

Jet Quenching Parameter in Medium with Chemical Potential from AdS/CFT

Feng-Li Lin¹ and Toshihiro Matsuo²

*Department of Physics, National Taiwan Normal University,
Taipei City, 116, Taiwan*

Abstract

We calculate the jet quenching parameter in medium with chemical potential from AdS/CFT correspondence. Our result is summarized in a plot. Moreover, we extract the explicit form of the jet quenching parameter of medium with small chemical potential for phases of dual SYM corresponding to large and small black holes. For the former phase, the jet quenching is increased as the charge density increases, however, for the latter it is the opposite though the background is thermodynamically unstable.

¹linfengli@phy.ntnu.edu.tw

²tmatsuo@home.phy.ntnu.edu.tw

1 Introduction

The recent experiments in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) produce the strongly interacting ‘quark gluon plasma’, which can be described by hydrodynamical expansion of radial and elliptical flow [1]. To study the RHIC phenomenology requires the theoretical tool to calculate the dynamical process such as the diffusion constants, viscosity or jet quenching parameter in this strongly interacting plasma. Since these physical quantities characterizes the dynamical process, it is then hard to calculate them in lattice QCD which is formulated in Euclidean phase and more suitable in describing the thermal equilibrium process. On the other hand, the AdS/CFT duality [2, 3, 4] provides an avenue by using gravity/string theory to calculate the physical quantities of the strongly coupled phase of the super-Yang-Mills (SYM) theory. Even though the SYM theory and QCD are quite different at zero temperature, however, with the nonzero temperature both theory describe the similar hot non-abelian plasma’s hydrodynamics except that the SYM plasma is in the adjoint representation and the QCD has only adjoint gluons and fundamental quarks. In fact, in the past few years, a lot of works have been done to calculate the hydrodynamical quantities, and one of the most important progress is the discovery of the universal bound of the viscosity to entropy density ratio [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], which is also checked in the case of non-zero chemical potential in [10, 11, 13, 14]. The check from the direct gauge theory calculation is recently done in [15].

The early attempt in utilizing holography to discuss the jet quenching was done by [18]. Recently, the jet quenching parameter has been calculated in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] from the gravity dual in the AdS-Schwarzschild background, and is followed up by [26, 27] for the deformed gravity background dual to less supersymmetric gauge theories. The key observation in [19] is that the authors define the jet quenching parameter \hat{q} non-perturbatively through the relation

$$\langle W^A(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4} \hat{q} L^- L^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

where the contour \mathcal{C} describes the quark-anti-quark($q\bar{q}$) pairs separated by small spacelike extension of L moving along the light cone of large length L^- . This relation originally arises as a dipole approximation valid for small L used in jet quenching calculation [28, 29, 30]. It enables one to use the method of AdS/CFT duality found in [31, 32] to calculate the expectation value of Wilson loop. On the other hand, in [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] the energy loss of the moving quark due to the drag forces exerted by the plasma are considered and one can extract the jet quenching parameter from the friction coefficient of the drag force. Both results agree on the dependence of the temperature and ’t Hooft coupling but not on the overall coefficient.

However, in the above calculations they ignored the effect of non-zero chemical potential except in [23, 24] in which the drag force was calculated with R-charged chemical potential. When the $q\bar{q}$ pair is created in the vacuum they will hadronize by creating more $q\bar{q}$ pairs due to the quark confinement as they move apart, and then come out as the jets. However, in the quark-gluon plasma produced in RHIC, the escaped quark is surrounded by high density quarks fluid liberated from the nucleons of the heavy ions. Then some of the jets will be quenched

by the surrounding medium and the jet quenching parameter measure the probability of the jet quenching. In such setting, the baryon density of the quark-gluon plasma is relevant when calculating the jet quenching parameter. Though there is no definitely conserved baryon number symmetry in SYM theory as in QCD, the R-symmetry plays the similar role. In this short note, we will use the AdS/CFT duality and follow the method of [19] to calculate the jet quenching parameter in a medium with nonzero chemical potential which is conjugated to the R-charge density.

