

Perturbative Logarithms and Power Corrections in QCD Hadronic Functions. A Unifying Approach *

N. G. Stefanis [†]

Institut für Theoretische Physik II

Ruhr-Universität Bochum

D-44780 Bochum, Germany

(November 1, 2018)

Abstract

I present a unifying scheme for hadronic functions that comprises logarithmic corrections due to gluon emission in perturbative QCD, as well as power-behaved corrections of nonperturbative origin. The latter are derived by demanding that perturbatively resummed partonic observables should be analytic in the whole Q^2 -plane if they are to be related to physical observables measured in experiments. I also show phenomenological consequences of this approach. The focus is on the electromagnetic pion form factor to illustrate both effects, Sudakov logarithms and power corrections in leading order of $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2/Q^2$. The same approach applied to the inclusive Drell-Yan cross section enables us to perform an absolutely normalized calculation of the leading power correction in $b^2\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$ (b being the impact parameter), which after exponentiation, gives rise to a nonperturbative Sudakov-type contribution that provides enhancement rather than suppression, hence partly counteracting the perturbative Sudakov suppression.

Typeset using REVTeX

*Invited plenary talk presented at the *8th Adriatic Meeting on Particle Physics in the New Millennium*, Dubrovnik, Croatia, September 4-14, 2001.

[†]Email: stefanis@tp2.ruhr-uni-bochum.de

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, effort in QCD has turned increasingly toward the problem of including resummation effects due to multiple soft gluon emission, both in perturbation theory, as well as in the nonperturbative regime. The first effect is related to Sudakov suppression [1], well-known from QED, whereas those in the nonperturbative regime manifest themselves as power-behaved corrections [2], which, after exponentiation, amount to a Sudakov-like form factor [3]. However, as it turns out [4] this contribution provides enhancement rather than suppression. The hope is that improving the perturbative and nonperturbative structure of the theory this way, it will be possible to get better agreement with the existing hadronic data in terms of both correct overall shape and also normalization. In these investigations the crucial organizing principle is QCD factorization, which provides a handle to separate the short-distance (hard) component of a reaction (controlled by the large mass scale in the process, Q) - that will be treated perturbatively - from its long-distance (soft) nonperturbative part, related to the nontrivial QCD vacuum structure (and field condensates).

In processes which involve the emission of virtual gluon quanta of low momentum, one must resum their contributions to all orders of the strong coupling constant. This gives rise to exponentially suppressing factors in b -space (where b is the impact parameter conjugate to the transverse momentum $Q_\perp$) of the reaction amplitude (or cross-section) of the Sudakov type with exponents containing double and single logarithms of the large mass scale of the process [1]. However, because of the Landau singularity of the running coupling at transverse distances $b \propto 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, an essential singularity appears in the Sudakov factor. Thus, one has to consider power corrections of $\mathcal{O}(b^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$, which, though negligible for small b relative to logarithmic corrections $\propto \ln(b^2 \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2)$, may become important for larger values of the impact parameter.

In this talk, I will discuss a general methodology to treat (power) series in the running strong coupling in connection with gluon emission. To be more precise, I will address this issue in terms of two processes: one to which the OPE applies, viz. the pion electromagnetic form factor at leading perturbative order, and another, the Drell-Yan process, to which the OPE is not applicable. The first is a typical example of an exclusive process with registered intact hadrons in the initial and final states (for a recent review and references, see, e.g., [5]). Such processes provide a “window” to view the detailed structure of hadrons in terms of quarks and gluons at Fermi level (*Hadron Femtoscropy*). The Drell-Yan mechanism, on the other hand, has two identified hadrons in the initial state and a lepton pair (plus unspecified particles) in the final state, whose transverse momentum distribution is proportional to the large invariant mass of the materialized photon.

The goal in the second case will be to obtain not only the usual resummed (Sudakov) expression (which comprises logarithmic corrections due to soft-gluon radiation), but also to include the leading power correction as well, specifying, in particular, its concomitant coefficient. This becomes possible within a theoretical scheme, which models the IR behavior of the running coupling by demanding analyticity of physical observables (in the complex Q^2 plane) as a *whole* – as opposed to imposing analyticity of individual powers, i.e., order by order in perturbation theory –, while preserving renormalization-group invariance (references and additional information can be found in the recent surveys [6,7] and D.V. Shirkov, these proceedings). The underlying idea behind our method [4], is to demand that if hadronic

observables, calculated at the partonic level, are to be compared with experimental data, they have to be analytic in the entire Q^2 plane. This “analytization” procedure encompasses Renormalization Group (RG) invariance (i.e., resummation of UV logarithms and correct UV asymptotics) and causality (which imposes a spectral representation). As we shall see below, *analytization* removes all unphysical singularities in the the IR region, rendering perturbatively calculated hadronic observables IR-renormalon free.

