

Gravitational Anomalies, Hawking Radiation, and Spherically Symmetric Black Holes

Elias C. Vagenas ^{a,1} and Saurya Das ^{b,2}

^aNuclear and Particle Physics Section
Physics Department
University of Athens
GR-15771, Athens, Greece

^bDepartment of Physics
University of Lethbridge
4401 University Drive, Lethbridge
Alberta - T1K 3M4, Canada

Abstract

Motivated by the recent work of Robinson and Wilczek, we show that the gravitational anomaly in the Vaidya spacetime of arbitrary mass function is cancelled by the total flux of a 1+1 dimensional blackbody at the Hawking temperature of the spacetime. This cancellation restores general covariance at the quantum level. Furthermore, we generalize their results to the most general static, and spherically symmetric spacetimes.

¹Email: evagenas@phys.uoa.gr

²Email: saurya.das@uleth.ca

Introduction

An anomaly in a quantum field theory is a disagreement between a symmetry of the classical action and the process of quantization [1]. It is well known that a gravitational anomaly is an anomaly in general covariance which shows up as the non-conservation of the energy-momentum tensor. The toy model that provides the easiest way to comprehend the gravitational anomaly is that of the chiral scalar field in $1 + 1$ dimensions in which the gravitational anomaly is given as [2, 3]

$$\nabla_\mu T^\mu_\nu = \frac{1}{96\pi\sqrt{-g}}\epsilon^{\beta\delta}\partial_\delta\partial_\alpha\Gamma^\alpha_{\nu\beta} . \quad (1)$$

In this direction, Christensen and Fulling derived important constraints on the energy-momentum tensors of quantum fields in a black hole background due to the anomaly in the conformal symmetry [4]³. Their observation was that, due to the anomalous trace equation an outgoing flux was present and that flux was in agreement with the Hawking's result. However, it should be pointed out that their observation was based on several assumptions such as (a) the background was $1+1$ dimensional, (b) the fields were massless, (c) there were no back-scattering effect. Therefore, their interesting observation seemed to be a strange singular case.

Since the seminal work of Hawking on the black hole radiation [7, 8], many methods have been presented [9, 10] but all of them treated this interesting phenomenon as an independent and singular one. Recently, Robinson and Wilczek [11] presented a new method that connects the Hawking radiation to the gravitational anomalies. In this paper we have shown that their method (henceforth abbreviated as R-W method) can also be applied to a nonstatic spacetime. The simplest case is that of the Vaidya spacetime of arbitrary mass. Furthermore, we have modified the R-W method in such a way that can be utilized to more general static spacetimes. Particularly, we generalized the R-W method to the most general static, and spherically symmetric spacetimes and we provide as an example the Garfinkle-Horowitz-Strominger black hole. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we review the R-W method for the case of Schwarzschild black hole. In Section 2 we show that the R-W method is applicable to the case of nonstatic Vaidya spacetime of arbitrary mass. In Section 3 we generalize the R-W method to the most static, and spherically symmetric spacetimes. As an example the stringy Garfinkle-Horowitz-Strominger black hole is considered to verify the validity of the generalized R-W method. It is shown that the gravitational anomaly in this stringy black hole background

³For recent applications see [5, 6].

is cancelled by the total flux of a 1 + 1 dimensional blackbody at the Hawking temperature of this stringy black hole. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to a brief summary of our results.

1 R-W method for the Schwarzschild type black holes

Robinson and Wilczek [11] considered a d-dimensional Schwarzschild type spacetime

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d^2\Omega_{(d-2)} \quad (2)$$

where $d^2\Omega_{(d-2)}$ is the line element of the (d-2)-dimensional unit sphere and the metric element $f(r)$ depends on the matter distribution. The line element under study can describe many interesting spacetimes since the metric element $f(r)$ is arbitrary.

