

# Dispelling the Hagedorn Myth: Canonical & Microcanonical Strings

Shyamoli Chaudhuri<sup>1</sup>  
1312 Oak Drive  
Blacksburg, VA 24060

Abstract

We clarify why the well-known exponential growth in the ultraviolet asymptotics of the mass level expansion in any superstring theory does not imply a divergence in the one-loop string free energy, even when restricted to target spacetime bosonic modes alone: perturbative string theory is not merely an infinite tower of point particle field theories of increasing mass; it is both finite, and renormalizable, and equivalent to the 2d gauge theory of diffeomorphism and Weyl invariances. We develop the methodology for deriving the exact temperature dependence of the free energy of any given superstring ensemble, open or closed, in the form of a power series expansion in  $T$  by explicit evaluation of the one-loop modular integrals. We also demonstrate how to show compatibility with its low energy finite temperature field theoretic limit. This methodology is then applied to the six superstring ensembles in turn. We demonstrate the impossibility of eliminating low temperature thermal tachyons from the physical state spectrum consistent with the constraints from modular invariance in both the type IIA and type IIB superstring ensembles. This is irrefutable evidence that the Hagedorn thermal "phase transition" due to the appearance of a tachyonic winding mode at a string scale temperature is a myth. Conversely, upon introduction of Yang-Mills gauge fields in the heterotic, type I, and type I', strings, we can easily meet the requirements for a tachyon-free equilibrium canonical ensemble, up to arbitrarily high temperatures. The finite temperature ground state is both anomaly-free and perturbatively renormalizable, and we can verify that our expression for the string free energy,  $F(T)$ , reproduces the expected  $T^{10}$  growth in the low energy gauge theory limit, while transitioning to a dramatically slower  $T^2$  growth upon inclusion of the full tower of massive string modes. We derive an explicit expression for the microcanonical density of states,  $g(E)$ , of the type I ensemble, demonstrating that the density of string states as a function of ensemble energy,  $E$ , grows as  $\exp[\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{E}]$ , with power law corrections, plausible evidence for a high energy phase transition in the microcanonical ensemble of type I strings at energy  $E_1 = 6^{3/2} \cdot 10^{12}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Email: shyamoli@yahoo.com

# 1 Introduction

T-duality invariance, the result of interchanging small radius with large radius,  $R \rightarrow \alpha'/R$ , is a spontaneously broken symmetry in String/M Theory: in other words, a T-duality transformation on an embedding target space coordinate will, in general, map a given background of String/M theory to a different background of the same theory. To be specific, the circle-compactified  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic oriented closed string theory is mapped under a T-duality to the circle-compactified  $Spin(32)/Z_2$  heterotic oriented closed string theory [46, 48, 49, 57, 58, 20]. The circle-compactified type IIA oriented closed string theory is mapped to the circle-compactified type IIB oriented closed string theory [47, 50]. And, finally, the unoriented type IB open and closed string theory is mapped under a T-duality transformation to the, rather unusual, type I' unoriented open and closed string theory: the integer-moded open string momentum modes associated with the compact coordinate are mapped to the integer-moded closed string winding modes in the T-dual type I' theory [50, 29, 32].

In this paper we will examine the consequences of such T-duality transformations on the Wick-rotated time coordinate  $X^0$ . It is clear that a Wick rotation on  $X^0$  maps the noncompact  $SO(9;1)$  Lorentz invariant background of a given supersymmetric string theory to the corresponding  $SO(10)$  invariant background, with an embedding time coordinate of Euclidean signature. The Wick-rotated  $SO(10)$  invariant background arises naturally in any formulation of equilibrium string statistical mechanics in the canonical ensemble, the statistical ensemble characterized by fixed temperature and fixed spatial volume  $(\beta; V)$ . The Polyakov path integral over connected world-surfaces is formulated in a target spacetime of fixed spacetime volume. Thus, the one-loop vacuum functional in the  $SO(10)$  invariant background computes precisely the sum over connected one-loop vacuum graphs in the target space  $R^9$  in the finite temperature vacuum at temperature  $T$  [1]. The appearance of a tachyonic mode in the string thermal spectrum is an indication that the worldsheet conformal field theory is no longer at a fixed point of the 2d Renormalization Group (RG): the tachyon indicates a relevant flow of the 2d RG. The question of significance is then as follows: does the relevant flow terminate in a new infrared fixed point? If so, the new fixed point determines the true thermal string vacuum. An equilibrium statistical mechanics of strings requires that this fixed point belong to a fixed line parameterized by inverse temperature [28]: the precise analog under Wick rotation of the line of fixed points parameterized by the radius of a compact spatial coordinate in the  $SO(9;1)$  vacuum. In particular, the presence of low temperature tachyons simply means that the canonical ensemble cannot be defined at all, even at temperatures far below when the first winding mode turns tachyonic [42].

Target spacetime supersymmetry, and its spontaneous breaking in the thermal vacuum along the line of fixed points parameterized by  $\beta$ , introduces new features into this discussion. We must require compatibility with the expected properties of the low energy field theory limit where the contribution from massive string modes has been suppressed, namely, those of a 10D finite temperature supersymmetric gauge theory. We must also require consistency with string theoretic symmetries of both worldsheet, and target space, origin in the Wick rotated  $SO(10)$  invariant background. In particular, Euclidean T-duality transformations must link the thermal vacua of the six different supersymmetric string theories in pairs: heterotic  $E_8 \times E_8$  and  $Spin(32)/Z_2$ , type IIA and

type IIB, and type IB and type I<sup>0</sup>. Remarkably, we will find that in the presence of Yang-Mills gauge fields, a tachyon free thermal equilibrium canonical ensemble exists, and the evidence for "Hagedorn" thermal phase transitions in all previous attempts to formulate supersymmetric string statistical mechanics is simply awed: in the type IIA and IIB ensembles, we will show that modular invariance necessarily implies the presence of low temperature tachyonic modes, precluding the interpretation of the first thermal winding mode turning tachyonic as evidence of a string scale thermal phase transition. The type II canonical ensemble cannot be defined in the full temperature range, starting at  $T=0$ ! Conversely, in the heterotic and type I-I<sup>0</sup> ensembles, a tachyon-free canonical ensemble exists up to arbitrarily high temperatures. In the more tractable case of the type I ensemble, we will also be able to give evidence of a high energy phase transition in the microcanonical ensemble. This

Having clarified that the canonical ensemble of supersymmetric strings is well-defined at all temperatures, including the temperature regime far above the string mass scale,  $M_{\text{string}}^{-1} = 2\pi\alpha'$ , we will establish several new results in this paper. First, we show that in all of the string ensembles: heterotic, or type I and type II, the growth of the free energy,  $F(\beta)$ , at high temperatures far beyond the string scale is only as fast as in a 2d quantum field theory. Thus, as was conjectured with only limited intuition as far back as 1988 by Atick and Witten [62], there is a dramatic reduction in the growth of the free energy at high temperatures. More recently [32], Polchinski has shown that the  $T^2$  growth in the free energy at high temperatures is a direct consequence of the thermal self-duality of the vacuum functional in the closed bosonic string theory. We will show in sections 2.2, 4, and 5.2, respectively, that there exists a precise analog of this behavior for all six supersymmetric string theories: in each case, the  $T^2$  growth in the free energy at high temperatures follows as a simple consequence of the Euclidean  $T$ -duality transformations that link the thermal ground states of the supersymmetric string theories in pairs. The  $T^2$  growth in the free energy will also be demonstrated directly in each case by explicit evaluation of the one-loop modular integrals.

Our starting point in this paper is the generating functional of connected one-loop vacuum string graphs,  $W(\beta) = -\ln Z(\beta)$ , where  $Z(\beta)$  is the canonical partition function.  $W(\beta)$  is derived from first principles in the Polyakov path integral formalism following [2, 1, 10].<sup>2</sup> The free energy,  $F(\beta)$ , and vacuum energy density,  $\rho(\beta)$ , can be directly inferred from  $W(\beta)$ . Let us recall the basic

---

<sup>2</sup>In earlier papers that include discussion of the bosonic string ensemble as a pedagogical toy model [42, 41], we pointed out that, a priori, there is a peculiar ambiguity in the Euclidean time prescription for finite temperature quantum theories that can be illustrated by a canonical ensemble of strings: unlike point particles, strings are one-dimensional objects sensitive to the topology of the embedding space. In physics applications where Lorentz invariance of the target spacetime is not such a sacrosanct principle it appears to us, a priori, an open question whether Euclidean time in the thermal prescription has the topology of a circle, or that of an interval. However, to avoid the misconception that any of our results for the canonical ensemble of the Lorentz invariant 10D supersymmetric string theories rests on such ambiguity, we have removed all mention of the issue in this paper. We make the standard identification of inverse temperature with the radius of the Euclidean timelike coordinate:  $\beta = 2\pi r_{\text{circ}}$ , which has the topology of a circle. But this identification is unambiguously mandated by the relationship of a given thermal background of string theory with Euclidean timelike embedding coordinate, via Wick rotation, to a corresponding Lorentz invariant background of string theory. It should be noted that a spontaneous violation of Lorentz invariance would indeed occur in backgrounds of string/M theory carrying an antisymmetric two-form potential  $B_{\mu\nu}$  [27]. To avoid confusion, no such background fields will be introduced in the Lorentz invariant 10D flat spacetime string theory backgrounds whose finite temperature behavior is discussed in this paper.

thermodynamic identities of the canonical ensemble [39]:

$$F = W = -PV; \quad P = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial V}; \quad U = T^2 \frac{\partial W}{\partial T}; \quad S = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial T}; \quad C_V = T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \quad (1)$$

Note that  $W$  is an intensive thermodynamic variable without explicit dependence on the spatial volume.  $F$  is the Helmholtz free energy of the ensemble of strings,  $U$  is the internal energy, and  $\phi$  is the finite temperature effective potential, or vacuum energy density, at finite temperature.  $S$  and  $C_V$  are, respectively, the entropy and specific heat of the canonical ensemble. The pressure of the string ensemble simply equals the negative of the vacuum energy density, as is true for a cosmological constant, just as in an ideal fluid with negative pressure [39]. The enthalpy,  $H = U + PV$ , the Helmholtz free energy,  $F = U - TS$ , and the Gibbs function, also known as the Gibbs free energy, is  $G = U - TS + PV$ . As a result of these relations, all of the thermodynamic potentials of the string ensemble have been given a simple, first-principles, formulation in terms of the Polyakov path integral. Notice, in particular, that since  $P = -\phi$ , the one-loop contribution to the Gibbs free energy of the string ensemble vanishes identically! Finally, the reader may wonder why we omit mention of tree-level contributions to the vacuum energy density. In the case of the pure closed type II and heterotic string theories, or for the closed string sector of the type I and type I' open and closed string theories, there are none [32]: the underlying reason can be traced to reparametrization invariance of worldsheets with the topology of a sphere. On the other hand, in the presence of D-branes and orientifold planes, one indeed finds nontrivial tree-level terms in the vacuum energy density contributed by disk, and crosscap, amplitudes [32, 10]. However, in the Ramond-Ramond sector tadpole-free vacuum described in section 5.1, the overall tree-level contribution to the vacuum energy density vanishes [32]. We comment that the type IIB, and type I', unoriented open and closed string ensembles are especially interesting because of the close comparison that can be made with the finite temperature supersymmetric Yang-Mills gauge theory obtained in the low energy field theory limit, where the contribution to the vacuum amplitude from massive string modes has been suppressed. We will defer discussion to a separate paper.

The intuition that a gas of short open strings transitions into a high temperature long string phase is an old piece of string folklore, dating to Hagedorn's 1965 paper [43, 51, 60, 63, 65, 70, 67], and the existence of a novel "Hagedorn" phase has been assumed to be a direct consequence of the exponential growth in the number of states in the string mass spectrum. However, as is clear from the detailed analysis of the string free energy, presented in turn for each of the six superstring theories in this paper, the free energy itself is free of both divergences, and of non-analyticity. In particular, it is not true that a superstring canonical ensemble will always "break down" above some characteristic string scale Hagedorn temperature. In the tachyon-free heterotic and type I-I' finite temperature vacua, the canonical ensemble is well-defined up to arbitrarily high temperatures. Conversely, in the tachyonic type IIA and type IIB thermal vacua, the ground state is already unstable at low temperatures arbitrarily close to  $T = 0$ ! Thus, in no case do we find any evidence of a thermal phase transition at a string scale temperature.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup>In a very recent paper, Dienes and Lilieck [72] have tried to re-identify the Hagedorn phase transition in the heterotic string as the power law divergence in the  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  limit of one of the thermodynamic potentials, but not the free energy, when we isolate the contribution of a thermal winding mode turning massless. Their main point is that

It would, of course, be disappointing if all of our results were negative. We will show in section 6 that there is indeed evidence of a phase transition to a novel high energy phase in the behavior of the microcanonical density of string states. As is well-known, and as reviewed in detail in section 2.1 for the closed type II superstring theories, the number of states in the mass spectrum of any string theory grows as  $\exp[\sqrt{n}] \sim \exp[M]$  at high oscillator level number,  $n; M \sim \sqrt{n}$  in the perturbative string spectrum. This property is basically a consequence of the famous Hardy-Ramanujan formula [44], which can be used to examine the ultraviolet asymptotics of the level expansion for the mass spectrum of any string theory. The exponential growth is always characterized by a parameter with dimensions of an energy or temperature, since we work in natural units, and which has become known as the "Hagedorn temperature". However, as explained in detail in section 2.1, the exponential growth characterizing the ultraviolet asymptotics of the level expansion does not imply a corresponding divergence in the string free energy,  $F(T)$ , as a function of temperature.

In section 6, we therefore analyze the string microcanonical density of states function from first principles, starting with the more tractable case of the type IB string ensemble. Computation of the latter quantity requires that we first carry out the integral over the worldsheet moduli appearing in the string vacuum amplitude explicitly, exponentiate the string vacuum functional,  $W(\tau)$ , to obtain the canonical partition function of the string ensemble,  $Z(\tau) = \exp[W(\tau)]$ , and then carry out an inverse Laplace transform to obtain the density of states in the string microcanonical ensemble [61, 65]:

$$g(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} d\tau \exp[W(\tau)] e^{-E\tau}; \quad (2)$$

As we will show in this paper, it is a remarkable fact that this procedure can be carried out in closed form for at least the most tractable of the string ensembles, namely, the type IB open string ensemble. Nor do there appear to be any insurmountable problems in carrying out the analogous procedure for the heterotic closed string ensemble. We will succeed in showing that the growth in  $g(E)$  as a function of energy is, in fact, slower than that of an exponential.

We start with the free energy of the type IB ensemble,  $F_{IB}(\tau) = -W_{IB}(\tau)$  carrying out the modular integral explicitly in order to obtain the closed form expression:

$$F_{ann}(\tau) = -V(4\pi\alpha')^{-9/2} \left( \frac{1}{T^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_m}{T^{2(m+1)}} \right) e^{-2(m+1)\tau}; \quad (3)$$

where the leading  $T^2$  growth is found to be corrected by a negligible  $T e^{-\tau}$  dependence at high temperature. We emphasize that this result for  $F_{IB}(\tau)$  is exact, including contributions from all of the massive string modes, as well as the full Matsubara thermal mode spectrum. The values of the various parameters can be found in section 5.2. Dropping the exponentially suppressed subleading terms in  $W_{IB}(\tau)$ , the result for the density of states in the microcanonical ensemble is found to take

---

the contribution from a massless mode to the string level expansion is not accompanied by exponential suppression in the  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  limit. As is clear in both the heterotic, and type I-I' thermal ground states described in our paper, there are no such massless contributions in the free energy. The thermodynamic potentials should, strictly speaking, be obtained by taking derivatives with respect to temperature of the closed form expression for the free energy,  $F(T)$ , after evaluation of the modular integral. There is no evidence of divergences in the systematic, term-by-term, evaluation of the modular integral described by us in section 3.

the form :

$$g_{IB}(E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \left( \frac{E}{E_1} \right)^{k-1} \quad (4)$$

A quick glance at the growth of the numerical coefficients in the power series expansion in energy,  $E$ , clarifies that the growth in energy of the density of states in the microcanonical ensemble is slower than the exponential expected from Hagedorn folklore, due to the additional factorial in the denominator. More precisely, it turns out to grow as  $\exp[2^{p-1} E]$ , where  $E_1$  is a numerical coefficient in units of  $E_1 = 2^{p-1}$  given in the text.<sup>4</sup> Thus, while we can safely conclude that there is no Hagedorn phase transition in the type IB open string ensemble, we have found instead evidence in the microcanonical ensemble for a high energy phase transition at  $E_1 = 2^{p-1}$ . Plausibly, this indicates the onset of the Long String phase at ensemble energies above  $E_1$ , where most of the ensemble energy resides in a single long closed string [51, 60, 63, 65, 70].

Notice that this discussion invokes the standard inverse Laplace transform linking the canonical partition function to the microcanonical density of states in statistical mechanics [39] to derive the properties of the microcanonical ensemble of oriented type IB open strings at fixed energy,  $E$ , from our results for the canonical ensemble at fixed temperature,  $T$ . Such a first principles derivation would only be valid in the absence of any exchange of energy between the containing "walls" and the ensemble of quantized strings: there should be no thermal backreaction on the fields describing the embedding target space geometry. A microcanonical ensemble, namely, a fixed energy ensemble, of strings, assumes a closed system: energy cannot flow out of the quantum statistical ensemble. We should note that it is therefore important to probe the question of thermal back-reaction on the target space geometry; is it plausible that one can address this issue at higher orders in string perturbation theory?

