

Explicitly symmetrical treatments of three-body phase space

A.I. Davydychev* and R. Delbourgo[†]

*School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania,
GPO Box 252-21, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia*

Abstract

We derive expressions for three-body phase space that are *explicitly* symmetrical in the masses of the three particles, by three separate methods.

* On leave from Institute for Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russia. Email address: davyd@thep.physik.uni-mainz.de

[†] Email address: bob.delbourgo@utas.edu.au

1 Nature of the problem

When a body of mass $\sqrt{p^2} > m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ decays into three bodies the rate is largely determined by the relativistic phase space for the process. Of course the amplitude for the process will be modulated by the dynamics, including intermediate resonant states, but the principal factor dictating the lifetime of the body is the phase volume. I therefore propose to discuss this process, neglecting all amplitude modulation, *i.e.* ignoring any structure. This is an old chestnut with a venerable ancestry [1]. However there is one outstanding problem with it that has never been properly resolved: namely all *explicit* results so far been derived destroy the symmetry of the phase volume on the three masses m_i .

To explain the difficulty let me first write down the phase volume ρ in D -dimensional space-time. It is given by the expression

$$\rho_{p \rightarrow 1+2+3} = \int \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{p}_1}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_1} \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{p}_2}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_2} \frac{d^{D-1}\mathbf{p}_3}{(2\pi)^{D-1}2E_3} (2\pi)^D \delta^D(p - p_1 - p_2 - p_3). \quad (1)$$

Since the volume element in $(D-1)$ -dimensions is

$$d^{D-1}\Omega = (\sin \theta)^{D-3} d\theta 2\pi^{D/2-1} / \Gamma(D/2 - 1),$$

where θ is the last polar angle, the integral can be reduced to

$$\rho = \frac{\pi^{3/2-3D}}{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)\Gamma(D/2 - 1/2)} \int \int \frac{|\mathbf{p}_1|^{D-3} |\mathbf{p}_2|^{D-3} d|\mathbf{p}_1| d|\mathbf{p}_2|}{2E_1 E_2} (\sin \theta)^{D-4},$$

wherein $\sqrt{p^2} - E_1 - E_2 = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}_1^2 + \mathbf{p}_2^2 + 2|\mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2| \cos \theta + m_3^2}$. Upon changing integration variables to E_1 and E_2 ,

$$\rho = \frac{2^{6-3D} \pi^{3/2-D}}{\Gamma(D/2 - 1)\Gamma(D/2 - 1/2)} \int \int dE_1 dE_2 [-\Delta^2(|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2|, |\mathbf{p}_3|)]^{D/2-2},$$

where $\Delta^2(a, b, c) = a^4 + b^4 + c^4 - 2a^2b^2 - 2b^2c^2 - 2c^2a^2$ is nothing but the Källén function (proportional to the area² of a triangle having sides a, b, c). This can be tidied up further by making use of the Mandelstam variables,

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = p^2 + m_3^2 - 2pE_3, \quad t = (p_2 + p_3)^2, \quad u = (p_3 + p_1)^2,$$

with $s + t + u = p^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2$. Putting it all together,

$$\rho = \frac{(4\pi)^{1-D} (p^2)^{1-D/2}}{2\Gamma(D-2)} \iiint ds dt du \delta(s+t+u-m_1^2-m_2^2-m_3^2-p^2) [\Phi(s, t, u)]^{D/2-2} \theta(\Phi). \quad (2)$$

Here Φ is the Kibble cubic function [2, 3]

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(s, t, u) = & \quad stu - s(m_1^2 m_2^2 + p^2 m_3^2) - t(m_2^2 m_3^2 + p^2 m_1^2) - u(m_3^2 m_1^2 + p^2 m_2^2) \\ & + 2(m_1^2 m_2^2 m_3^2 + p^2 m_1^2 m_2^2 + p^2 m_2^2 m_3^2 + p^2 m_3^2 m_1^2),\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

which is positive in the physical decay region. Like the more complicated expression (1) we see that ρ in (2) is visibly symmetric under particle interchange. In particular in the four-dimensional limit, $\rho_{p \rightarrow 1+2+3}$ is nothing but proportional to the area A_Φ of the phase plot bounded by vanishing of the Kibble cubic (3), $\lim_{D \rightarrow 4} \rho = A_\Phi / (128\pi^3 p^2)$.

