

Lagrangian formulation of the massless higher integer spin fields in the AdS background

I.L. Buchbinder^{a*}, A. Pashnev^{b†} and M. Tsulaia^{b,c‡}

^a*Department Theoretical Physics, Tomsk State Pedagogical University
Tomsk, 634041, Russia*

^b*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR
Dubna, 141980, Russia*

^c*The Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Georgian Academy of Sciences,
Tbilisi, 380077, Georgia*

Abstract

We construct the Lagrangian description of arbitrary integer higher spin massless fields on the background of the D – dimensional anti – de Sitter space. The operator constraints in auxiliary Fock space corresponding to subsidiary conditions for irreducible unitary massless representations of the D – dimensional anti – de Sitter group are formulated. Unlike flat space, the algebra of the constraints turns out to be nonlinear and analogous to the W_3 algebra. We construct the nilpotent BRST charge for this nonlinear algebra and derive on its basis the correct field content and gauge invariant action describing the consistent arbitrary integer spin field dynamics in AdS space.

*E-mail: joseph@tspu.edu.ru

†E-mail: pashnev@thsun1.jinr.dubna.su

‡E-mail: tsulaia@thsun1.jinr.ru

1 Introduction

Construction of interacting higher spin field models is one of the most intriguing problems of field theory. The Lagrangian formulation for free higher spin fields is known for a long time although some aspects of such fields excite interest so far. A general problem of higher spin fields interacting with each other or coupled to an arbitrary background is still open.

At present, one of the main stimulus to study interacting higher spin fields comes from the string theory. As is well known, any string model contains an infinite tower of massive higher spin particles in its spectrum (see e.g. [1]) which, in principle, can be treated as massless at the energies above the string scale. The string interaction implies interactions of all these particles among themselves. As a result, an interacting field theory, formally corresponding to some string model, should in principle contain an infinite tower of higher spin fields as well (see [2] for a review of some features of interacting massless higher spin fields). The question that naturally arises is whether one can construct a field theory which describes higher spin fields interacting among themselves or with some gravitational background and contain a finite number of fields at the same time. An example of such theories is given by field models in an external gravitational field with constant curvature.

The higher spin field theories coupled to a constant curvature gravitational background have recently attracted much attention and their various aspects were investigated in detail [9] – [12]. It has been pointed out that the fields on the D -dimensional anti – de Sitter (AdS_D) background possess remarkable properties. The AdS manifold is compatible with supersymmetry (see e.g. [13] for $D = 4$), AdS space is a most natural vacuum where the interacting massless higher spin fields can consistently propagate [3], AdS space also plays the important role in the modern string/brane theory [14].

There exist two different approaches for the description of higher spins both in the flat and in AdS spaces. The first one uses the totally symmetric fields or fields with the another symmetry properly described by the corresponding Young tableaux. For the time being the Lagrangians for totally symmetric fields carrying the arbitrary spin s were known only in the AdS_4 space for nonsupersymmetric [15] and supersymmetric [16] (see, e.g., [13] for the description of supersymmetry on AdS_4 space) theories.

The alternative approach, so called gauge approach, uses for the description of higher spins the geometrical objects like vielbeins and connections [4] – [5], [17]. This approach formulated in terms of differential forms turned out to be very efficient also for the construction of the theory of free higher spin fields in AdS_4 [4] and in AdS_D [5] spaces. Both approaches mentioned above are equivalent to each other. However, in contrast with previous one, the gauge approach includes a number of auxiliary fields having the geometrical origin. These auxiliary fields should be eliminated in order to obtain the final Lagrangians in terms of totally symmetric fields. In the gauge approach however the final Lagrangians for the theories of the higher spin

fields on AdS_D have not been constructed in explicit form though, the Lagrangians containing all (still non – eliminated) geometrical auxiliary fields were given.

In a recent paper [12], the Lagrangian description of the massive higher spin fields propagating through the AdS_D background was also obtained. However, the corresponding action for the massless higher spin fields cannot be directly derived from the action for massive higher spin fields simply setting the mass parameter m equal to zero. The reason is that in general the structure of off – shell constraints on the basic fields in a massless theory differs from a massive one and cannot be obtained at the formal limit $m = 0$ in a massive theory.

For the fields belonging to arbitrary massless irreducible representations of AdS_D the completely self – consistent equations of motion and gauge transformations were formulated in [6] – [7]. Therefore it seems tempting to explicitly construct the corresponding *Lagrangians* from which the above equations of motion follow.