That question requires us to address the expected Jeans instability of a gravitating statistical ensemble [39, 35, 62], and the basic definition of the thermodynamic limit in the presence of gravity. Consider an ensemble of total mass,  $M$ , and Schwarzschild radius,  $R_S$ , with one-loop vacuum energy density,  $\rho = F(E) = R_S^{D-1}$ . Recall that the Newtonian gravitational coupling,  $G_N \sim g_s^2$ , where  $g_s$  is the closed string coupling. Since  $M \sim R_S^{D-1}$ , we have the relation:

$$R_S \gg \frac{1}{g_s^2} \quad (5)$$

In other words, strictly speaking, it is only possible to take the infinite volume limit  $V \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ , when the energy density per unit volume of the gravitating ensemble happens to be zero, or in the limit of vanishing string coupling. The former possibility certainly does not hold for generic gravitating thermal ensembles, as explained in the standard references [35, 62, 70]. But it appears to be true for the massless radiation gas, namely, the anomaly-free low energy gauge theory limit of the vacuum energy density of the type IB string ensemble, when we include all of the open and unoriented contributions required by Ramond-Ramond sector tadpole cancellation. At higher

<sup>4</sup>The observation that our result for  $g(E)$  can be written in closed form as the Bessel function,  $I_1(2^{p-1} E)$ , permitting such an inference, is due to Juan Maldacena.

orders in string perturbation theory, however, the gravitational contribution to the one-loop vacuum energy density from the torus amplitude must feed in.

A historical account of previous work in string statistical mechanics can be found in section 2 of our paper, hep-th/0409301v1. The clarification that string theory is not merely an infinite tower of point particle field theories of increasing mass was already made by Polchinski in his 1986 analysis of one-loop amplitudes in the closed bosonic string theory. Corresponding results for the one-loop amplitudes of the open and closed bosonic string theory with exact dependence on a two-form background field strength were given by myself and Novak in [8], thereby clarifying the nature of perturbative renormalizability in string theories in generic background fields. The extension to the infrared finite type II superstrings with D branes appears in [7]. Finally, the equivalence of the heterotic and type I string theories was established in [9], thus closing the circle on the web of perturbatively renormalizable superstring theories with sixteen supercharges at zero temperature. In this paper, we extend this picture to the web of finite temperature ground states.

## 2 The Type II Closed Superstring Ensembles

Let us begin with the covariant expression for the one-loop vacuum amplitude of closed oriented type IIA and type IIB strings in the zero temperature vacuum with target space 10D  $N=2$  supersymmetry. This expression can be derived from first principles starting with the Polyakov path integral, using the component formalism for the Brink-de Vechia-Howe-Deser-Zumino action with  $(1,1)$  worldsheet supersymmetry (see, for example, [25, 7]). Upon gauging to superconformal gauge with the elimination of ghosts, and following the functional integration over the worldsheet bosons and fermions, the result is an ordinary integral over the fundamental domain of the torus, parameterized by the complex modulus [1]:

$$W_{II} = L^{10} (4\pi\alpha')^{-10} \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{4\pi^2} (2\pi\alpha')^4 [(\eta(\tau))^8 (\bar{\eta}(\tau))^8] Z_{\text{em}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}); \quad (6)$$

where we work with the simple box regularization  $L^{10}$ , and the domain of integration is the fundamental domain of the modular group of genus one surfaces:  $j \in [1, 1+2\pi i] + 1+2\pi i$  [1]. The dimensionless, or rescaled, spacetime volume is  $L^{10} (4\pi\alpha')^{-5}$ . Thus, in the  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  limit one can simultaneously take the size of the "box" to infinity while keeping the rescaled volume fixed. This will define the approach to the thermodynamic limit of the string ensemble at finite temperature. For the type IIA and IIB superstring theories, each of which describes a pure 10D  $N=2$  supergravity in the low energy field theory limit, the thermal ensemble is expected to correspond to a gas of pure (super)gravitational radiation in flat ten-dimensional target spacetime. Can we describe a state of stable thermal equilibrium for this ensemble?

Prior to discussion of the finite temperature ensemble, it is helpful to begin with a description of the physics of the supersymmetric one-loop vacuum amplitude given by the expression above. In terms of the standard Jacobi theta functions, the level expansion for the closed string spectrum,

$Z_{II}(\tau; q) = j(q)j^{-16}Z_{\text{ferm}}(q;q)$ , takes the explicit form [32]:

$$Z_{II} = \frac{1}{4} j(q)j^{-16} \left( \frac{00}{1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{01}{1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{10}{1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{11}{1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{00}{-1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{01}{-1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{10}{-1} \right)^4 \left( \frac{11}{-1} \right)^4 ; \quad (7)$$

where the upper (lower) sign holds for the type IIA (IIB) superstring theory. Expansion of  $Z_{II}$  in integer powers of  $(qq)^{1/2}$ , where  $q = \exp[2\pi i(\tau_1 + i\tau_2)]$ , enables identification of the degeneracy of physical states in the closed string spectrum, mass level by mass level: recall that the covariant quantization procedure introduced ghost fields, which compensated precisely for contributions to the vacuum amplitude from the longitudinal modes of the worldsheet superconformal field theory. Thus, the final result for the one-loop vacuum amplitude, and level expansion, will only contain terms that can be put into one-to-one correspondence with the transverse modes identified in the physical light-cone gauge quantization [32].

It is helpful to make this correspondence between the level expansion and the physical state spectrum more explicit. Recall that physical states are distinguished by the requirement that the contributions to the worldsheet Hamiltonian,  $L_0 + \bar{L}_0$ , from, respectively, left-moving modes and right-moving modes are exactly matched:  $L_0 = \bar{L}_0$ , also taking integer values. Thus, in computing the physical state spectrum, we will only be interested in terms in the level expansion that appear with equal powers of  $q$  and  $\bar{q}$ . We will find that terms which appear with unequal powers either cancel out in the level expansion, or are eliminated from the vacuum amplitude upon performing the integral over the modulus  $\tau$ . The latter possibility does not occur in the standard supersymmetric vacuum amplitude. The mass formula takes the form:

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha' (m_{\text{ass}})^2 = N_b + \bar{N}_b + N_f + \bar{N}_f + E_{\text{vac}} \\ E_{NS, NS} = 1; E_{NS, R} = \frac{1}{2}; E_{R, NS} = \frac{1}{2}; E_{R, R} = 0 ; \quad (8)$$

where  $x^{\mu}$  labels the eight transverse target space coordinates, and  $E_{\text{vac}}$  is the vacuum energy of the transverse worldsheet fields:  $1=24$  for each boson,  $+1=24$  for a periodic fermion, and  $-1=48$  for an antiperiodic fermion.  $(NS, NS)$ ,  $(NS, R)$ ,  $(R, NS)$ , and  $(R, R)$  denote the boundary conditions satisfied by the worldsheet fermions upon traversal around the two noncontractible cycles of the torus: the anti-periodic and periodic boundary conditions are referred to, respectively, as Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond, with respectively, half-integer-moded and integer-moded fermionic oscillators. The  $(NS, NS)$  sector vacuum is tachyonic, as are the single-fermion  $(R, NS)$  and  $(NS, R)$  vacua which appear at the half-integer mass level. Note that the latter are unphysical, since the left and right moving contributions to the worldsheet Hamiltonian do not match. It can be verified that tachyonic states are absent from the level expansion for the supersymmetric vacuum.

The target spacetime graviton-antisymmetric tensor-dilaton massless multiplet appears with  $N_b = \bar{N}_b = \frac{1}{2}$  in the  $(NS, NS)$  sector:  $8_v - 8_v = 1 + 28 + 35$ . Their target space supersymmetric partners are the spinor-vectors of the transverse  $SO(8)$  subgroup of the ten-dimensional Lorentz group:  $N_b = \frac{1}{2}$  in the  $(NS, R)$  sector,  $\bar{N}_b = \frac{1}{2}$  in  $(R, NS)$  sectors. These contribute the gravitinos of a 10D  $N=2$  supergravity theory. They are distinguished by their spacetime chirality, originating in the eigenvalue of the worldsheet fermion number operator,  $e^{iF} = \pm 1$ , as follows:

$$\text{Type IIA : } (R+; NS+); (NS+; R-) \quad \& \quad (8 - 8_v); (8_v - 8^0)$$



$$\text{Type IIB : } (R+; N S+); (N S+; R+) \quad \& \quad (8 \quad 8_v); (8_v \quad 8) \quad : \quad (9)$$

Defining spacetime chirality as the product of left and right moving worldsheet fermion number, we find that the IIA theory has two 10D spinors of opposite chirality while the IIB theory has two 10D spinors of identical chirality.

Target spacetime bosons and target spacetime fermions contribute with opposite signs to the level expansion, so that the result vanishes at each mass level. This is simply an illustration of target spacetime supersymmetry. Explicitly, in terms of the variable  $qq = e^{-4\sigma^2}$ , the level expansion for the type II closed string spectrum takes the form :

$$Z_{II} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^{(III)} e^{-4\sigma^2 n} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (qq)^{-1} [256qq + O((qq)^2)]^n (qq)^{-1} (256qq + O((qq)^2))^{oi} : \quad (10)$$

Compare with the explicit identification of states in the physical spectrum in terms of individual oscillators and vacuum energy contributions of fields in the  $c=12$  superconformal field theory given above. We find that the integers  $d_m$  appearing in the level expansion correspond to the degeneracies in terms of equivalent 2d free bosonic oscillator modes: the dilaton-graviton-antisymmetric tensor multiplet indeed has degeneracy  $8^2$ , while its target space supersymmetric partner had degeneracy  $2 \times 8^2$ , including the spinors from both R-NS and NS-R sectors. Thus, the equality of their contributions to the vacuum amplitude implies that although there are twice as many target space fermionic modes, each contributes half as much as a target space bosonic mode. This is a simple consequence of the  $N=2$  target spacetime supersymmetry.

In closing this introductory discussion, we should note that the relative phases weighting individual Jacobi theta functions in the expression for the one-loop amplitude are usually explained as following from the Gliozzi-Scherk-Olive projection to the subspace of states in the Hilbert space with even "G-parity" [32]:

$$\text{Type IIA : } e^{iF} = +1; e^{iF} = -1 (R); +1 (NS); \quad \text{Type IIB : } e^{iF} = e^{iF} = +1 : \quad (11)$$

In recent work [7], we have pointed out that it is more intuitive to understand the phases in the path integral as determined by the imposition of infrared consistency conditions on the target space physics. Since there are four one-loop Jacobi theta functions corresponding to the four choices of spin structure on a torus, and since the contribution from the (R-R) sector vanishes identically in any type II vacuum without D-branes, a priori, the one-loop vacuum amplitude of either type II superstring has three undetermined phases.<sup>5</sup> The three unknown phases could have been determined as follows:

the absence of tachyons in the level expansion.

---

<sup>5</sup>Notice that in the absence of D-branes the R-R sector is trivial, so that the one-loop vacuum amplitude of type IIA coincides with that of type IIB. This is despite the fact that the detailed assignment of target spacetime fields to states in the worldsheet Hilbert space is distinct. We should emphasize that the one-loop vacuum amplitude alone does not uniquely characterize the string spectrum, but it is a helpful guide in inferring all possible solutions for the physical state spectrum given certain constraints. More complete information is provided by the set of one-loop N point functions [1, 24, 1, 32, 8].

the requirement of target space supersymmetry, starting with the equality of contributions from massless bosons and massless fermions.

the overall phase of the amplitude can be inferred by comparison of the level zero contribution with a corresponding result in the low energy field theory of massless modes. In performing this last step, it is helpful to isolate the contribution from target spacetime bosonic modes alone since we have a supersymmetric theory.

We will find similar reasoning to be helpful in arriving at suitable criteria for the free energy of the thermal ensembles of the different supersymmetric string theories in the following sections of this paper.

## 2.1 Ultraviolet Asymptotics and Exponential Growth

Since target spacetime bosons and target spacetime fermions make equal contributions to the vacuum amplitude at each mass level, it is helpful to calculate the ultraviolet asymptotics of the coefficients,  $b_n^{(\text{III})}$ , appearing in the level expansion as a function of level number,  $n$ , separately for bosons, or fermions. The level expansion above can be resummed in compact form with the result [11, 16]:

$$Z_{\text{Bos(Ferm)}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{\text{B(F)}} x^n = \frac{\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^n)^8}{\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^8} ; \quad (12)$$

where  $x = e^{-\beta}$ , and  $n$  denotes mass level in the closed string spectrum. Using the Hardy-Ramanujan formula, we can infer the asymptotic form of the "degeneracy",  $P_{\text{Bos(Ferm)}}(n)$ , for large level number  $N$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{\text{Bos(Ferm)}}(n) = n^{-(c+3)/4} \exp \left[ \frac{P}{2n^{1/2}} \right] \quad (13)$$

where  $c_{\text{L(R)}} = 12$  is the (holomorphic) central charge of the remnant transverse degrees of freedom in the (1,1) worldsheet superconformal field theory following conformal gauge fixing and the introduction of Faddeev-Popov ghosts. It had long been standard wisdom in string folklore that the exponential growth in the number of target spacetime fermions, or target spacetime bosons, must be indicative of a divergence or non-analyticity in the free energy of the string canonical ensemble at some finite temperature of order the string scale. This expectation turns out to be incorrect in infrared finite, and consequently tachyon-free, string theories even when restricting consideration to target space bosons alone: the reason is the manifest modular invariance of the integrand of the one-loop vacuum amplitude, as a consequence of which the integration domain is restricted to the fundamental region,  $F$ , of the modular group of genus one Riemann surfaces. For string theories with thermal tachyons, and this is the case for both the closed bosonic string [1, 42] as well as the closed type II superstrings analyzed in section 2.2, we will show that the notion of a Hagedorn "thermal phase transition" is even more meaningless because the canonical ensemble is ridden with thermal tachyons at all temperatures starting from zero.

Let us begin by clarifying why the contribution to the one-loop vacuum amplitude in the supersymmetric zero temperature vacuum from target spacetime bosons alone is both finite, and unambiguously normalized, despite the exponential growth in the ultraviolet asymptotics of the

level expansion demonstrated above. The expressions above had been written in a form that makes invariance of the integrand of  $W_{II}$  under modular transformations of genus one Riemann surfaces manifest. Recall that the measure for moduli,  $d^2\tau = 4\pi^2 d\tau_1 d\tau_2$ , is an invariant [1, 32], as is the remnant contribution from bosonic worldsheet modes after conformal gauge fixing and elimination of ghosts,  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq 0} j |j|^2$ . Thus,  $Z_{\text{ferm}}(\tau; \theta)$ , the remnant contribution from worldsheet fermionic modes post-gauge fixing is also modular invariant, as can be verified by checking the transformations of the Jacobi theta functions under the two generators of the modular group of the torus:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(\tau+1) &= \theta_1(\tau) \\ \theta_1(\tau) &= \theta_1(\tau) \\ \theta_2(\tau+1) &= \theta_2(\tau) \\ \theta_2(\tau) &= \theta_2(\tau) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we use the alternative standard notation for the Jacobi theta functions:  $\theta_0 = \theta_3$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta_4$ ,  $\theta_{10} = \theta_2$ ,  $\theta_{11} = \theta_1$ . Thus, while the holomorphic, and antiholomorphic, contributions to the one-loop vacuum amplitude of the type II superstring are individually invariant under a  $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$  transformation, it is only the product of holomorphic and antiholomorphic contributions which is invariant under the  $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$  transformation. This distinction will be important in the derivation of the one-loop vacuum amplitude for the chiral heterotic closed string theories.

Resumming the level expansion gives the following alternative, and much more compact, expression for the one-loop vacuum amplitude for type IIA, or type IIB, strings in 10D noncompact at target spacetime:

$$W_{\text{Bos}} = L^{10} (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-5} \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{4\pi^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + e^{4\pi i m \tau_2}]^8}{[1 - e^{4\pi i m \tau_2}]^8} ; \quad (15)$$

where  $F$  denotes the fundamental domain of the modular group of genus one Riemann surfaces:  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 1$ ,  $1=2$ . The infinite summations in this expression includes only physical target spacetime bosons in the closed superstring spectrum; there is an identical contribution to  $W_{II}$  with opposite sign from physical target spacetime fermions.

Substituting for the ultraviolet asymptotics of the level expansion in the modular integral using the Hardy-Ramanujan formula, and making the boundaries of the integration domain explicit, we have:

$$W_{\text{Bos}} \approx L^{10} (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-5} \int_{1=2}^{\infty} d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_2=0}^{\tau_2=1} d\tau_2 \left( \frac{1}{4\pi^2} n^3 \exp[2\pi i n \tau_2] e^{4\pi i n \tau_2} \right) ; \quad (16)$$

Notice that the integrand would grow without bound for large  $n$  if  $\tau_2 \neq 0$ , since the  $\exp[2\pi i n \tau_2]$  growth of the coefficient would be unchecked by the  $\exp[-n]$  damping. But, fortunately, the potentially dangerous region,  $\tau_2 < 1$ , is itself absent from the fundamental domain of genus one Riemann surfaces! Thus, the modular integral over  $F$  turns out to always give a finite and unambiguously normalized result, as can be verified by explicitly carrying out the integration. We will carry out this check in section 2.3.

In other words, despite the exponential growth in the number of target space bosonic modes in the type II superstring mass spectrum in the ultraviolet, there is no corresponding divergence in

the contribution from target space bosons alone to the one-loop vacuum amplitude. The reason is that the one-loop vacuum energy in string theory is given by an integral over the moduli of genus one Riemann surfaces. Modular invariance of the integrand ensures that the region in the complex plane where the dangerous ultraviolet asymptotics of the level expansion would have dominated the value of the integral has, in fact, been self-consistently eliminated. The type II superstring theories are indeed ultraviolet finite, and this should not be misconstrued as a consequence of target spacetime supersymmetry: the one-loop vacuum amplitude for bosonic target spacetime modes is itself free of ultraviolet divergences.

