Bubble wall dynamics, generalised Yukawa couplings and adequate electroweak baryogenesis in two-Higgs-doublet model

Susmita Bhowmik Duari and

U. A. Yajnik Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay 400 076

Abstract

Baryogenesis at the electroweak scale depends on divers but identifiable details of bubble wall dynamics and the particle physics. We show that inclusion of the dynamics of relative phase in two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM) enhances the adiabatic order of the mechanism proposed by McLerran-Shaposhnikov-Turok-Voloshin where the scalarscalar-vector triangle diagram with top quark in the loop gives rise to a significant contribution to the effective chemical potential biasing the Chern-Simons number. We also show that in 2HDM with less stringent constraints on Yukawa couplings than those imposed by natural flavour conservation, there are additional diagrams contributing to the effective chemical potential. These two effects can combine with several others to produce adequate baryon asymmetry at the electroweak scale.

1 Introduction

The main motivation for the models of CP violation has been to explain the ϵ'/ϵ parameter for neutral kaon decay simultaneously preserving the vanishing smallness of the electric dipole moment of the neutron. The idea that CP violation can be accomodated in extensions of the Higgs sector was put forward by Weinberg [1] in his three Higgs doublet model, and T.D. Lee [2] in his two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) with spontaneous CP violation. In these models the Yukawa couplings have to be constrained to suppress flavor changing neutral currents. A natural condition is to require that either ϕ_1 couples to the up quarks and ϕ_2 to the down quarks or ϕ_1 couples to all the quarks and ϕ_2 does not couple at all. A discrete symmetry $\phi_1 \longrightarrow -\phi_1$ is imposed on the Higgs potential to ensure suppression. In majority opinion the minimal standard model suffers from inadequate CP violation coming from the CKM matrix to be considered a viable model for baryogenesis at the electroweak scale. So the 2HDM with with CP violation in the Higgs sector has attracted attention for the past several years in the context of electroweak baryogenesis.

McLerran-Shaposhnikov-Turok and Voloshin [3] had shown that in this model, the triangle diagram with one gauge boson leg and two scalar legs and top quark in the loop contributes a correction to the effective action ~ $\mathcal{O}N_{cs}$, where \mathcal{O} is an operator that can be found out by explicit evaluation of the diagram and N_{CS} is the Chern-Simons (CS) number. This correction biases the CS number and since $\Delta N_B = n_f \Delta N_{CS}$, nett baryon number results, with the sign determined by the sign of $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$.

Recently Yue-Liang-Wu [4] has generalised the model of Glashow and Weinberg [5] and has proposed that the value of ϵ'/ϵ and the limits on EDM can be well explained with less stringent conditions on the Yukawa couplings. The Higgs boson-fermion couplings are now much more general and no discrete symmetries are imposed on the Higgs potential. In this class of models fermions acquire mass from both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 . Consequently in addition to the triangle diagrams with ϕ_1 on the external legs, diagrams with ϕ_2 also on the external legs start contributing to the effective action.

The MSTV proposal has been criticised on the grounds that it is a higher order adiabatic effect, of the order $(\langle \phi \rangle^T / T)^4$. In their considerations the relative phase between the two Higgs vacuum expectation values was treated constant throughout bubble evolution. Here we provide the details of the argument [6] that the inclusion of the dynamics of the relative phase removes the adiabatic suppression, making it an effect of the order $(\langle \phi \rangle^T / T)^2$. We have also previously shown [7] that the uncertainties of physical parameters of bubble formation [8] can be circumvented if we consider a string induced phase transition [9]. This is the scenario we shall be considering here as well. It may be noted that since according to [7], the string induced bubbles provide adiabatic conditions, all B-genesis mechanisms relying on such conditions are workable. In particular the mechanisms of Cohen and Kaplan [10] and Cohen-Kaplan-Nelson [11] can also proceed through string induced bubbles. We recapitulate here the corresponding bubble profile ansatz including the time evolution of the relative phase and calculate the resultant contribution to the effective action. Putting together the two sources of enhancement, viz., dynamics of the relative phase and additional triangle diagrams, we show that the mechanism has sufficient potential to give adequate baryogenesis.