In the present paper, we develop the method of the BRST-construction, earlier used in [18] – [22] for the description of free higher spin fields in Minkowski space-time (see also [23] for different treatments and [24] for the Euclidean version), to derive the Lagrangians describing the interaction of massless arbitrary higher spin s fields with the AdS_D background which has also an arbitrary number D of space – time dimensions. The advantage of this method is that it automatically leads to the Lagrangians which possess necessary gauge invariance required to remove nonphysical states – the ghost from the spectrum. Moreover, if one excludes all auxiliary fields using the BRST gauge invariance and thus completely fixes the BRST gauge, the field which is left with will obey the correct physical conditions. We show that the BRST approach, developed for the Lagrangian description of various representations of the Poincare group in the flat space – time, admits the corresponding deformation to AdS_D spaces as well. Below, we consider massless representations of the AdS_D group when the corresponding Young tableaux describing the irreducible representations of the flat space massless little group $O(D - 2)$ has only one row. For this kind of representations, the effect observed in [7], of decomposition of irreducible representations of the AdS_D group into several irreducible representations of $O(D - 2)$, when taking the flat limit, does not take place and we are left with only one physical field in both flat and curved space – time. As it could be expected, the resulting Lagrangian is simultaneously the AdS_D generalization of the flat – space Lagrangian for the massless irreducible higher spin fields [25] as well as D – dimensional generalization of the AdS_4 Lagrangian of [15].

One should note the paper [26] in which the BRST construction was applied to the description of massless higher spins in AdS_D space –time. Our approach differs from the one given in this paper in some points. First of all, we describe AdS_D space – time as space parameterized by D intrinsic coordinates with the metric of constant curvature. Such a consideration greatly simplifies all construction in comparison with the embedding of AdS_D space in $D + 1$ flat space with the help of embedding constraints [26] which should be verified at some steps in addition to

the general procedure. Besides, from the very beginning we include in the BRST charge the constraints responsible for the tracelessness of the basic tensor field. The resulting BRST charge is nilpotent and provides the possibility of deriving step by step a final gauge invariant action for the basic field on the basis of the universal general procedure.

The paper is organized as follows.

In Sec 2., we derive nilpotent BRST charge for the system of constraints which include the generalized d’Alambertian, transversality and tracelessness operators in the AdS_D space – time.

In Sec 3., we explicitly show that after the partial BRST gauge fixing one is left with only one physical field which correctly describes the massless irreducible higher spin fields in the AdS_D background and present the corresponding gauge invariant Lagrangian.

The procedure of the partial BRST gauge fixing is carried out in Appendix.

2 System of constraints and BRST charge

In order to construct field theoretical Lagrangians describing the interaction of massless higher spin fields with the AdS_D background, it is convenient to introduce the auxiliary Fock space spanned by the creation and annihilation operators a_α^+ and a_α

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta^+] = \eta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, \dots, 1), \quad (2.1)$$

where α and β are the tangent space indices ($\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$), raised and lowered by the tangent space metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$ and its inverse $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ in the usual way.

An arbitrary vector in this Fock space thus has the form

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_n \Phi_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n}(x) a^{\alpha_1+} a^{\alpha_2+} \dots a^{\alpha_n+} |0\rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Obviously fields $\Phi_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\dots\alpha_n}(x)$ are automatically symmetric with respect to the permutation of their indices, therefore, representations of the corresponding flat space little group $O(d-2)$ are characterized by the Young tableaux with one row.

The irreducible massless representations of the AdS_D group are realized in the space of totally symmetric, transversal and traceless fields [6]. Hence, in order to provide these conditions we have to impose some subsidiary constraints on the vectors (2.2).

The transversality condition on the basic field $|\Phi\rangle$ is taken in the form

$$L_1|\Phi\rangle = a^\mu p_\mu |\Phi\rangle = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

where $a_\mu^+ = e_\mu^\alpha a_\alpha^+$, $a_\mu = e_\mu^\alpha a_\alpha$, the operator p_μ is the covariant derivative [10]-[6]

$$p_\mu = \partial_\mu + \omega_\mu^{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha^+ a_\beta \quad (2.4)$$

while e_μ^α and $\omega_\mu^{\alpha\beta}$ are the vielbein and spin connection, respectively.

The tracelessness condition of the field $|\Phi\rangle$ can be expressed in terms of the operator $L_2 = \frac{1}{2}a^\mu a_\mu$ as

$$L_2|\Phi\rangle = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

Our goal is to explicitly construct the Lagrangian from which the tracelessness, transversality and the mass – shell conditions follow as a consequence of the equations of motion. We have already mentioned in the introduction that the method of the BRST constructions seems to be most appropriate and straightforward for these purposes.

To obtain a complete set of constraints required for the construction of the nilpotent hermitian BRST -charge, we introduce the operators $L_1^+ = a^{\mu+}p_\mu$, $L_2^+ = \frac{1}{2}a^{\mu+}a_\mu^+$ which are conjugate to the operators L_1 and L_2 with respect to the invariant integration measure $d^Dx\sqrt{-g}$ as well as the covariant d’Alambertian¹

$$L_0 = g^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu p_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda p_\lambda) = p^\alpha p_\alpha + \omega_\alpha^{\alpha\beta} p_\beta \quad (2.6)$$

where the last equality in (2.6) is due to the torsionlessness of the AdS_D metric. Using (2.1) and the identities

$$[p_\mu, p_\nu] = -r(a_\mu^+ a_\nu - a_\nu^+ a_\mu), \quad (2.7)$$

$$[p_\mu, a^{\nu+}] = -\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu a^{\lambda+}, \quad [p_\mu, a^\nu] = -\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu a^\lambda \quad (2.8)$$

with the parameter r being related to the inverse radius of AdS_D space λ via $r = \lambda^2$, one can straightforwardly check that the commutation relations among the operators L_1^\pm, L_2^\pm , and the modified d’Alambertian

