

Wick's Theorem at Finite Temperature*

T.S. Evans[†], D.A. Steer[‡]

Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, Prince Consort Road,
London SW7 2BZ U.K.

Abstract

We consider Wick's Theorem for finite temperature and finite volume systems. Working at an operator level with a path ordered approach, we show that contrary to claims in the literature, expectation values of normal ordered products can be chosen to be zero and that results obtained are independent of volume. Thus the path integral and operator approaches to finite temperature and finite volume quantum field theories are indeed seen to be identical. The conditions under which normal ordered products have simple symmetry properties are also considered.

1 Introduction

Early developments of thermal field theory were based on a path ordered operator approach [1], and Wick's theorem played a crucial role in the development of its perturbation theory [1, 2]. More recently, other approaches to the subject have been developed – in particular, path ordered methods have been extended, often by using a path integral approach [3, 4, 5, 6], and Thermo Field Dynamics (TFD) in which a doubling of the states of the system is introduced [3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9]. It is important to understand to what degree these various approaches are equivalent [3, 4].

However, a closer inspection of the original literature and subsequent standard texts turns out to be rather confusing regarding the issue of Wick's theorem and the expectation value of normal ordered products. In particular there are claims that there are finite volume corrections to the standard thermal perturbation theory. If this was true then in some important cases, such as in the study of the quark-gluon plasma formed in relativistic heavy ion collision experiments, there would be important new corrections

* Available in
L^AT_EX format through anonymous ftp from ftp://euclid.tp.ph.ic.ac.uk/papers/95-6_18.tex, or
on WWW in L^AT_EX and postscript formats at <http://euclid.tp.ph.ic.ac.uk/Papers/index.html>

[†]E-mail: T.Evans@ic.ac.uk, WWW: <http://euclid.tp.ph.ic.ac.uk/~time>

[‡]E-mail: D.Steer@ic.ac.uk

to some existing calculations. In this paper we concentrate on path-ordered operator approaches and we clarify the confusion found in the literature. These results have a direct translation into a path integral language which we consider in the penultimate section. In terms of TFD the principles are similar but the implementation is rather different. However, the case of TFD is considerably easier because of its similarity with zero temperature field theory and the literature reflects this. We will consider TFD in our conclusions.

Central to our discussion is Wick's theorem which we refer to as an operator identity rather than a relation between expectation values. It relates time ordered products of fields to normal ordered products and contractions. At zero temperature, the use of Wick's theorem revolves around the fact that normal ordered products are defined such that annihilation operators are always placed to the right of creation operators. This ensures that vacuum expectation values of normal ordered products, $N[\dots]$, vanish: $\langle 0|N[aa^\dagger]|0\rangle = 0$. However with the ensemble averages used in thermal field theory, products of fields normal ordered in the same way are no longer zero: $\sum_n e^{-n\beta\omega} \langle n|a^\dagger a|n\rangle \neq 0$. Since in calculations one considers Green functions, expectation values of time-ordered functions, it is useful but not crucial to show that thermal expectation values of normal ordered products are zero: all that is actually required is a relation between Green functions of different orders. It is this 'expectation value version' of Wick's theorem which is proved by Thouless [10] for real relativistic scalar fields. Using rather different techniques, Gaudin [11] proved the same 'expectation value version' in a rather more transparent way using the cyclicity of the thermal trace. This is the approach also used in [6, 12, 13]; it avoids the need to discuss normal ordering at all. Volume does not enter into the argument either. In more modern texts [3, 5, 14] which rely on path integral methods, the expectation value version of Wick's theorem is inherent in the notation – it is essentially encoded as Schwinger-Dyson equations for the free field case.

We now return to the issue of normal ordering which was not properly dealt with in the original paper of Matsubara. The area has been studied in subsequent literature, and seems to be a source of confusion. By using a definition of normal ordering different from that of standard field theory, Thouless [10] proves that thermal expectation values of normal ordered products of non-relativistic fermions can be set to be zero. Fetter and Walecka [12] use Gaudin's argument and claim that "Unfortunately, ... the ensemble average of normal ordered products is only zero at zero temperature" contrary to [10]. Gross et al. [15] use the same approach.

The situation is further confused by various authors' considerations of finite volume corrections. Matsubara [1] suggests that the expectation values of normal ordered terms may be zero only in the infinite volume limit. This argument is given in greater detail in Abrikosov et al. [16] and Lifschitz and Pitaevskii [17]. If this was true, there could be important corrections to standard perturbation theory, coming from the usually neglected normal ordered terms, when working with finite volumes systems. As the quark-gluon plasma formed in relativistic heavy ion collisions fills a comparatively small volume, such finite volume corrections might be of great importance in practical calculations. Yet where are such volume terms hiding in the derivations using the properties of the thermal trace [6, 11, 12, 13] and in path integral derivations [3, 5, 14]?