2. ANALYTIC FACTORIZATION SCHEME (AFS)

2.1 Perturbative Pion Form factor with Sudakov Corrections

Let us conduct our investigation by considering the space-like electromagnetic pion’s form factor in the transverse (impact) configuration space:

$$F_\pi(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(4\pi)^2} \mathcal{P}_\pi^{\text{out}}(y, b, P'; C_1, C_2, C_4) T_H(x, y, b, Q; C_3, C_4) \times \mathcal{P}_\pi^{\text{in}}(x, b, P; C_1, C_2, C_4) + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where the modified pion wave function is defined in terms of matrix elements, viz.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\pi(x, b, P, \mu) &= \int^{|\mathbf{k}_\perp| < \mu} d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp e^{-i \mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{b}_\perp} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\pi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \mathbf{P}) \\ &= \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{-ixP^+ z^-} \langle 0 | T \left(\bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ \gamma_5 q(0, z^-, \mathbf{b}_\perp) \right) | \pi(P) \rangle_{A^+=0} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with $P^+ = Q/\sqrt{2} = P'^+$, $Q^2 = -(P' - P)^2$, whereas the dependence on the renormalization scale μ on the RHS of (2) enters through the normalization scale of the current operator, evaluated on the light cone, and the dependence on the effective quark mass has not been displayed explicitly. In (2), T_H is the amplitude for a quark and an anti-quark to scatter via a series of hard-gluon exchanges with gluonic transverse momenta (alias inter-quark transverse distances) not neglected from the outset. In the above, the ellipsis indicates the non-factorizing soft part, as well as disregarded higher-order corrections. The scheme constants C_i emerge from the truncation of the perturbative series and would be absent if one was able to derive all-order expressions in the coupling constant. The scale C_1/b ($C_1 = C_3$) serves to separate perturbative from non-perturbative transverse distances (lower factorization scale of the Sudakov regime and *transverse* cutoff). The re-summation range in the Sudakov form factor is limited from above by the scale $C_2 \xi Q$ (upper factorization scale of the Sudakov regime and *collinear* cutoff).¹ The arbitrary constant C_4 serves to define the renormalization scale $C_4 f(x, y) Q = \mu_R$, which appears in the argument of the analytic running coupling α_s^{an} [8] (choice of renormalization prescription):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\alpha}_s^{\text{an}(1)}(Q^2) &\equiv \bar{\alpha}_s^{\text{pert}(1)}(Q^2) + \bar{\alpha}_s^{\text{npert}(1)}(Q^2) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \left[\frac{1}{\ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2 - Q^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

¹Note that $\sqrt{2}C_2 = C_2^{\text{CSS}}$ [1].




Figure 1. (a) Sudakov form factor versus transverse separation b for three Q^2 values: $Q_1 = 2$ GeV, $Q_2 = 5$ GeV, and $Q_3 = 10$ GeV, with all $\xi_i = 1/2$, and where we have set $C_1 = 2e^{-\gamma_E}$, $C_2 = e^{-1/2}$ and $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.242$ GeV. The dotted curve shows the result obtained with $\alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}}$, and $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.2$ GeV for $Q_2 = 5$ GeV, using the same set of C_i . In that case, evolution is limited by the (renormalization) scale $\mu_R = t = \{\max\sqrt{xy}Q, C_1/b\}$, as proposed in [10], albeit the enhancement at small b -values due to the quark anomalous dimension is not neglected here. (b) Saturation behavior of pion's electromagnetic form factor, calculated in the AFS at NLO with commensurate scale setting (see text) and including a mass term (with $m_q = 0.33$ GeV) in the BHL ansatz [11] for the soft pion wave function. The scheme parameters are defined in (17). Here b_{cr} denotes the integration cutoff over transverse distances in (23). The momentum transfer values are as in part (a)

where here and below $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ is the QCD scale parameter.

To leading order in analytic perturbation theory (APT), one has

$$T_H(x, y, b, Q; \mu_R) = 8C_F\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu_R^2)K_0(\sqrt{xy}bQ), \quad (4)$$

where $C_F = (N_c^2 - 1)/2N_c = 4/3$ for $SU(3)_c$. The amplitude

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi(x, b, P \simeq Q, C_1, C_2, \mu) = \exp \left[-s(x, b, Q, C_1, C_2) - s(\bar{x}, b, Q, C_1, C_2) - 2 \int_{C_1/b}^\mu \frac{d\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\mu}} \gamma_q(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\bar{\mu})) \right] \mathcal{P}_\pi(x, b, C_1/b) \quad (5)$$

describes the distribution of longitudinal momentum fractions of the $q\bar{q}$ pair, taking into account the intrinsic transverse size of the pion state and comprising corrections due to soft real and virtual gluons, including also evolution from the initial amplitude $\mathcal{P}_\pi(x, b, C_1/b)$ at scale C_1/b to the renormalization scale $\mu \propto Q$ (more details and references are relegated to [9]). The main effect of the absence of a Landau pole in the running coupling α_s^{an} is to make the functions $s(x, b, Q, C_1, C_2)$, $s(\bar{x}, b, Q, C_1, C_2)$ well-defined (analytic) in the IR region and to slow down evolution by extending soft-gluon cancellation down to the scale $C_1/b \simeq \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, where the full Sudakov form factor acquires a finite value, modulo its Q^2 dependence (see LHS of Fig. 1). In addition, as we shall see below, the Sudakov exponent contains power-behaved corrections in $(C_1/b\Lambda)^{2p}$ and $(C_2/\xi Q\Lambda)^{2p}$, starting with $p = 1$. Such contributions are the footprints of soft gluon emission at the kinematic boundaries to the non-perturbative QCD regime, characterized by the transversal (or IR) and the longitudinal (or collinear) cutoffs.

