

CERN-TH/98-366

DTP/98/92

ITP-SB-99-02

TPR-99-3

February 1999

Transverse Double-Spin Asymmetries for Muon Pair Production in pp Collisions

O. Martin^a, A. Schafer^a, M. Stratmann^b, W. Vogelsang^c

a) Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Regensburg,
D-93040 Regensburg, Germany

b) Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE, England

c) Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland; now at:
Institute for Theoretical Physics, State Univ. of New York at Stony Brook, NY-11794,
USA

Abstract

We calculate the rapidity dependence of the transverse double-spin asymmetry for the Drell-Yan process to next-to-leading order in the strong coupling. Input transversity distributions are obtained by saturating the Soffer inequality at a low hadronic mass scale. Results for the polarized Bnl-Rhic proton-proton collider and the proposed Hera-N fixed-target experiment are presented, and the influence of the limited muon acceptance of the detectors on measurements of the asymmetry is studied in detail.

One of the major goals of the forthcoming spin programme at the RHIC polarized proton-proton collider is a first measurement of the transversity distribution $q(x;^2)$ [1]. In a transversely polarized nucleon this counts the number of quarks with spin aligned parallel to the nucleon spin minus the number of quarks with opposite polarization [2, 3]. Being a twist-2 distribution function, it is theoretically as important as the well-known unpolarized and longitudinally polarized parton densities $q(x;^2)$ and $\bar{q}(x;^2)$, respectively.

During recent years we have gained some theoretical knowledge of the properties of $q(x;^2)$. Among the most important results is certainly the discovery of Soffer's inequality [4], which states that

$$|q(x;^2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [q(x;^2) + \bar{q}(x;^2)]; \quad (1)$$

and similarly for antiquarks. Its importance resides in the fact that it is the only non-trivial model-independent restriction on the size of the transversity distributions. It was shown to be preserved by next-to-leading order (NLO) DGLAP evolution in "reasonable" factorization schemes, among them the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme [5, 6, 7], meaning that if it holds at some scale μ_0 it will also be valid at any higher scale.

In a previous publication [6] we calculated upper bounds on the transverse double-spin asymmetry $A_{TT}(M)$ (where M is the invariant mass of the muon pair) for the total Drell-Yan cross section. In order to obtain the required input set of "maximally possible" transversity densities, we assumed that Soffer's inequality is satisfied at a low hadronic scale. An important feature of our calculation was that we performed it at NLO, combining the calculations of [8, 9] for the polarized NLO subprocess cross sections with those of [5, 10] for the transversity two-loop anomalous dimensions. This seemed mandatory, as it is well known that the unpolarized Drell-Yan process receives rather large NLO QCD corrections, and so one had to expect to encounter the same feature also for the transversely polarized cross section.

Our previous analysis [6] has the disadvantage that the observable $A_{TT}(M)$ is not very sensitive to the shape of the transversity distributions. Also, in [6] we assumed the angular acceptance of the detectors to be constant, i.e., independent of the dimuon rapidity y ,

which can only be a rather crude approximation to the real experimental conditions. Therefore, in order to better suit the experimental needs we study in this note the y dependence of the transverse double-spin asymmetry.

The transversely polarized Drell-Yan cross section, $d\sigma^{\text{DY}}/dM^2 dy d\phi = 2$, is given as a double convolution of transversity distributions with the corresponding transversely polarized partonic cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{DY}}}{dM^2 dy d\phi} = \int_{x_1^0}^{x_1^1} dx_1 \int_{x_2^0}^{x_2^1} dx_2 \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{dM^2 dy d\phi} H(x_1; x_2; \frac{2}{F}); \quad (2)$$

where

$$H(x_1; x_2; \frac{2}{F}) = \sum_q e_q^2 h_q(x_1; \frac{2}{F}) h_q(x_2; \frac{2}{F}) + \sum_q e_q^2 h_q(x_1; \frac{2}{F}) h_q(x_2; \frac{2}{F})^i; \quad (3)$$

