

Calculation of density fluctuation in inflationary epoch

A . Kundu, S. Mallik
Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,
1/A F Biddhannagar, Calcutta- 700 064, India

and

D . Rai Chaudhuri
Presidency College, Physics Department,
87, College Street, Calcutta - 700 012, India

Starting from an initial state of thermal equilibrium, we derive an expression for the quantum fluctuation in the energy density during the inflationary epoch in terms of the mode functions for the inflaton field. The effect of this particular initial state is not washed out in the final formula contrary to the usual belief in the literature. Numerically, however, the effect is completely negligible, validating the use of zero-temperature evaluation of the two point function. We also point out the requirement of conventional quantum field theory on non-flat space-times, that the quantum fluctuation in a wavelength must be evaluated, at the latest, when the wavelength crosses again the Hubble length, in contrast to the usual practice in the literature.

I. INTRODUCTION

The most attractive aspect of inflationary models of the early universe [1] is their potential to predict the present day density inhomogeneity from first principles [2]. In these models it is possible to calculate quantum fluctuations in the energy density on the homogeneous background in a region within the causal horizon (given by the Hubble length) during the inflationary epoch. These fluctuations provide the initial spectrum of density perturbation. As the region inflates into the observed universe or bigger, their propagation through different eras can be followed till the present time by the equations of linear perturbation theory of classical gravity [3].

In this work we consider some points in the calculation of the quantum fluctuations during inflation. The basic ingredient is the expectation value of the product of two scalar field operators at a time when considerable inflation has already taken place. It is generally believed that as the inflation proceeds, the effects of all scales associated with a particular initial state tend to be wiped out, these being tremendously red-shifted, retaining only the extremely high energies associated with quantum fluctuations in the vacuum. So the expectation value is evaluated for the homogeneous background, which corresponds to the vacuum state of quantum field theory.

Here we investigate how far the density fluctuations are actually independent of the initial condition prevailing at the beginning of inflation. For this purpose, we start with a definite initial state, namely that of thermal equilibrium. The first attempt in this direction was by Guth and Pi [4]. We discuss it here in a more general framework [5], [6]. The thermal propagator can be followed till the time when the quantum fluctuations are evaluated.

The existence of an initial thermal equilibrium distribution of particles, at least for the high wave numbers needed for the calculation of density fluctuation, appears quite probable. Even if the collision rates among the particles are too small to produce such a state, there could be another mechanism at work. As pointed out by Weinberg [7], the strong gravitational interaction at very early times would bring about thermal equilibrium, which, as we shall argue, could be maintained till the time around the beginning of inflation.

The other point we discuss is the time at which the quantum fluctuations must be evaluated. It relates to the applicability of quantum field theory in curved space-time. As emphasised by DeWitt [8], conventional quantum field theory requires the mode functions to be oscillatory in time, allowing positive and negative frequencies to be identified. While on flat space-time such modes naturally arise for field theories describing physical particles, their

existence is not guaranteed on space-times with non-zero curvature. The reason is that the curvature gives rise to a damping term in the equation of motion for the mode functions. A mode is oscillatory or damped (frozen) according as the associated physical wavelength is smaller or bigger than the Hubble length.

In the inflationary scenario, the physical wavelengths grows at a tremendous rate, while the Hubble length remains constant or approximately so. A wavelength lying initially deep inside the Hubble length grows quickly to exceed this length. Thus the time of exit of a wavelength outside the Hubble length marks the latest time at which to evaluate the quantum fluctuation belonging to that particular wavelength.

In the literature, however, the quantum fluctuation is actually evaluated at a time, when the modes have evolved well outside the the Hubble length, so as to be frozen [9]. Of course, the complete problem of predicting the density fluctuations at the time of Hubble length reentry in a radiation or matter dominated phase does involve the evolution of the fluctuations over a much longer period of time, but the question at hand is where the quantum fluctuation can be evaluated reliably.

In Sect. 2 we review the derivation of the thermal scalar propagator in the early universe. In particular, we show the behaviour of mode functions as the wavelengths grow and discuss the condition for thermal equilibrium at the beginning of inflation. In Sect. 3 we write the formula for density fluctuation in terms of the mode functions and discuss its dependence on the initial condition of thermal equilibrium. In Sect. 4 we consider the simple, original model of extended inflation as an example [10]. Finally, we conclude in Sect. 5.

