## Search for millicharged particles in reactor neutrino experiments: a probe of the PVLAS anomaly

S.N. Gninenko<sup>1,2</sup>, N.V. Krasnikov<sup>1</sup>, and A. Rubbia<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 117312 and

<sup>2</sup>Institut für Teilchenphysik, ETHZ, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

(Dated: November 3, 2018)

It has been recently suggested that the vacuum magnetic dichroism observed by the PVLAS experiment could be explained by pair production of a new light,  $m_{\varepsilon} \simeq 0.1$  eV, millicharged,  $q_{\varepsilon} \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-6} e$ , fermions ( $\varepsilon$ ). In addition, it has been pointed out that millicharged particles with  $q_{\varepsilon} \gtrsim 10^{-9} e$  appear naturally in models based on the string theory.

We show that low energy reactor neutrino experiments provide a sensitive probe of millicharged particles. Considering, as an example, recent results of the TEXONO experiment searching for neutrino magnetic moment, a new upper bound  $q_{\varepsilon} \lesssim 10^{-5}e$  for the mass region  $m_{\varepsilon} < 1$  keV is derived. These results enhance motivations for a more sensitive search for such particles in near future experiments. Furthemore, a direct experimental limit on the electric charge of the electron antineutrino  $q_{\bar{\nu}_e} < 3.7 \cdot 10^{-12}e$  is obtained.

PACS numbers: 14.80.-j, 12.20.Fv, 13.20.Cz

Several realistic extensions of the standard model of elementary particle physics suggest the existence of particles with small, unquantized electric charge. They appear naturally and generically from kinetic-mixing in theories containing at least two U(1) gauge factors, e.g. in paraphoton models [1]. By adding a second, hidden photon (the "shadow" photon) one could construct grand unified models which contain particles with an electric charge very small compared to the electron charge [2]. These considerations have stimulated new theoretical works and experimental tests, see e.g. [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and references therein.

Recently, a laboratory experiment PVLAS has reported on observation of an anomalous rotation of the polarization plane of a photon beam incident on a region of a static transverse magnetic field in vacuum [8]. The PVLAS signal appears to be in contradiction with the negative results of the CAST experiment on search for solar axions [9] and astrophysical constraints [10] (however, one may argue that astrophysical constraints are also model dependent and have considerable theoretical and experimental uncertainties).

Although many models explaining the PVLAS-CAST discrepancy appeared recently [11], not all of them allow for experimental tests. One of the experimentally interesting explanation suggests that the PVLAS anomalously large effect may originate from pair production of light,  $m_{\varepsilon} \simeq 0.1$  eV, millicharged,  $q_{\varepsilon} \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-6}e$ , fermions ( $\varepsilon$ ), whose existence is not excluded by the present experimental data [12]. It is also pointed out that the multiple U(1) factors, the size of kinetic-mixing, and suitable matter representations required to explain the PVLAS data occur very naturally in the context of realistic extensions of the Standard Model based on string theory [13]. The string scale  $\simeq 10^{-9}e$ , thus making the region  $q_{\varepsilon} \simeq 10^{-9} - 10^{-5}e$  of great interest for searching for mil-

licharged particles in future experiments.

In this note, we show that reactor neutrino experiments searching for non-standard neutrino interactions provide a sensitive probe to light millicharged particles. We discuss possibilities for more sensitive searches for such particles in near future experiments.

It is well known that since the electromagnetic cross section is orders of magnitude larger than the weak cross section, the existence of non-zero magnetic moment of the electron antineutrino would increase the total rate of events in  $\bar{\nu} - e^{-}$ - scattering experiments [14]. The integral cross section for neutrino magnetic scattering  $\sigma_{\mu}$ depends very weakly on the neutrino energy. It rises only logarithmically with the neutrino energy, while the total neutrino cross section rises linearly with neutrino energy  $E_{\nu}$ . Thus, it is advantageous to search for neutrino magnetic moment using low neutrino energy. These considerations point to nuclear reactors as probably the most convenient source of neutrinos. Reactors with power of 2 GW emit about  $4 \times 10^{20} \bar{\nu}_e$  per second [15]. The emission energy spectrum is quite well understood. It has a broad distribution over energies up to 10 MeV, with a maximum intensity at 0.5-1.5 MeV [14].

