Is X(3872) a possible candidate of hybrid meson

Bing An Li

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky

Lexington, KY 40506, USA

Abstract

A study of the possibility of X(3872) as a hybrid state of $c\bar{c}g$ is presented. The associate productions of X(3872) and J/ψ in hadron collisions and flavor Independence of hadrons in $ee^+ \to X(3872) + hadrons$ and the decays $X(3872) \to \gamma + hadrons$ are discussed. It is pointed out that $X(3872) \to J/\psi + \sigma$ could be a significant decay channel.

X(3872) has been discovered by the Belle Collaboration[1] in the channel

$$B^{\pm} \to X(3872)K^{\pm}, \ X(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^{+} \pi^{-}.$$

The mass of X has been determined to be

$$m_X = 3872.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.5 MeV.$$

The decay width of X(3872) is less than 2.3 MeV at 90% C.L.[1]. This discovery has been confirmed by CDF[2], D0[3], and BABAR[4] Collaborations. Different theoretical conjectures have been proposed for X(3872): a charmonium state[5], a molecular state[6], and a hybrid charmonium state[7]. However, there are challenges from experimental measurements. Charmonium state should have a large radiative transition rate, $X(3872) \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\gamma$, which has not been observed by Belle[1]. The molecular state, $D\bar{D}^*$, besides neutral state there should be charged molecular states, X^{\pm} , which decay to $J/\psi\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}$. Recently, the BABAR Collaboration[8] has reported the measurements

$$B(\bar{B}^0/B^0 \to X^\pm K^\mp, X^\pm \to J/\psi \pi^\pm \pi^0) < 5.8 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$B(B^{\pm} \to X^{\pm} K_S^0, X^{\pm} \to J/\psi \pi^{\pm} \pi^0) < 11 \times 10^{-6}$$

at 90% level. Charged X^\pm have not been found.

On the other hand, the BABAR results implicate if $\pi^+\pi^-$ in the decay X(3872)

 $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ comes from a isovector, ρ^0 , then

$$B(B\to X^\pm K, X^\pm \to J/\psi \rho^\pm) = 2B(B\to XK, X\to J/\psi \rho^0) = 2(1.28\pm 0.41)\times 10^{-5}$$

which is much greater than BABAR's experimental results[8]. Therefore, X(3872) is not a isovector. To confirm the isoscalar state of $\pi^+\pi^-$ it is necessary to search for $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$ and to see whether

$$B(B \to X(3872)K, X(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0) = 0.5 \times B(B \to X(3872)K, X(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)$$
$$= 0.5 \times (1.28 \pm 0.41) \times 10^{-5}.$$

In this letter possible tests of X(3872) as a $c\bar{c}g$ hybrid state are studied. According to Ref.[7], if X(3872) is a $c\bar{c}g$ hybrid state the dominant strong decay mode is $X(3872) \to J/\psi + gg, gg \to \pi^+\pi^-$. The G-parity of X(3872) is -1. Obviously, in the chiral limit ρ meson cannot be produced by two gluons. Therefore, BABAR's measurements[8] are supported by the mechanism of $X(3872) \to J/\psi + gg$. The decay mode $X(3872) \to J/\psi + \sigma$ is allowed by this mechanism. The measurement of $X(3872) \to \sigma$ is significant. Recently, BELLE[9] has reported a new measurement

$$B \to X(3872)K, X \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0.$$

" $X \to J/\psi\omega$ occur via virtual ω 's and the 3π masses cluster at the kinematic limit"[9] and

it has been determined

$$\Gamma(X \to J/\psi\omega)/\Gamma(X \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) = 0.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1.$$