We hope our results will help to improve the comparison between the theoretical results and experimental data. We will first describe the gravity setting for such a calculation and then calculate the thermal expectation value of the Wilson loop to extract the quenching parameter by evaluating the on-shell Nambu-Goto action of string extending into the bulk but with end-points fixed on the AdS boundary. Our result can be summarized in Figure 1 which shows the ratio between the jet quenching parameters with and without chemical potential at the same temperature. The explicit form of the jet quenching parameter in some limiting cases is also derived.

2 Calculation of the Jet quenching parameter

The $SU(N)$ SYM theory with non-zero chemical potential background is dual to the bulk 5-dimensional gauged supergravity in the asymptotically AdS R-charged black hole background, some subtleties about the phase structures of the theory is recently discussed in [33]. The background metric of the single R-charge black hole is [11, 12, 16, 17]¹

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 &= -H^{-2/3} \frac{(\pi T_0 R)^2}{u} f dt^2 + H^{1/3} \frac{(\pi T_0 R)^2}{u} (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) + H^{1/3} \frac{R^2}{4fu^2} du^2, \\ &= -H^{-2/3} \frac{(\pi T_0 R)^2}{u} (H+f) dx^+ dx^- + \frac{1}{2} H^{-2/3} \frac{(\pi T_0 R)^2}{u} (H-f) ((dx^+)^2 + (dx^-)^2) \\ &\quad + H^{1/3} \frac{(\pi T_0 R)^2}{u} (dx^2 + dy^2) + H^{1/3} \frac{R^2}{4fu^2} du^2, \\ &:= G_{MN} dx^M dx^N \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\tag{3}$$

where R is the AdS radius, the functions f , H and the parameter T_0 are

$$f(u) = H(u) - u^2(1 + \kappa), \quad H = 1 + \kappa u, \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{q}{r_+^2}, \quad T_0 = r_+/\pi R^2. \tag{4}$$

Here q is related to the physical charge of the black hole, and r_+ is largest root satisfying $h(r) = 0$ where $h(r) := 1 - \frac{q}{r^2} + \frac{r_0^4}{r^4}$ is the harmonic function in the Schwarzschild coordinate r which is related to the above coordinate u by $u = r_+^2/r^2$. Thus, the black hole horizon is at $u = 1$ and the AdS boundary at $u = 0$.

¹This is obtained by dimensional reduction on S^5 from the 10-dimensional metric of spinning near-extremal 3-brane background of IIB supergravity, see [12, 16, 17] for details. Therefore our treatment here is equivalent to the full 10-dimensional setting. The same reduction was adopted in [11] to discuss the viscosity of quark-gluon plasma.

Note that the above solution is obtained from the AdS charged non-extremal spherical black hole by blowing up the sphere of its horizon. The non-extremal parameter r_0 is related to the black hole mass parameter m of the spherical black hole by $r_0^4 = mR^2$.

Moreover, there also configurations of scalar fields and gauge fields but their explicit form will be omitted. For simplicity, we will consider the case with $\kappa_1 = \kappa$ but $\kappa_2 = \kappa_3 = 0$. In this case, the physical parameters in SYM theory can be related to the parameters in the supergravity background. The temperature of SYM is the Hawking temperature

$$T_\kappa = \frac{2 + \kappa}{2\sqrt{1 + \kappa}} T_0. \quad (5)$$

The density of physical charges is

$$\rho = \frac{\pi N^2 T_0^3}{8} \sqrt{2\kappa(1 + \kappa)} \quad (6)$$

where N is rank of the gauge group and the chemical potential conjugated to ρ is

$$\mu = \pi T_0 \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{1 + \kappa}}. \quad (7)$$

Moreover, the parameter can be written as $\kappa = 8\pi^2 \rho^2 / s^2$ where s is the entropy density, and the background is thermodynamically stable only if

$$\kappa < 2. \quad (8)$$

Now we would like to evaluate the on-shell action of a string worldsheet ending on the AdS boundary and extending into the bulk,

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{\det g_{\alpha\beta}} \quad (9)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta} = G_{MN} \partial_\alpha x^M \partial_\beta x^N$ is the pull-back metric. Then the on-shell worldsheet action is related to the thermal expectation value of the Wilson-loop for SYM in the fundamental representation [31, 32] by

$$\langle W^F(\mathcal{C}) \rangle = \exp[-S(\mathcal{C})] \quad (10)$$

where the contour \mathcal{C} on the AdS boundary enclosed the worldsheet surface of the string.