II. FINITE TEMPERATURE SCALAR PROPAGATOR

Consider a region of space in the early universe well within the causal horizon. It can then be taken to be homogeneous and isotropic, admitting the (spatially flat) line element

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) d\mathbf{x}^2; \quad (2.1)$$

where the scale factor $a(t)$ describes the expansion of the region of the universe. It constitutes the background space-time, which is perturbed by quantum fluctuations. The action for the scalar field in this space-time may be generally written as,

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x dt a^3(t) \left[\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{a^2(t)} (\nabla \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right] + \int d^3x dt a^3(t) \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\phi, \psi, \dots); \quad (2.2)$$

Here we have already shifted the scalar field by a classical, homogeneous field, The dots indicate interaction term, if any, of the scalar field with other (gauge and matter) fields.

We assume the different species of particles to be in thermal equilibrium around some initial time t_0 , which we conveniently take to be the time of transition of the radiation dominated phase to the inflationary phase. In particular, the scalar particles belonging to $\phi(x)$ are also assumed to be in thermal equilibrium. (These assumptions will be examined at the end of this section.) The density matrix is then given by

$$\rho = e^{-\beta H(t_0)} = T \text{re}^{-\beta H(t_0)}; \quad (2.3)$$

where $\frac{1}{T} = \beta = 1/T(t_0)$ is the temperature at time t_0 . The explicit time dependence of the Hamiltonian $H(t)$ arises from that of the scale factor and the homogeneous classical field. Note that the density matrix is constant in the Heisenberg representation. Thus once the system is in the thermal equilibrium state, the thermal propagator continues to hold even when the system deviates from this state.

We now review the derivation of the thermal propagator for the scalar field [5] [6]. In presence of the time dependent metric, we must work with the real time formulation of the thermal field theory. The Feynman path integral involves the time path of Fig.1. The three segments of the contour are labeled by a continuous parameter τ , where

$$= \begin{cases} t; & \text{on } C_1 \text{ and } C_2 \\ t_0 - it; & \text{on } C_3 \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

To work out the propagator we write the quadratic part of the action compactly as [11]

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_C d^3x d \left[\frac{d^2}{d^2} (t) f^{-2} + !^2(\cdot) \right] g; \quad !^2(\cdot) = \frac{1}{a^2(\cdot)} r^2 + \cdot^2(\cdot); \quad (2.5)$$

By a partial integration S_0 may be put in the form

$$S_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_C d^3x D + \text{boundary terms}; \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$D = \begin{cases} a^3 \left[\frac{d^2}{d^2} + 3 \frac{a}{a} \frac{d}{d} + !^2 \right]; & \text{on } C_1, C_2 \\ a_0^3 \left[\frac{d^2}{d^2} + !_0^2 \right]; & \text{on } C_3 \end{cases} \quad !_0^2 = \frac{1}{a_0^2} r^2 + \cdot^2(t_0); \quad (2.7)$$

For the boundary terms to vanish, it is necessary that both $\frac{d}{d}$ and $\frac{d}{d}$ match at the joining of the segments C_1 and C_2 , C_2 and C_3 as well as of C_3 and C_1 .

Note that no continuation of $a(\cdot)$ and $(\cdot)$ is made to the complex value on the Euclidean segment $\mathcal{C}$. The thermal propagator $\text{Tr } T(x)(x^0)$, to be denoted below by $G(x; x^0; \cdot^0)$ or $\langle T(x)(x^0) \rangle$ satisfies

$$D G(x; x^0; \cdot^0) = i^3 (x - x^0) (\cdot^0) \quad (2.8)$$

with boundary conditions following from the matching of $\frac{d}{d}$ and $\frac{d}{d}$ mentioned above. The spatial Fourier transform of the propagator defined by

$$G(x; \cdot^0) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ikx} G(k; \cdot^0) \quad (2.9)$$

satisfies

$$D G(k; \cdot^0) = i (\cdot^0); \quad (2.10)$$

From now on $!^2(\cdot)$ and $!_0^2$ are defined by their earlier expressions with r^2 replaced by k^2 .

