Electromagnetic Pion and Nucleon Form Factors at Positive and Negative q^2 Within the Framework of Quark-Gluon Strings Model

A.B. Kaidalov¹, L.A. Kondratyuk¹ and D.V. Tchekin²

The Date

¹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259, Russia
 ² Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

Abstract

The electromagnetic form factors of pion and nucleon are considered within the framework of the Quark-Gluon Strings Model, where the dependence of the form factors on q^2 is determined by the intercept of a dominant Regge trajectory and Sudakov form factor. The analytical expressions for the form factors found in the time-like region can be directly continued to the space-like region. Good agreement with available experimental data on the pion and magnetic nucleon form factor is obtained at positive as well as negative q^2 . It is shown that the difference in F_{π} and G_m at positive and negative values of q^2 is mainly related to the behavior of the double logarithmic term in the exponent of Sudakov form factor. The model describes also existing data on the Pauli nucleon form factor F_2 and the ratio G_e/G_m .

1 INTRODUCTION

It is well known that at large $|q^2| \gg M^2$ the charge and magnetic nucleon form factors can be approximated quite well by the dipole formula: $|G_{e,m}| \sim 1/|q^2|^2$ (see review [1]). For Pauli form factors one has $|F_1| \sim 1/|q^2|^2$, $|F_2| \sim 1/|q^2|^3$. Experimental data [2, 3] suggest also that at large $|q^2|$ the proton magnetic form factor $G_m(q^2)$ in the time-like region is approximately twice as large as that in the space-like region: $|G_m(q^2)| \sim c_1/|q^2|^2$ for $q^2 > 0$, $|G_m(q^2)| \sim c_2/|q^2|^2$ for $q^2 < 0$, $c_1 \simeq 2c_2$ (see e.g. review [3] and references therein).

Available experimental data on the pion form factor can also be described by the power law: $F_{\pi} \sim c_{\pi}/q^2$. There are also indications that the modulus of the pionic form factor $|F_{\pi}(q^2)|$ in the time-like region is approximately twice as large as that in the space-like region [3].

As concerning theoretical development, there is a consensus that the correct description of form factors at asymptotically large q^2 is given by the Hard-Scattering Picture (HSP) (see [4] and references therein). However, there is a question whether or not HSP based on perturbative QCD can be applied to the description of the available data [5, 6, 7, 8]. Last years important progress in modifying HSP via the inclusion of the intrinsic \mathbf{k}_{\perp} -dependence of the wave function and sophisticated parametrizations of Sudakov form factor has been made (see [9, 10, 11, 12]). This new approach allowed to calculate the perturbative contribution to form factors in a selfconsistent way even at moderate momentum transfers (about 2-3 GeV) and to demonstrate that the perturbative contributions are too small as compared with available data (see [13, 14, 15]). Analysis of the most recent developments in perturbative QCD calculations of hadronic electromagnetic form factors (see review [16]) demonstrated that at least the normalization of the form factor cannot be predicted reliably by a leading order calculations in α_s . This result means that substantial nonperturbative (soft) contributions to the form factors can really be present.

A model of soft contributions to form factors based on the Quark-Gluon Strings Model (QGSM) was proposed in [17]. Previously QGSM was used in refs.[18, 19] to describe soft hadronic interactions at high energies. It is based on the ideas of 1/N expansion [20, 21, 22, 23] and color tube model [24, 25, 26, 27]. QGSM can be considered as a microscopic model for Regge phenomenology, and it makes it possible to relate many different soft hadronic reactions. Within the framework of QGSM the q^2 -dependence of the charge and magnetic nucleon form factors as well as the pionic form factor can be described in terms of the intercept of a Regge trajectory and Sudakov form factor [17].

In this paper we show that the differencies in moduli of hadronic form factors in the timelike and space-like regions can naturally be explained by analytical dependence of Sudakov form factor on q^2 . Originally QGSM was formulated in terms of probabilities for quark-hadron and hadron-quark transitions in the impact parameter representation. Here we generalize the model introducing spin-dependent amplitudes for those transitions and developing a method for treatment of spin variables in QGSM. Earlier spin effects in QGSM were discussed in [29] and [17]. Our treatment of spin effects is essentially different from the approach used in [29]. Using our approach we separated the nucleon form factors F_1 and F_2 and demonstrated additional suppression of the pion form factor at large q^2 , which is caused by chirality conservation.

