially above the cosmologically known value of 10^{-5} once we impose the observational constraint that $\delta_H \sim 10^{-5}$ at $N_e = 55$. Again, this is due to the bound on ϕ coming from the size of the bulk. To conclude, an experimental confirmation of such a model would tell us that our universe does not directly come from a random walk eternal inflation.

In the case where the mass-squared of the moduli potential becomes negative, the branes originally trapped inside the throat through some kind of phase transition will roll out. The speed-limit of the IR side of the warped space can hold these branes on top of the potential for sufficiently long time to trigger the inflation even if the mass of the moduli potential is order H or larger. This is the IR model of the DBI inflation [10, 11]. As we shall discuss, although the running of the density perturbations in this model is red and therefore seems to be possible to reach $\delta_H \sim 1$ by extending the brane deeper into the IR region of the scalar quantum fluctuations. It turns out that such a restriction prevents the scalar quantum fluctuations from reaching the critical value of the random walk eternal inflation. This conclusion applies to more general DBI inflation models.

It is still possible for eternal inflation of the random walk type to happen in the bulk, especially if a good fraction of the e-folds are generated while the D3 brane is moving inside the bulk. However, this possibility depends on the detailed properties of the flux compactification. For completeness, we give a brief discussion on this possibility, especially when the D3 brane is at the top of the inflaton potential in the bulk. We find the possibility of the eternal inflation in this scenario depends on the likely motion of the $\overline{D3}$ and D3 brane at the initial stage of inflation.

Now we turn to the possibility of eternal inflation of the false vacuum type. Obviously, we cannot say much about this case without knowing how the brane inflationary universe originated. However, we can envision scenarios where this type of eternal inflation can be compatible with brane inflation. For example in the IR DBI inflation model, the phase transition that is responsible for creating the D3 branes at the IR end of a throat may be the flux-antibrane annihilation. This is caused by the quantum mechanical annihilation between the antibranes settled down in the throat and the fluxes which induces the warped space [17, 18]. Such a phase transition is of false vacuum eternal inflation type. Hence an experimental confirmation of this type of brane inflation model would imply the specific type of the eternal inflation that are responsible to our universe, namely the false vacuum eternal inflation.

In this paper, we concentrate on the details of the above several classes of models. The similar philosophy and procedure can be generalized to other variations, such as D3-D7 slow-roll brane inflation [19] and multi-brane inflation [20] etc.

To make the paper more self-contained, we include in the Appendix a brief review on the Langevin approaches. It turns out that the generalization of the Langevin formulations from the slow roll case to the DBI case may be of some interest. To this end, we give a brief discussion on this. Besides the non-linear properties of the DBI action, the speed limit on the inflaton requires a careful treatment on the noise term to ensure that no superluminal phenomenon appears in the ultra-relativistic regime. We present a simple well-motivated way to implement the noise term into DBI inflation. Clearly, this issue requires more analysis.

2. The absence of eternal inflation in the KKLMMT scenario

2.1 The KKLMMT slow-roll scenario

In this section, we discuss the possibility of eternal inflation in the brane inflation models. In a realistic scenario like the KKLMMT [7], a D3 brane is moving towards a $\overline{D}3$ brane sitting at the bottom of an AdS throat (the A throat). The metric of the complex manifold takes the approximate $AdS_5 \times X_5$ form [21], and the AdS₅ metric is written as

$$ds^{2} = h(r)^{2}(-dt^{2} + a(t)^{2}d\vec{x}^{2}) + h(r)^{-2}dr^{2}$$

with the warp factor h(r) = r/R and R is the curvature radius of the AdS throat. To be specific, let us consider a warped deformed $T^{1,1}$ conifold of the Klebanov-Strassler type [21],

$$R^4 \equiv \frac{27}{4} \pi g_s N \alpha'^2 = \frac{27}{32\pi^2} \frac{N}{T_3} , \qquad (2.1)$$

where $N = N_A$ is the number of the background D3 charges, or equivalently the product of the NS-NS and R-R flux of the throat A (the inflationary throat), as constructed in [15]. The distance between the two branes is roughly r and the inflaton field $\phi = \sqrt{T_3}r$ with T_3 the D3 brane tension. In terms of the inflaton potential V, the Hubble parameter $H^2 = V/3M_p^2$, where M_p is the reduced Planck mass. In the case when the brane tension term dominates the potential initially, it is convenient to write the potential in the following generic form [22]

$$V(\phi) = V_K + V_A + V_{D\bar{D}}$$

= $\frac{1}{2}\beta H^2 \phi^2 + \frac{64\pi^2}{27} \frac{\phi_A^4}{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \frac{\phi_A^4}{\phi^4}\right) ,$ (2.2)

where the first term V_K receives contribution from Kähler potential and various interactions in the superpotential [7] as well as possible D-terms [23]. V_A is the tension term that drives inflation and the last term is the attractive Coulomb-like potential between the D3 and $\overline{D}3$ branes. ϕ_A gives the position of the $\overline{D}3$ brane at the bottom of the throat (note that $\phi_A = 0$ in the absence of deformation). β characterizes the mass of the inflaton field. In general, $\beta \equiv \beta(\rho, \phi)$ is a function of the Kähler modulus ρ and the location of the brane in the AdS throat. β is non-trivial to determine since it receives many contributions [24, 25, 26]. In this paper, we take the approximation that β is more or less constant around any particular throat and treat β as a parameter of the potential. For slow roll inflation, β must be small and detailed analysis shows that $0 \leq \beta \leq 1/7$ [22].

The potential (2.2) has no false vacuum, so we only need to consider eternal inflation driven by quantum fluctuation. Generically, the quantum fluctuation can be modeled as a Gaussian random walk with step size

$$\delta\phi = \frac{H}{2\pi} \tag{2.3}$$

over the horizon time scale $\Delta t \sim H^{-1}$ [5]. During the same time, the classical motion of the inflaton field is

$$\Delta \phi = |\dot{\phi} \Delta t| = \frac{|\phi|}{H} .$$
(2.4)

Eternal inflation happens only when

$$\frac{\delta\phi}{\Delta\phi} = \frac{H^2}{2\pi|\dot{\phi}|} > 1 \ . \tag{2.5}$$

It is important to observe that (2.5), when evaluated at 55 e-fold before inflation ends, is the same as the COBE normalization up to a numerical factor of order 1 [27],

$$\delta_H = \frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{H^2}{2\pi |\dot{\phi}|} \right) \Big|_{N_e = 55} = 1.9 \times 10^{-5} .$$
 (2.6)

Naturally, eternal inflation will never happen if inflation only lasts for 55 e-fold. In most inflationary models, like chaotic inflation, the total number of e-fold we can get is usually much larger than 55. It is possible that eternal inflation starts at more than 55 e-fold before the end of inflation. However, brane inflation in an AdS throat is more restrictive. As the potential (2.2) is valid only inside the throat, we have a new constraint in addition to COBE normalization,

$$\phi_A < \phi_E < \phi_{55} < \phi_i \le \phi_{\text{edge}} . \tag{2.7}$$

Here, inflation ends when ϕ reaches ϕ_E , when tachyons appear and the D3 - D3 brane annihilation begins. ϕ_{55} corresponds to the position of the D3-brane at 55 e-fold before inflation ends, ϕ_i is the initial position where inflation starts, and $\phi_{edge} \equiv \sqrt{T_3}R$ is the edge of the throat. It is entirely possible that inflation starts when the D3-brane is outside the throat and we will discuss it later.

We shall see that (2.6) and (2.7) will restrict β to a tiny fraction of the whole parameter space in the KKLMMT scenario.

2.2 Eternal inflation in the slow roll scenario

The inflaton potential in (2.2) can be expanded to order $O(\beta)$ as,

$$V(\phi) = \frac{64\pi^2}{27} \frac{\phi_A^4}{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \frac{\phi_A^4}{\phi^4} + \frac{\beta \phi^2}{6M_p^2}\right) \,. \tag{2.8}$$

The model was studied in [22] in detail, and we briefly summarize their results below.

