

ON THE ANALYTIC STRUCTURE OF HADRONIC AMPLITUDES IN QCD ¹

Reinhard Oehme

*Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics
University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 60637*

ABSTRACT

Analytic properties of hadronic amplitudes are discussed within the framework of QCD as formulated on the basis of the BRST algebra. Local, composite fields are introduced for hadrons. Given confinement, it is shown that hadronic amplitudes have no thresholds or structure singularities (anomalous thresholds) which are directly related to the underlying quark-gluon structure. In contrast, general amplitudes of QCD must have singularities in channels with non-zero color quantum number, which can be related to unphysical states.

¹Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation, grant 91-23780.

Hadronic amplitudes, like vertex functions and scattering amplitudes, are physical quantities of interest in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). Their analytic properties are important from a phenomenological as well as a conceptual point of view. Within the framework of an effective hadronic field theory, the analytic structure of Green's functions has been explored extensively many years ago.² The essential input is relativistic covariance, locality (microscopic causality) and spectral conditions. The natural mathematical framework is the theory of functions of several complex variables, and the main tools for the derivation of dispersion representations are the Edge of the Wedge Theorem and analytic completion. The analytic structure of amplitudes, as obtained from hadronic perturbation theory, is also used sometimes in order to find a minimal singularity structure.

It is the purpose of this note to discuss analytic properties of hadronic amplitudes within the framework of QCD as formulated in covariant gauges and on the basis of the BRST algebra. In particular, we show that there are no singularities of hadronic amplitudes which are directly connected with the quark-gluon structure, neither as thresholds nor as structure singularities (anomalous thresholds). These features are a consequence of confinement, which we understand as the exclusion of quarks and gluons from the physical state space, so that they cannot be produced in hadronic collisions. They form non-singlet representations of the BRST algebra. Since unphysical states can have components in the physical subspace, the absence of thresholds requires a detailed proof. Furthermore, an understanding of structure singularities in terms of poles and ordinary thresholds is required.

We also show that, in contrast to hadronic amplitudes, Green's functions with colored channels must have singularities in these channels, which gen-

²We refer to [1] for references to the older literature.

erally are associated with quarks, gluons and other unphysical excitations in the full state space with indefinite metric.

In the following we collect some of the results which are needed for our conclusions. These include implications of the BRST algebra, the definition of composite hadron operators, and the properties of structure singularities in the theory of dispersion relations.

We consider QCD in covariant gauges defined by the gauge-fixing term

$$\mathcal{L}_{GF} = \frac{\alpha}{2} B \cdot B + (\partial_\mu B) \cdot A^\mu, \quad (1)$$

where α is a real parameter and B the Nakanishi-Lautrup auxiliary field. The theory is defined in a state space \mathcal{V} of indefinite metric, in which the constrained system is quantized with the help of the BRST algebra. As is well known, we can use the nilpotent, Hermitian BRST operator Q in order to define a Hilbert space which is invariant under Lorentz and equivalence transformations in \mathcal{V} , and has vanishing ghost number [2,3]:

$$\mathcal{H} = \ker Q / \text{im} Q, \quad (2)$$

$$\ker Q = \{ \Psi : Q\Psi = 0, \Psi \in \mathcal{V} \},$$

$$\text{im} Q = \{ \Psi : \Psi = Q\Phi, \Phi \in \mathcal{V} \}.$$

Using Q , we also obtain a decomposition of the state space \mathcal{V} in the form

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_p \oplus \text{im} Q \oplus \text{im} Q^*, \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{V}_p is isomorphic to \mathcal{H} , and $\ker Q = \mathcal{V}_p \oplus \text{im} Q$, $\ker Q^* = \mathcal{V}_p \oplus \text{im} Q^*$, $Q^* = \mathcal{C}Q\mathcal{C}$, with \mathcal{C} being a self-conjugate involution, which can be viewed as a metric matrix in \mathcal{V} with respect to the decomposition (3):

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The indefinite inner product in \mathcal{V} is then

$$(\Psi, \Phi) = (\Psi, \mathcal{C}\Phi)_{\mathcal{C}} = \psi_1^* \phi_1 + \psi_2^* \phi_3 + \psi_3^* \phi_2 , \quad (5)$$

where the subscript \mathcal{C} denotes the ordinary inner product with respect to the decomposition (3).