$$\tilde{L}_0 \equiv L_0 + r(-D + \frac{D^2}{4} + 4L_2^+ L_2 - G_0 G_0 + 2G_0), \quad (2.9)$$

where $G_0 = a^{\mu+}a_\mu + \frac{D}{2}$, form the closed algebra [7]

$$\begin{aligned} [L_1^+, L_1] &= -\tilde{L}_0, & [L_1^+, L_2] &= -L_1, & [L_2^+, L_1] &= -L_1^+, \\ [\tilde{L}_0, L_1] &= -2rL_1 + 4rG_0L_1 - 8rL_1^+L_2, \\ [L_1^+, \tilde{L}_0] &= -2rL_1^+ + 4rL_1^+G_0 - 8rL_2^+L_1, \\ [G_0, L_1] &= -L_1, & [L_1^+, G_0] &= -L_1^+, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

with the $SO(2, 1)$ subalgebra

$$[G_0, L_2] = -2L_2, \quad [L_2^+, G_0] = -2L_2^+, \quad [L_2^+, L_2] = -G_0. \quad (2.11)$$

¹In order to justify the inclusion of a mass -shell operator in the form (2.6) into the total set of constraints, let us note that the operator L_0 applied to the state (2.2) acts as a usual covariant d’Alambertian $\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu$ on the tensor $\Phi_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n}(x)$. As we shall see below, the “actual” mass - shell operator, which annihilates the physical state, can be constructed on the basis of the above operator L_0 (see eqs. (2.9) and (3.6)).

After the modification of the d’Alambertian given by (2.9) the nonlinear algebra (2.10) can be considered as deformation of the corresponding Lie algebra for the flat – space case with the parameter r being a deformation parameter. Note the proportionality of the last term in (2.9) to the Kasimir operator of the $SO(2,1)$ linear subalgebra (2.11). This modification of the d’Alambertian leads to a correct physical spectrum, as we shall see below.

The next step is to construct the nilpotent BRST charge, corresponding to the system (2.10) – (2.11), in order to use the method of construction of BRST invariant Lagrangians [18] – [22], which automatically leads to the proper equations to be satisfied by physical fields along with the required gauge invariance needed to remove the nonphysical states from the spectrum. However, the problem of constructing the corresponding nilpotent BRST -charge for this system of operators under consideration is twofold. First, as it was in the case of flat space – time, the operator G_0 , which is the Cartan generator of the algebra (2.11), is strictly positive and it cannot annihilate any nonzero state in the Fock space and, therefore, has to be excluded from the total set of constraints. This means that we have second class constraints in our system and, therefore, the standard method of BRST construction does not work. The general prescription for finding the nilpotent BRST charges for the system of constraints, which form an arbitrary Lie algebra, but an arbitrary number of the Cartan generators are excluded from the total set of constraints due to some physical reasons, was given in [27]. The essential point of this method is that though actually one deals with the system of second class constraints, before exclusion of the Cartan generators, one formally has the system of first class constraints, and the corresponding “bare” BRST charge can be written straightforwardly. Further, the “actual” BRST charge can be obtained from the “bare” one after special similarity transformations.

The second problem arising when we investigate the system (2.10) – (2.11) is that the operators under consideration form the nonlinear algebra. Note also that for higher spin fields propagating in AdS_D space it is impossible to omit the L_2^\pm operators, thus simplifying the system, and study just reducible representations of the AdS_D group, since the operators L_2^\pm appear automatically in the right – hand side of the commutators containing the operators L_1^\pm .

The nonlinear character of algebra (2.10) inherent in AdS space is a new aspect in deriving higher spin Lagrangians within the framework of the BRST – method. The structure of the BRST charge, especially the form of its terms trilinear in ghosts, is analogous to the construction for nonlinear W – algebras [28].

Below, we construct the nilpotent BRST charge for the system (2.10) – (2.11) appropriately generalizing the method of such constructions developed for an arbitrary Lie algebra ([22], [27]). Let us first introduce the anticommuting ghost variables $\eta_0, \eta_1^+, \eta_1, \eta_2^+, \eta_2, \eta_G$ which correspond to the operators $\tilde{L}_0, L_1, L_1^+, L_2, L_2^+$ and G_0 and have the ghost number equal to 1, as well as the corresponding momenta $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_1^+, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_2^+, \mathcal{P}_G$ with the ghost number -1 obeying the anticommutation

relations

$$\{\eta_0, \mathcal{P}_0\} = \{\eta_2, \mathcal{P}_2^+\} = \{\eta_2^+, \mathcal{P}_2\} = \{\eta_2, \mathcal{P}_2^+\} = \{\eta_2^+, \mathcal{P}_2\} = \{\eta_G, \mathcal{P}_G\} = 1. \quad (2.12)$$