## 2.2 Free Energy of the Type II Canonical Ensemble

Let us move on to consideration of the one-loop vacuum amplitude for type IIA or type IIB superstrings when we quantize in the Euclidean target spacetime  $R^9 \times S^1$ , and where the circle has radius  $\beta$ , identified as inverse temperature. In the compact Euclidean space the superstring spectrum will acquire both thermal winding and thermal momentum modes. The one loop string free energy is given by the expression  $F_{II} = -W_{II}(\beta) = -\ln Z_{II}(\beta)$ . What form must the string vacuum functional,  $W_{II}(\beta)$ , take in order to describe an equilibrium canonical ensemble of closed type IIA or type IIB superstrings?

Since our goal is to preserve the beautiful renormalizability properties of the superstring theory in the finite temperature vacuum, with both infrared and ultraviolet finiteness,  $W(\beta)$  must take the form of an integral over the modular group of genus one Riemann surfaces with a modular invariant integrand. As demonstrated above, the leading terms in the level expansion can be interpreted in terms of the target spacetime physics of a supergravity field theory at finite temperature in ten dimensions. Target space supersymmetry will be (spontaneously) broken at finite temperature. The existence of a stable gravitating ensemble in thermodynamic equilibrium requires the absence of target space tachyons — an indication of an unstable vacuum, where we must follow the direction of worldsheet RG flow in order to discover the true infrared fixed point. In addition, since the vacuum energy density is necessarily non-zero in the absence of supersymmetry, we must fulfill the criterion for the absence of the classical Jeans instability in a gravitating ensemble [35, 62]:

$$R_S \gg \frac{1}{g_s^2(\beta)} \quad ; \quad (17)$$

where  $R_S$  is the classical "Schwarzschild" radius of the gravitating ensemble,  $G_N = g_s^2$  is Newton's gravitational constant which scales as the square of the closed string coupling, and  $\rho(\beta) = F(\beta) = V$  is the vacuum energy density of the ensemble in the finite temperature vacuum. As before, we can frame additional infrared consistency conditions on the one-loop type IIA or type IIB string vacuum amplitude at finite temperature:

the absence of thermal tachyons in the level expansion.

the spontaneous breaking of target space supersymmetry at finite temperature.

the demonstration of a  $T^{10}$  growth of the free energy with increasing temperature in the low energy field theory limit, obtained by isolating the leading contribution to the string theory level expansion from massless field theory modes alone.

We will find that all three requirements can be successfully fulfilled in the type IIA or type IIB finite temperature vacuum, properties that will continue to hold for the heterotic, type IB, and type I' string ensembles, as will be shown later in this paper.

In order to understand the stringent limitations on the expression for the one-loop vacuum amplitude in the finite temperature vacuum,  $R^9 S^1$ , imposed by modular invariance, recall that the level expansion must result from a generic combination of modular forms expressed in the basis of the four, holomorphic and antiholomorphic, Jacobi theta functions, weighted by, a priori, undetermined phases. In addition, the zero temperature mass formulae in each spin structure sector will now acquire additional contributions from thermal winding and thermal momentum modes. Let us begin by examining the resulting shifts in the ground state energy of the NS-NS vacuum. Recall that this is a tachyonic state in the zero temperature Hilbert space, eliminated from the level expansion for either supersymmetric type II superstring theory by a judicious choice of phases in the vacuum amplitude.

Suppressing the oscillator contributions, the ground state energy in the NS-NS sector for physical states satisfying the level matching constraint takes the form :

$$(m_{\text{ass}})_L^2 = (m_{\text{ass}})_R^2 = \frac{4}{0} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{4 - 2 \alpha_n^2}{2} + \frac{2 w^2}{4 - 2 \alpha_0} \quad ; \quad (18)$$

Notice that the pure momentum and pure winding states,  $(n; 0)$  and  $(0; w)$ , are potential tachyons that enter into the general expression for the level expansion. In the absence of oscillator excitations, each pure momentum mode,  $(n; 0)$ , is tachyonic up to some critical temperature,  $T_n^2 = 1 = 2n^2 - 2\alpha_0$ , beyond which it turns marginal (massless). Conversely, each pure winding mode  $(0; w)$ , turns tachyonic beyond some critical temperature,  $T_w^2 = w^2 = 8 - 2\alpha_0$ . Recall that under a thermal duality transformation, the type IIA superstring is mapped to the type IIB superstring:

$$_{\text{IIA}} \rightarrow _{\text{IIB}} = 4 - 2\alpha_0 = _{\text{IIA}} ; \quad (n; w)_{\text{IIA}} \rightarrow (n^0 = w; w^0 = n)_{\text{IIB}} ; \quad (19)$$

thus interchanging the identification of momentum and winding modes in the type IIA and type IIB thermal spectrum. As in the zero temperature vacuum in the absence of D branes, the one-loop vacuum amplitude for type IIA or type IIB superstrings at finite temperature will therefore take identical form. What changes is the detailed identification of individual states in the string mass spectrum in terms of type IIA, or type IIB, data. Now consider the full amplitude including all spin structure sectors. At finite temperature, the level expansion appearing in the one-loop type II closed superstring vacuum amplitude will take the general form :

$$Z_{\text{II}}(\tau; \theta; \phi) = \sum_{n, w=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(\tau; \theta; \phi)} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} \sum_{(\tau; \theta; \phi)} \frac{1}{5} q^{\alpha_{P_L}^2 = 4} q^{\alpha_{P_R}^2 = 4} ; \quad (20)$$

where the, a priori, undetermined phases,  $C_{\alpha} = 1$ , must be determined by one-loop modular invariance, subject to the infrared consistency conditions. A priori, it would seem that the phases

can depend non-trivially on the thermalmode numbers  $(n; w)$ :  $n$  and  $w$ , respectively, denote thermalmomentum and thermalm winding number. The holomorphic (antiholomorphic) closed string zero mode spectrum on the circle of radius  $=2$  takes the form [1, 32]:

$$p_L = \frac{2n}{2} + \frac{w}{2}; \quad p_R = \frac{2n}{2} - \frac{w}{2} \quad (21)$$

It is instructive to begin by clarifying the restrictions from one-loop modular invariance on the possible dependence of phases,  $C$ , on thermalmode numbers. Invariance of the thermalspectrum under the modular group of the torus is most easily analysed using the standard basis for thermalmode summations introduced in [52]:

$$E_0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}; w \text{ even}; E_{1=2} : n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}; w \text{ even}; O_0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}; w \text{ odd}; O_{1=2} : n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}; w \text{ odd}; \quad (22)$$

A  $\frac{1}{2}$  transformation leaves  $(E_0 + E_{1=2})$  and  $(O_0 - O_{1=2})$  invariant, while interchanging  $(E_0 - E_{1=2})$  and  $(O_0 + O_{1=2})$ . Under  $\frac{1}{2} + 1$ , the first three summations are invariant, while  $O_{1=2}$  maps to the negative of itself. As in the case of the closed bosonic string theory, the left-right symmetry of the worldsheet (1,1) superconformal field theory implies a unique choice of modular invariant level expansion for the type II superstring ensemble:

$$Z_{II}(\tau) = \frac{1}{4} [(\tau) (\bar{\tau})]^{-12} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{E_0 + E_{1=2}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{O_0 - O_{1=2}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{E_0 - E_{1=2}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{O_0 + O_{1=2}}{h^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^2 \right] \quad (23)$$

There are an infinity of thermaltachyons in the first term, namely, thermalmomentum modes with  $w$  even, and  $n$  taking integer, or half-integer, values. This is the precise analog of the tachyon spectrum of the closed bosonic string ensemble: the canonical ensemble of type II superstrings is ill-defined in the full temperature range starting at  $T = 0$ !

In order to gain some intuition into whether this expression makes sense from the perspective of target space physics, it is helpful to check its low energy field theoretic limit. Notice that supersymmetry has indeed been broken spontaneously at finite temperature. Namely, the contribution of the  $n$  mode to the level expansion goes as:  $\exp[-\frac{1}{2}n^2]$ , so that the massless target space bosons of the zero temperature vacuum remain massless at tree level, while the massless target space fermions have acquired a tree-level Matsubara mass which depends linearly on  $T$ . This is precisely as expected from our knowledge of finite temperature supersymmetric field theories. A more explicit consistency check is provided by verifying the  $T^{10}$  growth of the field theoretic contribution to the type II string free energy. In other words, excising the divergent contribution from the tachyons, we can isolate the contributions of the finite spectrum of Matsubara (thermalmomentum) modes to the  $O((q\bar{q})^0)$  subleading term in the level expansion. This gives the low energy field theory limit of the one-loop vacuum amplitude in the zero windings background:

$$F_{\text{eld}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi^2)^{9/2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}n^2\right] + \dots \quad (24)$$

$$= \frac{256 V_9}{(4^{-2} 0)^{9=2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{10} \frac{x^1}{(4^{-3} 0 n^2)^{9=2}} \frac{h}{1} + O(T^{-4}) \sum_{1=2}^{\infty} d_1 \frac{q}{5; 1} \frac{2}{1} e^{2 \ln 1} : (24)$$

The numerical contribution of each Matsubara mode can be calculated explicitly by numerical integration, weighted in each case by the factor  $T^{10}$ . The sub-leading correction in  $T$  arises from the stringy winding mode background to the field theoretic Matsubara spectrum. We have successfully recovered the expected  $T^{10}$  growth in the free energy of the field theoretic modes, establishing two of the three infrared consistency conditions we would have liked for the vacuum amplitude in a stable finite temperature type II superstring vacuum. Regrettably, the absence of thermal tachyons cannot be achieved and, as in the case of the bosonic string theory [1, 42], we do not have an equilibrium type II canonical ensemble.

Ignoring the leading divergence from thermal tachyons as before, it is interesting that the growth in the string free energy as a function of temperature changes dramatically at high temperatures far above the string scale. To see this, perform a Poisson resummation of the sum over winding modes to extract the leading dependence in the free energy:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{[E_0]} &= V_9^{-1} (4^{-2} 0)^{9=2} \sum_{1=2}^{\infty} d_1 \sum_{1=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_2}{4^{-2} 2} \frac{7=2}{2} \frac{x^1}{4^{-2} 2} \frac{x^1}{4^{-2} 2} \frac{x^1}{4^{-2} 2} \\ &\quad b_m^{[E_0]} \exp[4 m_2] \exp \left[ \frac{4^{-2} 0 n^2}{2} + \frac{4 w^2 2}{4^{-2} 0} + 4 \ln w \right] \\ &= V_9 (4^{-2} 0)^{9=2} \sum_{1=2}^{\infty} d_1 \sum_{1=2}^{\infty} \frac{d_2}{4^{-2} 2} \frac{7=2}{2} \frac{4^{-2} 0}{4^{-2} 0} \frac{1=2}{2} \frac{x^1}{4^{-2} 2} \frac{x^1}{4^{-2} 2} \frac{x^1}{4^{-2} 2} \\ &\quad b_m^{[E_0]} \exp[4 m_2] \exp \left[ \frac{4^{-2} 0 n^2}{2} \right] \left( \frac{p-n_1}{2} \right)^2 \frac{4^{-2} 0}{2} : (25) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, at high temperatures far above the string scale, the leading behavior is a significantly slower  $T^2$  growth in the closed superstring free energy. Such a  $T^2$  growth was first conjectured by Atick and Witten in 1989 [62]. Subsequently, it was shown to hold for the free energy of the closed bosonic string ensemble by Polchinski [32] as a direct consequence of its thermal self-duality property. In later sections of this paper, we will apply this methodology in demonstrating identical high temperature behavior for the free energy of the genuinely infrared finite, and perturbatively renormalizable, superstring ensembles with Yang-Mills fields: heterotic, type IB, and type I'. We will find that in these cases it is possible to eliminate thermal tachyons from the canonical ensemble, thus achieving an equilibrium string statistical mechanics.

### 2.3 The One-Loop Closed String Modular Integrals

As a final bit of closed string methodology, we will now show how the modular integrals appearing in the result for the one-loop superstring vacuum amplitude can be carried out in closed form by the procedure of term-by-term integration. The result will be a power series expansion for the one-loop free energy of the canonical ensemble expressed as an exact function of temperature. Recall that the three integer-valued finite summations in the expression for  $F_{II}(\tau)$  given by Eq. (71) account for, respectively, closed string mass level, thermal windings, and thermal momenta. It is convenient





$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r}{r!(5+r)!} (y=2)^{5+2r} \ln(y=2)^{\frac{1}{2}(r+1)} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(6+r)} i \\
& \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x^r k_{(r)} (p-n_1)^{2r+5} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x^r K_{(r)} (p-n_1)^{2r+5} \ln(p-n_1) + K_{(r0)} i \\
& \text{where } y = (p-n_1)Y; Y = \frac{8}{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{0}{0} n^2 + \frac{m}{4} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1=2}{0}; (r) = C_E + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{r}; \quad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

is the psi function, and  $C_E = 0.577215$  is Euler's constant. Notice that, term-by-term, the dependence in this series is remarkably simple. For the Whittaker function, we have the power series representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) z^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}z}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \right)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^r (r+ \frac{1}{2})}{r!(2+r)!} z^r \\
&+ \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) z^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}z}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \right)} (z)^2 \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2-r)(r+\frac{1}{2})}{r!} (z)^r \\
&\text{where } z = \frac{(p-n_1)}{1} \frac{4}{2}; Z = \frac{4}{2}; = (4-k)=2; j(k-5)=2j; \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

and where it is understood that the second summation does not appear in the  $= 0$  term. It is convenient to include the Taylor expansion of the exponential function,  $e^z$ , as well as the powers of binomials,  $(p-n_1)$ ,  $(1-\frac{2}{1})^{1=2}$ , accompanying the Whittaker function in the expression in Eq. (29) by defining the coefficients in the following power series expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (p-n_1)^{k-6} (1-\frac{2}{1})^{1-k=4} e^{\frac{1}{2}z} W_{(4-k)=2; (j-5)=2}(z) \\
& \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q;s=0}^{\infty} x^{r+s+q+1=2} W_{(r;s;q)} \ln(p-n_1) w_0 + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q;s=0}^{\infty} x^{r+s+q+1=2} W_{(r;s;q)} \frac{r+s+q+1=2}{1}; \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

The result for  $F_{II}()$  consequently takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{II} &= \frac{1}{2} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{4^{2+0}} b_m^{(II)} n^2 + \frac{m}{4^{2+0}} \frac{\#_{5=2}}{0} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m}{4^{2+0}} \frac{\#_k}{2} \frac{4^{3+0} \#_{(6+3k)=2}}{2} \\
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m}{4^{2+0}} \frac{\#_k}{2} \frac{4^{3+0} \#_{(6+3k)=2}}{2} \\
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m}{4^{2+0}} \frac{\#_k}{2} \frac{4^{3+0} \#_{(6+3k)=2}}{2}; \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined  $r = r + s + q - j - 5j = 2 + \frac{1}{2}$ . Keep in mind that the summation over  $r$  appearing in line 4 of this expression is absent for the  $j - 5j = 0$  term. With these substitutions,

all of the  $\int$  integrals appearing in our expression for  $F_{II}(\cdot)$  can be evaluated term-by-term, by application of the following elementary formula:

$$\int dx x^m \ln(a+bx) = \frac{1}{m+1} x^{m+1} \frac{(a+bx)^{m+1}}{b^{m+1}} \ln(a+bx) + \frac{1}{m+1} \frac{(a+bx)^{m+1}}{b^{m+1}} \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{(-1)^r x^{m-r+2} a^{r-1}}{(m-r+2)b^{r-1}} : (34)$$

Our result for the free energy takes the form :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{II} = & \frac{1}{2} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^{(II)}}{4^{2m}} n^2 + \frac{m^2}{4^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r (p-n)^{2r+1}}{n(2r+1)} + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r (p-n)^{2r+1}}{n(2r+1)} K_{(r)} \ln(p-n) \frac{1}{2r+1} + K_{(r0)} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^{(II)}}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m^2}{4^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_{(r,s,q)}}{4^{3+3k=2}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}}{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(1)^{r_+ + 1}}{(r_+ + 1 + 2)n^{1+1}} ; \\ & : \frac{1}{4} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^{(II)}}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m^2}{4^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_{(r,s,q)}}{4^{3+3k=2}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}}{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(1)^{r_+ + 1}}{(r_+ + 1 + 2)n^{1+1}} ; \\ & + \frac{1}{4} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^{(II)}}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m^2}{4^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_{(r,s,q)}}{4^{3+3k=2}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}}{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(1)^{r_+ + 1}}{(r_+ + 1 + 2)n^{1+1}} ; \\ & + \frac{1}{4} V_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^{(II)}}{k!} n^2 + \frac{m^2}{4^{2m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_{(r,s,q)}}{4^{3+3k=2}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}}{(p-n)^{r_+ + 1}} \frac{1}{(r_+ + 1)} \frac{(1)^{r_+ + 1}}{(r_+ + 1 + 2)n^{1+1}} ; \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Recall that  $m$  and  $n$  denote closed string mass level and thermal momentum, respectively. The remaining indices are dummy variables. Our main goal in this section was to establish that the closed string modular integrals can be performed, giving a closed form expression for the free energy,  $F(\cdot)$ , as a function of  $\beta$  alone. As will become clear in our discussion of the microcanonical ensemble in section 6 for the more tractable example of the type I open string ensemble, an explicit integration is necessary in order to compute the canonical partition function,  $Z(\cdot) = \exp[W(\cdot)]$ , prior to carrying out the inverse Laplace transform that gives the microcanonical density of states. We save that analysis for future work.

