

Rare Pionium Decays and Pion Polarizability

H.-W. Hammer¹ and J.N. Ng²

TRIUMF, 4004 Westbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C., Canada V6T 2A3

Abstract

We calculate the decay of pionium atoms into two photons. The pion polarizability gives rise to a 10% correction to the corresponding decay width for pointlike pions. This opens the possibility to obtain the polarizability of the charged pion from a future measurement of this decay width if the $\pi\pi$ -scattering lengths are known to better than 5% precision. We also comment on the contribution of the axial anomaly to the decay of pionium into $\gamma\gamma$.

PACS: 36.10.Gv, 14.40.Aq, 13.40.-f

Keywords: pionium ; pion polarizability; axial anomaly

¹email: hammer@triumf.ca

²email: misery@triumf.ca

The deformation of a composite particle in an external electromagnetic field is described by its electric (α) and magnetic (β) polarizabilities [1]. They are fundamental quantities whose understanding is of great importance in any model or theory of the strong interaction. In particular, the polarizabilities of the pion allow for a clean test of Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT). To one loop order only two of the ten low energy constants at $O(p^4)$ contribute and ChPT predicts $\alpha = \beta = 2.8 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3$ [1].³ To this order one has $\alpha = \beta$ because the lowest order coupling to the electromagnetic field is proportional to $F \cdot F \sim (E^2 - B^2)$. Since higher order corrections are suppressed this relation is expected to hold approximately to all orders. More recently, Burgi performed a two loop calculation and obtained $\alpha = 2.4 \pm 0.5$ and $\beta = 2.1 \pm 0.5$ [2].

Experimentally both of these quantities are measured using Compton scattering of pions. However, since a pion target is not available, the process is only indirectly accessible when embedded in other reactions. As a consequence, experiments are difficult and the polarizabilities are relatively poorly known. For example one of the experiments done is to use radiative pion scattering off a heavy nucleus, $\pi + Z \rightarrow \pi + Z + \gamma$. In this case the pion scatters off a virtual photon in the Coulomb field of the nucleus (Primakoff scattering). This method was used in the Serpukhov experiment [3], resulting in $\alpha = \beta = 6.8 \pm 1.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$. A similar way is to use the radiative pion photoproduction process, $\gamma + p \rightarrow \pi + n$, where the incoming photon scatters off a virtual pion. Such an experiment was performed at the Lebedev Institute [4] with the result $\alpha = \beta = 20 \pm 12$. Both experiments are in variance with the results from ChPT [1, 2]. Furthermore, they suffer from a limited statistical accuracy and systematic errors. The extrapolation from the actual data to the Compton scattering amplitude in the case of radiative pion photoproduction has recently been studied in Ref. [5] and a new experiment has been proposed at Mainz. An overview of proposed Primakoff experiments is given in Ref. [6].

In a second type of experiment, one makes use of the crossed channel reaction $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi$ [7, 8] and attempts to extract the polarizabilities from the cross-section. This reaction also has the advantage of being able to determine the neutral pion polarizability as well. However, it does not avoid the problem of extrapolation and suffers from the difficulties of having to deal with strong final state interaction. The most recent analysis [8] is consistent with the result from ChPT but the experimental error bars can accommodate differences in polarizability calculations by a factor of two.

In light of the present discrepancy between theory and experiment, we propose to study the decay mode of pionium into two photons. The formation of pionium atoms has been observed recently [9]. They decay in more than 99% of the time into two neutral pions. Furthermore, pionium can decay into two photons and π^0 as well. The DIRAC Collaboration at CERN aims to measure the pionium lifetime with 10% precision in order to extract the π^0 -scattering lengths [10]. In this note we point out that if the next

³In the following, we will express the polarizabilities in units of 10^{-4} fm^3 .

generation of pionium experiments can measure the branching ratio $\Gamma(\text{pionium} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \Gamma_{\text{tot}}$ sufficiently accurate, it should be able to provide a clean determination of the charged pion polarizability. Below, we will calculate the decay $\text{pionium} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. The theoretical assumptions required are crossing symmetry and the usual formulation of bound states in quantum field theory. We show that the pion polarizability provides corrections of the order of 10% to the point particle result for $\text{pionium} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.

We first consider Compton scattering of charged pions,

$$(\mathbf{q}_1; \epsilon_1) + \pi^+ (\mathbf{p}_1) \rightarrow (\mathbf{q}_2; \epsilon_2) + \pi^+ (\mathbf{p}_2) : \quad (1)$$