Within the experimental errors the rates of the two decay modes are at the same order of magnitude. If $X \to J/\psi 3\pi$ is a strong decay mode, the G-parity of X(3872) is positive, which is contrary to G = -1 determined by $X \to J/\psi + 2\pi$. It is possible that one of these two decay modes violates the conservation of G-parity. It is well known that G-parity violation is caused by either $m_d - m_u$ or electromagnetic interactions. However, the effects of G-parity violation is only about few percent and it is about 2σ deviation from the central experimental value[9]. In order to solve the puzzle of G-parity besides to improve the experimental accuracy, we propose to measure another decay mode $B \to X(3872)K, X(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^0 \gamma$. If $X(3872) \to J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ is indeed the process $X(3872) \to J/\psi \omega, \omega \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ [9], then it should be expected

$$\Gamma(X \to J/\psi\omega, \omega \to \pi^0 \gamma) \sim 0.1\Gamma(X \to J/\psi\omega, \omega \to 3\pi) = 0.1(0.8 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1)\Gamma(X \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-).$$

As a matter of fact the strong decays of X(3872) are allowed. Therefore, the issue of the G-parity mentioned above is not for hybrid state only and it is a very general problem.

The hybrid charmonium has been studied by various theoretical approaches [10,7]. The mass of $c\bar{c}g$ is at about 4GeV. The main decay channel of $c\bar{c}g$ is $J/\psi gg$. Therefore, the decay

width is narrow. As suggested in Refs.[7] $c\bar{c}g$ can be found in B decays. One of the signature decay channel of $c\bar{c}g$ is $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ [7]. All these three properties of $c\bar{c}g$ agree with X(3872).

In this letter we further explore the possibility that X(3872) is a hybrid state of $c\bar{c}g$. We propose to study more processes in which X(3872) is involved to collect more information.

X(3872) has not been found by CLLEO's $\gamma\gamma$ fusion experiment[11], $\gamma\gamma \to X \to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$

$$(2J+1)\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}B(X\to J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-) < 16.7eV.$$

If X(3872) is a hybrid of $c\bar{c}g$ and the dominant decay channel is $J/\psi gg$. Qualitatively there should be strong suppression on the coupling between $\gamma\gamma$ and $J/\psi gg$. On the other hand, for the channel $J/\psi gg \to J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ (there is already suppression from the hadronization of two gluons) J/ψ can couple to a photon and because Bose statistics isoscalar $\pi\pi$ cannot be spin-1 and a real photon cannot couple to the pion pair of the coupling $XJ/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$. Of course, J/ψ and charged pion can couple to a photon respectively. Therefore, $\gamma\gamma \to X(3872) + \pi^+\pi^-$ is allowed.

X(3872) has been found by CDF[2] and D0[3] in $p\bar{p}$ annihilations $p\bar{p} \to X+...$ If X(3872) is a $c\bar{c}g$ hybrid state, the dominant coupling is $X(3872)J/\psi gg$. The associate production of X(3872) and J/ψ should be expected in hadron collisions. This associate production can be understood by the mechanism of fusion of two gluons: $gg \to X + J/\psi$. In the parton model

the cross section of the associate production of X(3872) and J/ψ is written as

$$\sigma(h_1 + h_2 \to X + J/\psi + \dots) = \int_{x_{1min}}^{1} \int_{x_{2min}}^{1} dx_1 dx_2 \{ G_{g_1}^{h_1}(x_1) G_{g_2}^{h_2}(x_2) + G_{g_2}^{h_1}(x_1) G_{g_1}^{h_2}(x_2) \} \sigma(g_1 + g_2 \to X + J/\psi),$$

$$\tag{1}$$

where $G_g^h(x)$ is the gluon distribution function of hadron and

$$x_{1min} = (m_1 + m_2)/S,$$

$$x_{2min} = (m_1 + m_2)/(x_1S),$$

 m_1, m_2 are the masses of the two hadrons, $S = (p_1 + p_2)^2$. In this process X(3872) decays to $J/\psi \pi \pi$. Therefore, two J/ψ 's are produced in hadron collisions. D0 has measured the distribution of the transverse momentum of X(3872)[3]. Model is needed to calculate p_{\perp} distribution. Using the parton model(1), it is obtained

