

Radiative corrections to the Chern-Simons term at finite temperature in the noncommutative Chern-Simons-Higgs model

L. C. T. de Brito, M. Gomes, Silvana Perez, and A. J. da Silva

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,
Caixa Postal 66318, 05315-970, São Paulo - SP, Brazil[†]

Abstract

The one-loop radiative correction to the Chern-Simons coefficient is computed in noncommutative Chern-Simons-Higgs model at zero and at finite temperature. At high temperature, we show that the static limit of this correction is proportional to $T \log T$, in contrast with the commutative case, where it goes like T . Our results are analytic functions of the noncommutative parameter.

Also at Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Pará, Caixa Postal 479, 66075-110, Belém, PA, Brazil; e-mail: silperez@ufpa.br

[†]Electronic address: lcbrito, mgomes, silperez, ajasilva@fma.ifusp.br

I. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of the radiative corrections to the Chern-Simons coefficient has stimulated considerable interest in the recent years [1]. These studies pointed out that the well known nonrenormalization theorem [2] may become invalid outside perturbation or even perturbatively whenever infrared singularities occur. Typical of the last possibility are situations which potentially modify the long distance behavior of the relevant models. Examples in this direction are the breakdown of some continuous symmetry, thermal effects and the possible noncommutativity of the underlying space. In this work we are going to focus on the changes in the Chern-Simons coefficient due to thermal effects and the noncommutativity of the space.

The appearance of noncommutative coordinates has an old history [3] but gained impetus more recently, mainly due to its connection with string theory [4]. One peculiar aspect of these theories is the infrared/ultraviolet (IR/UV) mixing [5], i. e., the presence of infrared singularities even in theories without massless fields. Such behavior may be ameliorated in some supersymmetric models [6]. The absence of IR/UV mixing has also been verified for the pure $U(n)$ Chern-Simons model which actually seems to be a free theory [7].

At finite temperature, many features of noncommutativity have been examined and applied to different field theoretical models [8]. One of the motivations for the studies in noncommutative Quantum Field Theory (QFT) is to understand how the thermal properties of physical systems are affected by the noncommutativity. At finite temperature, it is known that for small momenta the amplitudes are, in general, not well behaved and this feature is understood in terms of the new structure introduced by the temperature, the velocity of the heat bath [9]. On the other hand, in a noncommutative manifold, the new structure is the θ tensor, which measures the noncommutativity of the space, and it also leads to an infrared nonanalytic behavior, at least at zero temperature. As argued in [10], unless for some special limits, in noncommutative finite temperature QFT there are many difficulties to evaluate amplitudes in a closed form. Thus, to simplify matters, those authors in particular have considered a generalization of the hard thermal loop limit, which involves the noncommutative parameter.

In a noncommutative manifold, it will appear trigonometric factors and, because of them, the amplitudes are separated in two parts, the planar and nonplanar ones. The first of them

is proportional to the corresponding amplitude in the commutative case. The effects coming from the noncommutativity of the space can be extracted from the nonplanar contribution. In this paper we will study the corrections to the Chern-Simons coefficient at finite temperature arising from the one-loop contributions to the gauge field two point function. To fix the notation, we first consider a commutative manifold. In [11], it was shown that, in the Chern-Simons-Higgs model in the spontaneously broken phase, the coefficient increases like T in the static limit (in that work this result was extracted as a particular limit of the Maxwell-Chern-Simons-Higgs model). Here, we are going to extend this study to the noncommutative case and prove that it increases like $T \log T$ in this limit. As expected, although we are considering the Abelian model, because of the noncommutativity, there will be strong resemblances with the nonabelian theory.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II the noncommutative version of the Chern-Simons-Higgs model in the broken phase is presented. Section III contains the one loop corrections to the Chern-Simons coefficient in both commutative as well as noncommutative case and at finite temperature in the imaginary time formalism. The zero temperature results are obtained as consequence of this evaluation. Finally, in Section IV the conclusions are presented.