The slow roll parameters are:

$$\eta = M_p^2 \left| \frac{V''}{V} \right| = \frac{\beta}{3} - \frac{20M_p^2 \phi_A^4}{N\phi^6} ,$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{M_p^2}{2} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{4M_p \phi_A^4}{N\phi^5} + \frac{\beta\phi}{3M_p} \right]^2 .$$

The end of inflation is given by $\eta = -1$

$$\phi_E^6 = \frac{1}{1 + \beta/3} \left(\frac{20\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}\right) M_p^6 .$$
 (2.9)

The inflaton field ϕ_N is at N_e e-fold before the end of inflation, and the scalar density perturbation δ_H are given by

$$\phi_N^6 = \frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4} (24N_e + 20)\Omega(\beta)M_p^6 , \qquad (2.10)$$

$$\delta_H = \frac{2}{45} (24N_e + 20)^{5/6} \left(\frac{\phi_A^4}{M_p^4 N}\right)^{1/3} f(\beta) , \qquad (2.11)$$

$$\Omega(\beta) \equiv \frac{(1+2\beta)e^{2\beta N_e} - (1+\beta/3)}{2\beta (N_e + 5/6)(1+\beta/3)} ,$$

$$f(\beta) \equiv \frac{1+\beta/3}{1+2\beta} \Omega(\beta)^{5/6} e^{-2\beta N_e} .$$

We can use (2.11) to express ϕ_A^4/N as a function of β ,

$$\frac{\phi_A^4}{M_p^4 N} = \left(\frac{45\delta_H}{2}\right)^3 (24N_e + 20)^{-5/2} f(\beta)^{-3} .$$
 (2.12)

Given the value of β , we can impose the COBE normalization $\delta_H = 1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ at $N_e = 55$ [28], and fix ϕ_A^4/N . For the slow roll regime $0 \le \beta \le 1/7$, we get

$$1.2 \times 10^{-18} \le \frac{\phi_A^4}{M_p^4 N} \le 3.5 \times 10^{-12}$$
, (2.13)

where the lower bound corresponds to $\beta = 0$ and the upper bound corresponds to $\beta = 1/7$.

Using (2.3) and (2.4), the quantum fluctuation and classical motion of ϕ are given respectively as

$$\delta\phi = \frac{4}{9}\sqrt{\frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}}M_p , \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{4\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4} \left(\frac{\phi}{M_p}\right)^{-5} M_p + \frac{1}{3}\beta\phi . \qquad (2.15)$$

To compare the magnitude of quantum fluctuation and classical motion, it is important to notice that $\Delta \phi$ has a minima,

$$(\Delta\phi)_{\min} = \frac{24}{60^{5/6}} \left[\frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4} \beta^5 \right]^{1/6} M_p . \qquad (2.16)$$

If for certain values of β , $\Delta \phi_{\min} > \delta \phi$, the classical motion always dominates over the quantum fluctuation, and eternal inflation will never happen. Using (2.14)(2.16), the range of β is determined by

$$\frac{4}{9}\sqrt{\frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}} < \frac{24}{60^{5/6}} \left[\frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}\beta^5\right]^{1/6} ,$$

where ϕ_A^4/N is also a function of β as seen in (2.12). Solving the inequality numerically, we get

$$3.4 \times 10^{-8} < \beta \le 1/7 . \tag{2.17}$$

Apparently for the slow roll regime $0 \le \beta \le 1/7$, eternal inflation is possible only when

$$\beta < 10^{-8}$$
 . (2.18)

This indicates a large fine tuning, since the moduli stabilization generically gives $\beta \sim 1$ [7].

For the range $0 \leq \beta \leq 10^{-8}$, $f(\beta) \approx 1$, $\Omega(\beta) \approx 1$, the β dependence becomes so small that it is safe to simply set $\beta = 0$. Now, using Eq.(2.14), $\Delta \phi < \delta \phi$ indicates that eternal inflation happens when

$$\phi > \phi_c = \left(\frac{81\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}\right)^{1/10} M_p = 0.025M_p \ .$$
 (2.19)

There appears a critical value of the inflaton field $\phi_c = 0.025 M_p$. Inflation will be eternal if it starts with $\phi_i > \phi_c$.

Now we apply the constraint (2.7). To realize eternal inflation, we need

$$\phi_E < \phi_{55} < \phi_c < \phi_{\text{edge}} . \tag{2.20}$$

Using (2.10), we get $\phi_{55} = 3.4 \times 10^{-3} M_p \ll \phi_c$, so we will surely get enough number of e-fold if inflation starts with ϕ_c . However, the constraint $\phi_c < \phi_{\text{edge}}$ is non-trivial, since ϕ_{edge} depends on T_3 and N,

$$\phi_{\text{edge}} = \sqrt{T_3} R = \left(\frac{27NT_3}{32\pi^2}\right)^{1/4}$$

where $\phi_c/\phi_{edge} < 1$ requires

$$N > \frac{256\pi^5}{27} g_s \left(\frac{\phi_c}{M_p}\right)^4 (\alpha' M_p^2)^2 \approx 10^{-3} g_s (\alpha' M_p^2)^2 .$$
 (2.21)

There is another constraint we have to take into account. Assuming the Planck mass is dominantly contributed by the bulk volume, as it typically happens to a warped compactification, we have

$$M_p^2 = \frac{m_s^8 L^6}{\pi g_s^2} , \qquad (2.22)$$

where g_s is the string coupling and $m_s = 1/\sqrt{\alpha'}$. Here $2\pi L$ is roughly the dimension of the bulk. Since the throat is part of the bulk, we naturally require

$$L > R (2.23)$$

The requirement (2.23) implies an upper bound for the AdS throat charge,

$$N < \frac{4}{27} \left(\frac{g_s}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} (\alpha' M_p^2)^{2/3} .$$
 (2.24)

It is obvious that the lower bound of N (2.21) cannot exceed the upper bound (2.24), so we require

$$\frac{256\pi^5}{27}g_s\left(\frac{\phi_c}{M_p}\right)^4 (\alpha' M_p^2)^2 < \frac{4}{27}\left(\frac{g_s}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} (\alpha' M_p^2)^{2/3} ,$$

which leads to a constraint on α'

$$\alpha' M_p^2 < \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 \sqrt{2g_s}} \left(\frac{\phi_c}{M_p}\right)^{-3} .$$
 (2.25)

The upper bound of N (2.24) is maximized if we push α' to the upper bound given in (2.25). Thus to make eternal inflation possible, the largest value of N is

$$N < \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{4}{27} \left(\frac{\phi_c}{M_p}\right)^{-2} = 0.95 .$$
 (2.26)

In the KKLMMT scenario, typically $N \gg 1$. This means that if we assume the inflaton potential in the bulk is not very flat and inflation only happens in the throat, the critical initial value ϕ_c is impossible to be consistently realized in the KKLMMT scenario. That is, the random walk eternal inflation is absent.

There are at least 3 possible ways for random walk eternal inflation to be present in this scenario. Suppose the potential has additional contributions (see e.g. [26]). That may change the above analysis if the potential is changed substantially. The presence of extra terms in the inflaton potential depends on the details of the flux compactification. It will be interesting to see if their presence relaxes the constraints enough to allow eternal inflation.

Another possibility is by allowing the brane to start in the bulk instead of only from the UV side of the throat; this may allow us to evade the constraint (2.26). The antibrane in the end of the throat provides a red-shifted Coulomb attraction source and the potential is similar to the first two terms in the (2.8). Assume one can tune away the potential from the moduli stabilization (in the same sense as requiring an extremely small β), the relaxed constraint on ϕ_c is

$$\phi_c < L\sqrt{T_3} ,$$

$$L > (2\pi)^3 g_s \alpha'^2 \phi_c^2 . \qquad (2.27)$$

which implies

The condition (2.23) still applies here so we still have the same upper bound of
$$N$$
 as
in (2.24). It is obvious that (2.27) and (2.24) are not contradictory and by choosing
appropriate values of L and α' , we can have $N \gg 1$. So in the case when we get a good
fraction of e-folds from the bulk, eternal inflation is possible when $\beta < 10^{-8}$ and inflation
starts with $\phi_i > \phi_c$. We discuss more on the possibility of the eternal inflation in the
bulk in Sec. 5.1.

Note that the bound (2.23) applies to a throat whose length is comparable to its base width. If the throat is a long narrow throat (that is, its width is much smaller than its length), then the bound is relaxed. Orbifolding a deformed conifold can be a way to achieve this. Even if this bound is relaxed, we note that the bound (2.18) is still very tight.

3. Langevin analysis for the slow-roll scenario

Here we shall evaluate the critical initial value ϕ_c via the Langevin approach. A brief review of the background can be found in the Appendix. Since brane inflation includes the DBI action automatically, the Appendix presents a brief discussion starting with a Langevin formalism for the DBI case. In this section, we only need the more standard and relatively well known formulation in slow-roll case.

We want to explore how eternal inflation will affect the way we extract predictions from theoretical models. The "no hair" theorem of de-Sitter Space allows inflaton field in different Hubble patches to evolve independently. If in all of the Hubble patches, the inflaton field follows more or less the same path rolling down the potential, then we can simply use our prediction in one Hubble patch for all of the other ones. But if there is some patch in the universe that is eternally inflating, then that patch actually dominates the universe, and all the patches where inflation have ended are exponentially small compared to that one. In this case, we need to look at the statistical average of physical observables over all the Hubble patches. In the following discussion, we shall choose the Hubble constant as an example.