To mimic the $q\bar{q}$ pairs moving in the hot quark-gluon plasma along the lightcone of length L^- , the contour \mathcal{C} should be lightlike with large extension of size L^- in the x^- -direction and small extension of size $L (\ll L^-)$ in a transverse direction, i.e. x -direction. For such a contour, it is convenient to parametrizing the worldsheet by target space coordinates ($x^- = \tau, x^+ = \text{const}, x = \sigma, y = \text{const}, u = u(\sigma)$) by assuming the worldsheet is time translationally invariant. Given this, the worldsheet action (10) takes the form

$$S = \frac{L^- \sqrt{1 + \kappa}}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}} (\pi T_0 R)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi T_0} \int_0^{L/2} d\sigma \frac{u'}{\sqrt{H^{1/3} u f}} \sqrt{1 + (2\pi T_0)^2 \frac{u f}{u'^2}}. \quad (11)$$

This can be compared with the action for the trivial configuration given by two disconnected worldsheet running from $u = 0$ to $u = 1$, namely,

$$S_0 = \frac{L^{-}\sqrt{1+\kappa}}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}}(\pi T_0 R)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi T_0} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{H^{1/3}uf}} \quad (12)$$

which represents the infinite bare mass of the $q\bar{q}$ pair and should be subtracted off from the action (11) of the on-shell configuration.

From (11) the equation of motion is

$$H^{1/3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(2\pi T_0)^2} \frac{u'^2}{uf} \right) = \frac{1}{E^2} \quad (13)$$

where E^2 is the constant of motion. Using (13) to eliminate u' in (11) and assuming that $E^2 \ll 1$ (low energy), then we arrive the following subtracted action

$$S_I := S|_{on-shell} - S_0 \approx \frac{L^{-}\sqrt{1+\kappa}}{2\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}}(\pi T_0 R)^2 \frac{E^2}{2\pi T_0} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{H^{-1/3}uf}}. \quad (14)$$

Note that sign \approx reminds already using the condition $E^2 \ll 1$.

To evaluate the subtracted action S_I , we need to solve the equation of motion (13). For the string extending from AdS boundary at $(u = 0, \sigma = -L/2)$, bending over at the horizon at $(u = 1, \sigma = 0)$ and then coming back to AdS boundary at $(u = 0, \sigma = -L/2)$, the equation (13) can be put into the following form

$$\frac{L}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi T_0} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{(E^{-2}H^{-1/3} - 1)uf}} \approx \frac{E}{2\pi T_0} \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{H^{-1/3}uf}}. \quad (15)$$

Again, we have used $E^2 \ll 1$ to arrive the second expression, which relates E to T_0 , L and κ . From (15) the condition $E^2 \ll 1$ holds if $T_0 L \ll 1$ which is reasonable since L is extremely small for jet quenching.

Using (15) to eliminate E^2 in (14), we arrive

$$2S_I \approx \frac{\pi^2}{4\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} T_0^3 L^{-} L^2 Q(\kappa) \quad (16)$$

where $\lambda = R^4/\alpha'^2$ is the 't Hooft's coupling of SYM theory, and

$$Q(\kappa) := 2\sqrt{1+\kappa} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{du}{\sqrt{H^{-1/3}uf}} \right]^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

which is a monotonically increasing function.

Following [19] we take

$$\hat{q}_{YM} \equiv -\frac{4}{L^{-}L^2} \ln \langle W^A(\mathcal{C}) \rangle \quad (18)$$

as a non-perturbative definition of the jet quenching parameter. Therefore we find

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa, \lambda) &= \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} T_0^3 Q(\kappa) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} \left(\frac{2\sqrt{1+\kappa}}{2+\kappa} \right)^3 T_\kappa^3 Q(\kappa)\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

where we have converted T_0 to the physical temperature T_κ , and this is our main result in this note.