The mode functions on the contour C_3 satisfy

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} + !_0^2 h(\cdot) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

and are immediately given by

$$h(\cdot) = a_0^{3=2} e^{i!_0 \cdot}; \quad \cdot = t_0 \text{ on } C_3 \quad (2.12)$$

The mode functions on the real segments C_1 and C_2 satisfy

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} + 3 \frac{a}{a} \frac{d}{d} + \cdot^2 g(\cdot) = 0; \quad \cdot = t \text{ on } C_{1,2}; \quad (2.13)$$

Its exact solutions depends on the t dependence of (t) and $a(t)$. But the nature of the solutions around $t = t_0$ can be determined quite generally. We remove the first derivative by the substitution

$$g(\cdot) = a^{3=2} g(\cdot); \quad (2.14)$$

where g satisfies

$$\frac{d^2}{d^2} + !^2(\cdot) g(\cdot) = 0 \quad (2.15)$$

with

$$\Delta^2(k) = \frac{k^2}{a^2} + \frac{9}{4} H^2 + \frac{2}{3} \dot{H}; \quad H(t) = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)}; \quad (2.16)$$

We show below that Δ^2 can be positive and sufficiently large for all k values around the time t_0 . Then in the JWKB approximation, we have

$$g = a(t)^{3/2} \frac{1}{\Gamma_0} e^{\pm i \int_{t_0}^t \Delta^2 dt}; \quad t_0: \quad (2.17)$$

The solution $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ may now be joined to form the functions $f(t)$ on the entire contour C ,

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} g(t); & C_{1,2} \\ h(t); & C_3 \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

By definition, $f(t)$ obey the continuity conditions relating the segments C_1 and C_2 . The conditions connecting C_2 and C_3 are also well satisfied if $H(t_0)$ is small compared to $k=a(t_0)$ [12]. A particular solution to (2.10) may now be written as

$$G_0(k; t_0) = f^+(t) f^-(t_0) \theta(t - t_0) + f^+(t_0) f^-(t) \theta(t_0 - t); \quad (2.19)$$

where θ is a step function on the contour. It satisfies the continuity conditions at the junctions of contours C_1 and C_2 as well as of C_1 and C_3 , because $f(t)$ does it by construction. To satisfy the remaining (thermal) continuity condition at the end of C_1 and C_3 , we add to it the most general solution of the homogeneous equation

$$G(k; t_0) = G_0(k; t_0) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{X^2} f^i(t) f^j(t_0) \quad (2.20)$$

The superscript (i) on the mode functions are replaced temporarily by 1 and 2 to use matrix notation. The 2×2 constant coefficient matrix is uniquely determined by the thermal conditions. It is interesting to note that the resulting $G(k; t_0)$ is, as in flat space-time, invariant under reparametrization of the mode functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f^+(t) &\rightarrow f^+(t) + f(t); \\ f^-(t) &\rightarrow f^-(t) + f(t); \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

with the constant coefficients α ; β and γ constrained by $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$. The situation is to be contrasted with the propagators at zero temperature.

Using the mode functions (2.12) on the segment C_3 , (2.20) becomes

$$G(k; t_0) = g^+(t) g^-(t_0) \theta(t - t_0) + n g + g^-(t) g^+(t_0) \theta(t_0 - t) + n g \quad (2.22)$$

where n is the bosonic distribution function $n(t_0) = (e^{\beta_0} - 1)^{-1}$:

In the following we need the Green's function on the real axis C_1 only. Writing henceforth $t = t_0$, we have the result

$$\langle \phi(x;t) \phi^*(x';t_0) \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik \cdot (x - x')} (1 + n(t_0)) g^+(t) g^-(t_0); \quad t > t_0 \quad (2.23)$$

where the mode functions $f(t)$ are solutions of (2.13).

We now come back to the assumption of the initial thermal equilibrium state. This state is ensured in an expanding universe if collisions among particles occur at a rate faster than the expansion rate of the universe. While this condition holds for species interacting through (relatively large) gauge coupling, it may not hold for particles of the inflation field, which is a gauge singlet and has weak self-interaction. As mentioned in the introduction, there could still be a mechanism to maintain them in thermal equilibrium around the time t_0 .

