

Quantized D(-2)brane Charge and the Cosmological Constant

Shyamoli Chaudhuri

214 North Allegheny Street

Bellefonte, PA 16823

(shyamoli@thphysed.org)

Abstract

Spatial variations in the cosmological constant in type I' string vacua are determined by the local density of D8brane charge and the spatial dependence of the dilaton potential. D(-2)branes are the magnetic Hodge duals of electric D8branes in ten spacetime dimensions. In an earlier work (hep-th/0007056), we gave a direct worldsheet computation of the quantum of D(-2)brane charge in type I' string theory. Our result $\mu_{-2} = 4\pi^2\alpha'^{1/2}$ is in accord with the general result derived by Polchinski for the quantum of Dpbrane charge, μ_p , where p lies in the interval $-2 \leq p \leq 8$. We point out that in the type I' vacua considered in our earlier work, the D8brane worldvolume is a region with constant dilaton potential and positive cosmological constant. We review our worldsheet calculation of the probability for D8brane nucleation in the worldvolume theory in the presence of a constant background magnetic field. The electromagnetic flux introduces an independent small parameter into string/M theory analyses that attempt to incorporate Brown and Teitelboim's proposal for a dynamical neutralization of the cosmological constant to physically acceptable values. This enables us to arrange for acceptably small values of the jump in the cosmological constant upon brane nucleation, thereby giving a simple resolution to the notorious gap problem pointed out by previous authors. The appendix to this paper contains a self-contained pedagogical review of Polchinski's 1986 analysis from first principles of the string theory path integral based on Hawking's zeta function regularization technique adapted to scale-invariant computations in quantum gravity. Suitably supersymmetrized, this work led to an unambiguous worldsheet calculation of the quantum of Dpbrane charge. The extension of the results to the supersymmetric and unoriented type I' string theory in the presence of generic constant two-form field strength is also reviewed. That computation is the basis for the expressions we have derived for a dynamically neutralized effective cosmological constant in the presence of an inter-galactic magnetic field.

1 Introduction

Irrespective of one's viewpoint on the evidence from astrophysical observations for a non-vanishing cosmological constant of order $\Lambda \simeq 81(\text{meV})^4$ in our four-dimensional world at the current epoch of astronomical time, it is clear that the fundamental precepts of *quantum* cosmology require that we give serious consideration to spacetime backgrounds of string theory that can describe the dynamical evolution of both spacetime geometry, and of spacetime topology [5, 6, 7, 8].

Let us summarize an important message from quantum cosmology for string and particle theorists, reiterated recently by Stephen Hawking [8]: asking whether the fundamental theory at the planck scale predicts a unique vacuum state that describes the standard model of particle physics and cosmology at laboratory energy scales is *asking the wrong question*. The reason is Quantum Mechanics. We live in a four-dimensional, nearly flat universe. We have already measured, and continue to measure, in exhaustive detail the masses and couplings that parameterize the standard model. Each time we make a new measurement we impact the temporal *history* of the evolution of the universe, and this further destroys our ability to make predictive statements about the late-time vacuum state from a knowledge of the initial conditions, and of the theory that holds during these initial conditions. The point is that when we study the quantum mechanics of the universe, we do so as part and parcel of it as observers. We must give up on cherished tools such as the traditional renormalization group which work so well in predicting the temporal history of an isolated system, from high energy scales to low energy scales, but from the viewpoint of an external observer.¹

The more logical way to proceed in adherence with the principles of quantum mechanics [6] is to acknowledge that *we have already made a measurement* of the data describing the vacuum state at late times: four dimensions, a near-flat Lorentzian spacetime geometry, the standard model gauge group and particle spectrum. Does the “final theory”, which is really the initial, planck-scale, theory, admit such a vacuum state as one of its myriad solutions? If so, finding it amounts to *adequate verification of the viability of the planck-scale theory as regards the vacuum state at late times*.² It would then seem prudent to move on to additional, and possibly more challenging, tests of the theoretical self-consistency of the planck-scale theory. I should emphasize, as was also emphasized in [8], that this viewpoint should not be confused with adherence to the anthropic principle. Hawking likes to call this viewpoint a top-down view of the universe, and he is not being merely facetious, or contrary, in using the words “top-down” to refer to what the particle theorist usually calls the “bottom-up” approach. Let us ignore, for the moment, the complication of what is increasingly being referred to as the anthropic landscape of string theory. Let us suppose, also, that

¹This basic distinction between the quantum mechanics of the universe, as opposed to an isolated system, has nothing to do with whether the quantum corrections to the semi-classical evolution characterizing a *particular* history of the universe are small [6]. They are indeed small for many phenomenologically interesting histories.

²I would like to clarify that, although this is precisely the direction the CHL group advocated for string phenomenology starting in 1993-95, we did so based on pure instinct rather than on insight from quantum mechanics. I will also note that, with the discovery of the CHL models [13, 14, 15], the hope of predicting a unique vacuum state for string theory based on dynamical considerations alone was definitively laid to rest. We had demonstrated in explicit detail that the space of exact vacuum solutions to string theory consists of disconnected moduli spaces. In the intervening years since, and notwithstanding hopes such as those expressed in [42], the evidence for a fundamental disconnectedness of the moduli space of M theory has only mounted in our favour [16]. And there is no evidence that the disconnectedness of the space of vacuum states is resolved in more ambitious nonperturbative frameworks such as matrix theories that go beyond perturbative string theory [17, 18].

we have in hand both a planck-scale theory, and a principle that selects for us the initial conditions at the planck scale, since we will argue that these are also not the most relevant issues. Focus, instead, on the fundamental difficulties encountered in finding a dynamical explanation for a small, or vanishing, cosmological constant within *any* theoretical framework that relies on the principles of the renormalization group. We will argue that *this* is the main stumbling block faced by our current notion of a final theory, and Hawking’s insight [5, 8] is profoundly relevant to the resolution of this problem.

Many physicists have noted that, unlike the other hierarchy problems of particle physics, the cosmological constant problem has the peculiarity that it stubbornly resurfaces at *every* scale of new physics down to the electroweak scale. We wish to point out that this difficulty is tied to reliance on the renormalization group as the primary tool for both conceptual and numerical understanding of the evolution of mass parameters in a theory from high to low energy scales. We will argue that it is necessary to give up on this viewpoint when we consider the evolution of the mass parameter that characterizes the vacuum energy density of the universe from the planck scale to the weak scale, *because the universe is a closed quantum mechanical system which includes its own observers* [6]. Acceptance of this wisdom from quantum mechanics does not remove the cosmological constant problem but it recasts it in a new light. Instead of the inevitability of the problem resurfacing at every new scale of physics, it is relegated to requiring a resolution *on the hypersurface of last scattering*. Beyond that, one must apply the rules of the quantum mechanics of closed systems in order to determine whether or not the reconstruction of history is permissible— as pertains specifically to the value of the cosmological constant, distinguishing between the processes of prediction and retrodiction.

The earliest studies of cosmology in string theory were made in the context of the perturbative heterotic string theories. The absence of any mass parameters in the heterotic string theory implies that the low energy effective field theory that holds just below the string scale has vanishing cosmological constant in every spacetime supersymmetric background of the theory. It is well-known that it is difficult to generate a non-vanishing effective cosmological constant thru quintessence or similar perturbative scalar field theory dynamics in such a circumstance without encountering the usual disasters upon breaking supersymmetry [44]. On the other hand, the type I, type I’, type IIA, and type IIB string theories admit a variety of exact conformal field theory solutions describing spacetime backgrounds with non-vanishing cosmological constant [1, 2, 26]. There has been significant progress in recent years in the investigation of de Sitter-like spacetimes with positive cosmological constant among the multitude of Dirichlet, Neveu-Schwarz, and gauged, solitonic pbrane solutions of the classical massive IIA supergravities [55, 56, 58, 57, 59, 61, 62]. In [57], we investigated a class of such backgrounds directly in the type I-I’-IIA-IIB massive string theories. Four distinct interpretations of the spacetime geometry were inferred from the perspective of the four corresponding string theories, using well-established target-spacetime and weak-strong coupling dualities [11, 43]. It is the purpose of this paper to make a preliminary investigation of what determines both the bare, and effective, value of the cosmological constant in the spacetime backgrounds described by our solutions.

We should note that several authors have investigated de Sitter-like vacua in the low-energy effective field theory limit of the type II string theory or in generalizations of M theory [55, 56, 58, 57, 59, 61]. Many of these papers go much further than we shall in developing a partial understanding

of both inflation and moduli stabilization. Some also propose string/M theory mechanisms to enable a dynamical neutralization of the cosmological constant to physically acceptable values [3]. However, to the best of our knowledge, [57] remains the only string analysis with both worldsheet and spacetime descriptions of type I' string vacua embedding regions of constant dilaton potential and positive cosmological constant. In particular, we will show that the introduction of a constant background magnetic field, namely, an electromagnetic flux, can eliminate the “gap problem” of [3] without the use of either large numbers of multiple discrete fluxes, a proposal due to Bousso and Polchinski [58], or of large numbers of Dbranes, an alternative proposal due to Feng, March-Russell, Sethi and Wilczek [59].

We comment that, unlike the case of the antisymmetric p-form fluxes of the type II string theories which are unambiguously quantized [2], the magnitude of an ordinary *electromagnetic* flux is not a priori determined in string theory. The electric and magnetic fluxes taken together satisfy a Dirac quantization condition, but there is no evidence that the value of the individual fluxes is separately quantized. This is curious, but eminently convenient [60]. In this respect, an electromagnetic flux is like an additional free modulus of string theory, albeit one whose value is not given by the dynamically determined vacuum expectation value of a massless scalar field. We emphasize that since our proposal relies on the existence of electromagnetic or, more generally, Yang-Mills, gauge fields in addition to a quantized ten-form flux, *it cannot be uplifted to a solution of eleven-dimensional supergravity with all 11 spacetime dimensions non-compact*. The 10-d gauge fields originate in the 11-d gauge fields of the compactification of M theory on an interval [11, 57]. This background of M theory is, of course, the strong coupling dual of the weakly coupled type I' string theory background we have constructed in [57].³

It follows from our worldsheet calculation of both the bare cosmological constant, and its correction from the pair creation probability for D8branes, that the effective cosmological constant on the worldvolume of the D8branes in the type I' vacua we consider is determined unambiguously in terms of the single mass scale, m_s , and a single modulus, the strength of the constant two-form field strength: $\alpha' \bar{F}_2$. We reiterate, as stated earlier, that although we refer to $\alpha' \bar{F}_2$ as a modulus since it is a dimensionless parameter appearing in the effective field theory, its value is *not* determined by the dynamically selected vacuum expectation value of a massless scalar field. We have chosen to identify the background two-form with an inter-galactic magnetic field, $\alpha' F_{78}$, as in our earlier work [60]. It should be noted, however, that a similar numerical expression for the effective cosmological constant can be obtained for a background with constant Neveu-Schwarz two-form gauge potential, B_{78} , or with constant electric field, $\alpha' F_{08}$.⁴

³Although all of the results in this paper follow from weak-coupling string calculations, it is useful to record the relation of the ten-dimensional string mass scale, $m_s = \alpha'^{-1/2}$, and the dimensionless closed string coupling, g , to the eleven-dimensional Planck scale, M_{11} , and the radius of the interval, R_{10} : $g = (M_{11} R_{10})^{3/2}$, and $\alpha' = M_{11}^{-3} R_{10}^{-1}$ [11, 43].

⁴In open and closed string theories, two-form field strengths always appear in the low energy effective action in the combination, $2\pi\alpha' \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = B_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, from the perspective of the theory, electric, magnetic, or antisymmetric two-form potential, backgrounds can lead to identical predictions for the value of the effective cosmological constant [43, 57, 60]. Other considerations will, of course, distinguish clearly between the resulting physics in the worldvolume gauge-gravity theory.

2 A Precise Computation of Λ_{string}

In this section we explain why it is possible to give a precise determination of the string-scale cosmological constant, inclusive of numerical factors, in terms of the fundamental string mass scale, $m_s = l_s^{-1} = \alpha'^{-1/2}$, and the dimensionless string coupling, g . Since this is unlike what happens in a generic effective quantum field theory holding at some given mass scale, the distinction should be clearly appreciated prior to any serious investigation of the cosmological constant in String/M Theory. We comment that, not surprisingly, this distinction has already been noted in the introduction to [58], its discovery being due to one of the authors of that paper [2, 9]. Since a literature search by us has not uncovered a pedagogical explanation in any of the likely places [2, 26, 43] we have decided to provide one below. We should mention that Polchinski's worldsheet derivation of the quantum of Dpbrane charge has been independently confirmed by a spacetime Lagrangian analysis based on anomaly cancellation in the presence of spacetime defects, a result due to Green, Harvey, and Moore [28].

We comment that a similar explanation tells us why *all* of the couplings in the effective field theory that holds just below the string scale are determined inclusive of numerical factors in units of a single independent input to the theory, namely, the string mass scale, and a large number of dimensionless scalar field expectation values, or moduli. While this fact is certainly well-known to many string theorists, and was widely advertised following the first superstring revolution circa 1984, we wish to emphasize the fact that the additional mass parameters that can arise in the effective field theory just below the string scale originating in distinct species of Dpbrane charge in some generic type I-I'-IIA-IIB string vacuum are *likewise quantized unambiguously in units of a single mass scale, m_s* . From the viewpoint of the spacetime Lagrangian, this lack of ambiguity can be understood as being a consequence of the fact that many of the tree-level couplings are related to topological invariants of the spacetime manifold [30]. This is true irrespective of whether part of the ten-dimensional spacetime manifold is compactified, or more generally, when the spacetime manifold embeds lower-dimensional hypersurfaces, or pbranes. The usual relations between anomaly cancellation and topological invariants that hold for compactifications generalize to anomaly inflow arguments in the latter case [28], expressible in terms of topological invariants for spacetime manifolds with defects. Generically, however, the tree-level couplings of the effective field theory receive string loop corrections, especially when they are unprotected by spacetime supersymmetric non-renormalization theorems. Remarkably, these renormalized values of the couplings and mass parameters can also be computed unambiguously in terms of the dimensionless string coupling, g , and the renormalization of the single mass scale, m_s , by taking the zero slope limit of an appropriate string loop amplitude with appropriate vertex operator insertions. This is the usual worldsheet prescription of perturbative string theory, and it holds just as well for the type I-I'-IIA-IIB string theories as it does for the heterotic string theories. Although every such calculation has its spacetime Lagrangian analog, explicit calculations in the worldsheet formalism are usually much simpler in practice [37]. More importantly, as we explain in the next section, the choice of worldsheet regulator is unambiguously determined by the worldsheet gauge invariances. This means that loop corrections to the string theory spacetime Lagrangian can be computed *without introducing the regularization scheme dependence inherent in generic quantum field theoretic computations* [36, 37].

A key new ingredient following the 1995 discovery of strong-weak coupling dualities linking different string theories, which is especially useful in cases where the string background retains an

extended spacetime supersymmetry, enables us to obtain the result for a scattering amplitude in a given strongly-coupled string theory background by *making the analogous worldsheet computation in the dual weakly-coupled background of the appropriate dual string theory*. Further, the use of target-space dualities linking backgrounds of two apparently distinct string theories [10, 2] often enables a simpler spacetime interpretation of the target space geometry. The most famous example of this is, of course, the identification of Dbranes as the spacetime manifestation of abstract Ramond-Ramond charge in the type II theories [2]. The use of an extended heterotic-type I'-typeIB-typeIIB string duality chain in [57] was also the key element that enabled a spacetime interpretation for the D(-2)brane in all four string theories.

A final word on terminology. Since spacetime topological defects can almost always be traced to the existence of non-trivial flux for one, or more, antisymmetric tensor field strength, such string vacua are nowadays called flux compactifications [58]. But it should be emphasized that flux compactifications of string theory were originally discovered in abstract worldsheet formalisms based on fermionic current algebras, obtained by tensoring together Z_2 -twisted $c=1/2$ worldsheet conformal field theories, a formalism pioneered by Kawai, Lewellen, Schwartz, and Tye [38], and brought to fruition by Chaudhuri, Chung, Hockney, and Lykken [39]. Other examples of flux compactifications, first discovered in their abstract worldsheet formulation, include the orbifold compactifications of the type I string theory carrying *constant* quantized B_{NS} flux, a result due to Bianchi, Pradisi, and Sagnotti [40]. The strongly coupled duals of these type I vacua are orbifold compactifications of the heterotic string theory with quantized Yang-Mills theta angle, a class of string vacua that includes some CHL models [13, 16]. The presence of quantized background fluxes is the key distinguishing property of the disconnected CHL moduli spaces, whether with unbroken, or broken, spacetime supersymmetry [14, 15, 57]. Quantized ten-form flux is also the central feature of the type II backgrounds with cosmological constant that are the focus of our current work [57].

2.1 Dpbrane Solitons of the Type II Theories

It is helpful to begin our review of Polchinski's 1995 result for the quantum of Dpbrane charge [9, 10, 2] by sketching the relevant insights from both the worldsheet and the low-energy effective field-theory pictures that motivated this calculation. The low-energy effective field theory limit of the type II closed string theories is a ten-dimensional $N=2$ supergravity theory without Yang-Mills gauge fields. The massless supergravity Lagrangian can be extended by the inclusion of kinetic and Chern-Simons terms for antisymmetric tensor fields, F_{p+2} , corresponding to gauge potentials, C_{p+1} [25, 43], where p lies in the range, $-2 \leq p \leq 8$.⁵ Such a gauge potential can couple to an extended object with a $p+1$ dimensional worldvolume, or p -brane, and it is natural to ask whether the type II supergravities have classical solutions describing dilaton-gravitational-antisymmetric-tensor field configurations that have the geometry of a p -brane? The answer is yes, and a large variety of such gravitational solitons have been discovered over the years. The p -brane solitons of the type II theories can be distinguished by whether they carry charge for a Ramond-Ramond sector, or Neveu-Schwarz-Neveu-Schwarz sector, antisymmetric tensor field strength: the kinetic

⁵This range is usually expressed as $-1 \leq p \leq 9$ in the literature, covering instantons to 9branes, all of which have an obvious ten-dimensional spacetime interpretation [43]. Our choice instead corresponds to the range for the subscript on the field strength tensor, F_{p+2} , covering zero-form to ten-form field strengths, since these are the fields appearing in the ten-dimensional Lagrangian and equations of motion.

term in the Lagrangian for the corresponding field strength differs in the powers of $e^{-\phi}$ appearing in the pre-factor [11, 43].