### 3 Chiral Projections on the Type II Superstrings

As explained in Section 2, there are two type II superstring theories and they are distinguished by the spacetime parity of their 10D sixteen component Majorana-Weyl vector-spinors: in the type IIA theory, the two spinors have opposite spacetime parity, whereas in the chiral type IIB theory, they

have identical spacetime parity. The IIA and IIB theories are defined by the following projections on the Hilbert space:

$$\text{Type IIA : } e^{iF} = +1; e^{iF'} = -1(R); +1(NS); \quad \text{Type IIB : } e^{iF} = e^{iF'} = +1; \quad (36)$$

where  $F$  is the worldsheet fermion number. In other words, spacetime parity has been defined as the product of left-moving and right-moving worldsheet parities. It is natural to consider the possible chiral projections of the type II superstrings. Clearly, since worldsheet parity acts symmetrically on left- and right-movers in the type IIB superstring:

$$\text{type IIB : } (NS+; NS+); (R+; NS+); (NS+; R+); (R+; R+) : \quad (37)$$

one can mod out by the worldsheet parity operator,  $\Omega$ , projecting onto the symmetric linear combination of the two spacetime vector-spinor sectors:  $(R+; NS+)$  and  $(NS+; R+)$ . The result is the unoriented type IB closed superstring with massless spectrum :

$$(8_v - 8_v)_s = 1 + 35; \quad (8 - 8)_a = 28; \quad (8_v - 8) = 8^0 + 56 : \quad (38)$$

An equivalent spacetime interpretation of the orientation projection on the type IIB superstring Hilbert space is to introduce an orientifold hyperplane, denoted  $O_p$ ,  $p \leq 9$ , with  $p+1$  dimensional worldvolume embedded in the flat ten dimensional target spacetime characterizing the supersymmetric type IIB vacuum. The purpose of the orientifold plane is to produce an image closed string, with reversed orientation, for every closed string lying in the bulk transverse space. In the special case  $p=9$  the image string coincides with the string itself, and the result is a closed string excitation spectrum with only the symmetric linear combinations of left and right movers surviving the orientation projection.

A different spacetime chiral projection suggests itself for the type IIA superstring which contains the following physical state spectrum :

$$\text{type IIA : } (NS+; NS+); (R+; NS+); (NS+; R-); (R+; R-) : \quad (39)$$

Projecting on to the subspace of the Hilbert space with positive spacetime parity defines a new theory, eliminating the states of mixed left and right moving worldsheet parity.<sup>6</sup> Notice that the same operation acts trivially on the type IIB theory, giving back an unaltered physical state spectrum. The IIA projection defines a chiral theory with half as many vector-spinors, namely, a 10D  $N=1$  chiral superstring. We will, tentatively, identify this theory as the heterotic superstring, for reasons that will become clear in section 2.2 (see footnote 5). The massless particle spectrum following the chiral projection in the type IIA superstring takes the form :

$$(NS+; NS+) - (R+; NS+) : \quad (8_v + 8) - 8_v = (1; 1) + (28; 1) + (35; 1) + (56; 1) + (8^0; 1) ; \quad (40)$$

Notice that because of our identification of spacetime parity with the product of worldsheet parities, following the projection to positive spacetime parity, Ramond worldsheet fermions only appear in

---

<sup>6</sup>The opposite projection to states with negative spacetime parity cannot give a consistent string theory because it lacks the crucial dilaton-graviton-antisymmetric tensor multiplet.

the Hilbert space of the right-moving superconformal field theory: we no longer have the ingredients to build the spinorial  $8^-$  or  $8^0$  in the Hilbert space of the left-moving conformal field theory.<sup>7</sup>

Neither of the chiral projections we have described can define an anomaly-free superstring theory in and of themselves, as can be easily verified by checking the low energy 10D  $N=1$  supergravity limit. The chief obstructors are the chiral spacetime fermions living in the  $8^0$  mentioned above: in the absence of a Yang-Mills sector with gauginos living in the conjugate chiral multiplet, namely, an  $8^-$ , there can be no compensating contributions to the anomaly polynomial [12, 32]. Such Yang-Mills fields must originate in the low energy field theory limit of a string spectrum. We will now describe how each of the chiral projections described above fulfills this infrared consistency condition, starting with the unoriented type I superstring.

### 3.1 The Unoriented Type I B Open and Closed String Theory

For the unoriented type I superstring, the answer lies in coupling the unoriented closed string theory to an open string sector. What are the infrared consistency conditions on the one-loop vacuum amplitude of this string theory? Since the theory has both open and closed string sectors, we must sum over all four classes of, orientable and unorientable, Riemann surfaces of vanishing Euler character: namely, the torus and Klein bottle, the annulus and Möbius strip. Recall that the result of introducing the orientifold  $O9$  plane was to produce image strings whose mass spectrum is obtained by projecting on to the symmetric linear combination of left and right-moving closed string modes. Just as the world-history of an oriented closed string results in the torus, the world-history of an unoriented closed string gives the Klein bottle. Thus, in the orientifold vacuum we have two classes of one-loop vacuum graphs and both contributions are included in the one-loop vacuum amplitude. The result for the torus takes the form :

$$W_{\text{tor}}^{(I)} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d^2 \tau}{4\tau_2^2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^8 \right] \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \quad (41)$$

while that for the Klein bottle takes the form :

$$W_{\text{KB}}^{(I)} = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d^2 \tau}{4\tau_2^2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^8 \right] \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^4 \quad (42)$$

<sup>7</sup>It is conventional to refer to the superconformal half of the heterotic string theory as right-moving, or anti-holomorphic, listing the boundary conditions on right-moving worldsheet fermions before those on fermions in the left-moving, or holomorphic, sector [16, 32]:  $([\text{right}], [\text{left}])$ , as in the equation above. Thus, it is the right-movers that will provide a realization of the  $SO(8)$  subgroup of the 10D Lorentz group in the heterotic string theory, giving rise to the  $SO(8)_{\text{spin}}$  representations listed. Note that right-moving worldsheet fields are distinguished by tildes.

It is convenient to group the Jacobi theta functions as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{[0]} &= \left( \frac{\theta_{00}(it;0)}{\theta(it)} \right)^4 - \left( \frac{\theta_{01}(it;0)}{\theta(it)} \right)^4 \\ Z_{[1]} &= \left( \frac{\theta_{10}(it;0)}{\theta(it)} \right)^4 + \left( \frac{\theta_{11}(it;0)}{\theta(it)} \right)^4 ; \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

the former corresponding to target spacetime bosons, and the latter to target spacetime fermions. Notice that both the torus and Klein bottle contributions to the one-loop vacuum amplitude vanish mass level by mass level in their respective level expansions, namely, in integer powers of  $(q\bar{q})^{1/2} = e^{-2\pi\alpha' t}$ , or  $q = e^{-2\pi\alpha' t}$ . This is because of the equality of contributions from target spacetime bosonic and target spacetime fermionic modes in both the oriented and unoriented closed string mass spectrum.

Evidence of the inconsistency in the unoriented closed string theory described thus far is provided by an uncanceled target spacetime bosonic contribution at  $O(q^0)$  to the level expansion of  $W_{KB}$ , as we now explain. Recall that the factorization limit of the torus amplitude singles out the contribution of massless field theory modes to the level expansion: the 64 states in the graviton, dilaton, and antisymmetric two-form tensor multiplets. Thus, the  $O(q^0)$  target spacetime bosonic contribution to the level expansion of the sum of one-loop open and unoriented string graphs had better vanish, since we have already accounted for the requisite bosonic physical states in the type I supergravity spectrum listed in Eq. (38). Instead, explicit evaluation of the leading terms in the level expansion gives:

$$W_{KB}^{(I)} = L^{10} (4^{-2\pi\alpha' t})^{-5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} t^{-5} 2^{10} e^{2\pi\alpha' t} (1 - 1) + 16e^{-2\pi\alpha' t} + O(e^{-4\pi\alpha' t}) ; \quad (44)$$

It is only upon inclusion in the one-loop vacuum amplitude of surfaces with boundaries, oriented and unoriented, namely, the annulus and Möbius strip, that the  $O(q^0)$  term in this expression can be cancelled. Recall that the world-history of an oriented open string gives the annulus, while that of an unoriented open string gives the Möbius strip. For the annulus, we have:

$$W_{ann}^{(I)} = L^{10} (4^{-2\pi\alpha' t})^{-5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} t^{-5} [ \theta(it) ]^{8N^2} (Z_{[0]}(it) - Z_{[1]}(it)) ; \quad (45)$$

and for the Möbius strip we have:

$$W_{MS}^{(I)} = L^{10} (4^{-2\pi\alpha' t})^{-5} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} t^{-5} [ \theta(it) ]^{8N^2} (1 - 1) 2^6 N \frac{\theta_{01}(it;0) \theta_{10}(it;0)}{\theta(it) \theta_{00}(it)} ; \quad (46)$$

and  $N$  equals the number of Chan-Paton factors, the set of permissible endpoint states for an open string in this theory. Extracting the leading terms in the level expansion of Klein bottle, annulus, and Möbius strip, we find an exact cancellation for  $N = 2^5$ . Thus, consistency of the unoriented type I open and closed string theory requires 32 Chan-Paton factors. Since the massless bosonic states in the open string spectrum live in the  $8_v$  of the NS sector, the Chan-Paton degeneracy gives us a full  $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$  massless vector bosons. Including the  $N$  singlets with identical Chan-Paton

factor on either endpoint, the massless gauge bosons in this theory can be identified as belonging to the adjoint representation of the group  $SO(N)$  with  $N = 32$ .

As in the case of the unoriented closed string sector, there is an alternative spacetime interpretation of the necessity for an open string sector in the flat 10D vacuum with an O9 orientifold plane. The orientifold plane is a spacetime topological defect, therefore carrying gravitational mass, which it contributes to the tree-level vacuum energy in this background. But in our expressions for the one-loop vacuum energy of the oriented and unoriented closed string spectrum, we found an exact cancellation as expected for a theory with target spacetime supersymmetry. It follows that the orientifold plane must be an object of negative tension, self-consistency requiring the introduction of compensating positive tension topological defects of overlapping spacetime extent in order to achieve a precise cancellation of the tree-level vacuum energy density everywhere in 10D space. These positive tension spacetime defects, each with a 10D worldvolume coincident with that of the O9-plane, have been called the D9branes of the unoriented type I string theory [32]. The uncancelled  $O(q^0)$  contribution observed in the factorization limit of the Klein bottle amplitude has a simple interpretation in terms of the D9-O9 vacuum: it would represent the emission probability for a massless closed string state in the NS-NS sector. As explained earlier, there is no such state in the physical state spectrum of the unoriented type I theory! With the introduction of  $N$  D9branes in addition to the O9 plane, we can isolate the corresponding tadpole contributions from the factorization limit of the annulus and Möbius strip amplitudes. Assembling all three contributions [32]:

$$W_{(Bos)}^{(I)} = W_{tor(Bos)}^{(I)} + L^{10} (4\pi\alpha')^5 \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{2t} t^{-5} 2^{10} + N^2 (2\pi\alpha')^6 e^{2\pi t} 16e^{-2\pi t} + O(e^{-4\pi t})^i; \quad (47)$$

we find that the tadpole cancellation for this unphysical state requires a D9-O9 vacuum with  $N = 2^5$  D9branes. Since the emission probability is proportional to, respectively, the coupling of the massless closed string to  $N$  D9branes or the O9-plane, which in turn is proportional to the tension of  $N$  D9branes or the O9-plane, this formula also enables us to identify the tension of  $N$  D9branes, or of the O9-plane. Notice that the minus sign in the product of D9 and O9 couplings, arising from the Möbius strip, is an indication that the O9-plane is a negative tension object.

Can we find the origin of the unphysical massless NS-NS closed string state in the full Hilbert space of the type IIB string theory, prior to the orientation projection? The answer is No, as confirmed by our earlier discussion of the type IIB Hilbert space in section 2. The clue to its origin lies instead in the R-R sector [13, 32]. Recall that the NS-NS and R-R contributions to the one-loop vacuum amplitude are related by worldsheet supersymmetry. Thus, an uncancelled tadpole in the NS-NS sector of the Klein bottle implies an analogous tadpole for an unphysical R-R sector massless scalar. Such a cancellation indeed occurs among the leading contributions to the level expansion of the function  $Z_{[1]}$  appearing in both KB and annulus amplitudes. How do we interpret the cancellation of this tadpole for a putative R-R sector massless scalar? In ten dimensions, a scalar field strength is the Hodge dual of a ten-form field strength. But an even rank field strength cannot make an appearance in the type IIB supergravity theory which only couples to even rank potentials,  $C_n$ :  $n = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$ , and, consequently, odd rank field strengths  $F_{n+1}$ . Thus, the emission probability for such a field, and consequently its scalar Hodge dual, would have to vanish.

Our discussion, thus far, of the type IIB superstring leaves somewhat mysterious the full identification of D9branes with solitons in the type IIB supergravity theory: a D9brane soliton would have to couple to a ten-form gauge potential and, consequently, an 11-form field strength. But it would be inconsistent to have an eleven form field strength in a ten-dimensional theory [13, 32]. A related puzzle, it will turn out, has to do with the mysterious R-R sector tadpole cancellation described above. Since there is no room for a massless R-R sector field strength in the type IIB theory, why does it make an appearance at all in the vacuum amplitude computed above? Both of these puzzles will find answer when we take into account the T-duality transformation that relates the amplitudes of the type IIB superstring to those of the type I<sup>0</sup> superstring [32]. We defer that discussion until later, moving on to discussion of the chiral projection of the type IIA superstring.

### 3.2 The Chiral Heterotic Closed String Theories

An alternative means towards fulfilling the infrared consistency conditions on a closed string theory with massless chiral fermions in the 8<sup>0</sup> is available for the chiral projection of the type IIA superstring. Notice that the projection to states with positive spacetime parity eliminates the R-R sector of the worldsheet superconformal field theory in entirety. One consequence, of course, is that the heterotic string theory therefore cannot accommodate Dbranes and, based on our discussion in the previous section, it is clear that there is no consistent extension incorporating open string sectors.

In addition, as mentioned earlier, the necessary ingredients for building a spinorial vacuum no longer exist in the left-moving conformal field theory. We wish to extend the spectrum of the closed string theory in such a way that the low energy limit yields additional supermultiplets with chiral fermions. Such chiral fermions can contribute the necessary compensating terms to the anomaly polynomials. The analysis of the hexagon anomaly in the chiral ten-dimensional N=1 supergravity reveals that coupling to the chiral fermions of a 10D super-Yang-Mills theory with precisely 496 massless vector bosons meets the conditions for the cancellation of all anomalies: gauge, gravitational, and mixed [12]. What extension to the chiral projection of the type IIA string theory can account for this massless field content in the low energy field theory limit? Recall that as a consequence of the chiral projection, we begin with the bosonic massless particle spectrum :

$$(NS+; NS+) \quad (R+; NS+) : \quad (8_v + 8) \quad 8_v = (1;1) + (28;1) + (35;1) + (56;1) + (8^0;1) \quad ; \quad (48)$$

We wish to augment this bosonic massless particle spectrum with a (8<sub>v</sub>, 496) of vector bosons, and their (8, 496) chiral superpartners under the 10D N=1 supersymmetry. The two Yang-Mills gauge groups with an adjoint representation of dimension 496 are SO(32) and E<sub>8</sub> × E<sub>8</sub>.

The clue towards uncovering the nature of the fully consistent heterotic string lies in the peculiar mismatch in the properties of the Hilbert spaces of left and right moving conformal field theories of the IIA string following the chiral projection to physical states with positive spacetime parity. Note that the worldsheet local superconformal algebra following the chiral projection remains the familiar (1,1) underlying the type IIA superstring [59, 32], except that the superconformal generators of the left-moving (super)conformal field theory, which belonged in the (NS+, R-) sector of the IIA string, can no longer contribute to the physical Hilbert space of the heterotic string theories because of the restriction to states of positive spacetime parity! We emphasize that the total central charge of

the worldsheet superconformal field theory is (15,15), just as in the type II superstrings, and the transverse degrees of freedom remnant after superconformal gauge fixing, following the elimination of timelike and longitudinal worldsheet (1,1) supermultiplets together with compensating bosonic and fermionic ghosts, are also the familiar (12,12) of the type II superstrings.<sup>8</sup> Notice that the physical Hilbert space has only states of positive definite norm. Thus, without having any impact on the target spacetime Lorentz and supersymmetry algebra, we can self-consistently extend the left-moving conformal field theory with a unitary compact chiral conformal field theory, subject to the overall constraints of modular invariance on the expression for the string vacuum amplitude. Recall that invariance of the one-loop vacuum amplitude under the modular group of the torus also determines the physical state spectrum.

From our earlier discussion for the 10D type II superstrings, the one-loop vacuum amplitude for a heterotic string theory will therefore take the general form :

$$W_{\text{het}} = L^{10} (4\pi\alpha')^5 \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{4\pi^2} (2\pi)^4 [(\tau_2)^{-8} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda_L \\ \alpha \cdot \alpha = 0}} e^{2\pi i \alpha \cdot \tau} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Lambda_R \\ \beta \cdot \beta = 0}} e^{2\pi i \beta \cdot \tau}]^8 Z_{\text{chiral}}(\tau) : \quad (49)$$

Recall that the factor within curly brackets is already modular invariant. The holomorphic sum over Jacobi theta functions is the remnant contribution from the eight transverse worldsheet fermions of the type IIA string following the projection to states of positive spacetime parity. Under a  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  transformation, this function transforms as follows:

$$\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1 : \left( \frac{\theta_0}{\theta} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\theta_{10}}{\theta} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\theta_{11}}{\theta} \right)^4 \rightarrow e^{2\pi i \cdot 3} \left( \frac{\theta_0}{\theta} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\theta_{10}}{\theta} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\theta_{11}}{\theta} \right)^4 ; \quad (50)$$

and it is invariant under the transformation  $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ . Thus, the function  $Z_{\text{chiral}}(\tau)$  must transform with the compensating phase under the  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  transformation, and is required to be invariant under a  $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$  transformation. The latter property is very significant. In terms of the vertex operator construction for the physical states in the chiral conformal field theory, namely, those counted by the level expansion of the function  $Z_{\text{chiral}}$ , it implies the self-consistency, or closure, of the vertex operator algebra.

