

High-energy scattering amplitudes in QCD: from Minkowskian to Euclidean space

E. Meggiolaro^a

^aDipartimento di Fisica, Università di Pisa, Via Buonarroti 2, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
E-mail: enrico.meggiolaro@df.unipi.it

We shall discuss about some analytic properties of the high-energy parton-parton (and hadron-hadron) scattering amplitudes in gauge theories, when going from Minkowskian to Euclidean theory, and we shall see how they can be related to the still unsolved problem of the s -dependence of the total cross-section.

1. Introduction

The parton-parton scattering amplitude, at high squared energies s in the center of mass and small squared transferred momentum t (that is $s \rightarrow \infty$ and $|t| \ll s$, let us say $|t| \leq 1 \text{ GeV}^2$), can be described by the expectation value of two infinite Wilson lines, running along the classical trajectories of the two colliding particles [1–4].

Let us consider, for example, the case of the quark-quark scattering amplitude. If one defines the scattering amplitude $T_{fi} = \langle f | \hat{T} | i \rangle$, between the initial state $|i\rangle$ and the final state $|f\rangle$, as follows (\hat{S} being the scattering operator)

$$\langle f | (\hat{S} - 1) | i \rangle = i(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_{fin} - P_{in}) \langle f | \hat{T} | i \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where P_{in} is the initial total four-momentum and P_{fin} is the final total four-momentum, then, in the center-of-mass reference system (c.m.s.), taking for example the initial trajectories of the two quarks along the x^1 -axis, the high-energy scattering amplitude T_{fi} has the following form [explicitly indicating the color indices (i, j, \dots) and the spin indices (α, β, \dots) of the quarks] [1–4]

$$\begin{aligned} T_{fi} &= \langle \psi_{i\alpha}(p'_1) \psi_{k\gamma}(p'_2) | \hat{T} | \psi_{j\beta}(p_1) \psi_{l\delta}(p_2) \rangle \\ &\underset{s \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -i2s \cdot \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\delta} \cdot \frac{1}{Z_W^2} \int d^2 \vec{z}_\perp e^{i\vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{z}_\perp} \\ &\times \langle [W_{p_1}(z_t) - 1]_{ij} [W_{p_2}(0) - 1]_{kl} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $q = (0, 0, \vec{q}_\perp)$, with $t = q^2 = -\vec{q}_\perp^2$, is the tranferred four-momentum and $z_t = (0, 0, \vec{z}_\perp)$, with $\vec{z}_\perp = (z^2, z^3)$, is the distance between the two trajectories in the *transverse* plane [the coor-

dinates (x^0, x^1) are often called *longitudinal* coordinates]. The expectation value $\langle f(A) \rangle$ is the average of $f(A)$ in the sense of the functional integration over the gluon field A^μ (including also the determinant of the fermion matrix, i.e., $\det[i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_0]$, where $D^\mu = \partial^\mu + igA^\mu$ is the covariant derivative and m_0 is the *bare* quark mass). The two infinite Wilson lines $W_{p_1}(z_t)$ and $W_{p_2}(0)$ in Eq. (2) are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{p_1}(z_t) &= \mathcal{T} \exp \left[-ig \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A_\mu(z_t + p_1 \tilde{\tau}) p_1^\mu d\tilde{\tau} \right]; \\ W_{p_2}(0) &= \mathcal{T} \exp \left[-ig \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} A_\mu(p_2 \tilde{\tau}) p_2^\mu d\tilde{\tau} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{T} stands for “*time ordering*” and $A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$; the four-vectors $p_1 \simeq (E, E, 0, 0)$ and $p_2 \simeq (E, -E, 0, 0)$ are the initial four-momenta of the two quarks [$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = 4E^2$].

Finally, Z_W in Eq. (2) is the residue at the pole (i.e., for $p^2 \rightarrow m^2$, m being the quark *pole* mass) of the unrenormalized quark propagator, which can be written in the eikonal approximation as [1,4]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_W &\simeq \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[W_{p_1}(z_t)] \rangle = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[W_{p_1}(0)] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[W_{p_2}(0)] \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where N_c is the number of colours.