The next key point to note is that one of the ten-dimensional supersymmetries is spontaneously broken by such a choice of vacuum in either the type IIA or type IIB theory. We now know that the corresponding ten-dimensional $N=1$ supergravities are the low-energy limits of the type I' and type IB string theories [43], an identification originally made by Witten [11] by direct comparison of the ten-dimensional effective Lagrangians and spectrum of low-lying masses. This also identified such vacua as BPS states of the type II string theory. A further step was the recognition that the p-brane solitons of the type II string theories could be characterized by how their tension scales with the string coupling [11]: $1/g$ for R-R sector solitons vs $1/g^2$ for NS-NS sector solitons. Shenker [24] made the important observation that R-R sector solitons would be responsible for $e^{-1/g}$ corrections to the standard closed string perturbation expansion in powers of $1/g^2$. This is unlike the NS-NS solitons which give nonperturbative corrections of the form e^{-1/g^2} , indistinguishable from those of an ordinary Yang-Mills gauge theory soliton.

All of these observations about the low-energy field theory limit of string theory fall in place with Polchinski's insight that Dirichlet-branes [10], suitably supersymmetrized, are the carriers of R-R charge in the type II string theories: in a vacuum that breaks half of the supersymmetries and carries non-trivial R-R charge, the spectrum of a type IIA or IIB closed string theory is extended by *an open string sector with Dirichlet boundary conditions imposed on the worldsheet fields* [2]. The key point is that the closed string coupling scales as the *square* of the open string coupling, and while the perturbation expansion of a pure closed string theory closes on itself, it *might* allow extension by an open string sector. Exceptions are the closed heterotic string theories where the chiral worldsheet current algebra prevents such an extension. However, this obstruction did not exist for the type II string theories.

The Dbrane vacua of the type II string theories could thus be said to represent the more generic class of type II vacua, having both an open and a closed string sector [26]. However, since only half of the supersymmetries of the type IIA or type IIB theories are preserved in such a vacuum, the Dbrane solutions could equivalently be viewed as the classical vacua of an $N=1$ ten-dimensional open and closed string theory. This string theory is, respectively, the type I' or type IB string theory. As is well-known, type IIA and type IIB were related by a T-duality transformation. This is also true for type I' and type IB. The advantage of the latter viewpoint is that questions which appeared obscure in the Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz worldsheet formalism of the type II closed string theory, such as the prescription for Ramond-Ramond vertex operators, or the computation of the Ramond-Ramond sector partition function, could now be straightforwardly answered in the RNS formalism of the corresponding *open and closed* string theory [43].⁶

The spacetime geometry of a type II vacuum carrying pbrane charge is that of a $p+1$ -dimensional hypersurface embedded in ten-dimensional spacetime [2]. It is well-known that a Hodge-star duality links a p -brane with a $(d-4-p)$ -brane in d dimensions, and that the corresponding charges must satisfy a Dirac quantization condition [25]. This is a simple consequence of applying quantum

⁶Since a prescription for R-R sector vertex operators has also been given recently by Berkovits [31] in the alternative Green-Schwarz worldsheet formalism, any remaining discomfort with the R-R sector of type II string theories has hopefully been permanently removed.

mechanics to extended objects, and was independently noted by Nepomechie and Teitelboim [25]. It generalizes the electric-magnetic duality of the abelian gauge field discovered by Dirac. We think of the magnetic monopole as a nonperturbative configuration of the vector potential which couples to the electron, the fundamental charge carrier of the electromagnetic gauge field. Of course, a more careful analysis only finds monopoles as stable configurations in field theories of scalars coupled to Yang-Mills fields. It is the same for any pair of pbranes that satisfy a Hodge-star duality relation [43]:

$$\nu_p \nu_{d-4-p} = 2\pi n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where ν_{d-4-p} is the quantized flux of the $(p+2)$ -form field strength. Such pbrane solitons are only found in d -dimensional field theories with both scalars and antisymmetric tensor fields of rank $p+2$, with $d \geq p+2$. In the case of Dirichlet p-branes where we have a clear understanding of how they are derived from the low-energy effective field theory limit of an open and closed string theory, we know that *both* gravity and Yang-Mills gauge fields exist in the worldvolume of the Dpbrane. This is because the worldvolume represents the hypersurface in ten-dimensional spacetime where open string end-points are permitted to lie, in addition to the closed strings which, of course, lie in all ten embedding spacetime dimensions. The scalar fields of the ten-dimensional field theory split into worldvolume scalars and bulk scalars, some of the latter representing the fluctuations of the Dpbrane in the ten-dimensional embedding spacetime. Thus, in a Dpbrane vacuum, the ten-dimensional Lagrangian obtained in the low-energy limit of the relevant type II string theory acquires a new term proportional to the Dpbrane worldvolume action. In the physically relevant Einstein frame metric, related to the string frame metric by a spacetime Weyl transformation: $G_{\mu\nu} = e^{-4\Phi/(d-2)} G_{\mu\nu}^{\text{string}}$, the worldvolume action takes the simple form [10, 2, 43]:

$$S_p = \tau_p \int d^{p+1} X e^{(p-3)\Phi/4} \sqrt{-\det(G_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\mu\nu})} + \mu_p \int C_{p+1} \quad , \quad (2)$$

where τ_p is the physical value of the Dpbrane tension. μ_{6-p} is the quantum of magnetic D(6-p)brane charge, related to the quantized flux of the field strength, F_{p+2} , obtained by integrating it over a sphere in $(p+2)$ dimensions:

$$\int_{S_{p+2}} F_{p+2} = 2\kappa_{10}^2 \mu_{6-p} \quad . \quad (3)$$

Thus, the flux quantum satisfying a Hodge duality relation of the form given in Eq. (1) is identified as, $\nu_p \equiv 2\kappa_{10}^2 \mu_p$. The flux quantum, ν_p , and the quantum of Dpbrane charge, μ_p , differ from the Dpbrane-tension, τ_p , in their lack of dependence on the closed string coupling. As a consequence, they can be calculated unambiguously in weakly-coupled perturbative string theory. Namely, we have the relations:

$$\kappa^2 \tau_p^2 \equiv g^2 \kappa_{10}^2 \tau_p^2 = \kappa_{10}^2 \mu_p^2, \quad \kappa_{10}^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^7 \alpha'^4 \quad . \quad (4)$$

Here, κ is the physical value of the ten-dimensional gravitational coupling. and the dimensionless closed string coupling, $g = e^{\Phi_0}$, where Φ_0 is the vacuum expectation value of the dilaton field.

To be specific, recall that the D(-2)brane and D8brane charges are related by a Hodge-star duality in ten spacetime dimensions. Let us write down the relevant equations for the coupling to a D8brane in the ten-form formulation of Roman's massive type IIA supergravity theory [52, 55]. In the Einstein frame metric, the bosonic part of the massive IIA action takes the simple form [43]:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int d^{10} X \sqrt{-G} \left(R_G - \frac{4}{d-2} (\partial\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} e^{5\Phi/2} M^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} \int M F_{10} \quad , \quad (5)$$

where M is an auxiliary field to be eliminated by its equation of motion. F_{10} is the non-dynamical ten-form field strength, which can be dualized to a zero-form, or scalar, field strength, $*F_{10}$. This non-dynamical constant field generates a uniform vacuum energy density that permeates the ten-dimensional spacetime, thus behaving like a cosmological constant: $F_{10} = dC_9$, varying with respect to the gauge potential, C_9 , gives $M = \text{constant}$, and varying with respect to M gives, $F_{10} = Me^{5\Phi/2}V_{10}$, where V_{10} is the volume of spacetime. Thus, we can identify the dualized scalar field strength: $*F_{10} = Me^{5\Phi/4}$. The D8brane is a source for the ten-form field, so that we expect a discontinuity in the field strength F_{10} , or a jump in M , when we cross an D8brane. Self-consistency requires that the discontinuity at the location of the D8brane be related to the tension of the D8brane: $\Delta M = 2\mu_8$ [12, 26]. Notice from Eq. (2) that the scalar potential on the worldvolume of a Dpbrane in the Einstein-frame metric takes the simple form $V = \tau_p e^{(p-3)\Phi/4}$. Expanding the action in Eq. (2), we find that the Yang-Mills action on the worldvolume of the Dpbranes in the Einstein frame metric takes the form:

$$S_{\text{YM}} = \frac{1}{2g_{\text{Dp}}^2} \int d^9 X \sqrt{G} e^{(p-3)\Phi/4} \text{Tr} |F_2|^2, \quad g_{\text{Dp}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'\tau_p} = (2\pi)^{p-2} g\alpha'^{(p-3)/2} \quad . \quad (6)$$

It would, of course, be of great interest to pursue the solutions to the type II massive supergravity with non-trivial dilaton potential. Some preliminary results for D8branes in the Roman's theory with exponential dilaton potential were obtained by Chamblin et al in [55]. Many more bound state solutions of the Roman's theory involving multiple fluxes have been constructed in recent years, for example, in [51, 52, 53, 54, 62]. The type I' string backgrounds we will consider in section 3 of this paper do have spatially-varying cosmological constant, as well as a non-trivial dilaton potential [12, 26, 50, 57]. We emphasize that the results of weakly-coupled string calculations are only meaningful in regions of the ten-dimensional spacetime where the cosmological constant is locally zero, or at least *constant*. In particular, we can safely assume a constant dilaton field within the worldvolume of the D8branes. Thus, the dilaton potential is *locally flat* in the region of spacetime where we formulate our worldsheet computation [57]. The effective field theory that holds just below the string scale, m_s , in this region of spacetime will therefore be ordinary Einstein gravity coupled to a massless scalar field with a positive cosmological constant, namely, de Sitter space. In addition, we will allow for a constant background two-form field strength, $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$, including a possible $B_{\mu\nu}$ background. Let us move on to the worldsheet calculations which enable us to compute the quantum of Dpbrane charge in both electric, and magnetic, guises.

3 The Normalization of String Amplitudes

Given the remarkably simple worldsheet ansatz for what are now called Dpbrane solitons of the type II string theory—pbrane solitons that carry charge under the p -form gauge potentials of the R-R sector, and whose tension, τ_p , scales as $1/g$, it is natural to ask whether the quantization of Dpbrane charge can be inferred directly from a worldsheet calculation? In fact, the result turns out to be stronger than what one might have expected. Not only can Dpbrane charge, μ_p , be determined unambiguously in terms of the fundamental string mass scale, m_s , but, upon substituting Polchinski's result for ν_p [2] in the left hand side of Eq. (1), we find that the integer, n , on the right hand side equals unity when $d=10$:

$$\nu_p^2 = 2\pi(4\pi^2\alpha')^{3-p}, \quad \nu_p \nu_{d-4-p} = 2\pi \quad (\text{String Theory}) \quad . \quad (7)$$

This string miracle has its origin in a beautiful, and insufficiently appreciated, property of the one-loop vacuum amplitude of string theory first noticed by Polchinski [9]: unlike the vacuum energy density of a quantum field theory, the vacuum energy density of an infra-red finite string theory is both ultraviolet finite, *and normalizable*.⁷ Since the quantum of Dpbrane charge can be obtained by simply taking the zero slope, or massless field theory, limit of the factorized one-loop graph of the type I' string theory in the background of a pair of Dpbranes, it is *required* to be unambiguously normalized [2, 26].

To appreciate the significance of this statement, let us begin by reviewing the analogous situation in a quantum field theory. In order to enable a clearer comparison, we will work in the Feynman path integral formulation for a perturbative quantum field theory. The vacuum functional of quantum field theory is given by the sum over classical field configurations, with the natural requirement that *the measure of the path integral preserve the continuous symmetries of the classical action*. In the case of a non-abelian gauge theory coupled to a massless scalar field, for example, we define a gauge invariant measure, factor out the volume of the gauge group by choosing a slice in field space that intersects each gauge orbit exactly once, and eliminate the overall infinity introduced by the choice of a redundant gauge-invariant measure by dividing by the volume of the gauge group:

$$Z[A, \Phi] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}[\text{gauge}]} \int [dA][d\Phi] e^{-S_{YM}[A] - S[\Phi, A]} = \int_{\text{orbit}} [d\hat{A}][d\Phi] e^{-S[\hat{A}] + S_{FP} - S[\Phi, \hat{A}]} \quad , \quad (8)$$

Although this gives an elegant starting point for calculations in perturbative non-abelian gauge theory, the vacuum functional of the gauge theory is *not* normalizable because of an overall infinity introduced by the ultraviolet divergent vacuum energy density. Despite having removed the redundancy due to gauge invariance by implementing the Faddeev-Popov procedure, the right-hand-side of Eq. (8) only becomes well-defined *when we introduce an appropriate ultraviolet regulator for the gauge theory*. This property is exactly the same as in any other quantum field theory, whether renormalizable, or not. Thus, in order to obtain physically meaningful results which hold independent of the choice of ultraviolet regulator, we restrict ourselves in quantum field theory to computing *normalized* correlation functions defined as follows:

$$\langle O_1[A, \Phi] \cdots O_n[A, \Phi] \rangle = \frac{1}{Z[A, \Phi]} \frac{1}{\text{Vol}[\text{gauge}]} \int [dA][d\Phi] O_1[A, \Phi] \cdots O_n[A, \Phi] e^{-S_{YM}[A] - S[\Phi, A]} \quad . \quad (9)$$

The ambiguity introduced by the introduction of an ultraviolet regulator in both the numerator and denominator has been *canceled* by taking the ratio. A suitable choice of regulator in this example would be dimensional regularization, which preserves the non-abelian gauge invariance. We assume the reader is well-acquainted with the remarkably successful computational scheme for perturbative Yang-Mills theory that follows from this prescription. However, as is well-known, the cosmological constant problem has not been addressed in this field theoretic analysis: the vacuum energy density is regulator-dependent, formally infinite, or at least of the same order as the overall mass scale of the quantum field theory.

⁷A clarification on terminology. In quantum field theory we calculate what are called normalized correlation functions by taking the *ratio* of an N -point function divided by the vacuum functional, thus eliminating the ambiguity introduced by a choice of ultraviolet regulator. As will be explained below, the generic loop correlation function in string theory, and this includes the vacuum amplitude, is already unambiguously normalized without the need to take a ratio.

The path integral for two-dimensional quantum gravity coupled to d massless scalar fields, namely, the worldsheet action for d -dimensional bosonic string theory, can be analysed exactly along these same lines [19, 9]. Two-dimensional quantum gravity is almost pure gauge, as one might expect from the fact that the Einstein action in two-dimensions is a topological invariant equal to the Euler number of the two-dimensional manifold:

$$S[g] = \int_M d^2\xi \sqrt{-g} R + 2 \int_{\partial M} ds k = 4\pi\chi_M = 4\pi(2 - 2h - b - c) \quad , \quad (10)$$

where h , b , and c , are, respectively, the number of handles, boundaries, and cross-caps on the two-dimensional Riemannian manifold. R and k are, respectively, the Ricci scalar curvature, and the geodesic curvature on the boundary. However, as was shown by Polyakov in 1983 [19] by a careful analysis of the Faddeev-Popov functional determinant, in any sub-critical spacetime dimension, $d < 26$, the Weyl mode, ϕ , in the two-dimensional metric, $g_{ab} = e^{-\phi} \hat{g}_{ab}$, acquires non-trivial dynamics given by the Liouville field theory:

$$Z[g, X] = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}[\text{Diff}_0]} \int [dg][dX] e^{-\lambda S[g] - S[X, g]} = \int_{\text{orbit}} [d\phi][dX] e^{-\lambda S[\hat{g}] + (d-26)S_L[\phi] - S[X, \hat{g}]} \quad , \quad (11)$$

where λ is an arbitrary constant that fixes the loop expansion parameter for two-dimensional quantum gravity: $e^{-\lambda\chi_M}$. In string theory, λ will be determined by the dimensionless closed string coupling, $\lambda = e^{-\Phi_0}$. The notation Diff_0 denotes the group of connected diffeomorphisms of the worldsheet metric. Notice that the coefficient of the Liouville action vanishes in the critical spacetime dimension, $d=26$. Thus, in critical string theory, ϕ drops out of the classical action, and we must divide by the volume of the Weyl group in order to eliminate the redundancy in the measure due to Weyl invariance:⁸

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{string}}[g, X] &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}[\text{Diff}_0 \times \text{Weyl}]} \int [dg][dX] e^{-\lambda S[g] - S[X, g]} \\ &= \int [d\tau]_{\chi_M} e^{-\lambda S[\hat{g}]} \int [dX] e^{-S[X, \hat{g}]} \equiv \int [d\tau]_{\chi_M} e^{-S[\hat{g}]} (\det' \Delta)^{-(d-2)/2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The path integral simplifies to an ordinary finite-dimensional integral over the moduli of the worldsheet metric, parameters that describe the shape and topology of the Riemannian manifold. The first-principles derivation of this result is reviewed in the appendix following [9, 22, 23]. Δ denotes the Laplacian acting on two-dimensional scalars on a Riemann surface with Euler number χ_M and moduli, τ . The measure for moduli, $[d\tau]$, can be unambiguously determined by the requirement of gauge invariance, as was shown in [9, 22, 23]. What remains is to obtain an expression for the functional determinant on the right-hand-side of the equation, in as explicit a form as is feasible. This requires specification of an ultraviolet regulator for the two-dimensional quantum field theory.

The beauty of Weyl-invariant two-dimensional quantum gravity is that there is *only one* choice of ultraviolet regulator that preserves all of the gauge invariances of the theory, namely, both connected diffeomorphisms and Weyl transformations of the metric. That regularization scheme is zeta-function regularization [4], as was pointed out by Polchinski in [9], and it gives, therefore, an unambiguously normalized expression for both the vacuum functional of string theory, as well as,

⁸It should be emphasized that the path integral for two-dimensional Weyl-invariant quantum gravity computes the sum over *connected* vacuum graphs in string theory. We denote this quantity by the usual symbol W [9, 37].

in principle, all of the loop correlation functions at arbitrary order in the perturbation expansion [36, 37]. In practice, other than on worldsheets of vanishing Euler number which contribute to the one-loop amplitudes of string theory, the eigenspectrum of the scalar Laplacian is insufficiently well-known to enable explicit calculation of the functional determinant [22, 23]. But the fact remains that, unlike what happens in quantum field theory, there is *no ambiguity in the normalization of generic loop correlation functions introduced by an arbitrariness in the choice of regulator*.

