

On the Renormalization of a Bosonized Version of the Chiral Fermion-Meson Model at Finite Temperature

H.C.G. Caldas^{(a)} and M.C. Nemes^(b)*

(a)Departamento de Ciências Naturais, DCNAT

Fundação de Ensino Superior de São João del Rei, FUNREI,

Praça Dom Helvécio, 74, CEP:36300-000, São João del Rei, MG, Brazil

(b)Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, UFMG,

CP 702, CEP:30.161-970, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

(July, 2001)

Abstract

Feynman's functional formulation of statistical mechanics is used to study the renormalizability of the well known Linear Chiral Sigma Model in the presence of fermionic fields at finite temperature in an alternative way. It is shown that the renormalization conditions coincide with those of the zero temperature model.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Wx, 12.39.Fe, 11.10.Gh

*e-mail: hcaldas@funrei.br

The advent of ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions has given to finite temperature field theory (FTFT) a special task, since this kind of experiment may reveal a new state of matter which is the quark-gluon plasma. The question of what happens with the properties of the particles at non-zero temperature (immersed in a thermal medium) is one of the most important topics of FTFT. There have been important contributions in the area, mostly contend a field theoretical questions such as the derivation of particle properties. Some examples of this research subject through the last three decades can be found in [1–10]. On the other hand, the inclusion of temperature in effective models has gained importance not only in the context of hadron physics, but also in cosmology and astroparticle physics for which a knowledge of the behavior of hadronic masses with temperature are most relevant.

Derivations of the pion and sigma meson masses as well as the condensate as a function of temperature have been given both from a phenomenological [11] as well as a theoretical point of view [12]. An immediate question arises as to the eventual modifications introduced by the boson coupling to fermionic degrees of freedom. The first issue of concern about the inclusion of fermions is the presence of infinities which will arise. How do they appear in the Feynman's functional formulations of statistical mechanics? It is well known that the chiral fermion meson model [13] is renormalizable at zero [14,15] and finite temperature [16,12]. In the early study of the renormalizability of the linear σ model at finite temperature presented in ref [16], it is shown that the renormalization conditions are the same as for the zero temperature case. We will show here in this reinvestigation of the well studied chiral fermion-meson model that this is also the case for its “ bosonized ” version.

The Lagrangian density of the chiral linear sigma model is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(i\partial_\mu\gamma^\mu - g\Gamma\varphi)\psi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\varphi)(\partial^\mu\varphi) - \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2 \quad (1)$$

where $\varphi = (\sigma, \vec{\pi})$ and $\Gamma = (1, i\gamma_5\vec{\tau})$ contain the chiral mesonic fields and their coupling to the fermionic fields respectively, λ and g are positive coupling constants and f_π is the pion decay constant in vacuum. Next we notice that a convenient way to write the Lagrangian is in terms of an hermitean operator:

$$h \equiv \frac{\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\nabla}}{i} + g \tilde{\beta} \Gamma \varphi \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}$ and $\vec{\alpha}$ are the usual Dirac Matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} &= -i\gamma^0, \\ \vec{\alpha} &= \tilde{\beta}\vec{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then, written in terms of h and $\tau = -it$ the Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = - \left\{ \bar{\psi}(\partial_\tau + h)\psi + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\varphi)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2 \right\} \equiv -\mathcal{L}_E \quad (4)$$

where $i\partial_t = -\partial_\tau$ and \mathcal{L}_E is the Euclidean Lagrangian.

As is well known, given the Lagrangian of a system with Euclidean metric the quantum partition function is given by

$$\mathcal{Z} = Tr e^{-\beta H} = \int \mathcal{D}(\psi^*)\mathcal{D}(\psi)\mathcal{D}(\varphi)e^{iS(\psi^\dagger, \psi, \varphi)} \quad (5)$$

where β in (5) is the inverse of the temperature T and

$$iS = - \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \mathcal{L}_E \quad (6)$$

We can immediately integrate out the fermionic fields

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}(\psi^*) \mathcal{D}(\psi) \exp - \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \psi^\dagger (\partial_\tau + h) \psi \\ = \det(\partial_\tau + h) = \exp \text{Tr} \log(\partial_\tau + h) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The meaning of the trace operation should be well understood; to the fermions in the discretized space-time are attached two indices i and s , $\psi_{is} = \psi_s(\vec{r}_i, \tau_i)$. The index s stands for all four Dirac indices, the isospin indices etc. In this case we define the matrix $(\partial_\tau + h)_{is,js'}$ through the expression

