


The Compact Muon Solenoid Experiment

CMS Note

Mailing address: CMS CERN, CH-1211 GENEVA 23, Switzerland



March 8, 2002

Study of s -channel Charged Higgs Production in CMS

S.R. Slabospitsky^{*)}

*Institute for High Energy Physics,
Protvino, Moscow Region, RUSSIA*

Abstract

CMS potential for study of the s -channel $H^\pm$ -boson production via light quark annihilation is investigated for large values of $\tan \beta$ ($= 20 \div 50$) and relatively light charge Higgs boson ($M_H = 200 \div 400$ GeV). An appropriate parameterisation for $M_T(j, \cancel{E}_T)$ -distributions of the signal and background events is proposed, which provides the determination of the charged Higgs mass and $\tan \beta$ parameter.

^{*)} Serguei.Slabospitski@cern.ch

Introduction

A charged Higgs boson ($H^\pm$) appears in many well motivated extensions of the Standard Model (SM) (i.g. in the MSSM [1]). Two free parameters, M_H and $\tan\beta$, determine all properties and couplings of the $H^\pm$ -boson. The searches for this object were performed in many experiments. LEP collaborations have set lower limits in a model independent way on the mass of $H^\pm$ -boson, $M(H^\pm) > 78.5$ GeV for any $\tan\beta$ [2]. Two experiments at the Tevatron, CDF and $D\bar{0}$, have performed several searches for $H^\pm$ [3]. They excluded the low (< 1) and high (> 40) $\tan\beta$ region up to 120 GeV and 160 GeV, respectively. The additional indirect limit can be evaluated from low-energy physics, for example from the values of $D_s \rightarrow \tau\nu$ and $B \rightarrow \tau\nu$ decay branching ratios [4].

The discovery potential of $H^\pm$ at LHC has been investigated by both ATLAS [5] and CMS [6, 7] collaborations. It was established that for heavy charged Higgs with $M(H^\pm) > m_t$ the most promising channel is top-Higgs associated production in two subprocesses [8]

$$gb \rightarrow tH^\pm, \quad gg \rightarrow tH^\pm\bar{b}$$

In the present work we consider an additional subprocess of $H^\pm$ production due to annihilation of the light $q\bar{q}'$ -pair from the initial hadrons (protons):

$$q\bar{q}' \rightarrow H^\pm, \quad q = d, u, s, c, b$$

The $H^\pm$ -boson production in this s -channel with subsequent $H^\pm$ decay into $t\bar{b}$ pair was investigated earlier (see [9, 10, 11]). Here we investigate the CMS potential for study of the s -channel $H^\pm$ -boson production via light quark annihilation with subsequent $H^\pm$ decay into a $\tau^\pm\nu_\tau$ lepton pair (see also [11]):

$$q\bar{q}' \rightarrow H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm\nu_\tau$$

We perform our calculation for the case of pp -collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV:

$$pp \rightarrow H^\pm X \tag{1}$$

for large values of $\tan\beta$ and for four values of charged Higgs mass:

$$\tan\beta = 50 \quad \text{and} \quad M_H = 200, 250, 300, 400 \text{ GeV} \tag{2}$$

We use CTEQ5L parameterisation [12] of the parton distributions. All estimates of the expected number of events are performed for three year low-luminosity run of LHC:

$$L_{int} \equiv \int \mathcal{L} dt = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1} \tag{3}$$

For calculation of the signal and background processes we use the event generator TopReX 3.25 [13], while the well-known package PYTHIA 6.157 [14] is explored for modelling of quark and gluon hadronisation. For proper simulation of the detector response all generated events are passed through the fast Monte Carlo package CMSJET 4.703 [15].

We find that even after application of all appropriate cuts the expected number of the signal events is relatively large ($\sim 10^2 \div 10^3$) for $M_H = 200 \div 400$ GeV and $\tan\beta \sim 40 \div 50$. Therefore, this s -channel $H^\pm$ -boson production process allows not only to establish the presence of the signal from $H^\pm$ -boson, but also makes possible to measure the parameters (the mass and $\tan\beta$) of the charged Higgs boson.

