

Charged right-handed currents in the leptoquark-bilepton flavordynamics chiral models

A. A. Machado and F. Pisano

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Paraná, 81531-990 Curitiba, PR, Brazil

(August 10, 2018)

Abstract

Flavordynamics chiral models of leptoquark fermions and bilepton gauge bosons with masses up to about 1 TeV, although coincident at low energy with the standard model, address the question of fermion mass hierarchy and explain the flavor question. The presence of charged right-handed weak currents coupled to bileptons, which we point out herein, is another remarkable feature of these chiral theories.

PACS numbers:

12.60.-i: Models beyond the standard model;

12.15.Cc: Extensions of gauge or Higgs sector;

14.70.Pw: Other gauge bosons

Typeset using REVTeX

The nature of weak interaction appears to be intimately connected with the fundamental questions like whether neutrinos have finite mass [1] and whether the right-handed weak charged current exists. These are the relevant issues to provide evidence for possible new physics beyond the standard electroweak model. The current-current $V - A$ theory [2] of charged-current weak interactions was motivated by the γ_5 chirality invariance of the Weyl equation of a massless neutrino and its extension to charged fermions. Neutrinos were assumed to be massless so that they are in the definite helicity state (Weyl neutrinos) to assure the $V - A$ structure of leptonic current. In the standard model the fermions are introduced as left-handed doublets and right-handed singlets under the $SU(2)$ weak isospin symmetry group. Right-handed constituents of matter do not interact with the charged W gauge bosons and thus there are no right-handed charged currents. The $V - A$ Lorentz structure guarantees maximal parity violation. Only left-handed chiral fermions are coupled in the weak interactions governed by the charged currents. In the standard model scheme the photonic and gluonic vertices are vectorlike (V), the $W^\pm$ vertices are purely $V - A$, whereas the Z^0 vertices involve both $V - A$ and $V + A$ structures.

Experimental data are consistent with the standard model [3] so that some theoretical extensions are motivated by attempting to understand features that are inserted into the standard flavordynamics but not explained by it. Considering the lightest known particles as the sector in which a symmetry is manifested, it is interesting that the lepton sector could be the part of the model determining new approximate symmetries. There is an electroweak model [4–9] in which there appear $SU(2)_L$ -doublet gauge bosons ($V^\mp, U^{\mp\mp}$) carrying lepton number $L = \pm 2$. We refer to these gauge bosons as bileptons [10]. The gauge group of the standard model is extended to $G_0 \equiv SU(3)_C \otimes G_W \otimes U(1)_N$ with $G_W = SU(3)_L$ but if each family of fermions is treated separately and lepton electric charges are only 0 and ± 1 then $G_W = SU(4)_L$ is the highest weak isospin symmetry which maintain the bilepton character [11]. Such bileptons appeared first in stable-proton grand unified theories [12] with non-chiral fermions and anomaly cancellation through mirror fermions. However, in the G_0 bilepton models, the chiral anomalies between families of fermions cancel with a matching

of the number of families and the number of color degrees of freedom, solving the flavor question [6,13]. Furthermore, the family horizontal symmetry, under which the families transform by a non-trivial representation, can explain the fermion mass hierarchy [14].

Different representation contents are determined by embedding the electric charge operator

$$\frac{Q}{e} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_3^L + \xi \lambda_8^L + \zeta \lambda_{15}^L) + N \quad (1)$$

in the neutral generators of $G_W \otimes U(1)_N$. The parameters ξ and ζ distinguish different embeddings and N is the new $U(1)$ charge. Let us consider the $G_W = SU(3)_L$ chiral bilepton model with the embedding parameters $\xi = -\sqrt{3}$, $\zeta = 0$ [5]. All charged lepton degrees of freedom, l_L , $(l_R)^c$; $l = e, \mu, \tau$, where $l^c \equiv C\bar{l}^T$ is the charge conjugate field corresponding to l , belong to the same flavor triplet

$$f_{lL} = (\nu_l, l, l^c)_L \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 0). \quad (2)$$