The polarizabilities are defined by the threshold expansion of the Compton scattering amplitude in the laboratory frame,

$$M_{\pi^+} = 8\pi m_\pi \left(\frac{1}{m_\pi} + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 + (\epsilon_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_1)(\epsilon_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2) \right) ; \quad (2)$$

where $e^2 = 4\pi$ is the electromagnetic fine structure constant and m_π is the charged pion mass. $\epsilon_{1(2)}$, $\mathbf{q}_{1(2)}$, and $\epsilon_{1(2)}$ are the energy, momentum, and polarization vectors of the photon in the initial (nal) state, respectively. The Compton tensor M_{π^+} is defined by

$$M_{\pi^+} = ie^2 M_{\pi^+}^{(1)} : \quad (3)$$

The structure of M_{π^+} is given by [11, 12, 13],

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\pi^+} &= \int d^4x e^{iq_2 \cdot x} h^+ (\mathbf{p}_2) \mathcal{T} [J^{EM}(x) J^{EM}(0)] j^+ (\mathbf{p}_1) i \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_2)}{(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}_1)^2 - m_\pi^2} - \frac{\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1; \mathbf{p}_2) \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)}{(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2)^2 - m_\pi^2} \\ &\quad + 2g_\pi + \frac{1}{3} \hbar r_\pi^2 i (q_1^2 g_\pi - q_1 \cdot q_1 + q_2^2 g_\pi - q_2 \cdot q_2) \\ &\quad - \frac{m_\pi}{2} (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}_1) + \dots ; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{p}_i; \mathbf{p}_f) = (\mathbf{p}_i + \mathbf{p}_f) \cdot \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{6} \hbar r_\pi^2 i + q \frac{1}{6} \hbar r_\pi^2 i (\mathbf{p}_i^2 - \mathbf{p}_f^2) + \dots ; \quad (5)$$

is the electromagnetic vertex of the pion and $q = p_f - p_i$. The dots stand for higher order loop corrections which we will neglect in the following. The polarizabilities appear only in the combination $\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2 \epsilon_2 \cdot \mathbf{q}_1$ since to this order the coupling to the electromagnetic field is proportional to $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}$.

For the pionium decay into two photons, we need the crossed amplitude $M_{\pi^+}^{\text{crossed}}$ for the reaction

$$\pi^+ (\mathbf{p}_1) + \pi^+ (\mathbf{p}_2) \rightarrow (\mathbf{q}_1; \epsilon_1) + (\mathbf{q}_2; \epsilon_2) ; \quad (6)$$

which is readily obtained from Eq. (4) by the substitutions $(p_2 \rightarrow -p_2)$ and $(q_1 \rightarrow -q_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} M = & \frac{T(p_1; p_1 - q_1)T(q_2 - p_2; -p_2)}{(p_1 - q_1)^2 - m^2} - \frac{T(q_1 - p_2; -p_2)T(p_1; p_1 - q_2)}{(p_1 - q_2)^2 - m^2} \\ & + 2g + \frac{1}{3}hr^2 i(q_1^2 g - q_1 q_2 + q_2^2 g - q_2 q_1) \\ & + \frac{m}{\Lambda} (\dots) (q_1 q_2 - q_2 q_1) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Using Eq. (7), we calculate the width for the decay $\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ at leading order. The decay width is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}{4m^2} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{2q_{10}} \frac{d^3 q_2}{2q_{20}} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - q_1 - q_2) : \quad (8)$$

Evaluating Eq. (8) in the pionium rest frame, we obtain

$$\Gamma = \frac{2}{m^2} j(0)^2 \left(1 + \frac{m^3}{\Lambda^3} \right) \quad ; \quad (9)$$

where $j(0)$ is the Coulomb wave function for the 1S_0 bound state at the origin. For the ground state, this wave function is

$$j(0)^2 = \frac{1}{a_B^3} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{\Lambda} \quad ; \quad (10)$$

and we finally obtain

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = \frac{m^5}{4} \left(1 + \frac{m^3}{\Lambda^3} \right) \quad ; \quad (11)$$

The corresponding formula without the polarizability corrections has already been given in Ref. [14]. Using the central value of $\Lambda = 6.8 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.2$ [3], we find

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = 0.723 \text{ MeV} [1 + 0.132 + 0.004] : \quad (12)$$

The correction of the polarizability to the pointlike result is of the order 10% which allows for a clean measurement of the pion polarizability. By far the dominating decay of the pionium, however, is the one into two neutral pions. The corresponding decay width is given by [15] (see also Ref. [16]),

$$\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0) = j(0)^2 \frac{16}{9} (a_0^0 - a_0^2)^2 \frac{m}{\Lambda} \quad ; \quad (13)$$

where a_0^0 (a_0^2) is the s -wave $\pi\pi$ -scattering length for isospin 0 (2) and $m = m_{\pi^0}$. Approximating $\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)$, we obtain the branching ratio

$$\frac{\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0)}{\Gamma_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{9}{8} \frac{h}{m} (a_0^0 - a_0^2)^2 \frac{m}{\Lambda} \left(1 + \frac{m^3}{\Lambda^3} \right) \quad ; \quad (14)$$

where the factor in the curly bracket is about 0.28%. As seen in Eq. (14), the pionium wave function has dropped out and the associated uncertainties are eliminated. The main source of uncertainty is now the value of the $\pi\pi$ -scattering lengths. The current experimental value for $(a_0^0, a_0^2) = (0.288 \pm 0.051)\text{m}^{-1}$ is taken from Ref. [17]. In order to be able to extract the pion polarizabilities, the uncertainty introduced by the $\pi\pi$ -scattering lengths has to be smaller than the 10% correction the polarizabilities give to the pointlike result. Consequently, one needs to know (a_0^0, a_0^2) with a 5% precision or better which appears to be an attainable goal for the future.

