

Magnetic component of Yang-Mills plasma

M.N. Chernodub^{1,2} and V.I. Zakharov^{3,1}

¹*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B. Cheremushkinskaya 25, Moscow, 117218, Russia*

²*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan*

³*INFN, Dipartimento di Fisica Università di Pisa, Largo Pontecorvo 3, 56127 Pisa, Italy*

(Dated: November 17, 2006)

Confinement in non-Abelian gauge theories is commonly ascribed to percolation of magnetic monopoles, or strings in the vacuum. At the deconfinement phase transition the condensed magnetic degrees of freedom are released into gluon plasma as thermal magnetic monopoles. We point out that within the percolation picture lattice simulations can be used to estimate the monopole content of the gluon plasma. We show that right above the critical temperature the monopole density remains a constant function of temperature, as for a liquid, and then grows, like for a gas.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Mh, 25.75.Nq, 11.15.Ha, 12.38.Aw

I. INTRODUCTION

In this note we discuss magnetic component of the Yang-Mills plasma. As is well known, the properties of plasma turned out to be unexpected. In very brief, the plasma is similar rather to an ideal liquid than to a gluon gas interacting perturbatively [1]. Amusingly enough, many features of the plasma find their theoretical explanation in terms of a dual, or string formulation of Yang-Mills theories [2] which has been derived only in the limit of infinite number of colors and supersymmetry.

It is a challenge to uncover dynamical picture behind the observations on plasma. In particular, equation of state has been investigated in detail through lattice simulations both in case of $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ gauge theories [3]. It turns out that global characteristics of plasma are not in contradiction either with perturbation theory or with the string picture and do not provide much insight into the plasma dynamics.

Seemingly, infrared-sensitive variables are more selective as they can help identify specific degrees of freedom of the plasma. An example of such a variable is the viscosity η which turns to be very low [4], $\eta/s \approx 0.2$, where s is the entropy density. Other examples are the string tensions σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ of the spatial Wilson and 't Hooft loops,

$$\langle W_{\text{spatial}} \rangle \sim \exp(-\sigma A), \quad \langle H_{\text{spatial}} \rangle \sim \exp(-\tilde{\sigma} A), \quad (1)$$

respectively. Here A is the area of a minimal surface spanned on the corresponding contour.

Thus, we come to consider light degrees of freedom of the plasma. On the theoretical side, our guiding observation is that degrees of freedom condensed at $T = 0$ are becoming a light component of the thermal plasma at $T > T_c$. Since the confinement of color in non-Abelian theories is due to magnetic degrees of freedom [5, 6] the magnetic component is to be present in the Yang-Mills plasma as well. We argue that, within percolation picture, lattice simulations can be used to measure density of thermal magnetic monopoles. Existing data does confirm the release of the monopoles into plasma and allows us to obtain rough estimates of the magnetic content of the plasma.

II. ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC STRINGS

There are two types of strings, electric and magnetic. In the confining phase, both types of strings are closed in the vacuum. They can be open either on external quarks (Wilson line) or monopoles ('t Hooft line), respectively.

At $T = 0$, the electric strings have a non-zero tension, measured through the Wilson line. One of the earliest proposals for the mechanisms of deconfinement is percolation of electric strings [7]. Generically, percolation means that there is large (potential) energy and large entropy which cancel each other. Thus, within this mechanism it is long strings which become tensionless and percolate while short strings can be heavy [8]. Lattice data [9] provides evidence for large entropy of electric strings. Namely, the average of the Wilson line is directly related to free energy which in turn can be separated into potential energy and entropy:

$$\langle W \rangle \sim \exp(-F), \quad F = U - T(\partial F / \partial T). \quad (2)$$

It was observed [9] that the potential energy continues to grow above the phase transition, $T_c < T < 2T_c$ and the entropy reaches values of the order of 10.