If the system is quantised in a cube with sides small compared to the Hubble length at time t_0 , then the smallest wave length will be well inside this length, i.e.:

$$\frac{k}{a(t_0)} > H(t_0) : \quad (2.24)$$

With $H(t) = H^2(t)$, as is usually the case, $H^2(t_0)$ given by (2.15) is positive and large even for the smallest possible wave number. We thus have a valid conventional quantum field theory around the time t_0 . If further $H(t_0) < T_0$, eqn. (2.16) becomes

$$H(t_0) > \frac{k}{a(t_0)} : \quad (2.25)$$

Then the thermalequilibrium state, already established through the strong gravitational interaction at very early times, will continue to be maintained for these modes around the time t_0 :

Actually the calculation of density fluctuation involves only modes of very high frequencies at time t_0 and not the entire spectrum. To see how large these frequencies are, we note that the latest time t_h for which a mode is oscillatory and hence belongs to quantum field theory is given by,

$$\frac{k}{a(t_h)} = H(t_h) : \quad (2.26)$$

In terms of the wave number, (2.24) becomes,

$$\frac{k}{a(t_0)} > \frac{a(t_h) H(t_h)}{a(t_0) H(t_0)} H(t_0) :$$

The scale factor $a(t)$ increases so enormously with time that the decrease in $H(t)$ may be neglected in comparison. Thus the wave numbers we need for density calculation are such that

$$\frac{k}{a(t_0)} > Z(t_h) H(t_0) ; \quad Z(t_h) = \frac{a(t_h)}{a(t_0)} \gg 1 :$$

For such modes, eqn. (2.25) is accurately satisfied around t_0 and approximately so even till the time t_h when the quantum fluctuations are evaluated.

III. DENSITY FLUCTUATION FORMULA

The density inhomogeneity (at time t) is measured by the mean square fluctuation in the density function $\rho(\mathbf{x};t)$ [13] [14],

$$\overline{\delta^2} = \frac{1}{V} \int_V d^3x \frac{(\rho(\mathbf{x};t) - \bar{\rho}(t))^2}{\bar{\rho}(t)^2} ; \quad (3.1)$$

where $\bar{\rho}$ denotes averaging over space and $\bar{\rho}$ is the homogeneous background density, $\bar{\rho} = \langle \rho(\mathbf{x};t) \rangle_x$. In the inflationary scenario, this fluctuation in the early universe is supposed to arise from the quantum fluctuations. We may calculate the latter by evaluating an expression similar to (3.1), with $\rho(\mathbf{x};t)$ replaced by the corresponding operator $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x};t)$ and the averaging process by taking the expectation value in an appropriate state. There is, however, a technical problem with this quantum version, as it involves the product of $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{x};t)$ with itself at the same space-time point, which is not defined in quantum field theory. The problem may be avoided by taking the smeared density function,

$$\rho_l(\mathbf{x};t) = N \int d^3y e^{-y^2/2l^2} \rho(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y};t); \quad (3.2)$$

where l is an arbitrary smearing length and N an irrelevant normalization factor. The fluctuation in ρ_l is given by

$$\frac{1}{1_c} = \frac{1(\mathbf{x};t) 1(t)}{1(t)}; \quad (3.3)$$

where the subscript c stands for classical. The corresponding quantum fluctuation, denoted by the subscript q , is now well defined,

$$\frac{1}{1_q} = \frac{\hat{1}(\mathbf{x};t) 1(t)}{1(t)}; \quad (3.4)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for the expectation value in the initial thermal state defined in Sect.2.