The pion distribution amplitude evaluated at the (low) factorization scale C_1/b is approximately given by

Table 1. Values of parameters entering the pion wave function [9]. The values in parentheses refer to the case $m_q = 0$ and the subscript “as” on β_π^2 to the asymptotic distribution amplitude

Input parameters	Determined parameters
$m_q = 0.33 \text{ GeV}$	$A = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 10.01 \text{ } (\frac{1}{6} \cdot 6)$
$f_\pi = 0.1307 \text{ GeV}$	$\beta_{\text{as}}^2 = 0.871 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \text{ } (0.743 \text{ GeV}^{-2})$
	$\langle \mathbf{k}^2 \rangle^{1/2} = 0.352 \text{ GeV} \text{ } (0.367 \text{ GeV})$
	$P_{q\bar{q}} = 0.306 \text{ } (0.250)$

$$\mathcal{P}_\pi(x, b, C_1/b, m_q) \simeq \frac{f_\pi/\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{N_c}} \phi_\pi(x, C_1/b) \Sigma(x, b, m_q). \quad (6)$$

To model the intrinsic transverse momenta of the pion bound state, we have to make an ansatz for their distribution. (For a recent derivation from an instanton-based model, see [12]). Here, I employ the Brodsky-Huang-Lepage (BHL) ansatz [11] and parameterize the distribution $\Sigma(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \mathbf{m}_q)$ in the intrinsic transverse momentum $k_\perp$ (or equivalently the intrinsic inter-quark transverse distance b) in the form of a *non-factorizing* in the variables x and $k_\perp$ (or x and b) Gaussian function:

$$\Psi_\pi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \mathbf{C}_1/b, \mathbf{m}_q) = \frac{f_\pi/\sqrt{2}}{2N_c} \Phi_\pi(x, C_1/b) \Sigma(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \mathbf{m}_q), \quad (7)$$

where

$$\Phi_\pi(x, C_1/b) = A \Phi_{\text{as}}(x) = A 6x(1-x) \quad (8)$$

is the asymptotic distribution amplitude, with A being an appropriate normalization factor, and where

$$\Sigma(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \mathbf{m}_q) = 16\pi^2 \beta_\pi^2 g(x) \hat{\Sigma}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \hat{\Sigma}(x, m_q) \quad (9)$$

with

$$\hat{\Sigma}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \exp \left[-\beta_\pi^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) \right], \quad (10)$$

and

$$\hat{\Sigma}(x, m_q) = \exp \left[-\beta_\pi^2 m_q^2 g(x) \right]. \quad (11)$$

By inputting f_π and the value of the quark mass m_q and using $g(x) = 1/(x\bar{x})$, with $\bar{x} \equiv (1-x)$, we determine the parameters (we refer for more details to [9]) A , β_π^2 , $P_{q\bar{q}}$, and $\langle \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, tabulated in Table 1.

We have now to calculate the Sudakov contribution within the AFS. Generically, the Sudakov form factor $F_S(\xi, b, Q, C_1, C_2)$, i.e., the exponential factor in front of the wave

function, will be expressed as the expectation value of an open Wilson (world) line along a contour of finite extent, C , which follows the bent quark line in the hard-scattering process from the segment with direction (four-momentum) P to that with direction P' after being abruptly derailed by the hard interaction which creates a “cusp” in C . It is to be evaluated within the range of momenta from C_1/b (IR cutoff) to $C_2\xi Q$ (longitudinal cutoff) (where $\xi = x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}$) and the region of hard interaction of the Wilson line with the off-shell photon is factorized out. Then the Sudakov functions, entering (5), can be expressed in terms of the momentum-dependent cusp anomalous dimension of the bent contour [1,13–15] to read

$$s(\xi, b, Q, C_1, C_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1/b}^{C_2\xi Q} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\gamma, \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) \quad (12)$$

with the anomalous dimension of the cusp given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\gamma, \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) &= 2 \ln \left(\frac{C_2\xi Q}{\mu} \right) A(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) + B(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) , \\ &\equiv \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{pert}} + \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{npert}} , \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$\gamma = \ln(C_2\xi Q/\mu)$ being the cusp angle, i.e., the emission angle of a soft gluon and the bent eikonalized quark line after the external (large) momentum Q has been injected at the cusp point by the off-mass-shell photon, and where in the second line of (13) the superscripts relate to the origin of the corresponding terms in the running coupling. The functions A and B are known at two-loop order:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \mathcal{K}(C_1, \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) \right] \\ &= C_F \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(g(\mu))}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} K(C_1) C_F \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(g(\mu))}{\pi} \right)^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) &= -\frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{K}(C_1, \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) + \mathcal{G}(\xi, C_2, \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu))] \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(g(\mu))}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{C_1^2 e^{2\gamma_E - 1}}{C_2^2 4} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The first term in (14) is universal,² while the second one as well as the contribution termed B are scheme dependent. The K-factor in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme to two-loop order is given by [1,13,16]

$$K(C_1) = \left(\frac{67}{18} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) C_A - \frac{10}{9} n_f T_F + \beta_0 \ln(C_1 e^{\gamma_E}/2) \quad (16)$$