The finite volume argument is worth repeating here for reference later on. For sim-

plicity, consider a non-interacting equilibrium system of relativistic neutral bosons at temperature T lying in a volume V . Let $\hat{\psi}(x)$ be the hermitian field operators in the Heisenberg picture. We wish to calculate Green functions, and as a specific example consider the four-point thermal Wightman function

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,2,3,4} = \ll \hat{\psi}(x_1) \hat{\psi}(x_2) \hat{\psi}(x_3) \hat{\psi}(x_4) \gg. \quad (1.1)$$

The angular brackets denote a thermal expectation value (which is defined in the next section), and x_i are different space-time points. In momentum space, $\mathcal{W}_{1,2,3,4}$ contains terms of the form

$$\frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_4} \ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_3} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_4} \gg \exp(\mathcal{Y}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$ are creation and annihilation operators, the \mathbf{k}_i 's are discrete momenta, and \mathcal{Y} is a sum of scalar products of 4 momenta and space-time coordinates. In the literature it is claimed that there are three separate contributions to (1.2) [1, 16, 17]. The first 2 contributions arise when the momenta are equal in pairs, that is when $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{k}_3$, $\mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_4$ and $\mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{k}_4$, $\mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{k}_3$. For example, in the former case (1.2) becomes

$$\frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}_2} \ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_2} \gg \exp(\mathcal{Y}). \quad (1.3)$$

The final contribution arises when all the momenta are equal:

$$\frac{1}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \gg \exp(\mathcal{Y}). \quad (1.4)$$

According to some standard texts (for example [16, 17]), “expression (1.3) has a special feature which distinguishes it from (1.4), *i.e.* the number of factors in $1/V$ is the same as the number of summations, whereas in (1.4) this number of factors is larger”. Thus (1.4) has “an extra factor of $1/V$ and will vanish as $V \rightarrow \infty$ ”. These texts conclude that, once the infinite volume limit has been taken, “we can actually average over pairs of operators”. The implication is that only in this limit does the four-point Green function (or in general an n -point one) split into a sum of products of two-point Green functions.

In this paper we prove that the above argument is incorrect and that any n -point Green function can be decomposed exactly into two-point Green functions, *whatever* V . We also prove explicitly that thermal expectation values of normal ordered products of *any* type of field may always set to zero, contrary to claims elsewhere [12]. The symmetry properties of normal ordered products are considered.

The paper is organised as follows. In section 2 we set up our notation and definitions. This is essential as we will be discussing different types of normal ordering and we wish to track volume factors very carefully. In section 3 we split fields into two parts at an operator level and define normal ordering and contractions appropriately. We choose a split which guarantees that the thermal expectation value of normal ordered products of any two field operators is always zero and hence that the normal ordered products have simple symmetry properties. We then prove in section 4 that this is sufficient to ensure that the thermal expectation value of any four-point normal ordered product also

vanishes, independent of volume. With Wick's theorem, this then shows directly that the four-point Green function decomposes exactly into two-point Green functions. In section 5 we generalise this result from four-point to n -point Green functions by using more powerful methods. One is the usual path integral approach to thermal field theory. The other is based on the cyclic property of the trace which defines the thermal expectation value [11, 12]. We use these, with Wick's theorem, to show that the thermal expectation value of all n -point normal ordered products vanish. We also note the symmetry of the n -point normal ordered products.

2 Definitions and Notation

For simplicity we consider only free field theories and work in the Heisenberg picture. Our results are completely equivalent to working with an interacting theory in the interaction picture. There the operators evolve according to the free Hamiltonian and the interactions are encoded in the S matrix. When expanded, the S matrix leads one to consider time-ordered products which are of the same form as those considered here. At finite temperature, one has to be rather more careful about the use of an S matrix, but one can still follow a similar algorithm so that our results can be applied to practical calculations at finite temperature.

Field operators, $\hat{\psi}(x)$, are given in terms of particle and anti-particle creation and annihilation operators, $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$, $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$, $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}$ respectively;

$$\hat{\psi}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}V}} \left(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}V}} \left(\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} \right). \quad (2.2)$$

The metric is $\text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$ and $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 + m^2$ for relativistic field theories. For non-relativistic ones $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}^2/2m$, and $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. A real relativistic scalar theory has $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}$. For fermionic theories, $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}$ implicitly carry spin indices, and there is an implicit sum over spins as well as over momenta. Annihilation and creation operators must also be multiplied by the correct solutions to the Dirac equation if the theory is relativistic but this does not effect our results. Likewise, the analysis holds for fields of higher spin, where additional indices have been suppressed, as only the statistics of the field is relevant to this analysis.

The operators obey the canonical commutation relations,

$$\left[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \right]_\sigma = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger - \sigma \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\left[\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \right]_\sigma = \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}'} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\left[\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger \right]_\sigma = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\sigma = +1$ for bosonic operators, and $\sigma = -1$ for fermionic ones.

The free Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \left[\hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^b \right] + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\mu_a \hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \mu_b \hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^b \right). \quad (2.6)$$

The $\mu_{a,b}$ are chemical potentials for particles and anti-particles respectively. If we have a U(1) symmetry, as in the case of a complex relativistic field, then $\mu_a = -\mu_b$. For a real scalar field $\mu_a = \mu_b = 0$. The $\hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b}$ are conserved number operators whose normalised eigenvectors are denoted by $|n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ for particles, and $|m_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ for anti-particles. They are complete and satisfy

$$\hat{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^a |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = n_{\mathbf{k}} |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

For systems described by Bose-Einstein statistics, $n_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, whilst for Fermi-Dirac systems, $n_{\mathbf{k}} = 0, 1$.

