

# Measure of $\pi$ 's and $\Lambda$ 's emitter radius via Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac Statistics<sup>1</sup>

Gideon Alexander and Iuliana Cohen

*School of Physics and Astronomy, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel*

This report summarises the recent studies of the Bose-Einstein Correlations (BEC) carried out by the four LEP experiments using hadronic  $Z^0$  decay events and  $e^+e^-$  reactions leading to the  $WW$  decay final states. The three identical charged pion systems  $\pi^\pm\pi^\pm\pi^\pm$  have been studied by OPAL with  $4 \times 10^6$  hadronic  $Z^0$  decays events. The genuine three-pion correlations corrected for Coulomb effects, were separated from the known two-pion correlations by a novel subtraction procedure using the data events themselves. From this analysis a value of  $r_3 = 0.580 \pm 0.029$  fm was obtained. The recent L3 study of the two-dimensional two-pion BEC is also discussed. In this study, which utilised the longitudinal co-moving system, evidence is given for a non-spherical di-pion emitter shape where the ratio of the minor to major axes is found to be  $0.74 \pm 0.09$ . Next we summarise the experimental aspects of the BEC and colour reconnection phenomena in  $W$ -pair events at LEP and their contribution to the systematic error associated with the  $M_W$  measurements. Finally we describe the first attempts to evaluate the  $\Lambda\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\Lambda})$  emitter dimension via the Pauli exclusion principle with a combined result of  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 0.14^{+0.07}_{-0.03}$  fm.

## 1 Introduction

Bose-Einstein Correlations (BEC) of two identical bosons, in particular two pions and two kaons, have been studied in the past over a wide range of energies in various particles interactions. From these measured correlations it was possible to extract values for the dimension of the bosons emitter which in the case of  $e^+e^-$  annihilation was found to be in the range of 0.7 to 1.0 fm independent of energy. The  $e^+e^-$  collider LEP at CERN, which operated for several years at the energy of the  $Z^0$  mass, resulted in some  $4 \times 10^6$  hadronic events collected in each of the four detectors, ALEPH, DELPHI, L3 and OPAL. These high statistics samples have been recently utilised to extend the BEC studies to higher orders and dimensions. In its 2nd phase, the LEP energy was increased in steps to reach in 1998 the value of 189 GeV, well above the  $W^+W^-$  production threshold. This presented the experiments with the possibility to measure  $M_W$  with high precision

<sup>1</sup> Based on an invited talk given by G. Alexander in the Hadron Structure '98, 7 – 13 Sept. 1998, Stara Lesna, Slovakia (to be published in this Conference Proceedings).

provided that the final state interactions (FSI) effects of colour reconnection (CR) and the two-pion BEC are well understood. In this note we summarise in Section 2 the most recent studies of the genuine three charged pions BEC of OPAL and in Section 3 the analysis of the 2-dimensional two-pion BEC of L3 carried out with hadronic  $Z^0$  decay events is described. We further summarise in Section 4 the results obtained by the four LEP experiments for the colour reconnection and  $\pi^+\pi^+$  BEC effects<sup>2</sup> in the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  reaction. Whereas the emitter radius of two identical bosons, mainly pions, have evaluated in many reactions and energies, essentially nothing was known until recently on the emitter dimension of two identical baryons,  $R_{BB}$ , in multihadronic final states. The first measurements of  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  in the hadronic  $Z^0$  events are described in Section 5. Finally conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

## 2 Studies of the one-dimensional $3\pi$ BEC

The BEC of two identical charged pions can be measured in terms of the ratio  $R_2(Q_2)$ , which in this case is identical to the correlation function  $C_2(Q_2)$ , namely:

$$R_2(Q_2) \equiv C_2(Q_2) = \frac{N^{++}(Q_2)}{M_C^{++}(Q_2)} = 1 + \frac{N^{++}(Q_2) - M_C^{++}(Q_2)}{M_C^{++}(Q_2)} = 1 + \frac{\delta^{++}(Q_2)}{M_C^{++}(Q_2)}$$

Here  $N^{++}(Q_2)$  is the number of  $\pi^+\pi^+$  data combinations and  $M_C^{++}(Q_2)$  is the corresponding Monte Carlo (MC) combinations where the MC simulates the data in all aspects but is void of BEC. The Lorentz invariant  $Q_2$  variable used in this ratio is defined by  $Q_2^2 = -(q_1 - q_2)^2$  where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are the four momenta of the two identical pions. In the two-pion BEC studies the values for the two-pions emitter radius were found to be in the range 0.6 – 1.2 fm, independent of the interaction type or the centre-of-mass energy [1].

Similarly the three-pion BEC can be studied by the ratio

$$R_3(Q_3) = \frac{N^{+++}(Q_3)}{M_C^{+++}(Q_3)} = 1 + \frac{N^{+++}(Q_3) - M_C^{+++}(Q_3)}{M_C^{+++}(Q_3)} = 1 + \frac{\delta^{+++}(Q_3)}{M_C^{+++}(Q_3)}$$

where the variable  $Q_3$  is defined by  $Q_3^2 = -(q_1 - q_2)^2 - (q_1 - q_3)^2 - (q_2 - q_3)^2$ . However, unlike the case of  $R_2(Q_2)$ ,  $R_3(Q_3)$  does *NOT* only measure the so called "Genuine" three-pion BEC but also the two-pion BEC and therefore  $R_3(Q_3) \neq C_3(Q_3)$ . Thus the measurement of the genuine three-pion correlation, requires a special procedure to subtract from  $R_3(Q_3)$  the contribution coming from the two-pion BEC.

