Gravitino as a Sterile Neutrino

Taekoon Lee Center for Theoretical Physics, Seoul National University Seoul 151-742, Korea

Abstract

A scheme is described in which the light gravitino in low energy SUSY breaking models mixes with neutrinos. The mixing between gravitino and neutrinos arises through the standard model symmetry breaking and an R-parity and lepton number violating bilinear term in the superpotential. It is shown that mixings compatible with the neutrino experiments can be obtained within the cosmological bound on the bilinear term set by the baryon asymmetry of the universe.

The most promising explanation for the solar and atmospheric neutrino flux deficit is the neutrino oscillation that arises when there are mixings among a group of fermions that includes the three families of neutrinos and possibly sterile fermions neutral under the standard model symmetry group. Although the scheme in which mixings occur only within the three families of neutrinos is most economic, neutrino mixings with sterile fermions are an interesting possibility [1,2]. Moreover, the mixings with a sterile neutrino becomes necessary when one attempts to explain the combined data of the solar, atmospheric and LSND neutrino experiments which suggest existence of three different oscillation lengths. Even if not all of these neutrino experiments turn out correct we can still think of neutrino oscillation with sterile fermions.

The sterile fermions that mix with neutrinos must be light $\leq O(1\,\mathrm{eV})$ to be relevant for neutrino oscillation. Some of the candidates for the sterile fermions considered in the literature include axino and modulinos [3–5]. Being the superpartners of light scalars (axion and moduli, respectively) these fermions get small masses. In the low energy supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking models such as the gauge mediated SUSY breaking [6] or the no-scale supergravity [7], the gravitino can also be light [8,9], and so could play the role of a sterile neutrino. In this letter we consider mixings of light gravitino with neutrinos.

Gravitino is the spin $\frac{3}{2}$ superpartner of graviton and becomes massive when it absorbs through the super-Higgs mechanism the Goldstino from the spontaneous global SUSY breaking. The gravitino interaction with matter is dominated by its spin $\frac{1}{2}$ longitudinal component, which is essentially the absorbed Goldstino, and the interaction strength depends primarily on the SUSY breaking scale whereas the transverse components interaction with matter is suppressed by Plank mass. Thus the mixings between gravitino and neutrinos can arise through the Goldstino-neutrino mixings.

The easiest way to describe gravitino-neutrino mixing in supergravity is using the equivalence theorem [10] to replace the gravitino with Goldstino and work with the corresponding globally supersymmetric lagrangian. The equivalence theorem is applicable in our case since the neutrino energy is much larger than the gravitino mass in consideration.

Our scheme for the Goldstino-neutrino mixing is as follows. Mixings between Goldstino and neutrinos can occur only when the electroweak symmetry is broken since Goldstino is neutral under the symmetry. When the electroweak symmetry is broken the Goldstino necessarily mixes with the Higgsinos. To convert this mixing to Goldstino-neutrino mixing we introduce a small R-parity and lepton number violating bilinear term in the superpotential through which a neutrino-Higgsino mixing arises, which in turn mediates the Goldstino-neutrino mixing.

In our scheme the bilinear terms in the superpotential are given by

$$W = \epsilon_i L_i H_2 + \mu H_1 H_2 \tag{1}$$

where $i = e, \mu, \tau$ and L_i , H denote the lepton and Higgs chiral fields, respectively. The first term violates R-parity and lepton number conservation. There is a strong constraint on the magnitude of ϵ_i from the baryon asymmetry of the universe. When ϵ_i are sizable, the lepton number violation combined with the B+L violation through the sphaleron transitions washes out any relic B-L inherited before the weak symmetry breaking and results in B=L=0 universe. The constraint from this consideration is given by [11–13]

$$\epsilon_i \ll 10^{-6} \text{ GeV}.$$
 (2)

We shall see that a gravitino-neutrino mixing can arise within this bound.

When the electroweak symmetry is broken, the neutral Higgsinos mix with the Goldstino with a mixing angle of $O(F_2/F)$ where $F_2 = \mu H_1$ and F is the Goldstino decay constant. Thus the Higgsino \widetilde{H}_2 can be written in mass eigenstates as

$$\widetilde{H}_2 \sim \frac{F_2}{F} \chi + \cdots$$
 (3)

where χ denotes the Goldstino. The Goldstino-Higgsino mixing and the neutrino-Higgsino mixing from the R-parity violating interaction gives the Goldstino-neutrino mixing

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu,\gamma} \sim m_{\nu,\gamma} \nu_i \chi + c.c. \tag{4}$$

with

$$m_{\nu_i \chi} = \frac{\epsilon_i \mu H_1}{F} = \frac{\epsilon_i \mu v \cos \beta}{F} \sim 2 \left(\frac{\epsilon_i}{10^{-7} \text{GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{\mu}{300 \text{ GeV}}\right) \left(\frac{2 \text{ TeV}}{\sqrt{F}}\right)^2 \cos \beta \text{ (eV)}$$
 (5)

and

$$\tan \beta = \frac{H_2}{H_1}.\tag{6}$$

When the gravity is coupled, the Goldstino becomes the longitudinal component of the gravitino and obtains a mass

$$m_{\chi} = \frac{F}{\sqrt{3}M_P} \sim 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\sqrt{F}}{2 \,\text{TeV}}\right)^2 \text{ (eV)}$$
 (7)

where M_P is the Plank mass.

Let us now see that the mixing given in (4) can be compatible with the neutrino experiments. The neutrino masses may arise through the seesaw mechanism or others, but here we do not make any speculation on the pattern of the neutrino mass matrix. Instead we treat neutrino masses as parameters, and show that for a certain range of neutrino masses the above Goldstino-neutrino mixing can account for some of the missing neutrinos observed in the neutrino experiments. In the following we take $\mu = 300\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $\cos\beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$ as a reference.