1 Signal event generation

Fig. 1a presents the diagram describing the process under consideration,

$$q\bar{q}' \rightarrow H^\pm, \quad q = d, u, s, c, b \tag{4}$$

Note, that Higgs boson couplings to fermions are proportional to the masses of these fermions [1]. Therefore, the corresponding production cross section has strong dependence on the light quark mass values. In our calculations we use so-called ‘‘current’’ values of m_q [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} m_d &= 0.009 \text{ GeV}, & m_u &= 0.005 \text{ GeV}, & m_s &= 0.150 \text{ GeV}, \\ m_c &= 1.250 \text{ GeV}, & m_b &= 4.800 \text{ GeV}, \end{aligned}$$

which are smaller (especially for light d, u , and s quarks) than those values of quark masses used in PYTHIA ($m_d = m_u = 300$ MeV, etc, see [14]).

We also take into account the radiative QCD corrections to the $2 \rightarrow 1$ process (4). In so doing we calculate also the NLO processes ($2 \rightarrow 2$) (see diagrams in Fig. 2)

$$q \bar{q}' \rightarrow H^\pm g, \quad q g \rightarrow H^\pm q', \quad \bar{q}' g \rightarrow H^\pm \bar{q} \quad (5)$$

It is well-known that the consideration of such processes at small $\hat{k}_\perp$ (where $\hat{k}_\perp$ is the transverse momentum of the final particle, $H^\pm$, q or g , defined in the centre-of-mass system of the scattering partons) leads to a double-counting problem. Indeed, in this region ($\hat{k}_\perp \rightarrow 0$) the virtual quark, entering the $q\bar{q}'H^\pm$ vertex, has very small virtuality and may be considered as a on-shell parton. As a result, any $2 \rightarrow 2$ process (5) can be factorized into two subprocesses. The first one is the initial parton (q or g) splitting into two partons

$$q \rightarrow qg, \quad \bar{q}' \rightarrow \bar{q}'g, \quad g \rightarrow q\bar{q}.$$

The second subprocess is the quark-antiquark annihilation into $H^\pm$, where one quark (antiquark) comes from initial hadron, while the second quark appears due to parton splitting. However, such a process was also calculated early as the process (4).

This problem was considered in details in [17], where the calculations of the complete $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ QCD corrections (reactions (5)) to the s -channel production process (4), including QCD-resummation over multiple soft-gluon emission was performed. In our numerical calculations we use an approximation, which provides desirable accuracy (see [18] for details). It is based on the consideration of the distribution on the charged Higgs transverse momentum, $p_\perp(H)$, defined in the initial pp reference frame. In the region of small $p_\perp(H)$ the basic contribution to Higgs production comes from $2 \rightarrow 1$ process (4), while the $2 \rightarrow 2$ process (5) is responsible for Higgs production with high $p_\perp(H)$.

The method of event generation is thus as follows. Firstly, we generate events with $H^\pm$ -boson production due to $2 \rightarrow 1$ process (4). Any event from this sample will be accepted if the transverse momentum of the charged Higgs is smaller than some value p_0 . Then we generate the second sample of events due to $2 \rightarrow 2$ process (5) with final parton transverse momentum $\hat{k}_\perp > \hat{k}_0$. Any event from this second sample will be accepted if $p_\perp(H) > p_0$. Thus, the resulting (total) sample of $H^\pm$ -boson production events is the sum of two contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} N(pp \rightarrow H^\pm X) &= N^{(2 \rightarrow 1)}(pp \rightarrow H^\pm; p_\perp(H) < p_0) \\ &+ N^{(2 \rightarrow 2)}(pp \rightarrow H^\pm jet; \hat{k}_\perp > \hat{k}_0, p_\perp(H) \geq p_0) \end{aligned}$$

We find that the smooth behaviour of the resulting $p_\perp(H)$ -distribution can be achieved for the following values of these parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}_0 &\approx 20 \text{ GeV}, \\ \text{and } p_0 &= 29.5 \text{ GeV, for } M_H = (200 \div 400) \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding $p_\perp(H)$ -distributions are shown in Fig. 3.

The behaviour of the production cross section for $\tan \beta = 50$ versus M_H is shown in Fig. 4. For $\tan \beta \geq 10$ the branching ratio of the $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ decay is almost independent on $\tan \beta$ (see Fig. 5a). Therefore, the $\tan \beta$ dependence of the production cross section for $H^\pm$ has a very simple quadratic dependence:

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow H^\pm X) \propto \tan^2 \beta \quad (6)$$

At the same time the $\text{Br}(H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu)$ has a strong dependence on the mass of charged Higgs in the region of $M_H = 200 \div 400$ GeV (see Fig. 5b) due to opening of the $H^\pm \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ decay channel. Therefore, a simultaneous measurement of the charged Higgs production cross section and its mass provides a possibility for indirect determination of the $\tan \beta$ parameter value.