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \psi^\dagger (\partial_\tau + h) \psi = \sum_{is,js'} \psi_{is}^\dagger (\partial_\tau + h)_{is,js'} \psi_{js'} \quad (8)$$

so that we have the equivalence among the three expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \log(\partial_\tau + h) &= \sum_{is} [\log(\partial_\tau + h)]_{is, is} \\ &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \sum_s \langle \vec{r} \tau | [\log(\partial_\tau + h)]_{ss} | \vec{r} \tau \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\vec{k}, \omega, s} \langle \vec{k} \omega | [\log(\partial_\tau + h)]_{ss} | \vec{k} \omega \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where

$$\langle \vec{r} | \vec{k} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \quad \langle \tau | \omega \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} e^{-\omega \tau} \quad (10)$$

where \vec{k} are discrete periodic momenta and ω the usual Matsubara frequencies. So $\text{Tr} \log(\partial_\tau + h)$ is a trace of the operator $\partial_\tau + h$ in the one fermion state space where the operator in question acts.

The integration over the fermions yields an effective action $iS_{eff}(\varphi)$ which will depend only on the chiral fields φ .

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}[\varphi] e^{iS_{eff}(\varphi)} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} iS_{eff}(\varphi) &= \text{Tr} \log(\partial_\tau + h) - \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \mathcal{L}(\varphi) = \\ &= \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \left\{ -\text{tr} [\log(\partial_\tau + h)] + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In the above expression we defined

$$\text{tr} (\partial_\tau + h) = \sum_s (\partial_\tau + h)_{ss} \quad (13)$$

The trace is over Dirac and isospin matrices. An explicit calculation of the term $\text{tr} \log(\partial_\tau + h)$ reveals the presence of infinities. We proceed thus to renormalization for that purpose. We develop the effective action around the point we call the physical vacuum.

$$\varphi_0 = (f_\pi, 0, 0, 0) \quad (14)$$

Since $\det A = \det \tilde{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \log(\partial_\tau + h) &= \text{tr} \log(-\partial_\tau + h) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log(-\partial_\tau + h)(\partial_\tau + h) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log(-\partial_\mu^2 + g^2 \varphi^2 + ig\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu \varphi)\Gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here we write

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_\mu^2 + g^2 \varphi^2 + ig\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu \varphi)\Gamma \\ &= -\partial_\mu^2 + g^2 \varphi_0^2 + g^2(\varphi^2 - \varphi_0^2) + ig\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu \varphi)\Gamma \\ &= G_0^{-1} + V \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

with the “unperturbed propagator” defined as

$$G_0^{-1} = -\partial_\mu^2 + g^2 \varphi_0^2 = -\partial_\mu^2 + g^2 f_\pi^2 \quad (17)$$

and the “perturbation”

$$\begin{aligned} V &= g^2(\varphi^2 - \varphi_0^2) + ig\gamma_\mu(\partial_\mu \varphi)\Gamma \\ &= g^2(\sigma^2 + \vec{\pi}^2 - f_\pi^2) + ig\gamma_\mu(\partial_\mu \sigma) - g\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu(\partial_\pi \vec{\pi}) \cdot \vec{\tau} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Note that G_0 acts in the one fermion state space and has the form of a boson propagator with a gf_π mass. It is also important to remark that the perturbation is not a power of the coupling constant g , but proportional to the amplitude $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi - \varphi_0$, the deviation of the field with respect to its vacuum value. We can thus interpret what has been done as a bosonization in the fermionic one loop approximation. The propagator G_0 is diagonal in the plane wave basis we have defined

$$G_0^{-1} |\vec{k}\omega S\rangle = \frac{1}{k^2 + g^2 f_\pi^2 - \omega^2} |\vec{k}\omega S\rangle = \frac{1}{e_k^2 - \omega^2} |\vec{k}\omega S\rangle \quad (19)$$

with $e_k = \sqrt{k^2 + g^2 f_\pi^2}$

The Lagrangian related to the effective action, eq.(12), can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff}(\varphi) &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\log (G_0^{-1} + V) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\log (1 + G_0 V)] + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log G_0 \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The last term is an infinite constant and may be neglected. Now the first term can be developed as