II. NONCOMMUTATIVE CHERN-SIMONS-HIGGS MODEL

Noncommutative quantum field theories are defined in a manifold where the coordinates do not commute among themselves. Rather, the commutator between two position operators is postulated to be

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i \theta_{\mu\nu}; \quad (1)$$

where $\theta_{\mu\nu}$ is an antisymmetric matrix, which commutes with the \hat{x} 's. The algebra of operators in such a kind of manifold has extensively been studied [12], and many properties are known (see [13] for a review). For example, due to the Wigner-Moyal correspondence, instead of working with functions of the above noncommutative coordinates, one may use ordinary functions of commutative variables embodied with the so called Moyal product, defined as

$$f(x) \star g(x) = e^{i\hbar \partial_x^{(2)} \partial_x^{(1)} \partial_x^{(2)}} f(x + \frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_x) g(x + \frac{\hbar}{2} \partial_x) \Big|_{\hbar=0} \quad (2)$$

Using this definition, one can study quantum field theories in a noncommutative space, by replacing the standard pointwise product of fields by the Moyal one. For simplicity, in this paper we shall keep $\theta_{0i} = 0$.

Let us consider the Chern-Simons-Higgs model in a noncommutative space defined by the Lagrangian density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) + \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu \\ & + (\mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (\mathcal{D}_\mu \Phi) - \frac{m^2}{4} \Phi^\dagger \Phi - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2; \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu + i A_\mu$. The above Lagrangian is invariant under the infinitesimal gauge transformation

$$\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \alpha;$$

$$\delta \Phi = i A_\mu \Phi + i \partial_\mu \alpha \Phi - i A_\mu \Phi \partial_\mu \alpha \quad (4)$$

In the spontaneously broken phase, $\hbar v \neq 0$, one can choose the decomposition $\Phi = v + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i \eta)$ and rewrite Eq. (3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) + \frac{2i}{3} A_\mu A_\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu + \frac{m^2}{2} A_\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \eta)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} \eta^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu \phi + \partial_\mu \eta) + i \left[\frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu \phi - \partial_\mu \eta) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} A_\mu A_\mu + \frac{p_-}{2} v + i \left[\frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu \phi - \partial_\mu \eta) \right] \\ & - \frac{p_- v}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu \phi - \partial_\mu \eta) \right] - \frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + i \left[\frac{1}{2} A_\mu (\partial_\mu \phi - \partial_\mu \eta) \right] \right)^2; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where we have chosen the R gauge, specified by the gauge fixing Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A_\mu)^2 + \frac{p_-}{2} v^2; \quad (6)$$

which has the merit of canceling the nondiagonal terms in the quadratic part of the model. We have also defined

$$m^2 = 2v^2; \quad m^2 = v^2; \quad m^2 = m^2; \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, the notations $[;]$ and $f;g$ stand, respectively, for the commutator and anticommutator using the Moyal product.

To complete the Lagrangian of the model, one has to add to Eq. (5) the contribution of the Faddeev-Popov ghost field given by

$$L_{FP} = \frac{1}{2} c \partial^2 c + \frac{i}{4} (c A - \partial c A - \partial c c) + i v c c; \quad (8)$$

where c and c are the ghost fields.

The propagator for the gauge field is

$$D(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 - M^2} m^2 g + p p \frac{m^2}{p^2 + m^2} + i p \frac{1}{2}; \quad (9)$$

where $M^2 = \frac{m^2}{2}$. The propagators for the other fields are the standard ones ($D(p) = i/(p^2 - m^2)$, $D = i/(p^2 - m^2)$ and $D_c = \frac{i}{p^2}$). These propagators are not affected by the noncommutativity.

At finite temperature and using the imaginary time formalism, the gauge propagator is ($\beta = 1/T$ in the Euclidean space)

$$D(p) = \frac{1}{p^2 + M^2} m^2 + p p \frac{m^2}{p^2 + m^2} + p \frac{1}{2}; \quad (10)$$

with $p = (p^0; \mathbf{p}) = (2\pi nT; \mathbf{p})$.

III. ONE-LOOP RESULTS

In this section we will compute the one-loop radiative correction to the Chern-Simons coefficient of the above model in both commutative and noncommutative cases at finite temperature in the imaginary time formalism.