²In works quoted above, the cusp anomalous dimension is identified with the universal term, whereas the other (scheme and/or process dependent) terms are considered as additional anomalous dimensions. Here this distinction is irrelevant.

with $C_A = N_C = 3$, $n_f = 3$, $T_F = 1/2$, and γ_E being the Euler-Mascheroni constant. A set of constants C_i , ($i = 1, 2, 3$), which eliminate artifacts of dimensional regularization while practically preserving the matching between the re-summed and the fixed-order calculation, are [9]

$$C_1 = 2 \exp(-\gamma_E), \quad C_2 = \exp(-1/2), \quad C_3 = 2 \exp(-\gamma_E), \quad C_4 = \exp(-4/3), \\ K = 4.565, \quad \kappa = 0. \quad (17)$$

The quantities $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{G}$ in (15) are calculable using the non-Abelian extension to QCD [1] of the Grammer-Yennie method for QED or employing the Wilson (world) lines approach [13–15]. The soft (Sudakov-type) form factor depends only on the cusp angle which varies with the inter-quark transverse distance b ranging between C_1/b and $C_2\xi Q$. The corresponding anomalous dimensions are inter-linked through the relation $2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) = \gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu))$ with $\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) = C_F \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu^2)/\pi$, which shows that $\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\mathcal{K}} = A(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu))$. (Note that $\gamma_{\mathcal{G}} = -\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\gamma_q(\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu)) = -\alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu^2)/\pi$.)

The leading contribution to the Sudakov functions $s(\xi, b, Q, C_1, C_2)$ (where $\xi = x, \bar{x}, y, \bar{y}$) within our framework, is obtained by expanding the functions A and B in a power series in α_s^{an} and collecting together all large logarithms $\left(\frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}}}{\pi}\right)^n \ln\left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\xi bQ\right)^m$, which correspond to large logarithms $\ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{k_{\perp}^2}\right)$ in transverse momentum space. The leading contribution results from the expression

$$s(\xi, b, Q, C_1, C_2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C_1/b}^{C_2\xi Q} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left\{ 2 \ln\left(\frac{C_2\xi Q}{\mu}\right) \left[\frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}(2)}(\mu)}{\pi} A^{(1)} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \left(\frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}(1)}(\mu)}{\pi}\right)^2 A^{(2)}(C_1) \right] + \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}(1)}(\mu)}{\pi} B^{(1)}(C_1, C_2) + \dots \right\}, \quad (18)$$

where the two-loop expression [8] for the strong coupling is to be used in front of $A^{(1)}$, whereas the other two terms are to be evaluated with the one-loop result. Let me remark at this point that in the following we ignore the difference between the analytic strong coupling squared and its “analytized” second power. These issues will be considered elsewhere. The specific values of the coefficients $A^{(i)}$, $B^{(i)}$ are

$$A^{(1)} = C_F, \\ A^{(2)}(C_1) = \frac{1}{2} C_F K(C_1), \\ B^{(1)}(C_1, C_2) = \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(\frac{C_1^2 e^{2\gamma_E-1}}{C_2^2 4}\right), \quad (19)$$

in which the term proportional to $A^{(1)}$ represents the universal part. The *universal* part of the Sudakov factor in LLA and including power corrections, reads

$$F_S^{\text{univ}}(\mu_F, Q) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{C_F}{\beta_0} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) \ln\frac{\ln\tilde{Q}^2/\Lambda^2}{\ln\mu_F^2/\Lambda^2} - \ln\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\mu_F^2} + \ln\left(\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\mu_F^2}\right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \ln\frac{\Lambda^2 - \mu_F^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\mu_F^2} + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{\mu_F^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) \right] \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where $\hat{Q}$ represents the scale $C_2 \xi Q$ and the IR matching (factorization) scale μ_F varies with the inverse transverse distance b , i.e., $\mu_F = C_1/b$. Note that the four last terms in this equation originate from the non-perturbative power correction (cf. (13)), and that Li_2 is the dilogarithm (Spence) function which comprises power-behaved corrections of the IR-cutoff ($b\Lambda$) and the longitudinal cutoff (Q/Λ). To complete the discussion about the Sudakov factor, I display the result obtained by neglecting power corrections:

$$s(\xi, b, Q, C_1, C_2) = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left[\left(2A^{(1)}\hat{Q} + B^{(1)} \right) \ln \frac{\hat{Q}}{\hat{b}} - 2A^{(1)} (\hat{Q} - \hat{b}) \right] - \frac{4}{\beta_0^2} A^{(2)} \\ \times \left(\ln \frac{\hat{Q}}{\hat{b}} - \frac{\hat{Q} - \hat{b}}{\hat{b}} \right) + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^3} A^{(1)} \left\{ \ln \frac{\hat{Q}}{\hat{b}} - \frac{\hat{Q} - \hat{b}}{\hat{b}} [1 + \ln(2\hat{b})] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} [\ln^2(2\hat{Q}) - \ln^2(2\hat{b})] \right\}, \quad (21)$$

where the convenient abbreviations [10] $\hat{Q} \equiv \ln \frac{C_2 \xi Q}{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{b} \equiv \ln \frac{C_1}{b\Lambda}$ have been used. Note that expressions given in the literature by other authors are erroneous.