To treat perturbation on different length scales, one writes

$$1(\mathbf{x};t) = 1(t) (1 + \delta 1(\mathbf{x};t)) \quad (3.5)$$

and Fourier analyze the so-called density contrast, $\delta 1(\mathbf{x};t)$

$$\delta 1(\mathbf{x};t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \delta 1_{\mathbf{k}}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (3.6)$$

where V is a volume within the Hubble length to begin with. In the limit of large volume, (3.3) becomes

$$\frac{1}{1_c} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k \delta 1_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \int d^3x e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}; \quad (3.7)$$

The energy density operator $\hat{1}(\mathbf{x};t)$ is the time-time component of energy momentum tensor,

$$T_{00} = \epsilon = g_{00} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right) \quad (3.8)$$

for the full scalar field $\phi(\mathbf{x})$. Here the potential function $V(\phi)$ depends on the model considered. We shift $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ by the homogeneous classical field $\phi_c(t)$;

$$\phi(\mathbf{x};t) = \phi_c(t) + \delta \phi(\mathbf{x};t); \quad (3.9)$$

such that for the quantum field $\langle \delta \phi(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = 0$. The $\hat{1}(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\hat{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon_c(t) + \hat{U}(\mathbf{x}); \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\epsilon_c(t) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}_c^2 + V(\phi_c) \quad (3.11)$$

with

$$\hat{U}(\mathbf{x}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{x}) - \epsilon_c(t); \quad (3.12)$$

to first order in $\delta \phi(\mathbf{x})$. Terms in $\hat{U}$, which are of higher order in $\delta \phi$ would give loop contribution to the density fluctuation and are neglected. The coefficients ϵ_c in (3.12) depend on the classical field and other parameters in the potential $V(\phi)$. The ϵ_c -term is generally thought to be small. In that we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1_q} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_c(t)} \int d^3x d^3y e^{i(\mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2) = \mathbf{x}^2} \langle \delta \phi(\mathbf{x};t) \delta \phi(\mathbf{y};t) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_c(t)} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} (1 + n_B(k)) j_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \int d^3x e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

on using the expression for the two point function (2.23). Comparing (3.7) and (3.13) we get the desired result,

$$j_k(t) \dot{f} = \frac{2}{3} (1 + n(t_0)) j_{gk}(t) \dot{f} : \quad (3.14)$$

The treatment of the evolution of density perturbation outside the Hubble radius till its reentry within it at a later time is standard [13], [14]. We get

$$\frac{j_k(t)}{H} = \frac{P \overline{k^3 (1 + n(t_0))} j_{gk}(t_h) \dot{f}}{3^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + p) c_h}; \quad (3.15)$$

It is simple to estimate $n(t_0)$ in the range of $k=a_0$, which is of interest. We write

$$\frac{k}{a_0} = \frac{k}{a(t_p)} \frac{a(t_p)}{a(t_e)} \frac{a(t_e)}{a(t_0)} : \quad (3.16)$$

From the time t_e when the inflation ends till the present time t_p , the universe expands adiabatically, so that $a(t_p)=a(t_e) \cdot T_0=T_p$. The other ratio $a(t_e)=a(t_0) \cdot Z$ gives the magnitude of inflation. Noting that the physical wavelength of a perturbation belonging to a comoving wavenumber k is $\lambda(t) = 2\pi a(t)/k$, we get

$$\frac{k}{a_0 T_0} = \frac{2}{(t_p) T_p} \frac{Z}{M_{pc}} \frac{1}{10^{25}} : \quad (3.17)$$

where $T_p = 2.7K$ and M_{pc} is (t_p) expressed in M_{pc} . The wavelengths of interest stretch over the range $1 < M_{pc} < 10^4$. To solve the problems of the standard cosmology we need $Z > 10^{25}$. But actually Z exceeds the limit by many orders of magnitude in most of the models of inflation. Thus $k=a_0 T_0$ is large in these models and we may set $n(t_0) = 0$ in the expression (3.14).

We thus see that although the initial thermal equilibrium state does produce a factor in the expression for the density inhomogeneity, its magnitude turns out to be unity, justifying the use of zero temperature propagator for its evaluation. But there are other observable quantities, such as the duration of inflation, which depend on the initial state. So in the next section we discuss the possibility of thermal equilibrium as an initial state in the simple model of extended inflation as originally proposed.

