

Regular basis and R-matrices for the $\widehat{su}(n)_k$ Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation

L.K. Hadjiivanov¹

Theoretical Physics Division, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria,

Ya.S. Stanev²

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata",
I.N.F.N. – Sezione di Roma "Tor Vergata",
Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, I-00173 Roma, Italy

and

I.T. Todorov³

Theoretical Physics Division, Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

Dynamical R -matrix relations are derived for the group-valued chiral vertex operators in the $SU(n)$ WZNW model from the KZ equation for a general four-point function including two step operators. They fit the exchange relations for the $U_q(sl_n)$ covariant quantum matrix derived previously by solving the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation. As a byproduct, we extend the regular basis introduced earlier for $SU(2)$ chiral fields to $SU(n)$ step operators and display the corresponding triangular matrix representation of the braid group.

¹e-mail address: lhadji@inrne.bas.bg

²On leave of absence from the Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria; e-mail address: stanev@roma2.infn.it

³e-mail address: todorov@inrne.bas.bg

1 Introduction

There are, essentially, two different approaches to the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model - a model describing the conformally invariant dynamics of a closed string moving on a compact Lie group G [1, 2]. The axiomatic approach [3] relies on the representation theory of Kac-Moody current algebras and on the Sugawara formula for the stress-energy tensor. The resulting chiral conformal block solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (KZ) equation are multivalued analytic functions which span a monodromy representation of the braid group [4, 5]. Surprisingly (at least at first sight), the associated symmetry was related to the recently discovered quantum groups [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. This relation was explained in some sense by the second, canonical approach to the problem [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. The Poisson-Lie symmetry [24] of the WZNW action [13, 15, 16] indeed gives rise to quantum group invariant quadratic exchange relations [13, 17, 25] at the quantum level.

In spite of continuing efforts [26, 27, 23], the correspondence between the two approaches is as yet only tentative: there is still no consistent operator formulation of the chiral WZNW model to date that would reproduce the known conformal blocks. The objective of the present paper is to provide a step in filling this gap.

The first problem we are addressing is to find the precise correspondence between the monodromy representation of the braid group [4, 5] and the R -matrix exchange relations [13, 15, 17] among step operators - i.e., field operators transforming under the defining n -dimensional ("quark") representation of $SU(n)$. To this end we consider the four-point "conformal block" of two step operators sandwiched between a pair of primary chiral fields transforming under arbitrary *irreducible representations* (IR) of $SU(n)$, only restricted by the condition that the corresponding $SU(n)$ invariant exists. In fact, for a given initial and final states $|p\rangle$ and $\langle p'|$ (labeled by the highest weights of $SU(n)$ IR - see Section 2), the space $\mathcal{F}(p, p')$ of invariant tensors of the type

$$\mathcal{F}(p, p') = \{ \langle p' | \varphi_1^A \varphi_2^B | p \rangle, A, B = 1, \dots, n \} \quad (1.1)$$

(the subscripts 1 and 2 replacing the world sheet variables and discrete quantum numbers other than the $SU(n)$ indices A, B) can be either 0, 1 or 2-dimensional. We concentrate on the most interesting 2-dimensional case that

includes the antisymmetric tensor product of φ_1 and φ_2) but also write down the 1-dimensional ("anyonic") braid relations.

A new result of the paper is the extension to $\widehat{su}(n)$ step operators of the "regular basis" (introduced originally for $\widehat{su}(2)$ blocks [11] as a counterpart of a distinguished basis of quantum group, $U_q(sl_2)$, invariants [28]). Moreover, we demonstrate that the Möbius invariant amplitude for the $\widehat{su}(2)$ and the $\widehat{su}(n)$ theory coincide and so do the "normalized braid matrices" $q^{\frac{1}{n}}B$. This allows to extend earlier results on the Schwarz finite monodromy problem for the $\widehat{su}(2)$ KZ equation to the $\widehat{su}(n)$ case.

We start, in Section 2, with some background material including various forms of the KZ equation. Special attention is devoted to two bases of $SU(n)$ invariants (whose properties and interrelations are spelled out in Appendix A). They appear as prototypes of the s -channel basis and regular basis of solutions of the KZ equation studied in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. The standard notion of a chiral vertex operator (CVO) [4] and its zero modes' counterpart [25, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23] are applied in Section 3 for studying the braid properties of the "physical solutions" of the KZ equation. Only the regular basis introduced in Section 4 is appropriate to also include its logarithmic solutions.

2 KZ equation for a 4-point conformal block

We label the IR of $SU(n)$ by their shifted highest weights (see [23]):

$$p_{i+1} = p_i - p_{i+1} = \lambda_i + 1 \quad (\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+) \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let the highest weight p' belong to the tensor product of $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ with a pair of "quark" IR (with $\lambda_i = \delta_{i1}$). The basic object of our study will be the four-point block

$$w_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(\underline{z}; p, p') \equiv w_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(z_1, p'; z_2, z_3; z_4, p) = \langle 0 | \Phi_{p'^*}(z_1) \varphi_{\alpha}^A(z_2) \varphi_{\beta}^B(z_3) \Phi_p(z_4) | 0 \rangle \quad (2.2)$$

where $\varphi_{\alpha}^A(z)$ is the fundamental chiral ("quark", or "group valued") field (A, α are $SU(n)$ and $U_q(sl_n)$ indices, respectively), $\Phi_p(z)$ is a (primary) chiral field carrying weight p (whose tensor indices are omitted), and $p'^* = (-p'_n, \dots, -p'_1)$ is the weight conjugate to p' . We shall further specify p' setting

$$p' - p = v^{(i)} + v^{(j)} \equiv v^{(m)} + v^{(m')}, \quad m = \min(i, j), \quad m' = \max(i, j), \quad (2.3)$$

where we assume that p, p' and $p + v^{(r)}$, $1 \leq r = i, j \leq n$ are dominant weights; here $v^{(i)}$ is the shift of weight under the application of an $SU(n)$

step operator $\varphi_\alpha^i(z)$:

$$(v^{(i)}|p) = p_i, \quad (v^{(i)}|v^{(j)}) = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n v^{(i)} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

We shall express the four-point block w (2.2) in terms of a conformally invariant amplitude F setting

$$w_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(\underline{z}; p, p') = D(\underline{z}; p, p') F_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(\eta; p, p') \quad (2.5)$$

where the cross ratio η and the prefactor $D(\underline{z}; p, p')$ are given by

$$\eta = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad z_{ij} = z_i - z_j, \quad (2.6)$$

$$D(\underline{z}; p, p') = \left(\frac{z_{24}}{z_{12}z_{14}} \right)^{\Delta(p')} \left(\frac{z_{13}}{z_{14}z_{34}} \right)^{\Delta(p)} z_{23}^{-2\Delta} \eta^{\Delta' - \Delta} (1 - \eta)^{\Delta_{(a)}}.$$

Here

$$2h\Delta(p) \equiv C_2(p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r < s} p_{rs}^2 - \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{12}, \quad \Delta = \frac{n^2 - 1}{2nh}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\Delta' = \Delta(p + v^{(m')}) = \Delta(p) + \frac{p_{m'}}{h} + \frac{n - 1}{2nh}, \quad \Delta_{(a)} = \frac{(n + 1)(n - 2)}{nh}$$

(For the current algebra $\widehat{su}(n)_k$ the *height* h is given by $h = k + n$, k being the level.)