In a perfectly analogous way, one can also derive the high-energy scattering amplitude for an elastic process involving two partons, which can

be quarks, antiquarks or gluons [2,4]. For an antiquark, one simply has to substitute the Wilson line $W_p(b)$ with its complex conjugate $W_p^*(b)$: this is due to the fact that the scattering amplitude of an antiquark in the external gluon field A_μ is equal to the scattering amplitude of a quark in the charge-conjugated (C-transformed) gluon field $A'_\mu = -A_\mu^t = -A_\mu^*$. In other words, going from quarks to antiquarks corresponds just to the change from the fundamental representation T_a of $SU(N_c)$ to the complex conjugate representation $T'_a = -T_a^*$. In the same way, going from quarks to gluons corresponds just to the change from the fundamental representation T_a of $SU(N_c)$ to the adjoint representation $T_a^{(adj)}$. So, if the parton is a gluon, one must substitute $W_p(b)$, the Wilson string in the fundamental representation, with $\mathcal{V}_k(b)$, the Wilson string in the adjoint representation [and the renormalization constant Z_W with $Z_V = \langle \text{Tr}[\mathcal{V}_k(0)] \rangle / (N_c^2 - 1)$].

In what follows, to be definite, we shall consider the case of the quark-quark scattering and we shall deal with the quantity

$$g_{M(ij,kl)}(s; t) \equiv \frac{1}{Z_W^2} \int d^2 \vec{z}_\perp e^{i \vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{z}_\perp} \times \langle [W_{p_1}(z_t) - \mathbf{1}]_{ij} [W_{p_2}(0) - \mathbf{1}]_{kl} \rangle, \quad (5)$$

in terms of which the scattering amplitude can be written as

$$T_{fi} = \langle \psi_{i\alpha}(p'_1) \psi_{k\gamma}(p'_2) | \hat{T} | \psi_{j\beta}(p_1) \psi_{l\delta}(p_2) \rangle \underset{s \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -i 2s \cdot \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\delta} \cdot g_{M(ij,kl)}(s; t). \quad (6)$$

At first sight, it could appear that the above expression (5) of the quantity g_M is essentially independent on the center-of-mass energy of the two quarks and that the s -dependence of the scattering amplitude is all contained in the kinematical factor $2s$ in front of the integral in Eq. (2). This is clearly in contradiction with the well-known fact that amplitudes in QCD have a very non-trivial s -dependence, whose origin lies in the infrared (IR) divergences typical of $3 + 1$ dimensional gauge theories. In more standard perturbative approaches to high-energy QCD, based on the direct computation of Feynman diagrams in the high-energy limit, these IR divergences are taken care of by restricting the rapidities of the

intermediate gluons to lie in between those of the two fast quarks (see, e.g., [5,6]). The classical trajectories of two quarks with a non-zero mass m and a center-of-mass energy squared $s = 4E^2$ are related by a finite Lorentz boost with rapidity parameter $\log(s/m^2)$, so that the size of the rapidity space for each intermediate gluon grows as $\log s$ and each Feynman diagram acquires an overall factor proportional to some power of $\log s$, depending on the number of intermediate gluon propagators.

In the case of the quantity (5), as was first pointed out by Verlinde and Verlinde in [7], the IR singularity is originated by the fact that the trajectories of the Wilson lines were taken to be lightlike and therefore have an infinite distance in rapidity space. One can regularize this infrared problem by giving the Wilson lines a small time-like component, such that they coincide with the classical trajectories for quarks with a non-zero mass m (this is equivalent to consider two Wilson lines forming a certain *finite* hyperbolic angle χ in Minkowskian space-time; of course, $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ when $s \rightarrow \infty$), and, in addition, by letting them end after some finite proper time $\pm T$ (and eventually letting $T \rightarrow \infty$). Such a regularization of the IR singularities gives rise to an s -dependence of the quantity g_M defined in (5) and, therefore, to a non-trivial s -dependence of the amplitude (2), as obtained by ordinary perturbation theory [5,6] and as confirmed by the experiments on hadron-hadron scattering processes. We refer the reader to Refs. [7] and [8–10] for a detailed discussion about this point.