On the lattice, the phenomenon of strong cancellation between energy and entropy was discovered first for magnetic strings [10]. At zero temperature magnetic strings percolate through the vacuum and provide disorder which causes confinement. Percolating strings are directly observable on the lattice and are known as center vortices [5]. Lattice data reveals that non-Abelian action associated with the strings is ultraviolet-divergent,

$$S_{\text{strings}} \sim \frac{(\text{Area})_{\text{strings}}}{a^2}, \quad (3)$$

where a is the lattice spacing. The total area of the strings, on the other hand, is a finite quantity in physical units, $\langle (\text{Area})_{\text{strings}} \rangle \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 \cdot V$. If there were no cancellation between the string energy and the string entropy, the area of the strings would be in lattice units as well.

Since there is no area law for the 't Hooft loop the

tension of the magnetic string vanishes,

$$\sigma_{\text{magnetic}}^{\text{class}} = 0 \quad (T = 0). \quad (4)$$

Within large- N_c dual formulations the condition (4) is satisfied explicitly [2].

Quantum mechanically, the lowest string mode is tachyonic. The tachyonic mode corresponds, in the lattice terminology, to the monopole condensation [6]. Since there is only a single tachyonic mode of the string, the string percolation can in fact be projected into percolation of monopoles. Namely, monopole trajectories are confined to the magnetic strings and cover densely their surfaces (see, in particular, [10] and references therein). This simplifies analysis since theory of percolation of trajectories is a much better developed discipline.

A link between percolation and field theory is provided by the polymer formulation of field theory in Euclidean space [11]. One starts with classical action of a free particle, $S_{\text{cl}} = M \cdot L$, where $M = M(a)$ is a mass parameter and L is the length of trajectory. By evaluating the Feynman path integral for the particle propagator one learns that the propagating (physical) mass is in fact

$$m_{\text{phys}}^2 \sim \frac{C_0}{a} \left(M(a) - \frac{C_{\text{entr}}}{a} \right), \quad (5)$$

where the constants are known explicitly for a particular (lattice, for example) regularization. The tachyonic case corresponds, as usual, to $m_{\text{phys}}^2 < 0$. The tachyonic mode is manifested as an infinite, or percolating cluster. To ensure $m_{\text{phys}}^2 \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$ the bare mass parameter $M(a)$ and the entropy factor, C_{entr}/a in Eq. (5) are to be fine tuned. This, crucial condition of fine tuning between energy and entropy is satisfied for the lattice monopoles [10].

Further applications of percolation theory to lattice monopoles are discussed in detail in Refs. [12, 13]. As it turns out, percolation of the monopole at short distances can be understood within the approximation (5). On the other hand, at large distances the properties of the monopole trajectories correspond to a constrained system [12]. Observationally, the constraint is that the monopoles live on two-dimensional surfaces, or strings, for a review see [13].

III. WRAPPED TRAJECTORIES

It is a generic field-theoretic phenomenon that particles which are virtual at $T = 0$, are becoming real at finite temperature and released into the thermal plasma. In our case, there exists a tachyonic monopole mode which is to disappear at the point of the phase transition, with magnetic strings emerging into the plasma [14]. In order to realize a tempting opportunity to check this picture from the first principles offered by Euclidean lattice simulations, one should be able to distinguish between real and virtual particles in the Euclidean formulation of the theory. This is not an easy task because even real particles in the Euclidean space are off-mass-shell.

Let us first address the problem of detection of real particles in lattice simulations in case of a free scalar field (for a related discussion see Refs. [15, 16]). Since the monopoles are observed as closed trajectories on the lattice, it is appropriate to utilize the polymer representation [11]. At zero temperature a typical monopole ensemble consists of a large number of finite clusters of the monopole trajectories corresponding to virtual particles and one infinite cluster associated with condensed (tachyonic) monopoles. As temperature increases the infinite cluster disappears since the monopole condensate vanishes at the deconfinement temperature. We point out that the monopole condensate melts down into the real thermal particles, which contribute to the magnetic content of the thermal plasma.