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\log(1 + G_0 V)] = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} G_0 V + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} (G_0 V)^2 - \frac{1}{6} \text{tr} (G_0 V)^3 + \dots \quad (21)$$

Let us explicitly evaluate the first term of the above equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4r \text{tr} G_0 V &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} G_0 V = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\omega S} \langle k\omega S | G_0 V | k\omega S \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} V \left(\frac{1}{\beta\Omega} \sum_{k\omega} \frac{1}{e_k^2 - \omega^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The sum over ω yields [17]

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{e_k^2 - \omega^2} = \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{e_k^2 - w^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{2\pi} \frac{1}{e_k^2 + x^2} = \frac{1}{2e_k} \quad (23)$$

The sum over k will diverge and it is done looking at the limit $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$

$$\sum_k = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi \int_0^{\Lambda} k^2 dk, \quad (24)$$

and analyzing the behavior for $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{e_k} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}} dk = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\frac{\sinh 2x}{2} - x \right]_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sim \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{m^2}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m} \right)^2 - \log \left(\frac{2\Lambda}{m} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O \left(\frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $m = gf_{\pi}$, $\frac{\Lambda}{m} = \sinh x$ and $x = \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}} \right)$. The coefficient in brackets is therefore

$$\frac{1}{\beta\Omega} \sum_{\vec{k}\omega} \frac{1}{e_k^2 - \omega^2} \longrightarrow_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{m^2}{4} \left[\left(\frac{\Lambda}{m} \right)^2 - \log \left(\frac{2\Lambda}{m} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] + O \left(\frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2} \right). \quad (26)$$

We can therefore write

$$-\frac{1}{2} Tr G_0 V = -a_1(\Lambda) \int d^4 x g(\varphi^2 - \varphi_0^2) \quad (27)$$

where $a_1(\Lambda) \longrightarrow_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^2$. We could had guess this behavior, since $Tr G_0 V \sim \int \frac{d^4 k}{k^2} \sim \Lambda^2$.

The next term in the expansion contain $G_0^2 \sim \frac{1}{k^4}$ so that $Tr(G_0 V)^2$ will have a logarithmic divergence. The other terms will be finite and therefore we need not worry about them. Let us then proceed to the evaluation of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \int d^4 x tr(G_0 V)^2 &= \frac{1}{4} Tr G_0 V G_0 V = \frac{1}{4} Tr G_0^2 V^2 + \frac{1}{2} Tr [G_0, V]^2 \\ &= Tr (G_0^2 V^2) + \frac{1}{2} Tr G_0^2 [V, G_0^{-1}] G_0^2 [V, G_0^{-1}] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Tr G_0 V G_0 V &= Tr G_0^2 V^2 + \frac{1}{2} Tr G_0^4 [V, G_0^{-1}]^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} Tr G_0^8 [[V, G_0^{-1}], G_0^{-2}] + \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} Tr G_0 V G_0 V &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k\omega S} \frac{\langle k\omega S | V^2 | k\omega S \rangle}{e_k^2 - \omega^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\omega S} \frac{\langle k\omega S | [V, G_0^{-1}]^2 | k\omega S \rangle}{(e_k^2 - \omega^2)^4} + ... \\ &= \frac{1}{4} Tr V^2 \left(\frac{1}{\beta\Omega} \sum_{k\omega} \frac{1}{(e_k^2 - \omega^2)^2} \right) + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{k\omega S} \frac{\langle k\omega S | [V, G_0^{-1}]^2 | k\omega S \rangle}{(e_k^2 - \omega^2)^4} + ... \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The second term in eq. (30) will be finite as can be easily checked by power counting. For the first term we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\omega} \frac{1}{(e_k^2 - \omega^2)^2} = \frac{1}{4 e_k^3} \quad (31)$$

Now [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_k \frac{1}{e_k^3} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} 4\pi \int_0^\Lambda \frac{k^2 dk}{(k^2 + m^2)^{3/2}} \\ &\longrightarrow_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \left(\log \left(\frac{2\Lambda}{m} \right) - 1 \right) + O \left(\frac{m^2}{\Lambda^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

We can thus write, in analogy to eq. (22)

$$\frac{1}{4} Tr(G_0 V)^2 = a_2(\Lambda) \int d^4r [g^4(\varphi^2 - \varphi_0^2)^2 + g^2(\partial_\mu \varphi)^2] + \text{finite contributions} \quad (33)$$

where now $a_2(\Lambda) \longrightarrow_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \log \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m} \right)$.