The direct evaluation of the expectation value (5) is a highly non-trivial matter and it is also strictly connected with the renormalization properties of Wilson-line operators [11,12]. A non-perturbative approach for the calculation of (5) has been proposed and developed in Refs. [13,14], in the context of the so-called “stochastic vacuum model”. In three previous papers [8–10] we proposed and discussed a new approach, which consists in analytically continuing the scattering amplitude from the Minkowskian to the Euclidean world, so opening the possibility of studying the scattering amplitude non perturbatively by well-known and well-established techniques available

in the Euclidean theory (e.g., by means of the formulation of the theory on the lattice). This approach has been recently adopted in Refs. [15, 16], in order to study the high-energy scattering in strongly coupled gauge theories using the AdS/CFT correspondence, in Ref. [17], in order to investigate instanton-induced effects in QCD high-energy scattering, and also in Ref. [18], in the context of the so-called “loop-loop correlation model”, in which the QCD vacuum is described by perturbative gluon exchange and the non-perturbative stochastic vacuum model.

More explicitly, in Refs. [8,9] we have given arguments showing that the expectation value of two *infinite* Wilson lines, forming a certain hyperbolic angle χ in Minkowskian space-time, and the expectation value of two *infinite* Euclidean Wilson lines, forming a certain angle θ in Euclidean four-space, are connected by an analytic continuation in the angular variables. This relation of analytic continuation was proved in Ref. [8] for an Abelian gauge theory (QED) in the so-called *quenched* approximation and for a non-Abelian gauge theory (QCD) up to the fourth order in the renormalized coupling constant in perturbation theory; a general proof was finally given in Ref. [9]. The relation of analytic continuation between the amplitudes $g_M(\chi; t)$ and $g_E(\theta; t)$, in the Minkowskian and the Euclidean world, was derived in Refs. [8,9] using *infinite* Wilson lines, i.e., directly in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ and assuming that the amplitudes were independent on T . In other words, the results derived in Refs. [8,9] apply to the cutoff-independent part of the amplitudes.

On the contrary, in Ref. [10] we have considered IR-regularized amplitudes at any T (including also possible divergent pieces when $T \rightarrow \infty$) and, generalizing the results of Ref. [9], we have given the general proof that the expectation value of two IR-regularized Wilson lines, forming a certain hyperbolic angle in Minkowskian space-time, and the expectation value of two IR-regularized Euclidean Wilson lines, forming a certain angle in Euclidean four-space, are connected by an analytic continuation in the angular variables and in the IR cutoff T . This result can be used to evaluate the IR-regularized high-energy scatter-

ing amplitude directly in the Euclidean theory, as discussed in Sect. 2. The conclusions and an outlook are given in Sect. 3.

2. From Minkowskian to Euclidean theory

Let us consider the following quantity, defined in Minkowskian space-time:

$$g_M(p_1, p_2; T; t) = \frac{M(p_1, p_2; T; t)}{Z_M(p_1; T) Z_M(p_2; T)},$$

$$M(p_1, p_2; T; t) = \int d^2 \vec{z}_\perp e^{i \vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{z}_\perp} \times \langle [W_{p_1}^{(T)}(z_t) - \mathbf{1}]_{ij} [W_{p_2}^{(T)}(0) - \mathbf{1}]_{kl} \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where $z_t = (0, 0, \vec{z}_\perp)$ and $q = (0, 0, \vec{q}_\perp)$, so that $t = -\vec{q}_\perp^2 = q^2$. The Minkowskian four-momenta p_1 and p_2 are arbitrary four-vectors lying in the longitudinal plane (x^0, x^1) [so that $\vec{p}_{1\perp} = \vec{p}_{2\perp} = \vec{0}_\perp$] and define the trajectories of the two IR-regularized Wilson lines $W_{p_1}^{(T)}$ and $W_{p_2}^{(T)}$:

$$W_{p_1}^{(T)}(z_t) \equiv \mathcal{T} \exp \left[-ig \int_{-T}^{+T} A_\mu(z_t + \frac{p_1}{m} \tau) \frac{p_1^\mu}{m} d\tau \right];$$

$$W_{p_2}^{(T)}(0) \equiv \mathcal{T} \exp \left[-ig \int_{-T}^{+T} A_\mu(\frac{p_2}{m} \tau) \frac{p_2^\mu}{m} d\tau \right]. \quad (8)$$

$A_\mu = A_\mu^a T^a$ and m is the quark *pole* mass. T is our IR cutoff.