Hence there are no right-handed flavor singlets. If we admit that right-handed neutrinos do exist, it is possible to build a model in which ν_l^c , ν_l and l are in the same multiplet of $SU(3)_L$ [7,15]. However, if right-handed neutrinos are introduced it is an interesting possibility to have ν_l , l , ν_l^c , and l^c in the same multiplet

$$f_{lL} = (\nu_l, l, \nu_l^c, l^c)_L \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{4}, 0) \quad (3)$$

of $G_W = SU(4)_L$ bilepton theory [11]. In the $SU(3)_L$ model the quark multiplets transform as $Q_{1L} = (u_1, d_1, J_1)_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}, 2/3)$, $Q_{2L} = (J_2, u_2, d_2)_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*, -1/3)$, $Q_{3L} = (J_3, u_3, d_3)_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^*, -1/3)$, and the right-handed fields are introduced as $SU(3)_L$ singlets, $u_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 2/3)$, $d_{iR} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -1/3)$, $J_{1R} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, 5/3)$, $J_{2,3R} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, -4/3)$. In order to complete the quark triplets, the inclusion of exotic leptoquark fermions of charge $\pm 5/3$ and $\mp 4/3$ has been necessary. They are color-triplet particles which possess baryon number and lepton number. The L and B quantum numbers carried by the leptoquarks are $L_{J_{2,3}} = -L_{J_1} = 2$, and $B_{J_{1,2,3}} = 1/3$. In the bilepton models with the full G_0 gauge symmetry two of the three quark families transform identically and one family transforms in a

different representation under the electroweak group factor of G_0 . This type of construction is anomaly-free only if there equal number of **3** and **3*** or **4** and **4***, considering the color degrees of freedom, N_C . The number of families, N_f , must be divisible by N_C . Hence the simplest alternative is $N_C = N_f = 3$. This novel method of anomaly cancellation requires that at least one family transforms differently from the others, thus breaking generation universality. Using experimental input on neutral gauge bosons mixing, the third quark family must be the one that is singled out [16].

The interactions among the gauge bosons and fermions are read off from

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \bar{R}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ig_1 B_\mu N_R)R + \bar{L}i\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ig_1 B_\mu N_L + i\frac{g_2}{2}\lambda^a W_\mu^a)L, \quad (4)$$

where R represents any right-handed isosinglet and L any left-handed triplet or **4**-plet and $g_{1,2}$ are the gauge couplings associated to $U(1)_N$ and G_W , respectively. For leptons the charged-current interactions in terms of symmetry eigenstates are

$$\mathcal{L}_l^{\text{CC}}[\text{SU}(3)_L] = -\frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l (\bar{\nu}_{lL}\gamma^\mu l_L W_\mu^+ + \bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{lL} V_\mu^+ + \bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu l_L U_\mu^{++}) + \text{H.c.} \quad (5)$$

within the $G_W = \text{SU}(3)_L$ model and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_l^{\text{CC}}[\text{SU}(4)_L] = & -\frac{g_2}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_l (\bar{\nu}_{lL}\gamma^\mu l_L W_\mu^+ + \bar{\nu}_{lL}^c \gamma^\mu l_L V_{1\mu}^+ \\ & + \bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{lL} V_{2\mu}^+ + \bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{lL}^c V_{3\mu}^+ + \bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu l_L U_\mu^{++}) + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

in the $G_W = \text{SU}(4)_L$ model. The left-handed currents coupled to the bilepton gauge bosons V , U , and V_2 , V_3 contain the l^c charge conjugate fields. Notwithstanding these currents are purely right-handed for the l negative charged fields,

$$\bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{lL} = -\bar{\nu}_{lR}^c \gamma^\mu l_R, \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu \nu_{lL}^c = -\bar{\nu}_{lR} \gamma^\mu l_R \quad (8)$$

