SEARCH FOR NEW PHYSICS IN RARE D DECAYS

SVJETLANA FAJFER AND SASA PRELOVSEK

Department of Physics, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana and

J. Stefan Institute, Jamova 39, P. O. Box 300, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia

E-mail: svjetlana.fajfer@ijs.si, sasa.prelovsek@ijs.si

Talk given by S. Fajfer at International Conference On High Energy Physics (ICHEP 06) 26 Jul-2 Aug 2006, Moscow, Russia

In many extensions of the standard model an additional up-like heavy quark appears. Its appearance induces flavour changing neutral current transition in the up-quark region at the tree level. We investigate possible effects of these models in the $c \rightarrow ul^+l^-$ transitions. First we determine impact of new physics on the relevant Wilson coefficients and then we reevaluate the standard model long-distance contributions. We calculate differential branching ratio for the $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ decays. Among all D decay modes these two are the simplest ones for the experimental studies. We also determine the forward-backward asymmetry for the $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ decay and we comment on the effects of the Littlest Higgs model in both decay modes.

Keywords: D decays, FCNC, heavy up-like quark.

At low-energies new physics is usually expected in the down-like quark sector. Numerous studies of new physics effects were performed in the $s \rightarrow d$, $b \rightarrow s(d)$, $\bar{s}d \leftrightarrow \bar{d}s$, $\bar{b}d \leftrightarrow \bar{d}b$ and $\bar{b}s \leftrightarrow \bar{s}b$ transitions.

However, searches for new physics in the up-like quark sector at low energies were not so attractive. Reasons are following: a) flavour changing neutral current processes at loop level in the standard model suffer from the GIM cancellation leading to very small effects in the $c \rightarrow u$ transitions. The GIM mechanism acts in many extensions of the standard model too, making contributions of new physics insignificant. b) Most of the charm meson processes, where $c \rightarrow u$ and $c\bar{u} \leftrightarrow \bar{c}u$ transitions might occur are dominated by the standard model long-distance contributions ¹ - ⁹.

On the experimental side there are many studies of rare charm meson decays. The first observed rare D meson decay was the radiative weak decay $D \rightarrow \phi \gamma$. Its rate $BR(D \rightarrow \phi \gamma) = 2.6^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-5}$ has been measured by Belle collaboration ¹⁰ and hopefully other radiative weak charm decays will be observed soon¹¹.

In the standard model (SM) ¹ the contribution coming from the penguin diagrams in $c \rightarrow u\gamma$ transition gives branching ratio of order 10^{-18} . The QCD corrected effective Lagrangian ¹² gives BR($c \rightarrow u\gamma$) $\simeq 3 \times 10^{-8}$. A variety of models beyond SM were investigated and it was found that the gluino exchange diagrams ¹³ within general minimal supersymmetric SM (MSSM) might lead to the enhancement

$$\frac{BR(c \to u\gamma)_{MSSM}}{BR(c \to u\gamma)_{SM}} \simeq 10^2.$$
 (1)

The inclusive $c \rightarrow ul^+l^-$ calculated at one-loop level in SM ⁷ was found to be suppressed by QCD corrections ². The inclusion of the renormalization group equations for the Wilson coefficients gave an additional significant suppression ⁸ leading to the rates $\Gamma(c \rightarrow ue^+e^-)/\Gamma_{D^0} = 2.4 \times 10^{-10}$ and $\Gamma(c \rightarrow u\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{D^0} = 0.5 \times 10^{-10}$. These transitions are largely driven by virtual photon at low dilepton mass m_{ll} .

The leading contribution to $c \rightarrow u l^+ l^$ in general MSSM with conserved R parity

moscow

$\mathbf{2}$

comes from the one-loop diagram with gluino and squarks in the loop ^{2,7,13}. It proceeds via virtual photon and significantly enhances the $c \rightarrow ul^+l^-$ spectrum at small dilepton mass m_{ll} . The authors of Ref. ² have investigated supersymmetric (SUSY) extension of the SM with R parity breaking and they found that it can modify the rate. Using most recent CLEO ¹¹ results for the $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\mu^+\mu^$ one can set the bound for the product of the relevant parameters entering the R parity violating $\tilde{\lambda}'_{22k}\tilde{\lambda}'_{21k} \simeq 0.001$ (assuming that the mass of squark $M_{\tilde{D}_k} \simeq 100$ GeV). This bound gives the rates $BR_R(c \rightarrow ue^+e^-) \simeq$ 1.6×10^{-8} and $BR_R(c \rightarrow u\mu^+\mu^-) \simeq 1.8 \times$ 10^{-8} .