Thermal expectation values are denoted by angular brackets, and are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \dots \gg &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \dots \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_0} \sum_{\{n_{\mathbf{k}}\}, \{m_{\mathbf{k}}\}} \langle \{n_{\mathbf{k}}\}, \{m_{\mathbf{k}}\} | e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \dots | \{n_{\mathbf{k}}\}, \{m_{\mathbf{k}}\} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where \dots means any operator, and β is the inverse temperature: $\beta = 1/T$ ($k_B = 1$). The trace has been taken over the number operator eigenstate basis $|\{n_{\mathbf{k}}\}, \{m_{\mathbf{k}}\}\rangle = |n_{\mathbf{k}_1}\rangle \otimes |n_{\mathbf{k}_2}\rangle \otimes \dots |m_{\mathbf{k}_1}\rangle \otimes |m_{\mathbf{k}_2}\rangle \dots$. Z_0 is the free partition function of the system:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \right\} \\ &= \left(\prod_{\mathbf{k}} Z_{\mathbf{k}}^a \right) \left(\prod_{\mathbf{p}} Z_{\mathbf{p}}^b \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$Z_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b}$ is related to the Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac distributions

$$N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} = \left(e^{\beta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu_{a,b})} - \sigma \right)^{-1} \quad (2.10)$$

by

$$Z_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} = \left(1 + N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} \right)^{\sigma}. \quad (2.11)$$

The following identities are useful for calculating thermal expectation values of sets of creation and annihilation operators

$$\sum_{n_{\mathbf{k}}=0}^{\infty} n_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-\beta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu_{a,b})n_{\mathbf{k}}} = N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} \left(1 + N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} \right), \quad (2.12)$$

$$\sum_{n_{\mathbf{k}}=0}^{\infty} (n_{\mathbf{k}})^2 e^{-\beta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu_{a,b})n_{\mathbf{k}}} = N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} \left(1 + N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} \right) \left(1 + 2N_{\mathbf{k}}^{a,b} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

Thus for fields of either statistic,

$$\ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}} \gg = N_{\mathbf{k}}^a \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \gg = (1 + \sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^a) \delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{p}} \quad (2.15)$$

We now split the field operators arbitrarily into two parts

$$\hat{\psi}(x) = \hat{\psi}^+(x) + \hat{\psi}^-(x). \quad (2.16)$$

We call the parts “positive” and “negative” even though in general the split is *not* into positive and negative energy waves. In order to avoid possible confusion between \dagger and $+$ superscripts, the hermitian conjugate of an operator is denoted by $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger$. We do not assume that the operator and its hermitian conjugate are split in the same way. That is, we do not assume that $([\bar{\psi}]^+)^\dagger = \psi^\pm$. This means care must be taken with the notation; we always have $\bar{\psi}^+ = [\psi^\dagger]^+$ rather than it being equal to $[\psi^\pm]^\dagger$.

To allow for products of more than one type of field operator, we now use the notation

$$\psi_i = \hat{\psi}_i(x_i). \quad (2.17)$$

Of course we will often be looking at products where some or all of the operators $\hat{\psi}_i(x)$ are equal or related by hermitian conjugation.

Normal ordering is defined in terms of the arbitrary split (2.16), so generalising the traditional $T = 0$ definition which is expressed in terms of annihilation and creation operators. We *always* define normal ordering to strictly mean that all $+$ fields are placed to the right of $-$ fields; otherwise the order of the fields is left unchanged. (So it differs from that used for fermions in [10].) For example,

$$N[\psi_1\psi_2] := N_{1,2} = \psi_1^+\psi_2^+ + \psi_1^-\psi_2^+ + \sigma\psi_2^-\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-\psi_2^-. \quad (2.18)$$

Here ψ_1 and ψ_2 are *any* two fields with different space-time arguments (t_1, \mathbf{x}_1) and (t_2, \mathbf{x}_2) respectively (which for convenience we usually suppress). The fields may be related, e.g. one often has $\psi_1 = \psi(t_1, \mathbf{x}_1)^\dagger, \psi_2 = \psi(t_2, \mathbf{x}_2)$. For general splits $N_{1,2}$ may *not* be symmetric; $N_{1,2} \neq \sigma N_{2,1}$.

Time ordering is defined in the usual way so for the two-point case we have

$$T[\psi_1\psi_2] := T_{1,2} = \psi_1\psi_2\theta(t_1 - t_2) + \sigma\psi_2\psi_1\theta(t_2 - t_1). \quad (2.19)$$

It is straightforward to generalise this time ordering to path ordering as used in some finite temperature analysis. Then the theta functions of time in (2.19) become defined in terms of a path in complex time [3]. As this does not effect the subsequent analysis we will not complicate our notation further.

Wick’s theorem is an operator identity. For two-point functions it relates $T_{1,2}$ to $N_{1,2}$ by defining the contraction $D[\psi_1\psi_2] = D_{1,2}$:

$$D_{1,2} := T_{1,2} - N_{1,2} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \theta(t_1 - t_2) [\psi_1^+, \psi_2^-]_\sigma \\ &\quad - \theta(t_2 - t_1) \left\{ [\psi_1^+, \psi_2^+]_\sigma + [\psi_1^-, \psi_2^+]_\sigma + [\psi_1^-, \psi_2^-]_\sigma \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

We will only consider splits, (2.16), for which $D_{1,2}$ is a c-number – that is splits linear in the annihilation and creation operators. Note that the contraction, and hence the normal ordered product, is only symmetric, $D_{1,2} = \sigma D_{2,1}$, if

$$[\psi_1^+, \psi_2^+]_\sigma + [\psi_1^-, \psi_2^-]_\sigma = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