A . C o m m u t a t i v e c a s e

Let us start by evaluating the parity violating part of the polarization tensor in the commutative case. There is only one diagram , Fig. 1a, to evaluate. At one loop, the ghost field does not contribute to the parity violating part, as can be seen from L_{FP} . Thus, we have

$$\Gamma_{\text{odd}}^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{8v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2k}{(k^2 + M^2)^2} \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{[(p-k)^2 + m^2]} : \quad (11)$$

The consideration of the static limit, where $p_0 = 0$ and $|p|$ is small, yields

$$\Gamma_{0i}^{\mu\nu}(p_0 = 0) = \frac{8v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2k}{(k_0^2 + w_M^2)^2} \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k_0^2 + w^2(p)} : \quad (12)$$

Note that, in the static limit, Γ_{ij} vanishes due to the antisymmetry of the integrand in Eq. (11). We have also introduced the notation $w_M^2 = K^2 + M^2$ and $w^2(p) = (p-K)^2 + m^2$. Using that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_0^2 + (p-K)^2 + m^2} &= \frac{1}{k_0^2 + w^2} + \frac{2K \cdot p}{(k_0^2 + w^2)^2} + O(p^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_0^2 + w^2} - \frac{2K \cdot p}{w_M^2} \frac{1}{k_0^2 + w^2} + O(p^2); \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $w = w(0)$, we write Eq. (12) for small external momentum as

$$\Gamma_{0i}^{\mu\nu}(p_0 = 0) = \frac{8v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2k}{(k_0^2 + w^2)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2K \cdot p}{w_M^2} \right) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k_0^2 + w^2} + O(p^2) : \quad (14)$$

After that, we evaluate the sum in k_0 through the use of

$$\sum_{n=-1}^{n=X+1} f(n) = \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{X^0}{[f(z) \cot(\pi/z)]}; \quad (15)$$

where the prime in the sum indicates that it runs only over the residues of the poles of $f(z)$. Thus, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{0i}^{\mu\nu}(p_0 = 0) &= \frac{4v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2k}{(k_0^2 + w^2)^2} \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k_0^2 + w^2} \\ &\quad \left(1 - \frac{2K \cdot p}{w_M^2} \right) \frac{1}{m^2 - M^2} \frac{\coth(\pi w_M/2)}{w_M} \frac{\coth(\pi w/2)}{w} : \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Using that $\coth(x/2) = 1 + \frac{2}{e^x - 1}$, we can separate the zero temperature from the finite temperature part. The first one can be computed straightforwardly, giving

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T = 0) &= \frac{4v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon_{ij} k^j \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \left(\frac{1}{m^2} - \frac{1}{M^2} \right) \frac{1}{w_M} - \frac{1}{w} \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{j^j (1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{M})}{(1 + \frac{m}{M})^2} \epsilon_{ij} p^j : \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The finite temperature part of Eq. (16) is more complicated but can be expressed in terms of polylogarithm functions as

$$\Pi_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T) = \frac{4v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon_{ij} p^j \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \left(\frac{f(M; m; T)}{m^2 - M^2} \right) \quad (18)$$

where [14]

$$f(M; m; T) = T^3 \text{PolyLog}(3; e^{-M/T}) + T^2 M \text{PolyLog}(2; e^{-M/T}) - [M^2 - m^2] \quad (19)$$

and

$$\text{PolyLog}(b; a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n^b} : \quad (20)$$

In the high temperature limit [15], the above expression furnishes

$$\Pi_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T) = \frac{4v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \epsilon_{ij} p^j T \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \left(\frac{m^2 \log(M - m)}{m^2 - M^2} \right) : \quad (21)$$

The results (17) and (21) agree with the corresponding ones in the Chem-Simons-Higgs limit of the Maxwell-Chern-Simons-Higgs model calculated in [11], as commented in the introduction.