To perform the average, we need to define the statistical measure. The choice of measure is quite non-trivial and does change the result of prediction, discussions can be found in e.g. [29, 30, 31]. In our calculation, we shall use the idea from the Fokker-Planck approach [5] and define the measure to be the physical volume of the Hubble patch.

The average over the physical volume is defined as

$$\langle H(t) \rangle_p = \frac{\langle H(t)e^{3N(t)} \rangle}{\langle e^{3N(t)} \rangle} ,$$

$$N(t) = \int_0^t dt' H(t') .$$

$$(3.1)$$

,

We consider the physical volume to be a good measure to characterize eternal inflation, because the Hubble patch that is eternally inflating will have exponentially larger physical volume and take the largest weight in the average at late times. Thus the average $\langle H(t) \rangle_p$ will be significantly changed by quantum fluctuation if eternal inflation happens.

The motion of inflaton field including quantum fluctuation, modeled as a random walk, can be described using the Langevin equation [5] below,

$$3H\dot{\phi} + \frac{dV}{d\phi} = 3\frac{H^{5/2}}{2\pi}n(t) ,$$
$$V(\phi) = \frac{64\pi^2}{27}\alpha\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\phi^4}\right)$$

where $\alpha \equiv \phi_A^4/N$, and the Langevin force n(t) is a Gaussian stochastic variable normal-

ized as

$$\langle n(t) \rangle = 0 , \qquad (3.2)$$

$$\langle n(t_1)n(t_2)\rangle = \delta(t_1 - t_2) , \qquad (3.3)$$

with all higher cumulants being zero. Here we only consider the case $\beta = 0$ for simplicity.

The equation of motion for the inflaton field is derived from the Langevin equation as

$$\dot{\phi} + b\phi^{-5} = dM_p^{3/2}n(t) \tag{3.4}$$

with the two parameters defined by

$$b \equiv \frac{32\pi}{9} \left(\frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}\right)^{3/2} M_p^7 ,$$

$$d \equiv \frac{8\sqrt{2\pi}}{27} \left(\frac{\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}\right)^{3/4} .$$

In the stochastic equation of motion (3.4), if we set d = 0, we will recover the usual slow roll equation of motion and the inflaton field will follow a classical path $\phi_{cl}(t)$. Since $d \sim 10^{-13}$, we can expand the solution $\phi(t)$ around $\phi_{cl}(t)$. To order $O(d^2)$, we have

$$\phi(t) = \phi_{cl}(t) + d\phi_1(t) + d^2\phi_2(t) + O(d^3) .$$

Substitute the expansion into (3.4), we get three coupled equations by matching the terms of the same order in d

$$\begin{split} \dot{\phi_{cl}} &= -b\phi_{cl}^{-5} ,\\ \dot{\phi_1} &= \frac{5b\phi_1}{\phi_{cl}^6} + n(t)M_p^{3/2} ,\\ \dot{\phi_2} &= \frac{5b\phi_2}{\phi_{cl}^6} + \frac{10b\phi_1^2}{\phi_{cl}^7} . \end{split}$$

These three equations can be solved analytically and we get the solution to (3.4) as

$$\phi(t) = \phi_{cl}(t) + d\phi_{cl}^{-5}(t)\xi(t) + d^2\phi_{cl}^{-5}(t)\int_0^t dt' \frac{10b\xi^2(t')}{\phi_{cl}^{12}(t')} , \qquad (3.5)$$

$$\phi_{cl}(t) = (\phi_0^6 - 6bt)^{1/6} . \tag{3.6}$$

Here $\xi(t)$ is a new stochastic variable defined as,

$$\xi(t) \equiv \int_0^t dt' n(t') (\phi_0^6 - 6bt')^{5/6} M_P^{3/2} \; .$$

The normalization of $\xi(t)$ follows that of n(t) in (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0 , \qquad (3.7)$$

$$\langle \xi(t_1)\xi(t_2)\rangle = \frac{M_p^3}{16b} \left[\phi_0^{16} - (\phi_0^6 - 6b\min[t_1, t_2])^{8/3}\right] .$$
(3.8)

The Hubble parameter is

$$H(t) = H_{cl}(t) + \frac{8\pi}{9M_p} \sqrt{\alpha} \left[\frac{2d\alpha\xi(t)}{\phi_{cl}^{10}(t)} + \frac{3d^2\alpha\xi^2(t)}{\phi_{cl}^{16}(t)} + \frac{20d^2\alpha b}{\phi_{cl}^{10}(t)} \int_0^t dt' \frac{\xi^2(t')}{\phi_{cl}^{12}(t')} \right] , \quad (3.9)$$
$$H_{cl}(t) \equiv \frac{8\pi}{9M_p} \sqrt{\alpha} \left[1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\phi_{cl}^4(t)} \right] . \quad (3.10)$$

Here $H_{cl}(t)$ is Hubble parameter if the inflaton field follows the classical motion.

So far, we have derived the evolution of H in one single Hubble patch. To calculate $\langle H(t) \rangle_p$ as defined in (3.1), we shall use the technique developed in [30]. Define a generating functional

$$W_t[\mu] = \ln \langle e^{M_t[\mu]} \rangle ,$$

$$M_t[\mu] = \int_o^t dt' \mu(t') H(t')$$

 $\langle H(t) \rangle_p$ can be evaluated by functionally differentiating $W_t[\mu]$ with respect to μ , and setting $\mu = 3$ later. To order $O(d^2)$ we get

$$\langle H(t) \rangle_p = \frac{\delta W_t[\mu]}{\delta \mu(t)} \Big|_{\mu(t)=3} = \langle H(t) \rangle + 3 \int_0^t dt' \langle \langle H(t)H(t') \rangle \rangle , \qquad (3.11)$$

$$\langle \langle H(t)H(t') \rangle \rangle \equiv \langle H(t)H(t') \rangle - \langle H(t) \rangle \langle H(t') \rangle .$$

Using (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), we can further get

$$\langle H(t)\rangle = H_{cl}(t) + \frac{8\pi d^2 \alpha^{3/2} M_p^2}{9b} \left[\frac{19}{48} \frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_{cl}^{16}(t)} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\phi_0^{10}}{\phi_{cl}^{10}(t)} - \frac{1}{16} \right] , \qquad (3.12)$$

$$3\int_{0}^{t} dt' \langle \langle H(t)H(t')\rangle \rangle = \frac{16\pi^{2}d^{2}\alpha^{3}M_{p}}{27b} \frac{1}{\phi_{cl}^{10}(t)} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \left[\frac{\phi_{0}^{16}}{\phi_{cl}^{10}(t')} - \phi_{cl}^{6}(t')\right] .$$
(3.13)

To see how quantum fluctuation changes the expectation value of $\langle H(t) \rangle_p$, we look at two limiting cases, $t \ll b^{-1} \sim H^{-1}$ and $t \to T$, where T is the time when inflation is supposed to end following the classical motion of the branes. T is defined by (2.9)

$$\phi_{cl}(T) = \phi_E = \left(\frac{20\phi_A^4}{NM_p^4}\right)^{1/6} M_p \; .$$

In the case $t \ll b^{-1}$, the leading order behavior of $\langle H(t) \rangle_p$ in terms of t is

$$\langle H(t) \rangle_p = \langle H(t=0) \rangle_p + \frac{16\pi}{9M_p} \frac{\alpha^{3/2}}{\phi_0^{10}} (bt) + \frac{8\pi d^2 M_p^2}{3b} \frac{\alpha^{3/2}}{\phi_0^6} (bt) , \qquad (3.14)$$

where the second term comes from expanding the classical motion $H_{cl}(t)$ and the last term is from the quantum correction (3.12). It is interesting to notice that the contribution from (3.13) is of order $(bt)^2$, so it is negligible when $t \ll b^{-1}$. Requiring that the classical motion is not significantly altered by quantum noise, we need to impose

$$\frac{16\pi}{9M_p}\frac{\alpha^{3/2}}{\phi_0^{10}}(bt) > \frac{8\pi d^2 M_p^2}{3b}\frac{\alpha^{3/2}}{\phi_0^6}(bt),$$

and this gives the bound

$$\phi_0 < (32\pi)^{1/8} M_p = 1.77 M_p ,$$
 (3.15)

which is much looser than the previous estimate (2.19).