To higher order, Wick's theorem can be obtained from the generating functional

$$T \left[\exp \left\{ -i \int d^4x j_i(x) \psi_i(x) \right\} \right] = N \left[\exp \left\{ -i \int d^4x j_i(x) \psi_i(x) \right\} \right] \times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y j_i(x) D[\psi_i(x) \psi_j(y)] j_j(y) \right\}, \quad (2.23)$$

where $j_i(x)$ are sources. Functional differentiation with respect to these sources gives Wick's theorem, which after using the symmetry properties of the time-ordered product is of the form

$$T_{1,2,\dots,2n} = \sum_{\text{perm}} (-1)^p \sum_{m=0}^n \left[\frac{N_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_{2m}}}{(2m)!} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-m)!} \left(\prod_{j=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2} D_{a_{2j-1},a_{2j}} \right) \right]. \quad (2.24)$$

The sum takes a_1, \dots, a_{2n} through all permutations of $1, \dots, 2n$, and p is the number of times one has to interchange pairs of fermions in moving from $1, \dots, 2n$ to a_1, \dots, a_{2n} order. The sum over permutations can be simplified (even for non-symmetric normal ordered products and contractions) to give the usual form of Wick's theorem. For four bosonic fields, (2.24) gives the well known result

$$T_{1,2,3,4} = N_{1,2,3,4} + D_{1,2}N_{3,4} + D_{1,3}N_{2,4} + D_{1,4}N_{2,3} + D_{2,3}N_{1,4} + D_{2,4}N_{1,3} + D_{3,4}N_{1,2} + D_{1,2}D_{3,4} + D_{1,3}D_{2,4} + D_{1,4}D_{2,3}. \quad (2.25)$$

To order $2n$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,2,\dots,2n} &= N_{1,2,\dots,2n} \\ &+ D_{1,2}N_{3,4,\dots,2n} + \text{all other terms with one contraction} \\ &+ D_{1,2}D_{3,4}N_{5,6,\dots,2n} + \text{all other terms with two contractions} \\ &\vdots \\ &+ D_{1,2}D_{3,4} \dots D_{2n-1,2n} + \text{all other possible pairings.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Once again, we stress that (2.23) – (2.26) hold whatever the decomposition of the fields into positive and negative parts *provided the contraction is a c-number*. They hold whether or not the contraction is symmetric.

3 Two-point functions

In this section we prove that it is always possible to define a split $\hat{\psi}(x) = \hat{\psi}^+(x) + \hat{\psi}^-(x)$ such that $\ll N_{1,2} \gg = 0$. This then ensures that $D_{1,2} = \ll T_{1,2} \gg = \sigma D_{2,1}$.

A general linear decomposition of $\psi(x)$ and $\bar{\psi}(x)$ into positive and negative parts is

$$\psi^+(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \left((1 - f_{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + F_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\psi^-(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \left(f_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} + (1 - F_{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\bar{\psi}^+(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \left(g_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} + (1 - G_{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\bar{\psi}^-(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} V_{\mathbf{k}} \left((1 - g_{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger e^{ik \cdot x} + G_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-ik \cdot x} \right). \quad (3.4)$$

Here $V_{\mathbf{k}} = 1/\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}V}$, and $f_{\mathbf{k}}$, $g_{\mathbf{k}}$, $G_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $F_{\mathbf{k}}$ are arbitrary complex numbers. We now impose the two independent conditions

$$\ll N[\psi_1\psi_2] \gg = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\ll N[\psi_2\psi_1] \gg = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

We find that (3.5) and (3.6) are satisfied if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{k}} g_{\mathbf{k}} &= -\sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^a, \\ (1 - f_{\mathbf{k}})(1 - g_{\mathbf{k}}) &= (1 + \sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^a), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\mathbf{k}} &= -\sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^b, \\ (1 - F_{\mathbf{k}})(1 - G_{\mathbf{k}}) &= (1 + \sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^b), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

First consider (3.7) and (3.8) in the limit $T \rightarrow 0$. They are solved by $f_{\mathbf{k}} = g_{\mathbf{k}} = G_{\mathbf{k}} = F_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ which is just the traditional $T = 0$ split as all the annihilation operators are in ψ^+ and the creation operators in ψ^- . Taking the vacuum expectation value of (2.20), the two-point version of Wick's theorem, we see that for $T = 0$, $D_{1,2} = \langle 0|T[\psi_1\psi_2]|0\rangle = G_{1,2}(T=0)$, the zero temperature propagator.

For $T > 0$, the equations for $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $g_{\mathbf{k}}$, $F_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{k}}$ respectively each have two solutions

$$f_{\mathbf{k}} = -\sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^a + s^a [N_{\mathbf{k}}^a(N_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \sigma)]^{1/2}, \quad g_{\mathbf{k}} = -\sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^a - s^a [N_{\mathbf{k}}^a(N_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \sigma)]^{1/2}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$F_{\mathbf{k}} = -\sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^b + s^b [N_{\mathbf{k}}^b(N_{\mathbf{k}}^b + \sigma)]^{1/2}, \quad G_{\mathbf{k}} = -\sigma N_{\mathbf{k}}^b - s^b [N_{\mathbf{k}}^b(N_{\mathbf{k}}^b + \sigma)]^{1/2}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $s^{a,b} = \pm 1$ gives the two solutions in each case. Now, again, the thermal expectation value of (2.20) gives $D_{1,2} = \ll T[\psi_1\psi_2] \gg = G_{1,2}(T)$, where $G_{1,2}(T)$ is the thermal propagator.