Remark 2.1 The prefactor, a product of powers of the differences z_{ij} , is determined by the overall scale dimension of $w_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(\underline{z}; p, p')$ and by infinitesimal Möbius invariance,

$$\sum_{a=1}^4 z'_a(z_a \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} + (\nu + 1) \Delta_a) D(\underline{z}; p, p') = 0 \quad \text{for } \nu = 0, \pm 1, \\ \Delta_1 = \Delta(p'^*) \equiv \Delta(p'), \quad \Delta_2 = \Delta_3 = \Delta, \quad \Delta_4 = \Delta(p) \quad (2.8)$$

(see (2.7)), up to multiplicative dependence on η . Our choice (2.6) corresponds to extracting the leading singularities at both $\eta = 0$ and $\eta = 1$.

Let $C_{ab} = \mathbf{t}_a \cdot \mathbf{t}_b$ be the polarized Casimir invariant ($a, b = 1, \dots, 4$). The generators \mathbf{t}_a of the representation (a) of $SU(n)$ are normalized in such a way that if (a) refers to an IR of weight p , then \mathbf{t}_a^2 coincides with $C_2(p)$ of (2.7); in our case, with $C_a := \mathbf{t}_a^2$, we have

$$C_4 (= \mathbf{t}_4^2) = C_2(p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < j} (p_{ij}^2 - (j - i)^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < j} p_{ij}^2 - \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{12}. \quad (2.9)$$

$SU(n)$ invariance of the Wightman function implies

$$(\mathbf{t}_1 + \mathbf{t}_2 + \mathbf{t}_3 + \mathbf{t}_4) w_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{z}; p, p') = 0 = \left(C_a + \sum_{b \neq a} C_{ab} \right) w_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{z}; p, p'). \quad (2.10)$$

The KZ equation

$$\left(h \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{C_{ab}}{z_{ab}} \right) w_{\alpha\beta}(\underline{z}; p, p') = 0, \quad 1 \leq a \leq 4, \quad (2.11)$$

yields (choosing, say, $a = 2$ and using (2.10))

$$\left(h \frac{d}{d\eta} - \frac{\Omega_{12}}{\eta} + \frac{\Omega_{23}}{1 - \eta} \right) F_{\alpha\beta}(\eta; p, p') = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\Omega_{12} = C_{12} + p_m + \delta_{mm'} + \frac{n^2 + n - 4}{2n}, \quad \Omega_{23} = C_{23} + \frac{1}{n} + \mathbb{I} = P_{23} + \mathbb{I}, \quad (2.13)$$

P_{23} being the permutation operator for the two factors in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ of fundamental IR ($P_{23}^2 = \mathbb{I}$). Ω_{12} and Ω_{23} satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{12} \Omega_{23} \Omega_{12} &= (\mathbf{p} - 1) \Omega_{12}, & \Omega_{23} \Omega_{12} \Omega_{23} &= (\mathbf{p} - 1) \Omega_{23} \\ \mathbf{p} &= p_{mm'} + \delta_{mm'}, & \Omega_{12}^2 &= p_{mm'} \Omega_{12}, & \Omega_{23}^2 &= 2 \Omega_{23} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

– see Appendix A.

In the relatively trivial case $m = m'$, when the space of $SU(n)$ invariants is one dimensional, $\mathbf{p} - 1 = 0 = \Omega_{12}$.

For $m < m'$ ($\Rightarrow \mathbf{p} \geq 2$), both Ω_{12} and Ω_{23} are nonnegative with a single zero eigenvalue. In this case the space $\mathcal{F}(p, p')$ ($\ni F^{AB}$) is conveniently spanned by the eigenvectors $I_0 = (I_0^{AB})$ and $I_1 = (I_1^{AB})$ of Ω_{12} and Ω_{23} , respectively, corresponding to eigenvalue 0 (cf. [11] and Appendix A below):

$$\Omega_{12} I_0 = 0 = \Omega_{23} I_1, \quad I_1 = (P_{23} - 1) I_0. \quad (2.15)$$

We shall set

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(\eta; p, p') = I_0^{AB} (1 - \eta) f_{\alpha\beta}^0(\eta) + I_1^{AB} \eta f_{\alpha\beta}^1(\eta). \quad (2.16)$$

Inserting (2.16) into (2.12), we find the following first order system for $f^\ell \equiv f_{\alpha\beta}^\ell(\eta)$, $\ell = 0, 1$:

$$h(1 - \eta) \frac{df^0}{d\eta} = (h - 2)f^0 + (\mathbf{p} - 1)f^1, \quad h \eta \frac{df^1}{d\eta} = (\mathbf{p} - h)f^1 - f^0. \quad (2.17)$$

It yields a hypergeometric (HG) equation for each f^ℓ , $\ell = 0, 1$:

$$\eta(1-\eta)\frac{d^2 f^\ell}{d\eta^2} + \left(1 + \ell - \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h} - (3 - \frac{\mathfrak{p}+2}{h})\eta\right)\frac{df^\ell}{d\eta} = (1 - \frac{1}{h})(1 - \frac{\mathfrak{p}+1}{h})f^\ell. \quad (2.18)$$

It is remarkable that the KZ equation (2.12) for the Möbius invariant amplitude $F_{\alpha\beta}(\eta; p, p')$ is in fact independent of the group label n – as made manifest by the system (2.17). Only the prefactor $D(\underline{z}; p, p')$ (2.6) carries an n -dependence.