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma}{dp_{\perp}^{2}}(h_{1} + h_{2} \to X + J/\psi + ...) = \frac{1}{16S\pi^{2}} \int_{x_{1}_{min}}^{1} \int_{x'_{2}_{min}}^{1} dx_{1} dX_{2} \{G_{g_{1}}^{h_{1}}(x_{1})G_{g_{2}}^{h_{2}}(x_{2}) + G_{g_{2}}^{h_{1}}(x_{1})G_{g_{1}}^{h_{2}}(x_{2})\}
- \frac{1}{x_{1}x_{2}} \frac{1}{p_{\parallel}E_{J} + p_{\parallel}(J)E_{X}} |T|^{2},$$
(2)

where p_{\perp} is the transverse momentum of X, p_{\parallel} and $p_{\parallel}(J)$ are the components of the momenta of X and J/ψ along the direction of the momentum of h_1 respectively,

$$x'_{2min} = \frac{1}{x_1 S} \{ (m_X^2 + p_\perp^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (m_J^2 + p_\perp^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \},$$
$$p_{\parallel} = (x_1 - x_2) \frac{\sqrt{S}}{2} - p_{\parallel}(J),$$

 $p_{\parallel}(J)$ can be determined by energy conservation, T is the matrix element of $gg \to X + J/\psi$. Obviously, the distribution of the transverse momentum of X(3872) can be used to determine the spin of X(3872). The determination of the spin of X(3872) is beyond the scope of this paper.

Now we study the electromagnetic decays and productions of X(3872). It is known that J/ψ can couple to a photon. A mechanism of the VMD[12] of J/ψ is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{J/\psi\gamma} = eg_J\{-\frac{1}{2}F^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu J_\nu - \partial_\nu J_\mu) + A^\mu j_\mu\},\tag{3}$$

where j_{μ} is a hadronic vector current. According to Ref.[12], the VDM(3) is equivalent to the substitution

$$(J/\psi)_{\mu} \to eg_J A_{\mu}.$$
 (4)

Using this substitution(4) in the Lagrangian in which there is the field of J/ψ , the current j_{μ} is obtained. The constant g_J of Eqs.(3,4) is determined by fitting $J/\psi \to ee^+$

$$q_J = 0.0887.$$

 $J/\psi \to \eta_c + \gamma$ and $\eta_c \to \gamma \gamma$ can be used to test the VMD of $J/\psi(3,4)$. The amplitudes of these two processes are connected by the VMD(3,4).

$$\mathcal{L}_{J/\psi \to \eta_c \gamma} = e \frac{A}{m_J} \eta_c \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^{\mu} J^{\nu} \partial^{\alpha} A^{\beta},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\eta_c \to \gamma\gamma} = e^2 g_J \frac{A}{m_J} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} \partial^{\alpha} A^{\beta}.$$
(5)

The parameter A is determined by $\Gamma(J/\psi \to \eta_c \gamma)[13]$ to be

$$A^2 = 3.06(1 \pm 0.34).$$

From Eq.(5) it is obtained

$$\Gamma(\eta_c \to \gamma \gamma) = 11.2(1 \pm 0.34) keV.$$

The data[13] is $7.44(1 \pm 0.5)keV$. Theory agrees with data within the experimental errors. It is not the purpose of this letter to present a complete study of the validity of the VMD of J/ψ in charm physics. We just use Eqs.(3,4) to discuss the electromagnetic decays and productions of X(3872).