IV. EXAMPLE OF EXTENDED INFLATION

The original version of the extended inflation model [10] is based on the Brans-Dicke (BD) theory of gravity given by the action,

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{16} + \frac{1}{16} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi \right] + L_{matter} \quad (4.1)$$

The time dependence of the BD field makes the effective gravitational 'constant' vary with time, ϕ is a dimensionless parameter. L_{matter} represents the contribution of all other fields including the inflaton field,

$$L_{matter} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - V(\phi) : \quad (4.2)$$

This simple model is not realistic, however. The bubble nucleation problem can be solved for $\phi > 25$, while astrophysical observation constrains it to $\phi > 500$. Our purpose here is to examine in this toy model the possibility of realising thermal equilibrium as the initial state for the inflationary epoch. In the following we take $\phi > 25$.

The equations satisfied by the classical fields, viz: the scale factor $a(t)$ and the homogeneous part $\phi(t)$ of the BD field $\phi(x)$ are

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H \dot{\phi} = \frac{8}{2\phi + 3} (\phi - 3p) ; \quad H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} ; \quad (4.3)$$

$$H^2 = \frac{8}{3\phi} + \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} \right)^2 - H \frac{\dot{\phi}}{\phi} : \quad (4.4)$$

where the energy density ρ and the pressure p are generated by L_{matter} . If the masses are small compared to the temperature, they are

$$\rho = \frac{2}{30} N T^4 + M^4; \quad p = \frac{2}{90} N T^4 - M^4 \quad (4.5)$$

where $V(0) = M^4$ is the false vacuum energy density and N counts the total number of effective degrees of freedom.

We now write down the solutions of eqn. (4.3-4) in the inflationary phase which join with those in the radiation dominated phases at the beginning and the end of this phase. In the initial radiation dominated epoch, $\rho'(t)$ is constant [15],

$$\rho'(t) = \rho'(t_0); \quad a(t) \propto t^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (4.6)$$

giving

$$T^2 t = \frac{45}{16} \frac{\rho'(t_0)}{N}; \quad (4.7)$$

while in the inflationary epoch the solutions may be written as [10]

$$\rho'(t) = \rho'(t_0) f_1 + B(t - t_0) g^2; \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$B \propto \rho'(t_0) = \frac{M^2}{\Gamma_q}; \quad q = \frac{(6! + 5)(2! + 3)}{32 \Gamma^2}$$

and

$$a(t) = a(t_0) f_1 + B(t - t_0) g^{1+1=2}; \quad (4.9)$$

The two sets of solutions join smoothly at $t = t_0$, when we have approximately

$$\frac{2}{30} N T_0^4 \propto M^4; \quad (4.10)$$

giving $T_0 \propto M$ and

$$T_0^2 t_0 = \frac{45}{16} \frac{\rho'(t_0)}{N}; \quad (4.11)$$

Equating the values of $H(t_0)$ for the two solutions, we get another relation

$$\frac{1}{2t_0} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) B; \quad (4.12)$$

which coincides with (4.11) to leading order in Γ .

We now assume that the inflationary period ends instantly at time $t = t_e$ in radiation domination at a temperature $T = T_0$. Then $\rho'(t)$ ceases to vary appreciably, so that we have

$$\rho'(t) = \rho'(t_e) = m_p^2; \quad t > t_e; \quad (4.13)$$

where $m_p = G^{-1/2}$ is the present value of the Planck mass. Using (4.8) and (4.9) we can relate $\rho'(t_0)$ to the amount of inflation

$$\rho'(t_0) = m_p^2 Z^{-4(2!+1)}; \quad Z = \frac{a(t_e)}{a(t_0)}; \quad (4.14)$$

To set up a semi-classical quantum theory in the background of the above solutions, one faces the problem of dealing with the non-standard form of the action (4.1). This problem may be avoided by going over to a new (

Einstein) frame from the present (Jordan) frame by a conformal transformation of the metric. Denoting quantities in the new frame by a bar, the required transformation is [16]

$$g(\bar{x};t) = a^2(t)g(x;t); \quad (4.15)$$

where

$$a^2(t) = \frac{m_p^2}{\bar{m}_p^2(t)} \quad (4.16)$$

One also introduces a field to replace ϕ ,

$$\phi = \ln \frac{\bar{\phi}}{\bar{m}_p^2}; \quad \bar{\phi} = \frac{r}{16} \frac{2!+3}{m_p}; \quad (4.17)$$

which brings the kinetic term for ϕ in the canonical form. Assuming $\bar{\phi}$ = constant in the inflationary phase, the new action becomes,

$$S = \int d^4x \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \frac{R}{16 \bar{m}_p^2} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \bar{\phi} \partial^\nu \bar{\phi} + V(\bar{\phi}); \quad (4.18)$$

where $V(\bar{\phi}) = M^4 e^{-2\bar{\phi}} = \bar{V}$. In the Einstein frame $\bar{\phi}$ plays the role of the inflaton field.