In the following, (18) is evaluated numerically to NLLA with appropriate kinematic bounds [9] to ensure proper factorization at the numerical level. The electromagnetic pion form factor in next-to-leading logarithmic order has the following form in LO of T_H :

$$F_\pi(Q^2) = \frac{2}{3} A^2 \pi C_F f_\pi^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^\infty b db \alpha_s^{\text{an}(1)}(\mu_R) \Phi_\pi(x) \Phi_\pi(y) \\ \times \exp \left[-\frac{b^2(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y})}{4\beta_\pi^2} \right] \exp \left[-\beta_\pi^2 m_q^2 \left(\frac{1}{x\bar{x}} + \frac{1}{y\bar{y}} \right) \right] K_0(\sqrt{xy}Qb) \\ \times \exp[-S(x, y, b, Q, C_1, C_2, C_4)], \quad (22)$$

whereas in NLO it reads

$$F_\pi(Q^2) = 16A^2 \pi C_F \left(\frac{f_\pi/\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{N_c}} \right)^2 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^\infty b db \alpha_s^{\text{an}}(\mu_R^2) \Phi_\pi(x) \Phi_\pi(y) \\ \times \exp \left[-\frac{b^2(x\bar{x} + y\bar{y})}{4\beta_\pi^2} \right] \exp \left[-\beta_\pi^2 m_q^2 \left(\frac{1}{x\bar{x}} + \frac{1}{y\bar{y}} \right) \right] K(\sqrt{xy}Qb) \\ \times \exp(-S(x, y, b, Q, C_1, C_2, C_4)) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}}}{\pi} [f_{\text{UV}}(x, y, Q^2/\mu_R^2) \right. \\ \left. + f_{\text{IR}}(x, y, Q^2/\mu_F^2) + f_C(x, y)] \right\}. \quad (23)$$

In these equations the Sudakov form factor, including evolution, is given by

$$S(x, y, b, Q, C_1, C_2, C_4) \equiv s(x, b, Q, C_1, C_2) + s(\bar{x}, b, Q, C_1, C_2) + (x \leftrightarrow y) \\ - 8\tau(C_1/b, \mu_R) \quad (24)$$

with the “evolution time” [9]




Figure 2. Space-like pion form factor calculated within the AFS. Further details are provided in the text. LO calculation (dashed-dotted line); NLO calculation (dotted line). The dashed line gives the result for the soft, Feynman-type contribution, computed with $m_q = 0.33$ GeV in the pion wave function, and the solid line represents the sum of the NLO hard contribution and the soft one [9]. The data are taken from [19,20].

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau\left(\frac{C_1}{b}, \mu\right) &= \int_{C_1^2/b^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} \frac{\alpha_s^{\text{an}(1)}(k^2)}{4\pi} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\beta_0} \ln \frac{\ln(\mu^2/\Lambda^2)}{\ln(C_1^2/(b\Lambda)^2)} + \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left[\ln \frac{\mu^2}{(C_1/b)^2} - \ln \frac{|\mu^2 - \Lambda^2|}{\left|\frac{C_1^2}{b^2} - \Lambda^2\right|} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and the functions f_i taken from [17]. I present predictions for F_π in Fig. 2, adopting the BLM commensurate-scale method [18], and setting $\mu_F = C_1/b$ and $\mu_{\text{BLM}} = \mu_R \exp(-5/6)$, where $\mu_R = C_4 f(x, y) Q = C_4 \sqrt{xy} Q$.

As one sees, the hard contribution to $F_\pi(Q^2)$ within the AFS and with a BLM-optimized choice of scales provides a sizeable fraction of the magnitude of the form factor – especially at NLO. No artificial rising at low Q^2 of the hard form factor appears, as in conventional approaches, so that this region is dominated by the Feynman-type contribution [21]. Moreover, the self-consistency of perturbation theory has been improved, as one infers from the saturation behavior of the scaled form factor, presented on the RHS of Fig. 1. Indeed, $Q^2 F_\pi(Q^2)$ accumulates the bulk of its magnitude below $b_{\text{cr}} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/C_1 \leq 0.5$, i.e., for short transverse distances, where the application of perturbative QCD is sound. Even better predictions can be obtained, using a more accurate pion distribution amplitude, recently derived in [22] with QCD sum rules and non-local condensates.