3 s -channel basis of solutions. Braid relations

The expansion of the basic fields $\varphi_\alpha^A(z)$ into *chiral vertex operators* (CVO), $\varphi_\alpha^A(z) = \varphi_i^A(z) a_\alpha^i$ [20, 21, 23] gives rise to an expansion of $f_{\alpha\beta}^\ell$ into s -channel conformal blocks. We have

$$f_{\alpha\beta}^\ell(\eta) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^1 s_\lambda^\ell(\eta) \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \langle p' | a_\alpha^m a_\beta^{m'} | p \rangle, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^1 = \langle p' | a_\alpha^{m'} a_\beta^m | p \rangle \quad (3.1)$$

for

$$\varphi_\alpha^A(z) = c_i^A \varphi_j^i(z) a_\alpha^j. \quad (3.2)$$

Here a_α^j , (which, together with $q^{p_{ij}}$, generate a *quantum* matrix algebra of $SL(n)$ type [34, 23]) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha^i a_\alpha^j &= a_\alpha^j a_\alpha^i, \quad a_\alpha^i a_\beta^i = q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}} a_\beta^i a_\alpha^i \quad \text{and, for } i \neq j, \alpha \neq \beta, \\ \rho(p_{ij})[p_{ij} - 1] a_\alpha^j a_\beta^i &= [p_{ij}] a_\beta^i a_\alpha^j - q^{\epsilon_{\beta\alpha} p_{ij}} a_\alpha^i a_\beta^j \quad (\rho(p)\rho(-p) = 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3a)$$

We are using the notation of Section 2.3 in [23]

$$\epsilon_{\beta\alpha} = 1 = -\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \text{ for } \alpha < \beta, \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\alpha} = 0; \quad [p] := \frac{q^p - \bar{q}^p}{q - \bar{q}}; \quad q = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{h}} = \bar{q}^{-1}.$$

The factor $\rho(p_{ij})$ constraint by the last equation in (3.2a) reflects the "gauge freedom" in the solution of the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation [32, 33, 34, 23]. The $SU(n)$ tensor operators c_i^A are generators of the undeformed counterpart of the matrix algebra (see Appendix A); in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} [c_i^A, c_j^B] &= 0 = [c_i^A, c_j^A], \quad \text{and, for } i \neq j, A \neq B, \\ (p_{ij} - 1) c_j^A c_i^B &= r(p_{ij}) (p_{ij} c_i^B c_j^A - c_i^A c_j^B) \quad (r(p)r(-p) = 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3b)$$

The function $s_0^\ell(\eta)$ is characterized as the analytic around the origin solution of the system (2.17), resp. of the HG equation (2.18) satisfying $K_0 := s_0^0(0) > 0$; it is given by the HG series

$$s_0^0(\eta) = K_0 F(\alpha, \beta; 1 - \alpha + \beta; \eta) = \frac{K_0}{B(1 - \alpha, \beta)} \int_0^1 t^{\beta-1} (1-t)^{-\alpha} (1-t\eta)^{-\alpha} dt \quad (3.4)$$

where $B(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$ is the beta-function and, for $p' - p$ given by (2.3) and $p_{mm'} = \mathbf{p} \geq 2$, $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{h}$, $\beta = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}+1}{h}$ ($1 - \alpha + \beta = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{h}$). For $\mathbf{p} = h - 1$, $s_0^0(\eta) = K_0 \left(\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} = \delta(t) \right)$. From (2.17) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} s_0^1(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2\alpha - 1 - \beta} \left((1 - \eta) \frac{d}{d\eta} s_0^0(\eta) - (2\alpha - 1) s_0^0(\eta) \right) = \\ &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{1 - \alpha + \beta} K_0 F(\alpha, \beta; 2 - \alpha + \beta; \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The second solution, $s_1^\ell(\eta)$, is obtained assuming that

$$\eta^{\Delta(p+v^{(m')}) - \Delta(p+v^{(m)})} s_1^0(\eta) = \eta^{-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{h}} s_1^0(\eta) \quad (3.6)$$

is analytic around $\eta = 0$; we find

$$s_1^0(\eta) = K_1 \eta^{\alpha-\beta} F(\alpha, 2\alpha - \beta; 1 + \alpha - \beta; \eta), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_1^1(\eta) &= \frac{1}{2\alpha - 1 - \beta} \left((1 - \eta) \frac{d}{d\eta} s_1^0(\eta) - (2\alpha - 1) s_1^0(\eta) \right) = \\ &= \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha - 1 - \beta} K_1 \eta^{\alpha-\beta-1} F(\alpha - 1, 2\alpha - 1 - \beta; \alpha - \beta; \eta) \\ &\left(2\alpha - \beta = 1 + \frac{\mathbf{p} - 1}{h}, \quad \alpha - \beta = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{h}, \quad \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2\alpha - 1 - \beta} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{p} - 1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

To write down (3.5) and (3.7), we have derived on the way the identities

$$[(1 - \eta) \frac{d}{d\eta} + \gamma - \alpha - \beta] F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \eta) = F(\alpha, \beta; 1 - \alpha + \beta; \eta), \quad (3.9a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \eta [(1 - \eta) \frac{d}{d\eta} + \gamma - \alpha - \beta] + (1 - \gamma)(1 - \eta) \} F(\alpha + 1 - \gamma, \beta + 1 - \gamma; 2 - \gamma; \eta) = \\ = (1 - \gamma) F(\alpha - \gamma, \beta - \gamma; 1 - \gamma; \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9b)$$

We shall now derive the braid relation among the above conformal blocks under the exchange of two step operators φ .

The four-point blocks $w_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4; p, p')$ (2.5) are single valued in the domain

$$\mathcal{O}_4 = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4; |z_i| > |z_{i+1}|, i = 1, 2, 3; |\arg z_i| < \pi, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \quad (3.10)$$

We consider the analytic continuation $\widehat{w}_{\alpha\beta}^{BA}(z_1, z_3, z_2, z_4; p, p')$ of $w_{\alpha\beta}^{BA}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4; p, p')$ along paths in the homotopy class of a particular curve

$$\mathcal{C}(2, 3) : [0, 1] \times (\mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \{z_i = z_j, i \neq j\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \{z_i = z_j, i \neq j\} \quad (3.11a)$$

which interchanges z_2 and z_3 and whose internal points belong to \mathcal{O}_4 whenever the end points belong to its boundary(3.10); e.g., for $z_i(t) = e^{i\zeta_i(t)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1(0) &= x_1 - i\epsilon, \quad \zeta_{2,3}(0) = x_{2,3}, \quad \zeta_4(0) = x_4 + i\epsilon, \\ x_i &\in \mathbb{R}, \quad \epsilon > 0, \quad x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > x_4, \quad x_{14} < \pi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11b)$$

we define $\mathcal{C}(2, 3)$ by

$$\zeta_1(t) = \zeta_1, \quad \zeta_{2,3}(t) = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}t} (x_{2,3} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}t + ix_{3,2} \sin \frac{\pi}{2}t), \quad \zeta_4(t) = \zeta_4; \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \quad (3.11c)$$