Given the completeness [4,1] of Q , we can assign a positive definite metric to $\mathcal{H} \simeq \mathcal{V}_p$. All zero norm states in $\ker Q$ are contained in $im Q$, so that a state in \mathcal{H} can be written symbolically as $\Psi_{\mathcal{H}} = \Psi_p + im Q$, $\Psi_p \in \mathcal{V}_p$. In the following we will introduce hadronic Heisenberg fields as BRST-invariant operators in \mathcal{V} . They commute with Q and leave $\ker Q$ as well as $im Q$ invariant. In our matrix notation, such operators are of the form

$$O = \begin{pmatrix} O_{11} & 0 & O_{13} \\ O_{21} & O_{22} & O_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & O_{33} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

We see that $O\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ if $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$, and with $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $(\Psi, O\Phi) = \psi_1^* O_{11} \phi_1$, involving only components in \mathcal{V}_p .

In weak coupling perturbation theory, the space \mathcal{H} contains equivalence classes of states which correspond to transverse gluons and quarks, while all other excitations of the theory are eliminated from \mathcal{H} in a kinematical fashion by forming non-singlet representations of the BRST algebra. For the full theory however, we expect that quarks and gluons are confined, at least for a limited number of flavors. By confinement we mean that there are hadrons in the theory, and that in collisions of these hadrons only hadrons can be produced. In order to realize these requirements in the BRST formalism, we interpret confinement to mean that, for dynamical reasons, transverse gluons and quarks are also not elements of \mathcal{H} , which then contains only hadrons. For less than ten flavors, one can give arguments [3,5] that transverse gluons

must be elements of non-singlet BRST representations, and hence cannot be associated with states in \mathcal{H} . These arguments involve renormalization group methods, and are applicable only for zero temperature. Extensions to include quarks are possible via the Kugo-Ojima condition, but the necessity of this condition involves still approximations [5]. The algebraic formulation of confinement has the advantage, that it is mathematically well defined and invariant. This is important for the derivation of analytic properties of hadronic amplitudes, which relies on manifest relativistic covariance. Other, perhaps more intuitive descriptions of confinement may well be compatible with the general scheme we use here.

An essential element for our arguments are the spectral conditions. Using the framework described above, we find that only hadronic intermediate states are relevant. Typically, we have decompositions of the type

$$(\Psi, XY\Phi) = \sum_n (\Psi, X\Psi_n)(\Psi_n, Y\Phi), \quad (7)$$

where X and Y are BRST-invariant operators associated with Heisenberg fields of hadrons, Ψ, Φ are representatives of physical states, while $\{\Psi_n\}$ is a complete set of states in the full state space \mathcal{V} . Now we can show that only hadronic states contribute in the sum (7):

$$(\Psi, XY\Phi) = \sum_n (\Psi, X\Psi_{\mathcal{H}n})(\Psi_{\mathcal{H}n}, Y\Phi). \quad (8)$$

Our assumptions imply that $\Psi' = X\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\Phi' = Y\Phi \in \mathcal{H}$ if $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then we can write $(\Psi', \Phi') = \psi_1'^* \phi_1' = (\Psi', P(\mathcal{V}_p)\Phi')$, with a Hermitian projection operator $P(\mathcal{V}_p)$, which exists since \mathcal{V}_p is a non-degenerate subspace of \mathcal{V} . Hence the summation in Eq.(7) is only over the set of states $\{\Psi_{pn}\}$, and can be rewritten in the form of Eq.(8), as may be seen using the matrix representation [1-5]. We note that the projection operator $P(\mathcal{V}_p)$ is not by

itself invariant under Lorentz and equivalence transformations in \mathcal{V} , but the way it is used here, as well as the resulting Eq.(8), are invariant. Lorentz transformations are realized in \mathcal{V} by unitary mappings U with $U^\dagger = \mathcal{C}U^*\mathcal{C}$. They are BRST-invariant and of the form given in Eq.(6). Only U_{11} transforms physical quantities. Transformations with $U_{11} = 1$ are equivalence transformations. They do not change physical matrix elements. For unphysical states in \mathcal{V} , one can always find an equivalence transformation so that a possible component in \mathcal{V}_p is transformed to zero [1-3].

