Mixing and oscillations of neutral particles in Quantum Field Theory

Massimo Blasone

The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, U.K. and Dipartimento di Fisica and INFN, Università di Salerno, 84100 Salerno, Italy

Jonathan S. Palmer

Mathematical Institute, 24-29 St Giles', Oxford OX1 3LB, U.K.

We study the mixing of neutral particles in Quantum Field Theory: neutral boson field and Majorana field are treated in the case of mixing among two generations. We derive the orthogonality of flavor and mass representations and show how to consistently calculate oscillation formulas, which agree with previous results for charged fields and exhibit corrections with respect to the usual quantum mechanical expressions.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 11.15.Tk

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of mixing of fields of different masses in the context of Quantum Field Theory (QFT) has produced recently very interesting and in some sense unexpected results [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The story begins in 1995 when in Ref.[1], it was proved the unitary inequivalence of the Hilbert spaces for (fermion) fields with definite flavor on one side and those (free fields) with definite mass, on the other. The proof was then generalized to any number of fermion generations [7] and to bosonic fields [2, 5]. This result strikes with the common sense of Quantum Mechanics (QM), where one has only one Hilbert space at hand: the inconsistencies that arise there have generated much controversy and it was also claimed that it is impossible to construct an Hilbert space for flavor states [16] (see however Ref.[6] for a criticism of that argument).

In fact, not only the flavor Hilbert space can be consistently defined [1], but it also provide a tool for the calculation of flavor oscillation formulas in QFT[3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19], which exhibit corrections with respect to the usual QM ones [20, 21].

From a more general point of view, the above results show that mixing is an "example of non-perturbative physics which can be exactly solved", as stated in Ref.[13]. Indeed, the flavor Hilbert space is a space for particles which are not on-shell and this situation is analogous to that one encounters when quantizing fields at finite temperature [22] or in a curved background [23].

In the derivation of the oscillation formulas by use of the flavor Hilbert space, both for bosons and for fermions, a central role is played by the flavor charges [9] and indeed it was found that these operators satisfy very specifical physical requirements [6, 8]. However, these charges vanish identically in the case of neutral fields and this is the main reason why the study of field mixing has been limited up to now only to the case of charged (complex) fields. We fill here this gap, by providing a consistent treatment of both neutral bosons and Majorana fermions. In order to keep the discussion transparent, we limit ourselves to the case of two generations.

Apart from the explicit quantization of the neutral mixed fields, the main result of this paper is the study of the momentum operator (and more in general of the energy-momentum tensor) for those fields. We show how to define it in a consistent way and by its use we then derive the oscillation formulas, which match the ones already obtained for charged fields. We also comment on its relevance for the study of charged mixed fields, where, in the case when CP violation is present, the charges present a problematic interpretation which is still not completely clarified [10, 11, 15].

The paper is organized as follow: in Section II we discuss the mixing of neutral (spin zero) bosonic fields. In Section III we treat Majorana fields and finally in Section IV we discuss some general consequences of our results and draw conclusions.

II. NEUTRAL BOSONS

We consider here the simple case of mixing of two spin zero neutral boson fields. We follow the case of charged fields as discussed already in Refs. [2, 5, 12] and introduce the following lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \partial_{\mu} \Phi_f^T(x) \partial^{\mu} \Phi_f(x) - \Phi_f^T(x) \hat{M} \Phi_f(x)$$
(1)

$$= \partial_{\mu} \Phi_m^T(x) \partial^{\mu} \Phi_m(x) - \Phi_m^T(x) \hat{M}_d \Phi_m(x)$$
⁽²⁾

with $\Phi_f^T = (\phi_A, \phi_B)$ being the flavor fields and $\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} m_A^2 & m_{AB}^2 \\ m_{AB}^2 & m_B^2 \end{pmatrix}$. Those are connected to the free fields $\Phi_m^T = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ with $\hat{M}_d = diag(m_1^2, m_2^2)$ by a rotation:

$$\phi_A(x) = \phi_1(x) \, \cos\theta + \phi_2(x) \, \sin\theta \tag{3}$$

$$\phi_B(x) = -\phi_1(x) \,\sin\theta + \phi_2(x) \,\cos\theta \tag{4}$$

and a similar one for the conjugate momenta $\pi_i = \partial_0 \phi_i$. The free fields ϕ_i can be quantized in the usual way (we use $x_0 \equiv t$:

$$\phi_i(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k,i}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k},i} \ e^{-i\omega_{k,i}t} + a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},i} \ e^{i\omega_{k,i}t} \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$
(5)

$$\pi_i(x) = -i \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k,i}}{2}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k},i} \ e^{-i\omega_{k,i}t} - a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},i} \ e^{i\omega_{k,i}t} \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(6)

with $\omega_{k,i} = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m_i^2}$. The commutation relations are:

$$\left[\phi_i(x), \pi_j(y)\right]_{x_0=y_0} = i\,\delta_{ij}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \,, \qquad \left[a_{\mathbf{k},i}, a_{\mathbf{p},j}^{\dagger}\right] = \,\delta_{ij}\,\delta^3(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}). \tag{7}$$