Let us recall the basic idea underlying the zeta function regularization of the functional determinant of a differential operator in a Euclidean quantum field theory [4]. Hawking begins by noting that the functional determinant of a second-order differential operator Δ on a generic k -dimensional manifold M with a discrete eigenvalue spectrum, $\{\lambda_n\}$, and normalized eigenfunctions, $\{\Psi_n\}$, can be interpreted as the generalization of an ordinary Riemann zeta function. This generalized zeta function is, formally, given by the sum over the eigenvalues of Δ :

$$\Delta\Psi_n = \lambda_n\Psi_n, \quad \int \Psi_n\Psi_m\sqrt{-g} \, d^k\xi = C_n\delta_{mn}, \quad \Phi = \sum_n a_n\Psi_n, \quad \int [d\Phi] = \prod_n \mu_n(C_n) \int da_n \quad , \quad (13)$$

where μ is a normalization constant. In the generic case of higher-dimensional quantum gravity there is little need to belabour the issue of what determines the renormalization of μ , since summing over the eigenvalue spectrum will give a *divergent* result. This divergence needs to be regularized [4]. Any such regularization will introduce a scheme-dependent ambiguity in the normalization. However, as we have emphasized above, this is not true in two-dimensional Weyl invariant quantum gravity.

Following [4], we can write the functional determinant in Eq. (12) in terms of a generalized zeta function as follows. We begin with:

$$\int \prod_n \mu_n da_n e^{-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_n a_n^2} = \prod_n (2\pi)^{-1/2} \mu_n \lambda_n^{-1/2} = [\det(\frac{1}{2}\mu^{-2}\pi^{-1}\Delta)]^{-1/2} \quad . \quad (14)$$

The infinite product can be rewritten as an infinite sum by taking the logarithm, giving a formal expression on the right-hand-side that takes the form of a generalized zeta function:

$$\ln[\det(\frac{1}{2}\mu^{-2}\pi^{-1}\Delta)]^{-1/2} = -\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-1/2} \sum_n \mu_n \log \lambda_n = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \left[(\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-1/2})^{-s} \sum_n \mu_n \lambda_n^{-s} \right] \quad . \quad (15)$$

In the case of free embedding scalars, $\mu_n(C_n)$ is independent of n , as was shown in [9].⁹ Thus, the normalization of the path integral for Weyl-invariant two-dimensional quantum gravity is uniquely determined by the form of the action, and by the gauge invariant measure for moduli. This was shown clearly in Polchinski's 1986 derivation of the measure for moduli in the one-loop bosonic string path integral [9], and in subsequent work on higher genus Riemann surfaces including those with boundaries and crosscaps in [22, 43, 23, 35, 36]. The key point that remains is explicit evaluation of the formally divergent right-hand-side of this equation. Since the choice of worldsheet ultraviolet regulator is unique, μ is unambiguously renormalized, and the renormalization is, therefore, scheme-independent. The details of such computations are reviewed in Appendix C using the contour integral prescription given in [4, 9, 36].

⁹More generally, the orthonormality constants, C_n , can depend on the background fields of string theory. The normalization, $\mu_n(C_n)$, turns out to be independent of n , as shown in [36], where the precise background field dependence is also derived.

The relation of the worldsheet computation of the normalized one-loop vacuum amplitude in type I string theory to the quantum of Dpbrane charge is described in the next section. Before leaving this general discussion, we should emphasize that, although the quickest route to computing string correlation functions employs conformal field theory techniques in the operator formalism and, quicker still, operator product expansions, these results are *not* unambiguously normalized. What is unambiguous in operator formalism computations is the *ratio* of two different correlation functions, and often, that information suffices to describe all of the interesting physics. This is exactly as in a quantum field theory. However, when we are interested in the numerical value of the vacuum energy density and the cosmological constant per se, the physics is in the string vacuum functional itself. We have no alternative but to compute it from first principles, if possible, using the path integral formalism. That such a calculation is viable in a full-fledged ten-dimensional string theory as a consequence of its relationship to *two-dimensional* quantum gravity, is nothing short of a miracle. This is the significance of Polchinski's first principles analysis of the Weyl-invariant Polyakov path integral for critical string theory.¹⁰

4 Worldsheet Computation of Dpbrane Charge Quantum

The tree-level Dpbrane tension is measured by the tree-level coupling of the massless dilaton-graviton field to the Dpbrane: in worldsheet language, this is the one-point function of the massless dilaton-graviton closed string vertex operator on the disk. Extracting the factor of g by using the relation $\mu_p = g\tau_p$ gives the value of the quantum of Dpbrane charge, μ_p . It would be nice to determine this one-point function directly from a first-principles path integral computation. However, the normalization is tricky because of the dependence on the volume of the conformal Killing group of the disk [27, 43]. In addition, one requires the result in the supersymmetric type I string, and that computation has never been done in the path integral formalism. In practice, it is much easier to invoke the factorization of the annulus amplitude in type I string theory to infer the normalization of the massless closed string one-point function on the disk indirectly. This was the method used to compute the tree-level Dpbrane tension in [2].¹¹

Referring to Eq. (93) in appendix B, we extract the contribution from the annulus to the sum over connected worldsheets of vanishing Euler character, $W_{I-\text{ann}}$, in the background of a pair of

¹⁰Many authors, including myself [23], are guilty of performing the apparent sacrilege of introducing a non-Weyl invariant worldsheet regulator into an analysis of the string theory path integral. In doing so, the gauge invariance of the two-dimensional quantum gravity has been explicitly broken. It should be emphasized, however, that for the purposes of many calculations, such as those of asymptotic bounds on the high energy string mass spectrum [22, 23], the results are independent of the choice of worldsheet regulator. This is *not* true of the computation of the normalization of the vacuum amplitude where the use of a gauge invariant regulator is crucial. Zeta-function regularization [4] provides the only correct answer. Note that in analyses where the worldsheet gauge invariance has been explicitly broken, the string theory path integral is primarily being invoked for its intuitive value rather than as a high-precision computational tool.

¹¹Polchinski's 1995 paper uses the operator formalism, motivating the normalization of the amplitude rather than deriving it. Although pedagogical, this presentation leaves the origin of the lack of ambiguity in the quantum of Dpbrane charge obscure. We will instead use the result of a path integral derivation of the annulus amplitude following his 1986 paper [9, 23, 35], reviewed in Appendix B.

parallel and static Dpbranes in the type I string theory:

$$W_{\text{I-ann}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p+1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \times \frac{1}{\eta(it)^8} \left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 \right] . \quad (16)$$

The amplitude vanishes as a consequence of spacetime supersymmetry, as can be seen by application of the abstruse identity for the Jacobi theta functions. We will focus, therefore, on the contribution from massless spacetime bosons alone, namely, the leading contributions from the (00) and (01) sectors. The factorization limit corresponds to the long cylinder, $t \rightarrow 0$. We can expose the correct asymptotic behavior of the theta functions by expressing them in terms of the theta functions with modular transformed argument, $t \rightarrow 1/t$:

$$W_{\text{I-ann}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p+1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \times \frac{1}{t^{-4} \eta(-1/it)^8} \left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, -1/it)}{\eta(-1/it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, -1/it)}{\eta(-1/it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, -1/it)}{\eta(-1/it)} \right)^4 \right] \quad (17)$$

Expanding the theta functions in powers of $e^{-2\pi/t}$, and keeping only the leading term in the expansion of the (00) and (01) sectors gives:

$$W_{\text{I-ann}} \rightarrow \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-(p+1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} 2^4 t^{(7-p)/2} = - \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-(p+1)/2} 2^4 (2\pi \alpha')^{(7-p)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{7-p}{2}\right) |R|^{p-7} . \quad (18)$$

In the right-hand-side of this equality we can recognize the propagator for a massless scalar field in $9-p$ dimensions, namely, in the bulk spacetime:

$$V_{p+1} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-(p+1)/2} 2^4 (2\pi \alpha')^{(7-p)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{7-p}{2}\right) |R|^{p-7} = 4\pi (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{3-p} V_{p+1} G_{9-p}(|R|) , \quad (19)$$

Inverting the position space Green's function will enable us to make contact with the field theory expression for the tree-level exchange of a graviton-dilaton between two Dpbranes, the result for which depends explicitly on the Dpbrane tension.

The tree-level field theory calculation proceeds as follows. We begin with the spacetime action in the Einstein frame metric given in Eqs. (5), switching off both the ten-form, and the Yang-Mills, backgrounds. The worldvolume action for the Dpbrane background takes the form given in Eq. (2). Let us expand about the flat space background metric in perturbation theory, $h_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$, keeping terms upto quadratic order in the field variations. We will perform this calculation in the covariant Lorentz gauge:

$$F_\nu = \partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu h^\lambda_\lambda = 0 . \quad (20)$$

Thus, the gauge-fixed spacetime action takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
S'[h, \Phi] &= \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G} \left(R_G - \frac{4}{d-2} (\partial\Phi)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G} F_\nu F^\nu \\
&\quad + \tau_p \int d^{p+1}X e^{-(p-3)\Phi/4} \sqrt{-\det G_{\mu\nu}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{8\kappa^2} \int d^{10}X \left(\partial_\mu h_{\nu\lambda} \partial^\mu h^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h_\nu^\nu \partial^\mu h_\lambda^\lambda + \frac{16}{d-2} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi \right) \\
&\quad - \tau_p \int d^{p+1}X \left(\frac{p-3}{4} \Phi - \frac{1}{2} h_\lambda^\lambda \right) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The Feynman graph of interest to us in the diagrammatic expansion of this field theory is the amplitude for the tree-level exchange of the massless graviton-dilaton multiplet between a pair of Dpbranes in $d=10$ spacetime dimensions. Notice that both background, and propagators, for the massless dilaton and graviton fields are decoupled when the action is written in the Einstein frame metric. Thus, we need only sum the corresponding tree-level Feynman graphs for each. We will only need the trace-dependent piece of the graviton propagator which mixes with the massless dilaton scalar exchange. Given the action, Eq. (21), we can write down the form of the free field propagators in the momentum space representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi \Phi \rangle &= -\frac{(d-2)\kappa^2}{4k^2} \\
\langle h_{\mu\nu} h_{\sigma\rho} \rangle &= -\frac{2\kappa^2}{k^2} \left(\eta_{\mu\sigma} \eta_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} \eta_{\nu\sigma} - \frac{2}{d-2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \eta_{\sigma\rho} \right) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Thus, for massless dilaton-graviton exchange in ten spacetime dimensions between two Dpbranes, we have the tree-level Feynman amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(k) &= \frac{(p-3)}{4} \tau_p \cdot \frac{2\kappa^2}{k^2} \cdot \frac{(p-3)}{4} \tau_p + \frac{1}{2} \tau_p \cdot \frac{2\kappa^2}{k^2} \left(2(p+1) - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)^2 \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \tau_p \\
&= \frac{2\kappa^2}{k^2} \tau_p^2 \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Recall that the momentum space representation of the Green's function, $1/k^2$, is the Fourier inverse transform of the following position space Green's function: $G_d = 2^{-2} \pi^{-d/2} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}d-1) R^{2-d}$, a relation that holds in any dimension d . Project k on to a $(9-p)$ -dimensional momentum vector orthogonal to the worldvolume of the Dpbranes, and take the Fourier transform of the result in Eq. (23) in $9-p$ space dimensions. Comparison with Eq. (18) gives the following result for τ_p :

$$\nu_p^2 = 2\tau_p^2 \kappa^2 = 2\pi(4\pi^2 \alpha')^{3-p} \quad . \tag{24}$$

Notice that the dependence on the dimensionless string coupling, g , is eliminated in the product of physical parameters, $\tau_p \kappa$, giving results for the flux quantum, ν_p , and the quantum of Dpbrane charge, μ_p , that are valid even outside of the realm of perturbation theory. This is as it should be, not surprisingly, since ν_p is a spacetime topological invariant which should always be computable, in principle, from spacetime anomaly inflow arguments [28]. Such computations, carried out explicitly for the top-form field strength in [28], have given independent confirmation of the correctness of Polchinski's worldsheet interpretation of the carriers of R-R charge [2].

5 Quantization of Magnetic Dpbrane Charge

As we have seen in the section above, Polchinski's worldsheet computation has no difficulty accommodating a D(-2)brane charge, and this charge is unambiguously quantized [2]. The question that arises is whether one can also give a natural *spacetime* description of the D(-2)brane. The D(-2)brane is the magnetic partner of the D8brane. A Hodge star duality in ten dimensions relates the quantized flux of the (p+2)-form and (8-p)-form field strengths as follows:

$$\nu_{(-2)} = 2\pi/\nu_8 = 2\pi(4\pi^2\alpha')^{5/2} \quad . \quad (25)$$

We should emphasize at the outset that, if we succeed in finding such a spacetime description for the D(-2)brane, we will be making a much more general statement. Namely, that there exists for every Dpbrane, *an alternative spacetime description where it is interpreted as the magnetic dual of a D(6-p)brane*. Furthermore, we expect that the worldsheet description of the magnetic Dpbrane soliton will involve *nonperturbative* physics in the type I-I' string background with electric D(6-p)branes. We will find, quite remarkably, that it is possible to give both worldsheet and spacetime interpretations for the carriers of magnetic Dpbrane charge in the type I-I' string theory [57].

In other words, as has become familiar from the study of electric-magnetic duality in supersymmetric gauge theories, the magnetic monopoles of a given gauge theory have a dual description as the electric charges of a dual gauge theory. But they also have a nonperturbative description in terms of the gauge fields that couple to the electric charges of the original gauge theory. It is this nonperturbative description that we will set out to find for the D(-2)brane, treating it as a nonperturbative object in the type IIA string background with electric D8branes. We will show that it has a worldsheet interpretation. The brane tension of the magnetic D(-2)brane soliton will be given by the factorized annulus amplitude with boundaries on a pair of what were called “dressed” D8branes in [57]: these are electric D8branes carrying additional NS and RR charges, and with a specific target-space geometry which requires a modification of the boundary conditions on the worldsheet fields. Happily, this modification falls under the class of exactly solvable Weyl invariant two dimensional quantum gravities for which there exists a first-principles path integral formalism. Thus, we can unambiguously compute the quantum of magnetic Dpbrane charge.¹²

5.1 Spacetime Interpretation of Magnetic Dpbrane Charge

The D(-2)brane is a source for the zero-form scalar field strength, $*F_{10}$. Thus, we are looking for a macroscopic spacetime soliton of the massive type IIA string theory in nine spacetime dimensions with non-trivial flux for the background F_{10} field strength. Consequently, our starting point will be IIA backgrounds with electric D8branes. The soliton of interest could, of course, carry additional NS-NS or R-R charges, as well as non-abelian worldvolume gauge fields, as required by stability.

¹²The path integral formalism we use was first developed in 1986 with the motivation of understanding off-shell closed string amplitudes in the Polyakov approach, a pioneering attempt by Cohen, Moore, Nelson, and Polchinski [33]. Even earlier, in 1983 [32], Alvarez studied the same problem with the motivation of giving a Polyakov path integral description of the Wilson loop expectation value in non-abelian gauge theory. It is nice to note that little goes waste in string theory. We point this out as encouragement to technical practitioners of string mathematics. I myself first studied this formalism with nothing but instinct to motivate my interest[23, 36, 57].

The simplest macroscopic spacetime solitons, quite likely to have a worldsheet description, are the NS-NS soliton strings. A large number of such classical supergravity solutions have been constructed over the years, and we focus our attention of them in what follows.

It has been known for a long time that fundamental string theories have vortex-tube-like string solitons among their classical backgrounds. In fact, the earliest application of the Nambu-Goldstone string theory was not as a theory of fundamental strings but as a model for the effective dynamics of a Nielsen-Olesen flux-tube in QCD. Following the first superstring revolution, a conjectured role for fundamental string solitons as cosmic strings appears in [45], although the prospects for an observable string-scale vortex tube with cosmological implications turned out to be disappointing. Assuming inflation, any such Planck-scale macroscopic strings would have been inflated away during the era of exponential expansion of the Universe, as would any of their phenomenological implications. Nevertheless, solutions for macroscopic string solitons were constructed in the heterotic string theory [46]. In the open and closed type I string theory, an additional concern is the splitting probability for open strings: in a gas of macroscopic type I strings, one would expect an overwhelming preference for short open strings which would decay to the trivial vacuum [45]. The lifetime of the macroscopic long string, which is determined by the string tension, is therefore of crucial significance. Thus, prior to the discovery of the D1branes, or D strings, whose stability is ensured by the fact that they are carriers of R-R charge unlike the fundamental strings of the trivial vacuum, little new work was done on string solitons in the type I theory.

This brings us to the second string revolution and the proliferation of BPS solitons of type II supergravity carrying some admixture of R-R and NS-NS charges. Our starting point is the massive IIA supergravity in ten dimensions with action for the bosonic fields as given in Eq. (5):

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G} \left(R_G - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}|H_3|^2 - \frac{1}{2}e^{5\Phi/2}M^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^{10}X \sqrt{-G} M F_{10} \quad . \quad (26)$$

For simplicity, we are focusing on classical backgrounds with vanishing four-form field strength. Consider a fundamental string terminating at a fixed point in the worldvolume of a D8brane. This configuration breaks 1/4 of the supersymmetries. Since the Killing spinor is annihilated by the projections of both D8brane and fundamental string, we can infer that the intersection of the worldvolumes of the D8brane and F1 string is a D0brane. This is because the product of either two projectors gives the third:¹³

$$\hat{\Pi}_{D8} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma^9), \quad \hat{\Pi}_{F1} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma^{09}), \quad \hat{\Pi}_{D0} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma^0\Gamma_{11}) \quad . \quad (27)$$

There exists, not surprisingly, a classical solution of the massive IIA supergravity solution with precisely these properties [53][54]. We can make contact with the background geometry as described by Massar and Troost in the latter of the two references, as was shown in [57]. A much clearer discussion of this soliton and its extension under $SL(2, Z)$ to a whole multiplet of (p, q) soliton strings, first pointed out by us in [57], has also been given recently by Bergshoeff et al in [62]. With

¹³The observation that the configuration of a fundamental string emanating from a D0brane acts as a source of a single quantum of D(-2)brane charge first appeared in a paper by Polchinski and Strominger [47], a precursor to open p-branes [48]. I became aware of this after the completion of [57]. I thank Jeff Harvey for bringing it to my attention.

the notation $z=X^9$, $t=X^0$, the background fields take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -H^{1/8}h^{-13/8}dt^2 + H^{9/8}h^{-5/8}dz^2 + H^{1/8}h^{3/8}((dr)^2 + r^2(d\Omega_7)^2) \\ H(z) &= c + Mz, \quad h(r) = 1 + \frac{Q}{r^6} \\ e^\Phi &= H^{-5/4}h^{1/4}, \quad B_{tz} = -h^{-1}, \quad C_z = Hh^{-1} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The fundamental string extends in the direction perpendicular to the worldvolume of the D8brane, breaking 1/4 of the supersymmetries. In the limit, $Q \rightarrow 0$, the soliton string only breaks 1/2 of the supersymmetries, recovering the ordinary D8brane with worldvolume cosmological constant. It is interesting to note that in a different limit, $M \rightarrow 0$, we have an infinite F-string, assuming that X^9 is non-compact, with D0branes smeared along the direction of the string, preserving 1/4 of the supersymmetries. A fundamental string extends from each D0brane to the D8brane, and since the individual D0branes are smeared along the length of the flux tube, the density of fundamental string charge goes to infinity as one approaches the D8brane located at $z=0$ [62]. Note, in particular, that the F1 and D0 charges cannot be independently taken to zero: they are related. The macroscopic soliton string is a source for both species of charge:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_1 &= e^{-\Phi} * (dB_2) = QH(z)d\Omega_7 \\ \tilde{Q}_0 &= e^{-3\Phi/2} * (dC_1 - MB_2) = Qdz \wedge d\Omega_7 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The spacetime metric in the $M \rightarrow 0$ limit resembles that of the macroscopic heterotic string solitons of infinite extent found by Dabholkar, Gibbons, Harvey, and Ruiz-Ruiz [46].