The quandary arises when we try to take the next step in (2), for if we happen to eliminate one of the Mandelstam variables (or indeed any linear combination) we are left, for even D , with an elliptic integral that is *not explicitly* symmetrical in the masses, although it must be implicitly so. The standard references [4, 5] in this topic carry out this unsymmetrical step and then the mass symmetry is not at all obvious in the expressions quoted for ρ . One may of course generate a symmetrical form by averaging the unsymmetrical-looking expressions over the three ways of eliminating the Mandelstam variables, but this is “cheating” since each expression on its own should be symmetrical, however opaque this is.

In this presentation I will exhibit three ways of obtaining ρ in an *explicitly* symmetrical manner—there may be others. The first way, described in the next section, comes by massaging known elliptic answers into symmetric form via Jacobi Z functions, using known (textbook) properties. The second way consists in breaking up the phase space integral into sections which, when duly combined, produce a symmetrical answer; it is well suited for working out the behaviour of ρ near threshold [$p^2 \simeq (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2$] or at high energy [$p^2 \gg (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2$]. The third way is to reinterpret ρ as the discontinuity of a sunset Feynman diagram, which is then symmetrically evaluated by Fourier transformation; this provides a terminating expansion for odd D but only an asymptotic expansion for even D .

2 Conversion to symmetrical elliptic form

The standard integral representation for the three-particle phase space reads (see, e.g., in [4, 5])

$$\rho = \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2} \int_{t_2}^{t_3} \frac{dt}{t} \sqrt{(t - t_1)(t - t_2)(t_3 - t)(t_4 - t)}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$t_1 = (m_1 - m_2)^2, \quad t_2 = (m_1 + m_2)^2, \quad t_3 = (p - m_3)^2, \quad t_4 = (p + m_3)^2,$$

so that $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq t_4$. The authors of [5] write the phase space as the imaginary part of a sunset diagram, specifically $\rho = -2\pi \text{Im} T_{123}/(4\pi)^4$.

With the understanding that $p^2 \geq (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2$, and quoting the result from refs. [4, 5], the phase space integral in four dimensions may be written in terms of elliptic functions as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = & \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2 \sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_{++}q_{--} (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + p^2) E(k) \right. \\ & + 4m_1m_2q_{--} \left[(p + m_3)^2 - m_3p + m_1m_2 \right] K(k) \\ & + 8m_1m_2 \left[(m_1^2 + m_2^2)(p^2 + m_3^2) - 2m_1^2m_2^2 - 2m_3^2p^2 \right] \Pi(\alpha_1^2, k) \\ & \left. - 8m_1m_2(p^2 - m_3^2)^2 \Pi(\alpha_2^2, k) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$q_{\pm\pm} \equiv (p \pm m_3)^2 - (m_1 \pm m_2)^2, \quad k \equiv \sqrt{\frac{q_{+-}q_{-+}}{q_{++}q_{--}}}, \quad \alpha_1^2 = \frac{q_{-+}}{q_{--}}, \quad \alpha_2^2 = \frac{(m_1 - m_2)^2 q_{-+}}{(m_1 + m_2)^2 q_{--}}. \quad (6)$$