For large \mathbf{k} , we see that $f_{\mathbf{k}}$, $g_{\mathbf{k}}$, $F_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{k}}$ all tend to zero. Thus at high energies the split which gives zero normal ordered products at finite temperature is tending to be the same as the usual one used at zero temperatures. This is not suprising as the ultra-violet behaviour of finite temperature field theory is well known to be the same as zero temperature field theory.

For bosons, (3.9) and (3.9) give real $f_{\mathbf{k}}$, $g_{\mathbf{k}}$, $F_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{k}}$, but $([\bar{\psi}]^+)^{\dagger} \neq \psi^{\pm}$ unless $T = 0$.

For fermions $f_{\mathbf{k}} = g_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ and $|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = |g_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = N_{\mathbf{k}}^a$, and similarly for $F_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $G_{\mathbf{k}}$. However, in this case, $([\bar{\psi}]^+)^{\dagger} = \psi^-$ for all T .

For non-relativistic fields, we just set $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$. In the fermionic case, this recaptures the result of Thouless [10].

For real relativistic scalar fields there is only one type of creation and annihilation operator. As $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{k}}^a = N_{\mathbf{k}}^b = N_{\mathbf{k}}$, a single Bose-Einstein distribution, one must have $F_{\mathbf{k}} = g_{\mathbf{k}}$, $G_{\mathbf{k}} = f_{\mathbf{k}}$ in (3.1)-(3.4). This gives a general linear decomposition of a

real scalar field. Equations (3.5) and (3.6) are now equivalent and their solutions are as above.

Finally we consider the question of the symmetry of the normal ordered products for the case of two-point functions. On substituting (3.1) to (3.4) into (2.22) one finds that

$$D_{1,2} = \sigma D_{2,1} \Leftrightarrow N_{1,2} = \sigma N_{2,1} \Leftrightarrow f_{\mathbf{k}} + g_{\mathbf{k}} = 2f_{\mathbf{k}}g_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad F_{\mathbf{k}} + G_{\mathbf{k}} = 2F_{\mathbf{k}}G_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (3.11)$$

This means that the split traditionally used in zero temperature work, where $f_{\mathbf{k}} = g_{\mathbf{k}} = F_{\mathbf{k}} = G_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$, has a symmetric two-point normal ordered product. However (3.9) and (3.10) also satisfy (3.11). Thus the splits for which the thermal expectation value of two-point normal ordered products are zero, are guaranteed to have symmetric two-point normal ordered products.

4 Four-point functions

We now prove that the thermal expectation value of the four-point normal ordered products $N_{1,2,3,4}$ vanish for the split of section 3. Hence we show that *the four-point Green function decomposes into two-point Green functions for all V* . For simplicity, we consider a real relativistic scalar field theory. Our results are generalised to other theories in section 5.

Equation (2.25) can be used to relate $N_{1,2,3,4}$ to $N'_{1,2,3,4}$, where in the primed normal ordered product the operators have been split according to the $T = 0$ split (*i.e.* the one for which $f_{\mathbf{k}} = g_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$). The thermal expectation values of these two quantities satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \ll N_{1,2,3,4} \gg &= \ll N'_{1,2,3,4} \gg - (D'_{1,2} - D_{1,2})(D'_{3,4} - D_{3,4}) \\ &- (D'_{1,3} - D_{1,3})(D'_{2,4} - D_{2,4}) - (D'_{1,4} - D_{1,4})(D'_{2,3} - D_{2,3}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Using the results of the previous section, it can be shown that

$$D'_{i,j} - D_{i,j} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (V_{\mathbf{k}})^2 N_{\mathbf{k}} \left[e^{-ik \cdot (x_i - x_j)} + e^{ik \cdot (x_i - x_j)} \right]. \quad (4.2)$$

The only terms which contribute to $\ll N'_{1,2,3,4} \gg$ must contain 2 positive and 2 negative parts. There are 6 such terms, and one of them is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \hat{\psi}^-(x_1) \hat{\psi}^-(x_2) \hat{\psi}^+(x_3) \hat{\psi}^+(x_4) \gg \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3, \mathbf{k}_4} V_{\mathbf{k}_1} V_{\mathbf{k}_2} V_{\mathbf{k}_3} V_{\mathbf{k}_4} \left(\ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_3} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_4} \gg \right) e^{ik_1 \cdot x_1} e^{ik_2 \cdot x_2} e^{-ik_3 \cdot x_3} e^{-ik_4 \cdot x_4}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