B. Noncommutative case

Next, let us determine the parity violating part of the polarization tensor in the noncommutative Chem-Simons-Higgs model. In this case, both diagrams in Fig. 1 contribute. As in the commutative case, at one-loop the ghost field does not give a parity violating part correction to the polarization tensor. The diagram in Fig. 1a gives

$$a_{\text{odd}}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{8v^2}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^Z \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{k \cos^2(\mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{p})}{(k^2 + M^2) [(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k})^2 + m^2]}; \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{p}|$. Again, we will consider only the static limit. Therefore, we have

$$a_{0i}(\mathbf{p}_0 = 0) = \frac{4v^2}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^Z \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1 + \cos(2\mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{p})}{k_0^2 + w_M^2} \frac{1}{k_0^2 + w^2(\mathbf{p})} \epsilon_{0ij} k^j; \quad (23)$$

To compute this integral, let us note that, while the denominator has only sums of \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{p} and so can be expanded for small values of \mathbf{p} , as we have done in the commutative case, the numerator has the product $\epsilon_{ij} k^i p^j$ which is not necessarily small for small \mathbf{p} . To avoid possible problems, we will proceed as follows. We define a new variable $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}^i = \epsilon_{ij} p^j$ such that $\mathbf{p} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{p}} = 0$. Afterwards, we evaluate the sum, by expanding the denominator for small \mathbf{p} as in Eq. (13) and then use Eq. (15), yielding

$$a_{0i}(\mathbf{p}_0 = 0) = \frac{2v^2}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^Z \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1 + \cos(2\mathbf{k} \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{p}})}{k_0^2 + w_M^2} \epsilon_{0ij} k^j \left[\frac{1}{k_0^2 + m^2} + \frac{1}{m^2 - M^2} \frac{\coth(w_M/2)}{w_M} - \frac{\coth(w/2)}{w} \right]; \quad (24)$$

where A_{0i} and B_{0i} are respectively the planar and non-planar contributions to $a_{0i}(\mathbf{p}_0 = 0)$; the $\mathbf{p} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow 0$ limit will be taken shortly.

The planar part is exactly one half of Eq. (16). Thus, going to the non-planar contribution, from Eq. (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{0i} &= \frac{2v^2}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^Z \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \cos(2\mathbf{k} \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \epsilon_{0ij} k^j \left[\frac{1}{k_0^2 + m^2} + \frac{1}{m^2 - M^2} \frac{\coth(w_M/2)}{w_M} - \frac{\coth(w/2)}{w} \right] \\ &= \frac{4v^2}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{k}}^Z \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \cos(\mathbf{k} \wedge \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \epsilon_{0ij} k^j \tilde{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \left[\frac{1}{k_0^2 + m^2} + \frac{1}{m^2 - M^2} \frac{\coth(w_M/2)}{w_M} - \frac{\coth(w/2)}{w} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{4v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\rho_{ij} p^j}{\omega^2} \int d^2k \frac{\cos(k \cdot p) (k \cdot p)}{p^2} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - M^2} \frac{\coth(\omega_M/2)}{\omega_M} \frac{\coth(\omega/2)}{\omega} ; \quad (25)$$

where we have used polar coordinates such that

$$k = \frac{(k \cdot p)p}{p^2} + \frac{(k \cdot \tilde{p})\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}^2} ; \quad (26)$$

The angular part of the above integral can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions, as follows

$$B_{0i} = \frac{2v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\rho_{ij} p^j}{\omega^2} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - M^2} \int_0^{\infty} dk \frac{k^2}{p} J_1(kp) \frac{\coth(\omega_M/2)}{\omega_M} \frac{\coth(\omega/2)}{\omega} : \quad (27)$$

These integrals are evaluated in the appendix A. Here, we will only write the final results. So, we have:

$$B_{0i}(T=0) = \frac{2v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_{ij} p^j \frac{1}{\omega^2 - M^2} M \left(\frac{e^{pM}}{(p)^2} + \frac{e^{pM}}{(p)^3} \right) (M \neq m) \quad (28)$$

and

$$B_{0i}(T) = \frac{4v^2}{(2\pi)^2} \rho_{ij} p^j \frac{1}{\omega^2 - M^2} \left[M T^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-M \sqrt{p^2 + n^2}}}{n^2 + \frac{1}{2}} + T^3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-M \sqrt{p^2 + n^2}}}{(n^2 + \frac{1}{2})^{3/2}} \right] (M \neq m) ; \quad (29)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{p^2 + M^2}$. Note the apparent singularity in Eq. (28) at $p = 0$; this kind of structure is the well known infrared singularity, characteristic of noncommutative field theories [5]. Here, however, as we will shortly see, this singularity cancels in the final result. Next, let us check if these results are consistent with the $T = 0$ limit, namely, if in this limit we obtain the other half of Eqs. (17) and (21), so that the commutative result is recovered. For the zero temperature part, expanding Eq. (28) for small values of p , we get

$$B_{0i}(T=0) = \rho_{ij} p^j \left[\frac{1}{3} \frac{p^j (1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{M})}{(1 + \frac{m}{M})^2} - \frac{v^2}{4} p^2 + O(p^2) \right] ; \quad (30)$$