In the imaginary time formalism the finite temperature T is imposed via a compactification of the time direction, x_4 into a circle of length $1/T$. In other words, the points

$$x = (\mathbf{x}, x_4 + s/T), \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (6)$$

are identified. In the language of trajectories the integer number s has meaning of the wrapping number. It is rather obvious that properties of thermal particles are encoded in the wrapped trajectories with $s \neq 0$, and the virtual particles are non-wrapped, $s = 0$.

The propagator of a scalar particle is given by

$$G(x - y) \propto \sum_{P_{x,y}} e^{-S_{\text{cl}}[P_{x,y}]}, \quad (7)$$

where the sum is over all trajectories $P_{x,y}$ connecting points x and y . Explicit evaluation of the propagator at a finite temperature T is a straightforward generalization of the $T = 0$ case. The propagator in momentum space,

$$\mathcal{G}_s(\mathbf{p}) = \int d^3\mathbf{x} e^{-i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x})} G(\mathbf{x}, t = s/T) \quad (8)$$

leads us to the thermal distribution of the particles

$$f_T(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{G}^{\text{wr}}(\mathbf{p})}{\mathcal{G}^{\text{vac}}(\mathbf{p})}, \quad \mathcal{G}^{\text{wr}} \equiv \sum_{s \neq 0} \mathcal{G}_s, \quad \mathcal{G}^{\text{vac}} \equiv \mathcal{G}_0, \quad (9)$$

given by the Bose-Einstein formula $f_T = 1/(e^{\omega_{\mathbf{p}}/T} - 1)$ where $\omega_{\mathbf{p}} = (\mathbf{p}^2 + m_{\text{phys}}^2)^{1/2}$.

Equation (9) demonstrates that wrapped trajectories in the Euclidean space correspond to real particles in Minkowski space. However, the normalization to the perturbative $T = 0$ propagator, $\mathcal{G}^{\text{vac}} = 4/(a^2 \omega_{\mathbf{p}})$, is awkward since it depends explicitly on the lattice spacing. Also, we do not expect in fact that the magnetic fluctuations of the Yang-Mills plasma – as probed by the lattice monopoles – correspond to free particles. As it is emphasized above, the monopoles represent only a component of the whole plasma and their properties are to be constrained by the environment.

Moreover, one can explicitly show that the average number of wrappings s in a time slice of volume V_{3d} is

most directly related to the density of real particles

$$\rho(T) = n_{\text{wr}} = \frac{1}{V_{3d}} \langle |s| \rangle, \quad (10)$$

where, in the case of the free particles,

$$\rho(T) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{N_{\text{d.f.}}}{e^{(\omega_{\mathbf{p}} + \mu)/T} - 1}, \quad (11)$$

and μ is the (positively-defined) chemical potential controlling the particle number. A similar relation is known for a gas of non-relativistic scalar particles [16] and goes back to the Feynman's theory of λ -transition in ^4He [17].

The interaction of the monopoles with the environment is expressed, in particular, in the number of effective degrees of freedom $N_{\text{d.f.}}$ and in the non-zero chemical potential μ to be discussed below. We will treat Eq. (10) as definition of the density of thermal particles in the imaginary time formalism.

Thus, the density of the thermal particles $\rho(T)$ corresponds to the vacuum expectation of the *number* of the wrapped loops. By measuring the number of wrappings (in the Euclidean space) one can learn density of real particles at finite temperature (in Minkowski space).