In order to obtain analytic properties for hadronic amplitudes, we need representations in terms of local hadronic fields. The general problem of defining local Heisenberg fields for stable, composite systems has been discussed in the literature [6]. These fields interpolate between asymptotic fields associated with the corresponding bound states as incoming and outgoing free particles. To be specific, we consider fundamental fields $\psi(x)$, and suppose that there exists a one particle state $|k, M\rangle$ with $k^2 = M^2$, so that

$$\langle 0|B(x, \xi)|k, M\rangle \neq 0, \quad B(x, \xi) = T\psi(x + \xi)\bar{\psi}(x - \xi), \quad (9)$$

where we ignore all inessential indices. We assume that there exist functions $F(\xi)$ so that a local field can be defined by the limit

$$B_F(x) = \lim_{\xi \rightarrow 0} B(x, \xi)/F(\xi), \quad (10)$$

where $F(\xi)$ is as singular as the most singular matrix element of $B(x, \xi)$, and hence as the leading term in the corresponding operator product expansion. The hadronic fields are not uniquely defined by Eq.(10). We have equivalence classes which interpolate between the same asymptotic fields and define the same S-matrix. This is an extension of Borchers classes to state spaces with indefinite metric.

As obtained from leading terms in the operator product expansions, composite hadron fields should exist in QCD. These expansions are expected to be a general property of quantum field theories [7]. They are known to exist in lower dimensional models. In four dimensions, the existence of local, composite operators can be proven within the framework of renormalizable perturbation theory. But we are interested in non-perturbative QCD with confinement, and we must assume that there is a class of most singular matrix elements so that the limit in Eq.(10) defines a local field.

With composite, local fields for hadrons and the corresponding asymptotic fields, we can obtain representations of scattering amplitudes and vertex functions in terms of retarded and advanced products of the Heisenberg fields by using the LSZ formalism and weak asymptotic limits. The products may also contain current densities as local operators constructed from fundamental fields. The Fourier representations of hadronic amplitudes make it possible to obtain initial analytic properties as functions of momenta. These are consequences of the support of the Fourier integrands, which is determined by microscopic causality and spectral conditions. In order to derive dispersion relations, we can then use the previously developed methods. For certain vertex functions and forward and near-forward scattering amplitudes, the relatively simple *gap method* can be used [8]. But in general, more sophisticated mathematics is required [9,10]. The natural mathematical framework is the theory of functions of several complex variables. The essential tools are the Edge of the Wedge Theorem [9] and the construction of envelopes of holomorphy. The limitations of proofs are generally due to the restricted ability to make use of unitarity in order to remove unphysical anomalous thresholds [9,11].

As we have mentioned before, the spectral conditions are an important in-

put for the derivation of analytic properties. Although products like $B(x, \xi)$ in Eq.(10) are not BRST-invariant for $\xi \neq 0$, they define invariant hadron fields in the limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$. From our previous considerations, it then follows that intermediate state decompositions only involve a complete set of hadron states in \mathcal{H} . Hence, in a given channel of a hadronic amplitude, there are no branch points or poles associated with confined excitations, and consequently no thresholds associated with quarks or gluons. The same is true for *structure singularities* (anomalous thresholds) [9,11-13]. These are branch points, which can appear in a given channel, but which are not directly related to the intermediate states contributing to this channel. Rather, they are due to poles or thresholds in crossed channels of other amplitudes, which are related to the one under consideration via unitarity. Structure singularities are present in the physical Riemann sheet for loosely bound systems, but retreat into a secondary sheet if the binding gets stronger [11]. Since unitarity connects hadronic amplitudes only with other hadronic amplitudes, we see that, given confinement, there are no structure singularities associated with quarks and gluons, because there are no corresponding ordinary poles or thresholds.