The new metric may be brought back to the Robertson-Walker form by a redefinition of the time coordinate, [16]

$$dt^2 = a^2(t) d\bar{t}^2 = \bar{a}^2(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}^2 \quad (4.19)$$

giving

$$dt = \bar{a}(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}; \quad a(t) = \bar{a}(\bar{t}) \bar{a}(\bar{t}_0); \quad (4.20)$$

Using (4.16), (4.21) and (4.22), the inflationary solutions (4.8-9) in the Jordan frame may be recast in the Einstein frame. Integrating (4.21) and choosing the constant of integration properly, we get,

$$1 + C(\bar{t} - \bar{t}_0) = f1 + B(\bar{t} - \bar{t}_0) \bar{g}^2; \quad C = \frac{2m_p B}{\bar{r}'(\bar{t}_0)}; \quad (4.21)$$

Then the new scale factor is obtained from (4.21),

$$a(t) = a(\bar{t}_0) f1 + C(\bar{t} - \bar{t}_0) \bar{g}^{\frac{2!+3}{4}}; \quad \bar{a}(\bar{t}_0) = a(\bar{t}_0) \frac{\bar{r}'(\bar{t}_0)}{m_p}; \quad (4.22)$$

Also from (4.8), we get

$$\bar{t} = (\bar{t}_0) + \ln f1 + C(\bar{t} - \bar{t}_0) \bar{g}; \quad (\bar{t}_0) = \ln \frac{\bar{r}'(\bar{t}_0)}{m_p^2}; \quad (4.23)$$

These solutions could, of course, have been found by solving the equations obtained by varying the actions (4.18) in the Einstein frame.

The free part of the action (4.18) is now in the standard form for developing quantum field theory. We introduce the quantum field $\bar{\phi}(x)$ by decomposing $\bar{\phi}$ as

$$\bar{\phi}(x;t) = \bar{\phi}(t) + \bar{\phi}(x;t); \quad (4.24)$$

The exponential potential $V(\bar{\phi})$ as such has no place among renormalizable quantum field theories. Here we treat it as an effective potential and retain terms up to fourth power in $\bar{\phi}$. The action for $\bar{\phi}$ may then be written as

$$S = \int d^3x dt a^3 f \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2a^2} (x^i)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{3} g \phi^3 - \frac{1}{4} g \phi^4 \quad (4.25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}^2(t) &= \frac{12}{t^4} H^2(t) ; \\ \phi^3(t) &= \frac{8}{t^{3/2}} \frac{H^2(t)}{m_p} ; \\ \phi^4(t) &= \frac{16}{t^2} \frac{H^2(t)}{m_p^2} : \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

The value of $\dot{\phi}^2$, ϕ^3 and ϕ^4 involve $\dot{\phi}(t_0)$, which determines the effective gravitational constant at the beginning of inflation. It is difficult to determine $\dot{\phi}(t_0)$ from the model at hand. Nevertheless we can obtain a lower bound on $\dot{\phi}(t_0)$ from the requirement that the mass $m_p(t_0)$ of the ϕ particles be small compared to T_0 . Thus we get

$$\dot{\phi}(t_0) > M m_p :$$

Then the couplings satisfy

$$\phi^3 < M^2 m_p ; \quad \phi^4 < (M m_p)^2 :$$

Clearly collisions cannot be the mechanism to maintain thermal equilibrium of the ϕ particles around the time $t = t_0$. But they could have thermal distribution through strong gravitational interaction at earlier times.

The density fluctuation for this model has already been calculated in the literature [17], [18]. We have also calculated earlier the same quantity using our formula (3.15), but with zero temperature propagator [19], which is justified in the present work. At this point we emphasize that our calculation gave a result which was larger than the earlier ones by an order of magnitude.