and also

$$\bar{l}_L^c \gamma^\mu l_L = -\bar{l}_R^c \gamma^\mu l_R, \quad (9)$$

as can be checked, for any spinor ψ , through the charge conjugation definition, $\psi^c = C\bar{\psi}^T$, C being the charge conjugation matrix, the Dirac conjugate $\bar{\psi}^c = -\psi^T C^{-1}$, the property of Dirac matrices, $C^{-1}\gamma_\mu C = -\gamma_\mu^T$, and the equal-time anticommutation of fermion fields. We take the bar after the C operation in $\bar{\psi}^c$. Up to now, right-handed currents have always been considered in the context of the left-right symmetric models [17]. However, it is possible to have these currents coupled to the bilepton gauge bosons in the chiral left-handed models. An explicit lepton number breakdown was proposed in Ref. [18] but in that reference the lepton number-violating currents are coupled to the standard gauge bosons and are proportional to a small parameter appearing in the model.

Lastly, note that although in the left-right symmetric theories the existence of $V + A$ currents ought to be related with a nonvanishing neutrino mass, the smallness of the neutrino mass and the suppression of $V + A$ currents should be connected [19], in the leptoquark-bilepton models could be there an additional connection constraining the electromagnetic gauge invariance and the nature of neutrino mass terms [20]. However, as was shown recently [21], it is possible that all the vacuum expectation values of the scalar sextets be non-zero giving mass to the charged and neutral leptons while keeping the photon massless.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Fukuda *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562;
C. Athanassopoulos *et al.* (LSND Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1774.
- [2] S.S. Gerstein and Y.B. Zeldovich, JETP 2 (1956) 576;
R.P. Feynman and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 193;
E.C.G. Sudarshan and R.E. Marshak, Phys. Rev. 109 (1958) 1860;
J.J. Sakurai, Nuovo Cimento 7 (1958) 649.
- [3] J.L. Rosner, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 22 (1998) 205.
- [4] S.L. Adler, Phys. Lett. B 225 (1989) 143.
- [5] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 410;
R. Foot, O.F. Hernandez, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 4158.
- [6] P.H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2889.
- [7] J.C. Montero, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 2918.
- [8] V. Pleitez and M.D. Tonasse, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 2353.
- [9] D. Ng, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 4805.
- [10] F. Cuypers and M. Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B 501 (1997) 3;
F. Cuypers and S. Davidson, Eur. Phys. J. C2 (1998) 503.
- [11] M.B. Voloshin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 48 (1988) 512;
F. Pisano and T.A. Tran, *Anomaly cancellation in a class of chiral flavor gauge models*,
ICTP Report, IC/93/200, Proc. 14th National Meeting on Particles and Fields, The
Brazilian Physical Society (1993) 322;
R. Foot, H.N. Long and T.A. Tran, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) R34;
F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 3865.
- [12] P.H. Frampton and B.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 619;

- P.H. Frampton and D. Ng, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 4240;
 J. Agrawal, P.H. Frampton and D. Ng, Nucl. Phys. B 386 (1992) 267.
- [13] F. Pisano, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 11 (1996) 2639.
- [14] P.H. Frampton, *Treating Top Differently from Charm and Up*, Workshop on Particle Theory and Phenomenology, International Institute of Theoretical and Applied Physics, Ames, Iowa (1995), hep-ph/9507351.
- [15] H.N. Long and T.A. Tran, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (1994) 2507;
 H.N. Long, Phys. Rev. D 53 (1996) 437; Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 4691.
- [16] J.T. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 542;
 J.T. Liu and D. Ng, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 548.
- [17] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29 (1972) 388;
 J.C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 275;
 R.N. Mohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 566, 2558;
 R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 1502;
 A. De Rújula, H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Annals Phys. 109 (1977) 242;
 G. Senjanović, Nucl. Phys. B 153 (1979) 334;
 R.N. Mohapatra and R.E. Marshak, Phys. Lett. B 91 (1980) 222;
 R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 165;
 P. Langacker and S. Uma Sankar, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 1569.
- [18] J.W.F. Valle and M. Singer, Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983) 540.
- [19] R.N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44 (1980) 912.
- [20] M. Özer, Phys. Lett. B 337 (1994) 324;
 F. Pisano, J.A. Silva-Sobrinho and M.D. Tonasse, Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 338.
- [21] V. Pleitez and M.D. Tonasse, Phys. Lett. B 430 (1998) 174.