Closed vertex operator algebras with central charge  $c > 1$  are known to exist for only special values of the central charge. Vertex operator algebras with  $c$  taking integer values are characterized by the properties of Euclidean, even self-dual lattices of dimensionality  $c$  [15, 16, 32]. The smallest

<sup>8</sup>To the best of our knowledge, the perspective on the heterotic string theories offered here is completely new. The traditional approach, including that followed in the original papers [16], has been to invoke the Hamiltonian quantization of independent left-moving and right-moving 2d conformal field theories with total central charge (15,26): namely, the left-moving half of a 26D bosonic string theory and the right-moving half of a 10D type II superstring. Eight of the 24 transverse bosonic LM modes are subsequently paired with the eight transverse RM bosonic modes of the superstring, and identified as the transverse "coordinates" of a 10D target spacetime. Our goal here is to point out that there exists an alternative derivation of the heterotic string theories as chiral projections of the type IIA superstring that can reproduce the results of the traditional construction. To be precise, the physical Hilbert spaces and on-shell scattering amplitudes derived in either approach will be indistinguishable.



integer solutions with  $c > 1$  are 8 and 16, and the corresponding euclidean even self-dual lattices contain, respectively, the direct sum of the root and weight lattices of the simply-laced Lie algebras  $E_8$ , and either  $E_8 \oplus E_8$  or  $SO(32)$ .<sup>9</sup> Recall that the contribution to the vacuum energy from a chiral vertex operator algebra with central charge  $c$  is  $c=24$ . For  $c=16$ , we have  $E_{vac} = +2=3$ , and either of the given 16-dimensional euclidean lattices contains precisely 480 lattice-vectors of squared length two. Thus, either choice is a consistent candidate that can provide the 496 massless vector bosons in the  $(NS+, NS+; r) = (8_v, 1; 496)$  sector, as was required by the infrared consistency conditions mandating the absence of gauge, gravitational, and mixed anomalies:

$$\frac{1}{4} \langle m_{ass}^2 \rangle_L^2 = N_b + N_b^I + N_f - 1 + \frac{1}{2} k_L^2 = \frac{1}{4} \langle m_{ass}^2 \rangle_R^2 = \tilde{N}_b + \tilde{N}_f - \frac{1}{2} : \quad (51)$$

Here,  $i$  runs from 1 to 8, labelling the transverse modes of the 10D type IIA superstring. The index  $I$  runs from 1 to 16, labelling the 16 orthogonal directions of the euclidean even self-dual lattice that self-consistently extends the left-moving conformal field theory, following the chiral projection to type IIA states with positive spacetime parity. Note that the lattice vector  $k_L$  has 16 components, and states in the CFT with  $k_L^2 = 2$  correspond to massless physical states in the closed string spectrum. The function  $Z_{chiral}(\tau)$  takes the form :

$$Z_{chiral}(\tau) = [\Theta(\tau)]^{16} \sum_{k_L \in \Gamma_{16}} q^{\frac{1}{2} k_L^2} ; \quad (52)$$

where  $\Gamma_{16}$  is an even self-dual lattice of rank 16. Namely, for every pair of vectors  $k, k^0$  in  $\Gamma_{16}$ ,  $k \cdot k^0$  is an even integer, and both the vector,  $k$ , and its dual,  $k^0$ , where  $k \cdot k^0 = 1$ , belong in the lattice  $\Gamma_{16}$ . The transformation  $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$  simply interchanges the root-lattice with its dual lattice, the direct sum of the weight-lattices of the irreducible representations of the Lie algebra. The overall multiplicative factor  $(-i)^8$  is cancelled by the corresponding transformation of the eta function. Under the  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  transformation, the lattice summation instead transforms by an overall phase which is unity for rank 16. Thus, the only factor of relevance is the overall phase  $e^{2\pi i/3}$  in the transformation of  $[\Theta(\tau)]^{16}$ ; this phase is cancelled by the corresponding transformation of the anti-holomorphic sum over spin structures, namely, that for fermions in the right-moving superconformal field theory. Invoking boson-fermion equivalence in two dimensions, it is sometimes convenient to write the result for the one-loop vacuum amplitudes of the two heterotic string theories, respectively, in the alternative form [16, 32]:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{SO(32)}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_{16}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_{16}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_{16}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_{16}^2 \right] \\ Z_{E_8 \oplus E_8}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_8^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_8^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_8^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{00 \\ 01 \\ 10 \\ 11}} \Theta_8^2 \right] ; \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

inferred from the equivalent fermionic representation of the respective chiral vertex operator algebras with  $c=16$  by 16 complex (Weyl) worldsheet fermions. It is helpful to summarize the full massless

<sup>9</sup>More precisely, the even self-dual lattice obtained in the latter case pertains to the Lie algebra  $Spin(32)=Z_2$ ; the  $Z_2$  projection removes the root vectors of squared length unity, so that the massless vector bosons in the string spectrum live in an 496 of the simply-laced algebra  $SO(32)$ .

spectrum of the two heterotic string theories. For the  $SO(32)$  and  $E_8 \times E_8$  theories, respectively, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(8_v + 8) \quad (8_v; 1) &= (1; 1) + (28; 1) + (35; 1) + (56; 1) + (8^0; 1); \quad (10D \ N = 1 \text{ supergravity}): \\
(8_v + 8) \quad (1; 496) &= (8_v; 496) + (8; 496); \text{ or;} \\
(8_v + 8) \quad (1; 496) &= (8_v; 120; 1) + (8_v; 1; 120) + (8_v; 128; 1) + (8_v; 1; 128) + (8; \quad): \\
&\hspace{15em} (54)
\end{aligned}$$

We have spelled out the  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  decomposition of the 496 states in the adjoint representation of  $E_8 \times E_8$  in the last equation. Note that the  $56 + 8^0$  of the 10D  $N=1$  supergravity multiplet is generated by the  $8 - 8_v$ ; the gauginos live in an  $8$ , precisely as in the type IB unoriented string, and as required by the anomaly cancellation conditions. However, unlike the type IB supergravity, there appears to be no consistent extension to the spectrum of antisymmetric supergravity potentials in the heterotic string theories because the Ramond-Ramond sector of the "parent" type IIA superstring, namely  $(R+, R-)$ , has negative spacetime parity.

## 4 Free Energy of the Heterotic Canonical Ensemble

Unlike the type II superstrings where the constraints from modular invariance proved far too restrictive to permit a tachyon-free thermal ground state, the heterotic string theory has a Yang-Mills sector. This introduces the possibility of a Wilson line gauge background, loosening the constraints from modular invariance while enabling a tachyon-free thermal vacuum and, consequently, a self-consistent formulation of the equilibrium canonical ensemble. Consider the ten-dimensional supersymmetric  $E_8 \times E_8$  theory at zero temperature. The  $0+1$  low energy field theory limit is 10D  $N=1$  supergravity coupled to  $E_8 \times E_8$  Yang-Mills gauge fields. What happens to the supersymmetric ground state of this theory at finite temperature? We wish to derive an analogue of the zero temperature vacuum functional which describes a stable finite temperature ground state: thermal tachyons should be absent, target space supersymmetry must be spontaneously broken at finite temperature, and the free energy must grow as  $T^{10}$  at low temperatures when only massless field theory modes are excited. Most importantly, since we wish to preserve the finiteness and perturbative renormalizability of the zero temperature ground state at finite temperature, it is important to preserve the invariance of the one-loop vacuum functional under the modular group of the torus. Finally, we must require self-consistency with the thermal duality transformations: the  $E_8 \times E_8$  and  $Spin(32)=Z_2$  heterotic string theories are related by the Euclidean timelike T-duality transformation:

$$E_8 \times E_8 \quad ! \quad SO(32) = 4^{2 \times 0} = E_8 \times E_8; \quad (n; w)_{E_8 \times E_8} \quad ! \quad (n^0 = w; w^0 = n)_{SO(32)}; \quad (55)$$

thus interchanging the identification of momentum and winding modes in the  $E_8 \times E_8$  and  $SO(32)$  thermal spectra. Thus, the finite temperature vacuum functional is required to interpolate between the following two spacetime supersymmetric limits: in the  $\beta \rightarrow 1$  limit we recover the vacuum functional of the supersymmetric  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic string, while in the  $\beta = 0$  limit we must recover, instead, the vacuum functional of the supersymmetric  $Spin(32)=Z_2$  heterotic string.

It is helpful to begin by examining the resulting shifts in the ground state energy of the  $(NS+, NS+)$  vacuum due to the winding and momentum modes in the mass spectrum obtained upon compactification on the circle of radius  $\alpha' = 2$ . Suppressing the oscillator contributions, the ground state energy in this sector for physical states satisfying the level matching constraint takes the form :

$$(m_{\text{ass}})_L^2 = (m_{\text{ass}})_R^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} k_L^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{4^{-2} \alpha'^2 n^2}{2} + \frac{2 w^2}{4^{-2} \alpha'} \right) = \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{4^{-2} \alpha'^2 n^2}{2} + \frac{2 w^2}{4^{-2} \alpha'} \right); \quad (56)$$

where  $k_L$  belongs in the lattice  $E_8 \oplus E_8^0$ , and either  $E_8$  lattice is spanned by the vectors:

$$(n_1; \dots; n_8) \text{ and } (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}; \dots; n_8 + \frac{1}{2}); \quad \text{where all } n_i \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^8 n_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}; \quad (57)$$

The corresponding result for the Spin(32)= $\mathbb{Z}_2$  lattice takes the form :

$$(n_1; \dots; n_{16}) \text{ and } (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}; \dots; n_{16} + \frac{1}{2}); \quad \text{where all } n_i \in \mathbb{Z}; \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{16} n_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}; \quad (58)$$

As for the type II superstrings, the pure momentum and pure winding states,  $(n; 0)$  and  $(0; w)$ , are potential tachyons that enter into the general expression for the level expansion. In the absence of oscillator excitations, each pure momentum mode,  $(n; 0)$ , is tachyonic upto some critical temperature,  $T_n^2 = 1 - 2n^2 \alpha'^2$ , beyond which it turns marginal (massless). Conversely, each pure winding mode  $(0; w)$ , turns tachyonic beyond some critical temperature,  $T_w^2 = w^2 \alpha'^2 - 8$ . Notice that retaining the relative minus sign between the contributions from  $(00)$  and  $(01)$  sectors of the right-moving superconformal field theory in the supersymmetric vacuum functional, as shown earlier, would eliminate both  $O((\alpha')^{1/2})$  contributions to the level expansion, in addition to all of the  $\alpha'$ -dependent tachyonic thermal modes. Thus, for example, all of the potential tachyons in the  $(NS+, NS+)$  sector of the circle compactified supersymmetric ground state are eliminated from the level expansion for physical states in one fell swoop. But can this mechanism work in the absence of spacetime supersymmetry? We will need to identify a different chiral modular invariant, one that spontaneously breaks spacetime supersymmetry at finite temperature. The key lies in the introduction of a temperature dependent Wilson line background.

## 4.1 Axial Gauge and the Euclidean Timelike Wilson Line

The generating functional of connected one-loop vacuum string graphs in the stable finite temperature vacuum will be given by an expression of the form :

$$W_{\text{het}}(\beta) = L^9 (4\pi\alpha')^{-9/2} \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{4\pi^2} (2 - \tau_2)^{-9/2} Z_{\text{het}}(\tau); \quad (59)$$

where the function  $Z_{\text{het}}(\tau)$  denotes the desired level expansion for the thermal mass spectrum of the heterotic ensemble at generic temperatures that can satisfy the interpolations to the zero temperature limit required by the thermalduality transformations. In particular, we wish to identify

a suitable interpolating expression for  $W_{\text{het}}(\lambda)$  which satisfies the infrared consistency conditions at generic values of  $\lambda$ , matching smoothly with the known vacuum functional of the supersymmetric  $E_8 \times E_8$  string theory at zero temperature ( $\lambda = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} W(\cdot) \big|_{T=0} = & L^9 (4^{-2} 0)^{9=2} \frac{d^2}{4^{\frac{2}{2}}} (\cdot)^{9=2} [(\cdot)(\cdot)]^8 \\ & f\left(\frac{00}{4}\right)^4 \left(\frac{01}{4}\right)^4 g + f\left(\frac{10}{4}\right)^4 \left(\frac{11}{4}\right)^4 g \\ & \frac{1}{4} \frac{00}{4} + \frac{01}{4} + \frac{10}{4} + \frac{11}{4} \end{aligned} \quad ; \quad (60)$$

while also recovering the vacuum functional of the T-dual supersymmetric Spin(32)=Z<sub>2</sub> heterotic string in the presence of the T-dual Wilson line background:

$$W(\cdot) \Big|_{T=0} = L^9(4^{-2/9})^{9/2} \frac{d^2}{4^{2/2}} \ell)^{9/2} [(\cdot)(\cdot)]^8 \#$$

$$f\left(\frac{00}{1}\right)^4 \left(\frac{01}{1}\right)^4 g \quad f\left(\frac{10}{1}\right)^4 \left(\frac{11}{1}\right)^4 g$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \frac{00}{4} \frac{!}{16} + \frac{01}{4} \frac{!}{16} + \frac{10}{4} \frac{!}{16} + \frac{11}{4} \frac{!}{16} \frac{3}{5} : \quad (61)$$

It turns out that the desired expression for  $Z_{\text{het}}(\cdot)$ , and the pair of T-dual Wilson line backgrounds necessary for this interpolation, can be inferred using extant results in the heterotic string literature.

The argument proceeds as follows. The modular invariant possibilities for the sum over spin structures in the 10d heterotic string have been classified, both by free fermion and by orbifold techniques [7, 22, 21, 23], and there is a unique nonsupersymmetric and tachyon-free ground state with gauge symmetry  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ . In the fermionic formulation, the GSO projection differs from that of the  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic string as follows:

$$e^{F_{+} + \dots} = e^{iF_{+} \dots} = e^{iF_{+} \dots} = +1 \quad ; \quad (62)$$

where  $\epsilon_i$ ,  $\epsilon_{ij}$ , and  $\epsilon_{ijk}$ , equal, +1, or 0, respectively, in the Ramond, or Neveu-Schwarz, sector for each of the three 8-fermion blocks: right-moving, left-moving gauge, and left-moving gauge<sup>0</sup> [21, 32]. The "all-positive" choice of worldsheet chiralities in every sector of the supersymmetric  $E_8 \times E_8$  theory are therefore altered to the more complicated, and non-supersymmetric, projection:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{NS+}; \text{NS+}; \text{NS+}); (\text{NS-}; \text{NS-}; \text{R+}); (\text{NS-}; \text{R+}; \text{NS-}); (\text{R+}; \text{NS-}; \text{NS-}); \\ & (\text{NS+}; \text{R-}; \text{R-}); (\text{R-}; \text{NS+}; \text{R-}); (\text{R-}; \text{R-}; \text{NS+}); (\text{R+}; \text{R+}; \text{R+}) : \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

This choice of twisted boundary conditions introduces discrete torsion in the, alternative, orbifold description of this theory [22, 32], raising the ground state energy in the sectors that previously provided the massless chiral fermions of the supersymmetric heterotic string. Most illuminating, perhaps, is to understand this theory as the result of taking the noncompact limit of either circle-compactified  $E_8 \times E_8$  or  $\text{Spin}(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$  heterotic string theories, upon introduction of a Wilson line

background that spontaneously breaks both spacetime supersymmetry, as well as breaking the nonabelian gauge symmetry to  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ . This interpretation is clarified by invoking the interpolating vacuum functional approach [52, 58, 57, 20].

Begin with the one-loop vacuum functional of the circle compactified nonsupersymmetric and tachyon free  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  heterotic string theory [21], where the  $S^1$  is a circle of radius  $r=2$ :

$$W_{SO(16) \times SO(16)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{L^9} (4\pi^2)^{-9/2} \int_{\mathbb{F}} \frac{d^2\tau}{4\pi^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{4\pi^2}{\tau_2} \left( \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \right)^2 + \frac{w^2}{4\tau_2^3} + 2 \ln w \right) \right] \quad (64)$$

It is easy to verify by inspection that the integrand in this expression is invariant under the one-loop modular group. The expression also satisfies some of the infrared consistency conditions for a viable thermal ground state outlined in section 2: there are no thermal tachyons. However, the noncompact limit of this expression does not lead to a spontaneous restoration of target spacetime supersymmetry since we recover, instead, the nonsupersymmetric  $O(16) \times O(16)$  10D modular invariant. We must incorporate a temperature dependent Wilson line background in order to interpolate between 10D supersymmetric and 9D nonsupersymmetric ground states.