Now consider what happens if the D8brane lies on an orientifold plane, as it does in the un-oriented type I' string theory. X^9 is now an interval, S^1/Z_2 . The soliton string is of finite extent, and since it lies in a compact space, it follows from Q charge conservation that the solitonic flux must terminate on an D8brane located on the orientifold plane at $z=\pi R_9$. The intersection point is, once again, a D0brane. Recall that orientifold planes have negative tension, each carrying 16 units of D8brane charge, μ_8 , which can be balanced by 8 D8branes with positive tension, each carrying a single quantum of D8brane charge. Note that each D8brane has an image-brane coincident with itself, which accounts for the cancellation of D8brane charge between negative tension orientifold plane and the positive tension D8brane pairs. This anomaly-free vacuum has non-abelian gauge group $SO(16) \times SO(16)$, arising from massless strings linking coincident D8branes in the worldvolume gauge theory on each of the two orientifold planes. This is the usual mechanism for anomaly-cancellation in type I' background with 16 D8brane pairs.

Our interest, however, is in taking a closer look at what is happening on a single orientifold plane in the $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ background. Each massive D0brane is coincident with its own image, and is also linked to all 16 D8branes on the orientifold plane by a fundamental type I' string carrying Q -flux. Since the 16 D8branes are coincident in the $SO(16)$ theory, the D0-D8 strings are of zero length. In passing, we note that this gives rise to additional massless fields leading to an extension of the gauge symmetry to E_8 , as described in the appendix of [57], as well as in earlier discussions of fundamental string creation by the crossing of Dbranes [49, 50]. If we now switch on a small constant background two-form field on the worldvolume of the D8brane, $\mathcal{F}_{78}$, we obtain a configuration of tilted branes: the massive D0brane and its image are now rotated with respect to each other in the

(7, 8) plane, introducing a small transverse separation between them. The string of Q -flux extending from a massive D0brane has to terminate on another massive D0brane within the worldvolume of the D8branes. Thus, there must exist *a string of Q flux of size $O(\alpha^{1/2})$ connecting the massive D0brane to its image*. Notice that this configuration of pairwise-separated massive D0-branes is stable, protected from decay by the fact that it carries quantized Q charge. We should emphasize that the situation we describe here can only exist in an unoriented theory, the orientifold plane being a clever device to ensure that the massive D0brane and its image lie within the same stack of D8branes. This brings to an end our review of the work described in [53, 54, 57, 62].

Now consider what happens when D8brane and Q charge conservation occurs *non-locally*. Namely, let one, or more, of the D8branes move off the orientifold plane, while keeping a total of 16 D0-D8brane pairs in the interval between the orientifold planes [12, 26]. In particular, if there are more than 8 D0-D8 pairs on a single orientifold plane, their worldvolume theory has *positive* tension, and the gauge symmetry is $SO(16 + 2n)$, with $n \leq 8$. Nevertheless, R-R and Q charge conservation holds for the full spacetime background as before.¹⁴ We will argue that this positive tension worldvolume theory, with Yang-Mills fields, gravity, and a bare cosmological constant, constitutes a plausibly interesting braneworld at early times. It gives a suitable starting point for a dynamical neutralization of the string-scale cosmological constant to physically acceptable values, a la Brown and Teitelboim [3], as will be described in the next section. Of course, a more realistic four-dimensional braneworld will require the usual extensions of this construction by further compactification, or by the introduction of additional brane angles, and brane intersections. We leave such details for future work since we wish to focus on the cosmological constant problem.

5.2 Worldsheet Computation of the D(-2)brane Charge Quantum

As mentioned earlier, a worldsheet computation of the quantum of D(-2)brane charge is already included in Polchinski's 1995 analysis [2]. However, it is interesting that we can give complementary confirmation of this result by performing a worldsheet calculation in a background with electric D8branes, identifying the source of magnetic D(-2)brane charge as the nonperturbative D0-D8brane described in the previous subsection [57]. This provides the missing spacetime interpretation for D(-2)branes. The key difference from Polchinski's calculation is that the boundaries of the annulus are now required to lie on a fixed location on the worldvolume of the D8brane, namely, the intersection of the fundamental string and D8brane, or the stuck D0brane [57]. Such a worldsheet graph has the same worldsheet topology as an off-shell closed string tree propagator. The Polyakov path integral for Weyl-invariant two dimensional quantum gravity with worldsheets terminating on fixed loops in the embedding spacetime was first attempted in [33].

Notice that the factorization limit of the annulus corresponds to boundaries of vanishing length, namely, the limit where the loops are matched to points in the worldvolume of the Dpbrane. This gives a result for the long-distance force between Dpbranes, treating them as infinitely massive point-like defects in the bulk supergravity theory. As was shown by Douglas, Kabat, Pouillot, and Shenker [41], we can interpret the opposite limit of the annulus, dominated by the field theory of the

¹⁴We should emphasize that the 9-d worldvolume gauge-gravity theory on a single orientifold plane is no longer anomaly-free on its own. It owes its consistency to tree couplings in the effective Lagrangian that keep it coupled to the supergravity theory in the bulk spacetime. This important fact is often left out in discussions of such backgrounds in the literature.

lowest mass open strings, as a result in the worldvolume gauge theory: this gives the force between Dpbranes *at short distances* which, in the BPS case with static branes and unbroken spacetime supersymmetry, yields a zero-force result identical to that at long distances [41, 43]. Note that, by worldvolume gauge theory, we refer here to both the Yang-Mills theory, as well as to the couplings to the higher-rank gauge fields of the R-R sector. Namely, there are Chern-Simons tree couplings in the worldvolume gauge-gravity theory that couple the different species of gauge fields. If we now turn on a constant background two-form field strength, $\mathcal{F}_{p-1,p}$, where the parallel Dpbranes are initially separated in the direction, X^{p+1} , we obtain a configuration of tilted Dpbranes, rotated with respect to each other in the $(p-1, p)$ plane. The force law is modified by a dependence on the field-strength, giving a non-vanishing force between the Dpbranes [41]. Since we are interested in the force law between massive D0branes separated by short distances of $O(\alpha'^{1/2})$ within the worldvolume of a stack of D8branes, it is this limit of the annulus amplitude that is of interest to us.

We begin with the expression for the sum over worldsheets with the topology of an annulus, and with boundaries terminating on fixed loops, C_i , $i=1, 2$, in the worldvolume of D8branes. This was first derived by Chaudhuri, Chen, and Novak in [34, 35], extending the path integral analysis begun in [33]. We choose the C_i to wrap the X^8 direction in the worldvolume of the D8branes.¹⁵ The boundaries of the worldsheet are mapped onto the loops, C_i , and can be interpreted as the closed worldlines of massive D0branes. Parametrize the worldline by the proper time parameter, τ , with $-T \leq \tau \leq +T$, as in [41, 34, 35, 57], and take the limit of infinite intrinsic loop length, $T \rightarrow \infty$, dominated by the massless sector of the open string spectrum. This limit of the amplitude gives the short-distance force between the massive D0brane and its image in the presence of the magnetic background field [57]. It was observed in [57] that the result obtained is consistent with the expected force between two unit sources of magnetic D(-2)brane charge. This confirms that the massive D0brane lying at the intersection of an F1 string and D8brane acts as a point-source of a single quantum of magnetic D(-2)brane charge [47]. Our worldsheet calculation also gives independent confirmation of the result for the quantum of D(-2) brane charge [2].

The gauge invariant measure for moduli in the sum over worldsheets with the topology of an annulus with boundaries terminating on fixed loops, C_i , $i=1, 2$, in the worldvolume of Dpbranes, was derived in [34, 33], with supersymmetric extension given in [35]. We follow similar logic to that used in the derivation of the gauge-invariant measure for moduli without fixed boundaries [9, 23], supplementing the earlier analysis with the contribution from boundary diffeomorphisms. The net modification of the usual result, reviewed in Appendix A, is rather simple. It arises solely from the functional determinant of the vector Laplacian restricted to the boundary [34, 35]. Recall that the restriction of the worldsheet metric to the boundary is an einbein, with fiducial gauge orbit parameterized by: $e = \sqrt{g} = 2t e^\phi$, where $2t$ equals the intrinsic length of either boundary of the annulus. Following the derivation in Appendix A.2, an arbitrary variation of the einbein induced by a boundary diffeomorphism can be decomposed orthogonally into a piece that preserves the intrinsic length of the boundaries, $2t$, and a piece which changes it. This latter component mixes in

¹⁵A different choice with the C_i wrapping the X^0 direction was made in [34, 35, 57], appropriate for the case of an electric background field, $\mathcal{F}_{09}$. Our interest in this paper is in the constant magnetic background field, $\mathcal{F}_{78}$.

with the result of a Weyl transformation, and decouples in the critical spacetime dimension. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta e|^2 &= \int_{\partial M} ds e^{-1} (\delta e)^2 \\ &= \int_{\partial M} d\lambda \left[\frac{1}{e(\lambda)} (\delta\phi(\lambda) - \delta\rho(\lambda))^2 - e e^{-\phi} \delta f(\lambda) \cdot \frac{d^2}{ds^2} \cdot \delta f(\lambda) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and following the derivation of the measure as reviewed in Appendix A, we obtain the following simple modification of Eq. (62):

$$(\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} \rightarrow (\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} \cdot \left[\det' \left(-\frac{1}{4t^2} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \right) \right) \right]^{1/2} . \quad (31)$$

Here, $\mathcal{M}$ is the self-adjoint differential operator arising from the change of variables in the measure for variations of the bulk worldsheet metric [9, 23]. Note that the fiducial einbein has no moduli. Using the zeta-function regularization method, reviewed in Appendix C, it is easy to see that the gauge-invariant result for the functional determinant of the vector Laplacian on the boundary is simply $2t$ [34]. The worldsheet supersymmetric extension of this analysis of the measure does not contribute any significantly new features, although its derivation needs care [35]. It is essential to note that the usual normalization of the path integral over embeddings is modified, since the boundaries of the annulus are mapped to *fixed* loops in the worldvolume of the Dpbranes: the worldlines of the massive D0branes. Note that massive D0branes cannot move freely within the worldvolume of Dpbrane since they are fixed at the end-points of the F1 string. Thus, we leave out the usual integration over the worldvolume of the Dpbranes. Finally, it is important to include the boundary term contributed by the classical Polyakov action to account for the background with worldsheets terminating on loops a distance r apart in the worldvolume of the Dpbrane. Following the derivation in Appendix B for the supersymmetric type I' string theory, it is easy to show that the sum over worldsheets with the topology of an annulus and boundaries mapped into fixed loops in the worldvolume of D0-D8branes, and carrying a constant background magnetic field, $\tanh\pi\alpha = 2\pi\alpha'\mathcal{F}_{78}$, takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} W(C_i) &= -i \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-T}^{+T} d\tau V(r(\tau), \alpha) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-r^2 t / 2\pi\alpha'} \frac{1}{\eta(it)^6} \left[\frac{e^{\pi t \alpha^2} \eta(it)}{\Theta_{11}(it\alpha, it)} \right] \times \\ &\quad \left[\frac{\Theta_{00}(it\alpha, it)}{e^{\pi t \alpha^2} \eta(it)} \left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 - \frac{\Theta_{01}(it\alpha, it)}{e^{\pi t \alpha^2} \eta(it)} \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 - \frac{\Theta_{10}(it\alpha, it)}{e^{\pi t \alpha^2} \eta(it)} \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Note that $W(C_1, C_2)$ has the interpretation of a macroscopic pair correlation function in string theory. The loops are the worldlines of massive D0branes, the analog in the worldvolume Yang-Mills gauge theory coupled to R-R gauge fields of a Wilson loop pair correlation function in QCD with heavy quarks. α parameterizes the strength of the magnetic field, which is assumed to be small.¹⁶ Recall that the massive D0branes acquire a transverse separation in a direction orthogonal

¹⁶Although the case of phenomenological interest here is of *small* magnetic background field, we should note that this is still an exactly solvable string theory vacuum for constant background fields of *arbitrary strength*. The relevant input to the path integral more generally is the form of the classical Polyakov action with generic background fields, $G_{\mu\nu}(X)$, $B_{\mu\nu}(X)$, and $A_\mu(X)$, where the Yang-Mills potential only couples to the boundary of the worldsheet. Such a worldsheet computation with precise background field dependence intact was given in [36].

to their distance of nearest separation, X^9 , so that we can approximate: $r^2(\tau) = R^2 + \pi^2 \alpha^2 \tau^2$, valid for small magnetic field. In the limit of large intrinsic loop size, Eq. (32) yields an expression for the short-distance force between massive D0branes in the background magnetic field. To take this limit, we expand the Jacobi theta functions in powers of $e^{-2\pi t}$. The leading contribution to the short-distance force, conveniently expressed in terms of the integral over t , was derived in [35]:

$$\begin{aligned} V(r, \alpha) &= -(8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-1/2} \int_0^{\alpha^{-1}} dt e^{-r^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} t^{1/2} \frac{\tanh \pi \alpha}{\text{Sin} \pi \alpha t} [12 + 4\text{Cos}(2\pi \alpha t) - 16\text{Cos}(\pi \alpha t)] \\ &= -\frac{\alpha^4}{r^9} 2^2 \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{9}{2}\right) \alpha'^4 + O(\alpha^6) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The range of integration has been taken to span the first period of the sine function, $0 \leq \alpha t \leq 1$, as discussed in [43, 34, 35]. A quick comparison with the result in Eq. (18) confirms the appearance of the square of the D(-2)brane charge: $V_{-2}\mu_{-2}^2 \propto 2^4 \alpha'^4 \Gamma\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)$, along with the characteristic $-\alpha^4/r^9$ dependence of the force law between D(-2)branes in the presence of a background magnetic field. A more careful comparison of the numerical coefficient shows that the quantum of D(-2)brane charge is precisely as given by Polchinski's formula [2, 35]. This completes our identification of the massive D0branes located at the intersection of the F1 and D8brane carrying Q charge as point sources of a single quantum of magnetic D(-2)brane charge.

We should comment on the generality of this result. Our claim is that given a configuration of electric Dpbranes with a fundamental F1 string terminating on the Dpbrane, the intersection of their worldvolumes being that of a D0brane, the dressed D0-Dpbrane behaves as a point-like source of a single quantum of magnetic D(6-p)brane charge. Also, as can be seen by the application of T-dualities alone, the D0-D8-F1 triad can be mapped into a D3-D5-NS5 triad. The latter system encapsulates the phenomenon of D3brane creation when an NS5brane crosses a D5brane known as the Hanany-Witten effect [49]. It would seem to us that a more careful analysis of the behavior of the worldsheet amplitude we have computed under the T-dualities in question should yield direct confirmation of the numerical value of the NS5brane tension. We leave these as observations for future investigations.

For completeness, let us quote the result for the full short-distance asymptotic expansion of the force between massive D0branes in the presence of a small, constant electromagnetic background field.

$$\begin{aligned} V(r, \alpha) &= -(8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-1/2} \frac{\tanh(\pi \alpha)}{\pi \alpha} \frac{(2\pi \alpha')^{1/2}}{r} \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k z^{2k} \gamma\left(2k + \frac{1}{2}, 1/z\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{k,m} z^{2(k+m)} \gamma\left(2(k+m) + \frac{1}{2}, 1/z\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where the $\gamma(2n + \frac{1}{2}, 1/z)$ are the incomplete gamma functions. The asymptotic expansion has been developed in terms of the natural choice of dimensionless variable: $z = r_{\min}^2/r^2$. Here, $r_{\min}^2 = 2\pi \alpha'(\pi \alpha) = 4\pi^2 \alpha' |\alpha' \bar{F}_2|$, is the minimum distance accessible in open string theory in the presence of a constant background electromagnetic field, $|\bar{F}_2|$, first identified in [41]. The coefficients in the asymptotic expansion are given by [35]:

$$C_k = \frac{4(-1)^k (2^{2k} - 4)}{(2k!)} \pi^{2k}$$

$$C_{k,m} = \frac{8(-1)^k(2^{2m-1} - 1)}{(2k!)(2m!)} |B_{2m}| (2^{2k} - 4) \pi^{2(k+m)} , \quad (35)$$

where the B_{2m} are the Bernoulli numbers. Notice that the coefficient of the $k=1$ term in both sums vanishes, so that the leading contribution to $V(r, \alpha)$ behaves as $-\alpha^4/r^9$, as was found above. This result was derived by us in [35].

6 Dynamical Neutralization of Λ_{string} in a Magnetic Field

We will now point out that the analysis in the previous section has interesting implications for string/M theory analyses that attempt to incorporate Brown and Teitelboim's mechanism for the dynamical relaxation of a string-scale bare cosmological constant to physically acceptable values. Our starting point is the family of type I' vacua described in Section 5 with $8+n$ pairs of coincident D8branes on the orientifold plane at the origin, $X^9 = 0$, and $8-n$ pairs of D8branes spread along the remaining interval: $\pi R_9 \geq X^9 > 0$. Our interest will be in the nine-dimensional $SO(16+2n)$ worldvolume gauge-gravity theory located on the orientifold at the origin. This theory has positive bare cosmological constant, indicated by the incomplete cancellation of μ_8 charge between the negatively charged orientifold plane and the positively charged D8brane pairs: $(-16 + 2(8+n))\mu_8$ [2, 12, 26, 50, 57].