IV. CONDENSATE-LIQUID-GAS CHAIN

A change of the character of the monopole trajectories in Euclidean lattice simulations near the point of the phase transition has been observed and discussed in many papers, see, in particular, [15, 18]. The change, indeed, is disappearance of the percolating cluster and emergence of wrapped trajectories [19]. Quantitatively, only a part of the percolating (tachyonic) cluster goes into the wrapped monopole trajectories, while the other part is released into the vacuum as virtual particles,

$$\text{condensate} \rightarrow \text{thermal} + \text{virtual}. \quad (12)$$

The existence of the channel “condensate \rightarrow virtual” is not unexpected as there is a well-known analogy of this effect in the Georgi-Glashow model in four space-time dimensions. An extreme limit of this model, known as the pure $U(1)$ gauge with compact gauge field, possesses a confinement–deconfinement phase transition at zero temperature. In the course of this transition the tachyonic monopole cluster decays into small monopole loops, and thus all condensate becomes dissolved into the virtual particles in this field-theoretical example [20].

We conclude that, qualitatively, there is little doubt that at $T = T_c$ there is transition of a part of the tachyonic mode into degrees of freedom of the thermal plasma. This is a spectacular phenomenon by itself and is a proof of reality of a magnetic component of the gluon plasma.

To be quantitative, we need a detailed lattice data on the wrapped trajectories. In case of pure $SU(2)$ gauge theory there is relevant data in literature, see, in particular, Refs. [15, 18]. The most detailed data [18] refers,

however, rather to the length density than to the number density (10) of the wrapped trajectories

$$\rho_{wr} \equiv \frac{L_{wr}}{V_{4d}} \quad V_{4d} \equiv \frac{V_{3d}}{T}, \quad (13)$$

where L_{wr} is the total length of the wrapped trajectories in the lattice volume V_{4d} . The expression for the density of the wrapping number which enters the relation (10) can be obtained from (13) by replacing the total length of the wrapped trajectories L_{wr} by its projection on the time axis. The two definitions coincide in the limit of static trajectories. In reality, the approximation of static trajectories for the wrapped loops is reasonable. Also, it has been checked [18] that the density (13) is independent on the lattice spacing and scales in the physical units, as is expected for the density of the wrapping number. Thus, we use the data [18] on the wrapped trajectories to estimate the density of real monopoles in plasma as function of temperature.

The data indicates existence of two distinct regions in the deconfinement phase: the first region covers the range of temperatures $T_c < T < 2T_c$, and the second region corresponds to the higher temperatures $T > 2T_c$. In the first region the density of the thermal monopoles is almost insensitive to the temperature [15, 18]

$$\frac{\rho(T)}{T_c^3} \approx 1 \quad (T_c < T < 2T_c). \quad (14)$$

The numerical value of the density – corresponding, approximately, to 3.5 monopoles per cubic fermi – is comparable to the density of monopoles in the monopole condensate which amounts to approximately 7.5 monopoles per cubic fermi at zero temperature [21]. Thus, a sizable fraction of the $T = 0$ condensate is released at the transition region into the vacuum as thermal particles.

It is instructive to compare the ratio (14) with a limit of free relativistic particles. For such a simplified system the ratio (14) at $T = T_c$ would be equal or smaller than a well-known number, $\zeta(3)/\pi^2 \approx 0.12$. Thus, the monopole medium just above the critical temperature is an order of magnitude denser than the ideal gas estimate. This fact along with the observation that the monopole density is independent on temperature allows us to conclude that in the region $T_c < T < 2T_c$ the monopoles form a dense (magnetic) liquid.

There is another radical difference between the magnetic monopole constituents of the Yang-Mills plasma and free particles. For free particles, the wrapped trajectories are not static at all. Moreover, the density of the wrapped trajectories diverges at small lattice spacings a as $\rho_{wr}^{\text{free}} \sim T^2/a$. On the other hand, the available lattice data [18] provides no indication of such a divergence and this can be explained only by specific constraints, encoded, for example, in chemical potential for the monopole trajectories, or in the constraints that monopole trajectories belong to surfaces, see also [12].