2 Signal/background separation

The most important and irreducible background comes from $\tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ production via virtual (Drell-Yan) $W^\pm$ -boson exchange (see Fig. 1b). All other possible sources of background give relatively small contributions and will be not considered.

It is well-known that due to the different nature of $H^\pm f \bar{f}'$ and $W^\pm f \bar{f}'$ interactions the final τ -leptons, produced via H or W boson exchanges have opposite polarisations (see [8]). This feature provides an effective way for background suppression. In particular, we use the hadronic $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu$ decay mode, where the two opposite τ helicity states lead to remarkably different decay pion laboratory momentum distributions.

For hadronic τ decay identification and reconstruction we use algorithm which allows to identify τ -jets in the one-prong decay mode (see [6] for details). This algorithm is based on the fact that the hadronic decays of τ -leptons from $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu$ decay are seen as a narrow, low multiplicity “jet” with a large fraction of calorimetric energy consisting from a single track. Due to the opposite polarisation of τ -leptons produced via H/W boson decays the fraction of the total τ -jet energy carried away by the charged track relative to the parent τ energy is very different. For a W -boson mediated decay such a track carries away a significantly smaller fraction of the τ energy then for the $H^\pm$ decay. It can be seen in Fig. 6, which shows the signal ($H^\pm$) and background (W^*) event distribution for R_h variable:

$$R_h \equiv E(h^\pm)/E(\text{jet})$$

The best signal-to-background separation in terms of this variable is achieved for $R_0 \geq 0.8$.

To suppress the large $W + \text{jet(s)}$ background with $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$ ($\tau \rightarrow \text{hadron} + \nu$) decays we also require a strong central jet veto: no other jet with $E_T \geq 20$ GeV in $|\eta| \leq 4.5$

To attempt to extract a $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu$ (with $\tau^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm + X^0 + \nu$) signal we thus require:

- one identified τ -jet with $E_T \geq 50$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.0$
- missing transverse energy $\cancel{E}_T \geq 50$ GeV
- jet veto: no other hadronic jets with $E_T(j) \geq 20$ GeV in $|\eta| \leq 4.5$
- no other identified objects (leptons, photons) with $E_T \geq 10$ GeV in $|\eta| \leq 2.4$
- $R_h \geq R_0 = 0.8$ (to favour $H^\pm$ onto W^* as a source of τ 's)

The expected number of events for 30 fb^{-1} and corresponding signal significances ($N_S/\sqrt{N_S + N_B}$) after application of all cuts are given in Table 1.

3 Parameterisation of M_T -distribution

Since the expected number of the signal and background events is relatively large, $N_H \sim (10^2 \div 10^3)$ and $N_B \sim 10^3$ (Table 1), we can try to determine the parameters of $H^\pm$ -boson, namely the mass of charged Higgs (M_H) and $\tan \beta$. This could be done by fitting the distribution of the transverse mass $M_T(j, \cancel{E}_T)$ ¹⁾ of the τ -jet and the missing energy:

$$M_T^2 = (E_T(j) + \cancel{E}_T)^2 - (\vec{p}_T(j) + \vec{\cancel{E}}_T)^2, \quad (7)$$

here $E_T(j)(\vec{p}_T(j))$ is the transverse energy (momentum) of the τ -jet and $\cancel{E}_T$ is the missing transverse energy in the event.

The τ -jet identification and reconstruction algorithm does not provide reconstruction of the full τ -lepton momentum due to the undetected neutrino from $\tau^\pm \rightarrow h^\pm(h^0)\nu_\tau$ decays. As a result, the well-known sharp two-body decay Jacobian peak in $m_T(\tau, \nu)$ -distribution transforms into a wide bump (see [6, 8] and Fig. 8) in the observable $M_T(j, \cancel{E}_T)$.

The form of this curve results from the “convolution” of the theoretical $m_T(\tau, \nu)$ -distribution (where τ and ν are produced in processes (4 - 5)) and the “fragmentation” (or “decay”) of the produced τ -lepton into the observable hadronic τ -jet. This “fragmentation” depends on the experimental device (detector acceptance, resolution, efficiency, etc) as well as on the τ -jet reconstruction algorithm and could not be calculated theoretically. At the same time, any appropriate functional form describing this “fragmentation” will provide a suitable parameterisation in our case.