2 The triangle diagram and correction to the effective action

It was first pointed out by Turok and Zadrony [12] and then explicitly calculated by McLerran-Shaposhnikov-Turok and Voloshin [3], that for 2HDM a term biasing the Chern-Simons number with a CP odd chemical potential is contributed by the triangle diagram of figure 1. This contribution to the effective action at finite temperature is

$$\Delta S = \frac{-7}{4} \zeta(3) \left(\frac{m_t}{\pi T}\right)^2 \frac{g}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{v_1^2} \times \int (\mathcal{D}_i \phi_1^{\dagger} \sigma^a \mathcal{D}_0 \phi_1 + \mathcal{D}_0 \phi_1^{\dagger} \sigma^a \mathcal{D}_i \phi_1) \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk}^a d^4 x \tag{1}$$

where m_t is the mass of the top quark, ζ is the Riemann Zeta function, and σ^a are the Pauli matrices. For homogeneous but time varying configurations of the Higgs fields and in the $A_0^a = 0$ gauge,

$$\Delta S_1 = \frac{-i7}{4} \zeta(3) \left(\frac{m_t}{\pi T}\right)^2 \frac{2}{v_1^2} \int dt [\phi_1^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}_0 \phi_1 - \mathcal{D}_0 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_1] N_{CS}$$
(2)

$$= \mathcal{O}_1 N_{CS} \tag{3}$$

Clearly, here the top quark acquires mass only from ϕ_1 as demanded by the Glashow-Weinberg natural flavor conservation (NFC) criteria. In Wu's model, the FC criterion is satisfied under relaxed conditions on the Higgs-fermions couplings. In this case the general Yukawa interactions can be written as

$$L_{Y} = \bar{q_{iL}}(\Gamma_{D}^{a})_{ij}D_{jR}\phi_{a} + \bar{q_{iL}}(\Gamma_{U}^{a})_{ij}U_{jR}\bar{\phi_{a}} + \bar{l_{iL}}(\Gamma_{E}^{a})_{ij}E_{jR}\phi_{a} + h.c$$
(4)

where q_i , l_i and ϕ_a are $SU(2)_L$ doublet quarks, leptons and Higgs bosons, U_i , D_i , E_i are $SU(2)_L$ singlets. i = 1, 2, ...n is a generation label and a = 1, 2 is a Higgs doublet label. Γ^a_F (F = U, D, E) are the Yukawa coupling matrices. According to Wu the Glashow-Weinberg criteria can be replaced by a theorem which states that the flavor conservation for the neutral currents is natural in the Higgs sector or equivalently, the matrices Γ^a_F (F = U, D, E) are diagonalizable simultaneously by a biunitary or biorthogonal transformation, if and only if the square $n \times n \Gamma^a_F$ are represented in terms of the linear combinations of a complete set of $n \times n$ matrices $(\Omega^{\alpha}_F, \alpha = 1, 2, ...n)$.

$$\Gamma^a{}_F = \sum_{\alpha} g^F{}_{a\alpha} \Omega_F{}^{\alpha} \tag{5}$$

where, $\Omega_F^{\ \alpha}$ satisfy the following orthogonal condition $\Omega_F^{\ \alpha}(\Omega_F^{\ \beta})^{\dagger} = L^{\alpha}{}_F \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, $(\Omega_F^{\ \alpha})^{\dagger}\Omega_F^{\ \beta} = R^{\alpha}{}_F \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, with the normalization $\sum_{\alpha} L^{\alpha}{}_F = \sum_{\alpha} R^{\alpha}{}_F = 1$. This generalised Yukawa coupling prompts us to consider another set of triangle diagrams as shown in fig-2. The contribution at finite temperature from these diagrams to the effective action can similarly be calculated to be