Above, $K(k)$, $E(k)$ and $\Pi(\alpha^2, k)$ represent the complete elliptic integrals as listed in standard texts [6]. We also note that the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} D_{123} \equiv & \left[p^2 - (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2 \right] \left[p^2 - (-m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2 \right] \\ & \times \left[p^2 - (m_1 - m_2 + m_3)^2 \right] \left[p^2 - (m_1 + m_2 - m_3)^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

occurring in recurrence relations for the sunset diagram (see, e.g., in [10, 9]) can be expressed as

$$D_{123} = q_{++}q_{--}q_{+-}q_{-+}. \quad (8)$$

The products $q_{++}q_{--}$ and $q_{+-}q_{-+}$ (and thus the argument k) are totally symmetric in m_1, m_2, m_3 so the term containing $E(k)$ is also symmetric. The function $K(k)$ is also symmetric, but its coefficient is not symmetric. To summarize, the symmetry with respect to m_1, m_2, m_3 is not explicit, neither in the integral representation (4) nor in the explicit result (5) in terms of elliptic functions.

The lack of symmetry is irrelevant in the equal mass case when eq.(3) reduces to the compact result,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2} \sqrt{(p-m)(p+3m)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (p-m)(p^2+3m^2) E(k_{\text{eq}}) - 4m^2 p K(k_{\text{eq}}) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

with

$$k_{\text{eq}} = \sqrt{\frac{(p+m)^3(p-3m)}{(p-m)^3(p+3m)}}. \quad (10)$$

The real problem is how to express (3) in an explicitly symmetric way. The key to success is to rewrite (3) in terms of Jacobian zeta-functions. We first observe that $0 \leq \alpha_i^2 < k^2$. This corresponds to case III on p. 229 of [6],

$$\Pi(\alpha_i^2, k) = K(k) + \frac{\alpha_i K(k) Z(\beta_i, k)}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_i^2)(k^2 - \alpha_i^2)}}, \quad (11)$$

where $Z(\beta_i, k)$ is the Jacobian zeta-function:

$$K(k) Z(\beta_i, k) = K(k) E(\beta_i, k) - E(k) F(\beta_i, k), \quad \beta_i = \arcsin\left(\frac{\alpha_i}{k}\right). \quad (12)$$

In terms of Jacobi's functions,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = & \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2 \sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_{++} q_{--} (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + p^2) E(k) \right. \\ & - 4m_1 m_2 q_{++} \left[(p - m_3)^2 + m_3 p - m_1 m_2 \right] K(k) \\ & - 2\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}} (m_3^2 - m_2^2) (p^2 - m_1^2) [Z(\beta_1, k) + Z(\beta_2, k)] K(k) \\ & \left. - 2\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}} (m_3^2 - m_1^2) (p^2 - m_2^2) [Z(\beta_1, k) - Z(\beta_2, k)] K(k) \right\}, \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sin \beta_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{q_{++}}{q_{+-}}}, \quad \sin \beta_2 = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \sqrt{\frac{q_{++}}{q_{+-}}}, \\ \cos \beta_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{4m_1 m_2}{q_{+-}}}, \quad \cos \beta_2 = \frac{p + m_3}{m_1 + m_2} \sqrt{\frac{4m_1 m_2}{q_{+-}}}, \\ \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \beta_1} &= \sqrt{\frac{4m_1 m_2}{q_{--}}}, \quad \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \beta_2} = \frac{p - m_3}{m_1 + m_2} \sqrt{\frac{4m_1 m_2}{q_{--}}} \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can use the addition formulae from [6] (p. 34, Eq. (142.01)):

$$Z(\beta_1, k) \pm Z(\beta_2, k) = Z(\varphi_{\pm}, k) \pm k^2 \sin \beta_1 \sin \beta_2 \sin \varphi_{\pm}, \quad (15)$$

where the angles

$$\varphi_{\pm} = 2 \arctan \left[\frac{\sin \beta_1 \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \beta_2} \pm \sin \beta_2 \sqrt{1 - k^2 \sin^2 \beta_1}}{\cos \beta_1 + \cos \beta_2} \right] \quad (16)$$

can also be represented as

$$\varphi_+ \equiv \varphi_2 = \arctan \left[\frac{\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}}{2(pm_2 - m_1m_3)} \right], \quad \varphi_- \equiv \varphi_1 = \arctan \left[\frac{\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}}{2(pm_1 - m_2m_3)} \right]. \quad (17)$$