(see Proposition 1.3 of [23]). The cross ratio η and the prefactor $D \equiv D(\underline{z}; p, p')$ (2.6) then change according to the law

$$z_{23} \rightarrow e^{-i\pi} z_{23} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta \rightarrow \frac{1}{\eta}, \quad D \rightarrow \overline{q}^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \eta^{\frac{p+1}{h}} D \quad \left(q^{\frac{1}{n}} = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{nh}} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

where we have used (2.7) to derive the relations

$$\Delta(p) + \Delta(p') - 2\Delta' = \frac{p}{h} - \frac{1}{nh}, \quad 2\Delta - \Delta_{(a)} = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{nh}. \quad (3.13)$$

The expansion (2.16) into $SU(n)$ tensor invariants changes under the combined action of analytic continuation along the path $\mathcal{C}(2, 3)$ and permutation of the indices A, B as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0^{AB} (1 - \eta) f_{\alpha\beta}^0(\eta) + I_1^{AB} \eta f_{\alpha\beta}^1(\eta) &\rightarrow \\ \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\eta} \left((I_0^{AB} + I_1^{AB}) (1 - \eta) f_{\alpha\beta}^0\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) + I_1^{AB} f_{\alpha\beta}^1\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Inserting further the expansion (3.1) for $f_{\alpha\beta}^\ell(\eta)$, $\ell = 0, 1$, we obtain

$$s_\lambda^0(\eta) \rightarrow -\overline{q}^{1+\frac{1}{n}} \eta^{\frac{p+1}{h}} s_\lambda^0\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

Finally, we use the relation

$$\begin{aligned} F(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \frac{1}{\eta}) &= e^{-i\pi\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)} \eta^\alpha F(\alpha, 1+\alpha-\gamma; 1+\alpha-\beta; \eta) + \\ &+ e^{-i\pi\beta} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} \eta^\beta F(1+\beta-\gamma, \beta; 1+\beta-\alpha; \eta) \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

to deduce (setting $k(\mathbf{p}) := \frac{K_1}{K_0}$)

$$s_\lambda^\ell(\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} s_{\lambda'}^\ell(\eta) B_\lambda^{\lambda'}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_0^0 & B_1^0 \\ B_0^1 & B_1^1 \end{pmatrix} = \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{n}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q^p}{[\mathbf{p}]} & k(\mathbf{p})b_{\mathbf{p}} \\ k(\mathbf{p})^{-1}b_{-\mathbf{p}} & -\frac{\bar{q}^p}{[\mathbf{p}]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.17)$$

where $B (\equiv B_2^s)$ is the *braid matrix* corresponding to the exchange $\widehat{23}$ in the s -channel basis and

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(2\alpha-\beta)\Gamma(1-\beta)} = \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{\mathbf{p}}{h})\Gamma(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{h})}{\Gamma(1+\frac{\mathbf{p}-1}{h})\Gamma(\frac{\mathbf{p}+1}{h})} \\ \left(\Rightarrow \quad b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{-\mathbf{p}} &= \frac{\sin \pi \frac{\mathbf{p}+1}{h} \sin \pi \frac{\mathbf{p}-1}{h}}{\sin^2 \pi \frac{\mathbf{p}}{h}} = \frac{[\mathbf{p}+1][\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Note that in line with the remark at the end of Section 2 the product $q^{\frac{1}{n}} B$ of the braid matrix with a scalar phase factor is independent of n .

As we already mentioned, the case $m = m'$ is much simpler. Indeed, the space $\mathcal{F}(p, p')$ (1.1), for $p, p + v^{(m)}$ and $p' = p + 2v^{(m)}$ dominant, is one dimensional (due to the first equation (3.3b), the skewsymmetric $SU(n)$ invariant I_1^{AB} is zero, see Appendix A) and $I_0^{AB} \equiv I^{AB}$ is symmetric, hence, $\Omega_{23}I = 2I$, cf. (2.13) and (2.15), and so is the space of quantum group invariants ($\mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^0 = q^{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^1$, cf. (3.3a)). The analogs of (2.5), (2.16) and (3.1) read then

$$w_{\alpha\beta}^{AB}(\underline{z}; p, p') = D(\underline{z}; p, p') I^{AB} s(\eta) \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (3.19)$$

and the KZ equation reduces to a first order equation for $s(\eta)$:

$$h \frac{d}{d\eta} s(\eta) = -\frac{2}{1-\eta} s(\eta), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad s(\eta) = K(1-\eta)^{\frac{2}{h}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Since in this case

$$z_{23} \rightarrow e^{-i\pi} z_{23} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1-\eta \rightarrow e^{-i\pi} \frac{1-\eta}{\eta}, \quad D \rightarrow \bar{q}^{\frac{n+1}{n}} \eta^{\frac{2}{h}} D, \quad (3.21)$$

we get simply

$$s(\eta) \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{1-\frac{1}{n}} s(\eta). \quad (3.22)$$

All this fits perfectly the operator exchange relations,

$$\varphi_i^B(z_3) \overset{\curvearrowright}{\varphi_j^A(z_2)} = \varphi_{i'}^A(z_2) \varphi_{j'}^B(z_3) \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{ij}^{i'j'} \quad (3.23)$$

(related in [23] to the characteristic properties of the intertwining quantum matrix algebra). In particular, the last equation (3.3a) corresponds to the choice

$$k(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1+\mathbf{p}}{h})\Gamma(-\frac{\mathbf{p}}{h})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-\mathbf{p}}{h})\Gamma(\frac{\mathbf{p}}{h})} \rho(\mathbf{p}), \quad \rho(\mathbf{p}) \rho(-\mathbf{p}) = 1 \quad (= k(\mathbf{p}) k(-\mathbf{p})) \quad (3.24)$$

of the ratio of the normalization constants $\frac{K_1}{K_0}$. The resulting 4×4 *dynamical R-matrix* $\hat{R}(\mathbf{p})$ reads [23]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(\mathbf{p}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{mm}^{mm} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{mm'}^{mm} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{mm} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm'}^{mm} \\ \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{mm}^{mm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{mm'}^{mm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{mm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm'}^{mm'} \\ \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{m'm} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{m'm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{m'm} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{m'm'} \\ \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{m'm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm'}^{m'm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm}^{m'm'} & \hat{R}(\mathbf{p})_{m'm'}^{m'm'} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{n}} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{q^{\mathbf{p}}}{[\mathbf{p}]} & \frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} \rho(\mathbf{p}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{[\mathbf{p}+1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} \rho(-\mathbf{p}) & -\frac{\bar{q}^{\mathbf{p}}}{[\mathbf{p}]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