$$\Delta S_2 = \frac{-i7}{4} \zeta(3) \left(\frac{1}{\pi T}\right)^2 \Gamma^{2^2} \int dt [\phi_2^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}_0 \phi_2) - (\mathcal{D}_0 \phi_2)^{\dagger} \phi_2] N_{CS}$$
(6)

$$\Delta S_3 = \frac{-i7}{4} \zeta(3) \left(\frac{1}{\pi T}\right)^2 \Gamma^1 \Gamma^2 \int dt [\phi_1^{\dagger} (\mathcal{D}_0 \phi_2) - (\mathcal{D}_0 \phi_1)^{\dagger} \phi_2] N_{CS}$$
(7)

where $\Gamma_{1(2)}$ is the Yukawa coupling when the fermions couple to $\phi_{1(2)}$.

2.1 The bubble profile

Now to find the bubble profile we use the following ansatze for the finite temperature vacuum expectation value of the Higgs fields

$$\phi_1^0 = \rho_1(r, t) e^{-i\theta(t)}$$
 (8)

$$\phi_2^{\ 0} = \rho_2(r,t)e^{i\omega(t)} \tag{9}$$

where, as pointed out by Cohen-Kaplan-Nelson [13] we can fix the unitary gauge ensuring θ is the physical pseudo-scalar orthogonal to the Goldstone boson eaten by Z. This gauge fixing gives the relation between ω and θ to be,

$$\partial_{\mu}\omega = \left(\rho_1/\rho_2\right)^2 \partial_{\mu}\theta \tag{10}$$

We assume that the phase transition takes place when a combination of ρ_1 and ρ_2 becomes massless in a particular direction γ in the $\phi_1^0 - \phi_2^0$ plane. Hence, in the bubble profile we may take

$$\rho_1(r,t) = \rho(r,t)\cos\gamma \qquad \qquad \rho_2(r,t) = \rho(r,t)\sin\gamma \qquad (11)$$

with this parametrization and finite temperature corrections, the effective potential for the ρ , θ and ω is

$$V_T(\rho, \theta, \omega) = M_1^2(T)\rho^2 - ET\rho^3 + \frac{K_1}{4}\rho^4 + M_2^2(T)(\cos\xi\cos(\omega+\theta) + C_1\sin\xi\sin(\theta+\omega))\rho^2 + \frac{K_2}{4}\rho^4(\cos^2(\theta+\omega) + C_1\sin^2(\theta+\omega))$$
(12)

where, M_1^2 and M_2^2 are temperature corrected mass parameters and K_1 and K_2 are combinations of quartic coupling constants with small temperature dependent corrections. The constant C_1 is the ratio of λ_5 and λ_6 in the standard parameterisation of the 2HDM [14], and ξ is the phase of the neutral component of zero temperature vacuum expectation value of ϕ_2 . Rescaling $\rho \longrightarrow (2ET/K_1)\rho', r \longrightarrow r'/\frac{2ET}{K_1}$ and $t \longrightarrow t'/\frac{2ET}{K_1}$ omitting the primes the potential can be written as,

$$V_{T}(\rho,\theta,\omega) = \left(\frac{2ET}{K_{1}}\right)^{4} \left[\frac{K_{1}}{2}(1+E_{1})\rho^{2} - \frac{K_{1}}{2}\rho^{3} + \frac{K_{1}}{4}\rho^{4} + \frac{K_{2}}{2}(1+E_{2})(\cos\xi\cos(\omega+\theta) + C_{1}\sin\xi\sin(\theta+\omega))\rho^{2} + \frac{K_{2}}{4}\rho^{4}(\cos^{2}(\theta+\omega) + C_{1}\sin^{2}(\theta+\omega))\right]$$
(13)