Assuming a spherical shape with an exponential density for the three-pion emitter one can parametrise the experimental distribution by the expression

$$C_3(Q_3) = \kappa(1 + 2\lambda_3 e^{-Q_3^2 r_3^2})(1 + \varepsilon Q_3 + \delta Q_3^2) \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda_3$ , which can vary within the limits  $0 \leq \lambda_3 \leq 1$ , measures the strength of the three-boson BEC effect and  $r_3$  estimates the size of the three-boson emitter. The  $\kappa$  is a normalisation factor and the term  $(1 + \varepsilon Q_3 + \delta Q_3^2)$  accounts for the long range correlations arising from charge and energy conservation and phase space constraints.

---

<sup>2</sup> Throughout this report, whenever we refer to a specific boson or baryon system we also mean its corresponding charge conjugate state.




Fig. 1: [left] The excess of two identical pions due to BEC as a function of  $Q_2$ . [right] (a)  $\delta^{+++}(Q_3)$  and (b)  $\langle n^{+++}/n^{++-} \rangle \times \delta^{++-}(Q_3)$  as a function of  $Q_3$ .

## 2.1 The genuine $3\pi$ BEC

The genuine Bose-Einstein Correlations (BEC) of three identical charged pions,  $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$  corrected for the Coulomb effects have been studied in  $4 \times 10^6$  hadronic  $Z^0$  decays recorded by the OPAL detector at LEP [2]. In this study the chosen reference sample was generated by the JETSET7.4 Monte Carlo (MC) program. To subtract from  $R_3(Q_3)$  the contribution of the two-pion BEC a novel method has been applied which utilises the data events themselves. The over-all excess  $\delta^{+++}(Q_3)$  can be written as a sum

$$\delta^{+++}(Q_3) = \delta_2^{+++}(Q_3) + \delta_{\text{genuine}}^{+++}(Q_3)$$

where  $\delta_2^{+++}(Q_3)$  is the three-pion enhancement due to the two-pion BEC. From straightforward combinatorial considerations the relation

$$\int \delta_2^{+++}(Q_3) dQ_3 = \left\langle \frac{n^{+++}}{n^{++-}} \right\rangle \times \int \delta^{++-}(Q_3) dQ_3$$

strictly holds when summed over all allowed  $Q_3$  values. The factor  $\langle n^{+++}/n^{++-} \rangle$  is the average ratio between the number of  $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$  and the  $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$  combinations per event of the analysed data sample. It was further verified experimentally that this relation holds also to a very good approximation in its differential form, namely

$$\delta_2^{+++}(Q_3) = \left\langle \frac{n^{+++}}{n^{++-}} \right\rangle \times \delta^{++-}(Q_3)$$

Thus the genuine three-pion correlation function is given by

$$C_3(Q_3) = R_3(Q_3) - R_{1,2}(Q_3) = \frac{N^{+++}(Q_3)}{M_C^{+++}(Q_3)} - \frac{\delta^{++-}(Q_3)}{M_C^{+++}(Q_3)} \times \left\langle \frac{n^{+++}}{n^{++-}} \right\rangle$$

where  $R_{1,2}(Q_3) = \delta_2^{+++}(Q_3)/M_C^{+++}(Q_3)$ . In Fig. 1 [right] the data distributions of  $\delta^{+++}$  and  $\langle n^{+++}/n^{++-} \rangle \times \delta^{++-}$  are shown as a function of  $Q_3$ . As can be seen, the

two distributions overlap at values of  $Q_3 > 0.7$  GeV. At smaller  $Q_3$  values, a significant difference is seen between the two distributions which is attributed to the genuine three-pion BEC. This  $\delta^{+++}$  representation of the three-pion BEC enhancement can of course also be used for the two-pion BEC as is seen in Fig. 1 [left] for the OPAL data [3]. The related  $R_3(Q_3)$  and  $R_{1,2}(Q_3)$  are shown in Fig. 2a and the genuine three-pion BEC function  $C_3(Q_3)$  is shown in Fig. 2b where a clear enhancement is observed at the lower end of the  $Q_3$  range. A fit of Eq. 1 to the data yields for the genuine three-pion BEC strength  $\lambda_3$  and emitter dimension  $r_3$  the values

$$\lambda_3 = 0.504 \pm 0.010(stat.) \pm 0.041(syst.) \text{ and } r_3 = 0.580 \pm 0.004(stat.) \pm 0.029(syst.) \text{ fm}$$

where the uncertainties of the measured parameters are strongly dominated by the systematic errors. These values are also illustrated in Fig. 4 by the 68% and 95% confidence level contours and the best value point drawn in the  $r_3 - \lambda_3$  plane. The shape of the contours is determined mostly from the systematic errors. These results of OPAL establish the existence of a genuine three-pion BEC with a significance of about 10 s.d.