F being the factorization scale. Equation (3) only applies to photon exchange. In order to also account for Z^0 exchange and Z^0 interference, the electric charge e_q of the quarks must be replaced by an effective one containing the electroweak effects; see, e.g., Eq. (20) of [6]. In Eq. (2), y denotes the rapidity of the dilepton pair and ϕ is the azimuthal angle of one lepton, with $\phi = 0$ in the direction of positive transverse spin of the incoming protons. The variables x_1^0, x_2^0 are related to y and the Drell-Yan scaling variable $M^2 = s$ by

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{x_1^0}{x_2^0}; \quad M^2 = x_1^0 x_2^0 s; \quad (4)$$

$$x_1^0 = \frac{M^2}{s} e^y; \quad x_2^0 = \frac{M^2}{s} e^{-y}; \quad (5)$$

One can see that the region $y > 0$ ($y < 0$) is mainly sensitive to small x_2^0 (x_1^0). To lowest order (LO), x_1^0 and x_2^0 coincide with the momentum fractions carried by the incident partons. Indeed, one has at LO¹:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{DY(0)}}}{dM^2 dy d\phi} = \frac{2}{9SM^2} \cos(2\phi) (x_1 - x_1^0) (x_2 - x_2^0); \quad (6)$$

The NLO ($O(\alpha_s)$) correction to the subprocess cross section reads, in the \overline{MS} -scheme

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{DY(1)}}}{dM^2 dy d\phi} = \frac{2}{9SM^2} C_F \frac{s(\frac{2}{R})}{2} \frac{4(x_1 x_2 + \dots)}{x_1 x_2 (x_1 + x_1^0) (x_2 + x_2^0)} \cos(2\phi)$$

¹Note that the formula for the unpolarized LO cross section in [6] contains a misprint: the equation () = 1 in the paragraph following Eq. (15) should read () = 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{(x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0)} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{(1 - x_1^0)(1 - x_2^0)}{x_1 x_2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{x_1^0 x_2^0}{x_1 x_2} \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_1^0)} \frac{1}{(x_2 - x_2^0)_+} \ln \frac{2x_2(1 - x_1^0)}{(x_2 + x_2^0)} + \frac{\ln(x_2 - x_2^0)}{x_2 - x_2^0} + \frac{1}{x_2 - x_2^0} \ln \frac{x_2^0}{x_2} \\
& + \frac{1}{(x_2 - x_2^0)} \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_1^0)_+} \ln \frac{2x_1(1 - x_2^0)}{(x_1 + x_1^0)} + \frac{\ln(x_1 - x_1^0)}{x_1 - x_1^0} + \frac{1}{x_1 - x_1^0} \ln \frac{x_1^0}{x_1} \\
& + \frac{1}{[(x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0)]_+} + \frac{2(x_1 + x_1^0)(x_2 + x_2^0)}{(x_1 x_2^0 + x_2 x_1^0)^2} - \frac{6 \ln \frac{x_1 x_2 + x_1^0 x_2^0}{x_1 x_2^0 + x_2 x_1^0}}{(x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0)} \\
& + \ln \frac{M^2}{F} \left. \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0)} \left[\frac{3}{2} + \ln \frac{(1 - x_1^0)(1 - x_2^0)}{x_1 x_2} \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_1^0)} \frac{1}{(x_2 - x_2^0)_+} + \frac{1}{(x_2 - x_2^0)} \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_1^0)_+} \right]; \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where μ_R is the renormalization scale and

$$\int_{x_i^0}^{x_i} dx_i f(x_i) \frac{1}{(x_i - x_i^0)_+} = \int_{x_i^0}^{x_i} dx_i \frac{f(x_i) - f(x_i^0)}{x_i - x_i^0}; \quad (8)$$

Equation (7) is obtained by a suitable factorization-scheme transformation of the corresponding result of [8], which was calculated taking the gluon on-shell in the process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow g$, in order to regularize its collinear divergences. The corresponding results for the unpolarized NLO cross section $d\sigma = d\sigma^{(0)} + d\sigma^{(1)}$ can be found in [11]. Note that in the unpolarized case there is also a contribution by incoming gluons, $q\bar{q} \rightarrow q$, which is absent for transverse polarization since there is no twist-2 transversity gluon density [3, 12]².