Having identified the divergent part of the effective Lagrangian we can add counterterms which will cancel the divergences and which will be of the form of terms already present in the Lagrangian. Consider then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff} = c_0 + \frac{1}{2} tr \log G_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi^2 + a_2(\Lambda) (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 g^2 + c_2(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2) \\ - a_1(\Lambda) g^2(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2) + c_4(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2 + a_2(\Lambda) g^4(\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

We next introduce changes in the parameters c_0 , c_2 e c_4 on the one hand and proceed to a redefinition of φ , g and f_π as follows

1) c_0 is chosen so that $c_0 + \frac{1}{2} tr \log G_0 = 0$

This choice is equivalent to defining the zero in energy scale and the vacuum will have zero energy

2) The redefinition of φ :

$$\varphi \rightarrow \varphi_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2c_2 g^2}} \varphi \quad (35)$$

Thus the third and fourth terms in eq. (34) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + a_2 g^2 (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \quad (36)$$

This is the field renormalization. Also, accordingly

$$f_\pi \rightarrow f_\pi^R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 2c_2 g^2}} f_\pi \quad (37)$$

and

$$\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + 2a_2 g^2} (\varphi^2 - f_\pi^2) \quad (38)$$

3)Also, in order to maintain the form of $G_0^{-1} = -\partial_\mu^2 + g^2 f_\pi^2$ we need to redefine the coupling constant

$$g \rightarrow g_R = \sqrt{1 + 2a_2 g^2} g \quad (39)$$

4)The coefficient c_2 is chosen so that

$$c_2 + a_2 g_R^4 = 0 \quad (40)$$

5)The coefficient c_4 is chosen so that, for a given λ , one has

$$(c_4 + a_2 g_R^4)(\varphi_R^2 - f_{\pi R}^2)^2 = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\varphi_R^2 - f_{\pi R}^2)^2 \quad (41)$$

The renormalized lagrangean is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff}(\varphi) = & -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \log (1 + G_0 V) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} G_0 V - \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} G_0^2 V^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi_R)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\varphi_R^2 - f_{\pi R}^2)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The effective meson Lagrangian (42) gives an effective action which is a finite functional of φ . The partition function remains thus finite and the counterterms needed are the same as in the usual zero temperature renormalization schemes.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by CNPq. H.C. thanks A.L. Mota for useful discussions and for a critical reading of the manuscript.

-
- [1] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3320 (1974).
 - [2] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3357 (1974).
 - [3] C. W. Bernard, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3312 (1974).
 - [4] J. M. Cornwall, R. Jackiw, and E. Tomboulis, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2428 (1974).
 - [5] G. Baym and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2897 (1977).
 - [6] A. Linde, Rep. Prog. Phys. Nuovo Cimento **42**, 389 (1979).
 - [7] J. Kapusta, *Finite-Temperature Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989).
 - [8] E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 569 (1990); E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 369 (1990); E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1338 (1990).
 - [9] Michel Le Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
 - [10] A. Das, *Finite-Temperature Field Theory* (World Scientific, NY, 1997).
 - [11] H. C. G. Caldas, D. H. T. Franco, A. L. Mota, F. A. Oliveira and M. C. Nemes, Nucl. Phys. A **617**, 464 (1997).
 - [12] H. C. G. Caldas, A. L. Mota, and M. C. Nemes, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 56011 (2001).
 - [13] M. Gell-Mann, and M. Levy, Nuovo Cimento **16**, 705 (1960).
 - [14] B. Lee, *Chiral Dynamics* (Gordon and Breach, 1970).
 - [15] D. H. T. Franco, H. C. G. Caldas, A. L. Mota and M. C. Nemes, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **617**, 464 (1997) and references therein.
 - [16] L. R. Ram Mohan, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 2670 (1976).
 - [17] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic Press, 6th edition, 2000).