Starting from $T \approx 2T_c$ the density of particles associated with the wrapped trajectories starts to grow,

$$\rho(T) \approx (0.25 T)^3 \quad (T > 2T_c), \quad (15)$$

where we drop subleading terms in the asymptotic limit $T \rightarrow \infty$. If we again compare (15) with the ideal gas case, then in the asymptotic limit the monopoles take much less than one degree of freedom. Therefore from this estimate one could expect that at asymptotically high temperatures the contribution of monopoles to the equation of state is small compared to perturbative gluons [22].

At high temperature, one can apply dimensional reduction [23]. According to the dimensional reduction, non-perturbative physics is confined to 3d magnetodynamics which corresponds to zero Matsubara frequency of the original 4d theory. Note that in terms of the monopole trajectories restriction to the zero Matsubara frequency implies that the wrapped trajectories become static. And indeed trajectories of 4d monopoles which we are considering here become static at higher temperature. According to Ref. [24, 25] description of monopoles in terms of 3d, or static trajectories and 4d wrapped trajectories match each other at $T \approx 2.4T_c$.

Matching with the dimensional reduction allows for an important consistency check of Eq. (10). Namely, as far as the monopole trajectories are static and, consequently, non-intersecting they could be treated within a 3d theory [26] utilizing methods of [27]. Then the density (10) is equivalent to counting of monopoles trajectories. This procedure is true also in case of strong interaction between the monopoles provided they are static.

According to the dimensional reduction the monopole density is proportional to

$$\rho(T) = C_\rho g_{3d}^6(T) \propto \left(\frac{T}{\log T/\Lambda} \right)^3 \quad T \gg T_c, \quad (16)$$

where C_ρ is a temperature-independent parameter and $\Lambda \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ is a dimensional parameter. Numerically, Eqs. (15) and (16) are compatible with each other within a limited accuracy of the available lattice data [18]. The temperature dependence exhibited by Eq (16) can be reproduced by Eq. (10) provided that there exists temperature-dependent chemical potential [22]

$$\mu \sim T \log g_{4d}^{-6}(T) \sim 3T \log \log T/\Lambda, \quad (17)$$

which suppresses the monopole density (11) by the logarithmic factor, $\exp\{-\mu/T\} \sim g_{4d}^6(T) \sim 1/\log^3(T/\Lambda)$.

The gas of the monopoles satisfies the Coulomb-like relation for a dimensionless quantity

$$C_{\text{sp}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{sp}}(T)}{\lambda_D(T)\rho(T)} \sim 8, \quad T \gtrsim 2.5T_c, \quad (18)$$

both in the original [24] and the dimensionally reduced [26] treatments of the monopole dynamics. The magnetic screening length $\lambda_D(T)$ is a non-perturbative quantity describing a screening of the magnetic gluons in

the Yang-Mills plasma. Note that in order to explain the observed magnetic screening the monopole gas cannot be treated as weak-interacting even at the asymptotically high temperatures [26] despite the logarithmic suppression (16) of the monopole density (see also Refs. [28, 29] for a related discussion).

Thus, the evolution of the magnetic component of the Yang-Mills vacuum can schematically be represented as

$$\text{condensate} \xRightarrow{(T < T_c)} \text{magnetic liquid} \xRightarrow{(T_c < T < 2T_c)} \text{gas} \xRightarrow{(T > 2T_c)} \quad (19)$$

In the confinement region the magnetic monopoles constitute a colorless tachyonic state known as the monopole condensate while in the deconfinement state they form at first a magnetized liquid and then a gas.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, let us emphasize analogy between phenomena in Yang-Mills theory with physics of superfluidity. In case of, say, liquid helium there exist a superfluid and ordinary components of liquid. With increasing temperature particles from the superfluid component are transferred to the ordinary-liquid component, see, e.g., [30]. This is an analogy to the deconfinement phase transition with vacuum condensate vanishing and magnetic degrees of freedom being released into the plasma. In terms of the monopole trajectories the transition is from percolation in all directions to time-oriented trajectories. The total density of percolating and wrapped trajectories remains approximately the same, again in analogy with superfluid liquid.