The spontaneous restoration of spacetime supersymmetry in the noncompact limit within the larger family of one-parameter continuously connected 9D  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  heterotic string vacua with nontrivial Wilson line gauge backgrounds was discovered in independent numerical investigations by Itoyama and Taylor [58] and Ginsparg and Vafa [19, 20, 57]. The plots in the latter paper indicate clearly the distinction between taking the noncompact limit of the expression in Eq. (64): where the vacuum energy density goes through a minimum at the self-dual point without touching zero, and taking the noncompact limit in the presence of a Wilson line background. It is in the latter case that the target spacetime supersymmetry is spontaneously restored at  $T = 0$ . Additional massless gauge bosons in the spinor of  $O(16)$  simultaneously recover the full  $SO(32)$  gauge symmetry as we approach the  $T = 0$  limit [57]. Recall that the gauge lattice vector  $k_L$  in the equivalent worldsheet bosonic description of  $W_{het}(\tau)$  will belong in a  $(1;17)$ -component self-dual lattice of Lorentzian signature. Generic points in the lattice can be reached by Lorentz boosts that preserve the Lorentzian self-duality property, a pre-requisite for modular invariance, and each describes a heterotic string vacuum that can be reached by continuous interpolation from the theory above. The precise form of a generic vector in the self-dual lattice  $(1;17)$  is given by [19, 20, 32]:

$$(p_R^0; p_L^0; k_L) = (p_R^{00}; p_L^{00}; k_L^0) = \left( p_R^0 - k \cdot A^0, \frac{w^0}{4} A_0 - A^0; p_L^0 - k \cdot A^0, \frac{w^0}{4} A_0 - A^0; k_L + w^0 \frac{A_0}{2} \right); \quad (65)$$

where:

$$p_L^0 = \frac{2n}{2} + \frac{w}{2}; \quad p_R^0 = \frac{2n}{2} - \frac{w}{2}; \quad n; w \in \mathbb{Z}^+; \quad (66)$$

In particular, it is illuminating to consider the two lattice boosts that, respectively, interpolate between the nonsupersymmetric and tachyon-free 9D  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  vacuum functional and the

pair of 10D  $E_8 \times E_8$ , and  $SO(32)$ , supersymmetric string limits. The result for the required lattice-boosts is due to Ginsparg [20]. Starting with the supersymmetric 10D  $Spin(32)=Z_2$  string, compactify on a circle of radius  $r=2$  with Wilson line gauge background:  $A^0 = 2(1^8; 0^8)$ . The closed form expression for the interpolating one-loop vacuum functional describing the exactly marginal flow to the 10D  $Spin(32)=Z_2$  heterotic string vacuum can be found in the paper of Itoyama and Taylor [58]<sup>10</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{het}}(\tau) = & \frac{1}{8} [(\tau) (\tau)]^8 [(\tau)]^{16} [(\tau)]^4 \left( f(E_0 + E_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix}^i \right. \\
& + (O_0 - O_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & 4 & 16 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 16 \\ 3 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 16 \\ 4 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 4 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix}^i \\
& + (E_0 - E_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & 4 & 16 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 16 \\ 3 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 16 \\ 4 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix}^i \\
& \left. + (O_0 + O_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & 4 & 16 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 16 \\ 3 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 16 \\ 4 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 3 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \end{matrix}^i g \right) : \quad (67)
\end{aligned}$$

As explained above, we use the T-dual of the standard basis for thermal mode summations introduced in [52], in terms of which the one-loop modular transformations are especially easy to verify:

$$E_0 : n \in 2\mathbb{Z}; w \text{ even}; E_{1=2} : n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1; w \text{ even}; O_0 : n \in 2\mathbb{Z}; w \text{ odd}; O_{1=2} : n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1; w \text{ odd}; \quad (68)$$

A  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  transformation leaves  $(E_0 + E_{1=2})$  and  $(O_0 - O_{1=2})$  invariant, while interchanging  $(E_0 - E_{1=2})$  and  $(O_0 + O_{1=2})$ . Under  $\tau \rightarrow -\tau$ , the first three summations are invariant, while  $O_{1=2}$  maps to the negative of itself. It is easy to verify the invariance of this expression under a  $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$  transformation. Under a  $\tau \rightarrow -\tau$  transformation, the first and third lines within curly brackets transform with a minus sign, while the second and fourth are interchanged with a minus sign. The overall minus sign is accounted for by the transformation of the eta functions. As before,  $w$  and  $n$  denote thermal windings, and thermal momenta, respectively. Notice the appearance of both half-integer and integer Matsubara frequencies, as is reasonable since the low energy finite temperature gauge theory limit has both fermions and bosons. Notice, also, that because of the inclusion of a Wilson line background, the interpolating functional describing the flow to the T-dual  $E_8 \times E_8$  vacuum cannot be inferred directly from the expression above, because the T-duality acts nontrivially on the gauge field, in addition to interchanging momenta and windings. We must start afresh with the 10D  $E_8 \times E_8$  vacuum functional, compactifying on a circle of radius  $r$  with temperature dependent Wilson line background as before. The interpolation takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{het}}(\tau) = & \frac{1}{8} [(\tau) (\tau)]^8 [(\tau)]^{16} [(\tau)]^4 \left( f(E_0 + E_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix}^i \right. \\
& + (O_0 - O_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & 4 & 8 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 8 \\ 3 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{matrix}^2 \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 4 & 3 & 4 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix} \\
& \left. + (E_0 - E_{1=2})^h \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & 4 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 8 \\ 3 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{matrix}^2 \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \end{matrix} + \begin{matrix} 4 & 8 & 8 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{matrix}^i \right)
\end{aligned}$$

<sup>10</sup>The vacuum functional of interest to us has been called the "Twist II" model by the authors of [58], as is clear from the plot in Figure 1 of their paper. The T-duality transform of the expression in Eq. (13) of Ref. [58] gives the desired expression for  $Z_{\text{het}}(\tau)$ ; a typo in the last line of Eq. (13) has been corrected. The flow to the 10D  $E_8 \times E_8$  vacuum, instead, would be described by the interpolation with the T-dual Wilson line background, as in [20].



the free energy arises from the Matsubara spectrum ; the subleading temperature dependence comes from the background of string winding modes.

In addition, we can perform a Poisson resummation on the infinite sum over winding modes, in order to put our expression for  $F(T)_{[n, w, 2Z]}$  in a form suitable for a high temperature expansion valid in the regime  $T \gg 0$ . Denoting the coefficients in the level expansion by  $b_m^{(n, w, 2Z)}$ , the result takes the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{[w, n, 2Z]} &= V_9^{-1} (4\pi\alpha')^{-9/2} \sum_{1=2}^Z d_1 \sum_{1=2}^Z p_1 \frac{d_2}{4\pi\alpha'} \sum_{1=2}^Z \frac{x_1^2}{4\pi\alpha'} \frac{x_1^2}{4\pi\alpha'} \frac{x_1^2}{4\pi\alpha'} \\
 &\quad b_m^{(n, w, 2Z)} \exp \left[ -4\pi\alpha' m^2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{4\pi\alpha' n^2}{2} + \frac{w^2}{4\pi\alpha'} + 2 \ln w \right] \\
 &= V_9 (4\pi\alpha')^{-9/2} \sum_{1=2}^Z d_1 \sum_{1=2}^Z p_1 \frac{d_2}{4\pi\alpha'} \sum_{1=2}^Z \frac{x_1^2}{4\pi\alpha'} \frac{x_1^2}{4\pi\alpha'} \frac{x_1^2}{4\pi\alpha'} \\
 &\quad b_m^{(n, w, 2Z)} \exp \left[ -4\pi\alpha' m^2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{4\pi\alpha' (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}{2} \right] (p - n_1)^2 \frac{4\pi\alpha'}{2} \quad (71)
 \end{aligned}$$

demonstrating the characteristic  $T^2$  growth at high temperatures far above the string scale.

## 4.2 Thermodynamic Potentials and the Kosterlitz-Thouless Transition

It should be noted that the  $T^2$  scaling can be inferred more elegantly by application of the T-duality transformation linking the thermal ground states of the two heterotic string theories. That argument proceeds as follows. In the closed bosonic string theory, the generating functional for connected one-loop vacuum string graphs is invariant under the thermal self-duality transformation:  $W(T) = W(T_c^2/T)$ , at the string scale,  $T_c = 1/2\pi\alpha'$ . It was pointed out by Polchinski [32] that we can infer the following thermal duality relation which holds for both the Helmholtz free energy,  $F(T) = -T \ln W(T)$ , and the vacuum energy density,  $\rho(T) = -T \ln W(T) = V$  of the closed bosonic string ensemble:

$$F(T) = \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} F\left(\frac{T_c^2}{T}\right); \quad \rho(T) = \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} \left(\frac{T_c^2}{T}\right) : \quad (72)$$

In the case of the heterotic string, we will show that the thermal duality relation instead relates, respectively, the free energies of the  $E_8 \times E_8$  and  $Spin(32)/Z_2$  theories. Since we deal with a supersymmetric string theory, it is convenient to restrict ourselves to the contributions to the vacuum energy density from target space bosonic degrees of freedom alone:

$$F(T)_{E_8 \times E_8} = \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} F\left(\frac{T_c^2}{T}\right)_{Spin(32)=Z_2}; \quad \rho(T)_{E_8 \times E_8} = \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} \left(\frac{T_c^2}{T}\right)_{Spin(32)=Z_2} : \quad (73)$$

Consider the high temperature limit of this expression:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T)_{E_8 \times E_8} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} \left(\frac{T_c^2}{T}\right)_{Spin(32)=Z_2} = \lim_{(T_c^2/T) \rightarrow 0} \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} \left(\frac{T_c^2}{T}\right)_{Spin(32)=Z_2} = \frac{T^2}{T_c^2} (0)_{Spin(32)=Z_2} ; \quad (74)$$



where  $(0)$  is the contribution to the cosmological constant, or vacuum energy density, at zero temperature from target space bosonic degrees of freedom alone. Note that it is finite. Thus, at high temperatures, the contribution to the free energy of either heterotic ensemble from target space bosonic degrees of freedom alone grows only as fast as  $T^2$ . In other words, the growth in the number of target spacetime bosonic degrees of freedom at high temperature in the heterotic string ensemble is only as fast as in a two-dimensional field theory. This is significantly slower than the  $T^{10}$  growth of the high temperature degrees of freedom expected in the ten-dimensional low energy field theory. Notice that the thermalduality transformation interchanges the thermal winding and thermal momentum modes of the corresponding heterotic ensembles. This is the reason for the simple  $T^2$  scaling behavior found in this duality relation.

Finally, one can demonstrate the analyticity of an infinite hierarchy of thermodynamic potentials for the heterotic ensemble, evidence that the thermalduality transition linking the high temperature behavior of one heterotic ensemble to the low temperature behavior of the other is in the Kosterlitz-Thouless universality class [40, 55, 54, 48, 42, 67]. We will omit the details from this paper, referring the reader to our treatment of the bosonic string ensemble for the general methodology [42].

We will also skip the explicit details of the modular integrals in our expression for the heterotic free energy, since it is evident that the same method of evaluation works as in the case of the type II analysis described in section 2.3. All that is necessary is to replace the coefficients in the level expansion:  $b_m^{(\text{II})} \rightarrow b_m^{(\text{G})}$ , sector by sector in the thermodynamic spectrum, and where the anomaly-free gauge group  $G = E_8 \oplus E_8$  or  $SO(32)$ , respectively, for each heterotic ensemble.

## 5 Free Energy of the Unoriented Type IB Ensemble

The free energy of the unoriented open and closed type IIB string ensemble at one-loop order in the string coupling receives contributions from surfaces of four different worldsheet topologies [32, 10]: torus, annulus, Möbius strip, and Klein bottle. Given our analysis of the heterotic and type II superstring ensembles in previous sections, we expect that the Yang-Mills fields will play an essential role in enabling discussion of an equilibrium canonical ensemble. As explained in section 2, pure type II closed string theories have no Yang-Mills gauge fields, but upon coupling to D-branes, the full unoriented open and closed string theory indeed contains timelike Wilson line backgrounds. This is the case we shall consider in this section.

The torus contribution sums over closed oriented worldsheets, and its treatment is therefore identical in form to the analysis of the type IIB superstring ensemble described in section 2. In particular, there are no tachyon-free therm alground states and, consequently, no equilibrium canonical ensemble. The functional,  $W_{\text{tor}}(\cdot)$  interpolates between the 10D supersymmetric type IIB string vacuum to the nonsupersymmetric, and tachyonic, type 0B string vacuum [32]:

$$W_{\text{tor}}(\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4^{-2} 0)^{9=2} \frac{d^2}{4^{\frac{2}{2}}} h (2^{-2})^{9=2} [(\cdot)(\cdot)]^{12} i$$

$$\frac{1}{4} f E_0 + E_{1=2} h \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{4} \frac{4}{4} + \frac{4}{2} \frac{4}{2} + O_0 O_{1=2} h^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{4} \frac{4}{2} i$$

$$+ E_0 E_{1=2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{2} \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{4} \frac{4}{2} + O_0 + O_{1=2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{4} \frac{4}{3} \frac{4}{4} \frac{4}{2} i g:$$

(75)

But it should be noted that the presence of the low temperature tachyons implies that the direction of renormalization group flow is towards the stable, noncompact, supersymmetric vacuum. Thus, at one-loop order in string perturbation theory, the oriented closed string sector will not contribute to the free energy in the type IIB thermal vacuum, assuming one exists.

Recall that the orientifold projection on the type IIB vacuum results in additional, open and unoriented, string sectors in the type IIB mass spectrum, precisely as in the zero temperature vacuum described in section 3. An equilibrium description of type IIB string statistical mechanics in the canonical ensemble requires a tachyon-free thermal spectrum in the full temperature range, in addition to the absence of massless Ramond-Ramond sector tadpoles. The vacuum functional at one-loop order in the presence of  $N = 2^5$  D9-branes can be written in the general form:

$$W_{\text{IIB}}(\beta) = L^9 (4\pi\alpha')^{-9/2} \int_0^{\beta} \frac{dt}{2t} (2t)^{-9/2} Z_{\text{IIB}}(t); \quad (76)$$

where the function  $Z_{\text{IIB}}(t)$  denotes the level expansion of the type IIB unoriented thermal ensemble, with contributions from annulus, Möbius strip, and Klein bottle.

Notice that there is no constraint analogous to modular invariance on the open string mass spectrum. In addition, there are no thermal winding modes. Consider the contribution to the path integral from the  $n$ th Matsubara mode:

$$e^{-4\pi\alpha' n^2 t^{-2}}; \quad n; n + \frac{1}{2} 2\pi Z; \quad (77)$$

Focussing on the  $t \rightarrow 0$  limit of the string amplitude at first, at low temperatures  $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the field theoretic modes with low values of  $n$  dominate. But in the opposite  $t \rightarrow \infty$  limit, we must include arbitrarily high values of  $n$  in the Matsubara spectrum. What about the high temperature limit, with  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ ? Even in the  $t \rightarrow 0$  limit, modes with arbitrarily high  $n$  are now important. Thus, a thermal duality transformation to the Euclidean  $T$ -dual type I' ensemble would illuminate the high temperature behavior of the ensemble. We might try to deduce the orientable annulus amplitude from the expression for the torus given above by invoking the orientifold projection:

$$Z_{(\text{ann})}^{(1)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} N^2 \sum_n e^{-4\pi\alpha' n^2 \beta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{4} + \frac{4}{2} + \frac{4}{2} \right) e^{-4\pi\alpha' n^2 \beta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{4} + \frac{4}{2} \right) e^{-4\pi\alpha' n^2 \beta}; \quad (78)$$

where  $E_0$  and  $E_{1=2}$  denote summations over open string thermal momentum modes:

$$E_0 : n^2 Z; \quad E_{1=2} : n^2 Z + 1/2; \quad (79)$$

and  $N$  is the number of Chan-Paton factors or, equivalently, the number of D9-branes. The function  $Z^{(1)}$  interpolates between the tachyonic type 0B vacuum at small  $\beta$  and the supersymmetric type IIB vacuum at large  $\beta$ . It is clear that the first term in this expression contributes an infinity of low temperature thermal tachyons to the open string mass spectrum, and the canonical ensemble described by the function  $Z_{(\text{ann})}^{(1)}(\beta)$  is therefore ill-defined at all temperatures starting from zero. As in the case of the heterotic string ensemble, the key will lie in introducing a temperature dependent Wilson line gauge background in order to achieve the spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry

without the introduction of thermal tachyons. We must simultaneously require that  $Z_{\text{IB}}(\tau)$  preserve the Ramond-Ramond sector massless tadpole cancellations necessary for infrared finiteness and perturbative renormalizability of the finite temperature vacuum.

Recall that the Wilson line introduced in the Spin(32)= $Z_2$  heterotic string breaks the nonabelian gauge group to  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ , while spontaneously breaking supersymmetry. Let us compactify the type IB  $SO(32)$  string on a circle of radius  $R=2$ , turning on the temperature dependent Wilson line [50, 29, 32]:

$$A_0 = (1^8; 0^8); \quad i = 2; \quad i = 1; \quad ; 8; i = 0; \quad i = 9; \quad ; 16 : \quad (80)$$

This is the  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  type IB vacuum, and the Wilson line wrapping the  $X^0$  coordinate has spontaneously broken supersymmetry in addition to a partial breaking of the nonabelian gauge symmetry in the supersymmetric  $SO(32)$  vacuum. Thus, we wish to find the finite temperature vacuum functional that interpolates between the tachyon-free and nonsupersymmetric type IB  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  string at finite  $R$ , and the supersymmetric type IB  $SO(32)$  string in the limit where the Wilson loop is in finite radius.

The Euclidean T-duality transformation,  $\tau \rightarrow 4\pi^2 \tau$ , maps the configuration of 32 D9branes coincident with an O9 plane to a configuration of a pair of O8 planes, each coincident with 16 D8branes, at the two endpoints of an interval of length  $1$ . The thermal modes of the type IB ensemble correspond to a Matsubara-like frequency spectrum with timelike momentum:  $p_n = 2\pi n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; for the T-dual type I' ensemble this role is played by the infinite summation over thermal winding modes. Note that the D8branes are each paired with their images, so that the gauged background has a total of  $8^2$  oriented stretched strings of length  $1$ . Supersymmetry is spontaneously broken due to the presence of the stretched strings, which contribute with positive thermal tension to the vacuum energy of the finite temperature vacuum:

$$T(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} = 4\pi^2 \tau^0; \quad (81)$$

contributing an overall shift in the masses of the thermal tachyons in the NS sector. The GSO projections on worldsheet fermions eliminates all tachyons from the physical state spectrum, as in the supersymmetric vacuum.