It is easy to see that the subtracted action (16) reduces to the result in [19] for the zero r-charge case, i.e., $\kappa = 0$. Therefore, the jet quenching parameter $\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa, \lambda)$ with chemical potential characterized by κ is related to the one without chemical potential $\hat{q}_{YM}^{(0)}(T_0, \lambda)$ by

$$\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa, \lambda) = \frac{Q(\kappa)}{Q(\kappa=0)} \hat{q}_{YM}^{(0)}(T_0, \lambda),\tag{20}$$

where

$$\hat{q}_{YM}^{(0)}(T_0, \lambda) = \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} T_0^3 Q(0).\tag{21}$$

It is interesting to compare these two parameter at the same physical temperature, namely $T_\kappa = T_0$. The ratio should be multiplied by a factor and one can see

$$\frac{\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa = T_0)}{\hat{q}_{YM}^{(0)}(T_0)} = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{1+\kappa}}{2+\kappa} \right)^3 \frac{Q(\kappa)}{Q(0)}.\tag{22}$$

We plot the ratio as a function of κ in Figure 1.

The plot shows the background charges increase the jet quenching parameter for small κ whereas decrease for large κ . Recall that $\kappa := q/r_+^2$ where r_+ is the horizon size, so for fixed charge q , the small κ corresponds to large black hole and vice versa. The results then suggest that the jet quenching of the dual SYM's corresponding to large and small black holes have opposite charge dependences. Especially for the small black hole's SYM dual, the jet quenching is smaller for larger amount of charge, this is at odd with the naive expectation. Note also that the dividing point is around $\kappa = 2$, it is interesting to see if this is related to the thermodynamically instability or not.

To extract the explicit form of κ dependence in $Q(\kappa)$, we can consider either $\kappa \ll 1$ limit or $\kappa \gg 1$ limit, though as we mentioned before the background is thermodynamically unstable for $\kappa > 2$. We investigate it for the theoretical interest.

Note that for $\kappa \ll 1$, from (5) and (7) we have

$$T_0 \approx T_\kappa + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2), \quad \kappa \approx \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{\mu}{T_\kappa} \right)^2 \ll 1.\tag{23}$$

So, this is the case with small chemical potential. Similarly, for $\kappa \gg 1$,

$$T_0 \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{\kappa}} T_\kappa, \quad \kappa \approx \left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{2}T_\kappa}{\mu} \right)^2 \gg 1\tag{24}$$




Figure 1: The y-axis is the ratio between jet quenching parameters with and without chemical potential $\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa)/\hat{q}_{YM}^{(0)}(T_0)$ at $T_\kappa = T_0$, and the x-axis is the parameter κ characterizing the charge-to-entropy density ratio.

this also implies small chemical potential. So, in both $\kappa \gg 1$ and $\kappa \ll 1$ limits, the chemical potential is small compared with the Hawking temperature.

In the limit for κ small, there is no difference in T_κ and T_0 up to the linear order in κ , and one can easily find from (17)

$$Q(\kappa) \simeq Q(0)(1 + c_1\kappa + O(\kappa^2)). \quad (25)$$

where c_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{3}{4} - \frac{10\pi^2}{3\Gamma(1/4)^4} \\ &\simeq 0.5596. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Thus the ratio of the quenching parameters is given as

$$\frac{\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa = T_0)}{\hat{q}_{YM}^{(0)}(T_0)} = 1 + c_1\kappa + O(\kappa^2). \quad (27)$$

This shows that the effect of the chemical potential introduced by the background charge increase the quenching parameter.

For large κ , $Q(\kappa)$ behaves as

$$Q(\kappa) \simeq d_1\kappa^{7/6} \quad (28)$$

where $d_1 = \pi^{-3/2}\Gamma(2/3)\Gamma(5/6) \sim 0.7275$. Thus we find

$$\hat{q}_{YM}(\kappa, T_\kappa) \simeq \frac{8\pi^2 d_1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\lambda} T_\kappa^3 \kappa^{-1/3}. \quad (29)$$

The temperature and chemical dependence is peculiar when expressing κ in terms of T_κ and μ by (24).