Now if we look at the late time behavior of $\langle H(t) \rangle_p$ and take the limit $t \to T$, we get

$$\langle H(T) \rangle_p = \frac{8\pi}{9M_p} \sqrt{\alpha} \Big[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\phi_E^4} \right) + \frac{d^2 \alpha M_p^3}{b} \left(\frac{19}{48} \frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_E^{16}} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\phi_0^{10}}{\phi_E^{10}} - \frac{1}{16} \right) \\ + \frac{2\pi d^2 \alpha^{5/2} \phi_E^2 M_p^2}{3b^2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_E^{16}} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\phi_0^{12}}{\phi_E^{12}} + \frac{1}{12} \right) \Big] .$$
 (3.16)

The leading order effects of the quantum diffusion is

$$\frac{19d^2\alpha M_p^3}{48b}\frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_E^{16}} + \frac{\pi d^2\alpha^{5/2}\phi_E^2 M_p^2}{6b^2}\frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_E^{16}} = \frac{19d^2\alpha M_p^3}{48b}\frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_E^{16}}\left[1 + \frac{45}{19}\frac{\alpha}{\phi_E^4}\right]$$

The quantum fluctuation is comparable to classical motion when

$$1 - \frac{\alpha}{2\phi_E^4} = \frac{19d^2 \alpha M_p^3}{48b} \frac{\phi_0^{16}}{\phi_E^{16}} \left[1 + \frac{45}{19} \frac{\alpha}{\phi_E^4} \right] \;,$$

which gives the critical initial value

$$\phi_c = 2.1 \left(\frac{\alpha}{M_p^4}\right)^{5/48} M_p = 0.028 M_p ,$$
 (3.17)

which is in very good agreement with the earlier estimate of ϕ_c (2.19).

The two bounds (3.15) and (3.17) can be understood as following. If inflation starts at $\phi_0 > 0.028 M_p$, quantum fluctuation starts to qualitatively change the path of the inflaton field and we can no longer trust our perturbative treatment of the Langevin equation. But the effect of the quantum fluctuation does not show up at early time during inflation, as from (3.17), this requires an even high initial value $\phi_0 = 1.77 M_p \gg 0.028 M_p$. During time $t \ll H^{-1}$, the motion of the brane is still mostly classical. But as inflation goes on, quantum fluctuation results in different e-folds in different Hubble patches, and the physical volume average of observables will be changed more and more, because different Hubble patches are less and less equally weighed. The Hubble patch with the largest number of e-fold will occupy the largest physical volume and dominate the statistical average. All of these are strong indications that the inflaton field is in a state dominated by quantum fluctuation, and inflation may go on forever in some Hubble patch once it starts.

However, as shown in the earlier section, The above ϕ_c violates the size of the bulk and so cannot be realized in the KKLMMT scenario. This rules out eternal brane inflation of the random walk type in this slow roll scenario.

4. The absence of eternal inflation in DBI inflation

In the previous sections we studied the possibility of the eternal brane inflation of the random walk type in the KKLMMT slow-roll scenario. In this section we study that in the DBI inflation scenario [8, 9, 10, 11]. We shall conclude that eternal brane inflation of the random walk type is again absent in the DBI inflation scenario.

4.1 The UV model

We consider the case where the inflaton mass gets large, typically when $\beta \gg 1$. The brane is moving relativistically toward to the IR end of the AdS throat, even at ultra-relativistic speed. For such a fast roll inflation, string theory dictates that we need to include higher powers of the time derivative of ϕ , in the form of the DBI action [8]

$$S = -\int d^4x a^3(t) \left[T(\phi) \sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2 / T(\phi)} + V(\phi) - T(\phi) \right] ,$$

where $h(\phi)$ is the warp factor and $T = T_3 h^4(\phi)$ is the warped tension. It is useful to introduce the parameter λ via $T = T_3 h^4(\phi) = \phi^4/\lambda$.

The effective inflaton potential takes the form [12]

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\beta H^2 \phi^2 + \frac{64\pi^2}{27} \frac{\phi_A^4}{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \frac{\phi_A^4}{\phi^4} \frac{(\gamma+1)^2}{4\gamma} \right)$$
(4.1)

with γ the Lorentz factor given by

$$\gamma^{-1} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{T_3 h^4(\phi)}} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

In the non-relativistic limit, $\gamma = 1$, the potential (4.1) is the same as the potential (2.2) for the slow roll inflation. When $\beta \gg 1$, the motion of the brane becomes ultrarelativistic. The contribution from the Coulomb potential can be neglected and only the mass term is kept.

Even if β may get very large, making the inflaton potential steep and causing the brane to move ultra-relativistically inside the throat, it is still possible to get enough number of e-folds because while the mobile D3 brane approaches the $\overline{D3}$ brane at the bottom of the throat, $\dot{\phi}$ is bounded from above as can be seen from the expression of γ and the rapid decrease of the wrap factor $h(\phi) \simeq \phi/\phi_{edge}$ [8]. Together, the Lorentz factor and the large warping of the AdS throat acts like a brake on $\dot{\phi}$ and a large number of e-folds can be completed inside the throat when $\gamma \gg 1$.

Note that

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = H^2(1-\epsilon) , \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{2M_p^2}{\gamma} \left(\frac{H'(\phi)}{H(\phi)}\right)^2 , \qquad (4.4)$$

where ϵ reduces to the usual slow-roll parameter in the slow-roll approximation. For inflation to occur $0 \leq \epsilon \ll 1$, so this is a good expansion parameter for observational quantities measured N_e e-folds back from the end of inflation. By definition, inflation ends when $\epsilon = 1$.

The scalar density perturbation is given by

$$\delta_H = \frac{H^2}{5\pi |\dot{\phi}|} \Big|_{k=a\gamma H} \,. \tag{4.5}$$

We must evaluate δ_H at $k = a\gamma H$. In terms of a general Lagrangian, this is the effect of a small sound speed c_s [32, 9, 33], which in this case equals to $1/\gamma$. The fluctuation mode is not traveling at the speed of light, but instead at the sound speed. The mode kexits the horizon and freezes when $kc_s = aH$.

The equations of motion for the inflaton field are [9, 12],

$$V(\phi) = 3M_p^2 H^2(\phi) - T_3 h^4(\phi)(\gamma(\phi) - 1) , \qquad (4.6)$$

$$\gamma(\phi) = \sqrt{1 + 4M_p^4 T_3^{-1} h^{-4}(\phi) H'^2(\phi)} , \qquad (4.7)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{-2M_p^2 H'(\phi)}{\gamma(\phi)} \,. \tag{4.8}$$

For the ultra-relativistic case, we keep only the mass term in the potential (4.1). Define $m^2 = \beta H^2$, we have

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \ .$$

The equations of motion can be solved analytically, the leading order is

$$H(\phi) = \frac{\hat{m}}{M_p} \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}} , \qquad (4.9)$$

$$\dot{\phi} = -\phi^2 \sqrt{\frac{32\pi^2}{27N}},\tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\hat{m} \equiv \left(\sqrt{\frac{64\pi^2}{81N}} + \sqrt{\frac{64\pi^2}{81N} + \frac{m^2}{M_p^2}}\right) M_p \ .$$

Using (4.5), (4.9) and (4.10), we see that the scalar density perturbation in leading order is a constant independent of ϕ ,

$$\delta_H = \frac{\sqrt{3N}}{40\sqrt{2}\pi^2} \frac{\hat{m}^2}{M_p^2} \,. \tag{4.11}$$

This means if we impose COBE normalization at $N_e = 55$, we'll have the same normalization at any number of e-folds. The fact that δ_H has no ϕ dependence is largely due to the ultra-relativistic motion of the brane. In the expression (4.8) for $\dot{\phi}$, there is the Lorentz factor $\gamma(\phi)$ in addition to the usual term $H'(\phi)$ for the external force. In the slow roll scenario, the γ factor would not be there and there will be ϕ dependence in δ_H . Recall the argument (2.5), we see that for ultra-relativistic fast roll inflation, $\delta\phi \ll \Delta\phi$ all the way through the throat no matter where inflation starts. For UV model with $\gamma \gg 1$, eternal inflation will not happen.

4.2 The intermediate case

It has been shown in Ref.[12] that there are three interesting regions in the parameter space of DBI inflation (i.e., the power spectrum is red-tilt.). The effective potential of DBI inflation takes the form (2.2)

$$V(\phi) = V_K + V_A + V_{D\bar{D}}$$

= $\frac{1}{2}\beta H^2 \phi^2 + \frac{64\pi^2}{27} \frac{\phi_A^4}{N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N} \frac{\phi_A^4}{\phi^4}\right)$

where $H^2 \sim V_A$ for slow roll case. For DBI inflation, we parameterize the inflaton mass the same way even if H may not necessarily be determined by V_A .

The three regions are essentially characterized by the mass of the inflaton field :

- The slow roll scenario when $\beta \ll 1$, the constant term V_A dominates the potential. The inflaton is always non-relativistic; that is $\gamma \simeq 1$.
- the ultra-relativistic scenario when $\beta \gg 1$, the mass term dominates the potential. Here $\gamma \gg 1$ so the speed limit effect is significant. It was pointed out that the non-Gaussianity in the cosmic microwave background radiation can be substantial [9].
- the intermediate scenario when $\beta \sim 1$, the mass term and the constant piece are comparable and they interplay with each other. Generically the brane starts with $\gamma \simeq 1$ and its motion becomes ultra-relativistic at the end of inflation. Tensor mode in the cosmic microwave background radiation can be substantial.