We now recast Eqs.(3),(4) into the form:

$$\phi_A(x) = G_\theta^{-1}(t) \ \phi_1(x) \ G_\theta(t) \tag{8}$$

$$\phi_B(x) = G_\theta^{-1}(t) \ \phi_2(x) \ G_\theta(t) \tag{9}$$

and similar ones for $\pi_A(x)$, $\pi_B(x)$. $G_{\theta}(t)$ denotes the operator which implements the mixing transformations (3),(4):

$$G_{\theta}(t) = \exp\left[-i \theta \int d^3x \left(\pi_1(x)\phi_2(x) - \pi_2(x)\phi_1(x)\right)\right],$$
(10)

which is (at finite volume) a unitary operator: $G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) = G_{-\theta}(t) = G_{\theta}^{\dagger}(t)$. The mixing generator is given by $G_{\theta}(t) = \exp[\theta(S_{+}(t) - S_{-}(t))]$ and the su(2) operators are now realized as

$$S_{+}(t) \equiv -i \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \,\pi_{1}(x)\phi_{2}(x) \quad , \quad S_{-}(t) \equiv -i \,\int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \,\pi_{2}(x)\phi_{1}(x) \tag{11}$$

$$S_3 \equiv \frac{-i}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left(\pi_1(x) \phi_1(x) - \pi_2(x) \phi_2(x) \right) \quad , \quad S_0 \equiv \frac{-i}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left(\pi_1(x) \phi_1(x) + \pi_2(x) \phi_2(x) \right) \,. \tag{12}$$

This has to be compared with the corresponding structures for charged fields [12]. We have, explicitly

$$S_{+}(t) - S_{-}(t) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \left(\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) \ a_{\mathbf{k},1}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k},2} - \hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) \ a_{-\mathbf{k},1} a_{\mathbf{k},2} + \hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ a_{\mathbf{k},2}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{k},1}^{\dagger} - \hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ a_{\mathbf{k},2}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k},1} \right)$$
(13)

where $\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ are Bogoliubov coefficients given by

$$\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \equiv |\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}| e^{i(\omega_{k,2} - \omega_{k,1})t} , \quad \hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \equiv |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}| e^{i(\omega_{k,1} + \omega_{k,2})t}$$
(14)

$$|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}| \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k,1}}{\omega_{k,2}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k,2}}{\omega_{k,1}}} \right) \quad , \quad |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}| \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k,1}}{\omega_{k,2}}} - \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k,2}}{\omega_{k,1}}} \right) \quad , \quad |\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 - |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 1 \,, \tag{15}$$

The flavor fields can be expanded as:

$$\phi_{\sigma}(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{k,j}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \ e^{-i\omega_{k,j}t} + a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \ e^{i\omega_{k,j}t} \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}}$$
(16)

$$\pi_{\sigma}(x) = -i \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{k,j}}{2}} \left(a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \ e^{-i\omega_{k,j}t} - a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \ e^{i\omega_{k,j}t} \right) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} , \qquad (17)$$

with $\sigma, j = (A, 1), (B, 2)$ and the flavor annihilation operators given by:

$$a_{\mathbf{k},A}(t) \equiv G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \ a_{\mathbf{k},1} \ G_{\theta}(t) = \cos \theta \ a_{\mathbf{k},1} \ + \ \sin \theta \ \left(\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) \ a_{\mathbf{k},2} \ + \ \hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ a_{-\mathbf{k},2}^{\dagger} \right), \tag{18}$$

$$a_{\mathbf{k},B}(t) \equiv G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) a_{\mathbf{k},2} G_{\theta}(t) = \cos \theta \, a_{\mathbf{k},2} - \sin \theta \, \left(\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \, a_{\mathbf{k},1} - \hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \, a_{-\mathbf{k},1}^{\dagger} \right). \tag{19}$$

We now consider the action of the generator of the mixing transformations on the vacuum $|0\rangle_{i,2}$ for the fields $\phi_i(x)$: $a_{\mathbf{k},i}|0\rangle_{i,2} = 0$, i = 1, 2. The generator induces an SU(2) coherent state structure on such state [24]:

$$\left|0(\theta,t)\right\rangle_{A,B} \equiv G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \left|0\right\rangle_{1,2}.$$
(20)