Consider, as an example, recent results of the TEX-ONO collaboration searching for electron anti-neutrino magnetic moment [16]. The experiment has been set up at the Kuo-Sheng Nuclear Power Station at a distance of 28 m from the 2.9 GW reactor core. A detector threshold of 5 keV and a background level of 1 counts  $day^{-1}keV^{-1}kg^{-1}$  at 12-60 keV was achieved with a high purity germanium detector of mass 1.06 kg surrounded by anti-Compton detectors with NaI(Tl) and CsI(Tl) crystal scintillators.

The excess  $\Delta N_{\nu-e}$  of events in the Ge detector due to the  $\bar{\nu} - e$  magnetic scattering is given by

$$\Delta N_{\nu-e} = k \int_{E_{\nu}^{min}}^{\infty} \int_{E_e^{min}}^{E_e^{max}} \frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}} \frac{d\sigma_{\mu}}{dE_e} dE_e dE_{\nu} \qquad (1)$$

where  $E_e$  is the electron recoil energy,  $dN_{\nu}/dE_{\nu}$  is the reactor neutrino flux,  $d\sigma_{\mu}/dE_e$  is the  $\bar{\nu} - e$  scattering differential cross section via the  $\nu$  magnetic moment, and k is a factor corresponding to the convolution of the Ge detector acceptance and mass.

The cross section  $d\sigma_{\mu}/dE_e$  is given by, see e.g. [14]:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\mu}}{dE_e} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{m_e^2} \frac{\mu_{\nu}^2}{\mu_B^2} \left(\frac{1}{E_e} - \frac{1}{E_{\nu}}\right) \tag{2}$$

where  $\mu_{\nu}$  is the neutrino magnetic moment and  $\mu_B = e/2m_e$  is Bohr magneton.

One can see that for the electron energy interval from  $E_e^{min} = 12 \text{ keV}$  to  $E_e^{max} = 60 \text{ keV}$ , analyzed by TEX-ONO [16], the cross section of  $\bar{\nu}_e - e$  scattering due to non-zero magnetic moment is practically independent of energy for  $E_{\nu} \gtrsim 0.5$  MeV and is equal:

$$\sigma_{\mu} = 4.3 \times 10^{-45} \left(\frac{\mu_{\nu}}{10^{-10} \mu_B}\right)^2 cm^2 \tag{3}$$

Thus, the total number  $\Delta N_{\nu-e}$  of events collected during period of time  $\Delta t$  could be estimated as

$$\Delta N_{\nu-e} = f_{\nu}\sigma_{\mu}N_e\Delta t \tag{4}$$

where  $f_{\nu} = 5.8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  is the integrated reactor neutrino flux ( the detection efficiency of the Ge detector is  $\simeq 100\%$  for energy deposition above 10 keV) [16] and  $N_e$  is the total number of electrons in the detector.

Using the above values we found that the TEX-ONO experiment should detect an excess of  $\Delta N_{\nu-e} \simeq 5.8 \ events/day$  if  $\mu_{\nu_e} = 2 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ . This value is in an excellent agreement with the number of events quoted in ref. [16].

Having this in mind, consider the case of millicharged particles. The nuclear reactors could also be a source of such light particles. Indeed, the 2.9 GW power reactor core emits large number of  $\gamma$ -quanta, more than  $5 \times 10^{20}$  per second, and these gammas could convert within the reactor into pairs of light  $\varepsilon$ -particles. Even a small fraction of these particles could lead to an observable excess of electrons from  $\varepsilon - e^-$  scattering in the detector whose experimental signature is identical to that of  $\bar{\nu} - e^-$  magnetic scattering events.

Consider now the number of  $\varepsilon - e$  events in the TEX-ONO Ge detector. The excess  $\Delta N_{\varepsilon-e}$  of events due to  $\varepsilon - e$  scattering in the detector is given by:

$$\Delta N_{\varepsilon-e} = k \int_{E_{\varepsilon}^{min}}^{\infty} \int_{E_{e}^{min}}^{E_{e}^{max}} \frac{dN_{\varepsilon}}{dE_{\varepsilon}} \frac{d\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{dE_{e}} dE_{e} dE_{\varepsilon}$$
(5)

where  $dN_{\varepsilon}/dE_{\varepsilon}$  is the assumed  $\varepsilon$ -particles flux, and  $d\sigma_{\varepsilon}/dE_{e}$  is the  $\varepsilon - e$  scattering differential cross section.