Some of models of new physics (NP) contain un extra up-like heavy quark inducing the flavour changing neutral currents at tree level for the up-quark sector 14,15,16,17,18 . The isospin component of the weak neutral current is given in 14 as

$$J_{W^3}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{U}_L^m \gamma^{\mu} \Omega U_L^m - \frac{1}{2} \bar{D}_L^m \gamma^{\mu} D_L^m \qquad (2)$$

with $L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)$ and mass eigenstates $U_L^m = (u_L, c_L, t_L, T_L)^T, D_L^m = (d_L, s_L, b_L)^T.$ The neutral current for the down-like guarks is the same as in the SM, while there are treelevel flavour changing transitions between up-quarks if $\Omega \neq I$. The elements of 4×4 matrix Ω can be constrained by CKM unitarity violations currently allowed by experimental data. Even more stringent bound on cuZ coupling Ω_{uc} comes from the present bound on Δm in $D^0 - \overline{D}^0$ transition. It gives $|\Omega_{uc}| \leq 0.0004$ and we use the upper bound to determine the maximal effect on rare Ddecays in what follows. In this case the dilepton mass distribution of the $c \to u l^+ l^-$ differential branching ratio can be enhanced by two orders of magnitude in comparison with SM (see Fig.1).

A particular version of the model with tree-level up-quark FCNC transitions is the Littlest Higgs model ¹⁹. In this case the magnitude of the relevant $c \to uZ$ coupling $\Omega_{cu} = |V_{ub}||V_{cb}|v^2/f^2 \leq 10^{-5}$ is even further constrained via the scale $f \geq \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ TeV})$ by the precision electro-weak data. The smallness of Ω_{uc} implies that the effect of this particular model on $c \to ul^+l^-$ decay and relevant rare D decays is insignificant ¹⁴.

The study of exclusive D meson rare decay modes is very difficult due to the dominance of the long distance effects ¹ - ⁶. The inclusive $c \rightarrow ul^+l^-$ can be tested in the rare decays $D \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$, $D \rightarrow P(V)l^+l^{-2,7,3}$.

The branching ratio for the rare decay $D \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ is very small in the SM. The detailed treatment of this decay rate ² gives $Br(D \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-13}$ ². This decay rate can be enhanced within a study which considers SUSY with R parity breaking effects ^{2,9}. Using the bound $\tilde{\lambda}'_{22k}\tilde{\lambda}'_{21k} \simeq 0.001$ one obtains the limit $Br(D \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)_R \simeq 4 \times 10^{-7}$.

The $D \to P(V)l^+l^-$ decays offer another possibility to study the $c \to ul^+l^-$ transition in charm sector. The most appropriate decay modes for the experimental searches are $D^+ \to \pi^+l^+l^-$ and $D^0 \to \rho^0 e^+ e^-$. In the following we present the possible maximal effect on these decays coming from general model with tree level cuZ coupling at its upper bound $|\Omega_{uc}| = 0.0004$. We already pointed out that in Littlest Higgs model, which is a particular version of these models, the coupling Ω_{uc} is constrained to be smaller and the effects on rare D decays are insignificant ¹⁴.

The calculations of the long distance contributions in the decays $D^+ \to \pi^+ l^+ l^$ and $D^0 \to \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ are presented in Refs. ^{14,6,7}. The contributions of the intermediate vector resonances $V_0 = \rho^0, \omega, \phi$ with $V_0 \to l^+ l^-$ constitute an important longdistance contribution to the hadronic decay, which may shadow interesting short-distance contribution induced by $c \to u l^+ l^-$ transition.

Our determination of short and long dis-

moscow

tance contributions to $D^+ \to \pi^+ l^+ l^-$ takes advantage of the available experimental data ¹⁴. This is a fortunate circumstance for this particular decay since the analogous experimental input is not available for determination of the other $D \to X l^+ l^-$ rates in a similar way. The rate resulting from the amplitudes (14) and (19) of ¹⁴ with $|\Omega_{uc}| = 0.0004$ are given in Figure 2 and Table 1.

We are unable to determine the amplitude of the long-distance contribution to $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 V_0 \rightarrow \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ using the measured rates for $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 V_0$ since only the rate of $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \phi$ is known experimentally. We are forced to use a model ⁶, developed to describe all $D \rightarrow V l^+ l^-$ and $D \rightarrow V \gamma$ decays, and the resulting rates are presented in Figure 3 and Table 1.