From now on we will refer to the state $|0(\theta,t)\rangle_{A,B}$ as to the *flavor vacuum* for bosons. The suffixes A and B label the flavor charge content of the state. We have $_{A,B}\langle 0(\theta,t)|0(\theta,t)\rangle_{A,B} = 1$. In the following, we will consider the Hilbert space for flavor fields at a given time t, say t = 0, and it is useful to define $|0(t)\rangle_{A,B} \equiv |0(\theta,t)\rangle_{A,B}$ and $|0\rangle_{A,B} \equiv |0(\theta,t=0)\rangle_{A,B}$ for future reference. A crucial point is that the flavor and the mass vacua are orthogonal in the infinite volume limit. We indeed have:

$$_{_{1,2}}\langle 0|0(t)\rangle_{_{A,B}} = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} _{_{1,2}}\langle 0|G_{\mathbf{k},\theta}^{-1}(t)|0\rangle_{_{1,2}} = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{1+\sin^2\theta |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2} \equiv \prod_{\mathbf{k}} f_0^{\mathbf{k}}(\theta) , \quad for \ any \ t, \tag{21}$$

where we have used $G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\mathbf{k},\theta}^{-1}(t)$. In the infinite volume limit, we obtain

$$\lim_{V \to \infty} {}_{1,2} \langle 0|0(t) \rangle_{A,B} = \lim_{V \to \infty} e^{\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k \ln f_0^{\mathbf{k}}(\theta)} = 0 , \quad for \ any \ t.$$
(22)

We have that $\ln f_0^{\mathbf{k}}(\theta)$ is indeed negative for any values of \mathbf{k} , θ and m_1, m_2 (note that $0 \le \theta \le \pi/4$). We also observe that the orthogonality disappears when $\theta = 0$ and/or $m_1 = m_2$, consistently with the fact that in both cases there is no mixing. We define the state for a mixed particle with "flavor" A and momentum \mathbf{k} as:

$$|a_{\mathbf{k},A}(t)\rangle \equiv a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},A}(t)|0(t)\rangle_{A,B} = G^{-1}_{\theta}(t)a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},1}|0\rangle_{A,2}$$
(23)

In the following we work in the Heisenberg picture, flavor states will be taken at reference time t = 0 (including the flavor vacuum). We also define $|a_{\mathbf{k},A}\rangle \equiv |a_{\mathbf{k},A}(0)\rangle$.

Let us now consider the (non-vanishing) commutators of the flavor ladder operators at different times:

$$\left[a_{\mathbf{k},A}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t')\right] = \cos^{2}\theta + \sin^{2}\theta \left(|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2}e^{-i(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1})(t-t')} - |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2}e^{i(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1})(t-t')}\right),$$
(24)

$$\left[a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t')\right] = \sin^{2}\theta \left|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left|\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left(e^{-i\omega_{2}(t-t')} - e^{i\omega_{2}(t-t')}\right) e^{-i\omega_{1}(t+t')},\tag{25}$$

$$\left[a_{\mathbf{k},B}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t')\right] = \cos\theta \sin\theta \left|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left(e^{i(\omega_2 - \omega_1)t'} - e^{i(\omega_2 - \omega_1)t}\right),\tag{26}$$

$$\left[a_{-\mathbf{k},B}^{\dagger}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t')\right] = \cos\theta\sin\theta \left|\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left(e^{-i(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1})t} - e^{-i(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1})t'}\right).$$
(27)

We observe that the following quantity is constant in time:

$$\left| \left[a_{\mathbf{k},A}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t') \right] \right|^{2} - \left| \left[a_{-\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t') \right] \right|^{2} + \left| \left[a_{\mathbf{k},B}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t') \right] \right|^{2} - \left| \left[a_{-\mathbf{k},B}^{\dagger}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(t') \right] \right|^{2} = 1.$$
 (28)

In Ref.[12], the corresponding of Eq.(28) for charged fields, was consistently interpreted as expressing the conservation of total charge¹. In the present case we are dealing with a neutral field and thus the charge operator

$$\langle a_{\mathbf{k},A} | Q_{\sigma}(t) | a_{\mathbf{k},A} \rangle = \left| \left[a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(0) \right] \right|^{2} - \left| \left[b_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(0) \right] \right|^{2} , \qquad \sigma = A, B$$

 $\text{together with }_{A,B}\left<0|Q_{\sigma}(t)|0\right>_{A,B}=0 \text{ and } \sum_{\sigma}\left< a_{\mathbf{k},A}|Q_{\sigma}(t)|a_{\mathbf{k},A}\right> \,=\, 1.$

 $^{^{1}}$ We have, for charged bosonic fields:

vanishes identically. Nevertheless the quantities in Eq.(28) are well defined and are the neutral-field counterparts of the corresponding ones for the case of charged fields. Thus we look for a physical interpretation of such oscillating quantities.