The braid matrices B_1^s and B_3^s corresponding to the exchanges $\overset{\curvearrowright}{12}$ and $\overset{\curvearrowright}{34}$, respectively, are diagonal with eigenvalues

$$\varepsilon_t q^{\Delta(p+v^{(t)})-\Delta(p)-\Delta} = \varepsilon_t q^{p_t - \frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad (3.26a)$$

($t = m, m'$, $\varepsilon_m = 1$, $\varepsilon_{m'} = -1$) – for B_3^s , and

$$\varepsilon_t q^{\Delta(p+v^{(t)})-\Delta(p')-\Delta} = \varepsilon_t \bar{q}^{p_r + \frac{n^2+n-4}{2n}} \quad (3.26b)$$

($p' = p + v^{(r)} + v^{(t)} = p + v^{(m)} + v^{(m')}$) – for B_1^s . For $n = 2$ and $p = (p_1, p_2) = (1, -1) = p' \Leftrightarrow p_{12} = 2 = p'_{12}$ – i.e., for the four-point function of 4 spin-1/2 operators, the braid matrices B_1^s and B_3^s coincide:

$$B_1^s = B_3^s = \begin{pmatrix} q^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{q}^{\frac{3}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.26c)$$

Remark 3.1 The choice $\rho(\mathbf{p}) = \pm q^{\nu \mathbf{p}} \sqrt{\frac{[\mathbf{p}+1]}{[\mathbf{p}-1]}}$, ν real, for the remaining freedom in the normalization guarantees the unitarity of B (3.17) (and of $\hat{R}(p)$) for $\mathbf{p} + 1 < h$, however, it violates the property of the elements of the matrix $q^{\frac{1}{n}} B$ to belong to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ which, as discussed in Section 5, could be useful.

4 Regular basis of solutions of the KZ equation. Triangular braid matrices

As noted in the Introduction, if we allow for unphysical values of p such that, say, $\mathfrak{p} = h$, then the braid matrix B_1 (or B_3) is no longer diagonalizable, the corresponding solution of the HG KZ equation having a logarithmic singularity. We shall now introduce a regular basis of solutions which remains meaningful also for such exceptional values of \mathfrak{p} . To this end we first introduce the $U_q(sl_n)$ counterpart of the basis I_0, I_1 of $SU(n)$ invariants, setting

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \langle p' | a_\alpha^m a_\beta^{m'} | p \rangle \quad (m < m'), \quad \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^1 = -\mathcal{I}_{\alpha'\beta'}^0 A_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'} = \mathcal{I}_{\beta\alpha}^0 - q^{\epsilon_{\beta\alpha}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^0 \quad (4.1)$$

where $A = (A_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'})$ is the quantum antisymmetrizer of [23] satisfying $A^2 = [2]A$). We shall set

$$f_{\alpha\beta}^\ell(\eta) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^1 f_\lambda^\ell \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda \quad (= \sum_{\lambda=0}^1 s_\lambda^\ell \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda \text{ for } \mathfrak{p} < h) \quad (4.2)$$

(cf. (3.1)), and will demonstrate that the solution of the system (2.17) is given by the contour integrals

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^\ell(\eta) &= N_0 \int_\eta^1 t^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}-\ell} (1-t)^{\frac{1}{h}-1+\ell} (t-\eta)^{\frac{1}{h}-1} dt = \\ &= N_0 (1-\eta)^{\frac{2}{h}-1+\ell} \int_0^1 x^{\frac{1}{h}-1+\ell} (1-x)^{\frac{1}{h}-1} (1-x(1-\eta))^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}-\ell} dx = \\ &= N_0 B\left(\frac{1}{h}, \ell + \frac{1}{h}\right) (1-\eta)^{\frac{2}{h}-1+\ell} F\left(\ell - \frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}, \ell + \frac{1}{h}; \ell + \frac{2}{h}; 1-\eta\right), \\ f_1^\ell(\eta) &= N_1 \int_0^\eta t^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}-\ell} (1-t)^{\frac{1}{h}-1+\ell} (\eta-t)^{\frac{1}{h}-1} dt = \\ &= N_1 \eta^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}-\ell} \int_0^1 x^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}-\ell} (1-x)^{\frac{1}{h}-1} (1-x\eta)^{\frac{1}{h}-1+\ell} dx = \\ &= N_1 B\left(\frac{1}{h}, 1-\ell + \frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}\right) \eta^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}-\ell} F\left(1-\ell - \frac{1}{h}, 1-\ell + \frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}; 1-\ell + \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}; \eta\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

($\ell = 0, 1$). The functions $f_\lambda^\ell(\eta)$ are chosen in such a way that if B_2 is the braid matrix associated with the exchange $\widehat{23}$, then

$$(B_2)_\mu^\lambda \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu = \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'\beta'}^\mu \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'}, \quad q^{\frac{1}{n}} \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'} = q \delta_\alpha^{\alpha'} \delta_\beta^{\beta'} - A_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'}; \quad (4.4)$$

in other words, analytic continuation along the path (3.11) combined with a permutation of the indices A, B is equivalent to the action of the constant (Jimbo) R -matrix.

Equating the expansion (4.2) with (3.1) and using (A.19), (A.20), we can find the relation with the s -channel basis of KZ solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} s_0^\ell(\eta) &= f_0^\ell(\eta) - \frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} f_1^\ell, & s_1^\ell(\eta) &= \rho(\mathbf{p}) \frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} f_1^\ell(\eta) \\ (\Rightarrow f_0^\ell(\eta) &= s_0^\ell(\eta) + \rho(-\mathbf{p}) s_1^\ell(\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

While the integral representations (4.3) defining f_λ^ℓ make perfect sense for all $\mathbf{p} > \ell$, the amplitudes s_λ^ℓ are ill defined for $\mathbf{p} = h$. The $\widehat{23}$ braid matrix determined from (4.4) and (A.18),

$$B_2 = \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{n}} \begin{pmatrix} q & 1 \\ 0 & -\bar{q} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.6)$$

agrees with (3.25). Indeed, it follows from (3.25) and (A.19) that

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} & \rho(\mathbf{p}) \frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^0 \\ \mathcal{S}^1 \end{pmatrix} &= \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} & \rho(\mathbf{p}) \frac{[\mathbf{p}-1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{q}^{\frac{1}{n}}}{[\mathbf{p}]} \begin{pmatrix} q^{\mathbf{p}} & \rho(\mathbf{p})[\mathbf{p}-1] \\ \rho(-\mathbf{p})[\mathbf{p}+1] & -\bar{q}^{\mathbf{p}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^0 \\ \mathcal{S}^1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which is consistent with (4.6) due to the q -number identities

$$q[\mathbf{p}] - [\mathbf{p}-1] = q^{\mathbf{p}}, \quad [\mathbf{p}+1] - q^{\mathbf{p}} = \bar{q}[\mathbf{p}], \quad [\mathbf{p}-1] + \bar{q}^{\mathbf{p}} = \bar{q}[\mathbf{p}]. \quad (4.7)$$