In order to illustrate the emergence of structure singularities and their relationship to actual thresholds, we briefly consider a vertex function for a simple model where a composite particle of mass M is interacting with observable constituents of mass $m < M$. The structure function $F(z)$ of the vertex satisfies a dispersion relation of the form

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\text{Im}F(s + i0)}{s - z}, \quad (11)$$

provided $M^2 < 2m^2$, as will be seen below. Associated with the threshold at $s = 4m^2$ is the discontinuity $\text{Im}F(s + i0) = \rho(s + i0)G(s + i0)V(s + i0)$,

$\rho(s) = ((s - 4m^2)/s)^{1/2}$, where V is the corresponding vertex for the m-particle, and $G(s)$ is the appropriate partial wave projection of the inelastic amplitude for $M + M \rightarrow m + m$. Here we use the masses as symbols for the particles. The unprojected amplitude $G(s, t)$ has t- and u-channels, which correspond to the reaction $m + M = m + M$. Suppose the lowest intermediate state in these channels is a single m-particle. Then $G(s, t)$ has poles at $t = m^2$ and $u = m^2$. In the projection $G(s)$, these poles give rise to a left hand branch cut with a branch point at

$$s = g(M^2) = -\frac{M^2}{m^2}(M^2 - 4m^2). \quad (12)$$

From the discontinuity of $F(z)$ given above, it follows that the continuation into the second Riemann sheet, associated with the branch point at $z = 4m^2$, is given by [11]

$$F^H(z) = F(z) - 2i\rho(z)\frac{G(z)V(z)}{1 + 2i\rho(z)F(z)}. \quad (13)$$

We see from Eq.(13) that also $F(z)$ has a branch point at $z = g(M^2)$, but it is in the second sheet provided $M^2 < 2m^2$, in which case $g(M^2) < 4m^2$. As M^2 increases past the point $2m^2$, one can show [11] that the singularity at $g(M^2)$ encircles the branch point of $F(z)$ at $z = 4m^2$, moves into the physical sheet, and then down the real axis below $z = 4m^2$, where it may determine the maximal range of the form factor corresponding to the M-particle as a loosely bound system of two m-particles. For weak binding, we have the structure singularity at $g(M = 2m - B) \approx 16mB$, as is expected from the Fourier transform of the bound state Schrödinger wave function [11,12].

The example given above is for a composite system with observable constituents, and it shows explicitly how structure singularities are related to actual thresholds of related amplitudes. With confined m-particles, we would

neither have the threshold at $s = 4m^2$, nor the structure singularity. We have ignored here other singularities, like those due to M-particles only.

In contrast to hadronic amplitudes, it is important to realize that general Green's functions with colored channels must have singularities in these channels, even in the presence of confinement. For the covariant gauges discussed here, this can be shown quite generally. As an example, let us consider the structure function $D(k^2)$ of the gluon propagator. Using Lorentz covariance and spectral conditions in the full state space \mathcal{V} , we find that $D(k^2)$ is analytic in the cut k^2 -plane. With the help of renormalization group methods, it can then be shown that this function must vanish for $k^2 \rightarrow \infty$ in *all directions* in the complex k^2 -plane [14]. Explicitly, we find

$$-k^2 D(k^2, \alpha) \simeq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} + C(g, \alpha) \left(l g \frac{k^2}{\kappa^2} \right)^{-\gamma_{00}/\beta_0}, \quad (14)$$

where $\kappa^2 < 0$ is the normalization point, $\gamma_{00}/\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2}(13 - \frac{2}{3}N_F)/(11 - \frac{2}{3}N_F)$, N_F = number of flavors, and $\alpha_0 = -\gamma_{00}/\gamma_{01}$. There is no α -dependence in the exponent, because the gauge parameter α transforms in a nontrivial fashion under renormalization group transformations, and $\alpha = 0$ is a fixed point. In the derivation of Eq.(14) we have assumed that exact QCD connects with the weak coupling perturbation theory asymptotically as $g^2 \rightarrow 0$, at least as far as the leading terms are concerned. It follows, that $D(k^2, \alpha)$ cannot be a nontrivial entire function, at least for $0 < g < g_\infty$, where $g_\infty > 0$ is a possible first nonintegrable singularity of $\beta^{-1}(g^2)$. There must be singularities of $D(k^2, \alpha)$ on the positive, real k^2 -axis, because $C(g, \alpha)$ is not identically zero [14]. One can argue in a similar fashion for the quark propagator.

We conclude that, for QCD in covariant gauges as defined in Eq.(1), we generally have poles and branch points in colored channels. They can be associated with confined and unphysical excitations. The corresponding

states in \mathcal{V} are unobservable, because they form representations of the BRST algebra which are not singlets, and hence are not representatives of states in \mathcal{H} [2,3,14].