For  $E_{\varepsilon} \gg E_e^{min} \gg m_{\varepsilon}$ , i.e.  $m_{\varepsilon} < 1$  keV, the cross section  $d\sigma_{\varepsilon}/dE_e$  is independent of  $E_{\varepsilon}$  and is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{dE_e} = 2\pi r_0^2 \left(\frac{q_{\varepsilon}}{e}\right)^2 \frac{m_e}{E_e^2} \tag{6}$$

where  $r_0$  is the classical electron radius, or

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 2 \times 10^{-23} \left(\frac{q_{\varepsilon}}{e}\right)^2 cm^2 \tag{7}$$

for the recoil energy region from  $E_e^{min} = 12$  keV to  $E_e^{max} = 60$  keV.

The highest rate mechanism for the light  $\varepsilon$ -particle production by  $\simeq 1$  MeV gammas is the  $\varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon}$ -pair production in the Compton scattering

$$e^- + \gamma \to e^- + \gamma^* \to e^- + \varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon}$$
 (8)

The cross section  $(\sigma_{\varepsilon\overline{\varepsilon}})$  of this process is proportional to  $q_{\varepsilon}^2$  and is characterized by  $q_{\varepsilon}, m_{\varepsilon}$  and spin of the  $\varepsilon$ particles. It dominates over Bethe-Heitler pair production which is proportional to  $q_{\varepsilon}^4$ .

For the given photon energy interval  $(E_{\gamma}; E_{\gamma} + dE_{\gamma})$ the total number of  $\varepsilon$ -particles per second produced in the reactor core can be calculated as:

$$dN_{\varepsilon} = 2\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{dE_{\gamma}}\sigma_{\varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon}}\rho N_A \frac{Z}{A}LdE_{\gamma}$$
<sup>(9)</sup>

where  $dN_{\gamma}/dE_{\gamma}$  is the reactor gamma spectrum and L is the mean free path of photons in the reactor core given by

$$L = \frac{A}{Z\sigma_{tot}N_A\rho} \tag{10}$$

where  $\sigma_{tot}$ ,  $N_A$ , A, Z,  $\rho$  are the total photon cross section, the Avogadro's number, the atomic weight and charge, and the density of the core material (we assume for the moment that this material has uniform composition). The cross section  $\sigma_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}$  is found to be

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon}} \simeq \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \sigma_C \left(\frac{q_\varepsilon}{e}\right)^2 ln \frac{E_\gamma}{2m_\varepsilon} \tag{11}$$

where  $\sigma_C$  is the Compton scattering cross section. It is calculated under assumption  $\sigma_{\varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon}} \ll \sigma_C$  and is valid for  $\alpha/3\pi (q_{\varepsilon}/e)^2 ln(E_{\gamma}/2m_{\varepsilon}) \ll 1$ .

Although the reactor gamma spectrum has all possible energies up to 10 MeV, not all photons effectively contribute to the  $\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}$  pair production through the reaction of Eq.(8). Indeed, for high Z-materials,  $Z \simeq 90 - 100$ , in the low energy region  $E_{\gamma} \lesssim 1$  MeV the total gamma cross section is dominated by the atomic photoelectric effect, while at energies higher than  $E_{\gamma} \gtrsim 5$  MeV the  $e^+e^-$  pair production dominates. Thus, the suitable gamma energy interval for the effective  $\varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}$  pairs production via reaction of Eq. (8) is 1 - 5 MeV. For gammas with these energies the total cross section is dominated by the Compton scattering for any material with Z up to 100. Hence, we may assume  $\sigma_{tot} \simeq \sigma_C$ . Combining this with Eq.(9), Eq.(10) and Eq.(11) one can see that the total number of  $\varepsilon$ 's produced inside the reactor per sec is

$$N_{\varepsilon} \simeq \frac{2\sigma_{\varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon}}}{\sigma_C} N_{\gamma} = \frac{2\alpha}{3\pi} \left(\frac{q_{\varepsilon}}{e}\right)^2 ln \frac{E_{\gamma}}{2m_{\varepsilon}} N_{\gamma} \tag{12}$$

Thus, in this approximation the  $\varepsilon$ -flux is independent on fuel composition, reactor core geometry, etc.

