# Recent results on $V_{us}$ from KLOE, KTeV and NA48

Contribution to the proceedings of HQL06, Munich, October 16th-20th 2006

Venelin Kozhuharov Atomic Physics Department, Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia 5 J. Bourchier Str., Sofia, BULGARIA<sup>1</sup>

## 1 Introduction

The flavour structure in the quark sector of the Standard Model is described by the CKM matrix [1],[2]. Its unitarity leads to a number of relations for its elements and in particular for the first raw:

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 1$$
(1)

Since  $V_{ub} \cong 4 \times 10^{-3}$  the contribution of the last term could be neglected at the current level of uncertainty in  $V_{ud}$  and  $V_{us}$ . This approximation gives  $V_{us} = \sin \theta_c$  as originally suggested by Cabibbo.

The most precise value of  $V_{ud}$  comes from the super-allowed  $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$  beta transitions between nuclei and  $V_{us}$  is usually calculated from the branchings of the kaon semileptonic decays. Going back to PDG 2004 [3]  $V_{us} = 0.2195 \pm 0.0025$  and  $V_{ud} = 0.9738 \pm 0.0005$  giving a deviation from unitarity at the level of  $2.3\sigma$  where the contribution from the uncertainties of  $V_{ud}$  and  $V_{us}$  in the final error are almost equal.

In the last few years a significant progress in the kaon physics has been made by three experiments - KLOE, KTeV and NA48. The reflection of their results to the extraction of  $V_{us}$  is subject of this review.

KTeV at the Main Injector (Fermilab) [5] and NA48 at SPS (CERN) [6] are fixed target experiments and exploit similar techniques of kaon decays in flight. Both consist of a spectrometer system measuring the charged particles momentum and a calorimetry system used for measurement of the energy of photons and electrons. The calorimetry system also provides a way to distinguish between the different type of charged particles through their interactions with matter. A muon veto system is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Also JINR Dubna, 141980, Dubna, Moscow Region, RUSSIA

placed at the end of each detector complex. The primary purpose of both experiments was to measure the direct CP violation parameter  $\epsilon'/\epsilon$  in the neutral kaon system [4]. In 2003 NA48 modified its setup in order to study charged kaon decays.

KLOE experiment [7] is situated at  $DA\Phi NE$ , the Frascati  $\phi$  factory, where  $e^+e^$ beams collide with a center of mass energy at the  $\phi$  meson mass (1020 MeV). With a probability of  $\approx 83\% \phi$  decays into neutral or charged kaons, anticolinear in the  $\phi$  center of mass (almost true also in the laboratory system). The presence of  $K_{L/S}$  $(K^{\pm})$  tags  $K_{S/L}$  ( $K^{\mp}$ ). KLOE detector has  $2\pi$  symmetry, the momentum of the decay products is measured by a magnetic spectrometer which is followed by an elecromagnetic calorimeter.

## 2 Kaon semileptonic decays

Within the Standard Model  $K \to \pi l \nu$  (so called  $K l_3$ ) decay appear as a tree level process of  $s \to u$  transition. The inclusive branching ratios of all four modes ( $K^0 e_3$ ,  $K^0 \mu_3$ ,  $K^{\pm} e_3$  and  $K^{\pm} \mu_3$ ) could be written conveniently in the form

$$Br(K_{l3(\gamma)}) = \frac{G_F^2 M_K^5 S_{EW}}{128\pi^3/\tau_K} I_K C^2 (1 + \delta_{EM}^I) \times |V_{us} f_+^{K\pi}(0)|^2$$
(2)

where  $G_F$  is the Fermi constant,  $M_K$  and  $\tau_K$  are the corresponding kaon mass and lifetime,  $S_{EW}$  is the the short distance electroweak enhancement factor,  $S_{EW} \cong 1 + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}(1-\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}) \times \log \frac{M_Z}{M_{\rho}} = 1.023$  [8], C is the Klebsh-Gordon coefficient, C = 1 for  $K^0$  and  $C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $K^{\pm}$ ,  $\delta_{EM}^I$  represents the long-distance electromagnetic correction [9, 10],  $f_+^{K\pi}(0)$  is the value of the vector form-factor at zero transferred momentum and  $I_K$ is the phase space integral dependent on the mode and the shape of the form-factor.

The values of  $S_{EW}$ ,  $\delta_{EM}^{I}$  and  $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$  are calculated theoretically while the rest could be obtained from experimental measurements.

