November 1997

Higgs Physics at a 300 - 500 GeV e^+e^- Linear Collider

H. Jürgen Schreiber*

DESY- Zeuthen, Germany

* Contribution to the Proceedings of the Internat. Europhysics Conf. on High Energy Physics, 16-26 August 1997, Jerusalem, Israel

Abstract

We summarize discovery potentials for the Standard Model Higgs boson produced in e^+e^- collisions and measurements of its detailed properties. Prospects for Higgs boson detection within the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model are also discussed.

1 Introduction

Electroweak breaking due to the Higgs mechanism [1] implies the existence of at least one new particle, the Higgs boson. Its discovery is the most important missing link for the formulation of electroweak interactions. LEP has established a lower bound of the Higgs mass $M_H \gtrsim 77$ GeV [2]. High precision data, interpreted within the Standard Model (SM), favour a Higgs boson with a mass somewhere between 100 and 180 GeV.

Future e^+e^- linear colliders are the ideal machines for a straightforward Higgs boson discovery, its verification and precision measurements of the Higgs sector properties.

Recent simulation studies [3] involve, thanks to the effort of several groups, i) the full matrix elements for 4-fermion final states, ii) all Higgs decay modes (with SM decay fractions $\gtrsim 1\%$), iii) initial state QED and beamstrahlung (TESLA design), iv) a detector response [4] and v) all important background expected to contribute.

2 SM Higgs discovery potentials

The Higgs boson can be produced by the Higgsstrahlung process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^* \rightarrow ZH^o$ (1), or by the fusion of WW and ZZ bosons, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}H^o$ (2) and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-H^0$ (3), respectively, or by radiation off top quarks, $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}H^o$, with however a very small cross section. At e.g. $\sqrt{s} = 360$ GeV and $M_H = 140$ GeV, the Higgsstrahlung process is about four times more important than the fusion reactions. Reaction (1) admits two strategies for the Higgs search: i) calculation of the recoil mass against the $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-$, $M_{rec}^2 = s - 2\sqrt{s}(E_{l^+} + E_{l^-}) + M_Z^2$, which is independent of assumptions about Higgs decay modes, and ii) the direct reconstruction of the invariant mass of the Higgs decay products.

In order to achieve the best experimental resolution, energy-momentum as well as $M(l^+l^-) = M_Z$ constraints have been imposed when appropriate, and to make a signal-to-background analysis as meaningful as possible a consistent evaluation of the signal and all expected background rates has been made [3].

The leptonic channel, $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH^o \rightarrow (e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^-)(b\bar{b})$, allows Higgs detection either in the mass recoiling against the Z or in the hadronic two b-quark jet mass. Typically, an integrated luminosity of $\sim 10 f b^{-1}$ is needed to observe the Higgs boson with a significance $S/\sqrt{B} > 5$ after application of appropriate selection procedures [3].

The tauon channel, $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH^o \rightarrow (q\bar{q}) \ (\tau^+\tau^-)$, requires some more refined selection procedures [3, 5] due to missing neutrinos from τ decays. Hence, the accumulated luminosity to observe a clear H^o signal in the recoil resp. $\tau^+\tau^-$ mass should be between 50 to 80 fb^{-1} . Energy-momentum constraints are required to determine the original τ and jet energies by a fit; without that no H^o signal would be visible.

The missing energy channels, $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH^o \rightarrow (\nu\bar{\nu})(b\bar{b})$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}H^o \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}(b\bar{b})$ are very important due to their large discovery potential for the Higgs boson. It has been demonstrated [3, 6] that with $\sim 1fb^{-1}$ of integrated luminosity a convincing signal over some small remaining background in the doubly-tagged b-jet mass should be obtained (Fig.1). Thus, few days of running a 300 GeV e^+e^- linear collider at its nominal luminosity would suffice to discover the SM Higgs in the intermediate mass range.

With increasing accumulated luminosity other H^o decay modes like $WW^{(*)}$ and $c\bar{c} + gg$ would be measurable, allowing to verify the Higgs interpretation; 50 to 100 fb^{-1} are needed for significant signals. As an example, Fig.2 shows the signal from selected $H^o \rightarrow c\bar{c} + gg$ decays in presence of a huge background in the 2-jet missing energy event topology.

Observation of the $H^o \to \gamma \gamma$ decay requires large statistics (> $200 f b^{-1}$) and a fine-grained electromagnetic calorimeter with very good energy resolution [7].