It is known that the classical action functional $S[matter, g_{\mu\nu}]$ under general coordinate transformations changes as

$$\delta_\lambda S = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \lambda^\nu \nabla_\mu T_\nu^\mu \quad (3)$$

where λ is the variational parameter. The symmetry of the classical action is expressed through the covariant conservation of the energy-momentum tensor T_ν^μ , i.e.

$$\delta_\lambda S = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_\mu T_\nu^\mu = 0 \quad (4)$$

The anomaly in field theory occurs if a symmetry of the action or the corresponding conservation law, valid in the classical theory, is violated in the quantized version. The general covariance of the full quantum theory requires the change of the effective action, i.e. $W[g_{\mu\nu}]$, to be zero

$$\delta_\lambda W = 0 \quad (5)$$

However, this is not the case here. Due to quantum effects that take place at the black hole horizon, the energy momentum tensor reads

$$T_\nu^\mu = T_{i\nu}^\mu \Theta_+ + T_{o\nu}^\mu \Theta_- + T_{\chi\nu}^\mu H \quad (6)$$

where $\Theta_\pm = \Theta(\pm(r - r_H) - \epsilon)$ are scalar step functions and $H = 1 - \Theta_+ - \Theta_-$ is a scalar “top hat” function which is equal to unity between $r_H \pm \epsilon$ and zero elsewhere. The quantities $T_{i\nu}^\mu$ and $T_{o\nu}^\mu$ are the covariantly conserved energy-momentum tensors inside and outside the horizon, respectively. The quantity $T_{\chi\nu}^\mu$ in (6) is the term of the energy-momentum tensor that is not covariantly conserved and expresses the anomalous chiral physics on the horizon. This gravitational anomaly stems from the fact that one wants

to exclude modes' propagation along one light-like direction. These modes make the time coordinate ill-defined at the horizon and one has to integrate them out. Thus, chiral theories contain gravitational anomalies.

The aforesaid gravitational anomaly is purely timelike and can be given by

$$\nabla_\mu T_{\chi\nu}^\mu \equiv A_\nu \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu N_\nu^\mu \quad (7)$$

where the quantities N_ν^μ are defined as

$$N_\nu^\mu = \frac{1}{96\pi} \epsilon^{\beta\mu} \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha \quad (8)$$

and the epsilon tensor reads

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (9)$$

The nonzero variation of the effective action is now given as

$$-\delta W = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \lambda^\nu \nabla_\mu \{ T_{i\nu}^\mu \Theta_+ + T_{o\nu}^\mu \Theta_- + T_{\chi\nu}^\mu H \} \quad (10)$$

$$= \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \lambda^t \{ \partial_r (N_t^r H) + (T_{ot}^r - T_{\chi t}^r + N_t^r) \partial \Theta_+ + (T_{it}^r - T_{\chi t}^r + N_t^r) \partial \Theta_- \} \\ + \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \lambda^r \{ (T_{or}^r - T_{\chi r}^r) \partial \Theta_+ + (T_{ir}^r - T_{\chi r}^r) \partial \Theta_- \} . \quad (11)$$

Requiring the energy momentum tensor to be constant in time and fulfilling the equation (7) the form of the energy momentum tensor is restricted up to two constants K and Q , and the trace of it T_α^α which is a function of the radial coordinate, i.e. r ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_t^t &= -\frac{(K+Q)}{f} - \frac{B(r)}{f} - \frac{I(r)}{f} + T_\alpha^\alpha(r), \\ T_r^r &= \frac{(K+Q)}{f} + \frac{B(r)}{f} + \frac{I(r)}{f}, \\ T_t^r &= -K + C(r) = -f^2 T_r^t, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the quantities $B(r)$, $C(r)$, and $I(r)$ are defined as follows

$$B(r) = \int_{r_H}^r f(x) A_r(x) dx, \quad (13)$$

$$C(r) = \int_{r_H}^r A_t(x) dx, \quad (14)$$

$$I(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_H}^r T_\alpha^\alpha(x) f'(x) dx . \quad (15)$$