In order to increase the observable rates, we will integrate the unpolarized cross section over ϕ , whereas in the polarized case we add each quadrant with a different sign. Thus, the asymmetry will be defined as

$$A_{TT}(M; y) = \frac{R_{M_0}^{M_1} dM}{R_{M_0}^{M_1} dM} \frac{R_{-4}^{+4} R_{-4}^{+4} + R_{-3}^{+4} R_{-5}^{+4}}{R_{-0}^{+4} R_{-0}^{+4}} \frac{d\phi}{d\phi} \frac{dy}{dy} = dM dy d\phi; \quad (9)$$

where $M_{0;1}$ denote the limits of some suitable bin in invariant mass.

Following our previous study [6] on the total (i.e. y -integrated) Drell-Yan cross section, we will try to estimate upper bounds on the transverse double-spin asymmetry by assuming

²Also note that the unpolarized result of [11] for this subprocess is not given in the conventional \overline{MS} -scheme; however the translation can be easily made.

that the equality in (1) holds³ at a low hadronic mass scale Q_0 . We again choose Q_0 to coincide with the input scale of the "radiative parton model" analyses made in [14, 15] for unpolarized and longitudinally polarized parton distributions, that is $Q_{0,LO}^2 = 0.23 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $Q_{0,NLO}^2 = 0.34 \text{ GeV}^2$. Afterwards, the LO and NLO DGLAP evolution equations for transversity distributions are used to obtain $q^{(\pm)}(x; \frac{2}{F})$, where the factorization scale is chosen to be equal to the dimuon mass M . We will also always take $\mu_R = \mu_F$.

We emphasize that the sign of the asymmetry cannot be predicted in this way, because only the absolute value of the transversity distribution enters Soer's inequality. This also means that all possible combinations of signs in Eq. (1) must be checked so as to obtain the maximal absolute value of $A_{TT}(M; y)$. In our case, choosing all signs to be positive always yielded the largest results.

Figures 1-3 show the "maximally possible" polarized cross section and transverse double-spin asymmetry as functions of y , for $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV}, 500 \text{ GeV}$ at Rhic and for $E_{\text{beam}} = 820 \text{ GeV}$, corresponding to $\sqrt{s} = 39.2 \text{ GeV}$, at Hera-N, respectively. We have integrated over dimuon mass as indicated in the figures, avoiding masses smaller than 4 GeV for Hera-N and 5 GeV for Rhic, where a large background from charmed-meson decay is expected. Results are presented for both LO and NLO. The QCD corrections to the polarized cross section turn out to be largest in the fixed-target regime, whereas the asymmetry receives the largest corrections when going to higher energies. In most cases the NLO contributions are sizeable and should be included for a meaningful comparison with future data. We note in passing that we found that the dependence of the results on the renormalization and factorization scales μ_R and μ_F is greatly reduced at NLO.