When $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain one half of Eq. (17), completing the expected result for the commutative case.

Now, looking at the temperature dependent part, Eq. (29), when $\epsilon = 0$, we found that the result is again proportional to function defined in Eq. (19):

$$B_{0i}(T) = \frac{4v^2}{\epsilon m^2} \epsilon_{ij} p^j \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \frac{f(M; m; T)}{m^2 M^2} : \quad (31)$$

From the asymptotic behavior of the polylogarithm functions [14], we found that in the high temperature limit, Eq. (31) behaves as

$$B_{0i}(T) \sim \frac{2v^2}{\epsilon m^2} \epsilon_{ij} p^j T \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \frac{m^2 \log(M=m)}{m^2 M^2} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} (p_0 = 0; T) : \quad (32)$$

Once we have obtained the commutative limit, we consider next the first correction, which in this case is proportional to ϵ^2 . The computations for this term are similar to the ones that we have done so far, and finally, we can write the result, up to ϵ^4 , as

$$B_{0i}(T) = \frac{2v^2}{\epsilon m^2} \epsilon_{ij} p^j T \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \frac{1}{m^2 M^2} \\ m^2 \log(M=m) + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{8T^2} M^4 \log(M=T) - m^4 \log(m=T) + O(\epsilon^4) : \quad (33)$$

Before proceeding to the computation of the remaining diagram, it is worth noting that both the temperature independent as well as temperature dependent parts of B_{0i} , Eqs. (30) and (33), are analytic functions of p : no infrared singularities shows up.

Evaluating the graph in Fig. 1b, we have

$$b_i(p) = 2 \epsilon^2 \int^Z \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\sin^2(k \wedge p) D(k+p) D(k)}{(2\pi)^2} \quad (34)$$

and considering only the parity violating part of this diagram, we obtain

$$b_i(p) = 2 \epsilon^2 \int^Z \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\sin^2(k \wedge p)}{(m^4 + 2k^2)(m^4 + 2(k+p)^2)} \\ m^2 p \quad (m^2 - 2)k \quad (k+p) \frac{k}{k^2 + m^2} - \frac{(k+p)}{(k+p)^2 + m^2} \quad (35)$$

The integrals that appear in this expression are similar to the ones we have computed before. So, without going into details, for $p \neq 0$ and $p \neq 0$, the calculation gives

$$b_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T = 0) = \frac{1}{16} \frac{3m^2}{T^2} p_{0ij} p^j \quad (36)$$

and, for high temperature limit and also small p and p

$$b_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T) = \frac{p_{0ij} p^j}{16} \frac{1}{T} (2m^2 \log(M=T) + (m^2 - M^2) F) \quad (37)$$

where

$$F = \frac{2m^4 (m^2 + M^2)}{(m^2 - M^2)^3} \log\left(\frac{p}{m=T}\right) - \frac{M^2 (M^4 + 4m^2 M^2 - 3m^4)}{(m^2 - M^2)^3} \log(M=T): \quad (38)$$