In this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2 \sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}} & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} q_{++}q_{--} (m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + p^2) E(k) \right. \\ & - 2 \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 K(k) \left[(m_1^2 + m_2^2)(p^4 + m_3^4) \right. \\ & \left. + 2m_1m_2m_3p(p^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2 + m_3^2) - (m_1^4 + m_2^4)(p^2 + m_3^2) \right] \\ & - 2\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}(m_3^2 - m_1^2)(p^2 - m_2^2)K(k)Z(\varphi_1, k) \\ & \left. - 2\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}(m_3^2 - m_2^2)(p^2 - m_1^2)K(k)Z(\varphi_2, k) \right\}, \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\varphi_1 = \arcsin \left(\frac{\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}}{p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2 - m_3^2} \right), \quad \varphi_2 = \arcsin \left(\frac{\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}}{p^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_3^2} \right). \quad (19)$$

It is now appropriate to introduce the third angle,

$$\varphi_3 = \arcsin \left(\frac{\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}}{p^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2 + m_3^2} \right) = \arctan \left[\frac{\sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}}{2(pm_3 - m_1m_2)} \right], \quad (20)$$

because formula (141.01) on p. 33 of [6], provides the *remarkable* symmetric connection

$$Z(\varphi_1, k) + Z(\varphi_2, k) + Z(\varphi_3, k) = k^2 \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3. \quad (21)$$

Using it, we can represent the four-dimensional phase space in a beautifully symmetric form,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2} & \left\{ \sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}(p^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2) [E(k) - K(k)] + \right. \\ & K(k) \left[(p^2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2 - m_3^2)^2 Z(\varphi_1, k) + (p^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_3^2)^2 Z(\varphi_2, k) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + (p^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2 + m_3^2)^2 Z(\varphi_3, k) \right] \right\}, \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

or, if one prefers,

$$\rho = \frac{1}{128\pi^3 p^2} \left\{ \sqrt{q_{++}q_{--}}(p^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2) [E(k) - K(k)] \right. \\ \left. + q_{++}q_{--}K(k) \left[\frac{Z(\varphi_1, k)}{\sin^2 \varphi_1} + \frac{Z(\varphi_2, k)}{\sin^2 \varphi_2} + \frac{Z(\varphi_3, k)}{\sin^2 \varphi_3} \right] \right\}. \quad (23)$$

In the equal-mass limit,

$$\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 \equiv \varphi_{\text{eq}}, \quad \sin \varphi_{\text{eq}} = \frac{\sqrt{(p-m)(p+3m)}}{p+m}, \quad \cos \varphi_{\text{eq}} = \frac{2m}{p+m}. \quad (24)$$

In this case, using Eq. (21) we get

$$Z(\varphi_{\text{eq}}, k_{\text{eq}}) = \frac{1}{3} k_{\text{eq}}^2 \sin^3 \varphi_{\text{eq}}, \quad (25)$$

with k_{eq} defined in Eq. (10). In this way, we reproduce Eq. (9). We note that Eq. (25) yields a reduction formula of $Z(\varphi, k)$, for a special case when

$$k = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2 \cos \varphi}}{\sin \varphi (1 - \cos \varphi)}.$$

3 Phase cut treatment

In the familiar triangular (s, t, u) Mandelstam plot, the Dalitz [1] plot is bounded by the Kibble cubic curve $\Phi(s, t, u) = 0$ and the shape is generally given by a distorted triangle. The closer we approach threshold $p \equiv \sqrt{p^2} \simeq m_1 + m_2 + m_3$, the closer does the (smaller) shape approach a circle [7], whereas the bigger is p^2 the larger and more triangular does the shape become, since the masses become less significant. In fact when one of the masses vanishes, two of the ‘corners’ in the phase space boundary cease being rounded and become sharp.