In Figs. 1-3 we also display the statistical errors expected for such measurements of A_{TT} . Here, we try to estimate the influence of detector cuts on the error, which could be rather crucial for making realistic predictions. For instance, if the muon detectors have limited angular coverage, one or both of the muons might escape detection just for

³In our previous publication [6] we actually did not saturate the total quark distributions, but only their valence component at the input scale Q_0 . As was pointed out in [13], this is, strictly speaking, not the statement of the Soer inequality. However, arguments have been put forward [4, 5] to the effect that the inequality might also apply to valence densities. Anyway, a careful numerical check confirms that none of our results in [6] is altered if one saturates the quark distributions instead of the valence ones.

geometrical reasons, and the event is lost. In the case of the R h i c detector P h e n i x⁴, the endcaps will be able to identify muons with $1.2 < |y| < 2.4$; an additional cut on the muon momentum, $|k_T| > 2 \text{ GeV}$, will probably be necessary to get rid of unwanted background. Central rapidity muon detector arms, which would cover $|y| < 0.35$ (even though for only half of the azimuth), were proposed but will not be realized [16]. Nevertheless, we have also studied the impact that they would have had on the achievable experimental accuracy. In order to calculate the relevant acceptances, the momenta of the outgoing muons must be known. However, they cannot be reconstructed from the kinematic variables M , y and ϕ introduced above, since M and y refer to the dimuon system and ϕ is only one of the angles describing the direction of one muon. Therefore, one has to consider a more differential cross section, like

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^4 \sigma^{(0)}}{dM dy d\phi dk_T} &= \frac{4}{3SM^3} \frac{k_T^2}{1 + \frac{4k_T^2}{M^2}} (x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0); \\ \frac{d^4 \sigma^{(0)}}{dM dy d\phi dk_T} &= \frac{16}{3SM^5} \frac{k_T^3}{1 + \frac{4k_T^2}{M^2}} \cos(2\phi) (x_1 - x_1^0)(x_2 - x_2^0); \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where k_T is the transverse momentum of one of the muons. The acceptance curve for the measurement of, say, the y -dependence of the cross section or the asymmetry A_{TT} , can then be obtained by dividing the results based on Eq. (10), after implementation of appropriate cuts on y , by the full result, i.e., the one integrated over all k_T and already used in Figs. 1-3. Note that Eqs. (10) are obviously LO expressions, for which the transverse momenta of the two muons actually balance each other. We could of course extend also the acceptance analysis to NLO, where the muons are no longer back-to-back and the possibility arises that both muons go into the same hemisphere of the detector. As a result of this effect, it is certainly expected that the acceptance calculated to NLO will be bigger than the LO one. Nevertheless, we believe that our LO estimate for the acceptance is good enough to get a rough quantitative understanding of the influence of limited detector coverage on the statistical error.

⁴We only calculate acceptance corrections for Phenix, since the other major R h i c detector, Star, cannot detect muons, but only electrons. Electron pair production does not seem as promising as muon pair production, as a very detailed study of the background is required in that case.

Figure 4 shows the acceptances for muon identification in the endcaps only and for the endcaps plus central detector arms. Note that the unpolarized acceptances σ differ from the polarized ones σ^P as a result of the different k_T -dependences of the corresponding cross sections (10). The results for $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV and 500 GeV turn out to be almost the same, because we used the same lower limit for the dimuon mass M in both cases. According to Fig. 4, the acceptance for the central rapidity region $y = 0$, where each endcap or each central arm detects one muon, is considerably smaller than for the large rapidity region, where both muons hit the same endcap. Also, the ratio of "polarized-to-unpolarized acceptance" is smaller than unity in the former case and larger than unity for the latter. This means that the experimentally measured asymmetry will be smaller at $y = 0$, but somewhat enhanced at large y as compared to the values given in Figs. 1 and 2. We also see that the addition of muon identification in the central arms would yield a much larger acceptance at small and intermediate dimuon rapidities than found for the "endcaps only" scenario.

At the moment, Hera-N only has the status of a fairly general proposal for a fixed-target detector for pp spin experiments at Hera [17]. Thus, nothing specific is known yet about appropriate kinematical cuts. In our analysis we try to use reasonable values for the kinematical coverage, keeping in mind that the true detector could look significantly different in case it will ever be built. We use 700 mrad for the horizontal and 160 mrad for the vertical opening angle, while the beam pipe is assumed to cover 10 mrad. Such a detector would have much larger acceptances than Phenix, as can also be seen in Fig. 4.