3 Higgs properties

If a Higgs candidate is discovered, it is imperative to understand its nature. In the following we assume an integrated luminosity of $100 f b^{-1}$.

Measurements of the Higgs boson mass are best performed by searching for $Z \to l^+ l^- (l = e/\mu)$ decay products and reconstructing the recoil mass peak. The mass resolution depends on \sqrt{s} and the detector performance, in particular on the momentum resolution of the tracking system. For $M_H = 140$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 360$ GeV, we expect an error for the Higgs mass of ~ 50 MeV for the detector design of ref.[4].

When the Higgsstrahlung ZH^{o} rate is significant, the CP-even component




Figure 1: 2-jet mass distributions of the reaction $e^+e^- \longrightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} q \bar{q}$, for an integrated luminosity of 1 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 360$ GeV. Background contributions are included.

of the H^o dominates. It is possible to cross check this by studying the Higgs production and the Z decay angular distributions. Thus, observation of angular distributions as expected for a pure CP-even state implies that the Higgs has spin-0 and that it is not primarily CP-odd [8]. Further, studying decay angular correlations between the decay products of the tauons in the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $ZH^o \rightarrow Z\tau^+\tau^-$ provides a democratic probe of the Higgs CP-even and CP-odd components [8, 9]. If the $H^o \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decay is visible (or the Higgs is produced in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions) the Higgs must be a scalar and has a CP-even component.




Figure 2: 2-jet mass distribution of the reaction $e^+e^- \longrightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} (c \bar{c} + g g)$ for an integrated luminosity of 100 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 360$ GeV. The shaded histogram represents the reducible background expected.

Whether a CP-odd component also exists can be studied by comparing Higgs production rates in $\gamma\gamma$ collisions with different photon polarizations [10].

The determination of the branching fraction of the Higgs into a final state X requires to compute $BF(H \to X) = [\sigma(ZH) \cdot BF(H \to X)]/\sigma(ZH)$, where $\sigma(ZH)$ is the inclusive Higgs cross section, see Fig. 3. Its error is expected to be $\pm 5\%$ [3], while the numerator $\sigma(ZH) \cdot BF(H \to X)$ and its precision can be obtained from the X invariant mass distribution.




Figure 3: Inclusive recoil mass distribution in the process $e^+e^- \longrightarrow Z^0 (\longrightarrow \mu^+\mu^- / e^+e^-) +$ anything for an integrated luminosity of 100 fb⁻¹ and $|\cos\Theta_Z| < 0.8$ at $\sqrt{s} = 360$ GeV. The shaded histogram represents the reducible background expected, whereas the Higgs contribution is shown cross-hatched. The irreducible background for the $H^0 \longrightarrow WW$ channel has been neglected.

Typical statistical branching fraction uncertainties expected for a 140 GeV SM Higgs boson are [3]

$BF(H \to b\bar{b})$	$BF(H \to \tau^+ \tau^-)$	$BF(H \to WW^*)$	$BF(H \to c\bar{c} + gg)$
$\pm 6.1\%$	$\pm 22\%$	$\pm 22\%$	$\pm 28\%$

As can be seen, many of the Higgs decay modes are accessible to experiment and the measurements allow a discrimination between the SM-like and e.g. SUSY-like Higgs bosons. However, more fundamental than branching fractions are the Higgs partical widths. If $M_H \gtrsim 140$ GeV, $\Gamma(H \to WW)$ is measurable from the WW fusion cross section, whereas for $M_H \lesssim 130$ GeV a $\gamma\gamma$ collider allows to measure $\Gamma(H \to \gamma\gamma)$. Combined with the corresponding branching fraction (from LHC or NLC), Γ_{tot}^{Higgs} is calculable, which in turn allows the determination of the remaining partial widths. In addition, the $H^o \to ZZ$ coupling-squared is obtained from $\sigma(ZH)$ with an error comparable to that of the inclusive cross section.

4 MSSM Higgs bosons: h^o, H^o, A^o, H^{\pm}

In the minimal supersymmetric scenario five Higgs particles are expected, two CP-even states h^o , H^o (with $M_{h^o} < M_{H^o}$), one CP-odd state A^o and two charged states H^{\pm} . An upper bound on the mass of the h^o , including radiative corrections [11], is predicted to be $M_{h^o} \approx 130$ GeV. If M_{h^o} exceeds 130 GeV, the MSSM is ruled out.