More precisely, such type I' spacetime backgrounds are characterized by a *spatially-varying* ten-dimensional cosmological constant whose value is determined both by the local concentration of D8brane charge and by the spatial dependence of the dilaton potential [12, 26, 55]. Both quantities vary at points along the interval, S^1/Z_2 , between orientifold planes, the dilaton potential superimposing a smooth variation over the local D8brane charge density, which exhibits jumps of $O(\alpha'^{-9/2})$ upon crossing the worldvolume of an D8brane [12, 26]. Thus, the naive picture of discrete jumps in the local energy density is, strictly speaking, a weak-coupling approximation to the real situation with continuously varying cosmological constant due to the non-trivial dilaton potential in the bulk spacetime. Fortunately, we can ignore the details of the bulk dynamics in the presence of a spatially-varying cosmological constant for now, focusing attention on the nine-dimensional worldvolume gauge-gravity theory at the origin.

Referring back to Eqs. (2), (6), we see that, to a good approximation, at early times the $SO(16+2n)$ worldvolume field theory is characterized by a uniform energy density given by the constant term in the scalar potential on the worldvolume of the D8branes [12, 26, 43]:

$$\Lambda_{\text{D8}} = 2n\tau_8 e^{5\Phi_0/4} = 2n\mu_8 e^{\Phi_0/4} = 2ng^{1/4}\mu_8 . \quad (36)$$

This is the bare cosmological constant in the absence of the constant magnetic background field. If we switch on a worldvolume magnetic field, we must compute the local energy density of a configuration of $2n$ *tilted* D8branes. That result can be obtained as follows. We begin with the $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ vacuum with constant dilaton potential everywhere in the ten-dimensional spacetime. Consider the sum over worldsheets with the topology of an annulus, and with boundaries embedded, respectively, in the worldvolumes of two sets of 16 D8branes located on distinct orientifold planes. We turn on the constant magnetic background, $\mathcal{F}_{78}$, in the worldvolume of either set

of D8branes. Now take the factorization limit of the annulus in the NS-NS sector, as in Section 4. This limit is dominated by the exchange of a single massless closed string between tilted D8branes a distance R_9 apart. Following the arguments in section 2.3, we obtain the following result in the factorization limit:

$$W_{\text{I-ann}}(\alpha) \rightarrow -(2n)^2 V_{p+1} 2\kappa^2 \tau_p^2 \alpha^4 G_{7-p}(R_9) \quad , \quad (37)$$

where $n = 8$ is the number of D8brane pairs at either orientifold plane. Comparison with Eqs. (19) and (24) enables us to identify the tension of $2n$ tilted D8branes as follows:

$$\Lambda_{\text{D8}}(\alpha) = 2n\alpha^2 \tau_8 e^{5\Phi_0/4} \quad . \quad (38)$$

For small values of the parameter α , this can lead to significant suppression of the bare cosmological constant Λ_{D8} , which is of $O(\alpha'^{-9/2})$:

$$\tanh \pi \alpha \simeq \pi \alpha = 2\pi \alpha' |\bar{F}_2|, \quad |\alpha|^2 \simeq |2\alpha' \bar{F}_2|^2 \quad . \quad (39)$$

It should be noted that the field strength has mass dimension 2 so that the parameter α is dimensionless. Notice also that the vacuum energy density due to the constant magnetic field contributes an additional term of the same order of magnitude to the bare cosmological constant, but with opposite sign:

$$\Lambda_{\text{mag}} = -\frac{1}{2g_{\text{D8}}^2} e^{5\Phi_0/4} |\bar{F}_2|^2 = -\frac{1}{8} (2\pi)^{-6} e^{\Phi_0/4} |2\alpha' \bar{F}_2|^2 \alpha'^{-9/2} \quad . \quad (40)$$

Combining the results of Eq. (38) and (40) to obtain the total value of the bare cosmological constant, it is easy to check that the requirements for a positive cosmological constant are easily satisfied for suitable n . This completes our discussion of the bare worldvolume cosmological constant in the presence of a constant magnetic background field. In passing, we comment that as a consequence of the positive cosmological constant, such spacetimes have an associated de Sitter temperature, as well as Gibbons-Hawking cosmological event horizons [63].

As was pointed out more generally by Brown and Teitelboim in [3], the effective value of the cosmological constant in such a field theory spontaneously relaxes to *smaller* values over a period of time as a consequence of brane nucleations, *assuming that the initial value of the cosmological constant was positive*. Conversely, if the initial value of the cosmological constant is negative, as in anti-de Sitter spacetimes, spontaneously generated brane nucleations evolve the cosmological constant in the unfortunate direction of increasingly negative values. These surprisingly robust conclusions follows from a semi-classical analysis of the probability for brane-nucleation, treating it as quantum process mediated by a suitable gravitational spacetime instanton. In the semi-classical approximation, the energetics of brane nucleation turns out to be virtually identical to the energetics of vacuum phase transitions in scalar field theories in the presence of gravity. The relevant field theoretic techniques for estimating the probability from the Euclidean action of the appropriate gravitational instanton were developed by Coleman and de Luccia in earlier work [64].

This proposed mechanism for the spontaneous relaxation of the cosmological constant to physically acceptable values has the following problem. The step size, or the gap, in the value of the cosmological constant between successive nucleations is of the same order of magnitude as its initial value, since the probability for membrane nucleation is proportional to the membrane charge. This

gives rise to the so-called “gap problem” [3, 58, 59]: since the initial value of the bare cosmological constant is determined by microphysics at some very high energy scale which, in our case, is the string scale, m_s , it is difficult to ensure that the gap size is sufficiently small so that the cosmological constant can relax to values within the observational window, prior to the termination of the brane nucleation process. This notorious gap problem has received considerable attention in string/M theory analyses which attempt to address it by invoking multiple large fluxes [58], by introducing large numbers of Dbranes [59], or by lowering the fundamental string scale to the TeV scale as might be possible in models with large extra dimensions [58, 59].

We wish to point out that the introduction of a constant background magnetic field in the type I' vacua described above has the simple consequence of lowering the local energy density on the configuration of tilted D8branes, as shown by Eqs. (38) and (40). In principle, there is nothing that prevents $|\alpha|$ from taking infinitesimally small values. Thus, the electromagnetic flux introduces a small parameter into previous analyses that may open up a suitable window for the successful resolution of the gap problem. Of course, a more detailed analysis must also take into account the second problem with Brown and Teitelboim's proposal, namely, the “empty universe problem” [3, 58, 61]. In order that spontaneous brane nucleation in a prolonged de Sitter phase does not end up in an empty Universe, the inflaton must be stabilized during the exponentially long time taken to attain a suitable flux configuration. If not, the inflaton will reach its minimum while Λ is still unacceptably large, and would no longer be available to perturb and reheat the Universe when Λ approaches the desired near-zero value at late times. Addressing this second problem requires a clearer picture of the identification of the scalar field which behaves as the inflaton, and the derivation of a suitable inflaton potential, questions that require a more detailed analysis than can be provided here.

We should note that our analysis in Section 5 gives a world-sheet calculation of the pair creation probability in type I' string theory for configurations of tilted D8branes: the pair in question being the set of $8+n$ tilted D8branes and their $8+n$ tilted image-branes, all lying on the orientifold plane at the origin. It would be extremely interesting to identify the corresponding worldsheet description of the gravitational spacetime instanton of the type I' string theory responsible for mediating the brane nucleation process. We will save such investigations for future work.

7 Conclusions

The main new observations in this paper could have easily been summarized in a brief letter. We have chosen to provide significant review of previous work, both our own [23, 34, 35, 57], and of other authors [4, 9, 2, 12, 47, 26], in order to facilitate further explorations of type I' string vacua with spatially varying cosmological constant *using both worldsheet and spacetime techniques*. Such vacua can offer a rich, and fascinating, arena for investigations into string/M cosmology. In our opinion, it is unfortunate that many recent discussions of string cosmology have focussed on four-dimensional effective field theoretic analyses which make little use of the relations between couplings, moduli, and mass scales, that can be inferred by comparison with worldsheet calculations. As is well-illustrated by the history of developments in string theory, the greatest advances in the field, both in 1984 and 1995, have come during periods of rapid exchange between worldsheet and spacetime analyses. Since we are not yet in a position to do computations in a fully non-perturbative formalism for

string theory, it would be unfortunate if we abandoned insights that could be gained by weak-coupling string theory analyses when performed within their domain of validity. Our results in Section 5 [57] are but one illustration of how it is possible to obtain useful insight into the dynamics of nonperturbative string vacua from a weak-coupling perturbative string theory analysis, simply by restricting the world-sheet computations to a region of embedding spacetime where the dilaton potential is locally flat.

We wish to comment here on an extension of the worldsheet calculations described in section 2-3 that appeared in Ref. [36], and their rather important implications for conjectures about the extension of bulk-boundary dualities a la Maldacena to String Theory. Factorization of the generic K -point boundary correlation function on the annulus gives the normalization of the generic $(K, 1)$ -point function on the disk, with K open string, and one massless closed string, vertex operator insertions. Inclusive of background field dependence, this generalization was given by Chaudhuri and Novak in [36], following the similar path integral derivation of the closed string one-loop K -point correlation function by Polchinski in [9]. Since the massless open strings correspond to gauge bosons in the worldvolume of the Dpbrane, such a computation gives the tree-level coupling of an arbitrary number of worldvolume gauge bosons to the bulk dilaton-graviton. Such non-vanishing couplings in the effective field theory are clear evidence that the worldvolume Yang-Mills gauge theory does *not* decouple from the bulk dilaton-gravity in any consistent type II string vacuum with a *finite rank gauge group*.

It is interesting to note that Schwarz and Witten [29] have given a generalization of the ten-dimensional anomaly cancellation conditions that can incorporate type II string vacua with a generic number, N , of Dp-D $\bar{p}$ brane pairs, *in addition to* the familiar 32 Dpbranes. Such a theory has gauge group $U(32 + N) \times U(N)$, or $SO(32 + N) \times SO(N)$, and can plausibly be given a fully consistent worldsheet interpretation for any *finite* value of N . But it would be incorrect to naively take the large N limit of this solution without first factoring out the finite rank 16 gauge theory. This finite rank boundary gauge theory will couple to the bulk gravity as is usual, via conventional couplings in the tree-level effective Lagrangian. This would spoil the general requirements for decoupling, a first step towards formulating a bulk-boundary duality conjecture a la Maldacena. We emphasize that, to date, there is no evidence for anomaly-free vacua in string theory that incorporate large N Yang-Mills gauge theories, such that a full tree-level decoupling of the spacetime bulk and boundary theories holds. Our suspicion is that no such string vacua exist.

Perhaps we need to reiterate the fact that, despite the widespread confusion following the discovery of strong-weak coupling duality and of Dbranes in 1995, *the worldsheet formalism for all of the string theories, heterotic, type I-I', or type II, gives perfectly consistent results when applied within its regime of validity*. Namely, when the dilaton potential is flat, and it is sensible to assume that we have a dimensionless perturbative string coupling. These requirements can be a problem for field-theoretic spacetime analyses of a moduli space with fewer than 16 supercharges, as with the conifold transition and various generalizations [42]. But the great lesson from the strong-weak coupling and target-space dualities at work in string/M theory, is that there is almost always a dual spacetime field theory Lagrangian with a sensible worldsheet correspondence where the apparent breakdown in the effective Lagrangian analysis can be avoided. We say “almost” because we do not, in fact, understand these moduli spaces exhaustively. Nor are we likely to do so in the foreseeable future. As emphasized in the Introduction, given the incontrovertible evidence that the “moduli

space” of string/M theory is disconnected [13, 14, 16], we believe a change of perspective in string theory research is called for: we do not need to understand the full moduli space of string vacua in order to make progress on questions of pressing physics interest. Proponents of the anthropic principle will interpret this change of focus from a different perspective. We simply view it as an undeniable consequence of applying quantum mechanics to a fundamental, all-encompassing, theory [8].

We should point out that anthropic explanations for the cosmological constant problem do not adequately come to grips with this issue. Let us accept that the observed galactic structure of the universe, or the abundance of Carbon 12 atoms, places stringent bounds on the vacuum energy density and up and down quark masses found to be in good agreement with observation *in our near-flat four-dimensional universe at late times*. We will even grant the proponents of the anthropic principle the possibility of a similarly successful explanation of every unnaturally small mass parameter found to lack a dynamical explanation within the final theory. What, then, is the resolution for the cosmological constant problem in any other theoretically viable vacuum state of the final theory? Are we to seriously believe that similar “explanations” remain to be found relating the non-dynamically-determined mass parameters in every one of the godzillion alternative vacuum states of the final theory? Doesn’t it matter that a theoretically self-consistent theory provide a logically acceptable understanding *of every one of its theoretically consistent vacuum states*?

From a more practical point of view, there is little hope of making progress on deeper problems without the ability to make reliable comparisons with the results of weak coupling analyses that yield limited, but useful, information about the *nonperturbative* backgrounds of the different string theories. Such insights played a fundamental role in our recent matrix theory proposal for a non-perturbative formalism for string theories with sixteen supercharges [18]. It is in this context that we believe that the investigation of quantum cosmology in string theory will be especially valuable. Quantum cosmology gets to the heart of the difficulties in reconciling quantum mechanics with gravity. The cosmological constant problem is possibly the most vexing example of this. But the fascinating puzzles raised by spacetime topology change, baby universes, or the loss of quantum coherence, are just some of many physics conundrums inherent in field theoretic approaches to quantum gravity. Such conundrums need to be kept at the forefront when formulating nonperturbative string/M theory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The introduction to this paper was written at the ITP String Cosmology workshop, stimulated in large part by Hawking’s talks [8], and by comments due to its many participants. I would like to acknowledge Jim Hartle for clarifications of both his own, and Stephen Hawking’s, work. The notes that appear in Appendix C were written up in the Spring ’03 during the course of an email collaboration with Kristján Kristjánsson and Larús Thorlacius. I thank them for helpful input on the presentation of the derivations. I am grateful to Joe Lykken for comments on the manuscript.

A String Theory in a Background Magnetic Field

Consider a pair of parallel Dpbranes separated by a distance R in the direction X^{p+1} with $p < 25$. There are four worldsheet diagrams that contribute to the one-loop amplitude in an unoriented open and closed string theory. Recall that worldsheets with Euler number, $\chi = 2 - 2h - b - c$, where h , b , and c , are, respectively, the number of handles, boundaries, and crosscaps on the worldsheet, contribute at $O(g^\chi)$ to the string perturbative expansion [43]. We will perform the sum over surfaces for each of these topologies in turn, starting with the bosonic string amplitudes.

A.1 One-loop Vacuum Amplitude: Torus

This is essentially a review of Polchinski's 1986 derivation of the one-loop vacuum amplitude for closed bosonic string theory.¹⁷ Since closed strings cannot couple to a background magnetic field, the background field strength, and Dpbrane geometry, are of no relevance to the computation of this diagram. Note that the worldsheets of toroidal topology are embedded in all 26 spacetime dimensions.

The generic metric on a torus can be parameterized by two shape parameters, or worldsheet moduli, $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$, and it takes the form:

$$ds^2 = e^\phi |d\sigma^1 + \tau d\sigma^2|^2, \quad \sqrt{g} = e^\phi \tau_2, \quad -\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tau_2 > 0, \quad |\tau| > 1, \quad (41)$$

with worldsheet coordinates, σ^a , $a=1, 2$, scaled to unit length. The combination, $\sqrt{g}g^{ab}$, is both diffeomorphism and Weyl invariant, leading to a gauge-invariant norm for quadratic deformations of the scalar fields, X , as well as for *traceless* deformations of the metric field, g_{ab} . Note that an arbitrary reparameterization of the metric can be decomposed into trace-dependent and traceless components, the latter including the effect of a variation in the worldsheet moduli, τ_i :

$$\delta g_{ab} = g_{ab} (\delta\phi - \nabla_c \delta\sigma^c) - (\nabla_a \delta\sigma_b + \nabla_b \delta\sigma_a - g_{ab} \nabla_c \delta\sigma^c) + \delta\tau_i \partial_i g_{ab} \quad . \quad (42)$$

Thus, the only obstruction to a fully Weyl invariant measure for the string path integral is the norm for the field ϕ :

$$|\delta\phi|^2 = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} (\delta\phi)^2 = \int d^2\sigma \tau_2 e^\phi (\delta\phi)^2 \quad . \quad (43)$$

The natural choice of diffeomorphism invariant norm violates Weyl invariance explicitly. Fortunately, this obstruction is absent in the critical spacetime dimension since the worldsheet action turns out to be independent of ϕ . The differential operator that maps worldsheet vectors, $\delta\sigma^a$, to symmetric traceless tensors, usually denoted $(P_1\delta\sigma)_{ab}$, has two zero modes, or Killing vectors, on the torus. These are the constant diffeomorphisms: $\delta\sigma^a_\alpha$. Following [19], we invoke the unique

¹⁷Sections in Polchinski's text that may be helpful in reading this appendix are 3.2, 3.5, 5.1, 5.2, 7, 10.8, and 13.5. The presentation here is based on his 1986 paper [9], and the follow-up works [22, 23, 57], rather than on the textbook. One reason is that we wish to highlight the relationship to path integrals in quantum gravity, eschewing the use of worldsheet holomorphicity and ghosts, although, as we have emphasized earlier, conformal field theory in the operator formalism has its uses. More importantly, the casual reader of Polchinski's text will fail to notice that many results, such as Eqs. (7.2.3), (7.2.4), and all of secs. 7.4, 10.8, and 13.5, cannot be obtained in closed form without the zeta-regularized path integral derivation. The author has done a nice job of *motivating* the results but, in the interests of pedagogy, many significant derivations have been skipped.

diffeomorphism invariant norm on the tangent space to the space of classical metric configurations at a given metric, g_{ab} . This norm is also Weyl invariant in the critical dimension [9]:

$$|\delta g_{ab}|^2 = \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} (g^{ac} g^{bd} + C g^{ab} g^{cd}) \delta g_{ab} \delta g_{cd}, \quad dg dX = J(\tau_i) (d\phi d\delta\sigma)' d^2\tau_i dX \quad , \quad (44)$$

where the prime denotes exclusion of the zero mode. The diffeomorphism and Weyl invariant measure for moduli in the string path integral is derived as shown in [9, 22]. Let us arbitrarily pick the normalization unity for the gaussian path integral of any field on the worldsheet. This property is assumed to hold for either set of field variables: $(\delta g, \delta X)$, or $((\delta\phi d\delta\sigma^a)', d^2\tau_i, \delta X')$:

$$1 = \int d\delta g_{ab} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta g_{ab}|^2} = \int d\delta\phi e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta\phi|^2} = \int d\delta\sigma^a e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta\sigma^a|^2} = \int d\delta X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2} \quad . \quad (45)$$