Exploiting our LO estimates of the acceptances σ and σ^P , we are now in a position to calculate the expected statistical errors on the asymmetry. Here we assume that it makes sense to adopt our LO acceptances curve also for the NLO calculation; see our discussion above. One then has for the statistical error of the "measured" asymmetry, i.e., after correction for acceptance:

$$\text{stat. error} = \frac{1}{P^2 \frac{1}{L} \frac{d\sigma}{dy}} ; \quad (11)$$

where P denotes the degree of polarization of each beam, L is the integrated luminosity, and the integration goes over the bin under consideration. In order to consistently match

the error bars to Figs. 1-3, we obviously have to weigh them by the ratio $\frac{R}{R_d} = \frac{R}{R_d} \frac{R_d}{R_d}$.

The statistical errors show the same features for both RHIC energies. A measurement in the central rapidity region will hardly be possible, even if the central muon detector arms are added. Statistical errors at large rapidities do not depend on the presence of central rapidity muon detection (see Fig. 4), and prospects look slightly better here. The larger rates for $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV are compensated by a smaller asymmetry so that, for both energies, the relative statistical error is about 40% at large y . Note that we also include the events with negative rapidity for the calculation of the error bars, since the results are symmetric in y . The situation for HERA-N is somewhat better, with relative errors of about 30%, and more possible bins. This is mainly due to the much larger asymmetry in the fixed-target regime. However, for all this we should keep in mind that the asymmetries we show have been obtained assuming saturated transversity at a low scale. If the saturation were only at, say, the 50% level, then all asymmetries would have to be scaled down by a factor 4, and no measurement would be possible.

Clearly, the restriction in angular acceptance expressed by Fig. 4 will also leave its footprint for the y -integrated, i.e., the total, Drell-Yan cross section. In other words, we have to reinspect our predictions made in [6] for this quantity, to see whether there is any dramatic change concerning the statistical accuracy of a possible measurement of $A_{TT}(M)$. On the left-hand sides of Figs. 5-7 we show the unpolarized and polarized acceptances for the total dimuon cross section for the two RHIC energies and the HERA-N situation. In the case of RHIC, we distinguish again between the "endcaps only" and the "endcaps plus arms" options. The general trend is that the acceptances are rather low for RHIC (PHENIX) and decrease with increasing M . Under our assumed conditions for HERA-N, the acceptance turns out to be much higher and to be a rather flat curve. On the right-hand sides of Figs. 5-7 we redisplay our findings for $A_{TT}(M)$ of Figs. 3-5 of Ref. [6], but now with the more realistic error bars based on our considerations concerning the acceptance. One finds that at not too large M , a measurement of a non-vanishing asymmetry for the total Drell-Yan cross section still looks possible also for RHIC, provided the "true" transversity densities are anywhere near the ones we have modeled. Measurements at

large M appear hopeless. Again, Hera-N looks in a somewhat better shape.

In conclusion, we have studied the "maximally possible" transverse double-spin asymmetry $A_{TT}(M; y)$, resulting from saturation of Soer's inequality at a low hadronic scale. It turns out that the limited muon acceptance for the R h ic experiments threatens to make a measurement of transversity elusive. In particular, it will be difficult, if not impossible, to measure the rapidity dependence of A_{TT} , which in principle would be expected to be sensitive to the shape of the transversity densities. At best, one data point at large y can be obtained, but with a large relative error. The limitation in the muon acceptance also affects the y -integrated cross section, so that the resulting $A_{TT}(M)$ will also receive a substantial relative statistical error. An upgrade of the P hen ix detector towards muon identification also in the central arm would not improve the situation significantly. Lower energies, in combination with better muon acceptance, seem more favorable.