Then we build the auxiliary representations of the generators of the $SO(2,1)$ algebra (2.11) in terms of the additional creation and annihilation operators b^+ and b , $[b, b^+] = 1$ in terms of the Verma module. Namely, introduce the vector in the space of Verma module $|n\rangle = (L_2^+)^n |0\rangle_V$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $L_2 |0\rangle_V = 0$ and the corresponding vector in the Fock space

$$|n\rangle = (b^+)^n |0\rangle, \quad b|0\rangle = 0.$$

Mapping the vector in the space of Verma module to the vector in the Fock space one obtains the representations of the generators of algebra $SO(2,1)$ in terms of the variables b^+ and b

$$L_{2.aux}^+ = b^+, \quad G_{0.aux} = 2b^+b + h, \quad L_{2.aux} = (b^+b + h)b \quad (2.13)$$

where h is a parameter. Then defining, the operators $\tilde{L}_2^\pm = L_2^\pm + L_{2.aux}^\pm$, $\tilde{G}_0 = G_0 + G_{0.aux}$, we construct the corresponding “bare” nilpotent BRST charge for the total system of constraints (2.10)–(2.11) adapting the procedure developed for nonlinear W -algebras in [28]

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 = & \eta_0(\tilde{L}_0 - 4rG_{0.aux} + 6r) + \eta_1 L_1^+ + \eta_1^+ L_1 - \eta_2(L_2^+ + L_{2.aux}^+) - \eta_2^+(L_2 + L_{2.aux}) + \\ & \eta_G(G_0 + 2b^+b + h - 3 + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 + 2\mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2 + 2\eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_2) - \\ & (\eta_2^+ \eta_2 + 4r\eta_1^+ \eta_1 + 8r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_2 + 8r\eta_0 \eta_2^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1) \mathcal{P}_G - \\ & \eta_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P} - \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_2 + \eta_2^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1 + 2r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 + 2r\eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 - \\ & 4r\eta_0(\eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 G_0 + 2\eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2 L_1^+ + 2\mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_1 L_1 + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 G_0 + 2\eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ L_{2.aux} - 2\eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1 L_{2.aux}^+) + \\ & 4r\eta_0(2\eta_1^+ \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1 - 2\eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2 \mathcal{P}_1 - 2\eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_2 + 2\mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_1 \eta_2). \end{aligned}$$

In order to avoid the condition of the type $G_0|\Phi\rangle = 0$ one has to get rid of the variables η_G and \mathcal{P}_G in the BRST charge (2.14), keeping its nilpotency property at the same time. This can be done by replacing the parameter h by the expression $-(G_0 + 2b^+b - 3 + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 + 2\mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2 + 2\eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_2)$ and then simply omitting the dependence on \mathcal{P}_G in the BRST charge [27]. The “reduced” BRST charge thus takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q = & \eta_0(\tilde{L}_0 + 4rG_0 - 6r) - \eta_2(L_2^+ + b^+) + \eta_1 L_1^+ + \eta_1^+ L_1 - \eta_2^+(L_2 - G_0 b + b - b^+ b b) - \\ & \eta_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P} - \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_2 + \eta_2^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1 + 2r\eta_0(3\eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 + 4\eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_2 + 3\mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 + 4\mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2) + \\ & 8r\eta_0 \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1 b^+ - 4r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1 G_0 - 8r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2 L_1^+ + 8r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ (G_0 b - b) - \\ & 4r\eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 G_0 - 8r\eta_0 \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_1 L_1 - \eta_1^+ \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1 b + \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 b + 2\eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2 b + \\ & 8r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_2 + 4r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_1 - 8r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2 \mathcal{P}_1 - \\ & 8r\eta_0 \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \eta_1 \mathcal{P}_2 + 8r\eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_1 \eta_2 - 16r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2 b + \\ & 8r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ b^+ b b + 16r\eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ \eta_2 b. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Finally, to restore the hermiticity property of the BRST charge, which is lost due to the form of auxiliary representations (2.13), one has to define the scalar product in the Fock space as $\langle \Phi_1 | K | \Phi_2 \rangle$ where K is a nondegenerate kernel operator

$$K = Z^+ Z, \quad Z = \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} (L_2^+)^n |0\rangle_V \langle 0| (b)^n \quad (2.15)$$

satisfying the property $KQ = Q^+ K$. Note also that $K = 1$ in the b^+ independent sector of the Fock space. Thus, the construction of the nilpotent BRST charge with an appropriate hermiticity condition is fully completed.

3 The Lagrangian

The procedure of constructing the BRST invariant Lagrangian is realized analogously to [18] – [22]. After defining the ghost vacuum

$$\eta_1 |0\rangle_{gh} = \eta_2 |0\rangle_{gh} = \mathcal{P}_1 |0\rangle_{gh} = \mathcal{P}_2 |0\rangle_{gh} = \mathcal{P} |0\rangle_{gh} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

we can write the BRST invariant Lagrangian in the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \int d\eta_0 \langle \chi | KQ | \chi \rangle, \quad (3.2)$$