The cancellation of both RR-RR, and NS-NS, sector massless tadpoles, and the absence of open string tachyons, in a nonsupersymmetric and tachyon-free 9D  $SO(16) \times SO(16)$  type I model, was shown by Blum and Dienes in [59]. As a consequence, both the cylinder and the Klein bottle amplitude vanish identically in the 9D vacuum, and the result for the vacuum energy density can be written entirely in terms of the contribution from the M\"obius strip:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{M\"ob}}^{\text{NS NS}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{E_0 + E_{1=2}} \sum_i \frac{\theta_{01}(\tau; 0) \theta_{10}(\tau; 0)}{\theta(\tau) \theta_0(\tau)} \tau^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ Z_{\text{M\"ob}}^{\text{R R}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{E_0} \sum_i \frac{\theta_{01}(\tau; 0) \theta_{10}(\tau; 0)}{\theta(\tau) \theta_0(\tau)} \tau^{\frac{1}{4}} : \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

The authors of Ref. [59] have computed the individual contributions from the M\"obius strip and torus amplitudes numerically down to values of the radius at which the divergence in the torus

amplitude sets in, as a consequence of the closed string (high temperature) thermal tachyon. The asymptotic approach to the supersymmetric SO(32) vacuum at low temperatures is evident in their plot. As explained earlier, we believe it is more meaningful to interpret these results as evidence that the worldsheet RG flow is towards the IR stable supersymmetric vacuum in the closed string sector: the one-loop vacuum amplitude computes the tree level mass spectrum, and at this order in string perturbation theory the supergravity and Yang-Mills sectors have not as yet "communicated". Thus, supersymmetry remains unbroken in the gravitational sector, and the nonvanishing one-loop vacuum energy density of the type I model comes wholly from the Möbius strip.

We believe the correct physical interpretation of the Blumenhagen result is as follows. Unlike the heterotic case, in the type I strings, there are thermal interpolations between the 10D supersymmetric SO(32) heterotic vacuum and either the 9D nonsupersymmetric and nontachyonic type IB, or the T-dual type I<sup>0</sup>, SO(9,1) × SO(16) string vacua. The former has only thermal momentum modes, and the latter only thermal winding modes. The nonvanishing Möbius strip contribution to the free energy in both case is half-integer moded and, consequently, there are no massless field theoretic contributions to the one-loop type I string free energy. The result for the free energy of the type I<sup>0</sup> ensemble takes the form:

$$F_{I^0}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} (2\pi t)^{-9/2} [\text{tr}(\tau)]^8 \frac{\text{tr}_{01}(\tau; 0) \text{tr}_{10}(\tau; 0)}{(\text{tr})_{00}(\tau)} \sum_{w=0}^{\infty} q^{2(w + \frac{1}{2})^2} ; \quad (83)$$

or the Euclidean T-dual transformed result for the type IB ensemble:

$$F_{IB}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} (2\pi t)^{-9/2} [\text{tr}(\tau)]^8 \frac{\text{tr}_{01}(\tau; 0) \text{tr}_{10}(\tau; 0)}{(\text{tr})_{00}(\tau)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{4n^2} ; \quad (84)$$

Thus, we have found evidence for a stable equilibrium ensemble of type I unoriented open and closed strings in the limit of vanishing string coupling.

## 5.1 The Low Energy Limit of $F_{IB}(\tau)$

At low temperatures far below the string mass scale,  $\beta \gg \alpha'^{1/2}$ , we expect not to excite any thermal modes beyond the lowest-lying field theoretic modes in the open string spectrum. A useful check of self-consistency with the low energy finite temperature field theory limit is to verify the expected  $T^{10}$  growth of the free energy.

We begin with the one-loop contribution to the type IB string vacuum functional given in Eq. (84). Expand the integrand of the modular integral in powers of  $q = e^{-2\pi t}$ , thus isolating the  $t \rightarrow 0$  asymptotics of the modular integral which is dominated by the massless modes in the open string



where we have substituted for  $(-9) = B_{10} = 10$ , and  $(-9/2) = (-1)^5 2^5 P_{-9/2} = (9!!)$ . Notice the reversal of the overall sign of the free energy, since  $\phi_0$  is negative, clarifying that the lowest-lying field theoretic modes contributing to the nonvanishing free energy are target spacetime fermions. This is consistent with our observation that they have acquired a tree-level thermal mass which is linear in the temperature, precisely as expected in a finite temperature supersymmetric gauge theory. We remind the reader that the one-loop string vacuum amplitude in the thermal type IIB vacuum given in Eq. (84), which computes the tree-level mass spectrum [32], has no massless mode contribution as a consequence of the half-integer moding in the thermal momenta.

## 5.2 High Temperature Expansion

Conversely, when we include the contribution from all of the massive string modes, we find a  $T^2$  growth in the string free energy at temperatures far above the string scale. Notice that in the high temperature regime,  $F(\beta)$  is never plagued by a  $t! \rightarrow 0$  divergence irrespective of the moding on the thermal momenta, since the contribution from thermal modes provides an exponential damping of the modular integral. Set  $t! = 1/t$  in the expression for the level expansion, and expand in powers of  $e^{-t}$ . The ultraviolet asymptotics of the mass level expansion dominates the high temperature regime, giving the following leading contribution to  $F_{\text{IB}}(\beta)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\text{IB}}(\beta) &= \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} (2\pi)^{-9/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{dt}{2t} \frac{t^{1/2}}{(i=t)^8}}{e^{8\pi^2 \alpha' (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} (2\pi)^{-9/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{dt}{2t} t^{3/2} 2^4 + O(e^{-t})}{e^{8\pi^2 \alpha' (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} V (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} (2\pi)^{-9/2} 2^4 (2\pi)^{-1} (1) (1) (1/2) (2\pi)^{1/2} (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{8\pi^2 \alpha' (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} V (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} (1) : \quad (89)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find that the free energy at one-loop order in every perturbative string ensemble, whether open or closed, bosonic or supersymmetric, grows as  $T^2$  at temperatures far above the string scale, a conjecture originally made by Atick and Witten [62] for closed string theories alone, and more recently shown to be a consequence of the thermal duality transformations in string/M theory [32, 42, 41].

Including all of the corrections to the ultraviolet asymptotic limit of the open string mass spectrum, we find that the term-by-term integration over the modulus,  $t$ , can be expressed in terms of the Bessel function  $K_{1/2}(x)$ . The free energy takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\text{IB}}(\beta) &= \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} (2\pi)^{-9/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{dt}{2t} t^{1/2} 2^4 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^{(\text{IB})} e^{-2\pi m t}}{e^{8\pi^2 \alpha' (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} L^9 (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-9/2} (1) + (2\pi)^{-9/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2k} \frac{dt}{2t} t^{3/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m^{(\text{IB})} e^{-2\pi m t}}{e^{8\pi^2 \alpha' (n + \frac{1}{2})^2}} \quad (90)
\end{aligned}$$

where the  $b_m^{(IB)}$  are the coefficients in the mass level expansion for the type IB thermal mass spectrum, obtained by expanding in powers of  $q = e^{-2\pi t}$ :

$$Z_{IB}(i=t) = \left[ (i=t) \right]^{-8} \frac{\prod_{01} (i=t; 0) \prod_{10} (i=t; 0)}{\prod_{(i=t)} \prod_{00} (i=t)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m^{(IB)} e^{-2\pi m t} : \quad (91)$$

Performing the integration over  $t$  results in the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{IB}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{V} (4\pi^2 \tau)^{-9/2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{V} (4\pi^2 \tau)^{-9/2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_m^{(IB)} \frac{1}{(16\pi^2 \tau)^{1/2}} e^{(2^3 - 3 = 2^m - 1 = 2(n + \frac{1}{2})^2 - 2)^{1/2}} 2(x=2)^{1/2} K_{1/2}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{V} (4\pi^2 \tau)^{-9/2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_m^{(I)}}{(16\pi^2 \tau)^{1/2}} e^{(2^3 - 3 = 2^m - 1 = 2(n + \frac{1}{2})^2 - 2)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{V} (4\pi^2 \tau)^{-9/2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau} \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_m e^{-2\pi(m;n)\tau} : \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where the relation,  $2^3 x^2 = 64 - 3 \ln^2 m$ , identifies the argument of the Bessel function,  $K_{1/2}(x) = (\pi/2x)e^{-x}$ . We emphasize that this is an exact result for the type IB free energy, valid at all temperatures, and including all of the massless string modes, as well as the full thermal spectrum. The factor in square brackets in the first equality arises from the modular integration. Notice that the correction to the leading  $\tau^{-1}$  dependence of the string vacuum functional,  $W_{IB}(\tau)$ , is an exponential suppression,  $O(e^{-1/\tau})$ , at high temperatures.

The exact expression for the one-loop free energy takes the form:

$$F_{IB}(\tau) = \frac{1}{V} (4\pi^2 \tau)^{-9/2} = \frac{1}{V} T^2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_m T e^{-2\pi(m;n)\tau} : \quad (93)$$

where the leading  $T^2$  growth is found to be corrected by a negligible  $T e^{-T}$  dependence, at high temperature. It is remarkable that the temperature dependence of the one-loop vacuum energy density in the nonsupersymmetric and tachyon free SO(16) SO(16) type IB vacuum [59] takes such a simple closed form! It is characterized by the inverse mass parameters,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2(m;n)$ , and dimensionless constants,  $A_m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \frac{1}{12} \quad \tau_2 = \frac{1}{6} \quad \tau_3 = \frac{1}{6} \\ \tau_2(m;n) &= 2^3 - 3 = 2^m - 1 = 2(n + \frac{1}{2})^2 - 2; \quad A_m = (2)^{-9/2} (1)^n b_m^{(I)} (16\pi^2 \tau)^{-1/2}; \quad \text{where } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

where we have substituted  $(1) = B_2 = 2 = 1/12$ ,  $(1/2) = 2^{-1/2}$ , in the first equality. Note the minus sign in the coefficient, reversing the sign of the free energy, and clarifying that the dominant UV contribution is from target space fermionic modes. We note that this was also true in the case of the SO(16) SO(16) heterotic string ensemble [57]. What is the physical significance of the exponentially damped corrections to the leading  $T^2$  growth of the vacuum energy density at high temperature? Recall that the sequence of integers,  $m > 0$ , now characterizes the corrections

to the UV asymptotics of the mass level expansion of the string spectrum, because of the  $t^{-1}$  transformation on the worldsheet modulus. The integer  $n$ , of course, simply parameterizes the Matsubara modes of the thermal spectrum in the  $m$ th correction.

It is helpful to check the corresponding scaling behavior as a function of temperature for the first few thermodynamic potentials. The internal energy of the canonical ensemble,  $U = -(\partial W / \partial \beta)_V$ , displays the same scaling behavior as the free energy. The entropy is given by the expression:

$$S = -\partial F / \partial \beta_V = -\partial W / \partial \beta_V - \beta U; \quad (95)$$

and we infer that it scales at high temperatures as  $\beta^{-1}$ . Finally, since the specific heat at constant volume is given by:

$$C_V = \partial S / \partial \beta_V; \quad (96)$$

we infer that it also scales as  $\beta^{-1}$  at high temperatures. The corresponding scaling behavior at low temperatures, in agreement with the expected result for the low energy gauge theory limit it can be extracted, as in the previous section, by considering instead the  $t^{-1}$  asymptotics of these expressions.

## 6 Type I Microcanonical Density of States

It is a basic postulate of statistical mechanics that all of the microstates contributing to a given macrostate at fixed energy  $E$  are equiprobable and that the macrostate describing thermal equilibrium has the maximal number of contributing microstates. We start with the Boltzmann relation for the absolute entropy of the system,  $S = k_B \ln(\Omega(E; V))$ , where  $\Omega(E; V)$  is the number of microstates with energy  $E$ , occupying a fixed spatial volume  $V$ , and  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant. In natural units,  $k_B$  is set to unity and  $\beta = 1/T$ . It is generally considered more convenient to work with quantities pertaining to an energy shell of width  $\Delta E$  lying on the energy sphere of radius  $E$ . Let the total number of microstates within the energy sphere be  $\Omega(E; V) = \sum_{E' \leq E} \Omega(E'; V)$ . Then the probability of finding the system in the microstate with energy between  $E$  and  $E + \Delta E$  is given by:

$$dp(E) = p(E) dE = \frac{1}{Z(\beta)} \exp[-\beta E] g(E) dE; \quad g(E) = \frac{\partial \Omega(E)}{\partial E}; \quad (97)$$

where  $Z(\beta)$  is the canonical partition function. Integrating over the full energy range on both sides of this equation gives a simple integral representation for  $Z(\beta)$ :

$$Z(\beta) = \int_0^\infty dE g(E) \exp[-\beta E]; \quad (98)$$

Recall that the quantity we can compute from first principles in the worldsheet formulation of perturbative string theory is not  $Z(\beta)$  itself, but  $W(\beta) = \ln Z(\beta)$  [1]. We will therefore interpret  $Z = \exp[W(\beta)]$  in terms of its power series expansion when inserted in the integral representation of the density of states  $g(E)$ .



The energy integral representation for the canonical partition function,  $Z(\beta)$ , is in the form of a Laplace transform. It follows that an inverse Laplace transform will enable the converse, namely, a representation of the density of states,  $g(E)$ , as a temperature integral. Let us analytically continue the variable  $\beta$  into the upper half complex plane,  $\text{Re } \beta > 0$ , in order that the integral in Eq. (98) is bounded for all energies. Substituting for the canonical partition function, the inverse Laplace transform is found to take the form :

$$g(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} d\beta \exp[\beta W(\beta)] e^{-\beta E} ; \quad (99)$$

where the contour runs parallel to the imaginary axis at a fixed value,  $\gamma$ , to the right of any isolated singularities of the canonical partition function,  $\exp[\beta W(\beta)]$ , in the complex plane. The density of states is an increasing function of energy while the Boltzmann factor is strongly damped with increasing  $E$ , so that the probability,  $p(E)$ , for a microstate of energy  $E$ , is a function with a maximum at some value  $E^*$ . This is the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution function. This leads to the following differential relations for the temperature of the microcanonical ensemble:

$$\frac{1}{g(E)} \frac{dg(E)}{dE} \Big|_{E=E^*} = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \Big|_{E=E^*} ; \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \Big|_{E=E^*} = \frac{1}{T^2} \frac{1}{C_V} ; \quad (100)$$

It follows that the most probable energy of the canonical ensemble,  $E^*$ , is identical to the given fixed energy,  $E$ , of the microcanonical ensemble.

## 6.1 Density of States: Short Open String Gas

The exponentiated string vacuum functional yields a formal expression for the canonical partition function of the string ensemble. Inserting our result for the type IIB open string ensemble in the corresponding microcanonical ensemble given in Eq. (99), we obtain:

$$g(E)_{\text{short}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} d\beta \exp\left[\beta \left( E + \frac{h}{L^9} (4^{-2} \alpha')^{-9/2} (\alpha')^9 \right)\right] ; \quad (101)$$

The canonical partition function has a singularity at the origin of the complex plane, namely, at infinite temperature. This is an understandable consequence of the  $T^{10}$  growth in the free energy of the canonical ensemble in the low energy finite temperature supersymmetric gauge theory derived above. Of course, as we raise the temperature beyond the string mass scale, the contributions to the canonical partition function from massive open string modes become important and one is, strictly speaking, in the high temperature,  $\beta \ll \alpha'^{1/2}$ , regime that will be treated in the next section. Here, we simply complete the analysis for the massless radiation gas, ignoring the fact that it is only a low energy approximation to the full superstring theory. However, this exercise allows us to compare with some standard results for finite temperature nonabelian gauge theories.

We will choose the integration contour,  $C$ , to run parallel to the right of the imaginary axis, closing on the semi-circle at infinity in the left half-plane, since the integrand is bounded for all  $\text{Re } \beta < 0$ , assuming a positive definite energy  $E$ . The contour encloses the essential singularity at the origin, and the residue can be obtained by expanding in a Laurent expansion. The result is:

$$g(E)_{\text{short}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C d\beta \exp\left[\beta \left( E + \frac{h}{L^9} (4^{-2} \alpha')^{-9/2} (\alpha')^9 \right)\right] = \text{Res}_{\beta=0} e^{\beta E + \beta \left[ \frac{h}{L^9} (4^{-2} \alpha')^{-9/2} (\alpha')^9 \right]} ; 0$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(8+9k)!} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} L^9 (4^{-2-0})^{9=2} i_{k+1} (0)^{9k+1} E^{8+9k} : \quad (102)$$

Thus, the density of states of the short open string ensemble increases monotonically as a function of energy, but the growth is slower than that of an exponential because of the extra factorial in the denominator.

Including the full open string ensemble, including massive string modes, one can carry out the corresponding inverse Laplace transform described by Eq. (99). The density of states in the microcanonical ensemble of type IB open strings therefore takes the form :

$$g_{\text{ann}}(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0-i\infty}^{0+i\infty} d\epsilon \exp[\epsilon E] \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp[V(4^{-2-0})^{9=2}] \epsilon^{n+m} : \quad (103)$$

It is straightforward to perform the Laurent expansion of each exponential, extracting the residue of the integral in the complex plane at the origin. For simplicity, we can drop the exponentially suppressed corrections in the canonical partition function since we are interested in the high temperature limit, valid at temperatures far above the string scale. The result takes the simple form :

$$g(E)_{\text{high}} = \text{Res}_{\epsilon=0} e^{E+V(4^{-2-0})^{9=2}} ; 0 \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} L^{9k} (4^{-2-0})^{9k=2} \frac{1}{k!} E^{k-1} : \quad (104)$$

a quick glance at the growth of the numerical coefficients in the power series expansion in energy,  $E$ , clarifies that the growth in energy of the density of states in the microcanonical ensemble is slower than that of an exponential. More precisely, this expression can be written in closed form as the Bessel function  $I_1(2\sqrt{E})$ , which grows as the exponential of the square root of ensemble energy, and with power law corrections in  $E^{11}$ . Thus, while we can safely conclude that there is no Hagedorn phase transition in the type IB open string ensemble, we have found instead evidence in the microcanonical ensemble for a high energy phase transition at  $E_1 = 1$ . Plausibly, this indicates the onset of the Long String phase at ensemble energies above  $E_1$ , where most of the ensemble energy resides in a single long closed string [51, 60, 63, 65, 70, 71].