As the answers for all the form factors are written analytically, they can be continued from the time-like region to the space-like region. Different values of the form factors at positive and negative q^2 are accounted for by the analytical behavior of the doubly logarithmic term in the exponent of Sudakov form factor.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the basic concepts of QGSM are introduced. We define the amplitudes for quark-antiquark transitions to nucleon-antinucleon and pionantipion pairs: $A^{q\bar{q}\to N\bar{N}}(s,t)$, $A^{q\bar{q}\to\pi\bar{\pi}}(s,t)$ and derive their asymptotic expressions at large sand finite t. Then we write analytical formulas for $\gamma \to N\bar{N}$ and $\gamma \to \pi\bar{\pi}$ matrix elements at $|s| \gg M^2$, $|t| \leq M^2$ expressing them as a convolution of the transition amplitudes $\gamma \to q\bar{q}$ and $q\bar{q} \to h\bar{h}$ in momentum representation or as a product in impact parameter representation. In Section 3, we discuss the spin structures of $A^{q\bar{q}\to N\bar{N}}$, $A^{q\bar{q}\to\pi\bar{\pi}}$ amplitudes, and derive expressions for the pion form factor $F_{\pi}(s)$ and for the magnetic and charge nucleon form factors $G_m(s)$, $G_e(s)$. Then, in Section 4 we consider additional suppression of the matrix elements $\gamma \to N\bar{N}$ and $\gamma \to \pi\bar{\pi}$ caused by the Sudakov form factor. In Section 5 we present the results of numerical calculations for $G_m(s)$, $G_e(s)$ and F_{π} in the space-like and time-like regions and compare them with the available experimental data on the pion and nucleon form factors. Our conclusions are presented in Section 6.

2 Quark-Gluon Strings Model. Transition amplitudes $T^{q\overline{q} \rightarrow h\overline{h}}(s,t)$ in the limit of large s and finite t.

Let us consider binary reactions $\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^+\pi^- \to N\overline{N}$ and $p\overline{p} \to N\overline{N}$ which at large s and finite t can be described by the planar graphs with valence quark exchanges in t-channel (see diagrams a)-c) in Fig.1). Note that solid lines in diagrams of Fig.1 correspond to valence quarks, and soft gluon exchanges are not shown. According to the topological $1/N_f$ expansion (TE) [17, 21] those graphs give dominant contributions to the corresponding amplitudes when $N_f \gg 1$ and $N_c/N_f \sim 1$. In the cases considered here the exchanges of light quarks u, d, s are mainly important and the parameter of expansion seems not to be very small $1/N_f = 1/3$. However, for the amplitudes with definite quantum numbers in the t-channel the actual parameter of expansion is $1/N_f^2$ [21]. Each graph of TE has a rather simple interpretation within the framework of space-time picture which can be formulated using color tube (or color string) model [28].

As an example we consider a space-time picture of the reaction $\pi^+\pi^- \to \pi^0\overline{\pi}^0$ which correspond to the graph of Fig.1a). At high energy \sqrt{s} this reaction occurs due to a rare quarkparton configuration in each pion when in the c.m.s. a spectator quark (or antiquark) takes almost all the momentum of hadron and the other (valence) antiquark (or quark) is rather slow. The difference in rapidity between the quark and antiquark in each pion is

$$y_q - y_{\bar{q}} \simeq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right) \tag{1}$$

where $s_0 \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. Then two slow quarks from π^+ and π^- annihilate, and the fast spectator quark and antiquark continue to move in the previous directions and produce a color string in the intermediate state. Then the string breaks due to the production of a $q\bar{q}$ -pair from the vacuum creating a $\pi^0 \overline{\pi}^0$ -system in the final state. The same space-time picture holds for the graph of Fig.1b) with only difference that the string breaks producing a diquark-antidiquark pair from the vacuum and creating a $N\bar{N}$ -system in the final state.