It is worth noting here the gauge dependence of b_0 . Naively, we could expect that there would be no gauge dependence at this point of the calculation, as it happens in the commutative case. But, this graph gives a purely noncommutative contribution to b_0 , which will disappear when θ goes to zero. Therefore, there is no relation between it and what is found in the commutative case. We recall that a dependence on the gauge parameter was also obtained in the commutative studies of the nonabelian gauge models [16].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The graphs computed in section III suffice to obtain the one-loop contribution to the parity violating part of the polarization tensor and so, to the Chern-Simons coefficient. Collecting the results coming from a and b , we obtain the zero and finite temperature contributions to N^C . So,

$$N^C_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T = 0) = \frac{1}{T^2} p_{0ij} p^j \left(\frac{2j}{3} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{m}{M})^2} + \frac{p}{4} (m^2 - \frac{3}{4} M^2) \right) \quad (39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N^C_{0i}(p_0 = 0; T) = & \frac{1}{T^2} p_{0ij} p^j T \\ & + \frac{2}{2V^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} \left(\frac{2m^2 \log(M=T) + \frac{p}{8} (M^4 \log(M=T) - m^4 \log(m=T))}{m^2 - M^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{p}{16} (2m^2 \log(M=T) + (m^2 - M^2) F) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

From Eqs. (39) and (40) we can see that the commutativity can be recovered straightforwardly, by considering the limit $\mu \rightarrow 0$. In other words, there are no Seiberg poles appearing in this limit.

Furthermore, looking at the finite temperature result, Eq. (40), in the static limit we can extract the leading behavior in the high temperature regime and first order correction in the noncommutative parameter as being proportional to $T \log T$. So, our calculation provides a logarithm correction to the result obtained in [11] for the commutative version of the same model.

Concerning gauge invariance it should be pointed out that previous studies of noncommutative gauge theories have shown that, as it happens in the commutative non-Abelian CS model, invariance under large gauge transformation requires that the CS coefficient be quantized [17]. At finite temperature, the verification of this property for the effective action is a highly non trivial task which probably involves all orders of perturbation and also other (nonlocal) interactions. Even in the commutative setting, invariance under large non-Abelian gauge transformation was verified only in simplified situations [18]. In our calculations large gauge transformation is certainly partially broken. In spite of that, our result should still be a good approximation for small couplings.

Possible extensions of this work are the analysis of the parity even part of the gauge field two point function and the investigation of the properties of its effective action under small gauge transformation. This last study requires the calculation of the gauge field three and four point functions. Such work is in progress.

After the completion of this work, we became aware of reference [19], where similar studies were made for Maxwell gauge field coupled with Majorana fermions.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP).

APPENDIX A : USEFUL INTEGRALS.

In this appendix, we will compute the integrals that appear in Eq. (27). Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} I(M) &= \int_0^{\infty} k^2 dk J_1(k\rho) \frac{\coth(w_M/2)}{w_M} : \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} k^2 dk J_1(k\rho) \frac{1}{w_M} + 2 \int_0^{\infty} k^2 dk J_1(k\rho) \frac{n_B(w_M)}{w_M} : \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

Using that

$$\frac{1}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-nx} \quad (A2)$$

we can rewrite $I(M)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} I(M) &= \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\rho}{x^2 + M^2} J_1\left[\rho \sqrt{x^2 + M^2}\right] \\ &+ T^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{\rho}{x^2 + M^2} J_1\left[\rho \sqrt{x^2 + M^2}\right] e^{-nx} \\ &= M^2 \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{\rho}{y^2 + 1} J_1\left[\frac{\rho}{M} \sqrt{y^2 + 1}\right] \\ &+ M^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{\rho}{y^2 + 1} J_1\left[\frac{\rho}{M} \sqrt{y^2 + 1}\right] e^{-M y n} \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

These integrals can be evaluated using the standard result [20]

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx (x^2 + 1)^{-\frac{r}{2}} e^{-x} J_1\left[\frac{\rho}{M} \sqrt{x^2 + 1}\right] = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2})}{2} (1 + \frac{\rho^2}{M^2})^{-\frac{r-1}{2}} K_{r-1=2}\left(\frac{\rho}{M} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\rho^2}{M^2}}\right) : \quad (A4)$$

Then, it is straightforward to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} I(M) &= M^2 e^{\frac{\rho^2}{M^2}} \left[\frac{1}{\frac{\rho}{M}} + \frac{1}{(\frac{\rho}{M})^2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\rho}{M} T^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-M \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{M^2} + 1}}}{n^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{M^2}} + \frac{\rho}{M} T^3 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-M \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{M^2} + 1}}}{(n^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{M^2})^{3/2}} : \end{aligned} \quad (A5)$$

Note that here we have computed both zero temperature and finite temperature parts together, although in sections II and III they occur separately.