2.2 Power Corrections to Pion Form Factor

The rationale of *global analyticity*, i.e., analyticity as a whole, implies

$$\begin{aligned} [Q^2 F_\pi(Q^2)]_{\text{an}} &= \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \left[\Phi_\pi^{\text{out}}(y, Q^2) T_{\text{H}}(x, y, Q^2, \alpha_s(\hat{Q}^2)) \Phi_\pi^{\text{in}}(x, Q^2) \right]_{\text{an}} \\ &= A \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy x y \bar{x} \bar{y} [T_{\text{H}}(x, y, Q^2, \alpha_s(\hat{Q}^2))]_{\text{an}} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where A is a normalization constant for the pion distribution amplitude, taken again to be the asymptotic one. Without the analytization requirement, the pion form factor is not Borel-summable (see, e.g., [23]), but only an asymptotic series in the coupling constant. Analytization entails

$$[\alpha_s^n(Q^2)]_{\text{an}} \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\xi}{\xi + Q^2 - i\epsilon} \rho^{(n)}(\xi), \quad (27)$$

where the spectral density $\rho^{(n)}(\xi)$ is the *dispersive conjugate of all powers n of α_s* . For the leading-order expression under consideration the spectral density becomes [8,24,25]

$$\rho(Q^2) = \text{Im} \alpha_s(-Q^2) = \frac{\pi}{\beta_1} \frac{1}{\ln^2(Q^2/\Lambda^2) + \pi^2} \quad (28)$$

Then (27) reduces to

$$[\alpha_s(Q^2)]_{\text{an}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{dz}{z - Q^2 + i\epsilon} \alpha_s(z), \quad (29)$$

where C is a closed contour in the complex z -plane with a branch cut along the negative real axis, assuming exactly the form of (3), as proposed by Shirkov and Solovtsov [8]. Recasting the strong coupling in the form

$$\alpha_s(z) = \frac{1}{\beta_1} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{z}{\Lambda^2}} = \pm \int_0^\infty d\sigma \exp(\mp \sigma \beta_1 \ln \Lambda^2 / z) \quad (30)$$

with the plus sign corresponding to the case $|z|/\Lambda^2 > 1$ and the minus one to $|z|/\Lambda^2 < 1$, and inserting it into (26), we find after some standard manipulations the Borel transform of the scaled pion form factor at leading perturbative order [4]:

$$[Q^2 F_\pi(Q^2)]_{\text{an}}^{(1)} = \int_0^\infty d\sigma \exp(-\sigma \beta_1 \ln Q^2/\Lambda^2) \tilde{\pi}(\sigma)_{\text{an}}^{(1)}. \quad (31)$$

The Borel image of the form factor reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}(\sigma)_{\text{an}}^{(1)} &= 16\pi C_F A \frac{\sin(\pi \beta_1 \sigma)}{\pi} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \bar{x} \bar{y} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\xi}{\xi + xy} \\ &\times \left[\xi^{-\sigma \beta_1} \Theta\left(\xi - \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2}\right) + \left(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{2\sigma \beta_1} \xi^{\sigma \beta_1} \Theta\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2} - \xi\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

This expression has no IR renormalons in contrast to approaches that use the conventional one-loop α_s parameterization [23].

Hence, the integration over the Borel parameter σ can be performed without any ambiguity to arrive at the following result for the pion form factor

$$\left[Q^2 F_\pi(Q^2)\right]_{\text{an}}^{(1)} = 16\pi C_F A \frac{1}{\beta_1} \int_0^1 dw \phi(w) \left[\frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{wQ^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{wQ^2}{\Lambda^2}} \right]. \quad (33)$$

The remaining integration can be carried out analytically to arrive at an expression derived in [4]. Here I only display the expression for the physically relevant case $Q^2 \gg \Lambda^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[Q^2 F_\pi(Q^2)\right]_{\text{an}}^{(1)} &= 16\pi C_F A \left[\frac{1}{4} \alpha_s(Q^2) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_1} 16\pi C_F A \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2\left(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) - 2 \ln\left(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} - 1\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 3 \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda^4}{Q^4}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

referring for further details to [4].

3. POWER CORRECTIONS TO DRELL-YAN PROCESS

As a second example of the AFS, I discuss the derivation of power corrections to the inclusive Drell-Yan cross-section with the large scale Q^2 being here the invariant lepton pair mass. Citations to previous works and full details of the derivation are given in [4]. Consider the logarithmic derivative of the unrenormalized expression of the eikonalized Drell-Yan cross section, with the notations of [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \ln W_{\text{DY}}}{d \ln Q^2} &\equiv \Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \\ &= 4C_F \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{2-2\epsilon} k_\perp}{(2\pi)^{2-2\epsilon}} \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} \alpha_s(k_\perp^2) \left(e^{-i\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{b}} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The following important remarks are now in place: (i) The argument of α_s is taken to depend on $k_\perp$ to account for higher-order quantum corrections, originating from momentum scales larger than this [16]. (ii) The integral over the transverse momentum is *not well-defined* at very small mass scales owing to the Landau singularity of the QCD running coupling in that region. (iii) The evaluation at the edge of phase space is sensitive to the regularization applied to account for power corrections due to soft gluon emission transient to nonperturbative QCD.