Notice that the arbitrary normalization will drop out in the change of variables, leaving an unambiguously normalized expression for the Jacobian, $J(\tau_i)$. In the critical spacetime dimension, J does not depend on ϕ , and is also independent of the unknown constant C in Eq. (44) [9]. To see this, we begin by accounting for all of the ordinary gaussian integrals over constant parameters that contribute to the measure. For the two real worldsheet moduli, it is easy to check that:

$$1 = (2\pi)^{-1} \left(\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \right) \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta\tau_i)^2 \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g}} \quad , \quad (46)$$

which gives the normalization of the integral over moduli. Likewise, separating out the two real zero modes of the vector Laplacian:

$$d\phi d\delta\sigma^a = (d\phi d\delta\sigma^a)' d\delta\sigma_0^1 d\delta\sigma_0^1 \quad , \quad (47)$$

the gaussian integral for the corresponding field variations is found to be normalized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int d\delta\sigma_0^1 d\delta\sigma_0^2 e^{-\delta\sigma_0^a \delta\sigma_0^b \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} (\partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi + g_{ab})} \int (d\delta\phi d\delta\sigma^a)' e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\delta\sigma^a|^2} \\ &\equiv 2\pi (\det Q_{ab})^{-1/2} \int (d\delta\phi d\delta\sigma^a)' e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\delta\sigma^a|^2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Finally, we should account for the constant modes of the scalar Laplacian. We can distinguish the $p+1$ noncompact embedding coordinates parallel to the Dpbrane worldvolume, assumed to have a common box regularized volume, from the $25-p$ compact bulk coordinates. Denoting the size of each embedding coordinate by L^μ , $\mu = 0, \dots, 25$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int d\delta X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2} = \prod_{\mu=0}^{25} \int d\delta\bar{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta\bar{x}^\mu)^2 \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g}} \int d\delta X' e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta X'|^2} \\ &= (2\pi)^{d/2} \left(\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \right)^{-d/2} \int d\delta X' e^{-|\delta X'|^2/2} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

with $d = 26$. Substituting Eqs. (46), (48), and (49), in Eq. (45) gives an unambiguously normalized expression for the Jacobian $J(\tau_i)$:

$$1 = \int dg dX e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta g|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2}$$

$$= (\det Q_{ab})^{-1/2} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \left(\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \right)^{1+d/2} (\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} \int (d\phi d\delta\sigma)' d^2\tau_i dX' e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta g|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2}, \quad (50)$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ arises from the change of variables, and is a self-adjoint differential operator on the worldsheet. Its explicit form is obtained by substitution of Eq. (42) in Eq. (44) [9, 22]. We have factored out the redundant integrations over the gauge parameters $(\delta\phi, \delta\sigma^a)'$. Dividing by the volume of the gauge group to eliminate this redundancy gives the following simplified expression for the one-loop closed bosonic string vacuum functional in the critical spacetime dimension:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{tor}} &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}[\text{Diff}_0 \times \text{Weyl}]} \int_{\text{tor}} [dg][dX] e^{-S[X,g]} = \int [d\tau]_{\text{tor}} \int [dX] e^{-S[X,\hat{g}]} \\ &= \prod_{\mu=0}^{25} L^\mu \int d^2\tau (\det Q_{ab})^{-1/2} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \left(\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \right)^{1+d/2} (\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} \int d\delta X' e^{-S[X,\hat{g}]}, \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

where $d = 26$. It remains to perform the integration over embeddings of the closed worldsheets with toroidal topology, namely, the 26 scalar fields, $X'(\sigma)$. On the torus, the Laplacian on scalars acts as: $\Delta = \tau_2^{-2} |\partial_2 + \tau \partial_1|^2$. The orthonormal basis for the scalar field, defined with respect to the Weyl and diffeomorphism invariant measure [4, 9], is given by the complete set of eigenfunctions:

$$X'(\sigma^a) = \sum'_{n_2, n_1} a_{n_2, n_1} \Psi_{n_2, n_1}(\sigma^a), \quad \text{with } \Psi_{n_2, n_1}(\sigma^a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_2}} e^{2\pi i(n_2\sigma^2 + n_1\sigma^1)}, \quad (52)$$

and the discrete set of eigenvalues:

$$\omega_{n_2, n_1} = 4\pi^2 (g^{ab} n_a n_b) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\tau_2^2} |n_2 - \tau n_1|^2, \quad (53)$$

where the subscripts take values in the range $-\infty \leq n_2 \leq \infty$, $-\infty \leq n_1 \leq \infty$. Following Hawking [4], we note that the measure in the tangent space to the space of embeddings is ultralocal, a point that has also been stressed by Polchinski [9, 22, 23]. Namely, the functional integral over embeddings, $Z_X(\sigma^a)$, is the product of ordinary integrals defined at some base point, σ^a , on the two-dimensional domain, followed by an integration of the location of the base point in the domain $0 \leq \sigma^a \leq 1$. The normalization of the sum over embeddings, denoted μ in [4], is determined unambiguously by the form of the classical action and the gauge invariant norm on the space of eigenfunctions [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\delta X' e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma X' \sqrt{g} g^{ab} \partial_a \partial_b X} \\ &= \mu \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} \int da_{n_2, n_1} e^{-\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \left(\sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} \omega_{n_2, n_1} |a_{n_2, n_1}|^2 \right)} \\ &= (2\pi\alpha')^{-d/2} \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} (\omega_{n_2, n_1})^{-d/2} \equiv (2\pi\alpha')^{-d/2} (\det' \Delta)^{-d/2}. \quad (54) \end{aligned}$$

More significantly, the lack of ambiguity in this normalization is preserved even after the introduction of a regulator for the infinite products in Eq. (54). This is due to the fact that the choice of worldsheet ultraviolet regulator is uniquely determined by the gauge symmetries.

One last substitution relates the functional determinants of the vector and scalar Laplacians [9, 22]:

$$(\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} = (\det' 2 \Delta_d^c)^{1/2} \left(\frac{2}{\tau_2^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \det' \Delta = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} \omega_{n_2, n_1}. \quad (55)$$

Notice that the dependence of $\mathcal{M}$ on the unknown constant, C , appearing in Eq. (44) drops out in the critical spacetime dimension since the ϕ field decouples [9]. Substituting Eqs. (54) and (55) in the expression for the vacuum functional gives the simplified expression:

$$W_{\text{tor}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^{25} L^\mu \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{2\tau_2^2} \tau_2^{-2+1+d/2} (2\pi)^{-d/2} (2\pi\alpha')^{-d/2} \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} (\omega_{n_2, n_1})^{-12} \quad . \quad (56)$$

The infinite product in Eq. (54) can be zeta-function regulated using a Sommerfeld-Watson integral transform following [9]. We review this derivation in Appendix C. The result is [9]:

$$\det' \Delta = \tau_2^2 e^{-\pi\tau_2/3} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - e^{2\pi i n \tau}|^4 = \tau_2^2 |\eta(q)|^4 \quad . \quad (57)$$

Substituting in Eq. (56) gives Polchinski's result for the sum over connected worldsheets with the topology of a torus [9]:

$$W_{\text{tor}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^{25} L^\mu \int_F \frac{d^2\tau}{2\tau_2} (4\pi^2 \alpha' \tau_2)^{-13} |\eta(\tau)|^{-48} \quad . \quad (58)$$

It is instructive to compare the zeta-function regulated expressions in [9] with previous results for the one-loop closed string amplitudes obtained in the operator formalism. Friedan's calculation of the closed string vacuum amplitude [20] misses its numerical coefficient. Shapiro's result for the N-point closed string tachyon scattering amplitude at one loop order [21] misses the numerical relation between the one-loop renormalized closed string coupling and the fundamental string mass scale. Notice that the appropriate Jacobi theta functions appear in either worldsheet formalism simply as a consequence of modular invariance. This symmetry is responsible for the finiteness of one-loop string amplitudes, and it holds independent of their normalization.

A.2 One-loop Vacuum Amplitude: Boundaries and Crosscaps

There is only one orientable open Riemann surface of Euler number zero, the annulus, with two boundaries. The corresponding nonorientable surfaces of vanishing Euler number are obtained by plugging, respectively, one or both holes of the annulus with a crosscap. The Mobius strip has a single hole, and the Klein bottle has none. Thus, the fiducial metric on each nonorientable surface can be chosen identical to that on the annulus, and the derivation for the gauge-invariant measure for moduli is unchanged [23]. The nonorientable surfaces share the same fundamental domain as the annulus, but *the eigenspectrum is appropriately modified by application of the orientation reversal projection*, Ω . We therefore begin with a detailed discussion of the annulus. The Mobius strip and Klein bottle will be obtained as simple modifications of this result.

One further clarification is required to distinguish results in the presence, or absence, of Dirichlet p-branes. In the absence of Dp-branes, the boundary of the worldsheet can lie in all 26 dimensions, and we impose Neumann boundary conditions on all $d=26$ scalars. This gives the traditional open and closed string theory, whose supersymmetric generalization is the type IB string theory [30]. T-dualizing 25- p embedding coordinates gives the open and closed string theory in the background

geometry of a pair of Dpbranes [10]. Its supersymmetric generalization is the type I' string theory, when p is even, and the type IB string theory in generic Dpbrane background, when p is odd [2, 26]. The Dpbranes define the hypersurfaces bounding the compact bulk spacetime, which is $(25-p)$ -dimensional. Since the bulk spacetime has edges, these $25-p$ embedding coordinates are Dirichlet worldsheet scalars. It is conventional to align the Dpbranes so that the distance of nearest separation, R , corresponds to one of the Dirichlet coordinates, call it X^{25} .

In the presence of a pair of Dpbranes, the classical worldsheet action contributes a background term given by the Polyakov action for a string of physical length R stretched between the Dpbranes [2, 26, 43, 23]:

$$S_{\text{cl}}[G, g] = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} g^{ab} G^{25,25}(X) \partial_a X^{25} \partial_b X^{25} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} R^2 t \quad , \quad (59)$$

where the second equality holds in the critical dimension on open world-surfaces of vanishing Euler number. The background dependence, $e^{-S_{\text{cl}}[G, g]}$, appears in the sum over connected open Riemann surfaces of any topology, orientable or nonorientable. Notice that the background action is determined both by the fiducial worldsheet metric, *and* by the bulk spacetime metric, $G_{\mu\nu}[X]$. Notice also that the boundary of an open worldsheet is now required to lie within the worldvolume of the Dpbrane, although the worldsheet itself is embedded in all 26 spacetime dimensions.

The metric on the generic annulus can be parameterized by a single real worldsheet modulus, t , and it takes the form:

$$ds^2 = e^\phi ((d\sigma^1)^2 + 4t^2 (d\sigma^2)^2), \quad \sqrt{g} = e^\phi 2t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \infty \quad , \quad (60)$$

with worldsheet coordinates, σ^a , $a=1, 2$, parameterizing a square domain of unit length. $2t$ is the physical length of either boundary of the annulus. The differential operator mapping worldsheet vectors, $\delta\sigma^a$, to symmetric traceless tensors, usually denoted $(P_1\delta\sigma)_{ab}$, has only one zero mode on the annulus. This is the constant diffeomorphism in the direction tangential to the boundary: $\delta\sigma_0^2$. Likewise, the analysis of the zero modes of the scalar Laplacian must take into account the Dpbrane geometry: the $p+1$ noncompact embedding coordinates satisfying Neumann boundary conditions are treated exactly as in the case of the torus. The $25-p$ Dirichlet coordinates lack a zero mode. Thus, the analog of Eq. (49) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int d\delta X e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p \int d\delta \bar{x} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\delta \bar{x}^\mu)^2} \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \int d\delta X' e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta X'|^2} \\ &= (2\pi)^{(p+1)/2} \left(\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \right)^{-(p+1)/2} \int d\delta X' e^{-|\delta X'|^2/2} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

The analysis of the diffeomorphism and Weyl invariant measure for moduli follows precisely as for the torus, differing only in the final result for the Jacobian [23]. The analog of Eq. (50) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int dg dX e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta g|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2} \\ &= (\det Q_{22})^{-1/2} \frac{\left(\int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \right)^{1/2 + (p+1)/2}}{(2\pi)^{(p+1)/2}} (\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} \int (d\phi d\delta\sigma)' dt dX' e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\delta g|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\delta X|^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where $(\det Q_{22}) = 2t$ in the critical dimension, cancelling the factor of $2t$ arising from the normalization of the integral over the single real modulus. As shown in [23], $\mathcal{M}$ takes the form:

$$(\det' \mathcal{M})^{1/2} = (\det' 2 \Delta_d^c)^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (2t)^{-1} \det' \Delta = \frac{1}{2} (2t)^{-1} \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} \omega_{n_2, n_1} \quad . \quad (63)$$

The Laplacian acting on free scalars on an annulus with boundary length $2t$ takes the form, $\Delta = (2t)^{-2} \partial_2^2 + \partial_1^2$, with eigenspectrum:

$$\omega_{n_2, n_1} = \frac{\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2^2 + n_1^2 t^2), \quad \Psi_{n_2, n_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{2\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \text{Sin}(\pi n_1 \sigma^1) \quad , \quad (64)$$

where the subscripts take values in the range $-\infty \leq n_2 \leq \infty$, and $n_1 \geq 0$ for a Neumann scalar, or $n_1 \geq 1$ for a Dirichlet scalar.

In the case of a background magnetic field, $\mathcal{F}_{p-1, p}$, it is convenient to complexify the corresponding pair of scalars: $Z = X^p + iX^{p-1}$. They satisfy the following twisted boundary conditions:

$$\partial_1 \text{Re} Z = \quad \text{Im} Z = 0 \quad \sigma^1 = 0 \quad (65)$$

$$\partial_1 \text{Re} e^{-i\phi} Z = \quad \text{Im} e^{-i\phi} Z = 0 \quad \sigma^1 = 1 \quad (66)$$

Expanding in a complete set of orthonormal eigenfunctions gives:

$$Z = \sum_{n_2, n_1} z_{n_2, n_1} \Psi_{n_2, n_1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{2\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \text{Sin} \pi (n_1 + \alpha) \sigma^1 \quad , \quad (67)$$

where $\pi\alpha = \phi$, and $\pi - \phi$, respectively. and where the subscripts take values in the range $-\infty \leq n_2 \leq \infty$, $n_1 \geq 0$. The twisted complex scalar has a discrete eigenvalue spectrum on the annulus given by:

$$\omega_{n_2, n_1}(\alpha) = \frac{\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2^2 + (n_1 + \alpha)^2 t^2) \quad . \quad (68)$$

Thus, the connected sum over worldsheets with the topology of an annulus embedded in the spacetime geometry of a pair of parallel Dpbranes separated by a distance R in the direction X^9 , and in the absence of a magnetic field, takes the form [23]:

$$W_{\text{ann}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p+1)/2} \eta(it)^{-24} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \quad . \quad (69)$$

In the presence of a worldvolume magnetic field, $\mathcal{F}_{p-1, p}$, the scalars X^{p-1} , X^p , are complexified. Substituting the result for the eigenspectrum of the twisted complex scalar gives:

$$W_{\text{ann}}(\alpha) = \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} \eta(it)^{-22} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \frac{e^{\pi t \alpha^2} \eta(it)}{i \Theta_{11}(it\alpha, it)} \quad , \quad (70)$$

with $\alpha = i\phi/\pi$ and $q = e^{-2\pi t}$.

The corresponding expressions for the Mobius strip and Klein bottle are easily derived by making the appropriate orientation projection on the eigenspectrum on the annulus. For the Mobius strip, and in the presence of the magnetic field, we have:

$$W_{\text{mob}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{4t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} \eta(it)^{-22} e^{-R^2 t/2\pi\alpha'} \prod_{n_1=0}^\infty \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty'} (\det \Delta_{\text{mob}})^{-1} . \quad (71)$$

The extra factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the measure for moduli is easily understood as follows. Recall that a cylinder is a strip of boundary length $2t$ and height 1. The Mobius strip is the strip twisted by Ω , orientation reversal, and sewn back upon itself. Thus, the corresponding eigenfunction spectrum is the same as that on a cylinder *with boundary length* $4t$, height 2, and twisted boundary conditions on the scalar parameterized by an angle $\alpha=\pi/2$. This explains the extra factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ in the measure, as well as the form of the eigenfunction spectrum:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{n_2 n_1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i(n_2 + \frac{1}{2})\sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi(n_1 + \frac{1}{2})\sigma^1 \\ \Psi_{n_2 n_1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi(n_1)\sigma^1 , \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where we have separated the $\pi/2$ -twisted (odd), and untwisted (even), eigenfunction sectors on the equivalent annulus. To obtain the corresponding results in the presence of the magnetic field, simply introduce the parameter α in these expressions, where α takes the values, ϕ/π , or $1-\phi/\pi$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{n_2 n_1}(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i(n_2 + \frac{1}{2})\sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)\sigma^1 \\ \Psi_{n_2 n_1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)\sigma^1 , \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

The corresponding eigenvalues are:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n_2 n_1}^{\text{odd}}(\alpha) &= \frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^2 \\ \omega_{n_2 n_1}^{\text{even}}(\alpha) &= \frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} n_2^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where $-\infty \leq n_2 \leq \infty$, and $0 \leq n_1 \leq \infty$.

Thus, the functional determinant of the complex scalar Laplacian on the Mobius strip in the presence of the background magnetic field, is given by the infinite product over both sets of eigenvalues: gives:

$$\det \Delta_{\text{mob}} = \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^\infty \prod_{n_1=0}^\infty \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} n_2^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^2 \right] \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^2 \right] . \quad (75)$$

Regulating the divergent products by the method of zeta-function regularization, as shown in Appendix C.2, gives the result:

$$\det \Delta_{\text{mob}} = q^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{6} - \alpha)} (1 - q^\alpha)^{-1} \prod_{n_1=1}^\infty \left[(1 - q^{2n_1 - \alpha})(1 - q^{2n_1 + \alpha}) \right]$$

$$\prod_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left[(1 + q^{2n_1-1-\alpha})(1 + q^{2n_1-1+\alpha}) \right] \quad . \quad (76)$$

This can be expressed in terms of the Jacobi theta functions as follows:

$$(\det \Delta_{\text{mob}})^{-1} = -ie^{2\pi t \alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{00}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] \quad , \quad (77)$$

where α denotes ϕ/π .