By the construction this Lagrangian is invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\delta | \chi \rangle = Q | \Lambda \rangle \quad (3.3)$$

with a vector $|\Lambda\rangle$ treated as a parameter of gauge transformations. The state vector $|\chi\rangle$ and a parameter of gauge transformations $|\Lambda\rangle$ have the ghost numbers 0 and -1 , respectively, and, therefore, can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} | \chi \rangle = & |S_0\rangle + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ |S_1\rangle + \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ |S_2\rangle + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ |S_3\rangle + \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ |S_4\rangle + \eta_1^+ \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ |S_5\rangle \\ & + \eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ |R_1\rangle + \eta_0 \mathcal{P}_2^+ |R_2\rangle + \eta_0 \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ |R_3\rangle + \eta_0 \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ |R_4\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$| \Lambda \rangle = \mathcal{P}_1^+ | \lambda_1 \rangle + \mathcal{P}_2^+ | \lambda_2 \rangle + \eta_1^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ | \lambda_3 \rangle + \eta_2^+ \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ | \lambda_4 \rangle + \eta_0 \mathcal{P}_1^+ \mathcal{P}_2^+ | \lambda_5 \rangle \quad (3.5)$$

with the vectors $|S_i\rangle$, $|R_i\rangle$ and $|\lambda_i\rangle$ having ghost number zero and depending only on bosonic creation operators a_μ^+ , b^+ .

Before proceeding further let us note that in the absence of the b^+ dependence and other fields in expression (3.4), the BRST charge (2.14), acting on the $|S_0\rangle$ component of the state vector $|\chi\rangle$, forms the mass – shell condition

$$(\tilde{L}_0 + 4rG_0 - 6r)|S_0\rangle = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

along with the transversality and tracelessness conditions (2.3), (2.5). This means that if one excludes all auxiliary fields such as $|S_i\rangle$, ($i = 1, \dots, 5$) and $|R_i\rangle$, ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) and the b^+ dependence of $|S_0\rangle$ using the BRST gauge invariance with the parameters $|\lambda_i\rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), the physical field $|S_0\rangle$ being transversal and traceless, will satisfy the proper mass – shell condition obtained in [6]. Therefore, the “actual” mass – shell conditions (3.6) obtained from the requirement of nilpotency of the BRST charge for the system (2.10) – (2.11) completely coincides with the one derived from the analysis of the unitary representations of the AdS_D group [6]. At this point one should note that the inclusion of any additional terms in the mass-shell condition (3.6) will inevitably destroy the nilpotency of the BRST charge. The restoration of this crucial property will lead to the necessity of introducing additional creation operators in the considered Fock space (see, for example, [20]), thus explaining the appearance of additional fields in the Lagrangians for *massive* higher spins.

Writing explicitly the equations of motion following from the Lagrangian (3.2) and the BRST gauge transformations (3.3) in terms of the component fields $|S_i\rangle$, $|A_i\rangle$ and $|\lambda_i\rangle$, one can prove in complete analogy with [21] that all fields except the b^+ independent part of $|S_0\rangle$ can be gauged away, the last one being subject to the conditions (2.3), (2.5), (3.6). As we have mentioned above, the latter fact guarantees that the Lagrangian (3.2) correctly describes the unitary representations of the AdS_D group [6].

On the other hand, it is possible to gauge away only part of the auxiliary fields using the BRST gauge invariance, thus not fixing completely the values of gauge parameters $|\lambda_i\rangle$. The rest of the fields can be expressed via the basic field $|S_0\rangle$ and inserted back into the Lagrangian (3.2). Since we do not fix the gauge parameters completely, the Lagrangian will possess some residual gauge invariance. As was shown in [22], in the case of Minkowski flat space such a line of construction leads straightforwardly to the Fronsdal Lagrangian [25].

Indeed one can prove, following the lines of [22] (see the Appendix), that the vector $|\chi\rangle$ contains the only physical field $|S_0\rangle$ with no b^+ dependence and according to our previous discussion, it will be the basic field $|\Phi\rangle$ (i.e. $|S_0\rangle \equiv |\Phi\rangle$). The other fields can be either excluded using the equations of motion or gauged away. Then, after the partial BRST gauge fixing we arrive at the Lagrangian describing the irreducible massless integer higher spin fields interacting with the AdS_D background

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \langle \Phi | \tilde{L}_0 - L_1^+ L_1 - 2L_2^+ \tilde{L}_0 L_2 + L_2^+ L_1 L_1 + L_1^+ L_1^+ L_2 - L_2^+ L_1^+ L_1 L_2 \\ & - r(6 - 4G_0 + 10L_2^+ L_2 - 4L_2^+ G_0 L_2) | \Phi \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where due to the auxiliary conditions imposed on the fields $|\chi\rangle$ or, equivalently due to the equations of motion (A8) – (A9) with respect to the auxiliary fields, the basic field $|\Phi\rangle$ is double traceless

$$L_2 L_2 |\Phi\rangle = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

The Lagrangian (3.7), as a consequence of equations (3.3) and (A12), is invariant

under the transformations

$$\delta|\Phi\rangle = L_1^+|\lambda_1\rangle \quad (3.9)$$

with the traceless parameter of gauge transformations $L_2|\lambda_1\rangle = 0$. As it is shown in the appendix the tracelessness of the parameter of the gauge transformation follows after gauging away the auxiliary field $|S_2\rangle$, thus restricting the remaining gauge freedom.