## 7 Conclusions

We have shown that all of the perturbatively renormalizable and anomaly-free supersymmetric string theories with Yang-Mills gauge fields: heterotic, type I, and type I' admit stable and tachyon-free finite temperature ground states in which we can formulate an equilibrium statistical mechanics of strings in the weak coupling limit. Preceding attempts [53, 46, 61, 56, 62, 65, 64, 66, 67] to formulate an equilibrium statistical mechanics with a tachyon-free supersymmetric string canonical ensemble have failed for a variety of reasons; a detailed account appears in section 2 of hep-th/0409301v1. These works do not correctly incorporate the Euclidean T-duality transformations

<sup>11</sup>This behavior was pointed out to me by Juan Maldacena.

linking the thermal vacua of the supersymmetric string theories in pairs. Neither do they meet the infrared consistency conditions we have required in our analysis, matching self-consistently with the low energy finite temperature field theoretic physics. In addition, string theoretic consistency conditions, such as modular invariance, have often been violated. The results in this paper demonstrate irrefutably that the widespread misconception that the canonical ensemble of superstrings necessarily breaks down beyond a limiting (Hagedorn) temperature is simply wrong. Finally, in the case of the pure type IIA and type IIB thermal ground states, we show that it is not possible to eliminate low temperature tachyons from the thermal spectrum while meeting the constraints from modular invariance. This fact also precludes interpretation of thermal winding mode tachyons as indication of a string scale "Hagedorn" phase transition in the type II theories: the canonical ensemble cannot be defined in the full temperature range, starting at  $T = 0$ .

For each of the six superstring ensembles, we have shown that the growth of the free energy at high temperatures far above the string scale is only as fast as that in a 2d quantum field theory:  $F(T) \sim T^2$ . This behavior exemplifies the equivalence of perturbatively renormalizable superstring theories to the 2d gauge theory of diffeomorphism and Weyl invariances. We have simultaneously verified that the low energy field theoretic limit of our expressions for the string free energy in each case recovers the expected  $T^{10}$  growth characteristic of a 10D quantum field theory, at low temperatures where only the lowest-lying massless field theory modes contribute. These results follow directly from an explicit evaluation of the one-loop modular integrals. The  $T^2$  growth of the high temperature string free energy was conjectured by Atick and Witten in [62]. We should mention that the  $T^2$  scaling can also be inferred from the thermal duality relations linking the thermal ground states of the superstring ensembles in pairs, analogous to the  $T^2$  scaling of the free energy of the closed bosonic string which follows from its thermal self-duality first demonstrated in [32]. Likewise, we can infer the existence of a duality phase transition in the Kosterlitz-Thouless universality class mapping the finite temperature ground state of the  $E_8 \times E_8$  heterotic string to its  $Spin(32)/Z_2$  Euclidean  $T$ -dual, analogous to the self-duality phase transition in the closed bosonic string ensemble [42]. The Kosterlitz-Thouless universality class is characterized by an infinite hierarchy of thermodynamic potentials displaying analyticity at the critical temperature, in this case,  $T_c = 1/2^{D-2}$  [40, 55, 54, 42].

Most importantly, the evidence we have given in Section 6 in favor of a novel long string phase at high temperatures in the microcanonical ensemble of short open strings in the type IIB string theory is strongly deserving of further investigation, especially as motivated by models for the dynamics of a cosmic string network [60, 51, 65, 63, 71]. The question of interest here is establishing concrete evidence for the scaling regime, dominated by one, or more, long winding mode cosmic strings in a bath of thermal radiation described by the short loop length limit of a microcanonical ensemble of open and closed strings.

We will close with mention of an important insight that applies more broadly to the development of a fundamental, and nonperturbative, formulation for String/M Theory. We remind the reader that perturbative string theory as formulated in the worldsheet formalism is inherently background dependent: the "heat-bath" representing the embedding target space of fixed spatial volume and fixed inverse temperature is forced upon us, together with any external background fields characterizing the target spacetime geometry. Thus, we are ordinarily restricted to the canonical en-

ensemble of statistical mechanics. We should caution the reader that while an immense, and largely conjectural, literature exists on proposals for microcanonical ensembles of weakly-coupled strings [45, 61, 51, 64, 65], the conceptual basis of these treatments is full of holes. Some of the pitfalls have been described in [34, 62]. One could argue that, strictly speaking, the microcanonical ensemble is what is called for when discussing quantum cosmology, or the statistical mechanics of the Universe [3]: the Universe is, by definition, an isolated closed system, and it is meaningless to invoke the canonical ensemble of the "fundamental" degrees of freedom. However, there are many simpler questions in both early Universe cosmology, and in finite temperature gauge theories, that are approachable within the framework of the canonical ensemble, and already under investigation. The limitations on such investigations imposed by requiring absence of the Jeans instability, as well as thermal back reaction, should always be kept in mind.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Joe Polchinski for urging me to be precise in applying the thermal (Euclidean T-duality) transformations linking the thermal ground states of the six superstring theories; this was a key insight in uncovering the physics of the duality transition in both the heterotic and type I ensembles. I thank Bo Sundborg and Steve Giddings for initiating my interest in a derivation of the type I string microcanonical ensemble. I must also thank David Gross for encouraging me to clarify the relationship of my results to the exponential growth in the ultraviolet asymptotics of the string level expansion, as well as to rederive the type II and heterotic ensembles along the same lines as my analysis for the type I ensemble. I thank Juan Maldacena for the comment below Eq. (4). I thank Lubos Motl, and Keith Dienes and Mike Lennek, for bringing the error in implementing modular invariance in my previous discussion of the type II ensembles to my notice. None of the above should be held responsible for my conclusions. This research has been supported in part by the NSF, the Aspen Center for Physics, and the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics.

## References

- [1] J. Polchinski, Evaluation of the One Loop String Path Integral, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 104 (1986) 37.
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings, *Phys. Lett. B* 103 (1982) 107.
- [3] S. W. Hawking, Quantum Gravity and Path Integrals, *Phys. Rev. D* 18 (1978) 1747. Zeta Function Regularization of Path Integrals, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 55 (1977) 133.
- [4] A. Cohen, G. Moore, P. Nelson, and J. Polchinski, An On-shell Propagator for String Theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* 267 (1986).
- [5] S. Chaudhuri, Ultraviolet Limit of Open String Theory, *JHEP* 9908 (1999) 003; hep-th/9906238.
- [6] S. Chaudhuri, Y. Chen, and E. Novak, Pair Correlation Function of Wilson Loops, *Phys. Rev. D* 62 (2000) 026004.
- [7] S. Chaudhuri and E. Novak, Supersymmetric Pair Correlation Function of Wilson Loops, *Phys. Rev. D* 62 (2000) 046002.

- [8] S. Chaudhuri and E. Novak, Effective String Tension and Renormalizability, JHEP 0008:027 (2000).
- [9] S. Chaudhuri, Confinement and the Short Flux Tube, Nucl. Phys. B 591 (2000) 243, hep-th/0007056.
- [10] S. Chaudhuri, The Normalization of Perturbative String Amplitudes: Weyl Covariance and Zeta Function Regularization, electronic pedagogical review article, hep-th/0409031.
- [11] J. Schwarz, Phys. Rep. 89 (1982) 223.
- [12] M. Green and J. Schwarz, Anomaly Cancellation in Superstring Theory, Phys. Lett. B 149 (1984) 117; Infinity Cancellations in the SO (32) Superstring Theory, Phys. Lett. B 151 (1985) 21.
- [13] J. Polchinski and Y. Cai, Consistency of Open Superstring Theories, Nucl. Phys. B 296 (1988) 91.
- [14] N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 176.
- [15] J. Lepowsky, S. Mandelstam, and I. M. Singer, Vertex Operators in Mathematics and Physics, Conference Proceedings (Nov 10, 1983), Springer-Verlag (1985).
- [16] D. Gross, J. Harvey, E. Martinec, and R. Rohm, Heterotic String Theory I: The Free Heterotic String, Nucl. Phys. B 256 (1985) 253; Heterotic String Theory II: The Interacting Heterotic String, Nucl. Phys. 267 (1985) 75.
- [17] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Spin Structures in String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 276 (1986) 272.
- [18] S. Chaudhuri, H. Kawai, and S.-H. H. Tye, Path Integral Formulation of Strings, Phys. Rev. D 36 1148 (1987). See section 3.
- [19] K. S. Narain, M. Samad, and E. Witten, A Note on Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 279 (1987) 369.
- [20] P. Ginsparg, Comment on Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic Superstrings, Phys. Rev. D 35 648 (1987).
- [21] L. Alvarez-Gaume, P. Ginsparg, G. Moore, and C. Vafa, An O (16) O (16) Heterotic String, Phys. Lett. B 171 (1986) 155.
- [22] L. Dixon and J. Harvey, String Theories in Ten Dimensions Without Spacetime Supersymmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 274 93 (1986).
- [23] H. Kawai, D. Lewellen, and S.-H. H. Tye, Classification of Closed Fermionic String Models, Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 3794.
- [24] M. Green, J. Schwarz, and E. Witten, Superstring Theory, in two volumes (Cambridge) 1987.

- [25] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, Geometry of String Perturbation Theory, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 60 (1988) 917.
- [26] T. Banks and L. Dixon, Constraints on String Vacua with Spacetime Supersymmetry, *Nucl. Phys. B* 307 (1988) 93.
- [27] A. Abouelsaood, C. Callan, C. Nappi, and S. Yost, Open String Theory in Background Gauge Fields, *Nucl. Phys. B* 280 (1987) 599. N. Seiberg and E. Witten, String Theory and Noncommutative Geometry, *hep-th/9908142*. S. Carroll, J. Harvey, V. Kostelecky, C. Lane, and T. Okamoto, Noncommutative Field Theory and Lorentz Violation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 141601, *hep-th/0105082*.
- [28] S. Chaudhuri and J. Schwartz, A Criterion for Integrably Marginal Operators, *Phys. Lett. B* 219 (1989).
- [29] J. Polchinski, Dbranes and Ramond-Ramond Charge, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 (1995) 2724.
- [30] J. Polchinski and E. Witten, Evidence for Heterotic-Type I String Duality, *Nucl. Phys. B* 460 (1995) 525.
- [31] M. Douglas, D. Kabat, P. Pouliot, and S. Shenker, Dbranes and Short Distances in String Theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* 485 (1997) 85.
- [32] J. Polchinski, String Theory, in two volumes (Cambridge) 1998. Sec 9.8 contains the demonstration that the high temperature  $T^2$  growth in the vacuum energy density in the closed bosonic string theory follows as a consequence of its thermal self-duality.
- [33] C. Bernard, Feynman Rules for Gauge Theories at Finite Temperature, *Phys. Rev. D* 9 3312 (1974).
- [34] A. M. Polyakov, Thermal Properties of Gauge Fields and Quark Liberation, *Phys. Lett. B* 72 (1978) 472. L. Susskind, *Phys. Rev. D* 20 (1979) 2610. G. 'tHooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* 138 (1978) 1. L. McLerran and B. Svetitsky, *Phys. Rev. D* 24 (1981) 450.
- [35] D. Gross, L. Ya e, and M. Perry, Instability of Flat Space at Finite Temperature, *Phys. Rev. D* 25 (1982) 330.
- [36] R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev. D* 9 (1974) 1686. A. Linde, Phase Transitions in Gauge Theories and Cosmology, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* 42 (1979) 389.
- [37] O. Alvarez, *Phys. Rev. D* 24 (1981) 440; *Nucl. Phys. B* 216 (1983) 125.
- [38] M. B. Green, Wilson-Polyakov Loops for Critical Strings and Superstrings at Finite Temperature, *Nucl. Phys. B* 381 (1992) 201.
- [39] A. B. Pippard, The Elements of Classical Thermodynamics, Cambridge.

- [40] J.M .K Osterlitz and D .M .Thouless, J.Phys.C 6 (1973) 1181.J.Cardy, Scaling and Renorm al-  
ization in StatisticalPhysics, Chap.6 (Cam bridge) 1996.C .Itzykson and J.Drou e, Statistical  
Field Theory, Vol. I, sec.4.2 (Cam bridge).
- [41] S.Chaudhuri, Decon nement and the Hagedom Transition in Therm alString Theory, Phys.  
Rev.Lett. 86 (2001) 1943, hep-th/0008131.
- [42] S.Chaudhuri, Finite Tem perature C losed Bosonic Strings: Therm alD uality and the K Osterlitz-  
Thouless Transition, Phys.Rev.D 65 (2002) 066008, hep-th/0105110.
- [43] R .Hagedom, Nuovo C in . Suppl. 3 (1965) 147.K .Huang and S.W einberg, Phys. Rev. Lett.  
25 (1970) 895.S.Fubini and G .Veneziano, Nuovo C in . 64A (1969) 1640.R .Carlitz, Phys.  
Rev.D 5 3231 (1972).S.Frautschi, Phys. Rev.D 3 2821 (1971).C .Thom, Phys. Lett. 99B  
(1981) 458.R .P isarski, Phys.Rev.D 29 (1984) 1222.
- [44] G .Hardy and S.Ram anujan, Proc.Lond.M ath.Soc.17 (1918) 75.
- [45] E .A lvarez, Strings at Finite Tem perature, Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986).E .A lvarez and M .A .  
O sorio, Superstrings at Finite Tem perature, Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 1175.
- [46] K .K ikkawa and M .Yam asaki, Casim ir E ffects in Superstring Theories, Phys. Lett. B 149  
(1984) 357.N .Sakai and I.Senda, Vacuum Energies of String Com pacti ed on Torus, Prog.  
Theor. Phys. 75 (1986) 692.
- [47] M .D ine, P .Huet, and N .Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 322 (1989) 301.
- [48] V .P .N air, A .Shapere, A .Strom inger, and F.W ilczek, Com pacti cation of the Twisted H et-  
erotic String Torus, Nucl. Phys. B 287 (1987) 402.
- [49] T .Buscher, Phys. Lett. 194B (1987) 59; B 201 (1988) 466.
- [50] J.D ai, R .Leigh, and J.Polchinski, M od. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 2073.
- [51] B .Sundborg, Nucl. Phys. B 254 (1985) 583.P .Salom onson and B .S.Skagerstam , Nucl. Phys.  
B 268 (1986) 349; Physica A 158 (1989) 499.
- [52] R .Rohm , Nucl. Phys. B 237 (1984) 553.
- [53] M .Bowick and L.C.R .W ijewardhana, Superstrings at High Tem perature, Phys. Rev. Lett.  
54 2485 (1985).S.H .Henry Tye, The Lim iting Tem perature Universe and Superstrings, Phys.  
Lett. B 158 (1985) 388.
- [54] Ya. I. Kogan, Vortices on the W orld-Sheet and String's Critical Dynam ics, JETP Lett. 45  
(1987) 709.
- [55] B .Sathiyapalan, D uality in StatisticalM echanics and String Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987)  
414b
- [56] B .M aclaïn and B .Roth, Com m .M ath. Phys. 111 (1987) 1184.

- [57] P. Ginsparg and C. Vafa, Toroidal Compactifications of Non-supersymmetric Heterotic Strings, Nucl. Phys. B 289 (1987) 414.
- [58] H. Itoyama and T. Taylor, Supersymmetry Restoration in the Compactified  $O(16) \times O(16)$  Heterotic String Theory, Phys. Lett. B 186 (1987) 129.
- [59] J. Blum and K. Denes, Nucl. Phys. B 516 (1998) 83, hep-th/9707160.
- [60] D. Mitchell and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1577; Statistical Properties of Cosmic Strings, Nucl. Phys. B 294 (1987) 1138.
- [61] K. O'Brien and C.I. Tan, Modular Invariance of the Thermopartition Function and Global Phase Structure of the Heterotic String, Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 1184. N. D'Onofrio, S. Jain, and C.-I. Tan, Strings at High Energy Densities and Complex Temperature, Phys. Lett. B 220 (1989) 125; String Statistical Mechanics above Hagedorn Energy Densities, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 2626.
- [62] J. Atick and E. Witten, The Hagedorn Transition and the Number of Degrees of Freedom in String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B 310 (1988) 291.
- [63] F. Englert, J. Orloff, and T. P. Iran, Fundamental Strings and Large Scale Structure Formation, Phys. Lett. B 212 (1988) 423.
- [64] R. Brandenberger and C. Vafa, Superstrings in the Early Universe, Nucl. Phys. B 316 (1989) 391.
- [65] M. Bowick and S. Giddings, High Temperature Strings, Nucl. Phys. B 325 (1989) 631. A. Bytsenko, E. Elizalde, S. D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Nucl. Phys. 394 (1993) 423. D. Lowe and L. Thorlacius, Hot String Soup, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 665. M. Meana, M. A. Osoorio, and J. Penalba, The String Density of States from the Convolution Theorem, Phys. Lett. B 400 (1997) 275; hep-th/9701122. M. C. Abdalla, A. L. Gadelha, and D. Nedel, Closed String Thermal Torus from Thermoelectric Dynamics, hep-th/0410068.
- [66] A. Bytsenko, L. Granda and S. D. Odintsov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996) 2525. S. Abel, J. Barbon, I. Kogan, and E. Rabinovici, String Thermodynamics in D-Branes Backgrounds, JHEP 9904 (1999) 015. R. Easter, B. Greene, M. Jackson, and D. Kabat, Brane Gases in the Early Universe: Thermodynamics and Cosmology, JCAP 0401 (2004) 006.
- [67] J. Barbon and E. Rabinovici, Touring the Hagedorn Ridge, hep-th/0407236.
- [68] J. Maldacena, The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 505, hep-th/9711200.
- [69] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter Space, Thermal Phase Transitions, and Confinement in Gauge Theories, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 505-532, hep-th/9803131. Sec 2 reviews the basics of finite temperature supersymmetric gauge theory phenomena.



- [70] G. Horowitz and J. Polchinski, A Correspondence Principle for Black Holes and Strings, *Phys. Rev. D* 55 (1997) 6189, [hep-th/9612146](#).
- [71] E. Witten, *Phys. Lett. B* 153 (1985) 243. A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*, Cambridge (1994). A pedagogical review, including recent developments, appears in J. Polchinski, *Cosmic Superstrings Revisited*, [hep-th/0410082](#).
- [72] K. Dienes and M. Lennek, Re-identifying the Hagedorn Transition, [hep-th/0505233](#).