In Figure 1 we have drawn a typical Dalitz plot with all masses nonzero, since that represents the most general case. Referring to it, we may pick out certain important locations: points O where the Mandelstam variables assume their minimum values, points P where they take on their maximum values. Other points labelled by Q represents the six locations where the tangents to the cubic at the various O_i and P_j intersect. It is worth mentioning that the P extremal points correspond to one particle being at rest and the other two taking up the available energy, while the O points correspond

to two particles moving as a clump (with no relative momentum) and the third taking up the energy slack.

The challenge is to integrate over the interior of the region $\Phi \geq 0$, without destroying the mass symmetry. This must necessarily be done by *not* eliminating one of the Mandelstam variables or any linear combination, through the constraint $s+t+u = p^2+m_1^2+m_2^2+m_3^2$. There are a number of ways of doing this; we have found it most convenient to carry out the areal integration by evaluating the hexagonal area bounded by the six Q intersection points and subtracting off the six contained areal sectors OPQ , because these sectors become easily estimated expressions in the limiting cases when p^2 is large or near threshold. The areal sectors themselves can be written in terms of elliptic functions in general for any p^2 and their sum total has of necessity a nice symmetrical form. (Alternatively one could work out the area of $O_sP_uO_tP_sO_uP_t$ and add the areas between the arcs and chords of OP , but we have found this to be more unwieldy.)

We begin by quoting the area for the (in general irregular) hexagon bounded by $Q_{su}Q_{st}Q_{ts}Q_{tu}Q_{ut}Q_{us}$, namely

$$A_Q = (p - m_1 - m_2 - m_3)^2 [(p + m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2 - 4(m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2)]/2. \quad (26)$$

This is clearly symmetrical. Next we have to evaluate the six sectors A_{OPQ} . Consider $A_{O_tP_sQ_{su}}$ because the other sectors are easily found by permutation of labels as we shall see. Here it pays temporarily to cast s and $r = t - u$ as independent variables; the boundary $\Phi = 0$ is given by one or other of the branches

$$r_{\pm}(s) = [(p^2 - m_3^2)(m_2^2 - m_1^2) \pm \sqrt{((p+m_3)^2 - s)((p-m_3)^2 - s)(s - (m_1+m_2)^2)(s - (m_1-m_2)^2)}]/s.$$

(Actually the phase space area is nothing but $\int_{(m_1+m_2)^2}^{(p-m_3)^2} [r_+(s) - r_-(s)] ds/2$, which reduces to Almgren's formula.) Now the sector $O_tP_sQ_{su}$ has area

$$A_{O_tP_sQ_{su}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_{O_t}}^{s_{P_s}} ds \int_{r_L(s)}^{r_-(s)} dr = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_{O_t}}^{(p-m_3)^2} [r_-(s) - r_L(s)] ds, \quad (27)$$

where $r_L(s) = s - p^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + 4m_2m_3$ and $s_{O_t} = (p^2m_2 + m_1^2m_3)/(m_2 + m_3) - m_2m_3$. The adjoining sector's area can likewise be determined to be

$$A_{O_uP_sQ_{st}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{s_{O_u}}^{(p-m_3)^2} [r_R(s) - r_+(s)] ds, \quad (28)$$

where $r_R(s) = -s + p^2 + m_2^2 - m_1^2 - m_3^2 - 4m_1m_3$ and $s_{O_u} = (p^2m_1 + m_2^2m_3)/(m_1 + m_3) - m_1m_3$. By simple inspection one can check that this

is nothing but $A_{O_t P_s Q_{su}}$ with the masses m_1, m_2 swapped. Thus $A_{O_u P_s Q_{st}} + A_{O_t P_s Q_{su}}$ is a symmetrical function of m_1 and m_2 ; the areas of the remaining sectors $A_{O_u P_t Q_{ts}} + A_{O_s P_t Q_{tu}}$ and $A_{O_s P_u Q_{ut}} + A_{O_t P_u Q_{us}}$ are found by cyclic permutation of the mass labels. This clarifies why the final $D = 4$ result,

$$A_\Phi = A_Q - [A_{O_u P_s Q_{st}} + A_{O_t P_s Q_{su}} + A_{O_u P_t Q_{ts}} + A_{O_s P_t Q_{tu}} + A_{O_s P_u Q_{ut}} + A_{O_t P_u Q_{us}}], \quad (29)$$

is bound to be an explicitly symmetric function of the masses. We note that the same procedure can be applied to other even D cases, when the sectorial integrals have to be weighted by $\Phi^{D/2-2}$, as well as the hexagonal sector A_Q .