The Lagrangian (3.7) and the gauge transformations can be written in a more conventional tensorial notation. Namely, after introducing the usual covariant derivative ∇_μ and using the expression (2.2) for the double traceless symmetric field $\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_s}(x)$, having the spin s , we obtain the action

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} (\Phi^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_s}(x) (\nabla^2 - r(s^2 + sD - 6s - 2D + 6)) \Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_s}(x) \\ & - \frac{s(s-1)}{2} \Phi_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1\mu_3\cdots\mu_s}(x) (\nabla^2 - r(s^2 + sD - 4s - D + 1)) \Phi^{\nu_1}_{\nu_1\mu_3\cdots\mu_s}(x) \\ & - s \Phi^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_s}(x) \nabla_{\mu_1} \nabla_{\nu_1} \Phi^{\nu_1}_{\mu_2\cdots\mu_s}(x) \\ & + s(s-1) \Phi_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1\mu_3\cdots\mu_s}(x) \nabla_{\nu_1} \nabla_{\nu_2} \Phi^{\nu_1\nu_2}_{\mu_3\cdots\mu_s}(x) \\ & - \frac{s(s-1)(s-2)}{4} \Phi_{\mu_1}^{\mu_1\mu_3\mu_4\cdots\mu_s}(x) \nabla_{\mu_3} \nabla_{\nu_1} \Phi^{\nu_1\nu_2}_{\nu_2\mu_4\cdots\mu_s}(x)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

which is invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_s}(x) = \nabla_{\{\mu_s} \lambda_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{s-1}\}}(x) \quad (3.11)$$

with the totally symmetric and traceless ($\lambda^{\mu_1}_{\mu_1\mu_3\cdots\mu_{s-1}}(x) = 0$) parameter of gauge transformations. Eq (3.10) is our final result.

The action (3.10) contains the nonminimal interaction of higher spin fields with the gravitational field and coincides with the one given in [15] for the case of $D = 4$ (see also [3] for the equivalent action written with the use of a different formalism) while for arbitrary D dimensions it gives the part (depending on the higher rank field) of the massive theory action of [12] in which the mass parameter is set to be equal to zero. To describe the massless representations, we should consider the doubly traceless fields. This very important property of the basic field does not take place at the formal massless limit of massive theory formulated in [12].

On the other hand, in the flat space - time limit, i.e., when the parameter r is set equal to zero, one recovers the D dimensional generalization of the Fronsdal Lagrangian [25] describing free massless fields with a integer spins. Obviously, the latter Lagrangian can also be constructed following the procedure given in the paper [21] if we put initially $r = 0$ in the algebra of constraints (2.10) – (2.11).

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have constructed the field theoretical Lagrangian for the massless irreducible higher integer spin fields propagating through the AdS background with

an arbitrary dimension D . For this purpose, we have used the method of BRST constructions adopted for the system of the arising here second class constraints and nonlinear algebras.

The subsequent procedure of construction of the corresponding BRST invariant goes in complete analogy with the one developed in [20] – [22] for the description of the free massive and massless fields belonging to various representations of the Poincare group. Due to this analogy the generalization of the approach under consideration to more complicated representations of the AdS_D group [7] seems to be quite possible. Another interesting problem is the application of this approach to the description of the massive higher spin fields in the AdS_D background [9] – [10], thus extending the results of [20] obtained for flat space-time. Namely, modifying the d’Alambertian (2.6) by the terms proportional to mass and scalar curvature R , one arrives at the massive higher spin fields with general nonminimal coupling to gravity. This changes the system (2.10) – (2.11) to the one which contains the second class constraints even before the exclusion of the operator G_0 , and the construction of the corresponding nilpotent BRST charge can be more complicated.

We hope to touch upon these issues as well as to analyze the applications of the BRST construction to arbitrary higher spin fermionic fields on AdS_D space and investigating the possibilities of developing the theory on the gravitational backgrounds with nonconstant curvature in the subsequent publications.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Prof. M.A. Vasiliev for the interest to the work and valuable comments. A.P. would like to thank Prof. O. Lechtenfeld and Prof. N. Dragon for kind hospitality at the Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Hannover where part of this work has been done. The work of the authors was supported in part by INTAS grant, project No 00-00254. The work of I.L.B. and A.P. was also supported in part by the joint DFG-RFBR grant, project No 99-02-04022. I.L.B. is grateful to RFBR grant, project No 99-02-16617 and to INTAS grant, project No 991-590 for partial support. The work of A.P. and M.T. was supported in part by RFBR grant, project No 99-02-18417. M.T. is grateful to RFBR grant, project No 01-02-06531 for partial support.

Appendix

In order to explicitly derive the Lagrangian (3.7), let us rewrite the vector $|\chi\rangle$ and the parameter $|\Lambda\rangle$ in the form

$$|\chi\rangle = |\chi_0\rangle + \mathcal{P}_2^+ |\chi_2\rangle, \quad |\Lambda\rangle = |\Lambda_0\rangle + \mathcal{P}_2^+ |\Lambda_2\rangle \quad (\text{A1})$$

From the gauge transformation law (3.3) and the explicit form of the auxiliary representations of $SO(2, 1)$ algebra (2.13) one can see that the field $|\chi_0\rangle$ transforms

through the parameter $|\Lambda_2\rangle$ as

$$\delta|\chi_0\rangle = (L_2^+ + b^+)|\Lambda_2\rangle \quad (\text{A2})$$

and, therefore, the parameter $|\Lambda_2\rangle$ can be used to remove the b^+ dependence in the vector $|\chi_0\rangle$, i.e.,

$$b|\chi_0\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{A3})$$

at the same time one is left with the gauge freedom with the parameter $|\Lambda_0\rangle$ which is now also independent of b^+ .