### 2.1 Form factors

The kaon form factors are defined as [11]

$$\langle \pi(q) | s \gamma_{\mu} u | K(p) \rangle = f_{+}^{K\pi}(t) \times (p+q) + f_{-}^{K\pi}(t) \times (p-q)$$
 (3)

where  $t = (p-q)^2$  is the transferred momentum. Instead of the couple  $f_+, f_-$  usually another set of form-factors is used  $f_+(t)$  and  $f_0(t) = f_+(t) + \frac{t}{M_K^2 - M_\pi^2} f_-(t)$  inspired by the VMD model. The dependence of the transferred momentum could be written as

$$f_{+,0}^{K\pi}(t) = f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)(1 + \delta f_{+,0}(t))$$
(4)

It is convenient to express the charged kaon form factor by the neutral one  $|f_{+}^{K^{+}\pi^{0}}(0)|^{2} = (1 + \delta_{SU2}) \times |f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0)|^{2}$ . The SU2 breaking parameter is obtained within the Chiral Perturbation Theory,  $\delta_{SU2} = 0.046 \pm 0.004$  [9, 12].  $f_{+}(0)$  was calculated for the first time in the 80s [12]

$$f_{+}(0) = 0.961 \pm 0.008. \tag{5}$$

However more recent analysis give higher values  $f_+(0) = (0.981 \pm 0.012)$  [10]. Another result  $f_+(0) = (0.960 \pm 0.009)$  comes from lattice QCD [13] which is consistent with (5). Since  $f_+(0)$  enters directly in the calculation of  $V_{us}$  a clarification of this problem is highly desirable. In this review (5) is used.

The term  $\delta f_{+,0}(t)$  enters in the phase space integral calculation and is subject to different parametrization. The Taylor expansion gives

$$\delta f_{+,0}(t) = \lambda'_{+,0} \frac{t}{M_{\pi}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{+,0} \frac{t^2}{M_{\pi}^4}.$$
(6)

while within the VMD model  $f_{+,0}$  correspond to vector or scalar meson exchange and are parametrized by the mass of the pole:

$$\delta f_{+,0}(t) = \frac{M_{V,S}^2}{M_{V,S}^2 - t} - 1 \tag{7}$$

In both cases the unknown parameters are determined experimentally. If in equation (6) the quadratic term is neglected then the shape of the form factor is given only by its slope  $\lambda_+$ . The three collaborations have studied the form factors in the case of  $K_L \to \pi^0 e\nu$  decays and the results can be summarized in the following table:

	$\lambda'_+$	$\lambda_+$ "	$\lambda_+$	Pole mass
NA48 [14]	$0.0280 \pm 0.0024$	$0.0004 \pm 0.0009$	$0.0288 \pm 0.0012$	$859\pm18$
KTeV [15]	$0.0217 \pm 0.0020$	$0.0029 \pm 0.0008$	$0.0283 \pm 0.0006$	$881\pm7.1$
KLOE [16]	$0.0255 \pm 0.0018$	$0.0014 \pm 0.0008$	$0.0286 \pm 0.0006$	$870\pm9.2$

The values agree in the case of linear and pole parametrization but there is a discrepancy for the necessity of a quadratic term in (6). Recently the KTeV collaboration has performed a new calculation of the phase space integral with a reduced model uncertainty,  $I_{K0e3} = 0.10262 \pm 0.00032$  [17]. For the rest of the phase-space integrals we use  $I_{K0\mu3} = 0.06777 \pm 0.00053$  with the KTeV quadratic form factor parametrization,  $I_{K\pm e3} = 0.1060 \pm 0.0008$  and  $I_{K\pm \mu3} = 0.0702 \pm 0.0005$  with the IS-TRA+ measurement of the form factors [18]. A 0.7% error is added to account for the difference between the quadratic and the pole parametrization of the form-factors.

#### 2.2 Kaon lifetime

During the last year two new measurements of the  $K_L$  lifetime have been published by KLOE. One of them is obtained from the the proper time distribution of  $K_L \to 3\pi^0$  decays [19], giving  $\tau_{KL} = (50.92 \pm 0.30)ns$ . The second method produces a result for the lifetime as a byproduct of the measurement of the major  $K_L$  branching fraction imposing the condition that their sum should be unity [20]. The result is  $\tau_{KL} = (50.72 \pm 0.37)ns$ , independent of the previous measurement. The combined value including also the only previous measurement in the 70s is  $\tau_{KL} = (51.01 \pm 0.20)ns$ . For the  $K_S$  lifetime the PDG [22] average is used.