A few comments are in order for the evaluation of equations (12). First, a trace could arise from a number of physical sources, among them a conformal anomaly. Second, we assume that $\frac{I}{f}\Big|_{r_H} = \frac{1}{2}T_\alpha^\alpha\Big|_{r_H}$ is finite. Third, since we will be concerned with the conditions imposed by cancelling potential divergences, finite terms will play no role. Moreover, the terms containing the components of A_ν vanish at the horizon. Fourth, for the diagonal terms of equations (12), the limit $r \rightarrow r_H$ depends on whether r_H is approached from the above or below, since f flips signs as the horizon is crossed. Finally, the limit of $\frac{1}{f}$ is exactly antisymmetric

$$\lim_{(r-r_H) \rightarrow 0_-} \left(\frac{1}{f} \right) = - \lim_{(r-r_H) \rightarrow 0_+} \left(\frac{1}{f} \right) . \quad (16)$$

We now take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The term $\partial_r (N_t^r H)$ in equation (11) vanishes. Using equation (16) in order to take all limits in equation (11) from above and the small ϵ -expansions

$$\partial_\mu \Theta_\pm = \delta_\mu^r \left(\pm 1 - \epsilon \partial_r \pm \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \partial_r^2 - \dots \right) \delta(r - r_H) , \quad (17)$$

the variation of the effective action (10-11) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda W = & \int d^2x \lambda^t \{ [K_o - K_i] \delta(r - r_H) \\ & - \epsilon [K_o + K_i - 2K_\chi - 2N_t^r] \partial \delta(r - r_H) + \dots \} \\ & - \int d^2x \lambda^r \left\{ \left[\frac{K_o + Q_o + K_i + Q_i - 2K_\chi - 2Q_\chi}{f} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \epsilon \left[\frac{K_o + Q_o - K_i - Q_i}{f} \right] \partial \delta(r - r_H) + \dots \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It is easily seen in equation (18) that only the on horizon values of the energy-momentum tensor will contribute to the gravitational anomaly. Since the parameters λ^t and λ^r are independent, each of the four terms in square brackets in equation (18) must vanish simultaneously but only need do so on the horizon. These conditions can be solved to yield

$$K_o = K_i = K_\chi + \Phi \quad (19)$$

$$Q_o = Q_i = Q_\chi - \Phi \quad (20)$$

where

$$\Phi = N_t^r \Big|_{r_H} . \quad (21)$$

The finite trace terms make no contribution in comparison to the divergent $K + Q$ terms. These conditions fix the 4 of the 6 constants K and Q . The total energy-momentum

tensor

$$T_{nu}^\mu = T_{i\nu}^\mu + T_{o\nu}^\mu + T_{\chi\nu}^\mu \quad (22)$$

can now take the form

$$T_{nu}^\mu = T_{c\nu}^\mu + T_{\Phi\nu}^\mu \quad (23)$$

where the term $T_{c\nu}^\mu$ expresses the conserved energy-momentum tensor while the term $T_{\Phi\nu}^\mu$ is a conserved tensor with $K = -Q = \Phi$, a pure flux.

For the specific Schwarzschild type black hole spacetime described by (2), the components of N_ν^μ are

$$\begin{aligned} N_t^t &= N_r^r = 0 \\ N_t^r &= \frac{1}{192\pi} (f'^2 + f''f) \\ N_r^t &= -\frac{1}{192\pi f^2} (f'^2 - f''f) . \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Therefore the quantity Φ that describes the pure flux reads

$$\Phi = N_t^r \Big|_{r_H} \quad (25)$$

$$= \frac{1}{192\pi} f'^2(r_H) . \quad (26)$$

It is well known from thermodynamics that the surface gravity κ of the specific Schwarzschild type black hole is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_H} \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} f'(r_H) . \quad (28)$$