Remark 4.1 If we identify Φ_p in (2.2) with another $SU(n)$ step operator (setting $p_{12} = 2$, $p_{ii+1} = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$), then we can speak of a monodromy representation of the braid group \mathcal{B}_3 on 3 strands with generators B_2 (4.6) and

$$B_2 = \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{n}} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{q} & 0 \\ 1 & q \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

corresponding to the braiding $\widehat{34}$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_{34} &\rightarrow e^{-i\pi} z_{34}, \quad \eta \rightarrow \frac{e^{-i\pi}\eta}{1-\eta}, \quad D \rightarrow \bar{q}^{\frac{n+1}{n}} (1-\eta)^{\frac{3}{n}} D, \\ (1-\eta)I_0 &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{1-\eta} I_0, \quad \eta I_1 \rightarrow \frac{-\eta}{1-\eta} (I_0 + I_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Note that in this case p' determined by $p'_{12} = 2 = p'_{23}$, $p'_{ii+1} = 1$ for $3 \leq i \leq n-1$ corresponds to the Young tableau \boxplus and hence is, in general, different from p , except for $n = 2$ when $\Phi_{p'} (= \Phi_{p'^*})$ is another step operator. In the $n = 2$ case we are actually dealing with a special representation of the braid group \mathcal{B}_4 , for which $B_1 = B_3$.

Eqs. (4.5), on the other hand, allow to relate the normalization constants K_λ of (3.4)-(3.8) and N_λ of (4.3). We find, comparing (3.8) with the second equation (4.3) for $\ell = 1$ and (4.5)

$$\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p}-1} K_1 = \rho(\mathfrak{p}) \frac{[\mathfrak{p}-1]}{[\mathfrak{p}]} N_1 B\left(\frac{1}{h}, \frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}\right) \Rightarrow K_1 = \rho(\mathfrak{p}) N_1 B\left(\frac{1}{h}, -\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}\right); \quad (4.10)$$

similarly, from (3.4), the first equation (4.3) and (4.5) we deduce

$$K_0 = N_0 B\left(\frac{1}{h}, \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}\right) \Rightarrow k(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{K_1}{K_0} = \rho(\mathfrak{p}) \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}) \Gamma(\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}}{h})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-\mathfrak{p}}{h}) \Gamma(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h})} \frac{N_1}{N_0}. \quad (4.11)$$

Comparing with (3.24), we find

$$N_0 = N_1 \left(= \frac{K_0}{B(\frac{1}{h}, \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h})} \right). \quad (4.12)$$

Inserting (3.4), (3.7) and (4.3) into the last equation (4.5) for $\ell = 0$ is equivalent to the following relation for HG functions [35]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{N_0 B(\frac{1}{h}, \frac{1}{h})} f_0^0(\eta) = \right) (1-\eta)^{\frac{2}{h}-1} F\left(\frac{1-\mathfrak{p}}{h}, \frac{1}{h}; \frac{2}{h}; 1-\eta\right) = \\ & = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}) \Gamma(\frac{2}{h})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{h}) \Gamma(\frac{\mathfrak{p}+1}{h})} F\left(1-\frac{1}{h}, 1-\frac{\mathfrak{p}+1}{h}; 1-\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}; \eta\right) + \\ & + \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}) \Gamma(\frac{2}{h})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{h}) \Gamma(\frac{1-\mathfrak{p}}{h})} \eta^{\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}} F\left(1-\frac{1}{h}, 1+\frac{\mathfrak{p}-1}{h}; 1+\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{h}; \eta\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

(We note that the *poles* appearing for $\mathfrak{p} = h$ in the right hand side of (4.13) cancel.)

5 Concluding remarks

The *regular basis* f_λ (4.3) of solutions of the KZ equation (dual to the basis \mathcal{I}^λ of $U_q(sl_n)$ invariants) is characterized by the following properties.

- (i) The functions f_λ^ℓ , $\ell = 0, 1$, are well defined for all $\mathfrak{p} > \ell$ including the value $\mathfrak{p} = h$ (for which s_λ^ℓ blow up).
- (ii) The braid matrices B_2 (4.6) and B_3 for $\mathfrak{p} = 2$ (see (4.8)) are upper and lower triangular, respectively. Unlike their s -channel basis counterparts (as (3.17)), they have no singularities at $\mathfrak{p} = h$. One could say that the s -basis is ill defined since it pretends to diagonalize the (non-diagonalizable for $\mathfrak{p} = h$) braid matrix B_3 .

(iii) The elements of the braid matrices B_a belong to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(q)$. This remark has been exploited in [38] to solve the Schwarz problem (of classifying the cases when the monodromy representation of the braid group gives rise to a finite matrix group). In fact, due to the observation that the braid groups for $\widehat{su}(n)_k$ and $\widehat{su}(2)_{k+n-2}$ essentially coincide, the results of [38] readily extend to the $\widehat{su}(n)$ case.

L.K.H. and I.T.T. acknowledge partial support from the Bulgarian National Council for Scientific Research under contract F-828.

Appendix A. Bases and operators in the space of $SU(n)$ and of $U_q(sl_n)$ invariant tensors

A basis in $\mathcal{F}(p, p')$ is conveniently expressed in terms of an $SU(n)$ *inter-twining matrix* $c = (c_i^A)$ whose entries are subject to quadratic exchange relations

$$c_i^B c_j^A = c_{i'}^A c_{j'}^B \hat{R}^c(p)_{ij}^{i'j'}, \quad p_i c_j^A = c_j^A (p_i + v_i^{(j)}), \quad v_i^{(j)} = \delta_i^j - \frac{1}{n} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and the determinant condition (in which the two ε -s are totally antisymmetric for $r(\mathbf{p}) = 1$ in (A.4) below)

$$\varepsilon^{i_1 \dots i_n} c_{i_1}^{A_1} \dots c_{i_n}^{A_n} = \mathcal{D}_1(p) \varepsilon^{A_1 \dots A_n}, \quad \mathcal{D}_1(p) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} p_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The matrix $\hat{R}^c(p)$ satisfies the *dynamical Yang-Baxter equation* [32, 33, 34]