The just-so solution for the solar neutrino problem with large mixing angle and $\Delta m^2 \sim 10^{-10}\,\mathrm{eV}^2$ would be achieved when $m_\chi, m_{\nu_e} \leq m_{\nu_e\chi} \approx 10^{-5}\,\mathrm{eV}$ which can be satisfied if $\sqrt{F} \sim 200\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and $\epsilon_e \sim 10^{-14}\,\mathrm{GeV}$ are taken. Note that the SUSY breaking scale \sqrt{F} is small but still above the low bound from the primordial nucleosynthesis [14], and ϵ_e is well below the cosmological bound (2). The MSW small mixing solution for the solar neutrino puzzle requiring a mixing angle $\theta \approx 4 \times 10^{-2}$ and $\Delta m^2 \approx 4 \times 10^{-6}\mathrm{eV}^2$ would be realized provided $m_{\nu_e} < m_\chi \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}\,\mathrm{eV}$, $m_{\nu_e\chi} \approx 10^{-4}\,\mathrm{eV}$. This can be satisfied if we take $\sqrt{F} \sim 2$ TeV and $\epsilon_e \sim 10^{-11}\,\mathrm{GeV}$ which is also well below the bound (2). Now the atmospheric neutrino data from Super-Kamiokande collaboration suggest a neutrino oscillation of almost maximal mixing and $\Delta m^2 \approx 2 \times 10^{-3}\mathrm{eV}^2$. This would be realized if $m_{\nu_\mu\chi} \gg m_\chi$ and $m_\chi \geq m_{\nu_\mu}$ are satisfied. Then the maximal mixing arises from the pseudo Dirac nature of the neutrino mass matrix, and the mass splitting is given by

$$\Delta m^2 \sim 2m_{\nu_{\mu}\chi} m_{\chi} \approx 3 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\mu}}{10^{-7} \text{GeV}} \right) \text{ (eV}^2)$$
 (8)

which would give the required value if $\epsilon_{\mu} \sim 10^{-7}$ GeV is taken. Note again that ϵ_{μ} satisfies the cosmological bound. It is remarkable that the mass splitting is independent of the SUSY breaking scale except the condition $\sqrt{F} \leq 20$ TeV obtained by assuming $m_{\chi}/m_{\nu_{\mu}\chi} \leq 10^{-1}$.

The combined data of the solar, atmospheric and LSND neutrino experiments suggest existence of three different Δm^2 which is possible only when there are more than four neutrinos. In this case the mixing of a sterile neutrino with the three active neutrinos is strongly constrained by the primordial nucleosynthesis [15,16]. The requirement $N_{\nu} < 4$ at neutrino decoupling does not allow large mixing of a sterile neutrino with the active neutrinos, and so $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_s$ and $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations are favored for the solar and atmospheric neutrino deficit, respectively. The implication of this conclusion on our gravitino-neutrino mixing is that the SUSY breaking scale should be $\sqrt{F} \le 2 \text{ TeV}$.

In conclusion we have pointed that the gravitino in the low energy SUSY breaking models could mix with neutrinos through its spin half longitudinal component and a lepton number violating bilinear term in the superpotential. To be compatible with the neutrino experiments the SUSY breaking scale is required to be in the range $.2\,\text{TeV} \le \sqrt{F} \le 20\,\text{TeV}$.

Acknowledgements: It is great pleasure to thank E.J. Chun for useful discussions and introducing me his paper [4]. This work was in part supported by the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF).

REFERENCES

- E. Ma and P. Roy, Phys. Rev. D52, 4780 (1995); R. Foot and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D52, 6595 (1995); Z. Berezhiani and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D52, 6607 (1995); E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. A1, 1893 (1996).
- [2] N. Arkani-Hamed and Y. Grossman, hep-ph/9806223; M. Bando and K. Yoshioka, hep-ph/9806400; S. Davidson and S.F. King, hep-ph/9808296; Q. Shafi and Z. Tavartkiladze, hep-ph/9811463; C. Liu and J. Song, hep-ph/9812381; Y. Okamoto and M. Yasue, hep-ph/9812403.
- [3] E. J. Chun, A. S. Joshipura and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B357, 608 (1995); Phys. Rev. D54, 4654 (1996).
- [4] E.J. Chun, hep-ph/9901220.
- [5] K. Benakli and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4313 (1997); G. Dvali and Y. Nir,J. High Energy Phys., 9810:014 (1998).
- [6] M. Dine and A.E. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D48, 1277 (1993); M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, and Y. Shirman, ibid. 51 (1995) 1362; M. Dine, A.E. Nelson, and Y. Shirman, ibid. 53 (1996) 2658.
- [7] J. Ellis, A.B. Lahanas, D.V. Nanopoulos and K. Tamvakis, Phys. Lett. B134, 429 (1984).
- [8] Izawa K.-I, Y. Nomura and T. Yanagida, hep-ph/9901345.
- [9] J. Ellis, K. Enqvist, and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B147, 99 (1984).
- [10] R.Casabuoni, S. De Curtis, D. Dominici, F. Feruglio, and R. Gatto, Phys. Lett. B215, 313 (1988).
- [11] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. D42, 1285 (1990).
- [12] B.A. Campbell, S. Davidson, J.E. Ellis and K. Olive, Phys. Lett. B256, 457 (1991).
- [13] E. Ma, M. Raidal and U. Sarkar, hep-ph/9901406.
- [14] T. Gherghetta, Nucl. Phys. B485, 25 (1997).
- [15] N. Okada and O. Yasuda, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A12, 3669 (1997).
- [16] S.M. Bilenkii, C. Giunti, W. Grimus and T. Schwetz, hep-ph/9804421.