Acknowledgements

We thank G. Bunce and N. Saito for useful information concerning the R h ic detector acceptances. Furthermore, we are indebted to W. D. Nowak for very valuable discussions about Hera-N . W. V. is grateful to D. de Florian for useful discussions. O. M. and A. S. acknowledge financial support from the BMBF and the "Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft". This work was supported in part by the EU Fourth Framework Programme "Training and Mobility of Researchers", Network "Quantum Chromodynamics and the Deep Structure of Elementary Particles", contract FMRX-CT 98-0194 (DG 12-M HT).

References

- [1] Rhic Spin Collab., D. Hill et al., Letter of intent Rhic-SPIN-LOI-1991, updated 1993;
G. Bunce et al., Particle World 3, 1 (1992);
Phenix/Spin Collaboration, K. Imai et al., BNL-PROPOSAL-R5-ADD (1994).
- [2] J.P. Ralston and D.E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B 152, 109 (1979);
R.L. Jaffe and X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 552 (1991); Nucl. Phys. B 375, 527 (1992).
- [3] X. Artru and M. Mekh, Z. Phys. C 45, 669 (1990).
- [4] J. Soer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1292 (1995).
- [5] W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 57, 1886 (1998).
- [6] O. Martin, A. Schafer, M. Stratmann, and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3084 (1998).
- [7] J. Soer and O. Teryaev, Phys. Lett. B 420, 375 (1998).
- [8] W. Vogelsang and A. Weber, Phys. Rev. D 48, 2073 (1993).
- [9] A.P. Contogouris, B. Kamal, and Z. Mrebashvili, Phys. Lett. B 337, 169 (1994).
- [10] S. Kumano and M. Miyama, Phys. Rev. D 56, 2504 (1997);
A. Hayashigaki, Y. Kanazawa, and Y. Koike, Phys. Rev. D 56, 7350 (1997).
- [11] P. Sutton, A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D 45, 2349 (1992).
- [12] R.L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 223, 218 (1989);
X. Ji, Phys. Lett. B 289, 137 (1992).
- [13] Y. Kanazawa, Y. Koike, and N. Nishiyama, Phys. Lett. B 430, 195 (1998).
- [14] M. Glück, E. Reya, and A. Vogt, Z. Phys. C 67, 433 (1995).
- [15] M. Glück, E. Reya, M. Stratmann, and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D 53, 4775 (1996).

[16] N. Saito, private communication.

[17] V. A. Korotkov and W. D. Nowak, Nucl. Phys. A 622, 78c (1997).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 "Maximal" polarized cross section and asymmetry as functions of dimuon rapidity y for Rhic at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV. The error bars have been calculated for $L = 320 \text{ pb}^{-1}$, 70% polarization of both beams, and include acceptance corrections (see text). The point at low rapidity can only be obtained if Phenix is endowed with central muon detector arms.

Fig. 2 Same as Fig. 1, but for $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and $L = 800 \text{ pb}^{-1}$.

Fig. 3 Same as Fig. 1, but for Hera-N with $E_{\text{beam}} = 820$ GeV and $L = 240 \text{ pb}^{-1}$.

Fig. 4 Acceptance curves for the detection of dimuons with the Phenix and Hera-N detectors, as functions of the dimuon rapidity y . The Phenix acceptances for $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV and $M = 5\{20$ GeV differ only very slightly from the results shown here for the case $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV.

Fig. 5 Dependence of the acceptances and the NLO asymmetry A_{TT} on the dimuon invariant mass, integrated over rapidity, for $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV at Rhic. The error bars on the right-hand side include the acceptance corrections and are based on the parameters used for Fig. 1. The outer error bars correspond to the "endcaps only" option, while the inner ones have been obtained assuming additional central detector arms.

Fig. 6 Same as Fig. 5, but for $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV. The error bars for the bin $M > 40$ GeV are much larger than the asymmetry and are therefore not shown in the figure.

Fig. 7 Same as Fig. 5, but for $\sqrt{s} = 39.2$ GeV, corresponding to Hera-N.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7