Next, we impose the auxiliary conditions on the vector $|\chi\rangle$. Namely, since the Kernel operator (2.15) is nondegenerate and, therefore, has the inverse, we obtain from the Lagrangian (3.2) the following equations of motion:

$$Q|\chi\rangle = 0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

We can also impose the conditions

$$B_I|\chi\rangle = [M_I, Q]_\pm|\chi\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{A5})$$

for some operators M_I without affecting the physical content of the theory [29]. Obviously, these auxiliary conditions remove zero norm states being the “pure BRST gauge”. The choice of operators M_I is actually the choice of BRST gauge. Taking $M_1 = b$, we obtain the equation

$$(8r\eta_0\eta_1\mathcal{P}_1 + \eta_2^+bb + 8r\eta_0\eta_1^+\mathcal{P}_1^+bb - \eta_2)|\chi\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{A6})$$

which with regard to equation (A3), can be solved as $|\chi_2\rangle = 8r\eta|S_1\rangle$. Therefore, the state vector χ has now the following reduced form:

$$|\chi\rangle = |S_0\rangle + (\eta_1^+\mathcal{P}_1^+ - 8r\eta\mathcal{P}_2^+)|S_1\rangle + \eta_2^+\mathcal{P}_1^+|S_2\rangle + \eta\mathcal{P}_1^+|R_1\rangle. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Imposing one more auxiliary condition on $|\chi\rangle$ with $M_2 = \mathcal{P}_2$, we get the set of equations on the fields left in (A7).

$$|S_1\rangle = L_2|S_0\rangle \quad (\text{A8})$$

and

$$L_2|R_1\rangle = L_2|S_2\rangle = L_2|S_1\rangle = 0 \quad (\text{A9})$$

The remaining fields $|S_0\rangle, |S_1\rangle, |S_2\rangle$ and $|R_1\rangle$ transform under the residual BRST gauge transformations as follows:

$$\delta|S_0\rangle = L_1^+|\lambda_1\rangle, \quad \delta|S_1\rangle = L_1|\lambda_1\rangle, \quad \delta|R_1\rangle = \tilde{L}_0|\lambda_1\rangle \quad (\text{A10})$$

and

$$\delta|S_2\rangle = -L_2|\lambda_1\rangle \quad (\text{A11})$$

with unconstrained parameter $|\lambda_1\rangle$. From the gauge transformation law (A11) one can see that the field $|S_2\rangle$ can be gauged away and one is left with the residual gauge invariance with the traceless parameter

$$L_2|\lambda_1\rangle = 0. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Inserting the state vector (A7) with $|S_2\rangle = 0$ into the Lagrangian (3.2) and performing the integration with respect to the ghost variables, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \langle R_1|R_1\rangle + \langle R_1|L_1^+|S_1\rangle + \langle S_1|L_1|R_1\rangle - \langle S_1|8rL_2|S_0\rangle - \langle S_0|8rL_2^+|S_1\rangle \\ & + \langle S_0|4rG_0 - 6r + \tilde{L}_0|S_0\rangle + \langle S_1|4rG_0 + 6r - \tilde{L}_0|S_1\rangle \\ & - \langle S_0|L_1^+|R_1\rangle - \langle R_1|L_1|S_0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

One can easily check that all equations of motion resulting from the Lagrangian (3.2), except the ones resulting after the variation with respect to the fields $|S_0\rangle, |S_1\rangle$ and $|R_1\rangle$, coincide with (A8)–(A9) and, therefore, no additional conditions on these fields are lost. Finally, expressing the field $|R_1\rangle$ due to its own equation of motion $L_1^+|S_1\rangle - L_1|S_0\rangle + |R_1\rangle = 0$ and inserting back into (A13) along with expression (A8) we arrive at (3.7) for $|S_0\rangle \equiv |\Phi\rangle$. As a consequence of (A8) and last equation in (A9), the field $|S_0\rangle$ is doubly traceless $L_2L_2|S_0\rangle = 0$.

References

- [1] M. Green, J.H. Schwarz, E. Witten. “*Superstring theory*”, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [2] M.A. Vasiliev. Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D5**, 763 (1996);
M.A Vasiliev, “*Higher Spin Gauge Theories: Star-Product and AdS Space*”, hep-th/9910096, contributed article to Golfand’s Memorial Volume, M. Shifman ed.;
M.A. Vasiliev, “*Higher Spin Symmetries, Star-Product and Relativistic Equations in AdS Space*”, hep-th/0002183;
M.A Vasiliev, “*Progress in Higher Spin Gauge Theories*”, hep-th/0104246.
- [3] E.S. Fradkin, M.A. Vasiliev. Phys.Lett. **B 189**, 89 (1987);
E.S. Fradkin, M.A. Vasiliev. Nucl.Phys. **B291**, 141 (1987).
- [4] M.A. Vasiliev. Fortschr. Phys. **11**, 741, (1987).
- [5] V.E. Lopatin, M.A. Vasiliev. Mod.Phys.Lett. **A3**, 257 (1988);
M.A. Vasiliev. Nucl.Phys. **B301**, 26, (1988).
- [6] R.R. Metsaev. Phys.Lett. **B354**, 295 (1995);
R.R. Metsaev. Proceedings of Workshop “Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries” p.p. 331 - 340, Dubna, Russia, (1997), hep-th/9810231.