Let us consider the momentum operator, defined as the diagonal space part of the energy-momentum tensor[25]: $P^{j} \equiv \int d^{3}\mathbf{x}\Theta^{0j}(x)$, with $\Theta^{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial^{\mu}\phi\partial^{\nu}\phi - g^{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}m^{2}\phi^{2}\right]$. For the free fields ϕ_{i} we have:

$$\mathbf{P}_{i} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \, \pi_{i}(x) \nabla \phi_{i}(x) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \, \frac{\mathbf{k}}{2} \left(a_{\mathbf{k},i}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k},i} - a_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{\dagger} a_{-\mathbf{k},i} \right) \quad , \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$\tag{29}$$

In a similar way we can define the momentum operator for mixed fields:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \pi_{\sigma}(x) \nabla \phi_{\sigma}(x) = \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \, \frac{\mathbf{k}}{2} \left(a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) - a^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) a_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \right) \quad , \quad \sigma = A, B.$$
(30)

The two operators are obviously related: $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) = G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \mathbf{P}_i G_{\theta}(t)$. Note that the total momentum is conserved in time since commutes with the generator of mixing transformations (at any time):

$$\mathbf{P}_{A}(t) + \mathbf{P}_{B}(t) = \mathbf{P}_{1} + \mathbf{P}_{2} \equiv \mathbf{P}$$
, $[\mathbf{P}, G_{\theta}(t)] = 0$, $[\mathbf{P}, H] = 0$. (31)

Thus in the mixing of neutral fields, the momentum operator plays an analogous rôle to that of the charge for charged fields. For charged fields, the total charge operator, associated with the U(1) invariance of the Lagrangian, is proportional to the Casimir of the SU(2) group associated to the generators of the mixing transformations. This is not true anymore for the case of neutral fields, although the SU(2) structure persists in this case as well (see Eqs.(11),(12)).

We now consider the expectation values of the momentum operator for flavor fields on the flavor state $|a_{\mathbf{k},A}\rangle$ with definite momentum **k**. Obviously, this is an eigenstate of $\mathbf{P}_A(t)$ at time t = 0:

$$\mathbf{P}_{A}(0) \left| a_{\mathbf{k},A} \right\rangle = \mathbf{k} \left| a_{\mathbf{k},A} \right\rangle, \tag{32}$$

which follows from $\mathbf{P}_1 |a_{\mathbf{k},1}\rangle = \mathbf{k} |a_{\mathbf{k},1}\rangle$ by application of $G_{\theta}^{-1}(0)$. At time $t \neq 0$, the expectation value of the momentum (normalized to the initial value) gives:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^{A}(t) \equiv \frac{\langle a_{\mathbf{k},A} | \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) | a_{\mathbf{k},A} \rangle}{\langle a_{\mathbf{k},A} | \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(0) | a_{\mathbf{k},A} \rangle} = \left| \left[a_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(0) \right] \right|^{2} - \left| \left[a_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{\dagger}(t), a_{\mathbf{k},A}^{\dagger}(0) \right] \right|^{2} , \qquad \sigma = A, B$$
(33)

which is of the same form as the expression one obtains for the charged field.

One can explicitly check that the (flavor) vacuum expectation value of the momentum operator $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t)$ does vanish at all times:

$$_{A,B}\left\langle 0|\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t)|0\right\rangle _{A,B} = 0 \quad , \qquad \sigma = A,B \tag{34}$$

which can be understood intuitively by realizing that the flavor vacuum $|0\rangle_{A,B}$ does not carry momentum since it is a condensate of pairs carrying zero total momentum (like the BCS ground state, for example).

The explicit calculation of the oscillating quantities $\mathcal{P}^{A}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)$ gives:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k},A}^{A}(t) = 1 - \sin^{2}(2\theta) \left[|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} - \omega_{k,1}}{2}t\right) - |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} + \omega_{k,1}}{2}t\right) \right]$$
(35)

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k},B}^{A}(t) = \sin^{2}(2\theta) \left[|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} - \omega_{k,1}}{2}t\right) - |\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} + \omega_{k,1}}{2}t\right) \right].$$
(36)

in complete agreement with the charged field case[12]. Note the presence of the non-standard oscillation terms and of the momentum dependent amplitudes. When $|\hat{U}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \rightarrow 1$ and $|\hat{V}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \rightarrow 0$ (see Eq.(15)), the usual QM oscillation formulas are recovered.

III. MAJORANA FERMIONS

Now we consider the case of mixing of two Majorana fermion fields. We closely follow the above treatment for boson fields. The charge-conjugation operator C is defined as satisfying the relations

$$\mathcal{C}^{-1}\gamma_{\mu}\mathcal{C} = -\gamma_{\mu}^{T} \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{C}^{-1} \quad , \quad \mathcal{C}^{T} = -\mathcal{C} \quad . \tag{37}$$

from which we define the charge conjugate ψ^c of ψ as

$$\psi^c(x) \equiv \gamma_0 \mathcal{C} \psi^*(x) \ . \tag{38}$$

Now we define a Majorana fermion as a field that satisfies the Dirac equation

$$(i\partial - m)\psi = 0 \tag{39}$$

and the self-conjugation relation

$$\psi = \psi^c . \tag{40}$$

Thus the two equations (39) and (40) ensure that a Majorana field is a neutral fermion field.