Correspondingly the graph of Fig.1c) shows the formation of the $q\bar{q}$ string due to annihilation of the valence diquark-antidiquark pair in the initial state and production of another diquark-antidiquark pair due to the break of the string. Annihilation of the initial $q\bar{q}$ (or $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$) pair takes place when the difference in rapidity of the valence q and \bar{q} (or $\bar{q}\bar{q}$) is small (both interacting partons are almost at rest in c.m.s.) and relative impact parameter $|\mathbf{b}_{\perp} - \mathbf{b}_{0\perp}|$ is less than the interaction radius. This can be described by the probability to find a valence quark with rapidity y_q and impact parameter \mathbf{b}_{\perp} inside a hadron has the following form [17]

$$w(y_q - y_0, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} - \mathbf{b}_{0\perp}) = \frac{c}{4\pi R^2(s)} \exp\left[-\beta(y_q - y_0) - \frac{(\mathbf{b}_{\perp} - \mathbf{b}_{0\perp})^2}{4R^2(s)}\right]$$
(2)

As it was shown in [17] it is possible to relate the parameters β and $R^2(s)$ of the quark distribution inside a hadron to the phenomenological parameters of a Regge trajectory $\alpha_i(t)$ which gives dominant contribution to the amplitude corresponding to the considered planar graph. In this case $R^2(s) = R_0^2 + \alpha'(y_q - y_0)$ is the effective interaction radius squared, y_0 is the average rapidity, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} is the transverse coordinate of the c.m. system in the impact parameter representation, $\alpha' = \alpha'_R(0)$ is the slope of the dominant Regge trajectory, and β is related to the intercept as $\beta = 1 - \alpha_R(0)$.

Due to creation of a string in the intermediate state the amplitude of a binary reaction $ab \rightarrow cd$ has the s-channel factorization property: the probability for the string to produce different hadrons in the final state does not depend on the type of the annihilated quarks and is only determined by the types of the produced quarks. The same can be said about the process of the production of the color string in the intermediate state from the initial hadron configuration: this process depends only on the type of the annihilated quarks. This s-channel factorization was formulated in [18],[19] in terms of probabilities defined by eq.(2).

Generalizing this approach let us introduce amplitudes $\tilde{T}^{ab\to q\bar{q}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})$ and $\tilde{T}^{q\bar{q}\to cd}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})$ that describe a formation and a fission of the intermediate string respectively. The amplitude of the binary reaction $ab \to cd$ described by the planar graph of Fig.1a) (b) or c)) can be written employing the s-channel factorization property as the convolution of two amplitudes

$$A^{ab\to cd}\left(s,\mathbf{q}_{\perp}\right) = \frac{i}{8\pi^{2}s} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ T^{ab\to q\overline{q}}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) T^{q\overline{q}\to cd}\left(s,\mathbf{q}_{\perp}-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right)$$
(3)

in the momentum representation, or as the product

$$\widetilde{A}^{ab \to cd}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = \frac{i}{2s} \ \widetilde{T}^{ab \to q\bar{q}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp}) \ \widetilde{T}^{q\bar{q} \to cd}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})$$
(4)

in the impact parameter representation.

Let us find the solution for the quark-hadron transition amplitudes $T^{\pi^+\pi^-\to q\overline{q}}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ and $T^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ at large s, which corresponds to the single Regge-pole parameterizations of the binary hadronic amplitudes $A^{\pi^+\pi^-\to\pi^0\pi^0}$, $A^{\pi\overline{\pi}\to N\overline{N}}$ and $A^{N\overline{N}\to N\overline{N}}$:

$$A^{\pi^{+}\pi^{-} \to \pi^{0}\pi^{0}}(s,t) = N_{M} \left(-\frac{s}{m_{0}^{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{M}(t)} \exp\left(R_{0M}^{2}t\right)$$

$$A^{\pi\overline{\pi}\to N\overline{N}}(s,t) = N_{B} \left(-\frac{s}{m_{0}^{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{B}(t)} \exp\left(R_{0B}^{2}t\right)$$

$$A^{N\overline{N}\to N\overline{N}}(s,t) = N_{D} \left(-\frac{s}{m_{0}^{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{D}(t)} \exp\left(R_{0D}^{2}t\right)$$
(5)