We next turn to the decay of pionium into $\pi^0\pi^0$. This branch is to leading order determined by the axial anomaly of QCD. In principle this opens the possibility of measuring the anomaly via the decay $\text{pionium} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ which, however, is outside the scope of this note. The amplitude for $\pi^+(p_1) + \pi^-(p_2) \rightarrow \pi^0(q_1) + \pi^0(q_2)$ is given by [18, 19]

$$M = \frac{e}{4\pi^2 F^3} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_1^\mu p_2^\nu q_1^\alpha q_2^\beta \quad (15)$$

Naively one would expect this to be order 1 of the dominant two neutral pion decays. A close examination of Eq. (15) reveals that this mode is suppressed by the relative three momentum of the initial state pions. Since at threshold where pionium is formed $p_1 = p_2 = (m_\pi; 0)$, this contribution vanishes and the anomaly contributes only at $O(p)$. Moreover, this result justifies our approximation of the total width by $\text{pionium} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ in Eq. (14).

In conclusion, we have presented the calculation of the contribution of pion polarizabilities to the branching ratio of the rare two photons decays of pionium. As seen in Eq. (14), the only uncertainty involves the values of the $\pi\pi$ -scattering lengths which one can determine accurately. In fact, these scattering lengths will be measured by a first generation pionium experiment at CERN [10]. If the scattering lengths can be determined to better than 5% accuracy, the branching ratio into two photons offers a clean way of determining the charged pion polarizabilities. The remaining theoretical uncertainties involve higher order loop effects and are expected to be small. In addition, this measures $\pi\pi$ at threshold which is accessible currently only by extrapolation and hence plagued with uncertainties. We are aware that rare decays of pionium are dauntingly difficult experiments. However, in view of the importance of polarizabilities in hadronic physics and the clean nature of the decay we believe it is worthwhile pursuing it vigorously.

Acknowledgement

This work has been supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] B.R. Holstein, *Comm. Nucl. Part. Phys.* 19, 221 (1990)
- [2] U. Burgi, *Phys. Lett. B* 377, 147 (1996)
- [3] Y.M. Antipov et al., *Phys. Lett. B* 121, 445 (1983); *Z. Phys. C* 24, 39 (1984)
- [4] T.A. Aibergenov et al., *Czech. J. Phys. B* 36, 948 (1986)
- [5] D. Drechsel and F.V. Fil'kov, *Z. Phys. A* 349, 177 (1994)
- [6] M.A. Moinester and V. Steiner in: *Chiral Dynamics: Theory and Experiment*, A.M. Bernstein, D. Drechsel, and T. Walcher (eds.), *Lecture notes in physics* (Vol. 513), Springer (1998)
- [7] D. Babusci et al., *Phys. Lett. B* 277, 158 (1992); C. Berger et al., *PLUTO Coll.*, *Z. Phys. C* 26, 199 (1984); A. Courau et al., *DM 1 Coll.*, *Nucl. Phys. B* 271, 1 (1986); Z. Ajltoni et al., *DM 2 Coll.*, *Contribution to VII Int. Workshop on Photon-Photon Collisions*, Paris (1986); H. Marsiske et al., *Crystal Ball Coll.*, *Phys. Rev. D* 41, 3324 (1990); A.E. Kaloshin and V.V. Serebryakov, *Z. Phys. C* 64, 689 (1994)
- [8] B.R. Holstein and J.F. Donoghue, *Phys. Rev. D* 48, 137 (1993)
- [9] L.G. Afanasyev et al., *Phys. Lett. B* 338, 478 (1994); *ibid.* B 308, 200 (1993)
- [10] J. Schacher, *DIRAC Coll.*, *Contribution to the Int. Workshop "Hadronic Atoms and Positronium in the Standard Model"* Dubna, May 26-31 (1998) [hep-ph/9808407]; B. Adeva et al., *CERN proposal CERN/SPSLC 95-1* (1995)
- [11] M.V. Terent'ev, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 16, 87 (1973)
- [12] J.F. Donoghue and B.R. Holstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 40, 2378 (1990)
- [13] J.F. Donoghue, B.R. Holstein, and D. Wyler, *Phys. Rev. D* 47, 2089 (1993)
- [14] J.L. Uretsky and T.R. Palfrey, *Phys. Rev.* 121, 1798 (1961)
- [15] S. Deser et al., *Phys. Rev.* 96, 774 (1954)
- [16] L.L. Nemenov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 41, 629 (1985)
- [17] O. Dumbrajs et al., *Nucl. Phys. B* 216, 277 (1983)
- [18] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett. B* 37, 95 (1971); E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* 223, 422 (1983)
- [19] B.R. Holstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 53, 4099 (1996)