We now proceed as in the above case for neutral bosons by introducing the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \overline{\psi_f}(x)(i \partial - M)\psi_f(x) = \overline{\psi_m}(x)(i \partial - M_d)\psi_m(x), \qquad (41)$$

with $\psi_f^T = (\nu_e, \nu_\mu)$ being the flavor fields and $M = \begin{pmatrix} m_e & m_{e\mu} \\ m_{e\mu} & m_\mu \end{pmatrix}$. The flavor fields are connected to the free fields $\psi_m^T = (\nu_1, \nu_2)$ with $M_d = diag(m_1, m_2)$ by the rotation:

$$\nu_e(x) = \nu_1(x) \,\cos\theta + \nu_2(x) \,\sin\theta \,, \tag{42}$$

$$\nu_{\mu}(x) = -\nu_1(x) \,\sin\theta + \nu_2(x) \,\cos\theta \,. \tag{43}$$

The quantization of the free fields is given by [26]

$$\nu_i(x) = \sum_{r=1,2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left[u^r_{\mathbf{k},i}(t)\alpha^r_{\mathbf{k},i} + v^r_{-\mathbf{k},i}(t)\alpha^{r\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},i} \right], \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(44)

where $u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r}(t) = e^{-i\omega_{k,i}t}u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r}$, $v_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r}(t) = e^{i\omega_{k,i}t}v_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r}$, with $\omega_{k,i} = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^{2} + m_{i}^{2}}$. In order for the Majorana condition (40) to be satisfied the four spinors must also satisfy the following condition:

$$v_{\mathbf{k},i}^s = \gamma_0 \mathcal{C}(u_{\mathbf{k},i}^s)^* \quad ; \quad u_{\mathbf{k},i}^s = \gamma_0 \mathcal{C}(v_{\mathbf{k},i}^s)^* \,. \tag{45}$$

The equal time anticommutation relations are:

$$\{\nu_i^{\alpha}(x),\nu_j^{\beta\dagger}(y)\}_{t=t'} = \delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij} \quad , \quad \{\nu_i^{\alpha}(x),\nu_j^{\beta}(y)\}_{t=t'} = \delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})(\gamma_0\mathcal{C})^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij} \quad , \quad \alpha,\beta=1,..,4$$
(46)

and

$$\{\alpha_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r}, \alpha_{\mathbf{q},j}^{s\dagger}\} = \delta^{3}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})\delta_{rs}\delta_{ij} , \qquad i, j = 1, 2.$$

$$\tag{47}$$

All other anticommutators are zero. The orthonormality and completeness relations are:

$$u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{s} = v_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}v_{\mathbf{k},i}^{s} = \delta_{rs} , \quad u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}v_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{s} = v_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{s} = 0 , \quad \sum_{r=1,2}(u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r}u_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger} + v_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{r}v_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}) = I .$$

$$(48)$$

As for the neutral boson case we can recast Eqs.(42),(43) into the form:

$$\nu_e^{\alpha}(x) = G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \ \nu_1^{\alpha}(x) \ G_{\theta}(t) \ , \tag{49}$$

$$\nu_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x) = G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \ \nu_{2}^{\alpha}(x) \ G_{\theta}(t) \ , \tag{50}$$

where $G_{\theta}(t)$ is given by

$$G_{\theta}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{\theta}{2} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \left(\nu_1^{\dagger}(x)\nu_2(x) - \nu_2^{\dagger}(x)\nu_1(x)\right)\right] \,. \tag{51}$$

One has $G_{\theta}(t) = \prod_{\mathbf{k}} G_{\theta}^{\mathbf{k}}(t)$. For a given \mathbf{k} , in the reference frame where $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, |\mathbf{k}|)$, the spins decouple [1] and one has $G_{\theta}^{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \prod_{r} G_{\theta}^{\mathbf{k}, r}(t)$ with

$$G_{\theta}^{\mathbf{k},r}(t) = \exp\left\{\theta\left[U_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},1}^{r\dagger}\alpha_{\mathbf{k},2}^{r} - U_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},2}^{r\dagger}\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},1}^{r} - \epsilon^{r}V_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t)\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},1}^{r}\alpha_{\mathbf{k},2}^{r} + \epsilon^{r}V_{\mathbf{k}}(t)\alpha_{\mathbf{k},1}^{r\dagger}\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},2}^{r\dagger}\right]\right\},\tag{52}$$

where $U_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $V_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ are Bogoliubov coefficients given by