As in the introduction, we consider $\ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \gg$ where $\hat{a}_i = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_i}$, and separate out explicitly the three contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \gg &= \delta_{1,3} \delta_{2,4} \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \gg (1 - \delta_{1,2}) \\ &+ \delta_{1,4} \delta_{2,3} \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \gg (1 - \delta_{1,2}) \\ &+ \delta_{1,2} \delta_{1,3} \delta_{3,4} \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1 \gg. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Here $\delta_{1,2} = \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}$. From section 2,

$$\ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \gg = N_1 N_2 = \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \gg, \quad (4.5)$$

where $N_i = N_{\mathbf{k}_i}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1 \gg &= \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \gg - \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \gg \\ &= N_1 (2N_1 + 1) - N_1 \\ &= 2(N_1)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Hence (4.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4 \gg &= (\delta_{1,3} \delta_{2,4} + \delta_{1,4} \delta_{2,3}) N_1 N_2 \\ &= (\delta_{1,3} \delta_{2,4} + \delta_{1,4} \delta_{2,3}) \ll \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 \gg \ll \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 \gg. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Given (4.7), (4.3) and (4.2) it is easy to evaluate the right hand side of (4.1) and find that

$$\ll N_{1,2,3,4} \gg = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Thus taking the thermal expectation value of (2.25), the four-point Green function $G_{1,2,3,4}$ is seen to decompose exactly into two-point Green functions $G_{1,2}$:

$$G_{1,2,3,4} = G_{1,2} G_{3,4} + G_{1,3} G_{2,4} + G_{1,4} G_{2,3}. \quad (4.9)$$

Equation (4.7) shows explicitly how contributions down by a factor of the volume, such as (1.4), which is the last term of (4.4), do in fact cancel. We just have to be careful to exclude the contribution of (1.4) from (1.3) – hence the $(1 - \delta)$ terms in (4.4). It is clear from this derivation that there are no volume corrections to expectation value version of Wick’s theorem (4.9).

5 n -point functions

We now generalise (4.9) to n -point Green functions by using more powerful methods, namely the “trace theorem” and the path integral. Both of these methods may be applied to any type of field operator – bosonic or fermionic, relativistic or non-relativistic. The resulting generalised form of (4.9) then enables (4.8) to be generalised to all orders and to all types of fields. We also consider the symmetry properties of n -point normal ordered products, and finally show how different splits may be related.

5.1 The “Trace Theorem”

This is described in detail in [11, 12] and uses the cyclic property of the trace.

Consider again

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,2,\dots,n} = \ll \hat{\psi}(x_1) \hat{\psi}(x_2) \dots \hat{\psi}(x_n) \gg, \quad (5.1)$$

and write

$$\hat{\psi}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{a=1}^2 V_{\mathbf{k}} \eta(\mathbf{k}, x) \hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}). \quad (5.2)$$

Here $\hat{\alpha}_1(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_2(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger$ (we still consider a real scalar relativistic field theory for notational simplicity). The thermal expectation value reduces to a trace over $\hat{\alpha}$ operators, which we call $S := \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}_1) \hat{\alpha}_b(\mathbf{k}_2) \dots \hat{\alpha}_t(\mathbf{k}_n) \right\}$. Since the commutation relations of the $\hat{\alpha}$'s are known $\hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}_1)$ can be commuted through $\hat{\alpha}_b(\mathbf{k}_2)$, then $\hat{\alpha}_c(\mathbf{k}_3)$, and so on until it is finally commuted through $\hat{\alpha}_t(\mathbf{k}_n)$. Then using the cyclic property of the trace, it can be taken to the left-hand side of the expression. Finally, after commuting through $e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0}$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - e^{\lambda_a \beta \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}}) S &= \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \hat{\alpha}_c(\mathbf{k}_3) \dots \hat{\alpha}_t(\mathbf{k}_n) \right\} [\hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}_1), \hat{\alpha}_b(\mathbf{k}_2)] \\ &+ \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \hat{\alpha}_b(\mathbf{k}_2) \dots \hat{\alpha}_t(\mathbf{k}_n) \right\} [\hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}_1), \hat{\alpha}_c(\mathbf{k}_3)] \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} \hat{\alpha}_b(\mathbf{k}_2) \dots \hat{\alpha}_{t-1}(\mathbf{k}_{n-1}) \right\} [\hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}_1), \hat{\alpha}_t(\mathbf{k}_n)], \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\lambda_1 = -1$, and $\lambda_2 = 1$. Now, one shows that

$$\frac{[\hat{\alpha}_a(\mathbf{k}_1), \hat{\alpha}_b(\mathbf{k}_2)]}{(1 - e^{\lambda_a \beta \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}})} = \delta_{a,1} \delta_{b,2} \ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_1} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^\dagger \gg + \delta_{a,2} \delta_{b,1} \ll \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}_2} \gg. \quad (5.4)$$

Repeated use of (5.3) and (5.4) enable $\mathcal{W}_{1,2,\dots,n}$ to be expressed as a sum of products of the form $\mathcal{W}_{1,2,\dots,n-2} \mathcal{W}_{n-1,n}$. Iterating the argument, one obtains a generalisation of (4.9), that is

$$G^{2n}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \sum_{\text{perm}} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{2} G_{a_1, a_2} \dots \frac{1}{2} G_{a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}} \right). \quad (5.5)$$

The sum takes a_1, \dots, a_{2n} through all permutations of $1, \dots, 2n$. Clearly this argument has no reference to volume.