V. CONCLUSION

In the present work we assume the initial state to be in thermal equilibrium and study its effect on the quantum fluctuation in the energy density in the inflationary epoch. Such an initial state involving the scalar field appears, in fact, quite likely if the Hubble parameter and the effective mass are small compared to the ambient temperature. By evaluating the scalar thermal propagator we find that density fluctuation in the physical wave number $k = a(t_h)$ as the corresponding wavelength exceeds the Hubble length at time t_h . It differs from the one calculated with the vacuum propagator by the factor $f(1 + (e^{k_0 = a_0 T_0} - 1)^{-1}) g$. Clearly the factor does not go to unity as time progresses but is a constant depending on the physical wave number and the temperature T_0 referred to the initial time t_0 . Contrary to one's naive expectation, the effect of the initial thermal state thus lingers in the expression for the density fluctuation.

It turns out, however, that for wave numbers of interest in the present universe this factor is unity in any model where the amount of inflation exceeds the minimal amount required to solve the problems of standard cosmology by many orders of magnitude. Thus numerically the calculation of fluctuation at zero temperature is completely justified.

We also point out that the conventional quantum field theory applies on curved space-time as long as the modes oscillate. Thus the calculation of quantum fluctuation in density must be carried out when the corresponding wavelengths are within the Hubble length, though the previous calculations by other authors [9] are done for wavelengths well outside this length, where they are frozen. As has been shown earlier, the two calculations differ by about a factor of five for the original model of extended inflation [19].

It should be noted that as long as the modes are within the Hubble length, they maintain a thermal equilibrium distribution. Thus although the initial state of thermal equilibrium goes over to a non-equilibrium state, the modes relevant for the calculation of density fluctuation are those still in an equilibrium distribution.

- [1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev., D 23, 347 (1981).
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Lett. 115B, 295 (1982),
A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1110 (1982),
J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D 28, 679 (1983).
- [3] J. M. Bardeen, Phys. Rev. D 32, 1899 (1985).
- [4] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, Phys. Rev. D 32, 1899 (1985).
- [5] G. Seneno and N. Weiss, Phys. Rev. D 31, 689 (1985), *ibid* 699 (1985).
- [6] H. Leutwyler and S. M. Allik, Ann. Phys. 205, 1 (1991);
N. Banerjee and S. M. Allik, Ann. Phys. 205, 29 (1991).
- [7] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 850 (1979).
- [8] B. Dewitt, Phys. Rep. 19C, 295 (1975).
- [9] J. E. Lidsey, A. R. Liddle, E. W. Kolb, J. Copeland, T. Barreiro and M. Abney, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69 373 (1997).
- [10] C. Mathiazagen and V. B. Johri, Class. Quant. Grav. 1, 229 (1984),
D. La and P. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 3761 (1984).
- [11] The quadratic action can generally be improved by replacing $\langle t \rangle$ with the effective temperature dependent mass. But since the inflation field has no gauge interaction and is only weakly self-coupled, this modification is not needed.
- [12] To satisfy the continuity conditions exactly, one must equate each the modes on C_3 to a linear combination of the modes on C_2 . This however leads to additional short distance singularities in the propagator, not present at zero temperature, making the theory non-renormalisable. A better formulation to the problem would be to thermalize the system in a static background $a(t) = \text{constant}$ prior to t_0 and then connect it smoothly to the actual scale factor. The resulting thermal propagator does not depend on the details of the interpolating scale factor. See ref. [6] for more details.
- [13] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, The Early Universe (Addison Wesley, 1989).
- [14] T. Padmanabhan, Structure formation in the universe (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993).
- [15] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and cosmology (John Wiley & Sons, 1972).
- [16] R. Holman, E. W. Kolb, S. L. Vadas, Y. Yang and E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Lett. B 237, 37 (1990).
- [17] E. W. Kolb, D. S. Salopek and M. S. Turner Phys. Rev. D 42, 3925 (1990).
- [18] A. H. Guth and B. Jain, Phys. Rev. D 45, 426 (1992).
- [19] S. M. Allik and D. Rai Chaudhuri, Phys. Rev. D 56, 625 (1997).




Fig. 1. Time path of real time thermal field theory