Therefore, the total rates for $D \rightarrow X l^+ l^-$ are dominated by the long distance resonant contributions at dilepton mass $m_{ll} = m_{\rho}, m_{\omega}, m_{\phi}$ and even the largest contributions from new physics are not expected to affect the total rate significantly ^{2,7}. New physics could only modify the SM differential spectrum at low m_{ll} below ρ or spectrum at high m_{ll} above ϕ . In the case of $D \rightarrow \pi l^+ l^-$ differential decay distribution there is a broad region at high m_{ll} (see Fig. 2), which presents a unique possibility to study $c \rightarrow u l^+ l^-$ transition ^{7,14}.

The non-zero forward-backward asymmetry in $D \to \rho l^+ l^-$ decay arises only when $C_{10} \neq 0$ (assuming $m_l \to 0$). The enhancement of the C_{10} in the NP models ¹⁴ is due to the tree-level $\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu c_L Z^\mu$ coupling and leads to nonzero asymmetry $A_{FB}(m_{ll}^2)$ shown in Fig. 4. The forward-backward asymmetry for $D^0 \to \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ vanishes in SM ($C_{10} \simeq 0$), while it is reaching $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ in NP model with the extra up-like quark as shown in Fig. 4. Such asymmetry is still small and difficult to be seen in the present or planned experiments given that the rate itself is already small.

We have investigated impact of the treelevel flavor changing neutral transition $c \rightarrow uZ$ on the rare D meson decay observables. However, the most suitable $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ decays are found to be dominated by the SM long distance contributions. Only small enhancement of the differential mass distribution can be seen in the case of $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$ decay at high dilepton mass and tiny forward backward asymmetry can be induced by new physics in $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 l^+ l^-$ decay.

We conclude that the new physics scenarios which contain an extra singlet heavy



Fig. 1. The dilepton mass distribution dBr/dm_{ee}^2 for the inclusive decay $c \rightarrow ul^+l^-$ as a function of the dilepton mass square $m_{ee}^2 = (p_+ + p_-)^2$.



Fig. 2. The dilepton mass distribution dBr/dm_{ee}^2 for $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-$.

4

Br	short distance contribution only		total rate \simeq long distance contr.	experiment
	SM	SM + NP		
$D^+ ightarrow \pi^+ e^+ e^-$	6×10^{-12}	8×10^{-9}	$1.9 imes 10^{-6}$	$< 7.4 imes 10^{-6}$
$D^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	6×10^{-12}	8×10^{-9}	1.9×10^{-6}	$< 8.8 \times 10^{-6}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 e^+ e^-$	negligible	5×10^{-10}	1.6×10^{-7}	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$
$D^0 \to \rho^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	negligible	5×10^{-10}	$1.5 imes 10^{-7}$	$<2.2\times10^{-5}$

Table 1. Branching ratios for decays in which $c \to u l^+ l^-$ transition can be probed.

up-like quark, have rather small effects on References the charm meson observables.



Fig. 3. The dilepton mass distribution for D^0 \rightarrow $\rho^0 e^+ e^-$.



Fig. 4. The forward-backward asymmetry for $D^0 \rightarrow$ $\rho^0 e^+ e^-$.

- 1. G. Burdman, E. Golowich, J. Hewett and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. D52, 6383 (1995).
- 2. G. Burdman, E. Golowich, J. Hewett and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. D66, 014009 (2002).
- 3. G. Burdman and I. Shipsey, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 53, 431 (2003).
- 4. S. Pakvasa, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 142, 115 (2005).
- 5. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovsek, P. Singer, Eur. Phys. J. C6, 471 (1999).
- 6. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovsek, P. Singer, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 094038 (1998).
- 7. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovsek, P. Singer, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 114009 (2001).
- 8. S. Fajfer, P. Singer, J. Zupan, Eur. Phys.J. C27, 201 (2003).
- 9. S. Bianco, F.L. Fabbri, D. Benson and I. Bigi, Riv. Nuovo Cim. 26 N7, 1 (2003).
- 10. O. Tajima et al., BELLE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 101803 (2004).
- 11. Q. He et al., CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 221802 (2005).
- 12. C. Greub, T. Hurth, M. Misiak and D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* **B382**, 415 (1996); Q. Ho Kim and X.Y. Pham, Phys. Rev. D61, 013008 (2000).
- 13. S. Prelovsek and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. **B500**, 304 (2001).
- 14. S. Fajfer and Sasa Prelovsek, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 054026 (2006).
- 15. V. Barger, M. S. Berger and R.J. N. Phillips, Phys. Rev. D52, 1663 (1995).
- 16. P. Langacker and D. London, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 1988 (.)
- 17. S.A. Abel, J. Santiago, M. Masip, JHEP 04, 057(2003).
- 18. K. Higuchi and K. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D62, 03005 (2000).
- 19. Lae Yong Lee, JHEP 0412, 065 (2004).