where $E_1 = \frac{M_1^2 K_1}{2E^2 T^2} - 1$ and $E_2 = \frac{M_2^2 K_1}{2E^2 T^2} - 1$. The geometry of string induced bubbles is cylindrical. With this in mind, the time dependence of ρ and θ can be found by solving the following equations,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \rho \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}\right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} K_1 \rho^2 + K_1 \rho^3 + \frac{K_1}{2} (1 + E_1) \rho \\ + K_2 C_1 \sin^2(\theta + \xi) \rho^3 + \frac{K_1}{2} (1 + E_2) (\cos \theta + C_1 \sin \xi \sin (\xi + \theta)) \rho = 0$$
(14)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial t^2} + \frac{K_2}{4} C \sin 2(\theta + \xi) \rho^2 + \frac{K_1}{2} (1 + E_2) (C \sin \xi \cos (\theta + \xi) - \sin(\theta + \omega - \xi)) = 0$$
(15)

The time independent solution of ρ can be found by imposing the boundary condition $\rho \longrightarrow 0$ as $r \longrightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} \longrightarrow 0$ as $r \longrightarrow 0$. Subsequently, as the nontrivial minimum becomes favorable, the same solutions begin to evolve in time. The parameters used in the equations are, $K_1, K_2 = 0.1 - 0.001,$

 $K_1, K_2 = 0.1^{-0.001}, C_1 = 1.1, C = C_1 - 1, E_1 = -0.074, E_2 = -0.07, \xi = 0.2, E \sim 0.01$

The time evolution of the bubble profile $\rho(r, t)$ has been reported earlier [7]. In fig-3, we show the time evolution of the relative phase in the regions where ρ has become nonzero. If the initial reference value is zero, it oscillates to reach the stationary value dictated by the 2HDM effective potential at the relevant temperature. We assume this value to be O(1) since no natural reasons prevent it from being so.

2.2 Evaluation of the operator

Now we can use these solutions to evaluate the average value of the operators as,

$$\mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_2 = 28\zeta(3) \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{E^2}{K_1^2} A_1 \int \rho^2 \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} dt \tag{16}$$

and,

$$\mathcal{O}_3 = 28\zeta(3) \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{E^2}{K_1^2} A_2 \int \rho^2 \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} e^{i(\theta+\omega)} dt \tag{17}$$

where $A_1 = (\Gamma_1 \cos \gamma)^2 + (\Gamma_2 \sin \gamma)^2$ and $A_2 = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \sin 2\gamma$. These parameters have to be determined from the phenomenology of the 2HDM which is yet far from being tested by current experiments. Knowing the solutions to eqn.s (14)-(15), we can estimate the integral in eqn. (16) to be $\rho_{\infty}^2 \Delta \theta$ where $\Delta \theta$ is the nett change in the relative phase θ at any given point as the bubble wall sweeps past it, and $\rho_{\infty} = 1$. There is an additional contribution from the transient part of θ , which can also be calculated numerically, but is not significant. As for the term \mathcal{O}_3 , its CP odd part has the magnitude $\rho_{\infty}^2 \Delta(\sin \theta)$ from arguments already given. Putting in other known factors, we see that

$$\mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_2 \simeq A_1 \left(E/K_1 \right)^2 \Delta \theta \tag{18}$$

and a similar contribution from \mathcal{O}_3 . Recall that E is the dimensionless cubic self-coupling induced by thermal loops, and K_1 involves the quartic self-couplings of the 2HDM. For naturalness we would like $\Delta\theta$ to be O(1) but the remaining factor is numerically a small magnitude, perhaps between 1 and 10^{-4} . Note however that the effect is not suppressed by the physics of the process viz., the bubble wall dynamics. We emphasise again that this is the consequence of the dynamics of the relative phase of the 2HDM.