It only remains to work out the area of one of the sectors, say $A_{O_u P_s Q_{st}}$, in a useful manner. Although it is evident that part of

$$A_{O_t P_s Q_{su}} = \int_{s_{O_u}}^{(p-m_3)^2} \frac{ds}{2s} \left[(p^2 - m_3^2)(m_2^2 - m_1^2) - s(s - p^2 - m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + 4m_2 m_3) - \sqrt{((p+m_3)^2 - s)((p-m_3)^2 - s)(s - (m_1+m_2)^2)(s - (m_1-m_2)^2)} \right], \quad (30)$$

can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions (4) tabulated in the standard texts [6], that observation does not greatly aid one's understanding. Instead we have found it more helpful to make a pair of substitutions which lend themselves to asymptotic expansions. Thus at high energy we let $s = (p - m_3)^2 - 4m_3 p y$, whereupon the resulting integral becomes directly amenable to series expansion in powers of m/p using algebraic computer packages like Mathematica or Maple. For $p^2 \gg (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2$ we obtain the leading terms,

$$A_{O_t P_s Q_{su}} \simeq m_3^2(p^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2) \left[\ln \left(\frac{p}{m_2 + m_3} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \right] - \frac{m_2 m_3^2 p^2}{12(m_2 + m_3)^4} [12m_3^3 + 23m_2 m_3^2 + 20m_2^2 m_3 + 6m_2^3] + \mathcal{O}(p). \quad (31)$$

Near threshold, set instead $p = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + Q$ and then substitute $s = (m_1 + m_2 + Q)^2 - 2(m_1 + m_2)QZ$. Expanding both integrand and limit in powers of Q one arrives at (note that θ_{13} below is $\pi/2 - \theta_2$ in the notation of [8, 9])

$$A_{O_t P_s Q_{su}} \simeq Q^2 [2m_1 m_2 - 2\sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_3 (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)} \theta_{13}] + Q^3 \left[\frac{m_1 + m_3}{4} + \frac{m_1 m_3}{m_1 + m_2} - \frac{(m_1 + m_2)(m_2 + m_3)(m_3 + m_1) + 4m_1 m_2 m_3}{4\sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_3 (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}} \theta_{13} + \frac{m_1 m_3 (m_1 - m_3)}{6(m_1 + m_2)(m_2 + m_3)} - \frac{2m_1^3 m_3^3 (m_2 - m_1)(m_1 + m_2 + 2m_3)}{3(m_1 + m_2)^4 (m_2 + m_3)^3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(Q^4), \quad (32)$$

where $\theta_{13} = \arcsin \left(\sqrt{\frac{m_1 m_3}{(m_1 + m_2)(m_2 + m_3)}} \right)$, etc. These angles are half the vertex angles of a triangle possessing sides of length $(m_1 + m_2)$, $(m_2 + m_3)$, $(m_3 + m_1)$ and they arise in other contexts [8, 9]; thus $\theta_{12} + \theta_{23} + \theta_{31} = \pi/2$. Anyhow, the other areas OPQ are obtained from (28) and (29) by index interchange and permutation. Subtracting them all from the total hexagonal area A_Q , we end up with

$$A_\Phi = \frac{p^4}{2} - p^2 \left[(m_1^2 + m_2^2) \ln \left(\frac{p}{m_1 + m_2} \right) + (m_2^2 + m_3^2) \ln \left(\frac{p}{m_2 + m_3} \right) + (m_3^2 + m_1^2) \ln \left(\frac{p}{m_3 + m_1} \right) \right], \quad (33)$$