$Z_M(p; T)$ in Eq. (7) is defined as (N_c being the number of colours)

$$Z_M(p; T) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[W_p^{(T)}(z_t)] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[W_p^{(T)}(0)] \rangle. \quad (9)$$

(The last equality comes from the space-time translation invariance.) This is a sort of Wilson-line’s renormalization constant: as shown in Ref. [4], $Z_M(p; T \rightarrow \infty)$ is the residue at the pole (i.e., for $p^2 \rightarrow m^2$) of the unrenormalized quark propagator, in the eikonal approximation.

In an analogous way, we can consider the following quantity, defined in Euclidean four-space:

$$g_E(p_{1E}, p_{2E}; T; t) = \frac{E(p_{1E}, p_{2E}; T; t)}{Z_E(p_{1E}; T) Z_E(p_{2E}; T)},$$

$$E(p_{1E}, p_{2E}; T; t) = \int d^2 \vec{z}_\perp e^{i \vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{z}_\perp} \times \langle [\tilde{W}_{p_{1E}}^{(T)}(z_{tE}) - \mathbf{1}]_{ij} [\tilde{W}_{p_{2E}}^{(T)}(0) - \mathbf{1}]_{kl} \rangle_E, \quad (10)$$

where $z_{tE} = (0, \vec{z}_\perp, 0)$ and $q_E = (0, \vec{q}_\perp, 0)$, so that: $t = -\vec{q}_\perp^2 = -q_E^2$. The expectation value $\langle \dots \rangle_E$ must be intended now as a functional integration with respect to the gauge variable $A_\mu^{(E)} = A_\mu^{(E)a} T^a$ in the Euclidean theory. The Euclidean four-momenta p_{1E} and p_{2E} are arbitrary four-vectors lying in the plane (x_1, x_4) [so that $\vec{p}_{1E\perp} = \vec{p}_{2E\perp} = \vec{0}_\perp$] and define the trajectories of the two IR-regularized Euclidean Wilson lines $\tilde{W}_{p_{1E}}^{(T)}$ and $\tilde{W}_{p_{2E}}^{(T)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}_{p_{1E}}^{(T)}(z_{tE}) &\equiv \\ \mathcal{T} \exp \left[-ig \int_{-T}^{+T} A_\mu^{(E)}(z_{tE} + \frac{p_{1E}}{m} \tau) \frac{p_{1E\mu}}{m} d\tau \right]; \\ \tilde{W}_{p_{2E}}^{(T)}(0) &\equiv \\ \mathcal{T} \exp \left[-ig \int_{-T}^{+T} A_\mu^{(E)}(\frac{p_{2E}}{m} \tau) \frac{p_{2E\mu}}{m} d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$Z_E(p_E; T)$ in Eq. (10) is defined analogously to $Z_M(p; T)$ in Eq. (9):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_E(p_E; T) &\equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[\tilde{W}_{p_E}^{(T)}(z_{tE})] \rangle_E \\ &= \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{Tr}[\tilde{W}_{p_E}^{(T)}(0)] \rangle_E. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

(The last equality comes from the translation invariance in Euclidean four-space.)

Since we finally want to obtain the expression (2) of the scattering amplitude in the c.m.s. of the two quarks, taking their initial trajectories along the x^1 -axis, we *choose* p_1 and p_2 to be the four-momenta of the two particles with mass m , moving with speed β and $-\beta$ along the x^1 -direction, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= E(1, \beta, 0, 0), \\ p_2 &= E(1, -\beta, 0, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $E = m/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$ (in units with $c = 1$) is the energy of each particle (so that: $s = 4E^2$). We now introduce the hyperbolic angle ψ [in the plane (x^0, x^1)] of the trajectory of $W_{p_1}^{(T)}$: it is