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_{12}^c(p) \hat{R}_{23}^c(p - v_1) \hat{R}_{12}^c(p) &= \hat{R}_{23}^c(p - v_1) \hat{R}_{12}^c(p) \hat{R}_{23}^c(p - v_1) \\ \left(\hat{R}_{23}^c(p - v_1)_{j_1 j_2 j_3}^{i_1 i_2 i_3} = \delta_{j_1}^{i_1} \hat{R}^c(p - v^{(i_1)})_{j_2 j_3}^{i_2 i_3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and the *ice condition* $\hat{R}^c(p)_{kl}^{ij} = a^{ij}(p) \delta_l^i \delta_k^j + b^{ij}(p) \delta_k^i \delta_l^j$. The solution (a special case – for $q = 1$ – of the quantum dynamical R -matrix given below) depends on a non-zero function $r(p_{ij})$:

$$\hat{R}^c(p)_{ii}^{ii} = 1 \quad (\Leftrightarrow [c_i^A, c_i^B] = 0), \quad \begin{pmatrix} \hat{R}^c(p)_{mm'}^{mm'} & \hat{R}^c(p)_{m'm}^{mm'} \\ \hat{R}^c(p)_{mm'}^{m'm} & \hat{R}^c(p)_{m'm}^{m'm} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\mathbf{p}+1}{r(\mathbf{p})} \\ \frac{\mathbf{p}-1}{r(-\mathbf{p})} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

($m \neq m'$, $\mathbf{p} = p_{mm'}$). The involutivity of $\hat{R}^c(p)$ implied by (A.1) fixes its determinant to -1 :

$$(\hat{R}^c(p))^2 = \mathbb{I} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad r(\mathbf{p})r(-\mathbf{p}) = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \det \hat{R}^c(p) = -1. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We consider the Fock-type representation of the intertwining matrix algebra with an $SU(n)$ -invariant vacuum such that

$$c_i^A |0\rangle = 0, \quad i > 1, \quad \langle 0 | c_j^A = 0, \quad j < n \quad (|0\rangle = |p^{(0)}\rangle, \quad p_{ij}^{(0)} = j - i). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The meaning of the above relations is that c_i^A , acting on a ket vector $|p\rangle$, adds a box to the i -th row of the Young tableau associated with the $SU(n)$ IR of highest weight p (a more general statement, valid for $U_q = U_q(sl_n)$ and generic values of q is proven in Section 3.1 of [23]).

After these preliminaries we shall introduce a basis of eigenvectors of Ω_{12} (and hence, of C_{12}), setting

$$S_0^{AB} = T_{mm'}^{AB} := \langle p' | c_m^A c_{m'}^B | p \rangle, \quad S_1^{AB} = T_{m'm}^{AB} := \langle p' | c_{m'}^A c_m^B | p \rangle. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Indeed, for $p' = p + v^{(i)} + v^{(j)}$ we have

$$C_{12} T_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(|p + v^{(j)}|^2 - |p'|^2 - \frac{n^2 - 1}{n} \right) T_{ij} = - \left(p_i + \delta_{ij} + \frac{n^2 + n - 4}{2n} \right) T_{ij}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Hence, in view of (2.13),

$$\Omega_{12} S_0 = 0, \quad \Omega_{12} S_1 = p_{mm'} S_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Omega_{12}^2 = p_{mm'} \Omega_{12}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The spectrum of Ω_{23} , on the other hand, is found from the last equation in (2.13) which yields $\Omega_{23}^2 = 2\Omega_{23}$. We have

$$\Omega_{23} S_0 = (P_{23} + \mathbb{1}) S_0 \Rightarrow \Omega_{23} (P_{23} - \mathbb{1}) S_0 = 0, \quad \Omega_{23} (P_{23} + \mathbb{1}) S_0 = 2(P_{23} + \mathbb{1}) S_0. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

This suggests the introduction of the basis (2.15):

$$I_0 := S_0, \quad I_1 := (P_{23} - \mathbb{1}) S_0 \quad (\Rightarrow P_{23} I_0 = I_0 + I_1, \quad P_{23} I_1 = -I_1). \quad (\text{A.11})$$

In order to express, conversely, S_1 in terms of I_0, I_1 we use (A.1), (A.4) to derive

$$(P_{23} S_0)^{AB} = T_{mm'}^{BA} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}} (T_{mm'}^{AB} + r(\mathfrak{p})(\mathfrak{p} - 1) T_{m'm}^{AB}), \quad (\text{A.12})$$

or

$$S_1^{AB} (\equiv T_{m'm}^{AB}) = r(-\mathfrak{p}) \left(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{\mathfrak{p} - 1} I_1^{AB} + I_0^{AB} \right), \quad I_1^{AB} = \frac{\mathfrak{p} - 1}{\mathfrak{p}} (r(\mathfrak{p}) S_1^{AB} - S_0^{AB}). \quad (\text{A.13})$$

The action of Ω_{ab} on I_ℓ is given by (2.15) and by

$$\Omega_{23} I_0 = 2 I_0 + I_1, \quad \Omega_{12} I_1 = \mathfrak{p} I_1 + (\mathfrak{p} - 1) I_0. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

These relations imply also the last two equations (2.14).

We proceed to displaying the interrelations between the U_q invariants \mathcal{S}^λ (3.1) and \mathcal{I}^λ (4.1) derived from the properties of the *quantum matrix algebra* generated by a_α^i and q^{p_i} with basis exchange relations

$$\hat{R}(p)_{i'j'}^{ij} a_\alpha^{i'} a_\beta^{j'} = a_\alpha^i a_\beta^j \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'} , \quad q^{p_i} a_\alpha^j = a_\alpha^j q^{p_i + \delta_i^j - \frac{1}{n}} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

(plus a suitable determinant condition, see [34, 23]) where \hat{R} is the (Jimbo) U_q $n^2 \times n^2$ R -matrix appearing in (4.4). The dynamical R -matrix $\hat{R}(p)$ again satisfies (A.3) while the involutivity property (A.5) is replaced by a more general Hecke algebra condition implying

$$q^{\frac{1}{n}} \hat{R}(p) = q \mathbb{I} - A(p) , \quad A(p)^2 = [2] A(p) . \quad (\text{A.16})$$

The third equation in (3.3a) corresponds to the solution

$$A(p)_{i'j'}^{ij} = \frac{[p_{ij} - 1]}{[p_{ij}]} (\delta_{i'}^i \delta_{j'}^j - \rho(p_{ij}) \delta_{j'}^i \delta_{i'}^j) , \quad i \neq j , \quad A_{i'j'}^{ii} = 0 = A_{i'j'}^{jj} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

($\rho(p_{ij})\rho(p_{ji}) = 1$). It follows from the definition (4.1) that

$$q^{\frac{1}{n}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'\beta'}^0 \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'} = q \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^0 + \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^1 , \quad q^{\frac{1}{n}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha'\beta'}^1 \hat{R}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'\beta'} = -\bar{q} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^1 . \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Eqs. (3.1), (4.1), (4.4) and (A.15)-(A.17), on the other hand, imply

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^1 = \frac{[\mathbf{p} - 1]}{[\mathbf{p}]} (\rho(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^1 - \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^0) , \quad \mathbf{p} = p_{mm'} \quad (m \neq m') . \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Conversely, $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda$ are expressed in terms of $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^\lambda$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^0 = \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^0 , \quad \rho(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{S}_{\alpha\beta}^1 = \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^0 + \frac{[\mathbf{p}]}{[\mathbf{p} - 1]} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha\beta}^1 . \quad (\text{A.20})$$

As noted above, relations (A.11), (A.13) appear as the $q \rightarrow 1$ limit of (A.19), (A.20) (for $\mathcal{I}^0 \rightarrow I_0$, resp. $\mathcal{I}^1 \rightarrow I_1$, and $\rho \rightarrow r$).