Concerning the charged kaons a new preliminary result for the  $K^{\pm}$  lifetime has been presented by KLOE  $\tau_{K\pm} = (1.2367 \pm 0.0078) \times 10^{-8} s$  [21]. For the moment the PDG average  $\tau_{K\pm} = (1.2385 \pm 0.0025) \times 10^{-8} s$  is used and we are waiting for the final result.

#### 2.3 Branching ratios

For a long time the branching ratios of the kaon semileptonic decays were fixed in the PDG due to the lack of new measurements. The BNL result for  $Br(K^+e3) = (5.13 \pm 0.10)\%$  [23] published in 2003 was in disagreement with the PDG 2002 value  $(Br(K^+e3) = (4.87 \pm 0.06)\%)$  [24] and initiated a lot of experimental activity.

All six major  $K_L$  branching fractions have been measured by KTeV determining their ratios of decay rates [25]. The results for  $Br(K_Le3)$  and  $Br(K_L\mu3)$  are

$$Br(K_L \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu) = (40.67 \pm 0.11)\%$$
 (8)

$$Br(K_L \to \pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu) = (27.01 \pm 0.09)\%$$
 (9)

KLOE has also measured the dominant  $K_L$  branchings [20] as mentioned above obtaining for the semileptonic decays

$$Br(K_L \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu) = (40.07 \pm 0.15)\%$$
 (10)

$$Br(K_L \to \pi^{\pm} \mu^{\mp} \nu) = (26.98 \pm 0.15)\%$$
 (11)

Apart from the  $K_L$  KLOE has studied  $K_S e^3$  decays [26]. Using  $K_S \to \pi^+\pi^-$  for normalization channel the result is four times more precise than the previous value:

$$Br(K_S \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu) = (7.046 \pm 0.091)\%$$
 (12)

The NA48 experiment has measured the ratio of the branching ratios of  $K_L e^3$ and all two track events [27]. In this way  $Br(K_L e^3) = R_e(1.0048 - Br(K_l 3\pi^0))$ , where  $Br(K_L 3\pi^0)$  is the external input. Using the measured  $R_e = 0.4978 \pm 0.0035$  and the current PDG value for  $Br(K_L 3\pi^0) = (19.69 \pm 0.26)\%$  the result for the  $K_L e^3$ branching is

$$Br(K_L \to \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu) = (40.22 \pm 0.31)\%$$
 (13)



Figure 1: Recent measurements of the kaon semileptonic branching ratios.  $Br(K_S \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} e^{\mp} \nu) = (7.046 \pm 0.091)\%$ 

Preliminary results for the charged semileptonic decays have also been presented by NA48 [28],[29] and KLOE [21] NA48

$$Br(K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 e^{\pm} \nu) = (5.14 \pm 0.06)\%$$
 (14)

$$Br(K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 \mu^{\pm} \nu) = (3.46 \pm 0.07)\% \tag{15}$$

KLOE

$$Br(K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 e^{\pm} \nu) = (5.047 \pm 0.043)\%$$
 (16)

$$Br(K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 \mu^{\pm} \nu) = (3.310 \pm 0.048)\%$$
(17)

which confirm the discrepancy with the PDG observed by BNL.

This ten new measurements of the kaon semileptonic branching ratios together with the BNL result for  $Br(K^{\pm}e3)$  are averaged depending on the decay mode and are shown on Figure 1 (apart from  $Br(K_Se3)$ , measured only by KLOE). As can be seen they show very good consistency.

## 2.4 $V_{us}$ from kaon semileptonic decays

Combining all the inputs mentioned above the values for  $V_{us} \times f_+(0)$  from the different modes together with the average are shown of Figure 2.





The precision on the combined measurement of  $V_{us} \times f_+(0)$  is approximately 0.16%. Using for  $f_+(0)$  the value obtained by Leutwyler and Roos the result for  $V_{us}$  is

$$V_{us} = 0.2251 \pm 0.0019 \tag{18}$$

where the dominant contribution to the error comes from the uncertainty of  $f_{+}(0)$ .