$$U_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \equiv |U_{\mathbf{k}}| \ e^{i(\omega_{k,2} - \omega_{k,1})t} \quad , \quad V_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \equiv |V_{\mathbf{k}}| \ e^{i(\omega_{k,2} + \omega_{k,1})t} \ , \tag{53}$$

$$|U_{\mathbf{k}}| \equiv \left(\frac{\omega_{k,1} + m_1}{2\omega_{k,1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} + m_2}{2\omega_{k,2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{(\omega_{k,1} + m_1)(\omega_{k,2} + m_2)}\right) ,$$
(54)

$$|V_{\mathbf{k}}| \equiv \left(\frac{\omega_{k,1} + m_1}{2\omega_{k,1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} + m_2}{2\omega_{k,2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{(\omega_{k,2} + m_2)} - \frac{|\mathbf{k}|}{(\omega_{k,1} + m_1)}\right) ,$$
(55)

$$|U_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + |V_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = 1.$$
(56)

The flavor fields can be thus expanded as:

$$\nu_{\sigma}(x) = \sum_{r=1,2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left[u^r_{\mathbf{k},j}(t)\alpha^r_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) + v^r_{-\mathbf{k},j}(t)\alpha^{r\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \right],\tag{57}$$

with $\sigma, j = (e, 1), (\mu, 2)$ and the flavor annihilation operators given by (for $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, |\mathbf{k}|)$):

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r}(t) \equiv G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},1}^{r} \ G_{\theta}(t) = \cos\theta \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},1}^{r} + \sin\theta \ \left(U_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(t) \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},2}^{r} + \epsilon^{r} V_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},2}^{r\dagger} \right) \ , \tag{58}$$

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\mu}^{r}(t) \equiv G_{\theta}^{-1}(t) \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},2}^{r} \ G_{\theta}(t) = \cos\theta \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},2}^{r} - \sin\theta \ \left(U_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},1}^{r} - \epsilon^{r} V_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \ \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},1}^{r\dagger} \right) \ .$$

As for the neutral boson case we now consider the action of the generator of the mixing transformations on the vacuum $|0\rangle_{1,2}$. The flavor vacuum is defined as:

$$|0(\theta,t)\rangle_{e,\mu} \equiv G_{\theta}^{-1}(t)|0\rangle_{1,2}$$
 (59)

We define the state for a mixed particle with definite flavor, spin and momentum as:

$$|\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r}(t)\rangle \equiv \alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t)|0(t)\rangle_{e,\mu} = G_{\theta}^{-1}(t)\alpha_{\mathbf{k},1}^{r\dagger}|0\rangle_{1,2} .$$

$$\tag{60}$$

The anticommutators of the flavor ladder operators at different times are:

$$\left\{\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\} = \cos^{2}\theta + \sin^{2}\theta \left(|U_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2}e^{-i(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1})(t-t')} + |V_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2}e^{i(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1})(t-t')}\right),$$
(61)

$$\left\{\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\} = \epsilon^{r} \sin^{2} \theta \left|U_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left|V_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left(e^{i\omega_{2}(t-t')} - e^{-i\omega_{2}(t-t')}\right) e^{-i\omega_{1}(t+t')}, \tag{62}$$

$$\left\{\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\mu}^{r}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\} = \cos\theta\sin\theta \left|U_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left(e^{i(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1})t'} - e^{i(\omega_{2}-\omega_{1})t}\right) , \qquad (63)$$

$$\left\{\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},\mu}^{r\dagger}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\} = \epsilon^{r}\cos\theta\sin\theta \left|V_{\mathbf{k}}\right| \left(e^{-i(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1})t'} - e^{-i(\omega_{2}+\omega_{1})t}\right) .$$
(64)

The following quantity is constant in time (notice the difference in the signs with respect to the boson case):

$$\left|\left\{\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\}\right|^{2} + \left|\left\{\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\}\right|^{2} + \left|\left\{\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\mu}^{r\dagger}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\}\right|^{2} + \left|\left\{\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},\mu}^{r\dagger}(t),\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r\dagger}(t')\right\}\right|^{2} = 1.$$
 (65)

Again we consider the momentum operator defined as $P^j \equiv \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \mathcal{J}^{0j}(x)$, where the energy-momentum tensor for the fermion field, $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$, is defined by $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu} \equiv i\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\psi$. For the free fields ψ_i we have:

$$\mathbf{P}_{i} = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \,\psi_{i}^{\dagger}(x)(-i\nabla)\psi_{i}(x) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \sum_{r=1,2} \mathbf{k} \left(\alpha_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}\alpha_{\mathbf{k},i}^{r} - \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{r\dagger}\alpha_{-\mathbf{k},i}^{r}\right) \quad , \quad i = 1,2 \; . \tag{66}$$