It is of interest to compare the OPAL results with those obtained in a former study of the genuine three-pion BEC carried out by DELPHI [4] with  $10^6$   $Z^0$  decay events. In that analysis no account has been taken for the Coulomb effect and the subtraction of the two-pion BEC has been achieved with the help of a MC generated sample and an event mixing procedure. The DELPHI  $R_3(Q_3)$  of the  $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$  and the  $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$  states are shown in Fig. 3. The fitted values for the genuine BEC strength and the emitter dimension are given in Table 1 where they can be compared with the OPAL results before the inclusion of the Coulomb correction. Whereas the two experiments agree within 2 s.d. on the  $r_3$  value they differ in their  $\lambda_3$  values which in the case of DELPHI is less than 4 s.d. away from zero. The OPAL and DELPHI  $r_3$  results are compared in Table 2 with former values obtained in three-pion BEC studies in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation and hadronic interactions. Most of these analyses have not isolated the genuine three-pion BEC nor did they correct for Coulomb effects. Nevertheless in general the average  $r_3$  value is seen to be smaller than  $r_2$  in agreement with the expectation [5] that  $r_2/\sqrt{3} \leq r_3 \leq r_2/\sqrt{2}$  which in the case of  $r_3^{genuine}$  reduces to  $r_3^{genuine} = r_2/\sqrt{2}$ .

| Parameter       | Without Coulomb Corr.       | With Coulomb Corr.          |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>OPAL</b>     |                             |                             |
| $\lambda_3$     | $0.462 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.041$ | $0.504 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.041$ |
| $r_3$ (fm)      | $0.616 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.029$ | $0.580 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.029$ |
| $\chi^2/d.o.f.$ | 218/171                     | 190/171                     |
| <b>DELPHI</b>   |                             |                             |
| $\lambda_3$     | $0.28 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07$    |                             |
| $r_3$ (fm)      | $0.657 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.032$ |                             |

Table 1. Results from the OPAL and DELPHI genuine three-pion BEC analyses. The OPAL values of the  $\chi^2$  over degrees of freedom (d.o.f) are also given.




Fig. 2: The Coulomb corrected three-pion BEC distributions measured by OPAL.




Fig. 3: The DELPHI measured  $R_3(Q_3)$  function for (a) like-sign pion triplets and (b) unlike-sign pion triplets compared with JETSET7.3 Monte Carlo expectations.

| Experiment      | Reaction                          | Genuine    | Coulomb    | $r_3$ (fm)                                |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|
| AFS             | $pp$                              | <b>no</b>  | <b>no</b>  | $0.41 \pm 0.02$ (stat.)                   |
| NA23            | $pp$                              | <b>no</b>  | <b>no</b>  | $0.58 \pm 0.07$ (stat.)                   |
| NA22            | $\pi^+/K^+p$                      | <b>yes</b> | <b>yes</b> | $0.51 \pm 0.01$ (stat.)                   |
| TASSO           | $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$     | <b>no</b>  | <b>no</b>  | $0.52 \pm 0.07$ (stat.)                   |
| MARK-II (SPEAR) | $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$       | <b>no</b>  | <b>yes</b> | $0.53 \pm 0.03$ (comb.)                   |
| MARK-II (PEP)   | $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ | <b>no</b>  | <b>yes</b> | $0.55 \pm 0.03$ (comb.)                   |
| MARK-II (SPEAR) | $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$     | <b>no</b>  | <b>yes</b> | $0.45 \pm 0.04$ (comb.)                   |
| MARK-II (PEP)   | $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$     | <b>no</b>  | <b>yes</b> | $0.64 \pm 0.06$ (comb.)                   |
| <b>DELPHI</b>   | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0$          | <b>yes</b> | <b>no</b>  | <b><math>0.66 \pm 0.05</math></b> (comb.) |
| <b>OPAL</b>     | $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0$          | <b>yes</b> | <b>yes</b> | <b><math>0.58 \pm 0.05</math></b> (comb.) |

Table 2. Compilation of the three-pion BEC results for  $r_3$ . The quoted statistical errors are marked by (stat.) and where the statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature the result is marked by (comb.).




Fig. 4: The 68% and 95% confidence level contours obtained by OPAL for the genuine three-pion BEC parameters  $\lambda_3$  and  $r_3$  after Coulomb correction.

### 3 Two-dimensional $2\pi$ BEC

In general BEC analyses of the two and more pion systems, like those discussed in the former subsection, are parametrised in a one-dimensional emitter shape i.e., they are taken to be of a spherical shape. It is however not unreasonable to expect that in the presence of jet fragmentation the pion emitter shape will deviate significantly from a sphere. This possibility has been recently the subject of many theoretical studies [6]. On the experimental side, the L3 collaboration [8] undertook a two-dimensional BEC analysis of the  $\pi^+\pi^+$  pairs using about  $10^6$  hadronic  $Z^0$  decay events. The analysis used the longitudinal co-moving system (LCMS) [9]. This system, shown in Fig. 5, is defined for each pair of pions as the system in which the sum of the pion-pair momenta  $\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2$ , referred to as the ‘out’ axis, is perpendicular to ‘thrust’ axis. The third axis, which is perpendicular to the ‘out’–‘thrust’ plane, is referred to as the ‘side’ axis. For each pion-pair  $Q_{out}$ ,  $Q_{side}$  and  $Q_{thrust} = Q_{\parallel}$  are determined. In a two-dimensional analysis one adds in quadrature  $Q_{out}$  and  $Q_{side}$  to form the transverse component  $Q_T$  (see Fig. 5). The Lorentz invariant variable used in one-dimensional analysis is then equal to

$$Q^2 = Q_{\parallel}^2 + Q_T^2 = Q_{\parallel}^2 + Q_{out}^2 + Q_{side}^2$$




Fig. 5: LCMS system drawn for the case where the  $\vec{p}_1$  and  $\vec{p}_2$  are in the plane defined by the ‘out’ and ‘thrust’ axes.