3 Conclusion

In this note we calculated the jet quenching parameter in a medium with nonzero chemical potential. We find that the phases of the gauge theory dual to large and small black holes have opposite charge dependence, especially, the result for the latter is out of expectation as the jet quenching decreases as the charge increases. Moreover, the temperature and chemical potential dependence of the jet quenching for the small black hole case is also peculiar.

	T=300	T=400	T=500
$\kappa = 0$	3.17	7.51	14.7
$\kappa = 1$	3.97	9.42	18.4
$\kappa = 10$	2.46	5.83	11.4

Table 1: The jet quenching parameter \hat{q}_{YM} GeV²/fm for various values of κ with $\lambda = 6\pi$.

We like to remind the reader that the black hole background is thermodynamically unstable for $\kappa > 2$ so that our results in Figure 1 and Table 1 for the regime of $\kappa > 2$ should be taken with caution. Despite that we think that it is still interesting to have a look of jet-quenching in this regime since the quark-gluon plasma in RHIC is also in a meta-stable state, and could be mimicked by the meta-stable phase of $\kappa > 2$. The lifetime of this meta-stable phase and the comparison with the RHIC data would be an interesting dynamical issue for further investigation.

It is tempting to compare our results with the experimental data and may need to involve subtle experimental data analysis which could be beyond our reach. Moreover, the R-charge in SYM is only qualitatively mimicking the baryon number in QCD because these two theory have different field contents under these symmetries. Instead we give some numbers from our formula (19) in Table 1 which might be comparable to the experimental data. However, we like to mention that the constant c_1 obtained in (26) is positive so that the charge density helps to quench the jet. This is consistent with what has been expected from the estimate in [19] where without taking the chemical potential into account, the authors found that the theoretical jet quenching parameter is smaller than the estimated experimental data. We will leave the detailed comparison to the future when the more experimental data for jet quenching parameter appear. Finally, we would like to mention that our result is qualitatively similar to the one in [23] from the drag force calculation in the sense that the jet quenching reaches maximum at $\kappa = \mathcal{O}(1)$, but quantitatively different in the detailed dependence of κ .

Note added in proof: After we submitted our paper, the papers [34, 35] appeared, which discuss the same problem as in ours but in the context of 10-dimensional spinning 3-brane. However, the results in those papers agree with ours qualitatively.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Juinn-Wei Chen for very inspiring discussions on this project, and also thank Tetsuo Hatsuda, Chung-Wen Kao, Akitsugu Miwa, Eiji Nakano, Dan Tomino, Wen-Yu Wen for discussions on related issues, and Chris Herzog for helpful comments. FLL also likes to thank the hospitality of SIAS, Fundan Univ. and CMS of Zhejiang Univ. where part of the work is completed. This work is supported by Taiwan's NSC grant 94-2112-M-003-014.

References

- [1] P. F. Kolb and U. W. Heinz, “Hydrodynamic description of ultrarelativistic heavy-ion collisions,” arXiv:nucl-th/0305084.
- [2] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,” Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].
- [4] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 505 [arXiv:hep-th/9803131].
- [5] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “The shear viscosity of strongly coupled $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 081601 [arXiv:hep-th/0104066].
- [6] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “Holography and hydrodynamics: Diffusion on stretched horizons,” JHEP **0310** (2003) 064 [arXiv:hep-th/0309213].
- [7] A. Buchel and J. T. Liu, “Universality of the shear viscosity in supergravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 090602 [arXiv:hep-th/0311175].
- [8] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 111601 [arXiv:hep-th/0405231].
- [9] A. Buchel, “On universality of stress-energy tensor correlation functions in supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **609** (2005) 392 [arXiv:hep-th/0408095].
- [10] J. Mas, “Shear viscosity from R-charged AdS black holes,” JHEP **0603** (2006) 016 [arXiv:hep-th/0601144].
- [11] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “Hydrodynamics of R-charged black holes,” JHEP **0603** (2006) 052 [arXiv:hep-th/0601157].