given by $\beta = \tanh \psi$. We can give the explicit form of the Minkowskian four-vectors p_1 and p_2 in terms of the hyperbolic angle ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= m(\cosh \psi, \sinh \psi, 0, 0), \\ p_2 &= m(\cosh \psi, -\sinh \psi, 0, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Clearly, $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = m^2$ and

$$p_1 \cdot p_2 = m^2 \cosh(2\psi) = m^2 \cosh \chi, \quad (15)$$

where $\chi = 2\psi$ is the hyperbolic angle [in the plane (x^0, x^1)] between the two trajectories of $W_{p_1}^{(T)}$ and $W_{p_2}^{(T)}$.

Analogously, in the Euclidean theory we *choose* a reference frame in which the spatial vectors \vec{p}_{1E} and $\vec{p}_{2E} = -\vec{p}_{1E}$ are along the x_1 -direction and, moreover, $p_{1E}^2 = p_{2E}^2 = m^2$; that is:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1E} &= m(\sin \phi, 0, 0, \cos \phi); \\ p_{2E} &= m(-\sin \phi, 0, 0, \cos \phi), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where ϕ is the angle formed by each trajectory with the x_4 -axis. The value of ϕ is between 0 and $\pi/2$, so that the angle $\theta = 2\phi$ between the two Euclidean trajectories $\tilde{W}_{p_{1E}}^{(T)}$ and $\tilde{W}_{p_{2E}}^{(T)}$ lies in the range $[0, \pi]$: it is always possible to make such a choice by virtue of the $O(4)$ symmetry of the Euclidean theory. From (16) we derive that:

$$p_{1E} \cdot p_{2E} = m^2 \cos \theta. \quad (17)$$

[A short remark about the notation: we have denoted everywhere the scalar product by a “ \cdot ”, both in the Minkowskian and the Euclidean world. Of course, when A and B are Minkowskian four-vectors, then $A \cdot B = A^\mu B_\mu = A^0 B^0 - \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$; while, if A_E and B_E are Euclidean four-vectors, then $A_E \cdot B_E = A_{E\mu} B_{E\mu} = \vec{A}_E \cdot \vec{B}_E + A_{E4} B_{E4}$.]

It has been proved in Ref. [10] that, if we denote with $M(\chi; T; t)$ the value of $M(p_1, p_2; T; t)$ for p_1 and p_2 given by Eq. (14) and we also denote with $E(\theta; T; t)$ the value of $E(p_{1E}, p_{2E}; T; t)$ for p_{1E} and p_{2E} given by Eq. (16), the following relation holds (reminding that $\phi = \theta/2$ and $\psi = \chi/2$):

$$E(\theta; T; t) = M(\chi \rightarrow i\theta; T \rightarrow -iT; t). \quad (18)$$

Let us consider, now, the Wilson-line's renormalization constants $Z_M(p; T)$ in the Minkowskian

theory and $Z_E(p_E; T)$ in the Euclidean theory, defined by Eqs. (9) and (12) respectively.

From the definition (9), $Z_M(p; T)$, considered as a function of a general four-vector p , is a scalar function constructed with the only four-vector $u \equiv p/m$. In a perfectly analogous way, from the definition (12) in the Euclidean case, $Z_E(p_E; T)$, considered as a function of a general Euclidean four-vector p_E , is a scalar function constructed with the only Euclidean four-vector $u_E \equiv p_E/m$. It has been proved in Ref. [10] that, if we denote with $Z_W(T)$ the value of $Z_M(p_1; T)$ or $Z_M(p_2; T)$ for p_1 and p_2 given by Eq. (14) and we also denote with $Z_{WE}(T)$ the value of $Z_E(p_{1E}; T)$ or $Z_E(p_{2E}; T)$ for p_{1E} and p_{2E} given by Eq. (16), the following relation holds:

$$Z_{WE}(T) = Z_W(-iT). \quad (19)$$

Combining this identity with Eq. (18), we find that the Minkowskian and the Euclidean amplitudes, defined by Eqs. (7) and (10), with p_1 and p_2 given by Eq. (14) and p_{1E} and p_{2E} given by Eq. (16), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} g_M(\chi; T; t) &\equiv \frac{M(\chi; T; t)}{[Z_W(T)]^2}, \\ g_E(\theta; T; t) &\equiv \frac{E(\theta; T; t)}{[Z_{WE}(T)]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