For the BEC analysis L3 has used a reference sample which was created by the mixing events technique. In the analysis, the experimental distributions in the two-dimensional space  $Q_{\parallel}$  and  $|Q_T|$ , are parametrised by

$$R_2(Q_{\parallel}, |Q_T|) = \kappa(1 + \delta Q_{\parallel} + \epsilon |Q_T|) \left[ 1 + \lambda e^{(-R_{\parallel}^2 Q_{\parallel}^2 - R_T^2 Q_T^2)} \right] \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda$  measures the strength of the BEC effect and  $R_{\parallel}$  and  $R_T$  are respectively the parallel and perpendicular dimensions of the pion emitter. The term  $(1 + \delta Q_{\parallel} + \epsilon |Q_T|)$  takes in account possible long range correlations and  $\kappa$  is a normalisation factor. The values of  $\lambda$ ,  $R_{\parallel}$  and  $R_T$  obtained in the fit of Eq. 2 to the entire data are listed in Table

3. As expected,  $R_{\parallel}$  is larger than  $R_T$  indicating a non-spherical shape for the pion emitter. It has been suggested that the radii  $R_{\parallel}$  and  $R_T$  are function of the two-pion transverse mass  $m_T = \frac{1}{2}[(m^2 + p_{t1}^2)^{1/2} + (m^2 + p_{t2}^2)^{1/2}]$  namely, the higher  $m_T$  the lower are the radii [6]. Furthermore in heavy ion collisions the relation between the radii and  $m_T$  was found to be  $R \propto 1/\sqrt{m_T}$ . As for  $\lambda$ , it is expected to increase with  $m_T$ . For this reason L3 repeated their analysis fitting Eq. 2 to the data in three separated  $m_T$  regions. The results of these fits are shown in Table 3. Finally the fitting procedure has also been repeated for the subsample of 2-jet hadronic  $Z^0$  decay events (not shown). The results of this two-dimensional BEC analysis show that:

- a) The pion emitter is not spherical with radii ratio of  $R_T/R_{\parallel} = 0.74 \pm 0.09$ ;
- b) General decrease of  $R_{\parallel}$  and  $R_T$  is observed as  $m_T$  increases;
- c) The increase of  $\lambda$  with  $m_T$  cannot be confirmed;
- d) No significant difference is observed between the 2-jet and the total hadronic  $Z^0$  decay samples.

| Range (GeV)         | $\lambda$                | $R_{\parallel}$ (fm)     | $R_{\perp}$ (fm)         |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| total sample        | $0.30 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04$ | $0.54 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$ |
| $0.14 < m_T < 0.30$ | $0.30 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.06$ | $0.76 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.08$ | $0.72 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$ |
| $0.30 < m_T < 0.45$ | $0.40 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.06$ | $0.70 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02$ | $0.51 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$ |
| $m_T > 0.45$        | $0.35 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.06$ | $0.63 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$ | $0.38 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02$ |

Table 3. Results from the L3 two-dimensional BEC analysis.

## 4 FSI effects on the $M_W$ measurement in $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$

In the first two years of the LEP2 operation about  $75 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  of data have been recorded in each of the four LEP experiments. Out of these  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  were recorded at  $\sqrt{s} = 161 \text{ GeV}$ , just above the  $W^+W^-$  threshold, where the mass of the W was evaluated from the production cross section. The rest of the data, taken at 172 and 183 GeV, were used for the  $M_W$  measurement through a direct W reconstruction from the hadronic final states.

The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  reaction can be divided into three samples characterised by their decay channels. These are given in Table 4 together with the corresponding branching ratio (BR) values and the average values for their purity and detection efficiency in the LEP experiments. The first item consists of a pure hadronic event sample where FSI can occur within a single W decay or between the two different W bosons. In the second sample, often referred to as the semileptonic event sample, final state interaction can only occur within a single W and thus is not expected to affect the direct W mass reconstruction. In the full leptonic events sample no direct  $M_W$  reconstruction is possible.

From the practical point of view of the  $M_W$  measurement, FSI are only of consequence if they occur between the two W's in the hadronic events. A common used method for the W mass measurement with the selected  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$  events consists of grouping the final state hadrons into exactly four jets using one of the existing jet finder algorithms. A priori there are three possible ways to group the four jets into two pairs of jets. The final pairing choice is achieved by a fit procedure where the pairing

with the highest fit probability is taken to be the correct one. At LEP2 energies the

|    | Final state                                                 | BR (%) | Purity(%) | Eff.(%) |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|
| a) | $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$                     | 46     | 80        | 85      |
| b) | $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$            | 44     | 90        | 80      |
| c) | $W^+W^- \rightarrow \ell^+\nu_\ell\ell'^-\bar{\nu}_{\ell'}$ | 10     | 90        | 70      |

Table 4. The WW decay characteristics at the LEP2 experiments.

two W-bosons decay within a distance of about 0.1 fm, smaller than the hadronisation scale of  $\sim 1.0$  fm. Thus the fragmentation process of the two hadronic decay products from the two W-bosons in the same event are not necessarily independent. Two main sources of possible interconnection between the two W-bosons decay products have been considered, Bose-Einstein correlations and the colour reconnection.