-
- [1] For a recent review, see G. V. Dunne, Aspects of Chern Simons Theory. Lectures at the 1998 Les Houches Summer School Topological Aspects of Low Dimensional Systems, hep-th/9902115.
 - [2] S. Coleman and B. Hill, Phys. Lett. B 159, 184 (1985).
 - [3] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 108, 30 (2002).
 - [4] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP 9909, 032 (1999).
 - [5] Shiraz Minwalla, Mark Van Raamsdonk, Nathan Seiberg JHEP 0008, 008 (2000).
 - [6] H. O. Girotti, M. Gomes, V. O. Rivelles and A. J. da Silva, Nucl. Phys. B 587, 299 (2000);
H. O. Girotti, M. Gomes, A. Yu. Petrov, V. O. Rivelles and A. J. da Silva, Phys. Lett. B 521, 119 (2001).
 - [7] Ashok Das and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, JHEP 0106, 028 (2001).
 - [8] W. Fischler, J. Gomis, E. Gorbatov, A. Kashani-Poor, S. Paban and P. Pouliot, JHEP 05, 024 (2000); K. Landsteiner, E. Lopez and M. H. G. Tytgat, JHEP 09, 027 (2000); G. Arcioni and M. A. Vazquez-Mozo, JHEP 01 028 (2000); F. T. Brandt, Ashok Das, J. Frenkel, D. G. C. McKee, J. C. Taylor, Phys. Rev. D 66, 045011 (2002).
 - [9] P. Arnold, S. Vokos, P. Bedaque and A. Das, Phys. Rev. D 47 4698 (1993); J. I. Kapusta, Nucl. Phys. B 148, 461 (1979).
 - [10] F. T. Brandt, J. Frenkel and D. G. C. McKee, Phys. Rev. D 65 125029 (2002).
 - [11] V. S. Alves, Ashok Das, Gerald V. Dunne and Silvana Perez, Phys. Rev. D 65, 085011 (2002).
 - [12] H. J. Gronwald, Physica (Amsterdam) 12, 405 (1946); H. Weyl, Z. Physik 46, 1 (1949); J. E. Moyal, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 45, 99 (1949); S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen, J. E. Roberts, Commun. Math. Phys. 172, 187 (1995).
 - [13] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys., 73, 977 (2001); R. J. Szabo, hep-th/0109162 (2001); M. Gomes, XI Jorge Andre Swieca Summer School, Sao Paulo, Brazil (2001).
 - [14] Leon Lewin, Digamma function and associated functions. Macdonald (1958).
 - [15] J. I. Kapusta, Finite Temperature Field Theory. Cambridge University Press (1989).

- [16] Robert D .P isarski and Sum athi Rao, Phys.Rev D 32, 2081 (1985); Robert D .P isarski, Phys. Rev.D 35, 664 (1987).
- [17] V . P . Nair and A . P . Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 030403 (2001); M . M . Sheikh-Jabbari, Phys. Lett. B 510, 247 (2001); D . Bak, K . Lee and J H . Park, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 030402 (2001); Guang-Hong Chen and Yong-Shi Wu, Nucl. Phys. B 593, 562 (2001).
- [18] G . Dunne, K . Lee and C . Lu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3434 (1997); S . Deser, L . Griguolo and D . Seminara, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1976 (1997); Phys. Rev. D 57, 7444 (1998) ; Commun. Math. Phys. 197, 443 (1998); hep-th/0212140; C . D . Fosco, G . L . Rossini and F . A . Schaposnik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 1980 (1997); 79, 4296 (E) (1997); F . T . Brandt, Ashok Das and J . Frenkel, Phys. Rev. D 65, 065013 (2002); F . T . Brandt, Ashok Das, J . Frenkel, S . Pereira and J . C . Taylor, *ibid* 64, 065018 (2001).
- [19] B . Chandrasekhar and Prasanta K . Panigrahi, hep-th/0301030.
- [20] I . S . Gradshteyn and M . Ryzhik, Table of Integral, Series and Products. Academic Press, New York, (1980).




FIG . 1: One loop graphs contributing to the parity violating part of the A two point function .