to leading order at high energy and

$$A_\Phi = 2\pi \sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_3 (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)} Q^2 + \left[\frac{\pi \{ (m_1 + m_2)(m_2 + m_3)(m_3 + m_1) + 4m_1 m_2 m_3 \}}{4\sqrt{m_1 m_2 m_3 (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)}} - (X_{123} + X_{231} + X_{312}) \right] Q^3 \quad (34)$$

to order Q^3 at low energy, where

$$X_{ijk} \equiv \frac{2m_i^3 m_j^3}{3(m_i + m_k)^3 (m_j + m_k)^3} \left[(2m_i + m_j + m_k) \frac{m_k - m_j}{m_k + m_j} + (i \leftrightarrow j) \right].$$

In the equal mass case, simplifications occur in many of the expressions and one may proceed to further terms in the expansion without undue difficulty; expressions (33),(34) amplify and simplify to

$$A_\Phi = \frac{p^4}{2} - \frac{131m^2 p^2}{32} + 3m^2(2m^2 - p^2) \ln \left(\frac{p^2}{4m^2} \right) + \frac{105m^4}{8} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{m^2}{p^2} \right), \quad (35)$$

at high energy, whereas at low energy,

$$A_\Phi = \frac{6\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \left(1 + \frac{Q}{2m} \right) m^2 Q^2 + \frac{7(81625 - 14892\sqrt{3}\pi)}{7776} Q^4 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{Q^5}{m} \right). \quad (36)$$

We think that this procedure is more enlightening than quoting a highly complicated but symmetrical combination of elliptic functions, and then taking the respective limits—in any case we run into trouble (infinities) associated with logarithms and arguments (moduli) of the elliptic functions if we follow this route.

4 Sunset Avenue

Finally let me take the third route. It rests upon the observation that the “sunset” Feynman self-energy diagram, containing N internal lines, viz.

$$I_N(p) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \int \frac{i d^D p / (2\pi)^D}{p_i^2 - m_i^2 + i\epsilon} \right) (2\pi)^D \delta^D(p - \sum_{i=1}^N p_i) \quad (37)$$

has a branch point singularity at the threshold $p^2 = (m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n)^2$ and that the discontinuity across the branch cut is nothing but N -particle phase space [12]: $\rho_{p \rightarrow 1+2+\dots+N} = 2\Im I_N(p)$. So it is sufficient to work out I_N , which is nothing but the Fourier transform of the product of N causal propagators,

$$I_N(p) = \int d^D x \exp(-ip \cdot x) \prod_{i=1}^N [i\Delta_c(x|m_i)]. \quad (38)$$

Now in D -dimensions [11], letting $r \equiv \sqrt{-x^2 + i\epsilon}$,

$$i\Delta_c(x|m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} \left(\frac{m}{r} \right)^{D/2-1} K_{D/2-1}(mr) \stackrel{m \gg 0}{\sim} \frac{\Gamma(D/2-1)}{4\pi^{D/2} r^{D-2}}.$$

Therefore, for the particular case of three bodies, the integral may be reduced, after Euclidean rotation ($q^2 = -p^2$), to

$$I_3(p) = - \left(\frac{m_1 m_2 m_3}{q} \right)^{\frac{D}{2}-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{dr r^3}{(2\pi r)^D} J_{\frac{D}{2}-1}(qr) K_{\frac{D}{2}-1}(m_1 r) K_{\frac{D}{2}-1}(m_2 r) K_{\frac{D}{2}-1}(m_3 r). \quad (39)$$

Notwithstanding that this is an integral over *four* Bessel function, it is at least symmetric over the masses. Notice that we have not yet descended to 4-D because there is an intrinsic infinity in the sunset integral, although it is absent for the imaginary part.