Combining with the functional determinants for the free Dirichlet and Neumann scalars, our final expression for the sum over connected worldsheets with the topology of a Mobius strip is:

$$W_{\text{mob}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{4t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p+1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} [\eta(2it) \Theta_{00}(0, 2it)]^{-12} \quad . \quad (78)$$

In the presence of the background magnetic field, the result takes the form:

$$W_{\text{mob}}(\alpha) = \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{4t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \\ \times [\eta(2it) \Theta_{00}(0, 2it)]^{-10} e^{2\pi t \alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{00}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] \quad . \quad (79)$$

The amplitude for the Klein bottle follows from similar considerations. The Klein bottle is a strip twisted by Ω , orientation reversal, and with *both* ends sewn back upon themselves. Note that the Klein bottle is a closed worldsheet. Thus, the corresponding eigenfunction spectrum is the same as that on a cylinder with boundary length $4t$, height 2, but with periodicity imposed on *both* edges. The periodicity condition implies that we include both left- and right-moving annulus modes, and with equal weight. For convenience, we present the results directly in the presence of the background magnetic field:

$$\Psi_{n_2 n_1}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i n_2 \sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha) \sigma^1 \quad , \quad (80)$$

and the eigenvalues are given by:

$$\omega_{n_2 n_1} = \frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} n_2^2 + 4\pi^2 (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)^2 \quad , \quad (81)$$

with the usual range for n_1 and n_2 . The functional determinant takes the form:

$$\det \Delta_{\text{kb}} = \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} n_2^2 + 4\pi^2 (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)^2 \right] \quad . \quad (82)$$

Following zeta-function regularization of the divergent eigenvalue sums, the result for a complex twisted scalar takes the form:

$$(\det \Delta_{\text{kb}})^{-1} = \left(q^{\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{6} - \alpha} (1 - q^{2\alpha})^{-1} \prod_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - q^{2n_1 - \alpha})(1 - q^{2n_1 + \alpha}) \right] \right)^{-1}$$

$$= e^{2\pi t \alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] . \quad (83)$$

Combining with the contributions from the free Dirichlet and Neumann scalars, we obtain:

$$W_{\text{kb}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{4t} (4\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p+1)/2} \eta(2it)^{-24} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} . \quad (84)$$

In the presence of the background magnetic field, the corresponding result is:

$$W_{\text{kb}}(\alpha) = \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{4t} (4\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} \eta(2it)^{-22} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} e^{2\pi t \alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] . \quad (85)$$

B Type I-I' String Theory in Constant Magnetic Field

The derivation of the gauge invariant measure for moduli given in Appendix A can be easily extended to the case of the supersymmetric unoriented open and closed string theories, type I and type I' string theory [22, 43]. We begin with the contribution from worldsheets with the topology of an annulus in the presence of a background magnetic field, and in the background spacetime geometry of a pair of Dpbranes separated by a distance R . This expression was derived in [35]:

$$W_{\text{ann-I}}(\alpha) = \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} \eta(it)^{-6} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \frac{e^{\pi t \alpha^2} \eta(it)}{i\Theta_{11}(it\alpha, it)} \\ \times \prod_{n_2=0}^\infty \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} \left(\det_{\text{ann-I}} \Delta_{n_2+\frac{1}{2}, n_1+\frac{1}{2}+\alpha} \right)^1 \left(\det_{\text{ann-I}} \Delta_{n_2+\frac{1}{2}, n_1+\frac{1}{2}} \right)^3 , \quad (86)$$

where we have included the contribution from worldsheet bosonic fields derived in the previous section.

Let us understand the eigenvalue spectrum of the worldsheet fermions in more detail. Recall that the functional determinant of the two-dimensional Dirac operator acting on a pair of Majorana Weyl fermions satisfying twisted boundary conditions is equivalent, by Bose-Fermi equivalence, to the functional determinant of the scalar Laplacian *raised to the inverse power*. This provides the correct statistics. In addition, we have the constraint of world-sheet supersymmetry. This requires that the four complexified Weyl fermions satisfy identical boundary conditions in each sector of the theory in the σ^1 direction. For a complex Weyl fermion satisfying the boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(1, \sigma^2) &= -e^{\pi i a} \psi(0, \sigma^2) \\ \psi(\sigma^1, 1) &= -e^{\pi i b} \psi(\sigma^1, 0) \end{aligned} , \quad (87)$$

the Bose-Fermi equivalent scalar eigenspace takes the form:

$$\Psi_{n_2+\frac{1}{2}, n_1+\frac{1}{2}(1+a)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{2\pi i (n_2+\frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))\sigma^2} \text{Cos} \pi (n_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a)) , \quad (88)$$

where we sum over $-\infty \leq n_2 \leq \infty$, $n_1 \geq 0$. Notice that the unrotated oscillators are, respectively, half-integer or integer moded as expected for the scalar equivalent of antiperiodic or periodic worldsheet fermions. Finally, we must sum over periodic and antiperiodic sectors, namely, with a, b equal to 0, 1. As reviewed in the appendix, weighting the (a, b) sector of the path integral by the factor $e^{\pi iab}$ gives the following result for the fermionic partition function [43]:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_b^a(\alpha, q) &= q^{\frac{1}{2}a^2 - \frac{1}{24}} e^{\pi iab} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 + e^{\pi ib} q^{m - \frac{1}{2}(1-a)+\alpha}) (1 + e^{-\pi ib} q^{m - \frac{1}{2}(1+a)-\alpha}) \right] \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{e^{\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(it)} \Theta_{ab}(\alpha it, it) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

We have included a possible rotation by α or $1-\alpha$ as in the previous section. This applies for the Weyl fermion partnering the twisted complex worldsheet scalar. Substituting in the path integral, and summing over $a, b=0, 1$, for all fermions, and over α and $1-\alpha$ for the Weyl fermion partnering the twisted complex scalar, gives the result:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{ann-I}} &= \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} \eta(it)^{-6} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \left[\frac{e^{\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(it)}{\Theta_{11}(it\alpha, it)} \right] \\ &\times \left[\frac{\Theta_{00}(it\alpha, it)}{e^{\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(it)} \left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 - \frac{\Theta_{01}(it\alpha, it)}{e^{\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(it)} \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 - \frac{\Theta_{10}(it\alpha, it)}{e^{\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(it)} \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

where we have used the fact that $\Theta_{11}(0, it)$ equals zero.

Likewise, we can write down the corresponding results for the sum over worldsheets with the topology of Mobius strip or Klein bottle by invoking Bose-Fermi equivalence, and by using the appropriate twisted cylinder eigenspaces. The detailed derivation is outlined in appendix C. For the Mobius strip we have the result:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{mob-I}} &= \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{4t} (8\pi^2 \alpha' t)^{-(p-1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \\ &\times [\eta(2it) \Theta_{00}(0, 2it)]^{-3} \left[\frac{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] \left[\frac{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)}{\Theta_{00}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right] \\ &\times \left\{ \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^3 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^3 \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^3 \right\} \\ &\times \left[\frac{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)}{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)} \frac{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)}{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)} \right] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

We have used the fact that $\Theta_{11}(0, 2it)$ vanishes.

The corresponding result for the Klein bottle is:

$$W_{\text{kb-I}} = \prod_{\mu=0}^{p-2} L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{4t} (4\pi^2 \alpha')^{-(p-1)/2} \eta(2it)^{-6} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \left[\frac{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(it\alpha, 2it)} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^3 \frac{\Theta_{00}(2it\alpha, 2it)}{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)} - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, 2it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 \frac{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)}{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, 2it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^3 \frac{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)}{e^{2\pi t\alpha^2} \eta(2it)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

For completeness, setting $\alpha=0$, let us write down the result for the sum over connected one-loop vacuum graphs in the Dpbrane background geometry, but without the magnetic field. The sum over worldsheets with the topology of a torus decouples from the sum over worldsheets with boundary and/or crosscap, since it is insensitive to the Dpbranes. It also vanishes as a consequence of the unbroken spacetime supersymmetry. The sum over unoriented connected worldsheets with vanishing Euler character in type I(I') string theory in the background of a pair of parallel and static Dpbrane stacks, each with N coincident Dpbranes:

$$\begin{aligned} W_I &= \prod_{\mu=0}^p L^\mu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-(p+1)/2} e^{-R^2 t / 2\pi \alpha'} \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{N^2}{\eta(it)^8} \left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 \right] \right. \\ & + 2^6 N \left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^4 \left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^4 \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^4 \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^4 \right] \\ & \left. + \frac{2^{10}}{\eta(2it)^8} \left[\left(\frac{\Theta_{00}(0, 2it)}{\eta(2it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{10}(0, 2it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 - \left(\frac{\Theta_{01}(0, 2it)}{\eta(it)} \right)^4 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

We have used the fact that $\Theta_{11}(0, 2it)$ vanishes as a consequence of the zero mode in the Ramond-Ramond sector for worldsheet fermions [43]. W_I vanishes as a consequence of spacetime supersymmetry, as can be seen by use of the abstruse identity relating the Jacobi theta functions [43]. The number of Dpbranes is fixed to be 32 by the requirement of dilaton tadpole cancellation [2, 26, 43]: $N - 2^5 = 0$, which ensures the absence of spacetime gauge and gravitational anomalies, including that for the Ramond-Ramond ten-form field strength. The latter fact was first noted by Polchinski and Cai [22]. Notice that the contribution to W_I from spacetime bosons alone carries non-trivial information about the charge of the Ramond-Ramond field strength [2], as is explained at length in section 2.3.

C Zeta-function Regularization of Infinite Sums

The regularization of a divergent sum over the discrete eigenvalue spectrum of a self-adjoint differential operator by the zeta function method can always be carried out in closed form when the eigenvalues are known explicitly [4]. This is the case for all of the infinite sums encountered in one-loop string amplitudes [9, 43, 23]. We illustrate the basic method with a review of Polchinski's calculation of the zeta-regularized functional determinant of the scalar Laplacian on the torus [9]:

$$\ln \det' \Delta_{\text{tor}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{\tau^2} (n_2 - \tau n_1)(n_2 - \bar{\tau} n_1) + m^2 \right] - \ln \left[\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{\tau_2^2} \right]. \quad (94)$$

The $n_1=n_2=0$ term has been included in the infinite sum by introducing an infrared regulator mass, m , for the zero mode. We will take the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ at the end of the calculation. Following Hawking [4], we begin by expressing the first term in Eq. (94) in the equivalent form:

$$S_{\text{tor}} = - \lim_{s, m \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \left\{ \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{\tau_2^2} \right)^{-s} \sum_{n_2, n_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} \left[(n_2 - \tau n_1)(n_2 - \bar{\tau} n_1) + m^2 \right]^{-s} \right\} . \quad (95)$$

Notice that the infinite sums are manifestly convergent for $\text{Re } s > 1$. The required $s \rightarrow 0$ limit can be obtained by analytic continuation in the variable s . The analogous step for the second term in Eq. (94) yields the relation:

$$+ \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{\tau_2^2} \right]^{-s} = 2 \log \tau_2 - \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} 2 \log (2\pi m) . \quad (96)$$

The finite term in this expression contributes the overall factor of τ_2^2 to the result given in Eq. (57).

The infinite summation over n_2 is carried out using a Sommerfeld-Watson transform as in [9]. We invoke the Residue Theorem in giving the following contour integral representation of the infinite sum as follows:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [n^2 + x^2]^{-s} = \oint_{\sum_n \mathcal{C}_n} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \pi \tan(\pi z) (z^2 + x^2)^{-s} , \quad (97)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_n$ is a small circle enclosing the pole at $z=n$ in the counterclockwise sense. The contours may be deformed without encountering any new singularities into the pair of straight line contours, $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}$, where the line $\mathcal{C}_+$ runs from $\infty+i\epsilon$ to $-\infty+i\epsilon$, connecting smoothly to the line $\mathcal{C}_-$, which runs from $-\infty-i\epsilon$ to $\infty-i\epsilon$.

Alternatively, we can choose to close the contours, $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}$, respectively, in the upper, or lower, half-planes along the circle of infinite radius. Note that the integrand has additional isolated poles in the complex plane at the points $z=\pm ix$. We will make the following substitution in the integrand:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Cot}(\pi z)}{i} &= \frac{2e^{i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} - 1 , \quad \text{Im } z > 0 \\ \frac{\text{Cot}(\pi z)}{i} &= \frac{-2e^{-i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} + 1 , \quad \text{Im } z < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

when the contour is to be closed, respectively, in the upper, or lower, half-plane. This ensures that the integrand is convergent at all points within the enclosed region other than the isolated poles. Thus, we obtain the following alternative contour integral representation of the infinite sum over n_2 , setting $x^2 = n_1^2 \tau_2^2 + m^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} [(n_2 - n_1 \tau_1)^2 + x^2]^{-s} &= \oint_{\mathcal{C}_+} dz \left[\frac{e^{i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} - \frac{1}{2} \right] ((z - n_1 \tau_1)^2 + x^2)^{-s} \\ &\quad + \oint_{\mathcal{C}_-} dz \left[-\frac{e^{-i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} + \frac{1}{2} \right] [(z - n_1 \tau_1)^2 + x^2]^{-s} . \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Note that the contours are required to avoid the branch cuts which run, respectively, from $+ix$ to $+i\infty$, and from $-ix$ to $-i\infty$. Let us evaluate these integrals as before. The constant pieces from

the square brackets in Eq. (99) combine to give:

$$I_1(s, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_-} dz - \int_{\mathcal{C}_+} dz \right) ((z - n_1 \tau_1)^2 + x^2)^{-s} = x^{-2s+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du (1 + u^2)^{-s} \quad . \quad (100)$$

The integral simply yields the beta function, $B(\frac{1}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2})$. Taking the s -derivative followed by the $s=0$ limit gives,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} x^{-2s+1} B(\frac{1}{2}, s - \frac{1}{2}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{2} x^{-2s+1} \frac{\sin(\pi s)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(1-s) \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2}) = -2\pi x \quad . \quad (101)$$

Substituting for $x^2 = n_1^2 \tau_2^2 + m^2$, and taking the $m=0$ limit, gives

$$-4\pi\tau_2 \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} n_1 - 2\pi \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} m = -4\pi\tau_2 \zeta(-1) = 2\pi\tau_2 B_2(0) = \frac{1}{3}\pi\tau_2 \quad , \quad (102)$$

where we have used $B_2(q) = q^2 - q + \frac{1}{6}$.

Next, we tackle the non-constant pieces from the square brackets in Eq. (99). It is helpful to take the s -derivative and the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit prior to performing the contour integral. We begin with the contour integral in the upper half-plane:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, s) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_+} dz \frac{e^{i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} ((z - n_1 \tau_1)^2 + x^2)^{-s} \\ &= -2\sin(\pi s) \int_x^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-\pi y}}{e^{\pi y} - e^{-\pi y}} ((y + in_1 \tau_1)^2 - x^2)^{-s} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to s , and setting $s=0$, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} I_2(x, s)|_{s=0} &= -2\pi \int_{x-in_1 \tau_1}^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-\pi y}}{e^{\pi y} - e^{-\pi y}} \\ &= -2\log \left| 1 - e^{-2\pi(x-in_1 \tau_1)} \right| \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

The $\mathcal{C}_-$ integral gives an identical contribution since,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(x, s) &= - \int_{\mathcal{C}_-} dz \frac{e^{-i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} ((z - n_1 \tau_1)^2 + x^2)^{-s} \\ &= -2\sin\pi s \int_x^{\infty} dy \frac{e^{-\pi y}}{e^{\pi y} - e^{-\pi y}} ((y + in_1 \tau_1)^2 - x^2)^{-s} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Upon taking the s -derivative, and setting $s=0$, we get the same result as in Eq. (103):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} I_3(x, s)|_{s=0} &= -2\pi \int_{x-in_1 \tau_1}^{\infty} dz \frac{e^{-\pi y}}{e^{\pi y} - e^{-\pi y}} \\ &= -2\log \left| 1 - e^{-2\pi(x-in_1 \tau_1)} \right| \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

Combining all of the contributions to S_{tor} gives the following result in the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit:

$$S_{\text{tor}} = -\frac{\pi\tau_2}{3} + 4 \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \log|1 - e^{2\pi in_1 \tau}| - \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \left[\pi m + 2 \log(2\pi m) - 2\log(1 - e^{-2\pi m}) \right] \quad , \quad (107)$$

Notice that the divergent terms in the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit cancel as is seen by Taylor expanding the logarithm in the last term.

Combining with the result from Eq. (96) gives Polchinski's result for the functional determinant of the scalar Laplacian on the torus:

$$\prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty'} \omega_{n_1 n_2} = \tau_2 e^{-\pi \tau_2 / 3} \prod_{n_1=1}^{\infty} |1 - q^{n_1}|^4 \quad , \quad (108)$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$.

C.1 Annulus: Twisted Complex Scalar Eigenspectrum

The eigenspectrum of the scalar Laplacian on a surface with boundary includes a dependence on the magnetic background, reflected as a twist in the boundary conditions satisfied by the scalar. As an illustration, let us work out the functional determinant of the scalar Laplacian for worldsheets with the topology of an annulus. The case of the Mobius strip and Klein bottle are simple extensions which do not introduce any significant new feature into the nature of the infinite summation. Since the required sums only differ in the choice of “twist”, the results can be straightforwardly written down given the result for the annulus with generic twist α .

Begin with the eigenspectrum on the annulus. In the case of the free Neumann scalars, we must introduce an infrared regulator mass for the zero mode, as shown in the case of the torus. The functional determinant of the Laplacian can be written in the form [23]:

$$\ln \det' \Delta = \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \log \left[\frac{\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2^2 + n_1^2 t^2 + m^2) \right] - \log \left(\frac{\pi^2 m^2}{t^2} \right) \quad . \quad (109)$$

The first term in Eq. (109) is a special case of the infinite sum with generic twist, α , and the zeta-regulated result can be obtained by setting $\alpha=0$ in the generic calculation which will be derived below. The second term in Eq. (109) yields the result:

$$+ \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{4t^2} \right]^{-s} = 2 \log 2t - \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} -2 \log (2\pi m) \quad . \quad (110)$$

This contributes the correct power of $2t$ to the measure of the path integral for a free Neumann scalar [23]. For the Dirichlet scalar, we must remember to drop the $n_1=0$ modes from the double sum above since the sine eigenfunction vanishes for all values of σ^1 , not only at the boundary. Thus, the n_1 summation begins from $n_1=1$.