If X(3872) is a $c\bar{c}g$ state, using Eq.(3,4), the amplitude of $X \to \gamma + gg$ is obtained from $X \to J/\psi + gg$. The phase space of $X \to \gamma + gg$ is much larger than $X \to J/\psi + gg$. In the radiative decays of X the light hadrons are produced through the process $gg \to hadrons$. In the limit $m_q \to 0$ (m_q is light current quark mass) flavor independence should be expected in $X \to \gamma + gg, gg \to \sigma, 2\pi, KK, \eta', p\bar{p}...$ The main component of η is SU(3) octet the rate of $gg \to \eta$ should be small. As a matter of fact BABAR[14] has reported a search for X(3872) in $B \to X(3872)K, X(3872) \to J/\psi \eta$ and only an upper limit has been determined

$$B(B\to X(3872)K\to J/\psi\eta K)<7.7\times 10^{-6}$$

at 90% level. From flavor independence of $gg \to hadrons$ we could expect

$$B(X \to \gamma \pi \pi)/B(X \to \gamma KK) \sim 1$$
 (6)

$$B(X \to \gamma \eta)/B(X \to \gamma \eta') \sim \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos^2 \theta} = 0.13,$$
 (7)

where θ is the mixing angle of η and η' . Here $\theta = -20^{\circ}$ is taken, which is obtained from the fit of the decay rates of $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$ and $\eta' \to \gamma \gamma[15]$. Flavor independence of the radiative decays of X(3872) is a very important feature of the hybrid state $c\bar{c}g$.

The flavor independence of the $c\bar{c}g$ state can be tested in the production of X(3872) in $ee^+ \to X(3872) + gg, gg \to \sigma, 2\pi, KK, \eta', p\bar{p}, \dots$ According to the VMD of J/ψ , a photon can couple to Xgg directly and to J/ψ first and J/ψ couples to Xgg. The cross section of $ee^+ \to X + gg, gg \to hadrons$ is written as

$$\sigma(ee^+ \to X + hadrons) = 3\pi\alpha(g_J)^2 \frac{\sqrt{q^2}}{q^4} \frac{m_J^4 + q^2\Gamma_J^2(q^2)}{(q^2 - m_J^2)^2 + q^2\Gamma_J^2(q^2)} \Gamma(J/\psi \to X + Hadrons), (8)$$

where

$$\Gamma(J/\psi \to X + Hadrons) = \frac{1}{3(2\pi)^3 (2\pi)^{3n}} \int d^3p_X \Pi_i d^3p_i (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(q - p_x - p_f) | \langle fX | \mathcal{L} | J(q) \rangle |^2,$$
(9)

q is the total momentum of ee^+ , p_f is the total momentum of hadrons. The matrix element $\langle fX|\mathcal{L}|J(q)\rangle$ is related to the process $X\to J/\psi gg, gg\to hadrons$. Model is needed to calculate it. We emphasize to use these processes to see whether there is flavor independence

in $ee^+ \to X + f$ where f could be $\sigma, 2\pi, KK, \eta', p\bar{p}...$

$$\sigma(ee^+ \to X\pi\pi)/\sigma(ee^+ \to XKK) \sim 1$$
 (10)

$$\sigma(ee^+ \to X\eta)/\sigma(ee^+ \to X\eta') \sim \frac{\sin^2\theta}{\cos^2\theta} = 0.13,$$
 (11)

We can estimate the order of magnitude of the cross section of $ee^+ \to X(3872) + hadrons$. At the total energy of BEPC, 4.4GeV, the only decay channel is $X(3872) + \pi^+\pi^-(\sigma)$. If taking $\Gamma(J/\psi \to X(3872) + \pi^+\pi^-) \sim 1 MeV$

$$\sigma(ee^+ \to X(3872) + \pi^+\pi^-) \sim 2.3 \times 10^{-3} nb.$$

If the energy of ee^+ is about 10 GeV, more decay channels are open and the phase space is much larger. If taking $\Gamma(J/\psi \to X(3872) + hadrons) \sim 1 GeV$

$$\sigma(ee^+ \to X(3872) + hadrons) \sim 6 \times 10^{-2} nb.$$

If the energy of photon is above 4 GeV(Jlab for example) then the photoproduction of X(3872) is another interesting process

$$\gamma + p \to X(3872) + p,$$

where the VMD of $J/\psi(3,4)$ can be used to determine the coupling between photon and X+hadrons. In this process the interactions between X(3872) and proton are through σ , $\pi\pi$,

KK, η' There is also

$$\gamma + p \to X(3872) + \pi^+ + n, \ \ \gamma + p \to X(3872) + K^+ + \Lambda(\Sigma^0), \ \ \gamma + p \to X(3872) + K^0 + \Sigma^+$$

There are charged pion and kaon exchange respectively.