3 Estimation of the asymmetry

To estimate the baryon asymmetry we assume the presence of high temperature sphaleron processes inside the bubble wall. The rate of such transitions per unit time per unit volume is of the order $\sim \kappa \alpha_w T^4$, $\kappa \sim 1$ [15]. The number of fermions created per unit time in the bubble wall is given by

$$B = \kappa (\alpha_w T)^4 lS \times \frac{1}{T} \frac{\mathcal{O}}{l}$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

where we have made use of a well established master formula [16] [13], and where l and S are the thickness and the surface area of the bubble wall respectively. From which we get the baryon to photon ratio to be

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{n_B}{s} \simeq \frac{1}{N_{eff}} (\alpha_w)^4 \mathcal{O}$$
$$\simeq 10^{-8} \times \left(\frac{E}{K_1}\right)^2 \Delta \theta \tag{20}$$

where we have used $\alpha_w \sim 10^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and $N_{eff} \sim 100$. This answer easily accomodates the observed value of this number.

It is worth emphasising the physics of this answer which is fairly robust against changes in the specific particle physics models. The thermal rate contributes 10^{-6} through α_w^4 , and another 10^{-2} is contributed by N_{eff} . The remaining smallness of the answer follows from smallness of the thermal vacuum value $\langle \phi \rangle^T \sim ET/K_1$, which is small on the scale set by T_c . The appearance of this particular physical quantity has to do with our picture of the process occuring in bubble walls as the phase transition is yet in progress.

4 Conclusion

The MSTV proposal has been criticised [13] on the grounds that the operator \mathcal{O} is of the order of $(\phi/T)^4$. Since ϕ , i.e., the temperature dependent vacuum value $\langle \phi \rangle^T$ is $\ll T$, the effect was thought to be unacceptably small. But with time variation of the relative phase allowed, we find the relevant operator is of the order of $(\phi/T)^2$. The effect is therefore not intrinsically suppressed. We also note that the same analysis could be fruitfully applied to the proposals of [10] and [11]. Secondly, we have shown that in principle there are more diagrams in the 2HDM contributing to the effective action. Although in making numerical estimates we are hampered by large number of unknown parameters in the 2HDM, it is worth remembering the existence of these effects as potential sources of enhancement in electroweak baryogenesis.

5 Acknowledgment

This work has been supported in part by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India.

References

- [1] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **3**7, 657 (1976)
- [2] T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D8, 1226 (1973)
- [3] L. McLerran, M. Shaposhnikov, N. Turok and M. Voloshin, *Phys. Lett.* B256, 351 (1991).
- [4] Yue-Liang-Wu CMU-HEP93-19; DOE-ER/40682-44
- [5] S.L Glashow and S. Weinberg Phys. Rev. **D1**5, 1958 (1977)
- [6] S. Bhowmik Duari and U. A. Yajnik, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B, proceedings supplement, Workshop on Astroparticle Physics, Stockholm University 1994
- [7] S. Bhowmik Duari and U. A. Yajnik Phys. Lett. **B3**26, 212 (1994)
- [8] M. Dine, R. L. Leigh, P. Huet, A. Linde and D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B283, 319 (1992); Phys. Rev. D46, 550 (1992)
- [9] U. A. Yajnik Phys. Rev. **D34**, (1986) 1237
- [10] A. G. Cohen and D. B. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. B199, 251 (1987); Nuc. Phys. B308, 913 (1988)
- [11] A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan and A. E. Nelson Phys. Lett. **B2**63, 86 (1991)
- [12] N. Turok and J. Zadrozny Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2331 (1990); Nuc. Phys. B358, 471 (1991)
- [13] A.G Cohen, D.B Kaplan and A.E Nelson Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 43, 27 (1993)
- [14] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane and S. Dawson, "The Higgs Hunters Guide", (Addison-Wesley 1990)
- [15] J. Ambjorn, M. Laursen, M. Shaposhnikov Phys. Lett. B197, 49 (1989); J. Ambjorn, et al Phys. Lett. B244, 479 (1990); J. Ambjorn, K. Farakos Phys. Lett. B294, 248 (1992)
- [16] A. I. Bochkarev and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A2, 417 (1987)

Figure captions

- Fig-1 The contribution to S^{eff} from one higgs.
- Fig-2 Additional diagrams contributing to S^{eff} for generalised yukawa couplings.
- Fig-3 Evolution of the relative phase during passage of the bubble wall.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9508330v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9508330v1