The “ordinary-magnetic-liquid” component of the Yang-Mills plasma might control long-range correlations and be responsible for low viscosity of the plasma. There is another, infrared sensitive variable mentioned above which is related to the magnetic strings, also in the deconfining phase. We mean tension of the spatial Wilson loop, for references see [5]. The tension associated with the spatial 't Hooft line is presumably related to the properties of the percolating electric strings.

At higher temperature, $T > 2T_c$ the density of the magnetic component grows and approaches perturbative regime, $\rho(T) \sim T^3$. This is analog of evaporation of the liquid. One might expect that beginning with temperatures $T \approx 2T_c$ the properties of plasma change gradually towards predictions of perturbation theory.

Acknowledgments

M.N.Ch. and V.I.Z. are supported by the JSPS grants No. L-06514, S-06032, respectively, and are thankful to the members of Institute for Theoretical Physics of Kanazawa University for the kind hospitality and stimulating environment. We are thankful to A. Di Gia-

-
- [1] For a recent review and further references see E.V. Shuryak, “*Strongly Coupled Quark-Gluon Plasma: The Status Report*”, hep-ph/0608177.
- [2] For review see I. R. Klebanov, “*QCD and string theory*”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **21** (2006) 1831 [hep-ph/0509087]; O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, Y. Oz, “*Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*”, *Phys. Rept.* **323** (2000) 183 [hep-th/9905111].
- [3] For review and references see F. Karsch, “*Properties of the Quark Gluon Plasma: A Lattice perspective*”, hep-ph/0610024; U. M. Heller, “*Recent progress in finite temperature lattice QCD*”, hep-lat/0610114.
- [4] D. Teaney, “*The Effects of viscosity on spectra, elliptic flow, and HBT radii*”, *Phys. Rev. C* **68** (2003) 034913 [nucl-th/0301099]; A. Nakamura, S. Sakai, “*Transport coefficients of gluon plasma*” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 072305 (2005) [hep-lat/0406009].
- [5] For review and references see J. Greensite, “*The Confinement problem in lattice gauge theory*”, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **51** (2003) [hep-lat/0301023].
- [6] For a recent review see A. Di Giacomo, “*Confinement in QCD: Results and open problems*”, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B36** (2005) 3723 [hep-lat/0510065].
- [7] A.M. Polyakov, “*Thermal Properties Of Gauge Fields And Quark Liberation*”, *Phys. Lett.* **72B** (1978) 477.
- [8] “Short” electric strings are usually viewed as glueballs with lowest masses. And, indeed, there is no sign that any glueball is becoming massless near $T = T_c$.
- [9] O. Kaczmarek et al., “*Heavy quark free energies and the renormalized Polyakov loop in full QCD*”, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **153** (2004) 287 [hep-lat/0312015].
- [10] F. V. Gubarev et al., “*Fine tuned vortices in lattice SU(2) gluodynamics*”, *Phys. Lett.* **B574** (2003) 136 [hep-lat/0212003]; V.G. Bornyakov et al., “*Anatomy of the lattice magnetic monopoles*”, *ibid.* **B537** (2002) 291 [hep-lat/0103032].
- [11] J. Ambjorn, “*Quantization of geometry*”, hep-th/9411179.
- [12] M.N. Chernodub, V.I. Zakharov, “*Towards understanding structure of the monopole clusters*”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B669** (2003) 233 [hep-th/0211267]; V.G. Bornyakov, P.Yu. Boyko, M.I. Polikarpov, V.I. Zakharov, “*Monopole clusters at short and large distances*”, *ibid.* **B672** (2003) 222 [hep-lat/0305021].
- [13] V.I. Zakharov, “*Dual string from lattice Yang-Mills theory*”, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **756** (2005) 182 [hep-ph/0501011].