The total number of photons produced in reactor core can be estimated by using results on  $\gamma\text{-energy}$  spectra measurements [17], used in the experiment searching for axion decays at a nuclear power reactor at Bugey [18]. The reactor gamma spectrum receives contributions from several processes following the nuclear fission process, see e.g. [18]. The comparison of numbers of neutrinos [14] and gammas [17] emitted per fission for various energy intervals shows that the total number of gammas emitted in the energy range 1 - 5 MeV for the nuclear fuels of interest, i.e. <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>239</sup>Pu, <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>241</sup>Pu, and <sup>252</sup>Cf, is comparable with the corresponding number of neutrinos. For example, for <sup>235</sup>U the number of neutrinos and gammas emitted in the 1-5 MeV energy range is found to be  $N_{\nu} = 5.7$  and  $N_{\gamma} = 2.1$  per fission, respectively. The ratio of the number of neutrinos to the number of gammas are the same within  $\pm 15\%$  for different type of fuels. For the energy range 0.15 - 0.5 MeV the number of gammas is about 30-40% higher than the number of neutrinos. The energy interval 5-10 MeV is not very important, because less than about 5% of particles have those energies. Conservatively, we may assume that  $N_{\gamma} = 0.3 N'_{\nu}$  of the neutrino flux  $N'_{\nu}$  in the energy interval 1- 5 MeV, or

$$N_{\gamma} = 0.2 N_{\nu} \tag{13}$$

of the total neutrino flux  $N_{\nu}$ . This is calculated by using reactor neutrino spectra for different nuclear fuels described in ref. [14].

Similar to Eq.(4) the total number  $\Delta N_{\varepsilon-e}$  of  $\varepsilon - e$ events collected during period of time  $\Delta t$  is

$$\Delta N_{\varepsilon-e} = f_{\varepsilon} \sigma_{\varepsilon} N_e \Delta t \tag{14}$$

where  $f_{\varepsilon}$  is the flux of  $\varepsilon$ 's with energy  $E_{\varepsilon} \gg E_e^{min}$ . The energy of  $\varepsilon$ 's produced by 1- 5 MeV gammas is found to be widely distributed over the range  $0 < E_{\varepsilon} < 5$  MeV with a few %'s of events lying in the low energy region  $E_{\varepsilon} \leq 50$  keV.

Combining Eq.(12) and Eq.(13), the flux of  $\varepsilon$ 's per sec is

$$f_{\varepsilon} \simeq \frac{0.4\alpha}{3\pi} \left(\frac{q_{\varepsilon}}{e}\right)^2 ln \frac{E_{\gamma}}{2m_{\varepsilon}} f_{\nu} \tag{15}$$

Finally, using Eq.(7) and Eq.(15) and taking  $f_{\nu} = 5.8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $N_e = 2.8 \times 10^{26}$  [16], one can find that the number of  $\varepsilon - e$  events expected to be seen in the TEXONO Ge detector per day is

$$\Delta N_{\varepsilon-e} \simeq 10^{18} \left(\frac{q_{\varepsilon}}{e}\right)^4 ln \frac{E_{\gamma}}{2m_{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{day}$$
(16)

Comparing this to the upper bound on the number of events implied by the upper bound on the neutrino magnetic moment  $\mu_{\nu} < 1.3 \cdot 10^{-10} \mu_B$  [16] and assuming  $E_{\gamma} \simeq 1$  MeV we obtain :

$$q_{\varepsilon} \lesssim 10^{-5} e \tag{17}$$

for the mass region  $m_{\varepsilon} < 1$  keV. This estimate is weakly depended on the  $\varepsilon$ -mass, variations of the selected recoil energy interval and uncertainties of the  $\varepsilon$ -flux. It gives an order of magnitude for the upper limit on  $q_{\varepsilon}$  and may be strengthened and extended to the mass region  $m_{\varepsilon} >$ 1 keV by more accurate and detailed Monte Carlo simulations. The limit of Eq.(17) is valid for a  $\varepsilon$ -particle lifetime  $\tau_{\varepsilon}[s] > 10^{-13}m_{\varepsilon}[eV]$ . The attenuation of the flux due to (electromagnetic) interactions in the shielding is found to be negligible, since for the limit of Eq.(17) the  $\varepsilon$ -particle mean free path in iron is  $\gg$ 1 km, as compared with the total shield thickness of < 28 m used at the Kuo-Sheng Nuclear Power Station.