For this “fragmentation” function $D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z)$ we use a simple parameterisation as follows [19]:

$$D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z) \propto z^\alpha (z_0 - z)^\lambda, \quad (8)$$

¹⁾ In what follows the symbol “j” stands for the τ -jet

where the scaling variable $z = p_\top(j)/p_\top(\tau)$ is the ratio of the transverse momentum of the τ -jet ($p_\top(j)$) to the transverse momentum of the parent τ -lepton ($p_\top(\tau)$). Contrary to the case of quark fragmentation, the reconstructed τ -jet momentum may be larger than the momentum of parent τ -lepton (see Fig. 7) due to the detector resolution and the τ -jet reconstruction algorithm.

We use the $D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z)$ parameterisation (8) for the fit of the corresponding z -distributions for all considered values of charged Higgs mass, namely for $m_H = 200, 250, 300$, and 400 GeV (see Fig. 7 and Table 2). We do not need achieve a good fit in the whole region of z . We are interested in the values of z close to unity, because this region corresponds to maximal values of $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ close to M_H . Therefore, in what follows we use the set of parameters for $D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z)$ from (8) given below:

$$\alpha = 6.5, \quad \lambda = 3.5, \quad \text{and} \quad z_0 = 1.22 \quad (9)$$

Then, the distribution of $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ could be evaluated by convoluting the $m_\perp(\tau\nu)$ -distribution of the τ -lepton and neutrino ($\propto 1/\sqrt{M_H^2 - m_\perp(\tau\nu)}$) with $D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z)$ -fragmentation function (8):

$$\frac{dN}{dM_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)} = F_S(M_\top, M_f) \equiv F_0 \int_{M_\top/M_f}^{z_0} \frac{M_\top}{M_f} \frac{z^{\alpha-1}(z_0 - z)^\lambda}{\sqrt{z^2 - M_\top^2/M_f^2}} dz, \quad (10)$$

where F_0 is the normalisation factor and M_f is the mass of the charged Higgs boson to be determined from the fit.

We perform the fit of the $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ -distribution for pure signal events by means of this parameterisation $F_S(M_\top, M_f)$. The results of the fit are shown in Fig. 8. One can see that the proposed parameterisation (10) provides not only a rather good description of the shape of the $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ -distribution, but also makes possible the determination of the fitted parameter M_f , which is very close to the input mass of charged Higgs boson.

For the $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ -distribution of the background events we use a simple exponential parameterisation as follows:

$$F_B(M_\top) = \exp(a_0 + a_1 M_\top + a_2 M_\top^\delta) \quad (11)$$

We get the following values of these parameters (see Fig. 9):

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 9.65 \pm 0.067, & a_1 &= -0.0421 \pm 0.00072, \\ a_2 &= 0.000194 \pm 0.0000261, & \delta &= 1.769 \pm 0.0288 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

4 Signal visibility and measurement of charged Higgs parameters

In the fitting procedure of the $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ -distribution of the joint sample of signal and background events we fix all parameters in the parameterisations $F_S(M_\top, M_f)$ and $F_B(M_\top)$ except the corresponding normalisations (F_0 in F_S and a_0 in F_B). The mass of the $H^\pm$ -boson (M_f in $F_S(M_\top, M_f)$) is also left as a free parameter to be determined by the fit.

The results of the fit are shown in Fig. 10 and Table 3. One can see that the extracted charged Higgs masses (M_f) from the fitting procedure coincide with input values (M_H) within the errors. Therefore, the proposed parameterisation of the $M_\top(j, \mathbb{E}_\top)$ -distributions for signal events provides a reasonable way for a determination of the charged Higgs mass.

Then, using the normalisation parameters F_0 and a_0 for the signal and background events we may evaluate the corresponding number of events:

$$\begin{aligned} N_S^f &= \int_{M_{\top, \min}} F_S(M_\top, M_f) dM_\top, \\ N_B^f &= \int_{M_{\top, \min}} F_B(M_\top) dM_\top \end{aligned}$$

These number are given in Table 3. One can see that the values of N_B^f and N_S^f extracted from the fitting procedure are in a good agreement with the expected (generated) numbers N_B and N_S (see Table 1).