So far, we have shown that eternal inflation is generically absent in the first two scenarios. Now we like to consider the intermediate scenario. First, we note that the intermediate case can be viewed as a combination of the slow-roll case and the relativistic case. Inflation starts in slow-roll type and ends in the ultra-relativistic type. At $N_e = 55$, we know that $\delta_H \sim 10^{-5}$. If we try to look at the region $N_e > 55$, we will find the transition to the slow-roll inflation of the chaotic type. We know that the eternal inflation would happen if $\phi > M_p \sqrt{M_p/m}$, but such a large ϕ exceeds the bulk size. If we look at $N_e < 55$, then inflation is generically of the relativistic type, and from the discussion above, we know that eternal inflation is again generically impossible. So we conclude that in the intermediate case, we have a certain "no go" scenario that generically forbids eternal inflation. This result is confirmed by a numerical analysis.

4.3 The IR model

We have seen in the previous studies that, in order to have the random walk type eternal inflation, two requirements are needed. Firstly, the spectral index has to be red $(n_s - 1 < 0)$, so that in the far past, the condition (2.5) or equivalently $\delta_H \gtrsim 1$ may be satisfied. Secondly, the potential extension has to be long enough to reach this critical value. In the KKLMMT type slow-roll brane inflation, the second requirement imposes a strong constraint on the parameter space, while in the UV model of the DBI inflation, the first requirement is not satisfied in the AdS throat $(n_s - 1 \text{ starts at order}$ $\mathcal{O}(1/N_e^2))$. In the IR model of the DBI inflation, the brane is moving out from the IR side of the warped space. During most of the inflationary epoch, the Hubble parameter is approximately a constant and a de Sitter phase of inflation is triggered. The field theory calculations [10, 11] show that the spectral index is red and the inflaton can be extended back in time to the deep IR region of the AdS throat. So naively the eternal inflation of random walk type is possible. But interestingly as we will see, by considering the validity of the field theory analyses, a rather different mechanism [11, 14] kicks in before the condition (2.5) can be satisfied.

When calculating the quantum fluctuations in the expanding background, for the field theory to work, we require that the Hubble energy be smaller than the (red-shifted) string scale,

$$\gamma H \lesssim T_3^{1/4} h , \qquad (4.12)$$

where H is the Hubble constant, T_3 is the brane tension and $h = \frac{\phi}{\lambda^{1/4} T_3^{1/4}}$ is the warp factor. It is easiest to get Eq. (4.12) on the moving frame on the brane, where the Hubble expansion is faster by a factor of γ because of the relativistic time dilation. A closely related consequence is the reduction of the horizon size by a factor of γ^{-1} .

The evolution of the brane position (inflaton) is given by

$$\phi \approx -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{t} , \qquad (4.13)$$

where t runs from $-\infty$. The inflation ends when $t \sim -1/H$. The number of inflationary e-folds to the end of the inflation is

$$N_e \approx -Ht$$
 . (4.14)

During inflation the inflaton travels ultra-relativistically,

$$\gamma \approx \frac{\beta N_e}{3} , \qquad (4.15)$$

where β again parameterizes the steepness of the potential $V = V_0 - \frac{1}{2}\beta H^2 \phi^2$. Using these field theory results of the IR DBI inflation, we translate (4.12) into

$$N_e \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{1/8}}{\beta^{1/2}} , \qquad (4.16)$$

where λ is the D3-charge of the background, e.g. given by three-form fluxes. Namely the field theory results only applies to the short scales satisfying (4.16). Above that, the quantum fluctuations become stringy. (The zero-mode inflation still proceeds as long as back-reactions on the background throat, including those from the relativistic probe brane [8, 11, 34] and those from the de Sitter expansion [11], can be neglected.)

Although a full understanding of this stringy phase requires a full string theory treatment, we can see a bound on the scalar fluctuation amplitude in the following way. In expanding background, the quantum fluctuations are generated during a Hubble time $\gamma^{-1}H^{-1}$, and then get stretched out of the horizon and lose causal contact. Within this period, the brane fluctuates in the transverse directions, but the fluctuation speed is limited by the local speed of light h^2 . So the maximal amplitude for a moving frame observer is

$$\Delta r_{max} \approx \gamma^{-1} H^{-1} h^2 . \tag{4.17}$$

To see that it is consistent with our previous arguments, we note that from field theory, the density perturbation in IR DBI is

$$\delta_H \approx H \delta t \approx N_e^2 / \lambda^{1/2} , \qquad (4.18)$$

and the brane fluctuation is

$$\Delta r = \gamma \delta r \approx \gamma \dot{r} \delta t \approx \gamma h^2 \delta t , \qquad (4.19)$$

where δt is the time delay measured by a lab observer, \dot{r} is the brane speed (zero-mode scalar speed) which is approximately the speed of light h^2 because the brane travels ultra-relativistically in DBI inflation. Requiring

$$\Delta r \le \Delta r_{max} \tag{4.20}$$

gives the same condition as (4.16).

But the condition (4.20) is more transparent in terms of the scalar fluctuation amplitude. We get

$$\delta_H \approx H \delta t \lesssim H \frac{\Delta r_{max}/\gamma}{h^2} \approx 1/\gamma^2$$
 (4.21)

(Note that in this formula the time decay δt is measured in the non-moving lab frame. That's why the Lorentz contraction factor $1/\gamma$ arises in $\Delta r_{max}/\gamma$.) This means that, although naively from field theory results (4.18) that δ_H can grow to one as we look at large enough N_e , it actually has a upper bound far below one, since $\gamma \gg 1$. The type of eternal inflation caused by the random walk of the scalar field is forbidden. We note that this type of constraint applies to general DBI inflation models.

As we will discuss in the Sec. 5.3, an eternal inflation of false vacuum type, which is responsible for generating candidate inflaton branes, generically happens in this scenario.

5. Possible presence of eternal inflation in brane inflation

5.1 On top of the potential in the bulk

Because of the compactness of the extra dimensions, it is inevitable that some parts of the inflaton potential profile may have vanishing first derivative. Especially for those potentials that grow toward the bulk, such as those we have considered in the KKLMMT slow-roll or UV DBI inflation, at somewhere in the bulk $\partial V/\partial \phi_i = 0$ and $\partial^2 V/\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j < 0$ will appear. Classically the inflaton velocity can be infinitely small if it stays on the top of such a potential. So it is interesting to look in more details the conditions for the random walk type eternal inflation to happen.

Let us simplify the form of such a potential to be $V = V_0 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$, where ϕ is measured with respect to the top of the potential. Using the standard slow-roll results, we know that the density perturbation δ_H becomes one at the place

$$\hat{\phi} = \frac{V_0^{3/2}}{5\sqrt{3}\pi M_p^3 m^2} \,. \tag{5.1}$$

Because of the quantum fluctuations of the inflaton, the precision of putting a brane on the top of potential is given by $\delta \phi = H/2\pi$. Therefore we require $\hat{\phi} > H/2\pi$. This is always satisfied given that $m^2 \ll H^2$, i.e. the condition that the inflation happens on the top of such a potential. Therefore eternal inflation of the random walk type happens in the bulk if such an inflationary potential can be obtained by fine-tuning, for example in the models of Ref. [35, 36].

It is worthwhile to point out another key difference between brane inflation and a more traditional inflationary scenario such as chaotic inflation. In chaotic inflation, if we are willing to accept a random value for the initial inflaton field in the presence of many causally disconnected patches, it is reasonable that the inflaton field takes an initial value larger than the critical value in at least one patch, thus leading to eternal inflation. Even if the inflationary universe was created spontaneously with only one patch, one may argue it is more likely that $\phi_{initial} > \phi_c$, since $\phi_{initial}$ can apriori take an arbitrarily large value in the absence of any selection principle (such as the wavefunction of the universe). In brane inflation, the inflationary universe typically starts with one or more pairs of D3 - D3 branes, where all values of ϕ s are bounded by the size of the bulk. First consider the case with only one pair inside one causal patch. There is no reason that the D3 brane starts out sitting precisely at the bottom of a throat. In general, it will start somewhere in the bulk and then, due to the attractive force, move relatively quickly towards the bottom of the throat. While this is happening, its tension will start dropping and the potential for the D3 brane will approach the eventual one that is adopted above. That is, the D3 brane is seeing a rapidly changing potential as it is moving in the bulk. While this is happening, both the position and the height of the inflaton potential at the top of the hill discussed above have not even emerged yet. We expect the D3 brane to be dragged towards the throat during this time. So, it seems some fine-tuning is needed for the D3 brane to end up at the top of the hill after the $\overline{D}3$ brane has settled at the bottom of the throat. Clearly a more careful analysis is warranted. Obviously, this pictures gets much more complicated if we start with multipairs of $D3 - \overline{D}3$ branes or some other arrangements. However, in this case it seems that the random walk type eternal inflation is possible but absent under generic initial conditions. Of course, if inflation comes only from the motion of the D3 brane (that is, no $\overline{D}3$ brane), then the random walk type eternal inflation becomes more likely.