are connected by the following relation [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} g_E(\theta; T; t) &= g_M(\chi \rightarrow i\theta; T \rightarrow -iT; t); \\ g_M(\chi; T; t) &= g_E(\theta \rightarrow -i\chi; T \rightarrow iT; t). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The relation (21) of analytic continuation has been derived for a non-Abelian gauge theory with gauge group $SU(N_c)$. It is clear, however, from the derivation fully reported in Ref. [10], that the same result is valid also for an Abelian gauge theory (QED).

Moreover, even if the result (21) has been explicitly derived for the case of the quark-quark scattering, it is immediately generalized to the more generale case of the parton-parton scattering, where each parton can be a quark, an antiquark or a gluon. In fact, as explained in the Introduction, one simply has to use a proper Wilson line for each parton: $W_p(b)$, the Wilson string in the fundamental representation T^a , for a quark;

$W_p^*(b)$, the Wilson string in the complex conjugate representation $T_a' = -T_a^*$, for an antiquark; and $\mathcal{V}_k(b)$, the Wilson string in the adjoint representation $T_a^{(adj)}$, for a gluon. The proof leading to Eq. (21) is then repeated step by step, after properly modifying the definitions (8) and (11) of the Wilson lines. [If the parton is a gluon, one must substitute the quark mass m appearing in all previous formulae with an arbitrarily small mass $\mu \rightarrow 0$. The IR cutoff appears in all expressions in the form of the ratio T/μ for a gluon and T/m for a quark/antiquark.]

The relation (21), originally derived in Ref. [10], completely generalizes the results of Ref. [9], where we derived a relation of analytic continuation between the amplitudes $g_M(\chi; t)$ and $g_E(\theta; t)$, in the Minkowskian and the Euclidean world, using *infinite* Wilson lines, i.e., directly in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ and assuming that the amplitudes were independent on T . In other words, we can claim that the results of Ref. [9] apply to the cutoff-independent part of the amplitudes, while Eq. (21) is a relation of analytic continuation between IR-regularized amplitudes at any T .

The result (21) can be used to evaluate the IR-regularized high-energy parton-parton scattering amplitude directly in the Euclidean theory. In fact, the IR-regularized high-energy scattering amplitude is given (e.g., for the case of the quark-quark scattering) by

$$\begin{aligned} Tfi &= \langle \psi_{i\alpha}(p_1') \psi_{k\gamma}(p_2') | \hat{T} | \psi_{j\beta}(p_1) \psi_{l\delta}(p_2) \rangle_{s \rightarrow \infty} \\ &\quad - i2s \cdot \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\delta} \cdot g_M(\chi \rightarrow \infty; T \rightarrow \infty; t), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the quantity $g_M(\chi; T; t)$, defined by Eq. (7), is essentially a correlation function of two IR-regularized Wilson lines forming a certain hyperbolic angle χ in Minkowskian space-time. For deriving the dependence on s one exploits the fact that the hyperbolic angle χ is a function of s . In fact, from $s = 4E^2$, $E = m/\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$, and $\beta = \tanh(\chi/2)$ [see Eqs. (13), (14) and (15)], one immediately finds that:

$$s = 2m^2(\cosh \chi + 1). \quad (23)$$

Therefore, in the high-energy limit $s \rightarrow \infty$ (or $\chi \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., $\beta \rightarrow 1$), the hyperbolic angle χ is

essentially equal to the logarithm of s/m^2 (for a non-zero quark mass m):

$$\chi \underset{s \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \log \left(\frac{s}{m^2} \right). \quad (24)$$