#### 4.1 Bose-Einstein correlation in WW decays

In dealing with a possible two-pion BEC effect on the  $M_W$  measurement, it is useful first to investigate the BEC separately in the three decay channels defined in Table 4 where they are listed together with their branching ratio (BR) values and the purity and efficiency achieved for them in the LEP experiments.

Typical  $C_2(Q) = N^{++}(Q)/M_c^{++}(Q)$  distributions for these three channels, obtained by OPAL [10] from the LEP2 data, are shown in Fig. 6[left] where low  $Q$  enhancements is seen in all the channels. In Table 5 we show the BEC parameters obtained by the ALEPH [11], DELPHI [12] and OPAL [10] collaborations from fits of an equation of the type:

$$C_2(Q) = \kappa(1 + \lambda_2 e^{-Q^2 r_2^2})(1 + \varepsilon Q + \delta Q^2) \quad (3)$$

to their data. Here  $r_2$  is the radius of the pions source and  $\lambda_2$ , which varies between 0 and 1, measures the strength of the two-pion BEC effect. The term  $(1 + \varepsilon Q + \delta Q^2)$  accounts for long range correlations and  $\kappa$  is a normalization factor.

As can be seen from Table 5, significant two-pion BEC exists in the fully hadronic events. In the semileptonic events, due to the relative low statistics, the  $\lambda_2$  values are only about 3 s.d. away from zero. To note is that DELPHI and OPAL have also examined the BEC in the non radiative  $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z^0/\gamma)^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  events. In both experiments a  $\lambda_2$  significant from zero was found. Whereas DELPHI chose for the fit a fixed  $r_2$  value of 0.65 fm, OPAL obtained from their fit an  $r_2$  value consistent with those obtained with data at  $e^+e^-$  energies on the  $Z^0$  mass and below.

Important to note is that the BEC results for the semileptonic WW data are coming from the same W whereas the crucial question for the  $M_W$  measurement is whether BEC exist also for pions coming from different Ws. A handle on this question can be obtained from a comparison of the BEC effects in the fully hadronic sample and in the semileptonic sample. To this end one can define a probability density  $P^{(4q)}(Q)$ , as a




Fig. 6: [left] The Correlation function in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  events for like-charge pion pairs from the fully hadronic, semileptonic and non-radiative events samples. [right] The fit correlation between  $\lambda_{same}$  and  $\lambda_{diff}$  obtained by OPAL for the channel  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$ .

|                                                                   | ALEPH                    | DELPHI                   | OPAL                     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$ $r_2(\text{fm})$          | $0.57 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.03$ | $0.57 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06$ | $0.91 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.10$ |
| $\lambda_2$                                                       | $0.18 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04$ | $0.24 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$ | $0.43 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.09$ |
| $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell$ $r_2(\text{fm})$ | $0.74 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.03$ | $0.49 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$ | $0.91 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.10$ |
| $\lambda_2$                                                       | $0.23 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04$ | $0.43 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04$ | $0.75 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.18$ |
| $(Z^0/\gamma)^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ $r_2(\text{fm})$            | —                        | 0.65 (fixed)             | $0.91 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.10$ |
| $\lambda_2$                                                       | —                        | $0.31 \pm 0.04$          | $0.49 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08$ |

Table 5. The BEC parameters determined in the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z^0/\gamma)^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  samples by the ALEPH, DELPHI and OPAL experiments at LEP2.

function of  $Q$ , for the two identical pions in the fully hadronic ( $4q$ ) WW events. In a linear scenario one has

$$P^{(4q)}(Q) = P_s^{(4q)}(Q) + P_d^{(4q)}(Q)$$

where  $P_s^{(4q)}(Q)$  and  $P_d^{(4q)}(Q)$  are respectively the probability density of two identical pions from the same W and from different Ws in the event. Using a MC generated sample without BEC effects and assuming independent decays of the Ws one can form a correlation function for pion-pairs coming from different Ws

$$R_d^{(4q)}(Q) = \frac{P_d^{(4q)}(Q)}{P_{0d}^{(4q)}(Q)} = \frac{P^{(4q)}(Q) - 2 \cdot P^{(2q\ell\nu)}(Q)}{P_{0d}^{(4q)}(Q)}$$

This correlation function will be zero if there are no BEC between pion-pair of different Ws (see e.g. [12]). Assuming the form  $P_i^{(4q)}(Q) = P_{0i}^{(4q)}(1 + \lambda_i e^{-r_i^2 Q^2})$  for  $i = s$  or  $d$  another correlation function can also be studied, namely

$$R^{(4q)}(Q) = \frac{P_s^{(4q)}(Q) + P_d^{(4q)}(Q)}{P_{0s}^{(4q)}(Q) + P_{0d}^{(4q)}(Q)} = 1 + [\lambda_s - g(Q)(\lambda_s - \lambda_d)] e^{-r_2^2 Q^2}$$

where  $g(Q)$  is equal to:  $g(Q) = P_{0d}^{(4q)}(Q)/[P_{0s}^{(4q)}(Q) + P_{0d}^{(4q)}(Q)]$ . In the last step  $r_2 \equiv r_s = r_d$  is assumed. This basic correlation function can further be expanded and modified to include the WW semileptonic data and the contribution from the non-radiative  $e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z^0/\gamma)^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}$  data which infiltrated in the selection of the WW events. The