Imposing analytization as a whole and integrating over transverse momenta, we obtain

$$\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2)\right]_{\text{an}} = \int_0^\infty d\sigma e^{-\sigma\beta_1 \ln(4/b^2\Lambda^2)} \tilde{\Pi}_{\text{an}}^{(1)}(\sigma) \quad (36)$$

with a Borel transform given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Pi}_{\text{an}}^{(1)}(\sigma) = & \frac{4C_F}{\pi} \left(\frac{\mu^2 b^2}{4} \right)^\epsilon \sin(\pi\sigma\beta_1) \int_0^\infty d\xi g(\xi) \left[\xi^{-\sigma\beta_1} \Theta\left(\xi - \frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4}\right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} \right)^{-2\sigma\beta_1} \xi^{\sigma\beta_1} \Theta\left(\frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} - \xi\right) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

where

$$g(\xi) = \int \frac{d^{2-2\epsilon}q}{(2\pi)^{2-2\epsilon}} \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{1}{q^2 + \xi} \left(e^{-2i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{b}} - 1 \right). \quad (38)$$

Combining denominators in Eq. (38) and carrying out the integrations over ξ , we then find

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{an}} = & \frac{C_F}{\pi} (\mu^2 b^2 \pi)^\epsilon \int_0^\infty d\sigma e^{-\sigma\beta_1 \ln(4/b^2\Lambda^2)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \sigma\beta_1)} \\ & \times \left[-\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_1 + \epsilon} \Gamma(1 - \sigma\beta_1 - \epsilon) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \right. \\ & \left. \times \left(\frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} \right)^{n+1-\sigma\beta_1-\epsilon} \frac{1}{n+1-\sigma\beta_1-\epsilon} \right] - \frac{C_F}{\pi\beta_1} f\left(\frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

with $f(b^2\Lambda^2/4)$ being a complicated expression, provided in [4] and $\Gamma(x, y)$, denoting the incomplete Gamma function. The first term in (39), viz., the integral over σ , diverges for $\sigma\beta_1 = 0$, i.e., for small values of $\alpha_s(k_\perp)$ (or equivalently for large transverse momenta $k_\perp$). This UV divergence is regulated dimensionally within the $\overline{MS}$ renormalization scheme adopted here. Were it not for the terms containing powers of $b\Lambda$, expression (39) and that found by Korchemsky and Sterman [2] (namely, their equation (18)) would be the same. In our case, however, the imposition of analytization cures all divergences related to IR renormalons that are generated by the Γ -functions whenever $\sigma\beta_1$ is an integer different from zero.

Let us concentrate on the second term in $\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{an}}$ that gives rise to *power corrections*. Retaining only the leading contribution in $b^2\Lambda^2$, we find

$$f(b^2\Lambda^2) = -a_0 - a_1 \frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} \ln \frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} + a_2 \frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(b^4\Lambda^4) \quad (40)$$

with the constant coefficients [4]: $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 \simeq 3.18$, $a_2 \simeq -2.51$. Now one can expand the integral in the first term of $\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{an}}$ in powers of $b^2\Lambda^2$ and regulate the UV pole at $\sigma\beta_1 = 0$ dimensionally. For $\sigma\beta_1$ an integer, both terms inside the bracket have poles, but they *mutually cancel* so that their sum is singularity-free and the integral finite. Retaining terms of order $b^2\Lambda^2$, the main contribution stems from the leading renormalon at $\sigma\beta_1 = 1$:

$$\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{an}} = \left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{PT}} + \left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{pow}} \quad (41)$$

with the perturbative part being defined by

$$\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2) \right]_{\text{PT}} = \frac{C_F}{\pi\beta_1} \ln \frac{\ln(C/b^2\Lambda^2)}{\ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)}, \quad (42)$$

a result coinciding with that obtained in [2]. Power corrections in the impact parameter b are encoded in the second contribution ($b^2\Lambda^2 \ll 1$):

$$\left[\Pi^{(1)}(Q^2)\right]_{\text{pow}} = S_0 + b^2 S_2(b^2\Lambda^2) + \mathcal{O}(b^4\Lambda^4), \quad (43)$$

where

$$S_0 = \frac{C_F}{\pi\beta_1} a_0 = 0 \quad (44)$$

and

$$S_2(b^2\Lambda^2) = \frac{C_F}{4\pi\beta_1} \Lambda^2 a_1 \ln \frac{b^2\Lambda^2}{4} - a_2 \quad (45)$$

The DY cross-section W_{DY} , comprising the leading logarithmic perturbative contribution (Sudakov exponent S_{PT}) and the first power correction (in $b^2\Lambda^2$) reads (with the Q -dependence arising due to collinear interactions)

$$W_{\text{DY}}(b, Q) = \exp \left[-S_{\text{PT}}(b, Q) - b^2 S_2(b, Q) + \dots \right], \quad (46)$$

where

$$S_2(b, Q) \sim S_2(b^2\Lambda^2) \ln Q + \text{const.} \quad (47)$$

The Sudakov factor, representing the perturbative tail of the hadronic wave function, *suppresses* constituent configurations which involve large impact space separations, while the exponentiated power corrections in b^2 , being of nonperturbative origin, *provide enhancement* of such configurations (since $S_2(b^2\Lambda^2)$ is always negative). Hence, the net result is less suppression of the DY cross-section and also enhancement of the pion wave function in b space with the endpoint region $b\Lambda \sim 1$ being less enhanced relative to small b transverse distances.