The results obtained could be also used to derive direct experimental limit on the electron anti-neutrino electric charge:

$$q_{\nu_e} \lesssim 3.7 \times 10^{-12} \ e$$
 (18)

which is within two orders of magnitude of astrophysical bounds [19].

The statistical limit on the sensitivity of a reactor experiment searching for millicharged particles is proportional to  $q_{\varepsilon}^4$  and is set by the value of  $q_{\varepsilon}$ . Thus, to improve the limit of Eq.(17) large target mass is required. Because of the  $1/E_e$  dependence of the  $\varepsilon - e$  scattering cross section the detection of low recoil electron energies is also crucial for the improvement of sensitivity of further searches. A possible approach, which could satisfy both requirements, is to use a massive liquid argon detector. An example of such detector is the one developing in the framework of the ArDM project for the search of Dark Matter [20]. This experiment aims at running almost 1 ton of effective mass detector and is capable to measure deposited energies down to 10 keV. The significance of the  $\varepsilon$ -particles discovery with such detector, assuming  $q_{\varepsilon} = 3 \cdot 10^{-6} e$ , scales as

$$S \simeq 10^{-2} \left(\frac{Mt}{n_0}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (19)

where  $S = 2(\sqrt{n_s + n_b} - \sqrt{n_b})$  [21] and  $n_s = 10^{-2}Mt$ ,  $n_b = n_0 M t$  are the number of detected signal and background events, respectively. Here M is the mass of the detector in kg, t is the running time in days and  $n_0$  is the background counting rate in  $kg^{-1}day^{-1}$  for the energy interval of interest. Thus, assuming  $S\gtrsim 3, M\simeq 10^3\,{\rm kg}$  and  $t \simeq 10^2$  days, a background level of  $n_0 \lesssim 1$  event/kg/day has to be achieved [20]. The experiment could also significantly improve the recently obtained bounds on neutrino magnetic moment [16], [22], [23] pushing it down to the region  $\mu_{\nu} \simeq 10^{-12} \mu_B$ . A bound in this region is comparable with the astrophysical limits [19] and will be of great interest for many extensions of the Standard Model [24]. Note, that  $\varepsilon - e$  events could be distinguished from the  $\bar{\nu} - e$  magnetic scattering due to the  $(1/E_e)^2$  profile of their recoil energy spectrum, while  $\bar{\nu} - e$  events have  $1/E_e$  energy distribution.

Another experimental approach relies on the precise study of the positronium atom [25]. If low-mass millicharged particles exist, the orthopositronium (o - Ps), the triplet bound states of electron and positron, could decay "invisibly" into  $\varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon}$  pairs since the  $\varepsilon$ -particles would penetrate any type of calorimeter mostly without interaction [26, 27]. For spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  millicharged  $\varepsilon$ -particle and for  $m_{\varepsilon} \ll m_e$  the best experimental bound obtained by the ETH-INR experiment searching for  $o - Ps \rightarrow invisible$ is  $q_{\varepsilon} < 3.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$  [28], which is comparable with the limit of Eq.(17).

The sensitivity of experiments on invisible decay of orthopositronium is proportional to  $q_{\varepsilon}^2$ , thus making further searches of this decay mode more interesting and promising [25]. The ETH-INR experiment achieved sensitivity to  $Br(o - Ps \rightarrow \varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon})$  as small as  $4.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$  (90% C.L.)[28]. To intersect the PVLAS parameter space a new experiment with the sensitivity improved by a factor of 100 is required.