In summary, the associate productions of X(3872) and J/ψ in hadron collisions, $X(3872) \rightarrow \gamma + lighthadrons$, and $ee^+ \rightarrow X(3872) + lighthadrons$ are proposed to study the nature of X(3872). If X(3872) is a hybrid state $c\bar{c}g$ the couplings $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi + gg$ and $X(3872) \rightarrow \gamma + gg$ are expected. There is flavor independence for the hadrons produced by two gluons, which can be tested. The photoproductions of X(3872) are worth an attention. $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi + \sigma$ is a significant decay channel.

This work is supported by a DOE grant.

References

- [1] S.-K.Choi et al., Belle Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett.**91**,262001-1 (2003).
- [2] D.Acosta et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett.93, 072001(2004).
- [3] V.M.Abazov et al., D0 Collaboration, hep-ex/0405004.
- [4] B.Aubert et al., BaBar Collaboration, hep-ex/0406022.

- [5] E.Eichten, K.Lane, and C.Quigg, Phys.Rev.Lett.,89,162002(2002) and
 Phys.Rev.D69,094019(2004); T.Barnes and S.Godfrey, Phys.Rev D69,054008(2004).
- [6] N.Torqvist, Phys.Lett.B590,209(2004);M.B.Voloshin, Phys.Lett. B579,316(2004);
 F.Close and P.Page, Phys.Lett.B578,119(2004);
 C.Y.Wong, Phys.Rev.C69,055202(2004);E.Braaten and M.Kusunoki, Phy.Rev.D69, 074004(2004);E.Swanson, Phys.Lett.B588,189(2004).
- F.E.Close et al., Phys.Rev.**D57**,5653(1998); G.Chiladze, A,Falk, and A.Petrov,
 Phys.Rev.**D58**,034013(1998); F.Close and S.Godfrey, Phy.Lett.**B574**,210(2003).
- [8] B.Aubert et al., BABAR Collaboration, hep-ex/0408083.
- [9] F.Fang, Belle Collaboration, talk presented at 32nd International Conference on High Energy Physics, 8/16-8/22, 2004, Beijing, China.
- [10] R.Giles and S.Tye, Phys.Rev.D16,1079(1977); S.Peratonis and C. Michael, Nucl. Phys.B347,854(1990); P.Lacock et al., Phys.Lett.B401,308(1997); C.Bernard et al., Phys.Rev.D56,7039(1997); K.Juge, J.Kuti, and C.morningstar, hep-lat/9709131; hep-lat/9709132; hep-lat/9711451; N.Isgur and J.Paton, Phys.Rev.D31,2910(1985); J.Merlin and J.Paton, ibid. D35,1668(1987); T.Barnes ,F.Close, and E.Swanson, ibid.,D52,5242(1995); P.Hasenfratz et

- al., Phys.Lett.**B95**,299(1980); S.Ono, Z.Phys. **C26**,307(1984); J.Latorre et al., Phys.Lett.B147,169(1984).
- [11] Z.Metreveli et al., CLEO Collaboration, hep-ex/0408057.
- [12] J.Sakurai, Currents and Mesons, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1969.
- [13] Particle Data Group, Phys.Lett.**B592**, 1(2004).
- [14] B.Aubert et al., BaBar Collaboration, hep-ex/0402025.
- [15] B.A.Li, Phys.Rev.**D52**,5184(1995).