It is also known from black hole thermodynamics that the Hawking temperature of this black hole is given as

$$T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \quad (29)$$

$$= \frac{f'(r_H)}{4\pi} \quad (30)$$

while a beam of massless black body radiation moving in the positive radial direction at a temperature T_H in a spacetime has a flux of the form

$$\Phi = \frac{\pi}{12} T^2 . \quad (31)$$

Therefore it is evident that the flux (26) required to cancel the gravitational anomaly at the horizon is precisely the thermal flux from a black hole at the Hawking temperature. Recently, Iso, Umetsu, and Wilczek [12] showed that in the case of a charged black hole apart from the gravitational anomalies, gauge anomalies show up. These are cancelled by the Hawking radiation of charged particles from the charged black hole. Furthermore, an extend version of [11] was presented in [13] which included rotating black holes.

2 The Vaidya Metric

In this section we will investigate if the R-W method is also valid for a nonstatic spacetime. The simplest nonstatic spacetime is described by Vaidya metric

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M(u)}{r} \right) du^2 + 2dudr + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (32)$$

where $u = t + r$ is the advanced time coordinate and the mass M is a function of the advanced time u . The position of the event horizon is

$$r_H = 2M(u) \quad (33)$$

and the Hawking temperature is given by

$$T_H = \frac{1}{8\pi M(u)} . \quad (34)$$

The variable temperature is meaningful only in the vicinity of horizon.

Since the Vaidya metric is of the Schwarzschild type, cf. equation (2), one has to evaluate the quantity N_t^r on the horizon. For the Vaidya metric this quantity is

$$N_t^r \Big|_{r_H} = \frac{1}{768\pi M^2(u)} \quad (35)$$

and thus the gravitational anomaly is expressed through the pure flux

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{768\pi M^2(u)} . \quad (36)$$

If now one considers the Vaidya metric to be radiating at the Hawking temperature, then the thermal flux will be as mentioned before

$$\Phi = \frac{\pi}{12} T_H^2 \quad (37)$$

where the temperature is given by equation (34) and hence the flux becomes

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{768\pi M^2(u)} \quad (38)$$

which is exactly the flux given due to the gravitational anomaly (see equation (36)). Therefore the R-W method is valid in the case of nonstatic Schwarzschild type black holes. Furthermore, if one takes the mass function to be constant and specifically equal to the ADM mass of the Schwarzschild black hole, then the quantity (35) is equal to the corresponding equation for the case of Schwarzschild black hole as given in the previous Section. Additionally, the flux (38) in this case will be the flux of Schwarzschild black hole as given by (31). Thus, we are in full agreement with the results given by Robinson and Wilczek [11].

3 Generalizing to non-Schwarzschild type black holes

In this section we generalize the R-W method to the case of non-Schwarzschild type black holes, i.e the most general static, spherically symmetric black hole solutions, described by the metric element

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dt^2}{g(r)} + r^2 d^2\Omega_{(d-2)} \quad (39)$$

where

$$f(r) \cdot g(r) \neq 1 . \quad (40)$$

If there is an horizon at $r = r_H$ then near the horizon

$$f(r) \approx f'(r_H) \cdot (r - r_H) \quad (41)$$

$$g(r) \approx g'(r_H) \cdot (r - r_H) . \quad (42)$$

We consider here as before the partial wave decomposition of a scalar field and thus the physics near the horizon can be described using the infinite collection of 1 + 1 dimensional fields, each propagating in a spacetime with a metric given by the ‘r-t’ section of the full spacetime (39). The surface gravity of the resulting spacetime will be

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f'(r_H)g'(r_H)} \quad (43)$$

and the corresponding Hawking temperature reads

$$T_H = \frac{\sqrt{f'(r_H)g'(r_H)}}{4\pi} . \quad (44)$$

It is clear that a beam of massless blackbody radiation moving in the positive radial direction at a temperature T_H has a flux of the form

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{192\pi} f'(r_H)g'(r_H) . \quad (45)$$