We then define the momentum operator for mixed fields:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{x} \,\psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(x)(-i\nabla)\psi_{\sigma}(x) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \sum_{r=1,2} \mathbf{k} \left(\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t) - \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t) \right) \quad , \quad \sigma = e, \mu \; . \tag{67}$$

As for the boson case, we have $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) = G_{\theta}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{P}_{i}G_{\theta}(t)$ and the conservation of total momentum as a consequence of

$$\mathbf{P}_{e}(t) + \mathbf{P}_{\mu}(t) = \mathbf{P}_{1} + \mathbf{P}_{2} \equiv \mathbf{P}$$
, $[\mathbf{P}, G_{\theta}(t)] = 0$, $[\mathbf{P}, H] = 0$. (68)

We now consider the expectation values on the flavor state $|\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^r\rangle \equiv |\alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^r(0)\rangle$. At time t = 0, this state is an eigenstate of the momentum operator $\mathbf{P}_e(0)$:

$$\mathbf{P}_{e}(0) \left| \alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r} \right\rangle = \mathbf{k} \left| \alpha_{\mathbf{k},e}^{r} \right\rangle.$$
(69)

At $t \neq 0$ the expectation value for the momentum (normalized to initial value) gives:

$$\mathcal{P}^{e}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t) \equiv \frac{\langle \alpha^{r}_{\mathbf{k},e} | \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) | \alpha^{r}_{\mathbf{k},e} \rangle}{\langle \alpha^{r}_{\mathbf{k},e} | \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(0) | \alpha^{r}_{\mathbf{k},e} \rangle} = \left| \left\{ \alpha^{r}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t), \alpha^{r\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},e}(0) \right\} \right|^{2} + \left| \left\{ \alpha^{r\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t), \alpha^{r\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},e}(0) \right\} \right|^{2} \quad , \quad \sigma = e, \mu ,$$

$$(70)$$

which is the same form of the expression one obtains for the expectation values of the flavor charges in the case of Dirac fields [3]. The flavor vacuum expectation value of the momentum operator $\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t)$ vanishes at all times:

$$_{e,\mu}\langle 0|\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t)|0\rangle_{e,\mu} = 0 \quad , \quad \sigma = e,\mu \; . \tag{71}$$

The explicit calculation of the oscillating quantities $\mathcal{P}^{e}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)$ gives:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k},e}^{e}(t) = 1 - \sin^{2} 2\theta \left[|U_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} - \omega_{k,1}}{2} t \right) + |V_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} + \omega_{k,1}}{2} t \right) \right] , \qquad (72)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k},\mu}^{e}(t) = \sin^{2} 2\theta \left[|U_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} - \omega_{k,1}}{2} t \right) + |V_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{\omega_{k,2} + \omega_{k,1}}{2} t \right) \right] , \tag{73}$$

in complete agreement with the Dirac field case [3].

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied in detail the mixing of neutral particles in the context of Quantum Field Theory. We have considered explicitly the mixing among two generations, both in the case of neutral scalar fields and for Majorana fields. Our analysis confirm previous results on the mixing of charged fields, and indeed we show that also for neutral fields, the Hilbert spaces for definite flavor and for definite masses are orthogonal in the infinite volume limit.

The main result of this paper is however the calculation of oscillation formulas, which we obtained by use of the momentum operator, that is well defined for (mixed) neutral fields, whereas the charge operator vanishes identically. Our results confirm the oscillation formulas already obtained in the case of charged fields by use of the flavor charges, and it also reveals to be useful in the case of three flavor mixing, where the presence of the CP violating phase introduces ambiguities in the treatment based on flavor charges [15].

It is indeed interesting to comment on this point: for Dirac fields, the momentum operator is given as

$$\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) = \int d^{3}\mathbf{k} \sum_{r} \frac{\mathbf{k}}{2} \left(\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t) - \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \alpha_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t) + \beta_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \beta_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t) - \beta_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t) \beta_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t) \right) \quad , \quad \sigma = e, \mu, \tau .$$
(74)

This operator can be used for the calculation of the oscillation formulas for Dirac neutrinos in analogy with what done above in the Majorana case. Although in this case the charge operator is available and it has been used successfully for deriving the oscillation formula [3] in the two-flavor case, it has emerged that for three-flavor mixing, the CP violating phase introduces complications in the identification of the observables and indeed the matter is still object of discussion [10, 11, 15]. The main problem there is that the flavor charges at time t do not annihilate the flavor vacuum: $_f \langle 0|Q_\sigma(t)|0\rangle_f \neq 0$ and this expectation value needs to be subtracted by hand in order to get the correct oscillation formulas [15].