Balanced progress is achievable through the asymptotic expansion,

$$K_\nu(z) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \left[1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8z} + \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1)(4\nu^2 - 9)}{2!(8z)^2} + \dots \right].$$

(Incidentally for odd D , the series terminates and is not asymptotic.) e.g.

$$K_1(m_1 r) K_2(m_2 r) K_3(m_3 r) \simeq \frac{\pi^{3/2} e^{-(m_1+m_2+m_3)r}}{(8m_1 m_2 m_3 r^3)^{1/2}} \left[1 + \frac{3}{8r} \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_3} \right) + \dots \right]$$

Since the integral of a Bessel function with an exponential and power is known to lead to a hypergeometric ${}_2F_1$ function, we end up in D -dimensions with a leading expression like

$$I_3(p) \propto \frac{\Gamma((3-D)/2)}{(\sigma\varpi)^{(3-D)/2}} F\left((3-D)/4, (5-D)/4; D/2; p^2/\sigma^2\right) + \dots \quad (40)$$

where $\varpi \equiv m_1 m_2 m_3$, $\sigma = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$. Eq. (40) makes good sense because the hypergeometric function $F(a, b; c; z)$ has a branch cut running from $z = 1$ to ∞ with a discontinuity,

$$\Im F(a, b; c; z) = -\frac{\pi \Gamma(c)(z-1)^{c-a-b} \theta(z-1)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(1-a-b-c)} F(c-a, c-b; c-a-b+1; 1-z). \quad (41)$$

Taking the discontinuity of (40), and setting $D = 4$, we arrive at the 3-body threshold expansion,

$$\rho_3(p) \propto \frac{(p^2 - \sigma^2)^2}{\varpi^{-1/2} \sigma^{7/2}} F(9/4, 7/4; 3; 1 - p^2/\sigma^2) + \mathcal{O}((p^2 - \sigma^2)^3). \quad (42)$$

The higher order terms in (42) can be obtained systematically also. Since the Q -value enters as $p^2 - (m_1 + m_2 + m_3)^2 = Q(2m_1 + 2m_2 + 2m_3 + Q)$, we recognize that near threshold, $\rho_3 \propto Q^2$, in agreement with Eq. (34). This is a particular case of the general D -dimensional result,

$$\rho_N(p) \propto Q^{(N-1)D/2 - (N+1)/2}$$

for N -body phase space near threshold.

One final comment. If a few of the particles are massless, it is best to input directly the massless propagators rather than attempt to take the zero-mass limit of the above massive formulae, because that is quite tricky.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge financial support from the Australian Research Council under grant number A00000780.

References

- [1] R.H. Dalitz, Phil. Mag. **44**, 1068 (1953)
- [2] T.W.B. Kibble, Phys. Rev. **117**, 1159 (1960).
- [3] E. Byckling and K. Kajantie, *Particle Kinematics*, John Wiley and Sons, 1973.
- [4] B. Almgren, Arkiv för Physik **38**, 161 (1968).
- [5] S. Bauberger, F. Berends, M. Böhm and M. Buza, Nucl. Phys. **B434**, 383 (1995).

- [6] P.F. Byrd and M.D. Friedman, *Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Physicists*, Springer, 1954.
- [7] E. Fabri, Nuovo. Cim. **11**, 479 (1954).
- [8] F.A. Berends, A.I. Davydychev and N.I. Ussyukina, Phys. Lett. **B434**, 95 (1998).
- [9] A.I. Davydychev and V.A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. **B554**, 391 (1999).
- [10] O.V. Tarasov, Nucl. Phys. **B502**, 455 (1997).
- [11] D.A. Akyeampong and R. Delbourgo, Nuovo. Cim. **19A**, 141 (1974); E. Mendels, Nuovo. Cim. **45A**, 87 (1978).
- [12] S. Groote, J.G. Körner and A.A. Pivovarov, Nucl. Phys. **B542**, 515 (1999) and Eur. Phys. J. **C11**, 279 (1999); S. Groote and A.A Pivovarov, Nucl. Phys. **B580**, 459 (2000); A. Bashir, R. Delbourgo and M.L. Roberts, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 5553 (2001).




Figure 1: Principal extremal points of the Dalitz-Kibble plot