References

- [1] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **92** (1984) 455.
- [2] D. Gepner and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B278** (1986) 493.
- [3] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B247** (1984) 83;
I.T. Todorov, International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Physics, Shumen, 1984, Eds. H.-D. Doebner and T.Palev (World Scientific, Singapore) pp. 297-347; Phys. Lett. **B153** (1985) 77.

- [4] A. Tsuchia and Y. Kanie, Lett. Math. Phys. **13** (1987) 303; Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **16** (1988) 297; Errata, *ibid* **19** (1990) 675.
- [5] T. Kohno, Ann. Inst. Fourier **37:4** (1987) 139.
- [6] L. Alvarez–Gaumé, C. Gomez and G. Sierra, Nucl. Phys. **B319** (1989) 155; Phys. Lett. **B220** (1989) 142; Nucl. Phys. **B330** (1990) 347.
- [7] G. Felder, J. Fröhlich and G. Keller, Commun. Math. Phys. **124** (1989) 647.
- [8] A. Ganchev and V. Petkova, Phys. Lett. **B233** (1989) 374;
P. Furlan, A. Ganchev and V. Petkova, Phys. Lett. **B233** (1989) 374.
- [9] I.T. Todorov, *Quantum groups as symmetries of chiral conformal algebras*, **in:** Quantum Groups, Eds. H-D. Doebner, J.-D. Hennig, Lecture Notes in Physics **370** pp. 231-277, Springer, Berlin (1990);
L.K. Hadjiivanov, R.R. Paunov and I.T. Todorov, Nucl. Phys. **B356** (1991) 387.
- [10] K. Gawędzki, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **18B** (1990) 78.
- [11] Y.S. Stanev, I.T. Todorov and L.K. Hadjiivanov, Phys. Lett. **276B** (1992) 87-94;
Y.S. Stanev and I.T. Todorov, *Monodromy representations of the mapping class group B_n for the su_2 Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation*, **in:** Low Dimensional Models in Statistical Physics and Quantum Field Theory, Eds. H. Grosse, L. Pittner, pp. 201-222, Springer, Berlin (1996).
- [12] O. Babelon, Phys. Lett. **B215** (1988) 523;
B. Blok, Phys. Lett. **B233** (1989) 359.
- [13] L.D. Faddeev, Commun. Math. Phys. **132** (1990) 131;
A. Alekseev and S. Shatashvili, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990) 353.
- [14] J. Balog, L. Dabrowski and L. Fehér, Phys. Lett. **B244** (1990) 227-234.
- [15] L.D. Faddeev, Gargèse lectures 1991, **in:** New Symmetry Principles in Quantum Field Theory, Eds. J. Fröhlich et al., Plenum Press, NY (1992), pp. 159–175;
A.Yu. Alekseev, L.D. Faddeev and M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Commun. Math. Phys. **149** (1992) 335.
- [16] K. Gawędzki, Commun. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 201.
- [17] F. Falceto and K. Gawędzki, J. Geom. Phys. **11** (1993) 251.

- [18] M. Chu, P. Goddard, I. Halliday, D. Olive and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 71-81;
M. Chu and P. Goddard, Nucl. Phys. **B445** (1995) 145-168.
- [19] P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov and I.T. Todorov, *Canonical approach to the quantum WZNW model*, ICTP Trieste and ESI Vienna preprint IC/95/74, ESI 234 (1995).
- [20] P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov and I.T. Todorov, Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 497, hep-th/9602101.
- [21] P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov and I.T. Todorov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 23, hep-th/9610202.
- [22] L. Caneschi and M. Lysiansky, Nucl. Phys. **B505** (1997) 701-726.
- [23] P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov, A.P. Isaev, O.V. Ogievetsky, P.N. Pyatov and I.T. Todorov, IHES Bures-sur-Yvette preprint IHES/P/00/11, hep-th/0003210 (submitted to Commun. Math. Phys.).
- [24] M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **21** (1985) 1237.
- [25] A.Yu. Alekseev and L.D. Faddeev, Commun. Math. Phys. **141** (1991) 413.
- [26] M. Dubois-Violette and I.T. Todorov, Lett. Math. Phys. **42** (1997) 183-192, hep-th/9704069; Lett. Math. Phys. **48** (1999) 323-338, math.QA/9905071.
- [27] M. Dubois-Violette, P. Furlan, L.K. Hadjiivanov, A.P. Isaev, P.N. Pyatov and I.T. Todorov, *A finite dimensional gauge problem in the WZNW model*, Orsay and Vienna preprint L.P.T.-ORSAY 99-73, ESI 778 (October 1999), to appear in the Proceedings of the International Symposium "Quantum Theory and Symmetries", 18-22 July 1999, Goslar, Germany, hep-th/9910206.
- [28] P. Furlan, Ya.S. Stanev and I.T. Todorov, Lett. Math. Phys. **22** (1991) 307.
- [29] L.D. Faddeev, N. Yu. Reshetikhin and L.A. Takhtajan, Algebra i Anal. **1:1** (1989) 178 (English translation: Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 193).
- [30] M. Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986) 247.
- [31] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley 1986, Academic Press (1986), vol.1, pp. 798-820.

- [32] J.-L. Gervais and A. Neveu, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 125.
- [33] A.P. Isaev, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **29** (1996) 6903.
- [34] L.K. Hadjiivanov, A.P. Isaev, O.V. Ogievetsky, P.N. Pyatov and I.T. Todorov, J. Math. Phys. **40** (1999) 427, [q-alg/9712026](#).
- [35] H. Bateman, A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill Book Co., N.Y. (1953).
- [36] W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux: With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, N.Y. (1997).
- [37] V.G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1990).
- [38] Ya.S. Stanev and I.T. Todorov, Lett. Math. Phys. **35** (1995) 123.