The quantity $g_M(\chi; T; t)$ is linked to the corresponding Euclidean quantity $g_E(\theta; T; t)$, defined by Eq. (10), by the analytic continuation (21) in the angular variables and in the IR cutoff T . Therefore, one can start by evaluating $g_E(\theta; T; t)$, which is essentially a correlation function of two IR-regularized Wilson lines forming a certain angle θ in Euclidean four-space, and then one can continue this quantity into Minkowskian space-time by rotating the Euclidean angular variable clockwise, $\theta \rightarrow -i\chi$, and the IR cutoff (Euclidean proper time) anticlockwise, $T \rightarrow iT$: in such a way one reconstructs the Minkowskian quantity $g_M(\chi; T; t)$. As was pointed out in [16], one should note that *a priori* there is an ambiguity in making such an analytic continuation, depending on the precise choice of the path. This phenomenon does not appear when the Euclidean correlation function $g_E(\theta; T; t)$ has only simple poles in the complex θ -plane, but in some cases the analyticity structure can contain branch cuts in the complex plane, which must be taken into account: we refer the reader to Ref. [16] for a full discussion about this point.

3. From Wilson lines to Wilson loops

We want to conclude by making a remark about the problem of the IR divergences which appear in the high-energy scattering amplitudes.

A well-known feature of the parton-parton scattering amplitude is its IR divergence, which, as we have already said in the Introduction, is typical of $3 + 1$ dimensional gauge theories and which, in our formulation, manifests itself in the IR singularity of the correlation function of two Wilson lines when $T \rightarrow \infty$. In many cases these IR divergences can be factorized out.

As suggested in Ref. [16], an alternative way to eliminate this cutoff dependence is to consider an IR-finite physical quantity, like the scattering amplitude of two colourless states in gauge theories, e.g., two $q\bar{q}$ meson states. It was shown in Ref. [2] that the high-energy meson-meson

scattering amplitude can be approximately reconstructed by first evaluating, in the eikonal approximation, the scattering amplitude of two $q\bar{q}$ pairs, of given transverse sizes $\vec{R}_{1\perp}$ and $\vec{R}_{2\perp}$ respectively, and then folding this amplitude with two proper wave functions $\omega_1(\vec{R}_{1\perp})$ and $\omega_2(\vec{R}_{2\perp})$ describing the two interacting mesons. It turns out that the high-energy scattering amplitude of two $q\bar{q}$ pairs of transverse sizes $\vec{R}_{1\perp}$ and $\vec{R}_{2\perp}$, and impact-parameter distance \vec{z}_\perp , is governed by the correlation function of two Wilson loops \mathcal{W}_1 and \mathcal{W}_2 , which follow the classical straight lines for quark (antiquark) trajectories [2,13]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_1^{(T)}(\vec{z}_\perp, \vec{R}_{1\perp}) &\rightarrow X_{\pm 1}^\mu(\tau) = z_t^\mu + \frac{p_1^\mu}{m}\tau \pm \frac{R_{1t}^\mu}{2}, \\ \mathcal{W}_2^{(T)}(\vec{0}_\perp, \vec{R}_{2\perp}) &\rightarrow X_{\pm 2}^\mu(\tau) = \frac{p_2^\mu}{m}\tau \pm \frac{R_{2t}^\mu}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

[where, as usual, $z_t = (0, 0, \vec{z}_\perp)$ and also $R_{1t} = (0, 0, \vec{R}_{1\perp})$ and $R_{2t} = (0, 0, \vec{R}_{2\perp})$] and close at proper times $\tau = \pm T$.

The same analytic continuation (21), that has been derived for the case of Wilson lines, is, of course, expected to apply also to the Wilson-loop correlators: the proof can be repeated going essentially through the same steps (see Ref. [10]), after adapting the definitions (8) and (11) from the case of Wilson lines to the case of Wilson loops. However, in this case the cutoff dependence on T is expected to be removed together with the related IR divergence which was present for the case of Wilson lines. As an example to illustrate these considerations, let us consider the simple case of *quenched* QED. In this case, the calculation of the correlator of the two Wilson loops given in Eq. (25) can be performed explicitly and one finds the following result, in the Minkowskian space-time:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\langle \mathcal{W}_1^{(T)}(\vec{z}_\perp, \vec{R}_{1\perp}) \mathcal{W}_2^{(T)}(\vec{0}_\perp, \vec{R}_{2\perp}) \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{W}_1^{(T)}(\vec{z}_\perp, \vec{R}_{1\perp}) \rangle \langle \mathcal{W}_2^{(T)}(\vec{0}_\perp, \vec{R}_{2\perp}) \rangle} \underset{T \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \\ &\exp \left[-i4e^2 \cot \chi \int \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{-i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{z}_\perp}}{\vec{k}_\perp^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sin \left(\frac{\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{R}_{1\perp}}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{R}_{2\perp}}{2} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where χ is, as usual, the hyperbolic angle between the two Wilson loops. The analogous calculation in the Euclidean space gives the following result:

$$\frac{\langle \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{1E}^{(T)}(\vec{z}_\perp, \vec{R}_{1\perp}) \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{2E}^{(T)}(\vec{0}_\perp, \vec{R}_{2\perp}) \rangle_E}{\langle \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{1E}^{(T)}(\vec{z}_\perp, \vec{R}_{1\perp}) \rangle_E \langle \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{2E}^{(T)}(\vec{0}_\perp, \vec{R}_{2\perp}) \rangle_E} \stackrel{T \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \exp \left[-4e^2 \cot \theta \int \frac{d^2 \vec{k}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{e^{-i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{z}_\perp}}{\vec{k}_\perp^2} \right. \\ \left. \times \sin \left(\frac{\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{R}_{1\perp}}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{R}_{2\perp}}{2} \right) \right], \quad (27)$$

where θ is the angle between the two Euclidean Wilson loops. One can see explicitly that the two quantities (26) and (27) are indeed IR finite (when $T \rightarrow \infty$) and are connected by the usual analytic continuation in the angular variables ($\chi \rightarrow i\theta$).

In our opinion, the high-energy scattering problem could be directly investigated on the lattice using this Wilson-loop formulation. A further advantage of the Wilson-loop formulation, which makes it suitable to be studied on the lattice, is that, contrary to the Wilson-line formulation, it is manifestly gauge-invariant. (In the case of the parton-parton scattering amplitude, gauge invariance can be restored, at least for the *diffractive*, i.e., no-colour-exchange, part proportional to $\langle \text{Tr}[W_{p_1}(z_t) - \mathbf{1}] \text{Tr}[W_{p_2}(0) - \mathbf{1}] \rangle$, by requiring that the gauge transformations at both ends of the Wilson lines are the same [1,7].) A considerable progress is expected along this line in the near future.

REFERENCES

1. O. Nachtmann, Ann. Phys. **209** (1991) 436.
2. O. Nachtmann, in *Perturbative and Non-perturbative aspects of Quantum Field Theory*, edited by H. Latal and W. Schweiger (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997).
3. E. Meggiolaro, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 3835.
4. E. Meggiolaro, Nucl. Phys. B **602** (2001) 261.
5. H. Cheng and T.T. Wu, *Expanding Protons: Scattering at High Energies* (MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1987).
6. L.N. Lipatov, in *Review in Perturbative QCD*,

edited by A.H. Mueller (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989), and references therein.

7. H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, Princeton University, report No. PUPT-1319 (revised 1993); hep-th/9302104.
8. E. Meggiolaro, Z. Phys. C **76** (1997) 523.
9. E. Meggiolaro, Eur. Phys. J. C **4** (1998) 101.
10. E. Meggiolaro, Nucl. Phys. B **625** (2002) 312.
11. I.Ya. Aref'eva, Phys. Lett. **93B** (1980) 347.
12. G.P. Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B **325** (1994) 459;
I.A. Korchemskaya and G.P. Korchemsky, Nucl. Phys. B **437** (1995) 127.
13. H.G. Dosch, E. Ferreira and A. Krämer, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 1992.
14. E.R. Berger and O. Nachtmann, Eur. Phys. J. C **7** (1999) 459.
15. R.A. Janik and R. Peschanski, Nucl. Phys. B **565** (2000) 193.
16. R.A. Janik and R. Peschanski, Nucl. Phys. B **586** (2000) 163.
17. E. Shuryak and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) 085014.
18. A.I. Shoshi, F.D. Steffen, H.G. Dosch and H.J. Pirner, Heidelberg University, preprint HD-THP-02-22; hep-ph/0211287.