As a criterion for detection of the signal we use a significance criterion as follows (which corresponds to 99% CL):

$$\frac{N_S^f}{\sqrt{N_S^f + N_B^f}} \geq 3 \quad (13)$$

Due to our cuts where we require $E_T(j)(\cancel{E}_T) \geq 50$ GeV we perform the fit of the $M_T(j, \cancel{E}_T)$ -distributions of the signal and background events (see Fig. 10 and Table 3) for M_T values greater than $M_{T,min} = 100$ GeV.

From Table 3 one can see that the significance of the fit for $M_H \geq 300$ GeV is too low. To increase the signal-to-background ratio we truncate the M_T distribution, i.e. we repeat the same fitting procedure, but for new value of $M_{T,min}$, which is equal to one half of $M_f^{(1)}$:

$$M_{T,min}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} M_f^{(1)},$$

and determine the new value for $M_f^{(2)}$. This decreases significantly the number of the background events. The results of this new fitting procedure are given in Table 4. One obtains almost the same results for M_f , but with on increased significance (compare Table 3 and Table 4).

As explained in Section 1, for $M_H \geq 200$ GeV the production cross section for $H^\pm$ has the almost quadratic dependence on $\tan \beta$ (see (6)). Comparing the number of signal events extracted from the fit (N_S^f) with that expected (N_S) in the MSSM scenario, we could determine the $\tan \beta$ parameter by means of simple equation:

$$\tan \beta_f = 50 \sqrt{\frac{N_S^f}{N_S(M_f, \tan \beta = 50)}}, \quad (14)$$

where $N_S(M_f, \tan \beta = 50)$ is the number of expected events with $H^\pm$ -boson, generated with $M_H = M_f$ and $\tan \beta = 50$ (our default parameters, see (2)). The corresponding uncertainty is evaluated as follows:

$$\delta(\tan \beta_f) = \frac{\tan \beta_f}{2} \sqrt{\delta_N^2 + \delta_M^2} \quad (15)$$

where δ_N is the relative error due to F_S parameterisation. A second relative error (δ_M) is due to variation of the cross section under M_H variation within its own errors:

$$\delta_M = \frac{1}{2\sigma} |\sigma(M_f - \Delta M) - \sigma(M_f + \Delta M)|$$

The values of fitted M_f for several input $\tan \beta$ values are given in Table 4 and Fig. 11. Naturally, decreasing $\tan \beta$ leads to decreasing the number of signal events. As a result the error in M_f is increased. The corresponding extracted values of $\tan \beta$ (for input $M_H = 200$ GeV) are given in the Table 5 and Fig. 11.

Using the criterion (13) we evaluate the area in the $(M_H \times \tan \beta)$ -MSSM plot which could be explored with this process of s -channel $H^\pm$ -boson production followed by a decay to $\tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ and a hadronic τ decays. This region (the upper left corner) is shown in Fig. 12.

5 Conclusion

We investigate the CMS potential for study of $H^\pm$ -boson production via s -channel annihilation of light quarks. The study is made for large value of $\tan \beta$ ($= 20 \div 50$) and relatively light charge Higgs boson ($M_H = 200 \div 400$ GeV) where the method is promising. Simple cuts are proposed for signal-to-background separation. After application of these cuts a relatively large number of signal events ($N_S \sim 10^2 \div 10^3$) may be expected. Therefore for this region of $H^\pm$ -boson parameter space the study of $H^\pm$ -boson production is possible with good a significance.

We find appropriate parameterisations for $M_T(j, \cancel{E}_T)$ -distributions of the signal and background events, which allow to determine the mass of charged Higgs, which is very close to the input values of M_H . Comparing the number of signal events evaluated from the fit, with the expected ones in the framework of the MSSM, we can determine $\tan \beta$ with a reasonable accuracy. Using a standard significance criterion we determine region in the $(M_H \times \tan \beta)$ parameter plot, where this method could be applied.