- Lett. **90**, 131802 (2003).
- L.B. Okun, Sov. Phys. JETP 56, 502 (1982).
   B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B 166, 196 (1986).
- [3] R.N. Mohapatra, S. Nussinov Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 7, 3817 (1992).
- [4] M.I. Dobroliubov and A.Yu. Ignatiev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 679 (1990).
- [5] A.A. Prinz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.81, 1175 (1998).
- [6] S. Davidson, S. Hannestad, G. Raffelt, JHEP0005, 003 (2000), hep-ph/0001179.
- S.L. Dubovsky, D.S. Gorbunov, G.I. Rubtsov, JETP Lett. **79**, 1 (2004), [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **79**, 3 (2004)], hep-ph/0311189.
- [8] E. Zavattini et al. (PVLAS Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110406 (2006).
- [9] K. Zioutas et al. (CAST Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 121301 (2005).
- [10] G.G. Raffelt, hep-ph/0611118.
- [11] See, for example: R.N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri, hep-ph/0610068; T. Fukuyama, T. Kikuchi, hep-ph/0608228; Yu. N. Gnedin, M.Yu. Piotrovich, T.M. Natsvlishvili, astro-ph/0607294; E. Masso, C. Rizzo, hep-ph/0610286; E. Masso, J. Redondo Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 151802 (2006); S.A. Abel, J. Jaeckel, V.V. Khoze, A. Ringwald, JHEP 0609, 074 (2006), hep-ph/0607188; P. Jain, S. Stokes, hep-ph/0611006; J. Redondo, hep-ph/0610213.
- [12] H. Gies, J. Jaeckel, A. Ringwald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 140402 (2006), hep-ph/0607118.
- [13] S.A. Abel, J. Jaeckel, V.V. Khoze, A. Ringwald, hep-ph/0608248.
- [14] P. Vogel and J. Engel, Phys. Rev. D 39, 3378 (1989).
- [15] C.Bemporad, G. Gratta, P. Vogel, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 297 (2002).
- [16] H.B. Li et al. (The TEXONO Collaboration), Phys. Rev.

Lett. 90, 151802 (2003).

- [17] V.V. Verbinski, H. Weber, R.E. Sund, Phys. Rev. C 7, 1173 (1973).
- [18] M. Altmann et al., Z. Phys. C 68, 221 (1995).
- [19] S.Eidelman et al., Review of Particle Physics, Phys. Lett. 592, 1 (2004).
- [20] A. Rubbia, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **39**, 129 (2006), hep-ph/0510320; L. Kaufmann, A. Rubbia, hep-ph/0611288.
- [21] S.I. Bityukov and N.V. Krasnikov, Mod. Phys. Lett A 13, 3235 (1998); hep-ph/0204326.
- [22] Z. Daraktchieva et al. (The MUNU Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 615, 153 (2005), hep-ex/0502037.
- [23] D.W. Liu et al. (The Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 021802 (2004), hep-ex/0402015.
- [24] See for example, R.N. Mohapatra, Siew-Phang Ng, Haibo Yu, Phys. Rev. D 70, 057301 (2004), hep-ph/0404274, and references therein.
- [25] S.N. Gninenko, N.V. Krasnikov, A. Rubbia, Phys. Rev. D 67, 075012 (2003), hep-ph/0302205; Mod. Phys. Lett. A 17, 1713 (2002); A. Rubbia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19, 3961 (2004), hep-ph/0402151; S.N. Gninenko, N.V. Krasnikov, V.A. Matveev, A. Rubbia, Phys. Part. and Nucl.37, 321 (2006).
- [26] G.S. Atoyan, S.N. Gninenko, V.I. Razin, Yu.V. Ryabov, Phys. Lett. B 220, 317 (1989).
- [27] T.Mitsui et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2265 (1993).
- [28] A. Badertsher et al., hep-ex/0609059, to appear in Phys. Rev. D.
- [29] H. Gies, J. Jaeckel, A. Ringwald, hep-ph/0608238.
- [30] S. Mitra, Phys. Rev. D 74, 043532 (2006), astro-ph/0605369.

Recently, a method based on the measuring of the electric current comprised of the millicharged particles produced in a cavity has been proposed [29], see also [30]. The millicharged particles, if they exist, contribute to the energy loss of the cavity and thus leave an imprint on the cavity's quality factor. Already conservative estimates performed in [29] show that this experiment will substantially bound the parameter space for  $\varepsilon$ -particles allowing firmly exclude ( or confirm) the region suggested by the PVLAS signal.

## Acknowledgments

We thank ETH Zürich, the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the INR Moscow for support given to this research. We would like to thank Prof. V. Matveev and Prof. V.A. Rubakov for useful remarks. S.N.G. is grateful to ETH Zürich for kind hospitality and support.