|      |             | same W                   | diff W                   | $(Z^0/\gamma)^*$         |
|------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| L3   | $r_2$ (fm)  | $0.79 \pm 0.27$          | $1.03 \pm 0.85$          | –                        |
|      | $\lambda_2$ | $0.32 \pm 0.17$          | $0.75 \pm 1.80$          | –                        |
| OPAL | $r_2$ (fm)  | $0.92 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$ | $0.92 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$ |
|      | $\lambda_2$ | $0.63 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.14$ | $0.22 \pm 0.53 \pm 0.14$ | $0.47 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08$ |

Table 6. BEC parameters results from the fit to the WW events.

four LEP experiments have, so far, adopted somewhat different approaches, which are related to the correlation function described above, to extract information on the  $\lambda_d$ . DELPHI and ALEPH, in their preliminary study compared their results for the BEC in the fully hadronic WW data at 183 GeV (DELPHI) and 172+183 GeV (ALEPH), with MC generated samples having two-pion BEC in the same and different W bosons. Their studies have indicated that  $\lambda_d$  is consistent with zero. The L3 collaboration [13] used a fitting procedure to obtain the BEC parameters  $\lambda_s$ ,  $r_s$  and  $\lambda_d$ ,  $r_d$  which are presented in Table 6. In the analysis of OPAL an expanded version of the correlation function described above has been used where also non-pion contamination has been considered. However due to the relative small statistics,  $r_s = r_d = r_{(Z^0/\gamma)^*}$  had to be assumed. The OPAL fit results are also presented in Table 6. As seen from this table, the values obtained by L3 and OPAL for  $\lambda_d$  are non-zero but due to the small statistics there are associated with large errors and therefore are also consistent with zero. This situation is best illustrated in Fig 6 [right] where the 67% confidence level contour for the OPAL  $\lambda_{same}$  versus  $\lambda_{diff}$  fit results are shown together with the best value. From this figure one concludes that with the present statistics even values of  $\lambda_{diff} > \lambda_{same}$  cannot be excluded.

## 4.2 Colour reconnection in WW decays

In addition to the two-pion BEC discussed above, another type of FSI may affect the data namely the colour reconnection phenomenon. This leads to a rearrangement of the colour flow between the two W bosons. A variety of models, implementing interconnection effects, have been proposed [14, 15] which predict different biases in the measurement of the  $M_W$  from the  $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$  channel. The extraction of the effect from the data is usually done by comparing various properties of the 4q and qq $\ell\nu$  final state samples such as the charged multiplicity and average scaled momentum distributions in addition to global variables such as the mean thrust and the mean rapidity. All the four LEP experiments [16, 17] have recently looked for colour reconnection effects in their  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  data collected with a total luminosity of  $\sim 55 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ . Their results for the charge multiplicity comparison between the fully hadronic and the semileptonic  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  decays are summarised in Table 7. The quantity

$$\Delta\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle = \langle n_{\text{ch}}^{4q} \rangle - 2\langle n_{\text{ch}}^{qq\ell\nu} \rangle$$

which is given in the table is expected, in the absence of CR, to be equal to zero. All the

| Experiment | $\langle n_{\text{ch}}^{4q} \rangle$ | $\langle n_{\text{ch}}^{qq\ell\nu} \rangle$ | $\Delta\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle$ |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| ALEPH*     | $35.33 \pm 0.73$                     | $17.01 \pm 0.37$                            | $1.31 \pm 0.83$                       |
| DELPHI     | $37.36 \pm 1.00$                     | $19.48 \pm 0.73$                            | $-1.6 \pm 1.5$                        |
| L3         | $36.3 \pm 0.9$                       | $18.6 \pm 0.6$                              | $-1.0 \pm 0.9$                        |
| OPAL       | $39.4 \pm 1.0$                       | $19.3 \pm 0.4$                              | $0.7 \pm 1.0$                         |

\* only partially unfolded.

Table 7. Mean charged particle multiplicities of the WW events obtained by the LEP experiments.

errors listed in the table are the statistical and systematic ones added in quadrature. Since the ALEPH mean multiplicity values are only partially unfolded, they should not be directly compared with the other three experimental results. Therefore it is more appropriate to compare the  $\Delta\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle$  values obtained by the four LEP experiments. The LEP average multiplicity difference obtained, using the  $\Delta\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle$  values from the last table column, is:

$$\Delta\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle_{\text{average}}^{\text{LEP}} = \langle n_{\text{ch}}^{4q} \rangle - 2\langle n_{\text{ch}}^{qq\ell\nu} \rangle = +0.20 \pm 0.50 \text{ (stat. + syst.)}$$

This result is consistent with the absence of any change in the  $\langle n_{\text{ch}}^{4q} \rangle$  due to colour reconnection. Essentially all the Sjöstrand-Khoze, HERWIG and ARIADNE models [14, 15] are consistent with data. Some more extreme models however, such as the instantaneous reconnection scenarios in the Sjöstrand-Khoze model, and the ARIADNE model AR 3 [15], in which gluons having energies greater than  $\Gamma_W$  are allowed to interact, are disfavoured.