Finally, we conclude that the proposed subprocess of s -channel for the $H^\pm$ -boson production does provide a good possibility for detecting a relatively light charged Higgs boson and the measurement of its mass and $\tan \beta$,

Acknowledgements

The author thanks D. Denegri for proposing to study this topic. Many thanks also should be given to S. Abdullin, H.-J. He, R. Kinnunen, A. Miagkov, A. Nikitenko, V. Obraztsov, and N. Stepanov for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] H.P. Nilles, *Phys. Rept.* **110** (1984) 1;
H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, *Phys. Rept.* **117** (1985) 75;
J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G.L. Kane, and S. Dawson in *The Higgs Hunters' Guide* (Addison-Wesley, reading, MA, 1990).
- [2] A.G. Holzner, *Searches for charged Higgs bosons at LEP*, XXXVI Rencontres de Moriond, Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories, Les Arcs, France, March 2001, arXiv:hep-ex/0105045.
- [3] F. Abe *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79** (1997) 357 [arXiv:hep-ex/9704003];
T. Affolder *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 012004 [arXiv:hep-ex/9912013];
B. Abbott *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 4975 [arXiv:hep-ex/9902028];
V.M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0102039.
- [4] M.L. Mangano and S.R. Slabospitsky, *Phys. Lett.* **B410** (1997) 299 [arXiv:hep-ph/9707248].
- [5] K.A. Assamagan, ATLAS Internal Note ATL-PHYS-99-013 (1999), ATL-PHYS-99-025 (1999), ATL-PHYS-2000-031 (2000);
K.A. Assamagan, Y. Coadou and A. Deandrea, arXiv:hep-ph/0203121.
- [6] R. Kinnunen, CMS Internal Note CMS NOTE 2000/045 (2000).
- [7] D. Denegri *et al.*, "Summary of the CMS Discovery Potential for the MSSM SUSY Higgses," CMS-NOTE-2001-032 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0112045.
- [8] D.P. Roy, *Phys. Lett.* **B459** (1999) 607 [arXiv:hep-ph/9905542];
M. Drees, M. Guchait and D.P. Roy, *Phys. Lett.* **B471** (1999) 39 [arXiv:hep-ph/9909266];
D.P. Roy, arXiv:hep-ph/0102091.
- [9] H.-J. He and C.-P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999) 28 [arXiv:hep-ph/9810367];
T.M. Tait, hep-ph/9907462;
T.M. Tait and C.-P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 014018 [arXiv:hep-ph/0007298].
- [10] M.V. Foursa, D.A. Murashov, and S.R. Slabospitsky, arXiv:hep-ph/0008198.
- [11] J.L. Diaz-Cruz, H.-J. He and C.-P. Yuan, arXiv:hep-ph/0103178.
- [12] H.L. Lai *et al.* [CTEQ], arXiv:hep-ph/9903282.
- [13] S.R. Slabospitsky and L. Sonnenschein, "TopReX generator (version 3.25): Short manual," arXiv:hep-ph/0201292.
- [14] T. Sjöstrand and M. Bengtsson, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **43**, 367 (1987); T. Sjöstrand, *PYTHIA 5.7*, *Comput. Phys. Comm.* **82**, 74 (1994)
- [15] S. Abdullin, A. Khanov, N. Stepanov, *CMSJET*, CMS TN/94-180 (1994).
- [16] D.E. Groom *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J.* **C15** (2000) 1.
- [17] C. Balazs, H.-J. He and C.-P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev.* **D60** (1999) 114001 [arXiv:hep-ph/9812263].
- [18] E.E. Boos, L.V. Dudko, and V.I. Savrin, CMS Internal Note CMS NOTE 2000/065 (2000).
- [19] V.G. Kartvelishvili, A.K. Likhoded and V.A. Petrov, *Phys. Lett.* **B78**, 615 (1978).

Table 1: The total number of the signal and background events after application of all cuts. Signal events are generated for $\tan \beta = 50$ and four values of $H^\pm$ -boson mass. The integrated luminosity is $L_{int} = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$.

M_H , input	200	250	300	400	bkg
N_{ev}	1627	344	129	35	1756
$\frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_S+N_B}}$	28	7.5	3.0	0.83	

Table 2: The parameters resulted from the fit to “fragmentation” function $D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z)$ from (8). The fit was performed for four $H^\pm$ -boson mass values and in the region of $0.65 < z < 1.2$ ($z = p_\tau(j)/p_\tau(\tau)$).