4. CONCLUSIONS

I have presented a theoretical framework, based on *analytization* that enables the calculation of perturbative gluonic corrections (Sudakov form factor), as well as power-behaved ones that are linked to nonperturbative effects in QCD. Moreover, one can calculate the absolute normalization of the power corrections to hadronic observables *systematically* without any renormalon ambiguity from the outset.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank the organizers for the warm hospitality extended to me during this stimulating meeting and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for a travel grant. It is a pleasure to thank A.P. Bakulev, A.I. Karanikas, and W. Schroers for collaboration and K. Passek, M. Praszalowicz, D.V. Shirkov, and I.L. Solovtsov for discussions.

References

- [1] J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper: Nucl. Phys. B **193**, 381 (1981); *ibid.* B **197**, 446 (1982)
- [2] G.P. Korchemsky, G. Sterman: Nucl. Phys. B **437**, 415 (1995) [hep-ph/9411211]
- [3] J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper, G. Sterman: Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 199 (1985)
- [4] A.I. Karanikas, N.G. Stefanis: Phys. Lett. B **504**, 225 (2001) [hep-ph/0101031]
- [5] N.G. Stefanis: Eur. Phys. J. direct C **7**, 1 (1999) [hep-ph/9911375]
- [6] D.V. Shirkov: Eur. Phys. J. C **22**, 331 (2001) [hep-ph/0107282]; Theor. Math. Phys. **127**, 409 (2001) [hep-ph/0012283]
- [7] D.V. Shirkov and I.L. Solovtsov, Theor. Math. Phys. **120** (1999) 1210 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **120** (1999) 482] [hep-ph/9909305].
- [8] D.V. Shirkov, I. L. Solovtsov: Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1209 (1997) [hep-ph/9704333]
- [9] N.G. Stefanis, W. Schroers, H.-Ch. Kim: Eur. Phys. J. C **18**, 137 (2000) [hep-ph/0005218]
- [10] H.-n. Li, G. Sterman: Nucl. Phys. B **381**, 129 (1992)
- [11] S.J. Brodsky, T. Huang, G.P. Lepage. In: *Banff Summer Institute on Particles and Fields (1981)*, ed. by A.Z. Capri and A.N. Kamal (Plenum Press, New York 1983) pp. 143-199.
- [12] M. Praszalowicz, A. Rostworowski: Phys. Rev. D **64**, 074003 [hep-ph/0105188]; [hep-ph/0111196]
- [13] G.P. Korchemsky, A.V. Radyushkin: Nucl. Phys. B **283**, 342 (1987)
- [14] G.P. Korchemsky: Phys. Lett. B **217**, 330 (1989); *ibid.* B **220**, 629 (1989)
- [15] G. Gellas, A.I. Karanikas, C.N. Ktorides, N.G. Stefanis: Phys. Lett. B **412**, 95 (1997) [hep-ph/9707392]; A.I. Karanikas, C.N. Ktorides, N.G. Stefanis, S.M.H. Wong: Phys. Lett. B **455**, 291 (1999) [hep-ph/9812335]
- [16] J. Kodaira, L. Trentadue: Phys. Lett. B **112**, 66 (1982)
- [17] B. Melić, B. Nizić, K. Passek: Phys. Rev. D **60**, 074004 (1999) [hep-ph/9802204]
- [18] S.J. Brodsky, G.P. Lepage, P.B. Mackenzie: Phys. Rev. D **28**, 228 (1982)
- [19] C.N. Brown et al.: Phys. Rev. D **8**, 92 (1973)
- [20] C.J. Bebek et al.: Phys. Rev. D **13**, 25 (1976) 25; *ibid.* D **17**, 1693 (1978)
- [21] A.V. Radyushkin: Few Body Syst. Suppl. **11**, 57 (1999) [hep-ph/9811225]; A.P. Bakulev, A.V. Radyushkin, N.G. Stefanis: Phys. Rev. D **62**, 113001 (2000) [hep-ph/0005085]
- [22] A.P. Bakulev, S.V. Mikhailov, N.G. Stefanis: Phys. Lett. **B508**, 279 (2001) [hep-ph/0103119]; hep-ph/0104290
- [23] S. Agaev, hep-ph/9611215; Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 2637 (1998) [hep-ph/9805278]; Eur. Phys. J. C **1**, 321 (1998) [hep-ph/9611283]
- [24] A.V. Radyushkin: JINR preprint E2-82-159, JINR Rapid Communications 4[78]-96, 9 (1982) [hep-ph/9907228]
- [25] N.V. Krasnikov, A.A. Pivovarov: Phys. Lett. B **116**, 168 (1982)