DELPHI and OPAL [16, 17] measured also the dispersions of the charged multiplicity distributions,  $D^{4q}$  and  $D^{qq\ell\nu}$ , and their difference given by  $\Delta D = D^{4q} - \sqrt{2}D^{qq\ell\nu}$ . The results are consistent with Monte Carlo expectations. Furthermore there is no indication for differences in charged multiplicity distribution's shape based on the first moments of the multiplicity distributions.

Other studies like fragmentation functions and trust distributions show no significant difference between the data in the two channels of the W-pair decays [17]. Thus we may

conclude that at the present level of statistics no evidence for colour interconnection effects has been observed in  $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$  events.

### 4.3 $M_W$ uncertainties from FSI

In the absence of any experimental evidence for the existence of FSI between the decay products of different W-bosons, the systematic uncertainty from such effects is for the time being estimated from Monte Carlo models [18]. The DELPHI, L3 and OPAL collaborations quote for  $M_W$  measured in the channel  $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$  a combined systematic uncertainty of 100 MeV for BEC and CR. The ALEPH Collaboration quotes 25 MeV for CR and 50 MeV for BEC.

Thus the combined LEP result for the W-boson mass obtained from direct reconstruction and threshold measurements using both the fully hadronic and semileptonic data is currently evaluated to be [18]:

$$M_W = 80.37 \pm 0.07(\text{stat.} + \text{syst.}) \pm 0.04(\text{FSI}) \pm 0.02(E_{\text{beam}}) \text{ GeV}$$

The reduction from  $\sim 90$  GeV to 40 GeV of the uncertainty coming from FSI is due to the fact that the final  $M_W$  is coming also from the semileptonic sample where no FSI are present.

## 5 The $\Lambda\Lambda$ emitter dimension

The two-pion emitter dimension,  $r_2(\pi\pi)$ , has been measured via the BEC in a large variety of interactions and over a large range of energies. At the same time essentially no information has been available on the emitter dimension of two identical baryons in multihadronic final states. Recently it has been pointed out [19] that this di-baryon emitter radius can be evaluated through the onset of the Pauli exclusion principle as the difference of the baryons four momenta,  $Q$ , decreases to zero. To this end a method for the determination of the spin composition of the  $\Lambda\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\Lambda})$  pairs has been developed in [19], which is based on the data themselves without the need of a reference sample.

In this method each  $\Lambda$  of the hyperon pair is first transformed to their common CM system and then each decay proton (anti-proton) is transformed to its parent hyperon (anti-hyperon) CM system. The distribution of the cosine angle between these two protons, here denoted by  $y^*$ , depends on the fraction of the S=1 (or S=0) in the data. Specifically the following  $y^*$  distributions are expected for pure S=0 and S=1 states of the  $\Lambda$  pair

$$dN/dy^*|_{S=0} = 1 + (-1)^{B/2} \cdot \alpha_\Lambda^2 \cdot y^* \quad \text{and} \quad dN/dy^*|_{S=1} = 1 - (-1)^{B/2} \cdot \alpha_\Lambda^2 \cdot y^*/3$$

where  $\alpha_\Lambda = 0.642 \pm 0.013$  [20] is the  $\Lambda \rightarrow p\pi^-$  decay parameter arising from parity violation and B is the baryon number of the di-hyperon system. These distributions are independent of the orbital angular momentum and are valid as long the  $\Lambda$ 's are non-relativistic in their di- $\Lambda$  CM system. Therefore the spin composition analyses in this method are restricted to relatively small  $Q$  values<sup>3</sup>. Defining  $\varepsilon$  as the fraction of S=1 in the di-hyperon sample, namely

$$\varepsilon = \frac{(S=1)}{(S=1) + (S=0)}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Assuming that no  $\Lambda\Lambda$  resonances exist in this Q region.

then the following function can be fitted to the data:

$$dN/dy^* = f_{BG} + (1 - f_{BG}) \cdot \{(1 - \varepsilon) \cdot dN/dy^*|_{S=0} + \varepsilon \cdot dN/dy^*|_{S=1}\}$$

where  $f_{BG}$  is the background fraction in the data.

The fraction of the  $S=1$  spin content,  $\varepsilon$ , as a function of  $Q$  has been measured in  $\sim 4 \times 10^6$  hadronic  $Z^0$  decays per experiment at LEP by the OPAL [21], ALEPH [22] and DELPHI [23] collaborations. The  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  values obtained by the OPAL and DELPHI collaborations are :

$$\text{OPAL(96)} \quad R_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 0.19_{-0.07}^{+0.37} \pm 0.02 \text{ fm}$$

$$\text{DELPHI(98)} \quad R_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 0.11_{-0.03}^{+0.05} \pm 0.01 \text{ fm}$$

The ALEPH results for the  $\Lambda\Lambda$  spin composition are similar to those of OPAL and DELPHI but no attempt has been made to extract from them an  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  value.




Fig. 7: [a] The  $S = 1$  fraction,  $\varepsilon$ , of the  $\Lambda\Lambda(\bar{\Lambda}\bar{\Lambda})$  pairs measured as a function of  $Q$  by the ALEPH, DELPHI and OPAL collaborations. The solid line represents the results of the fit of Eq. 4 to the data points. [b] The  $\Delta\chi^2 = \chi^2 - \chi_{min}^2$  dependence on  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ .

The measured  $\varepsilon(Q)$  values of the three experiments are compiled in Fig. 7a. The solid line in the same figure is the outcome of an unbinned maximum likelihood fit of the function:

$$\varepsilon(Q) = 0.75[1 - e^{(-R_{\Lambda\Lambda}^2 Q^2)}] \quad (4)$$

to the data points plotted in the figure. The constant 0.75 appearing in the function represents a statistical spin mixture which is proportional to  $2S + 1$ . From this over-all fit the dimension of the  $\Lambda\Lambda$  emitter and its errors are found to be

$$R_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 0.14_{-0.03}^{+0.07} \text{ fm}$$

The  $\Delta\chi^2 = \chi^2 - \chi_{min}^2$  behaviour of this fit is shown in Fig. 7b. The ALEPH collaboration has also used an alternative method to measure  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ . In that method one constructs, similar to the BEC studies, a correlation function of the type

$$C_2^{\Lambda\Lambda}(Q) = N_{data}^{\Lambda\Lambda}(Q)/N_{ref}^{\Lambda\Lambda}(Q)$$

where the numerator is the data distribution and the denominator is the distribution of a reference sample void of the Fermi-Dirac statistics. This  $C_2^{\Lambda\Lambda}(Q)$  correlation is expected to decrease at low  $Q$  values due to the onset of the Pauli exclusion principle. From this analysis ALEPH evaluates  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  to be  $(0.10 - 0.09) \pm 0.02$  fm in perfect agreement with the values obtained from the spin composition analyses.

Comparing  $R_{\Lambda\Lambda}$  with former measured  $r_2(KK)$  [24] and  $r_2(\pi\pi)$  [1] values it is interesting to note that the larger the mass of the emitted particle the smaller is the dimension of its source.

## 6 Conclusion

The LEP studies of BEC have been extended by OPAL to one-dimensional genuine identical charged three-pion system. These genuine BEC reveal a significant enhancement near threshold which may warrant their implementation in the MC models. Furthermore it may be thus important to extend these studies to higher orders. The L3 collaboration has extended the two-pion BEC studies to two dimensions. Their results indicate that the emitter shape is not spherical. Extension of this study to three dimensions is straight forward and of considerable interest. So far no experimental conclusion can be derived for the contribution, if any, of the BEC and/or colour reconnection on the  $W^+W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}'q\bar{q}'$  channel. Thus the systematic error due to FSI associated with the measured  $M_W$  are estimated from model dependent MC studies. It is expected however that by the year 2000 sufficient data will be available to extract from them the FSI effects on the measured  $W$  mass. Recently information is also gathered on the baryon emitter dimension. This should be further explored, not only experimentally but also theoretically.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the members of the four LEP experiments for providing the information needed to prepare this report.

## References

- [1] See e.g. E.A. Wolf: in **Proc. ICHEP94 Glasgow** (1994) 1281 and references therein;
- [2] OPAL Collab., K. Ackerstaff et al.: *Eur. Phys. J. C* **5** (1998) 239;
- [3] OPAL Collab., G. Alexander et al.: *Z. Phys. C* **72** (1996) 389;
- [4] DELPHI Collab., P. Abreu: *Phys. Lett. B* **355** (1995) 415;
- [5] I. Juricic et al.: *Phys. Rev. D* **39** (1989) 1;
- [6] B. Andersson, M. Ringner: *Phys. Lett B* **421** (1998) 283;
- [7] B. Löfstad, O.G. Smirnova: Proc. 7<sup>th</sup> Int. Workshop on Multiparticle Prod. (1996) 42;
- [8] L3 Collab.: *L3 98-2270 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref.546;
- [9] J.D. Bjorken: *Phys. Rev. D* **27** (1983) 140;
- [10] OPAL Collab. G. Abbiendi et al.: *CERN-EP* **174** (1998) (submitted to publication);
- [11] ALEPH Collab.: *ALEPH 98-065 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 894;
- [12] DELPHI Collab.: *DELPHI 98-130 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 288;
- [13] L3 Collab.: *L3 98-2268 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 506;
- [14] T. Sjöstrand: *Comp. Phys. Comm.* **82** (1994) 74;  
T. Sjöstrand, V.A. Khoze: *Z. Phys. C* **62** (1993) 281;
- [15] L. Lönnblad: *Z. Phys. C* **70** (1996) 107;
- [16] ALEPH Collab.: *ALEPH 98-066 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 909;  
DELPHI Collab.: *DELPHI 98-101 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 289;  
L3 Collab.: *L3 98-2278 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 507;
- [17] N. Watson: *OPAL PN365 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 395;
- [18] M. Thomson: *OPAL PN354 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 393;
- [19] G. Alexander, H.J. Lipkin: *Phys. Lett. B* **352** (1995) 162;
- [20] Particle Data Group: *Eur. Phys. J. C* **3** (1998) 1;
- [21] OPAL Collab., G. Alexander et al.: *Phys. Lett. B* **384** (1996) 377;
- [22] ALEPH Collab.: *Proc. EPS Conf. Jerusalem* (1997) Ref.591;
- [23] DELPHI Collab.: *DELPHI 98-114 Proc. ICHEP98 Vancouver* (1998) Ref. 154;
- [24] DELPHI Collab., P. Abreu et al.: *Phys. Lett. B* **365** (1996) 330 and references therein;