However, we see easily how the use of the momentum operator confirms the results of Ref.[15], without presenting any ambiguity. We have indeed:

$${}_{f}\langle 0|\mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t)|0\rangle_{f} = 0 \tag{75}$$

$$\frac{\langle \nu_{\rho} | \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(t) | \nu_{\rho} \rangle}{\langle \nu_{\rho} | \mathbf{P}_{\sigma}(0) | \nu_{\rho} \rangle} = \left| \left\{ \alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t), \alpha_{\mathbf{k},\rho}^{r\dagger}(0) \right\} \right|^{2} + \left| \left\{ \beta_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t), \alpha_{\mathbf{k},\rho}^{r\dagger}(0) \right\} \right|^{2} , \tag{76}$$

with $\sigma, \rho = e, \mu, \tau$ and $|\nu_{\rho}\rangle \equiv \alpha_{\mathbf{k},\rho}^{r\dagger}(0)|0\rangle_{f}$. This follows from the following relations:

$${}_{f}\langle 0|\alpha^{r\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)\alpha^{r}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)|0\rangle_{f} = {}_{f}\langle 0|\alpha^{r\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)\alpha^{r}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)|0\rangle_{f}$$

$$\tag{77}$$

$${}_{f}\langle 0|\beta^{r\dagger}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)\beta^{r}_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)|0\rangle_{f} = {}_{f}\langle 0|\beta^{r\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)\beta^{r}_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}(t)|0\rangle_{f}$$

$$\tag{78}$$

which are valid even in presence of CP violation, when $_{f}\langle 0|\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t)\alpha_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t)|0\rangle_{f} \neq _{f}\langle 0|\beta_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r\dagger}(t)\beta_{-\mathbf{k},\sigma}^{r}(t)|0\rangle_{f}$. These results seem to suggest that perhaps a redefinition of the flavor charge operators is necessary in presence of CP violation and further study in this direction is in progress.

Acknowledgements

M.B. thanks the ESF network COSLAB and EPSRC for support. J.P. thanks Oxford University for support.

- [1] M. Blasone and G. Vitiello, Annals Phys. 244 (1995) 283 [Erratum-ibid. 249 (1995) 363].
- [2] M. Blasone, P. A. Henning and G. Vitiello, in "La Thuile 1996, Results and perspectives in particle physics" ed. M.Greco, INFN Frascati 1996, p.139-152 [hep-ph/9605335].
- [3] M. Blasone, P. A. Henning and G. Vitiello, Phys. Lett. B 451 (1999) 140; M. Blasone, in "Erice 1998, From the Planck length to the Hubble radius" 584, [hep-ph/9810329].
- [4] M. Blasone, P. A. Henning and G. Vitiello, Phys. Lett. B 466 (1999) 262; X. B. Wang, L. C. Kwek, Y. Liu and C. H. Oh, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 053003.
- [5] M. Binger and C. R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999) 056005.
- [6] K. Fujii, C. Habe and T. Yabuki, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 113003 [Erratum-ibid. D 60 (1999) 099903].;
- [7] K. C. Hannabuss and D. C. Latimer, J. Phys. A 36 (2003) L69; J. Phys. AA33 (2000) 1369.
- [8] M. Blasone and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 111302.
- [9] M. Blasone, P. Jizba and G. Vitiello, Phys. Lett. B 517 (2001) 471.
- [10] K. Fujii, C. Habe and T. Yabuki, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 013011.
- [11] M. Blasone, A. Capolupo and G. Vitiello, in *Zhang-Jia-Jie 2001, Flavor physics* 425-433 [hep-th/0107125]; M. Blasone, A. Capolupo and G. Vitiello, [hep-ph/0107183].
- [12] M. Blasone, A. Capolupo, O. Romei and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 125015.
- [13] C. R. Ji and Y. Mishchenko, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 076004.
- [14] C. R. Ji and Y. Mishchenko, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 096015.
- [15] M. Blasone, A. Capolupo and G. Vitiello, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 025033;
- [16] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim and U. W. Lee, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 2414.
- C. W. Kim and A. Pevsner, Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics, Harwood Ac. Press, 1993.
- [17] M. Beuthe, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 013003; Phys. Rep. 375 (2003) 105.
- [18] M. Blasone, P. P. Pacheco and H. W. C. Tseung, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 073011.
- [19] K. Fujii, C. Habe and M. Blasone, [hep-ph/0212076].
- [20] S. M. Bilenky and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Rep. 41 (1978) 225.
- [21] T. Cheng and L. Li, *Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989.
- [22] H. Umezawa, H. Matsumoto and M. Tachiki, Thermo Field Dynamics and Condensed States, North-Holland Publ.Co., Amsterdam, 1982; H.Umezawa, Advanced Field Theory: Micro, Macro and Thermal Physics American Institute of Physics, 1993; P. A. Henning, Phys. Rept. 253 (1995) 235.
- [23] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, Quantum Field in Curved Space, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [24] A. Perelomov, Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [25] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1980.
- [26] R. Mohapatra and P. Pal, Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998