We may apply the same argument if the inflaton potential has a local shallow minimum in the bulk. The attractive force of the $\overline{D}3$ brane plus its motion tend to drag the D3 brane towards the throat. Of course, if the minimum is deep enough, then the D3 brane may be stuck there. This leads to eternal inflation of the tunneling type.

5.2 At the tip of the IR throat

The top-of-a-hill like potential is also possible when we extend the potential to the tip of a throat, analogous to the potential in the IR DBI model. The difference is that we are now interested in a fine-tuned slow-roll shape, $|\beta| \ll 1$. The same discussion of the last subsection applies except for the following complication. If the throat is very long, i.e. extends to $\phi \to 0$, the slow-roll inflationary phase will smoothly transit to the DBI inflationary phase at $\phi_{DBI} = \beta \sqrt{N}H$ for $N > \beta^{-2}$ [11]. This is because towards the IR end of the throat, the speed-limit constraint becomes more important and have to be taken into account. So if the IR end of the throat is terminated at $\phi > \phi_{DBI}$, the warping does not change beyond the cutoff, and we get a random walk eternal inflation near ϕ . Otherwise, if the throat is terminated at $\phi < \phi_{DBI}$, the inflation will become the DBI type before the slow-roll inflation can reach the critical point of eternal inflation. In DBI region, the random walk eternal inflation is forbidden as we saw in Sec. 4.3. However, the warping of a throat cannot extend to infinity in the IR end, either because of a cutoff or the back-reaction of the dS space which will smooth out the warping in the IR end of the warped space starting at $\phi \approx H$ [11, 37]. Hence the speed limit constraint will not further increase beyond this point, and the inflation smoothly changes back to be the slow-roll type on the top of the hill. In this case it is this period of slow-roll inflation that may be responsible for random walk eternal inflation, if the branes start from there.

5.3 Multi-throat brane inflation

So far we have concentrated on the eternal inflation of the Brownian type. We saw that in brane inflation such a mechanism is either tightly constrained or forbidden for various different reasons. As mentioned in the introduction, however, eternal inflation is also possible through a first order phase transition of false vacuum bubble nucleation. In this and next subsection, we discuss this mechanism in brane inflation.

Warped compactification in type IIB string theory is a natural place to realize the brane inflation. In such a case, there is a very natural mechanism to generate candidate inflaton branes in the multi-throat brane inflationary scenario [10, 11]. The AdS throats are sourced by RR and NSNS three-form fluxes localized at conifold singularities on a Calabi-Yau manifold. The D3-branes will naturally settle down in throats, since they carry opposite D3 charge to the throats and will be attracted to the IR end. These antibranes have different lifetimes in different throats depending on the numbers of fluxes and antibranes, and they will eventually annihilate against the fluxes which source the throats [17, 18]. If the number p of antibranes is much smaller than the number M of the RR fluxes, such an annihilation process proceeds through a quantum tunneling. At the end of this annihilation, M - p number of D3 branes will be created to conserve the total D3 charge. These D3 branes will either stay or come out of the throats, depending on the sign of the mass-squared of the moduli potential. In the latter case, they become a direct source of inflaton for IR DBI inflation; or when they move into the bulk and re-settle down elsewhere, become an indirect source of inflaton for other types of brane inflation such as KKLMMT type slow-roll inflation or UV DBI inflation. Therefore, in this multi-throat scenario, the quantum tunneling in the flux-antibrane annihilation is responsible for creating successful inflation bubbles. As we know such a bubble creation process is naturally eternal.

5.4 Multi-brane inflation

The next model we want to comment on is the multi-brane inflation [20]. This model is motivated to solve the fine-tuning problems generic to slow-roll brane inflation models. In most brane inflation models, flux compactification in Type IIB string theory provides a robust way to stabilize the dilaton and complex structure moduli of the Calabi-Yau manifold [15], with addition benefit of realizing the AdS throats [21]. There are also non-perturbative effects invoked to stabilize the remaining Kähler moduli [16][38]. But unfortunately, the last step spoils whatever the flatness of the inflaton potential achieved by warping. The usual way out is to introduce extra parameters associated with the corrections to superpotentials and flatten the potential by fine-tuning. For example the β parameter we've encountered in the KKLMMT scenario must be tuned to be small to achieve at least 55 e-folds of inflation [7, 22].

In the multi-brane inflation model [20], it is argued that if the racetrack superpotential is used [39], the number N of mobile branes in the AdS throat can be large without destabilizing the Kähler modulus. With more than one mobile D3 brane in the throat, there's an interesting mechanism to flatten the potential. The branes start out being confined in a meta-stable minimum of the potential, and then quantum fluctuation allows them to tunnel out successively. While this happens, the meta-stable minimum will get shallower, until all the remaining branes can roll into the throat all together. The potential can be very flat when the remaining branes start rolling collectively, and ensures enough number of e-folds. Thus the potential is flattened dynamically without any fine-tuning.

Eternal inflation will be quite generic in the multi-brane scenario. When the branes tunnel out the local minimum quantum mechanically, the inflaton has to climb uphill, and the physical volume of the meta-stable vacuum will be expanding exponentially and creating bubbles of open universe, inside which the branes always tend to tunnel up and make the bubble de-Sitter space. This is again a self-reproducing process. Thus in the multi-brane inflationary scenario, the tunneling effects to flatten the inflaton potential will at the same time inevitably leads to eternal inflation. However, multi-brane inflation requires some judicious initial setup, which should be considered as a fine-tuning. With the DBI action, we believe the multi-brane inflationary scenario is unnecessary.

6. Conclusions and implications

In the paper, we have discussed the possibility of eternal inflation in different brane inflationary scenarios. We find that eternal inflation of the random walk type is generically absent in brane inflation. In the slow-roll scenario, because of the size of the bulk, the critical inflaton value for eternal inflation can never be reached.¹ For the UV DBI inflation in the ultra-relativistic regime where the density perturbations does not run, COBE normalization puts a strong constraint on the ratio of quantum fluctuation to classical motion. For the IR DBI inflation where the density perturbations runs, the speed limit constraint restricts the amplitude of the scalar quantum fluctuations. These effects exclude the possibility of random walk eternal inflation in DBI inflation.

In the case of multi-throat or multi-brane inflation, the generation of inflaton for a successful inflation relies on quantum tunneling process. So eternal inflation is inevitably built into these scenarios.

Hence, within brane inflation, we see that eternal inflation can be inevitable or impossible, depending on the specific scenarios. Fortunately, these different scenarios of brane inflation have different predictions on the properties of the cosmic microwave background radiation. More precise measurements as well as theoretical analysis in the near future should be able to test if brane inflation is correct or not. If the result is positive, it should be able to select the particular brane inflationary scenario that our universe has gone through. In this optimistic case, we will find out if our universe is eternally inflating or not.

Eternal inflation has lots of implication on quantum cosmology (see for example [41]). Eternal inflation generally leads to the conclusion that the ultimate initial conditions for the universe become totally divorced from observation. Because if inflation is eternal into the future and produce infinite number of Hubble patches, then the statistical properties

¹The restriction on the inflaton extension also restricts the tensor mode in single field slow-roll models as discussed in Ref. [40].

of the inflating regions should approach a steady state independent of initial conditions, even if the underlying string theory vacuum is not unique.

The string cosmic landscape contains numerous meta-stable vacua. We like to find out how nature selects one vacuum versus another vacuum, that is, why our particular vacuum is chosen. There needs to be a mechanism to pick out a particular vacuum in the string landscape dynamically, like the Hartle-Hawking wave-function of the universe [42] and its improved version [43, 44, 45], or the Hawking-Turok instanton [46]. Since cosmological data strongly suggests that our universe has gone through an inflationary epoch, we have to understand how this particular inflationary universe, that is, the one that evolves to our today's universe, is selected. We call this SOUP, the Selection of the Original Universe Principle [43]. The question of pre-inflation physics becomes very relevant. Ref. [43, 44, 45] suggest that the spontaneous creation of a particular inflationary universe may be selected because it has the largest probability of tunneling from nothing (i.e., no classical spacetime).