Now consider the case of the twisted Neumann scalar. There is no need to introduce an infrared regulator in the presence of a magnetic field since there are no zero modes in the eigenvalue spectrum. Thus, the analysis of the infinite eigensum is similar to that for a Dirichlet scalar, other than the incorporation of twist. We begin with:

$$S_{\text{ann}} = - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \left[\frac{\pi^2}{t^2} \right]^{-s} \sum_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty'} \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \left[n_2^2 + (n_1 + \alpha)^2 t^2 \right]^{-s} \quad , \quad (111)$$

and identical statements can be made about its convergence properties as in the previous subsection. The n_2 summation in S_{ann} is carried out using a contour integral representation identical to that in Eq. (99) except that $x=(n_1 + \alpha)t$. The n_1 summation following the analog of Eq. (99) can be recognized as the Riemann zeta function with two arguments,

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} (n_1 + \alpha)^{-2s+1} t^{-2s+1} \equiv \zeta(2s-1, \alpha) t^{-2s+1} \quad . \quad (112)$$

Taking the s -derivative followed by the $s=0$ limit gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \quad & \zeta(2s-1, \alpha) t^{-2s+1} B(\tfrac{1}{2}, s - \tfrac{1}{2}) \\ = \quad & \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} \zeta(2s-1, \alpha) t^{-2s+1} \frac{\sin(\pi s)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma(1-s) \Gamma(s - \tfrac{1}{2}) = -2\pi t \zeta(-1, \alpha) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

Substituting the relation $\zeta(-n, q) = -B'_{n+2}(q)/(n+1)(n+2)$, and combining the contributions for $q=\alpha$, and $1-\alpha$, gives:

$$\frac{\pi t}{3} [B'_3(1-\alpha) + B'_3(\alpha)] = 2\pi t \left[\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{6} - \alpha \right] \quad , \quad (114)$$

where we have used $B'_n(q) = nB_{n-1}(q)$, and $B_2(q) = q^2 - q + \frac{1}{6}$.

Next, we tackle the non-constant pieces from the square brackets in the analog of Eq. (99). It is helpful to take the s -derivative and the $s \rightarrow 0$ limit prior to performing the contour integral. We begin with the contour integral in the upper half-plane:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x, s) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}_+} dz \frac{e^{i\pi z}}{e^{i\pi z} - e^{-i\pi z}} (z^2 + x^2)^{-s} \\ &= -2\sin(\pi s) \int_x^\infty dy \frac{e^{-\pi y}}{e^{\pi y} - e^{-\pi y}} (y^2 - x^2)^{-s} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Taking the derivative with respect to s , and setting $s=0$, gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} I_2(x, s)|_{s=0} &= -2\pi \int_x^\infty dy \frac{e^{-\pi y}}{e^{\pi y} - e^{-\pi y}} \\ &= -\log(1 + e^{-2\pi x}) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

The $\mathcal{C}_-$ integral gives an identical contribution as before. Combining the contributions to S_{ann} from terms with $\alpha=\phi/\pi$, and $1-\alpha$, respectively, gives the following result in the $m \rightarrow 0$ limit:

$$S_{\text{ann}} = 2\pi t (\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{6} - \alpha) - 2 \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \log \left[(1 + e^{-2\pi(n_1+\alpha)t}) (1 + e^{-2\pi(n_1+1-\alpha)t}) \right] \quad , \quad (117)$$

The result for the functional determinant of the Laplacian acting on a twisted complex scalar takes the form:

$$\left[\prod_{\pm} \prod_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \omega_{n_2, n_1} \right]^{-1} = q^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{6} - \alpha)} (1 - q^\alpha)^{-1} \prod_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \left[(1 - q^{n_1-\alpha}) (1 - q^{n_1+\alpha}) \right]^{-1} \quad , \quad (118)$$

where $q=e^{-2\pi t}$. The result can be expressed in terms of the Jacobi theta function as follows:

$$\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2+\frac{1}{24}-\frac{1}{8}}}{-2i\text{Sin}(\pi t\alpha/2)} \prod_{n_1=1}^{\infty} [(1-q^{n_1-\alpha})(1-q^{n_1+\alpha})]^{-1} = -i \frac{e^{\pi t\alpha^2}\eta(it)}{\Theta_{11}(it\alpha, it)} \quad , \quad (119)$$

with $\alpha=\phi/\pi$.

Setting $\alpha=0$ in this expression, and combining with the result in Eq. (110), gives the functional determinant of the Laplacian acting on a free Neumann scalar:

$$\left(\prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \omega_{n_1 n_2} \right)^{-1/2} = \left(\frac{1}{2t} \right) q^{-\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n_1=1}^{\infty} (1-q^{n_1})^{-1} = \frac{1}{2t} [\eta(it)]^{-1} \quad , \quad (120)$$

where $q=e^{-2\pi t}$. The expression for the Dirichlet determinant is identical except for the absence of the overall factor of $1/2t$.

C.2 Mobius Strip: Twisted Complex Fermion Eigenspectrum

As a final illustration, we work out the contribution from worldsheet fermions to the Mobius strip amplitude for type I string theory in a background magnetic field. As explained in the text, we must identify the scalar eigenspace inferred by application of Bose-Fermi equivalence. Under the action of Ω , the eigenspace in the (a, b) fermionic sector of the theory for the corresponding complex scalar takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{n_2 n_1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i(n_2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))\sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)\sigma^1 \\ \Psi_{n_2 n_1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} e^{4\pi i(n_2+\frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))\sigma^2} \text{Sin} 2\pi(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha)\sigma^1 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

where we will set α equal to ϕ/π , and $1-\phi/\pi$. Note that eigenfunctions of odd (even) mass level are weighted differently in the trace. The corresponding eigenvalues are:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{n_2 n_1}^{\text{odd}} &= \frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \right)^2 \\ \omega_{n_2 n_1}^{\text{even}} &= \frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \right)^2 \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

where $-\infty \leq n_2 \leq \infty$, and $0 \leq n_1 \leq \infty$. We will compute the product over both sets of eigenvalues and then take the square root of the result. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \det \Delta_{\text{mob}}^{(a,b)} &= \prod_{n_2=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\frac{4\pi^2}{t^2} (n_2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm b))^2 + 4\pi^2 \left(n_1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1\pm a) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} . \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

Denoting ϕ/π by α , this gives the results:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\Delta_{\text{mob}}^{(0,0)} &= +ie^{-2\pi\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{00}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \\
\det\Delta_{\text{mob}}^{(0,1)} &= -e^{-2\pi\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \\
\det\Delta_{\text{mob}}^{(1,0)} &= +e^{-2\pi\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \\
\det\Delta_{\text{mob}}^{(1,1)} &= -ie^{-2\pi\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{11}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{00}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1/2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{124}$$

Thus, allowing for the phase in front of each contribution, the contribution to the Mobius strip amplitude from four complex worldsheet fermions, one of which is twisted, takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\Delta_{\text{mob}}^F &= e^{-2\pi\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right)^{-3} \\
&\quad - e^{-2\pi\alpha^2} \left[\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{10}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \frac{\eta(2it)}{\Theta_{01}(2it\alpha, 2it)} \right)^{-3} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{125}$$

where α denotes ϕ/π .

References

- [1] L. Romans, *Massive N=2A Supergravity in Ten Dimensions*, Phys. Lett. **B169** (1986) 374.
- [2] J. Polchinski, *Dirichlet-Branes and Ramond-Ramond Charges*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724.
- [3] J. D. Brown and C. Teitelboim, *Neutralization of the Cosmological Constant by Membrane Creation*, Nucl. Phys. **B297** (1988) 787.
- [4] S. W. Hawking, *Quantum Gravity and Path Integrals*, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 1747; *The Path Integral Approach to Quantum Gravity*, contribution to General Relativity: An Einstein Centenary Survey, (Cambridge) 1979; *Zeta Function Regularization of Path Integrals*, Comm. Math. Phys. **55** (1977) 133.
- [5] S. W. Hawking, *Pontificia Academiae Scientiarum Scripta Varia*, **48** (1982). J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, *Wave Function of the Universe*, Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 2960.
- [6] J. Hartle, *The Quantum Mechanics of Cosmology*, Lectures at the 1989 Jerusalem Winter School for Theoretical Physics on *Quantum Cosmology and Baby Universes* (World Scientific) 1991. Section II.3 is a clear statement of the basic issue.
- [7] S. W. Hawking, *The Quantum State of the Universe*, Nucl. Phys. **B239** (1984) 257. J. B. Hartle, *Quantum Cosmology: Problems for the 21st Century*, gr-qc/9701022; *The State of the Universe*, gr-qc/0209046.

- [8] S. W. Hawking, *The Top-Down View of the Universe*, talk given at the Institute for Theoretical Physics, Oct 15, 2003. Accessible on-line at <http://www.itp.ucsb.edu/talks> .
- [9] J. Polchinski, *Evaluation of the One-loop String Path Integral*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 37.
- [10] J. Dai, R. Leigh, and J. Polchinski, *New Connections between Old String Theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 2073. M. Dine, P. Huet, and N. Seiberg, *Large and Small Radius in String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B322** (1989) 301. P. Ginsparg, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 648.
- [11] E. Witten, *String Theory Dynamics in Various Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B443** (1995) 85. P. Horava and E. Witten, *Heterotic and Type I String Dynamics from Eleven Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 506.
- [12] J. Polchinski and E. Witten, *Evidence for Heterotic-Type I String Duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 525.
- [13] S. Chaudhuri, G. Hockney, and J. Lykken, *Maximally Supersymmetric Theories in Four Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1995). *Three Generation Models in the Fermionic Construction*, Nucl. Phys. **B479** (1996) 357. S. Chaudhuri, *Real Fermionization for String Model Building*, talk given at *Strings '95*, World Scientific (1995).
- [14] S. Chaudhuri and J. Polchinski, *Moduli Space of CHL Strings*, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 7168.
- [15] S. Chaudhuri and D. Lowe, *Type IIA-Heterotic Duals with Maximal Supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B459** (1996) 113; *Monstrous String Duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B469** (1996) 21.
- [16] E. Witten, *Toroidal Compactification without Vector Structure*, JHEP 9802:006 (1998). The status was recently summarized in J. de Boer, R. Dijkgraaf, K. Hori, A. Keurentjes, J. Morgan, D. Morrison, and S. Sethi, *Triples, Fluxes, and Strings*, Adv. Theor. Math. Physics **4** (2002) 995.
- [17] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. Shenker, and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 5112. N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, and A. Tsuchiya, Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 158.
- [18] S. Chaudhuri, *A New Proposal for Matrix Theory*, hep-th/0202138, and work in progress.
- [19] A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings*, Phys. Lett. **B103** (1982) 207.
- [20] D. Friedan, *Introduction to Polyakov's String Theory*, 1982 Les Houches Summer School Lectures, 1982:0839 (QCD175:G7:1982).
- [21] J. A. Shapiro, *Loop Graph in the Dual Tube Model*, Phys. Rev. **D5** (1972) 1945. Reviewed in J. A. Schwartz, Phys. Rep. **89** (1982) 223.
- [22] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, *Geometry of String Perturbation Theory*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **60** (1988) 917. J. Polchinski and Y. Cai, Nucl. Phys. **B296** (1988) 91. Also, N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 176, where the absence of regulator-related ambiguities in SO(32) type I string amplitudes was first clarified.

- [23] S. Chaudhuri, *Path Integral Evaluation of Dbrane Amplitudes*, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 106007; *Ultraviolet Limit of Open String Theory*, JHEP 9908:003 (1999).
- [24] S. Shenker, *Another Length Scale for String Theory?*, hep-th/9509132.
- [25] R. Nepomechie, *Magnetic Monopoles from Antisymmetric Tensor Gauge Fields*, Phys. Rev. **D31** (1985) 1921. C. Teitelboim, *Monopoles of Higher Rank*, Phys. Lett. **B167** (1986) 63.
- [26] J. Polchinski, *TASI Lectures on Dbranes*, hep-th/9611050, and in Sec. 5 of hep-th/9602052. E. Gimon and J. Polchinski, *Consistency Conditions for Orientifolds and D-Manifolds*, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 1667.
- [27] M. Douglas and B. Grinstein, *Dilaton Tadpole for the Open String*, Phys. Lett. **B183** (1987) 552, Erratum-ibid:187B:442, 1987. J. Dai and J. Polchinski, *The Decay of Macroscopic Fundamental Strings*, Phys. Lett. **B220** (1989) 387. J. Polchinski, *The Phase of the Sum over Spheres*, Phys. Lett. **B219** (1989) 251.
- [28] M. Green and J. Schwarz, *Anomaly Cancellation in Supersymmetric D=10 Gauge Theory and Superstring Theory*, Phys. Lett. **B149** (1984) 117; *Infinity Cancellations in SO(32) Superstring Theory*, **B151** (1985) 21. M. Green, J. Harvey, and G. Moore, *I-brane Inflow and Anomalous Couplings on Dbranes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) 47.
- [29] J. A. Schwarz and E. Witten, *Anomaly Analysis of Brane-Antibrane Systems*, JHEP 0103 (2001) 032.
- [30] M. Green, J. Schwarz, and E. Witten. *Superstring Theory*, Vols. I & II (Cambridge) 1987.
- [31] N. Berkovits, *ICTP Lectures on Covariant Quantization of the Superstring*, hep-th/0209059, is a detailed review.
- [32] O. Alvarez, *Theory of Strings with Boundaries: Fluctuations, Topology and Quantum Geometry*, Nucl. Phys. **B216** (1983) 125; *Static Potential in String Models*, Phys. Rev. **D24** (1981) 440.
- [33] A. Cohen, G. Moore, P. Nelson, and J. Polchinski, *An Off-shell Propagator for String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B267** (1986) 143.
- [34] S. Chaudhuri, Y. Chen, and E. Novak, *Pair Correlation Function of Wilson Loops*, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 026004.
- [35] S. Chaudhuri and E. Novak, *Supersymmetric Pair Correlation Function of Wilson Loops*, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 046002.
- [36] S. Chaudhuri and E. Novak, *Effective String Tension and Renormalizability: String Theory in a Noncommutative Space*, JHEP 0008:027 (2000).
- [37] S. Chaudhuri, *The Power of Worldsheets: Applications and Prospects*, talk given at the Tohwa International Symposium in String Theory, Fukuoka (Japan), Jul 2001. hep-th/0110086.

- [38] H. Kawai, D. Lewellen, J. A. Schwartz, and S.-H. H. Tye, *The Spin Structure Construction of String Models and Multiloop Modular Invariance*, Nucl. Phys. **B299** (1988) 431.
- [39] S. Chaudhuri, S.W. Chung, G. Hockney, and J. Lykken, *String Consistency for Unified Model Building*, Nucl. Phys. **B456** (1995) 89.
- [40] M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi, and A. Sagnotti, Nucl. Phys. **B376** (1991) 365. Reviewed in M. Bianchi, *A Note on Toroidal Compactifications of the Type I Superstring and Other Vacuum Configurations with 16 Supercharges*, Nucl. Phys. **B528** (1998) 73.
- [41] M. Douglas, D. Kabat, P. Pouliot, and S. Shenker, *Dbranes and Short Distances in String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B485** (1997) 85.
- [42] B. Greene, D. Morrison, A. Strominger, *Black Hole Condensation and the Unification of String Vacua*, Nucl. Phys. **B451** (1995) 109.
- [43] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Volumes I & II (Cambridge) 1998.
- [44] M. Dine and N. Seiberg, *Is the Superstring Weakly Coupled?*, Phys. Lett. **B162** (1985) 299.
- [45] E. Witten, *Cosmic Superstrings*, Phys. Lett. **B153** (1985) 243. For a recent summary of the status of cosmic strings in M theory, see E. Copeland, R. Myers, and J. Polchinski, *F and D Strings in Flux Compactifications*, hep-th/0312067.
- [46] A. Dabholkar, G. Gibbons, J. Harvey, and F. Ruiz-Ruiz, *Superstrings and Solitons*, Nucl. Phys. **B340** (1990) 33.
- [47] J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *New Vacua for Type II String Theory*, Phys. Lett. **B388** (1996) 736.
- [48] A. Strominger, *Open p-branes*, Phys. Lett. **B383** (1996) 44.
- [49] A. Hanany and E. Witten, *Type IIB Superstrings, BPS Monopoles, and 3-D Gauge Dynamics*, Nucl. Phys. **B492** (1997) 152.
- [50] C. Bachas and M. Green, *On the Anomalous Creation of Branes*, hep-th/9705074. G. Ferretti, and I. Klebanov, *Creation of Fundamental Strings by Crossing Dbranes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1984. O. Bergmann, M. Gaberdiel, and G. Lifschytz, *Branes, Orientifolds, and the Creation of Elementary Strings*, Nucl. Phys. **B509** (1998) 194; *String Creation and Heterotic-Type I' Duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B524** (1998) 524. The distinction between string creation in brane-antibrane and brane-brane crossings is discussed in T. Kitao, N. Ohta, and J. -Ge Zhao, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 68.
- [51] E. Bergshoeff, C. Hull and T. Ortin, *Duality in the Type II Superstring Effective Action*, Nucl Phys. **B451** (1995) 547. C. Hull, *Massive String Theories from M-theory and F-theory*, JHEP 9811 (1998) 027.
- [52] E. Bergshoeff, M. De Roo, M. Green, G. Papadopoulos, P. Townsend, *Duality of type II 7-branes and 8-branes*, Nucl. Phys. **B470** 113.

- [53] B. Janssen, P. Meessen, and T. Ortin, *The D8brane Tied Up: String and Brane Solutions in Massive Type IIA Supergravity*, Phys. Lett. **B453** (1999) 229.
- [54] M. Massar and J. Troost, *D0-D8-F1 in Massive IIA SUGRA*, Phys. Lett. **B458** (1999) 283.
- [55] A. Chamblin, M. J. Perry, and H. S. Reall, *Non-BPS D8branes and Dynamic Domain Walls in Massive IIA Supergravities*, JHEP 9909 (1999) 014, hep-th/9908047.
- [56] R. Bousso and A. Chamblin, *Open Inflation from Non-singular Instantons: Wrapping the Universe with a Membrane*, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 063504, hep-th/9805167.
- [57] S. Chaudhuri, *Confinement and the Short Type I' Flux Tube*, Nucl. Phys. **B591** (2000) 243, hep-th/0007056.
- [58] R. Bousso and J. Polchinski, *Quantization of Four-form Fluxes and Dynamical Neutralization of the Cosmological Constant*, hep-th/0004134.
- [59] J. Feng, J. March-Russell, S. Sethi, F. Wilczek, *Saltatory Relaxation of the Cosmological Constant*, Nucl. Phys. **B602** (2001) 307.
- [60] S. Chaudhuri, *A Proposal for Lowering the Coupling Unification Scale*, Phys. Lett. **B546** (2002) 108, hep-th/0203058.
- [61] See, for example, S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and S. Trivedi, *de Sitter Vacua in String Theory*, Phys. Rev. **D68** (2003) 046005. S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister, and S. Trivedi, *Towards Inflation in String Theory*, JCAP 0301 (2003) 013, and references within.
- [62] E. Bergshoeff, U. Gran, R. Linares, M. Nielsen, and D. Roest, *Domain Walls and the Creation of Strings*, hep-th/0303253.
- [63] G. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Particle Creation*, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2738.
- [64] S. Coleman, *Fate of the False Vacuum: Semiclassical Theory*, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2929. S. Coleman and F. De Luccia, *Gravitational Effects of Vacuum Decay*, Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 3305.