- [7] L. Brink, R. Metsaev, M. Vasiliev. Nucl.Phys. **B586**, 183 (2000).
- [8] S. Prokushkin, M. Vasiliev, Nucl.Phys. **B545**, 385 (1999).
- [9] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, V.D. Pershin. Phys.Lett. **B466**, 216 (1999);
 I.L. Buchbinder, D.M. Gitman, V.D. Pershin. Phys.Lett. **B492**, 161 (2000);
 I.L. Buchbinder, D.M. Gitman, V.A. Krykhtin, V.D. Pershin. Nucl.Phys. **B584**, 615 (2000);
 I.L. Buchbinder, V.D. Pershin, “*Gravitational Interaction of Higher Spin Massive Fields and String Theory*”, hep-th/0009026.
- [10] S. Klishevich. Class.Quant.Grav. **16**, 2915 (1999);
 S. Klishevich. Proceedings of Workshop “Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries” p.p. 183 - 190, Dubna, Russia, (2000), hep-th/0002024.
- [11] S. Deser, A. Waldron. Phys.Rev.Lett. **87**, 031601 (2001);
 S. Deser, A. Waldron. Phys.Lett. **B508**, 347 (2001);
 S. Deser, A. Waldron. Nucl.Phys. **B607**, 577 (2001);
 S. Deser, A. Waldron. Phys.Lett. **B513**, 127 (2001).
- [12] Yu.M. Zinoviev, “*On Massive High Spin Particles in (A)dS*”, hep-th/0108192.
- [13] I.L. Buchbinder, S.M. Kuzenko. “*Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity*”, IOP Publ., Bristol and Philadelphia, 1998.
- [14] J. Maldacena. Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2**, 231 (1998);
 S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov, A.M. Polyakov. Phys.Lett. **B428**, 105 (1998);
 E. Witten. Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. **2**, 253 (1998)
- [15] C. Fronsdal. Phys.Rev. **D20**, 848 (1979).
- [16] S. Kuzenko, A.G. Sibiryakov. Phys. Atom. Nuclei. **57** 1257 (1994);
 S.J. Gates, S.M. Kuzenko, A.G. Sibiryakov. Phys.Lett. **B394**, 343 (1997);
B412, 59 (1997);
 A.Yu. Segal, A.G. Sibiryakov, “*Explicit N=2 Supersymmetry for Higher Spin Massless Fields in D=4 AdS Superspace*”, hep-th/9903122.
- [17] C. Aragone, S. Deser. Phys.Lett. **B86**, 161 (1979);
 F.A. Berends, J.W. van Holten, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, B. de Wit. J.Phys. **A18** 1643 (1980);
 C. Aragone, S. Deser. Nucl.Phys. **170** [FS1] 329 (1980);
 B. de Wit, D.Z. Freedman. Phys.Rev. **D21** 358 (1980);
 M.A. Vasiliev. Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. **32** 439 (1980);
 C. Aragone, H. La Roche. Nuovo Cimento. **A72** 149 (1982).
- [18] S. Ouvry, J.Stern. Phys.Lett. **B177**, 335 (1986).

- [19] A.K.H. Bengtsson. Phys.Lett. **B182**, 321 (1986).
- [20] F. Hussain, G. Thompson, P.D. Jarvis. Phys.Lett. **B216**, 139 (1989);
A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia. Mod.Phys.Lett. **A12**, 861 (1997).
- [21] A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia. Mod.Phys.Lett. **A13**, 1853 (1998).
- [22] Č. Burdík, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia. Mod.Phys.Lett. **A16**, 731 (2001);
Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. **102** (2001) 285, hep-th/0103143.
- [23] T. Curtright. Phys.Lett. **B165**, 304 (1985);
C.S. Aulakh, I.G. Koh, S. Ouvry. Phys.Lett. **B173**, 284 (1986);
J.M.F. Labastida. Nucl.Phys. **B322**, 185 (1989).
- [24] A.Yu. Segal, “*A Generating Formulation for Free Higher Spin Massless Fields*”,
hep-th/0103028.
- [25] C. Fronsdal. Phys.Rev. **D18**, 3624 (1978).
- [26] A.K.H. Bengtsson. Nucl.Phys. **B333**, 407 (1990).
- [27] Č. Burdík, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia. Mod.Phys.Lett. **A15**, 281 (2000).
- [28] J. Thierry-Mieg. Phys.Lett. **B197** 368 (1987).
- [29] R. Marnelius. Nucl.Phys. **B372**, 218 (1992).