5.2 The Path Integral

Equation (5.5) may be obtained by using the path integral approach to thermal field theory. Let C be a directed path in the complex time plane whose end is $-i\beta$ below the starting point, and let T_C denote path ordering of the operators with respect to C . The generating functional is then defined by

$$Z_0[j] := \text{Tr} \left\{ e^{-\beta \hat{H}_0} T_C \exp \left\{ \int_C dt \int d^3 \mathbf{x} j(t, \mathbf{x}) \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) \right\} \right\}, \quad (5.6)$$

where $j(t, \mathbf{x})$ are classical sources coupled to the classical fields $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$. This can be rewritten as [3]

$$Z_0[j] = \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{2} \int_C dt dt' \int d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{x}' j(t, \mathbf{x}) \Delta_C(x - x') j(t', \mathbf{x}') \right\}. \quad (5.7)$$

Here $\Delta_C(x - x') = G_{1,2}$ is the two-point thermal Green function or propagator – it is the Green function of the classical equation of motion for the fields $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ subject to the KMS boundary condition [3]. As usual, the higher order Green functions are given by

$$G^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\delta^n Z_0[j]}{\delta j(x_1) \dots \delta j(x_n)} \Big|_{j=0}, \quad (5.8)$$

and so (5.5) is once again obtained. Again there are clearly no volume corrections of the type mentioned in the introduction.

5.3 Expectation values of normal ordered products

We now consider thermal expectation values of normal ordered products of any type of field operators. Since both the path-integral and “trace theorem” can be generalised to *all* types of field operators [3, 11], (5.5) can be generalised to give

$$G^{2n}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = \sum_{\text{perm}} (-1)^p \left(\frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{2} G_{a_1, a_2} \dots \frac{1}{2} G_{a_{2n-1}, a_{2n}} \right). \quad (5.9)$$

However, from (2.24) we know the relation between time-ordered products, normal ordered products and contractions. Suppose we work with the splits satisfying (3.7) and (3.8) where the expectation value of the two-point normal ordered products is zero and the contraction is equal to the thermal propagator. Then (5.9), with (2.25) for the four point case, imply that $\ll N_{1,2,3,4} \gg = 0$. This generalises the result proved directly for real relativistic scalar fields in section 4.

Now we combine these results for two- and four-point functions with the six-point versions of (5.9) and (2.24); they imply that $\ll N_{1,2,3,4,5,6} \gg = 0$. Repeating, we see that the splits satisfying (3.7) and (3.8) ensure that the thermal expectation values of all normal ordered functions are zero,

$$\ll N_{1,2,\dots,2n} \gg = 0. \quad (5.10)$$

All of these results are independent of volume.

5.4 Symmetry of normal ordered products

By definition the time-ordered function has the symmetry property $T_{1,2,\dots,n} = (-1)^p T_{a,b,\dots,t}$ where a, b, \dots, t is any permutation of $1, 2, \dots, n$ and p is the number of time pairs of fermionic fields are interchanged in moving from an order $1, 2, \dots, n$ to an a, b, \dots, t order of fields. Using (3.11) it can be shown that

$$(D_{1,2} = \sigma D_{2,1} \Leftrightarrow N_{1,2} = \sigma N_{2,1}) \Rightarrow N_{1,2,\dots,n} = (-1)^p N_{a,b,\dots,t}. \quad (5.11)$$

Thus for both the $T = 0$ split and that of (3.9) and (3.10), the normal ordered products have the same symmetry as time ordered products.

5.5 Relating two different splits

Equation (2.23) may be used to derive a relationship between different splits, i.e. between different types of normal ordering. Suppose N and N' label two different kinds of normal ordering (for which D and D' are the corresponding c-number contractions). Then from (2.23) they are related by

$$\begin{aligned} N' \left[\exp \left\{ -i \int d^4x j_i(x) \psi_i(x) \right\} \right] &= N \left[\exp \left\{ -i \int d^4x j_i(x) \psi_i(x) \right\} \right] \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y j_i(x) (D[\psi_i(x) \psi_j(y)] - D'[\psi_i(x) \psi_j(y)]) j_j(y) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

After functional differentiation this yields

$$\sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(-1)^p}{(2n)!} N'_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2n}} = \sum_{\text{perm}} (-1)^p \left[\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{1}{(2(n-m))!} N_{a_1, \dots, a_{2(n-m)}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^m m!} \prod_{j=m+1}^n (D - D')_{a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}} \right] \quad (5.13)$$

The difference between normal ordering is therefore related to the difference between contractions. Now let D' and N' refer to the usual $T = 0$ split. Then $D'_{1,2} = G_{1,2}(T = 0)$ and $\ll N' \gg \neq 0$. Thus in calculating the thermal expectation value of a n -point time ordered function using (2.26), the terms containing $\ll N' \gg$ are non-vanishing. (Recall that for this $T = 0$ split normal ordered products are symmetric, and so the sum over permutations in (5.13) simplifies greatly.)

Now compare the $T = 0$ split to the splits satisfying (3.7) and (3.8). Let these splits have corresponding normal ordering and contraction labelled by N and D . Then $\ll N \gg = 0$, and taking the thermal expectation value of (5.13) gives

$$\ll N'_{1,2,\dots,2n} \gg = \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(-1)^p}{2^n n!} \prod_{j=1}^n (D - D')_{a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}} = \sum_{\text{perm}} \frac{(-1)^p}{2^n n!} \prod_{j=1}^n (G(T) - G(T = 0))_{a_{2j-1}, a_{2j}} \quad (5.14)$$

Hence if one works with the usual $T = 0$ split, the additional terms coming from $\ll N' \gg \neq 0$ are accounted for precisely by the thermal corrections $G(T) - G(T = 0)$ to the zero temperature propagator. It may therefore make more sense to work with the splits defined by (3.7) and (3.8).