However, if eternal inflation is present in this particular inflationary universe, the inflating part of the universe will be exponentially larger than the non-inflating part (which includes our observable universe) today. This implies that it is exponentially more likely for a random occurrence to take place in the part of the universe that is still inflating.² This means SOUP will select an inflating part of the universe, and not an inflationary universe that has already ended 13.7 billion years ago. That is, SOUP is doomed to fail if eternal inflation is present in the particular inflationary universe selected. We see in this paper that eternal inflation is not generic in brane inflation. If the improved wavefunction selects a brane inflationary scenario that does not lead to eternal inflation, then SOUP is still alive as a guiding principle. Even in the IR model where eternal inflation may be generic, the success of SOUP must be accompanied by a dynamical reason why eternal inflation is absent there.

Acknowledgments

We thank Raphael Bousso, Hassan Firouzjahi, Alan Guth, Shamit Kachru, Andrei Linde, Liam McAllister, Sarah Shandera and Gary Shiu for useful discussions. XC and SS thank the organizers of the workshop on String Vacua and the Landscape and the Abdus Salam ICTP for the hospitality. SHT thanks KITP at UCSB for the hospitality. The work of XC is supported in part by the Department of Energy. The work of SS is supported in part by DOE under DE-FG02-92ER40699. The work of SHT and JX is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY-0355005. The research is also supported by the NSF under grant PHY99-07949.

²There are possible alternatives to using volume as the measure, see e.g. [47, 48].

A. Langevin analysis for DBI inflation

To study the impact of the quantum fluctuation on the motion of the inflaton is usually carried out in the Langevin formalism. One can follow this approach and simply add a noise term to the inflaton equation of motion. For the slow-roll scenario, this reduces to a rather simple system. For DBI inflation, a similar procedure can be done in the Hamilton-Jacobi formalism and then introduce an appropriate noise term.

From the density perturbations of the DBI inflation [32, 9, 11], the scalar fluctuations corresponds to a random walk velocity

$$\dot{\phi}_q = \frac{H^{3/2}}{2\pi} n(t) , \qquad (A.1)$$

where n(t) is defined as in (3.2) and (3.3). Combining (A.1) with the Hamilton-Jacobi equations (4.6,4.7,4.8), we get the stochastic equation of motion for DBI inflation

$$V(\phi) = 3M_p^2 H^2(\phi) - T_3 h^4(\phi)(\gamma(\phi) - 1) , \qquad (A.2)$$

$$\gamma(\phi) = \sqrt{1 + 4M_p^4 T_3^{-1} h^{-4}(\phi) H'^2(\phi)} , \qquad (A.3)$$
$$\dot{\phi} = \dot{\phi}_{cl} + \dot{\phi}_q$$

$$= \phi_{cl} + \phi_q = \frac{-2M_p^2 H'(\phi)}{\gamma(\phi)} + \frac{H^{3/2}}{2\pi} n(t)$$
(A.4)

Note that the first 2 equations are simply Eqs. (4.6,4.7) unchanged. Only Eq. (4.8) is modified with the stochastic noise to yield Eq.(A.4). This is a particularly simple way to implement the noise term into DBI inflation.

It is easy to see how the slow-roll case is reproduced. Recall the Lorentz factor (4.2). In the slow-roll case, $\gamma \to 1$, so

$$T(\gamma - 1) = T\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{\phi}^2/T}} - 1\right) \to \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \tag{A.5}$$

which gives the usual kinetic term. Dropping the $\ddot{\phi}$ in the inflaton equation of motion reduces Eq.(A.4) to

$$H'(\phi) = -\frac{V'}{6H} \\ \dot{\phi} = -2M_p^2 H'(\phi) + \frac{H^{3/2}}{2\pi}n(t)$$

This is the set of equations used in the Langevin analysis in the slow-roll scenario.

Apriori, the equations of motion do not guarantee that the speed of the quantum fluctuations satisfy the speed-limit constraint in the directions transverse to the brane, since the fluctuation amplitude is determined by the uncertainty principle. But the mean value of the r.h.s. of Eq. (A.4) stays subluminal as long as the quantum fluctuations are within the field theory regime, namely the Hubble parameter is below the local string scale. This is because the speed limit in the transverse brane directions $\sqrt{T_3}h^2$ restricts the fluctuation amplitude to be less than $\sqrt{T_3}h^2H^{-1}$ within a Hubble time. The field theory result gives H, for which to stay subluminal the condition is $H < T_3^{1/4}h$. However, we may still have to worry about the Gaussian tail end of the noise, which may introduce super-luminal effects. So we must solve the above equations in a way that this problem never disrupts its solution.

The above set of equations may be solved in the following way: at an initial $\phi_j = \phi(t_j)$, suppose we are given $\gamma(\phi_j)$, so we know both $T(\phi_j)$ and $V(\phi_j)$. We can use Eq.(A.2) to determine $H(\phi_j)$ and use Eq.(A.3) to determine $H'(\phi_j)$. Next we treat Eq.(A.4) as an equation that describes the time evolution of ϕ . Knowing $\phi_j = \phi(t_j)$, this allows us to solve it to find $\phi_{j+1} = \phi(t_j + \Delta t)$. We may use Eq.(A.3) to eliminate γ in Eq.(A.2) so the new Eq.(A.2) gives the ϕ -evolution of $H(\phi)$. So, knowing $H(\phi_j)$ and $H'(\phi_j)$ allows us to determine $H'(\phi_{j+1})$ and so $\gamma(\phi_{j+1})$. Repeating these steps allows us to find the Brownian motion of ϕ as well as $H(\phi)$.

The actual procedure to solve the above set of equations may be modified to improve efficiency. The key is to treat γ as a function of ϕ only. This allows us to treat Eqs.(A.2,A.3) as constraint equations (for energy conservation, i.e., the energy density= $3M_p^2H^2$) and so no noise term should be introduced in them. So only Eq.(A.4) contains a noise term. However, the fluctuation introduced by this noise term appears in $\phi(t)$ as well as in H(t) even though $H(\phi)$ remains noise-free.

The Langevin equation gives the inflaton velocity as an addition of a drift and a random walk. For slow-roll inflation, both of the velocities are non-relativistic so the summation is Galilean. For DBI inflation, at least the former is relativistic, so from this point of view the velocity addition has to follow the rules of the special relativity. So we can first go to the moving frame on the free-falling brane where we only have simple quantum fluctuations, and then boost it to the lab frame which is related to the moving frame by a local Lorentz transformation. In the following we demonstrate how such a procedure reproduces the Langevin equation (A.4).

In the DBI inflation, the brane is moving relativistically with a slowly changing Lorentz factor γ . Let us first look at the quantum fluctuations on the moving frame which moves instantaneously with the brane for a Hubble time, during which γ remains approximately constant. At each of these snapshots, the Hubble parameter is γH . This is because the spatial expansion, which is perpendicular to the brane velocity, proceeds faster by a factor of γ due to the special relativity. Therefore in the mode equation, the quantum fluctuations generated and then frozen during this period takes the value

$$|\delta\phi_k|_{mov} = \frac{\gamma H}{\sqrt{2k^{3/2}}} . \tag{A.6}$$

This moving frame is not the best frame to integrate the fluctuations, since it is only

instantaneous. But this is best suited for us to write down the Langevin equation, which deals with the instantaneous velocity. According to Eq. (A.6), the variance $\Delta \phi$ generated during the Hubble time $\Delta t = \gamma^{-1} H^{-1}$ is

$$\langle \Delta \phi^2 \rangle_{mov} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{\Delta k}{k} H^2 \gamma^2 . \tag{A.7}$$

The corresponding instantaneous velocity of the scalar fluctuations is then

$$\dot{\phi}_q|_{mov} = \frac{(\gamma H)^{3/2}}{2\pi} n(t') ,$$
 (A.8)

where n(t') is defined as in (3.2) and (3.3), but now the prime indicates that it is in the moving frame.

As mentioned since the brane is moving relativistically, we need to use the relativistic version of velocity addition,

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{\dot{\phi}_c + \dot{\phi}_q}{1 + \frac{\dot{\phi}_c \dot{\phi}_q}{h^4 T_3}} \tag{A.9}$$

$$=\frac{\dot{\phi}_{c} + \frac{(\gamma H)^{3/2}}{2\pi}n(t')}{1 + \frac{\dot{\phi}_{c}\frac{(\gamma H)^{3/2}}{2\pi}n(t')}{h^{4}T_{3}}},$$
(A.10)

where $\dot{\phi}_c$ is the classical motion of the brane, the warped tension $T = h^4 T_3$ is the square of the local speed of light which is the same to both the lab (the throat) and the moving (the D3 brane) observers. In the second step, we work in the field theoretic regime of the DBI inflation. In this regime, the brane is moving relativistically while the quantum fluctuations speed is non-relativistic,³

$$\dot{\phi}_c \approx h^2 T_3^{1/2} , \qquad \dot{\phi}_q \ll h^2 T_3^{1/2} .$$
 (A.11)

The quantum fluctuations in the moving frame $\dot{\phi}_q$ is given by Eq. (A.8).