Following step by step the R-W method, the form of the energy-momentum tensor after the variation of the effective action (10) and restricted up to two constants K and Q and the trace of it T_α^α is now written as

$$T_t^t = -\frac{(K+Q)}{f} - \frac{B(r)}{f} - \frac{I(r)}{f} + T_\alpha^\alpha(r), \quad (46)$$

$$T_r^r = \frac{(K+Q)}{f} + \frac{B(r)}{f} + \frac{I(r)}{f}, \quad (47)$$

$$T_t^r = -K + \bar{C}(r) = -f(r)g(r)T_r^t, \quad (48)$$

where the quantities $B(r)$, $C(r)$, and $I(r)$ are defined as follows

$$B(r) = \int_{r_H}^r f(x)A_r(x)dx, \quad (49)$$

$$\bar{C}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{g(r)}{f(r)}} \int_{r_H}^r \sqrt{\frac{f(r)}{g(r)}} A_t(x)dx, \quad (50)$$

$$I(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_H}^r T_\alpha^\alpha(x)f'(x)dx. \quad (51)$$

Adopting all assumptions and expansions done in the R-W method we end up with the same contribution to the energy-momentum tensor due to the gravitational anomaly which is expressed through the pure flux (21). However the explicit expression associated with the spacetime under consideration is quite different from the one given for the Schwarzschild type black holes, i.e. expression (26). This difference stems to the fact that the components of N_ν^μ for the non-Schwarzschild type black holes are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} N_t^t &= N_r^r = 0 \\ N_t^r &= \frac{1}{192\pi} (f'g' + f''g) \\ N_r^t &= -\frac{1}{192\pi g^2} (g'^2 - g''g) . \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Therefore the quantity Φ that describes the pure flux for the non-Schwarzschild type black holes reads

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{192\pi} f'(r_H)g'(r_H) . \quad (53)$$

Comparing the flux derived after utilizing the generalized R-W method, i.e. expression (53), with the one derived using thermodynamics of black holes, i.e. expression (45), we see that they are identical.

At this point we provide as an example the Garfinkle, Horowitz and Strominger (henceforth abbreviated as GHS) black hole [14] which is member of a family of solutions to

low-energy string theory. The starting point will be the four-dimensional low-energy action obtained from string theory which is written in terms of the string metric as

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} [-R - 4(\nabla\phi)^2 + F^2] \quad (54)$$

where ϕ is the dilaton field and $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the Maxwell field associated with a U(1) subgroup of $E_8 \times E_8$ or $Spin(32)/Z_2$. The charged black hole metric is given as

$$ds_{\text{string}}^2 = -\frac{\left(1 - \frac{2Me^{\phi_0}}{r}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{Q^2 e^{3\phi_0}}{Mr}\right)} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2Me^{\phi_0}}{r}\right) \left(1 - \frac{Q^2 e^{3\phi_0}}{Mr}\right)} + r^2 d\Omega \quad (55)$$

where ϕ_0 is the asymptotic constant value of the dilaton. This metric describes a black hole with an event horizon at

$$r_+ = 2Me^{\phi_0} \quad (56)$$

when $Q^2 < 2e^{-2\phi_0} M^2$. The Hawking temperature of the GHS black hole solution (55) easily evaluated by the use of the periodicity of the Euclidean section, is given by

$$T_H = \frac{1}{8\pi M e^{\phi_0}} \quad (57)$$

which is exactly that given by equation (44) when the metric elements (55) of GHS black hole are used. It is obvious that the Hawking temperature of the GHS black hole is independent of the charge Q , for $Q < \sqrt{2}e^{-\phi_0} M$.

At extremality, i.e. when $Q^2 = 2e^{-\phi_0} M^2$, the GHS black hole solution (55) becomes

$$ds_{\text{string}}^2 = -dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2Me^{\phi_0}}{r}\right)^{-2} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega \quad (58)$$

and the corresponding Hawking temperature of the extremal GHS black hole (58) is

$$T_H^{\text{ext}} = 0 \quad (59)$$

since the Euclidean section is smooth but without identifications.