Even though the quark-gluon structure of hadrons does not give rise to thresholds and structure singularities of hadronic amplitudes, we expect that it is of relevance for discontinuities (weight functions) in dispersion representations of these amplitudes. To the extent that perturbative QCD is related to the weak coupling limit of the full theory, we should see evidence of the composite structure in regions of momentum space where the effective coupling is small as a consequence of asymptotic freedom. In these regions, perturbative QCD may be a reasonable tool for the approximate computation of weight functions. The absence of quarks and gluons from the physical space \mathcal{H} may then be related to the required hadronization.

The absence of structure singularities is of particular interest for form factors of heavy quark systems, where a constituent quark model may give a good description. The maximal range of the Schrödinger wave function of the loosely bound system can be rather large, and give rise to a large mean square radius. Nevertheless, as we have explained, there are no structure singularities in the exact QCD form factor, which would give branch points well below the first hadronic threshold. But a larger mean square radius can always be obtained with the help of an appropriate weight function along the cuts starting at the hadron branch points. Studies of this problem may be found in recent, interesting papers by Jaffe and Mende [15], which also contain further references. Certainly, one would like to have a better understanding of the weight functions within the framework of QCD.

I would like to thank Gerhard Höhler, Harry Lehmann and Wolfhart

Zimmermann for remarks or conversations concerning the topics in this note.
I am also grateful to Paul Mende for bringing his work with R.L. Jaffe to my attention.

REFERENCES

1. R. Oehme, πN -Newsletter No. **7** (1992) 1; Fermi Inst. Rep. EFI 92-17.
2. T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **66** (1979) 1;
K. Nishijima, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 601;
R. Oehme, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6** (1991) 3427.
3. R. Oehme, Phys. Rev. **D42** (1990) 4209;
Phys. Lett. **B195** (1987) 60; Phys. Lett. **B252** (1990) 641.
4. M. Spiegelglas, Nucl. Phys. **B283** (1987) 205.
5. K. Nishijima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **75** (1986) 22;
K. Nishijima and Y. Okada, Prog. Theor. Phys. **72** (1984) 254.
6. W. Zimmermann, Nuovo Cimento **10** (1958) 597;
K. Nishijima, Phys. Rev. **111** (1958) 995.
7. K. Wilson and W. Zimmermann, Comm. Math. Phys. **24** (1972) 87;
W. Zimmermann, in *1970 Brandeis Lectures*, eds. S. Deser, M. Grisaru and H. Pendleton (MIT Press, Cambridge, 1971) pp.395-591;
Ann. Phys. **71** (1973) 510.
8. R. Oehme, Nuovo Cimento **10** (1956) 1316;
R. Oehme, in *Quanta*, ed. by P. Freund, C. Goebel and Y. Nambu (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1970) pp. 309-337.
9. H. J. Bremermann, R. Oehme and J. G. Taylor,
Phys. Rev. **109** (1958) 2178.

10. N. N. Bogoliubov, B. V. Medvedev and M. V. Polivanov, *Voprossy Teorii Dispersionnykh Sootnoshenii* (Fitmatgiz, Moscow, 1958);
 N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields* (Interscience, New York, 1959);
 H. Lehmann, Suppl. Nuovo Cimento **14** (1959) 1.
11. R. Oehme, in *Werner Heisenberg und die Physik unserer Zeit*,
 ed. by Fritz Bopp (Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1961) pp. 240-259;
 Phys. Rev. **121** (1961) 1840.
12. R. Karplus, C. M. Sommerfield and F. H. Wichmann, Phys. Rev. **111**
 (1958) 1187; L. D. Landau, Nucl. Phys. **B13** (1959) 181; R. E. Cutkosky,
 J. Math. Phys. **1** (1960) 429.
13. Y. Nambu, Nuovo Cimento **9** (1958) 610.
14. R. Oehme, Phys. Lett. **252** (1990) 641; R. Oehme and W. Zimmermann,
 Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 471, 1661.
15. R. L. Jaffe, Phys. Lett. **B245** (1990) 221;
 R. L. Jaffe and Paul F. Mende, Nucl. Phys. **B369** (1992) 189.