Expand the relation (A.10) we get

$$\Delta \dot{\phi} = \dot{\phi} - \dot{\phi}_c \approx \dot{\phi}_q / \gamma^2 . \tag{A.12}$$

This is the relative velocity of the fluctuations to the classical motion viewed in the lab frame. In the non-relativistic fluctuation case, the time periods in two frames are related by

$$\Delta t = \gamma \Delta t' (1 + \frac{\dot{\phi}_c \dot{\phi}_q}{h^4 T_3}) \approx \gamma \Delta t' .$$
 (A.13)

³There is always a small tail in the distribution of n(t') that violates the speed-limit constraint, which should be cut off. But for numerical purpose this introduces negligible corrections as long as the mean value of $\dot{\phi}_q$ satisfies (A.11).

Using (A.12), the variance of ϕ viewed in the lab frame is

$$\begin{split} \langle \Delta \phi^2 \rangle_{lab} &= \int dt_1 dt_2 \langle \Delta \dot{\phi}_1 \Delta \dot{\phi}_2 \rangle \\ &= \Delta t \int \gamma dt'_1 \frac{H^3}{4\pi^2 \gamma} \langle n(t'_1) n(t'_2) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\Delta k}{k} \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \,. \end{split}$$
(A.14)

This reproduces the leading order result in the direct calculations [32, 9, 11]. Since this is viewed in the lab frame, it can be integrated straightforwardly. This result can be readily understood if we focus on the frozen fluctuations amplitude [11]. The amplitude viewed in the moving frame γH is Lorentz-contracted by a factor of γ^{-1} when viewed in the lab frame, since this amplitude lies in the same direction as the moving frame velocity. But the horizon size $(\gamma H)^{-1}$ is perpendicular to the velocity, so it remains the same to the lab frame.

In fact, in this non-relativistic $\dot{\phi}_q$ case, using (A.12) and (A.13) one can rewrite the Langevin equation (A.10) in the following simple way,

$$\dot{\phi} = \dot{\phi}_c + \frac{H^{3/2}}{2\pi}n(t)$$
 (A.15)

Note that the γ factors cancel out and the coordinate t in the stochastic variable n(t) is defined in the lab frame. Under the condition (A.11), the mean value of the r.h.s. of this equation is guaranteed to be smaller than the speed of light. We exactly get Eq. (A.4).

One can also write down the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation for (A.15) following Ref. [49],

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} (H^3 P) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (\dot{\phi}_c P) . \qquad (A.16)$$

In the case where the $\dot{\phi}_q$ becomes relativistic, we enter a region of string fluctuations and we have to use Eq. (A.9) to avoid the superluminal fluctuation speed. We do not intend to solve the details of this case in this paper, but we can give a bound on the scalar fluctuation amplitude based on causality. The scalar quantum fluctuations speed is now bounded by $\dot{\phi}_q \leq h^2 T_3^{1/2}$ in the moving frame. Correspondingly the fluctuation amplitude is bounded by $\frac{h^2 T_3^{1/2}}{\gamma H}$ in the moving frame and by $\frac{h^2 T_3^{1/2}}{\gamma^2 H}$ in the lab frame. This situation is discussed in Refs. [11, 14] and in Sec. 4.3.

For some applications [49], it seems that the variable $T = \ln(a\gamma H)$ can be useful in the Hamilton-Jacobi setup. One can straightforwardly rewrite our Langevin equation in term of T as follows:

$$\frac{d\phi}{dT} = -\frac{2M_p^2 H'(\phi)}{\gamma(\phi)} \frac{dt(T)}{dT} + n(T), < n(T) >= 0, < n(T)n(T') >= \frac{H(\phi)^2}{4\pi^2} \delta(T - T') .$$
(A.17)

References

- P. J. Steinhardt, in *The Very Early Universe*, edited by G. Gibbons, S. Hawking and S. Siklos (Cambridge University Press, 1983).
- [2] A. D. Linde, "Nonsingular Regenerating Inflationary Universe," Print-82-0554, Cambridge University preprint, 1982.
- [3] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. **D27**, 2848 (1983).
- [4] A.D.Linde, Phys. Lett. A1, 81 (1986), Phys. Lett. B175, 395 (1986).
- [5] A. D. Linde, D. A. Linde, and A. Mezhlumian, Phys. Rev. D49, 1783 (1994), gr-qc/9306035.
- [6] G. R. Dvali and S.-H. H. Tye, Phys. Lett. B 450, 72 (1999), hep-ph/9812483.
- [7] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, JCAP 0310, 013 (2003), hep-th/0308055.
- [8] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 103505 (2004), hep-th/0310221.
- [9] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, Phys. Rev. D70, 123505 (2004), hep-th/0404084.
- [10] X. Chen, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 063506 (2005), hep-th/0408084.
- [11] X. Chen, JHEP **0508**, 045 (2005), hep-th/0501184.
- [12] S. E. Shandera and S.-H. H. Tye, (2006), hep-th/0601099.
- [13] S. Kecskemeti, J. Maiden, G. Shiu and B. Underwood, hep-th/0605189.
- [14] X. Chen, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 123518 (2005), astro-ph/0507053.
- [15] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru, and J. Polchinski, Phys. Rev. D66, 106006 (2002), hep-th/0105097.
- [16] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D68, 046005 (2003), hep-th/0301240.
- [17] S. Kachru, J. Pearson and H. Verlinde, JHEP **0206**, 021 (2002), hep-th/0112197.
- [18] O. DeWolfe, S. Kachru and H. Verlinde, JHEP 0405, 017 (2004), hep-th/0403123.
- [19] J. P. Hsu, R. Kallosh and S. Prokushkin, JCAP 0312, 009 (2003), hep-th/0311077.
- [20] J. M. Cline and H. Stoica, Phys. Rev. D72, 126004 (2005), hep-th/0508029.
- [21] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, JHEP 08, 052 (2000), hep-th/0007191.
- [22] H. Firouzjahi and S.-H. H. Tye, JCAP 0503, 009 (2005), hep-th/0501099.

- [23] C. P. Burgess, R. Kallosh, and F. Quevedo, JHEP 10, 056 (2003), hep-th/0309187.
- [24] M. Berg, M. Haack, and B. Kors, (2004), hep-th/0409282.
- [25] S. E. Shandera, JCAP **0504**, 011 (2005), hep-th/0412077.
- [26] D. Baumann, A. Dymarsky, I. R. Klebanov, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister and A. Murugan, hep-th/0607050.
- [27] E. D. Stewart and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B302, 171 (1993), gr-qc/9302019.
- [28] C. L. Bennett et al., Astrophys. J. 464, L1 (1996), astro-ph/9601067.
- [29] M. Tegmark, JCAP **0504**, 001 (2005), astro-ph/0410281.
- [30] S. Gratton and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D72, 043507 (2005), hep-th/0503063.
- [31] S. Winitzki, Phys. Rev. D 71, 123507 (2005), gr-qc/0504084.
- [32] J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B458, 219 (1999), hep-th/9904176.
- [33] X. Chen, M. x. Huang, S. Kachru and G. Shiu, hep-th/0605045.
- [34] X. Chen, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 026008 (2005), hep-th/0406198.
- [35] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, JHEP 0107, 047 (2001), hep-th/0105204.
- [36] N. Iizuka and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D 70, 043519 (2004), hep-th/0403203.
- [37] X. Chen and S.-H. H. Tye, JCAP 0606, 011 (2006), hep-th/0602136.
- [38] S. P. de Alwis, Phys. Lett. **B626**, 223 (2005), hep-th/0506266.
- [39] R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JHEP **12**, 004 (2004), hep-th/0411011.
- [40] D. H. Lyth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1861 (1997), hep-ph/9606387.
- [41] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rept. **333**, 555 (2000), astro-ph/0002156.
- [42] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. **D28**, 2960 (1983).
- [43] H. Firouzjahi, S. Sarangi and S.-H. H. Tye, JHEP 0409, 060 (2004), hep-th/0406107.
- [44] S. Sarangi and S.-H. H. Tye, hep-th/0505104.
- [45] S. Sarangi and S.-H. H. Tye, hep-th/0603237.
- [46] S. W. Hawking and N. Turok, Phys. Lett. **B425**, 25 (1998), hep-th/9802030.
- [47] J. Garriga, D. Schwartz-Perlov, A. Vilenkin and S. Winitzki, JCAP 0601, 017 (2006), hep-th/0509184.
- [48] R. Bousso, hep-th/0605263.
- [49] D. S. Salopek and J. R. Bond, Phys. Rev. D 43, 1005 (1991).