Let's now apply the generalized R-W method for this stringy black hole.

For the aforementioned black hole we have

$$f(r) = \frac{\left(1 - \frac{2Me^{\phi_0}}{r}\right)}{\left(1 - \frac{Q^2 e^{3\phi_0}}{Mr}\right)} \quad (60)$$

$$g(r) = \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2Me^{\phi_0}}{r}\right) \left(1 - \frac{Q^2 e^{3\phi_0}}{Mr}\right)} \quad (61)$$

For the generalized case the quantity N_t^r as given by (53), reads

$$N_t^r = \frac{1}{192\pi} (g'f' + gf'') . \quad (62)$$

When we evaluate this quantity at r_H the second term is zero since $g(r_H) = 0$. Thus,

$$N_t^r|_{r_H} = \frac{1}{192\pi} g'(r_H) f'(r_H) \quad (63)$$

$$= \frac{1}{192\pi} \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{4M^2} \quad (64)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{12} \left(\frac{e^{-2\phi}}{64\pi^2 M^2} \right) \quad (65)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{12} \left(\frac{1}{64\pi^2 M^2 e^{2\phi}} \right) \quad (66)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{12} \left(\frac{1}{8\pi M e^\phi} \right)^2 . \quad (67)$$

Therefore, comparing with (57), we get

$$N_t^r|_{r_H} = \frac{\pi}{12} T_H^2 . \quad (68)$$

As for the extremal case (58), it is obvious that the generalized R-W method gives the correct null result (see (59)) since in this case $f(r) = 1$ and thus $f'(r) = 0$.

4 Conclusions

In this work, we have shown that the gravitational anomaly that appears in the nonstatic Vaidya spacetime of arbitrary mass function is cancelled by the total flux of a $1+1$ dimensional blackbody radiation at the Hawking temperature and thus the general covariance at the quantum level is restored. In the limiting case where the mass function is equal to the ADM mass of the Schwarzschild black hole, we recover the results of Robinson and Wilczek. Furthermore, we have generalized the Robinson-Wilczek method to the most general static, and spherically symmetric spacetimes. As an example, the generalized method is used for the Garfinkle-Horowitz-Strominger stringy black holes. The gravitational anomaly of this stringy black hole is cancelled by the flux of a beam of massless $1+1$ dimensional fields propagating in the aforesaid stringy black hole and constituting the blackbody radiation at the Hawking temperature. Moreover, at extremality we get the known zero temperature and thus null flux indicating that there is no gravitational anomaly.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Frank Wilczek for a first reading of the paper and for encouragement to publish this work. Research for ECV is supported by the Greek State Scholarship Foundation (I.K.Y.). The work of SD was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and in part by the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics.

References

- [1] R. A. Bertlmann, *Anomalies in Quantum Field Theory*. International Series of Monographs on Physics **91**, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1996).
- [2] R. A. Bertlmann and E. Kohlprath, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **288**, 137 (2001).
- [3] L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **234**, 269 (1984).
- [4] S. M. Christensen and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977) 2088.
- [5] T. Christodoulakis, G. A. Diamandis, B. C. Georgalas and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 124022 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0107049].
- [6] E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 024015 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301149].
- [7] S. W. Hawking, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **46**, 206 (1976)].
- [8] S. W. Hawking, Nature **248** (1974) 30.
- [9] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2752 (1977).
- [10] A. W. Peet, arXiv:hep-th/0008241.
- [11] S. P. Robinson and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 011303 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0502074].
- [12] S. Iso, H. Umetsu and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 151302 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602146].
- [13] S. Iso, H. Umetsu and F. Wilczek, arXiv:hep-th/0606018.
- [14] D. Garfinkle, G. T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3140 (1991) [Erratum-ibid. D **45**, 3888 (1992)].