We can use the formula (5.14) to provide a different view of several aspects of thermal field theory. For instance in real-time approaches there is a doubling of the degrees of freedom [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. It is only the finite temperature corrections to the zero temperature propagators which mix the ‘physical’ and ‘unphysical’ degrees of freedom. Thus one could look on the doubling as being necessary only to take account of the normal ordered products, N' , where they are defined in the usual manner of zero temperature.

6 Conclusion

Contrary to claims in the literature, we have proved that for fields of arbitrary statistics there are no finite volume corrections to the usual relationship between thermal Green functions (5.9). Thus the operator approaches and path integral approaches to thermal field theory are indeed consistent in this respect. So in trying to understand the physics of the quark-gluon plasma formed in relativistic heavy-ion collisions, we have to look elsewhere to understand how finite volume affects the physics.

In proving the above, we have defined and used a linear split of the field operators into positive and negative parts such that $\ll N_{1,2,\dots,2n} \gg = 0$. This split enables usual $T = 0$ field theory to be mimicked. By choosing to work with a split where such a result holds, we will simplify practical calculations.

For instance when we are working with unphysical degrees of freedom at finite temperature, such as appear in gauge theories, one usually thermalises all the degrees of freedom.

However, it has been noted that such degrees of freedom need not be thermalised [18]. In the language of this paper, we would say that one may choose a different split (2.16) for each field. In particular, we may optimise the splits depending on how each field acts on the states in the ensemble. So with unphysical modes and an ensemble average taken over just physical states, the best split, normal ordering etc. for the unphysical modes is the zero temperature one, whereas we normal order the physical modes in terms of the usual thermal split of (3.7) and (3.8). This idea can be extended to out-of-equilibrium situations where different physical degrees of freedom may well be present in the ensemble in quite different amounts suggesting that we define normal ordering and contractions differently for each field so as to ensure that $\ll N_{1,2,\dots,2n} \gg = 0$ always.

We have referred only to the path-ordered approaches to thermal field theory, be they real- or imaginary-time formalisms. Another simple method of obtaining (5.9) is to use TFD [3, 4, 5, 7, 8]. There one must normal order with respect to the “thermal quasi-particle operators” $\xi, \tilde{\xi}, \xi^\#$ and $\tilde{\xi}^\#$ which annihilate the thermal vacuum, as this guarantees that all thermal expectation values of normal ordered products vanish, for example see [19]. (For definition of the terminology and notation, see [9], [8].) Equation (5.9) then follows directly from (2.26) whereas in [8] a rather lengthy derivation is given. This argument is also clearly independent of volume as it mimics the standard zero temperature field theory case. It is immediately obvious that these comments regarding TFD and normal ordered products apply to any situation where a Bogoliubov transformation is used.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tom Kibble for many useful comments. T.S.E. thanks the Royal Society for their support. D.A.S. is supported by P.P.A.R.C. of the U.K.

References

- [1] T.Matsubara, Prog.Th.Phys. 14 (1955) 4.
- [2] G.C.Wick, Phys.Rev. 80 (1950) 268.
- [3] N.P.Landsman and Ch.G.van Weert, Phys.Rep. 145 (1987) 141.
- [4] Ch.G. van Weert, in: Proceedings of the Banff/CAP Workshop on Thermal Field Theories ed. F.C.Khanna, R.Kobes, G.Kunstatter, H.Umezawa (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994) p.1.
- [5] R.J.Rivers, Path Integral Methods in Quantum Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987).
- [6] R.Mills, Propagators for Many-Particle Systems (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969).

- [7] Y.Takahashi and H.Umezawa, *Collective Phenomena* **2** (1975) 55; H.Umezawa, H.Matsumoto and M.Tachiki, *Thermo Field Dynamics and Condensed States*, (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- [8] G.W.Semenoff and H.Umezawa, *Nucl.Phys.B* 220 [FS8] (1983) 196-212.
- [9] P.A.Henning, *Phys.Rep.* 253 (1995) 235.
- [10] D.J.Thouless, *Phys.Rev.* 107 (1957) 4.
- [11] M.Gaudin, *Nucl.Phys.* 15 (1960) 89.
- [12] A.Fetter and J.Walecka, *Quantum Theory of Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971).
- [13] J.W.Negele and H.Orland, *Quantum Many-Particle Systems* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City CA, 1988).
- [14] J.I.Kapusta, *Finite-temperature field theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989)
- [15] E.K.U.Gross, E.Runge and O.Heinonen, *Many Particle Theory*, (Adam Hilger, 1991)
- [16] A.A.Abrikosov, L.P.Gor'kov and I.Ye.Dzyaloshinskii, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965).
- [17] E.M.Lifshitz and L.P.Pitaevskii, *Statistical Physics*, part 2 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980).
- [18] P.V. Landshoff, in: *Proceedings of the Banff/CAP Workshop on Thermal Field Theories* ed. F.C.Khanna, R.Kobes, G.Kunstatte, H.Umezawa (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994) p.243; P.V.Landshoff, and A.Rebhan, *Nucl.Phys.B* 410 (1993) 23; N.Willis, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge (1995).
- [19] M. Revzen, in: *Proceedings of the Banff/CAP Workshop on Thermal Field Theories* ed. F.C.Khanna, R.Kobes, G.Kunstatte, H.Umezawa (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994) p.162.