M_H (GeV)	α	λ	$z_0(\text{fixed})$	χ^2/N
200	6.9 ± 0.4	3.35 ± 0.17	1.22	42./23
250	6.5 ± 1.0	3.09 ± 0.32	1.22	3.2/23
300	6.6 ± 1.8	3.49 ± 0.61	1.22	1.8/23
400	6.1 ± 4.2	3.26 ± 1.44	1.22	0.23/23

Table 3: Results of the fit of joint signal and background events $M_\tau(j, \mathbb{E}_\tau)$ -distribution for $M_\tau \geq 100 \text{ GeV}$.

M_H	M_f (GeV)	N_B^f	N_S^f	$\frac{N_S^f}{\sqrt{N_B^f+N_S^f}}$
200	$202. \pm 2.1$	1694	1444	25.8
250	$256. \pm 9.2$	1694	250	5.9
300	$305. \pm 20.$	1694	115	2.7
400	$392. \pm 42.$	1694	41	1.0

Table 4: Results of the same fit as in Table 3, but for $M_\tau \geq M_f/2$, where M_f is result of previous fit.

M_H	$M_{\tau, min}$	M_f (GeV)	N_B^f	N_S^f	$\frac{N_S^f}{\sqrt{N_B^f+N_S^f}}$
200	≥ 100	$202. \pm 2.1$	1694	1444	25.8
250	≥ 125	$256. \pm 9.4$	899	231	6.9
300	≥ 150	$300. \pm 19.$	506	97	4.0
400	≥ 200	$391. \pm 43.$	245	32	1.9

Table 5: Results of the fit of joint signal and background events $M_\tau(j, \mathbb{E}_\tau)$ -distribution for $M_H = 200 \text{ GeV}$ and several input values of $\tan \beta$.

$\tan \beta$	50	40	30	20	15
M_f	201 ± 2	203 ± 3	205 ± 5	212 ± 13	222 ± 28
$\tan \beta_f$	48.3 ± 2.6	39.3 ± 4.1	31.3 ± 5.2	19.8 ± 7.6	16.2 ± 10.6




Figure 1: Diagrams describing light quarks ($q = d, u, s, c, b$) annihilation into $\tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ pair via charged $H^\pm$ -boson (a) and $W^\pm$ -boson (b) exchange.




Figure 2: Diagrams describing NLO corrections to $2 \rightarrow 1$ process of $H^\pm$ -boson production ($q = d, u, s, c, b$)




Figure 3: $p_T(H)$ -distribution of $H^\pm$ -boson production in LO subprocess (4) (solid histogram) and in NLO subprocess (5) (dashed histogram). The vertical line corresponds to parameter value $p_0 = 29.5$ GeV (see text).




Figure 4: Behaviour of the total production cross section for $H^\pm$ -boson in pp -collisions (reaction (1)) at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV and $\tan \beta = 50$ versus charged Higgs boson mass, M_H (GeV). The solid curve represents the same cross section times branching fraction to $H^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm \nu_\tau$.




Figure 5: The behaviour of the branching fractions of $H^\pm$ -boson decays into $\tau^\pm \nu_\tau$ and $t \bar{b}$ pairs versus $\tan \beta$ (a) and M_H (b).




Figure 6: The number of events distribution versus $R_h \equiv E(h^\pm)/E(\text{jet})$. The solid (dashed) histograms correspond to the background (signal) events. The left histogram is before any cuts, while the right one corresponds to requirements of “jet-veto” and $E_T(\tau\text{-jet}), E_T(\tau\text{-jet}) \geq 50$ GeV.




Figure 7: Signal events distribution as a function of the ratio of the transverse momentum of τ -jet ($p_T(\tau\text{-jet})$) to transverse momentum of parent τ -lepton ($p_T(\tau)$). The curves are results of the fit to “fragmentation” function $D_{\tau \rightarrow j}(z)$ from (8).




Figure 8: $M_T(j, E_{Tmis})$ -distribution for the signal events for four input values of $H^\pm$ -boson mass. The curves are results of the fit to $F_S(M_T, M_f)$ parameterisation from (10).




Figure 9: The result of the fit of $M_T(j, E_{Tmis})$ -distribution for the background events.




Figure 10: The results of the fit of joint signal and background $M_T(j, E_T)$ -distribution by the sum of F_S and F_B parameterisations.




Figure 11: The fitted values of $H^\pm$ -boson mass and $\tan \beta$ -parameter for several values of the input $\tan \beta$.




Figure 12: The allowed region in $(M_H \times \tan \beta)$ plot, which will be available for investigation in the of s -channel $H^\pm$ -boson production (the left upper corner).