- [14] Plasma with both magnetic and electric charges was recently considered in [28, 29]. In more detail, monopoles introduced in [28, 29] are adjoint objects, thus they are not related to the vacuum properties at zero temperature because condensation of such monopoles would break the color. Moreover, there is no local Lorentz-invariant field theory which unifies both magnetic and electric charges. On the other hand, the non-Abelian field of the lattice monopoles, discussed in the present article, is aligned with their trajectories. It is more adequate to think of the lattice monopoles as of building blocks of strings, see, e.g., [13], and there is no “no-go theorem” for such objects.
- [15] V.G. Bornyakov, V.K. Mitryushkin, M. Muller-Preussker, “*Deconfinement transition and Abelian monopoles in SU(2) lattice gauge theory*”, *Phys. Lett.* **B284** (1992) 99.
- [16] S. Bund, A. M. J. Schakel, “*String picture of Bose-Einstein condensation*”, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **13** (1999) 349 [cond-mat/9905214].
- [17] R. P. Feynman, “*Atomic Theory of the λ -Transition in Helium*”, *Phys. Rev.* **91** (1953) 1291, “*Atomic Theory of Liquid Helium Near Absolute Zero*”, *ibid.* 1301.
- [18] S. Ejiri, “*Monopoles and spatial string tension in the high temperature phase of SU(2) QCD*”, *Phys. Lett.* **B376** (1996) 163 [hep-lat/9510027].
- [19] This change in the infrared behavior of the monopoles takes place at the background of finite monopole clusters which evolve smoothly at $T \approx T_c$ as they correspond to virtual perturbative particles in the both phases.
- [20] T. Banks, R. Myerson, J. B. Kogut, “*Phase Transitions In Abelian Lattice Gauge Theories*”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B129** (1977) 493.
- [21] V. G. Bornyakov, E. M. Ilgenfritz, M. Mueller-Preussker, “*Universality check of abelian monopoles*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D72** (2005) 054511 [hep-lat/0507021].
- [22] This can be interpreted as evaporation of magnetic strings into gluons at high temperature.
- [23] P. H. Ginsparg, “*First Order And Second Order Phase Transitions In Gauge Theories At Finite Temperature*”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B170** (1980) 388; T. Appelquist, R. D. Pisarski, “*High-Temperature Yang-Mills Theories And Three-Dimensional Quantum Chromodynamics*”, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 2305.
- [24] M. N. Chernodub, K. Ishiguro, T. Suzuki, “*Blocking of lattice monopoles from the continuum in hot lattice gluodynamics*”, *JHEP* **0309** (2003) 027 [hep-lat/0204003].
- [25] S. Ejiri, S. i. Kitahara, Y. Matsubara, T. Suzuki, “*String tension and monopoles in T not = 0 SU(2) QCD*”, *Phys. Lett.* **B343**, 304 (1995) [hep-lat/9407022]; K. Ishiguro, T. Suzuki, T. Yazawa, “*Effective Monopole Action at Finite Temperature in SU(2) Gluodynamics*”, *ibid.* **B397** (1997) 216 [hep-lat/0112022].
- [26] M.N. Chernodub, K. Ishiguro, T. Suzuki, “*Monopole gas in three dimensional SU(2) gluodynamics*”, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **112** (2004) 1033 [hep-lat/0407040].
- [27] A. M. Polyakov, “*Quark Confinement And Topology Of Gauge Groups*”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B120** (1977) 429.
- [28] C.P. Korthals Altes, “*Magnetic monopoles in hot QCD*”, hep-ph/0607154.
- [29] Jinfeng Liao, E. Shuryak, “*Strongly coupled plasma with electric and magnetic charges*”, hep-ph/0611131.
- [30] E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevskii, “*Statistical Physics, part 2*”, Volume 9 of the Landau and Lifshitz Course of Theoretical Physics, Pergamon Press, chapter III.