In the limit of large $M_{A^o} \gg M_Z$ the properties of the h^o are very close to those of the SM Higgs and a discrimination between the two states is only possible if precise measurements show $h^o \neq H^o_{SM}$ or further Higgs states are discovered.

Besides Higgsstrahlung and fusion production mechanisms for h^o and H^o , Higgs pair production $e^+e^- \to h^o A^o$, $H^o A^o$, H^+H^- is possible, with the relations $\sigma(e^+e^- \to h^o Z, h^o \nu \bar{\nu}, H^o A^o) \propto \sin^2(\beta - \alpha) (I)$ and $\sigma(e^+e^- \to H^o Z, H^o \nu \bar{\nu}, h^o A^o)$ $\propto \cos^2(\beta - \alpha) (II) (\alpha, \beta$ are mixing angles). One process in each line (I), (II) always has a substantial rate and the sum of the h^o or H^o cross sections is constant and equals $\sigma(H^o_{SM})$ over the whole $(M_A, \tan \beta)$ -plane. Therefore, a h^o or H^o cannot escape detection if it is kinematically accesible.

Concerning the branching fractions it is usually assumed that the masses of the supersymmetric particles are so heavy that SUSY-Higgses cannot decay into s-particles; only decays into standard particles are possible. Whatever the masses of the Higgs particles and $\tan\beta$ values are, we expect i) b-quark decays of h^o , H^o and A^o to occur in large regions of the parameter space, underlining the importance of excellent b-tagging, ii) vector boson decays are never significant for the A^o while strong restrictions exist for the H^o and iii) if $M_{H^{\pm}} \gtrsim 180 \text{ GeV}$, the $H^{\pm} \rightarrow tb$ decay rate is large leading in most cases via $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^+H^- \rightarrow W b\bar{b} W b\bar{b}$ to 8-jet final states involving four b-quark jets, a very challenging task to recognize and analyze these events. An example for MSSM Higgs boson detection is shown in Fig.4 for the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^oA^o \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 800$ GeV [4].




Figure 4: Distribution of the di-jet invariant mass for the jet pair combination with better compatibility with the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^o A^o \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ at $\sqrt{s} = 800$ GeV.

If however s-particle decays of Higgses are possible, the decays end (in many

SUSY models) with the lightest 'neutrino-like' supersymmetric particle. Hence, event signatures are characterized by missing p_{\perp}/E_{\perp} + leptons + jets which warrant further experimental simulations.

5 Conclusions

An e^+e^- collider focusing on $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH^o$ production in combination with a $\gamma\gamma$ collider allows to fully explore the properties of an intermediate SM Higgs boson in the shortest time.

Within the MSSM, one light CP-even Higgs boson must be found or the MSSM is ruled out. A 500 GeV collider offers very good prospects to discover all five MSSM Higgs particles, h^o, H^o, A^o, H^{\pm} , if $M_A \approx 220$ GeV. If these particles are discoverd large statistics experiments are needed to measure their parameters.

References

- [1] P.W.Higgs, Phys.Rev.Lett. 12 (1964) 132 and Phys. Rev. 145 (1966) 1156.
- [2] W.Murray, Search for the SM Higgs, presented at the Int. Europhysics Conf. on High Energy Physics, 16-26 August 1997, Jerusalem, Israel.
- [3] M.Sachwitz, H.J.Schreiber and S.Shichanin, Contribution to the Proceedings of the 'ECFA/DESY Study on Physics and Detectors for the Linear Collider', DESY 97-123E, ed. by R.Settles, hep-ph/9706338.
- [4] Conceptual Design of a 500 GeV e^+e^- Linear Collider with Integrated X-ray Laser Facility, DESY 1997-048, ECFA 1997-182, editors: R.Brinkmann, G.Materlik, J.Rossbach and A.Wagner.
- [5] H.D.Hildreth, T.L.Barklow and D.L.Burke, Phys.Rev. D49 (1994) 3441.
- [6] P.Janot, preprint LAL 93-38, July 1993.
- [7] J.F.Gunion and P.Martin, preprint UCD-96-34, hep-ph/ 9607360.
- [8] M.Kramer et al., Z.Phys. C64 (1994) 21.
- [9] J.F.Gunion and B.Grzadkowski, Phys.Lett. B350 (1995) 218.
- [10] J.F.Gunion and B.Grzadkowski, Phys.Lett. B294 (1992) 361.
- [11] e.g. A.Djouadi, J.Kalinowski and P.M.Zerwas, Z.Phys. C70 (1996) 435.