- [12] K. Behrndt, M. Cvetič and W. A. Sabra, “Non-extreme black holes of five dimensional $N = 2$ AdS supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **553** (1999) 317 [arXiv:hep-th/9810227].
- [13] K. Maeda, M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, “Viscosity of gauge theory plasma with a chemical potential from AdS/CFT,” Phys. Rev. D **73** (2006) 066013 [arXiv:hep-th/0602010].
- [14] O. Saremi, “The viscosity bound conjecture and hydrodynamics of M2-brane theory at finite chemical potential,” arXiv:hep-th/0601159.
- [15] J. W. F. Chen and E. Nakano, “Shear viscosity to entropy density ratio of QCD below the deconfinement temperature,” arXiv:hep-ph/0604138.
- [16] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C. V. Johnson and R. C. Myers, “Charged AdS black holes and catastrophic holography,” Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 064018 [arXiv:hep-th/9902170].
- [17] M. Cvetič, M. J. Duff, P. Hoxha, J. T. Liu, H. Lu, J. X. Lu, R. Martinez-Acosta, C. N. Pope, H. Sati, T. A. Tran, “Embedding AdS Black Holes in Ten and Eleven Dimensions”, Nucl. Phys. B **558** (1999) 96-126 [arXiv:hep-th/9903214].
- [18] S. J. Sin and I. Zahed, “Holography of radiation and jet quenching,” Phys. Lett. B **608** (2005) 265 [arXiv:hep-th/0407215].
- [19] H. Liu, K. Rajagopal and U. A. Wiedemann, “Calculating the jet quenching parameter from AdS/CFT,” arXiv:hep-ph/0605178.
- [20] C. P. Herzog, A. Karch, P. Kovtun, C. Kozcaz and L. G. Yaffe, “Energy loss of a heavy quark moving through $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,” arXiv:hep-th/0605158.
- [21] S. S. Gubser, “Drag force in AdS/CFT,” arXiv:hep-th/0605182.
- [22] J. Casalderrey-Solana and D. Teaney, “Heavy quark diffusion in strongly coupled $N = 4$ Yang Mills,” arXiv:hep-ph/0605199.
- [23] C. P. Herzog, “Energy loss of heavy quarks from asymptotically AdS geometries,” arXiv:hep-th/0605191.
- [24] E. Caceres and A. Guijosa, “Drag Force in a Charged $N=4$ SYM Plasma”, arXiv:hep-th/0605235.
- [25] J. J. Friess, S. S. Gubser and G. Michalogiorgakis, “Dissipation from a heavy quark moving through $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills plasma,” arXiv:hep-th/0605292.
- [26] A. Buchel, “On jet quenching parameters in strongly coupled non-conformal gauge theories,” arXiv:hep-th/0605178.
- [27] J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, “Enhancing the jet quenching parameter from marginal deformations,” arXiv:hep-th/0605296.

- [28] U. A. Wiedemann, “Gluon radiation off hard quarks in a nuclear environment: Opacity expansion,” Nucl. Phys. B **588** (2000) 303 [arXiv:hep-ph/0005129].
- [29] A. Kovner and U. A. Wiedemann, “Eikonal evolution and gluon radiation,” Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 114002 [arXiv:hep-ph/0106240].
- [30] B. G. Zakharov, “Radiative energy loss of high energy quarks in finite-size nuclear matter and quark-gluon plasma,” JETP Lett. **65** (1997) 615 [arXiv:hep-ph/9704255].
- [31] J. M. Maldacena, “Wilson loops in large N field theories,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 4859 [arXiv:hep-th/9803002].
- [32] S. J. Rey and J. T. Yee, “Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity,” Eur. Phys. J. C **22** (2001) 379 [arXiv:hep-th/9803001].
S. J. Rey, S. Theisen and J. T. Yee, “Wilson-Polyakov loop at finite temperature in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **527** (1998) 171 [arXiv:hep-th/9803135].
- [33] D. Yamada and L. G. Yaffe, “Phase diagram of $N = 4$ super-Yang-Mills theory with R-symmetry chemical potentials,” [arXiv:hep-th/0602074].
- [34] S. D. Avramis, K. Sfetsos, “Supergravity and the jet quenching parameter in the presence of R-charge densities”, [arXiv:hep-th/0606190].
- [35] N. Armesto, J. D. Edelstein, J. Mas, “Jet quenching at finite ‘t Hooft coupling and chemical potential from AdS/CFT”, [arXiv:hep-ph/0606245].