# 3 $V_{us}$ from Kl2 decays

A complementary way to extract  $V_{us}$  is to use the ratio of the branching ratios of the pion and the kaon leptonic decays [30]. It can be written as

$$\frac{Br(K^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu(\gamma))}{Br(\pi^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu(\gamma))} = \frac{|V_{us}|^2}{|V_{ud}|^2} \frac{f_K^2}{f_\pi^2} \times \frac{\tau_K}{\tau_\pi} \frac{M_K (1 - \frac{M_\mu^2}{M_K^2})^2}{M_\pi (1 - \frac{M_\mu^2}{M^2})^2} \times \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} C_K}{1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} C_\pi}$$
(19)

where  $\tau_{K,\pi}$  and  $f_{K,\pi}$  are the meson lifetimes and decay constants correspondingly and  $C_{K,\pi}$  parametrize the electroweak correction. Using the new measurement of  $Br(K^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm}\nu(\gamma)) = (63.66 \pm 0.17)\%$  from KLOE [31] and the lattice QCD calculation of  $f_K/f_{\pi}$  [32] we get  $|V_{us}|/|V_{ud}| = 0.2286^{+0.0026}_{-0.0014}$  which together with the measurement of  $V_{ud}$  [33] gives

$$V_{us} = 0.2223^{+0.0026}_{-0.0014} \tag{20}$$

1 12

The accuracy of the result is comparable to (18). The dominant error comes from the uncertainty on the ratio  $f_K/f_{\pi}$ .

## 4 Conclusions

The values of  $V_{us}$  extracted from kaon semileptonic decays and from  $K\mu 2$  decay agree. The average is

$$V_{us} = 0.2241 \pm 0.0015. \tag{21}$$

Using  $V_{ud} = 0.97377(27)$  we have

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 = 0.9985 \pm 0.0009.$$
<sup>(22)</sup>

This result is compatible with the Standard Model and the unitarity of the CKM matrix.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank prof. dr. Leandar Litov for the valuable help during the preparation of this review and the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research - Dubna for the sponsorship.

# References

- [1] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **10** (1963) 531.
- [2] M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Th. Phys. 49 (1973) 652.
- [3] S. Eidelman, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B 592** (2004) 1
- [4] A. Lai *et al.* [NA48 Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C 22 (2001) 231
   A. Alavi-Harati *et al.* [KTeV Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 012005
   [Erratum-ibid. D 70 (2004) 079904]
- [5] http://kpasa.fnal.gov:8080/public/ktev.html
- [6] http://na48.web.cern.ch/NA48/
- [7] http://www.lnf.infn.it/kloe/
- [8] W. J. Marciano, A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3629
- [9] V. Cirigliano, M. Knecht, H. Neufeld, H. Rupertsberger and P. Talavera, Eur. Phys. J. C 23 (2002) 121 [arXiv:hep-ph/0110153].
- [10] V. Cirigliano, H. Neufeld and H. Pichl, Eur. Phys. J. C 35 (2004) 53
- [11] H. W. Fearing, E. Fischbach, J. Smith, Phys. Rev. D 2 (1970) 542.
- [12] H. Leutwyler and M. Roos, Z. Phys. C 25 (1984) 91.
- [13] D. Becirevic *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. B **705** (2005) 339
- [14] A. Lai et al. [NA48 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 604 (2004) 1
- [15] T. Alexopoulos et al. [KTeV Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 092007
- [16] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 636 (2006) 166
- [17] E. Abouzaid et al. [KTeV Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 097101
- [18] O. P. Yushchenko *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **589** (2004) 111
- [19] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 626 (2005) 15
- [20] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 632 (2006) 43
- [21] R. Versaci [By KLOE Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0701008.
- [22] W.-M. Yao, et al. Journal of Physics G 33 (2006) 1

- [23] A. Sher *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 261802
- [24] K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Physical Review **D 66** (2002) 010001
- [25] T. Alexopoulos et al. [KTeV Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 70 (2004) 092006
- [26] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 636 (2006) 173
- [27] A. Lai et al. [NA48 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 602 (2004) 41
- [28] L. Litov [NA48 Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0501048.
- [29] A. Dabrowski, presented at KAON 2005 Workshop
- [30] W. J. Marciano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 231803
- [31] F. Ambrosino et al. [KLOE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 632 (2006) 76
- [32] C. Bernard *et al.* [MILC Collaboration], arXiv:hep-lat/0609053.
- [33] W. J. Marciano and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 032002