Here $\alpha_M(t)$, $\alpha_B(t)$ and $\alpha_D(t)$ are the dominant meson, baryon and diquark-antidiquark trajectories; N_M , N_M and N_D are the normalization constants. We have the following intercepts and slopes for the dominant Regge trajectories

$$\alpha_M(0) \simeq 0.5, \quad \alpha_B(0) \simeq -0.5, \quad \alpha_D(0) \simeq -1.5 \tag{6}$$

and

$$\alpha'_M(0) \simeq \alpha'_B(0) \simeq \alpha'_D(0) \simeq 1.0 \ GeV^{-2}.$$
(7)

Taking into account equations (1), (5) we can write the amplitudes $\tilde{T}^{q\bar{q}\to\pi\bar{\pi}}(s,\mathbf{b}_{\perp})$ and $\tilde{T}^{q\bar{q}\to N\bar{N}}(s,\mathbf{b}_{\perp})$ as follows

$$\widetilde{T}^{q\overline{q}\to\pi\overline{\pi}}(s,\mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = N_M^{1/2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}R_M(s)} \left(-\frac{s}{m_0^2}\right)^{(\alpha_M(0)+1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^2}{8R_M^2(s)}\right)$$

$$\widetilde{T}^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}(s,\mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = N_D^{1/2} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}R_D(s)} \left(-\frac{s}{m_0^2}\right)^{(\alpha_D(0)+1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^2}{8R_D^2(s)}\right)$$
(8)

where $R_{M}(s)$ and $R_{D}(s)$ are the effective interaction radii

$$R_{M}^{2}(s) = R_{0M}^{2} + \alpha_{M}'(0) \ln\left(-\frac{s}{m_{0}^{2}}\right)$$

$$R_{D}^{2}(s) = R_{0D}^{2} + \alpha_{D}'(0) \ln\left(-\frac{s}{m_{0}^{2}}\right)$$
(9)

Substituting the amplitudes defined by eq.(8) into factorization formula (4) we get:

$$\widetilde{A}^{\pi\overline{\pi}\to N\overline{N}}(s,\mathbf{b}_{\perp}) = (N_M N_D)^{1/2} \frac{1}{4\pi R_D(s) R_M(s)} \left(-\frac{s}{m_0^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_D(0) + \alpha_M(0))} \exp\left[-\mathbf{b}_{\perp}^2 \left(\frac{1}{8R_M^2(s)} + \frac{1}{8R_D^2(s)}\right)\right]$$
(10)

For consistency of eqs. (10), (5) we should require the following relations between Regge parameters and normalization constants [17]:

$$2\frac{1}{R_B^2(s)} = \frac{1}{R_M^2(s)} + \frac{1}{R_D^2(s)},$$

$$2\alpha (0)_B = \alpha_D (0) + \alpha_M (0),$$
(11)

$$(N_M N_D)^{1/2} \frac{1}{R_D(s) R_M(s)} = N_B \frac{1}{R_B^2(s)}$$
(12)

If only light u, d quarks are involved we can safely assume that [17]

$$\alpha'_{M}(0) = \alpha'_{B}(0) = \alpha'_{D}(0) \equiv \alpha'(0)$$

$$R^{2}_{0M}(0) = R^{2}_{0B}(0) = R^{2}_{0D}(0) \equiv R^{2}_{0}(0)$$

$$(N_{M}N_{D})^{1/2} = N_{B}$$
(13)

Then the relations (11), (12) can be satisfied at all s. Otherwise, they can be satisfied at sufficiently large s (see also [17]).

Using the same approach we can consider the reaction of e^+e^- -annihilation into hadrons (see Figs. 2a,2b). In the case of $\gamma \to N\overline{N}$ reaction a virtual photon creates a $q\overline{q}$ -pair, which forms a color string in the intermediate state. Then, the mechanism of producing a hadron pair in the final state is the same as it was considered above. The string breaks producing diquarkantidiquark $d\overline{d}$ -pair, which combines with spectator quarks to produce an $N\overline{N}$ final state. The form factors of $\gamma \to N\overline{N}$ transition can be expressed through the amplitudes $T^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})$, $\tilde{T}^{q\overline{q} \to N\overline{N}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp})$ as:

$$A^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}(s) = \frac{i}{2s} T^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}}(s) \cdot \widetilde{T}^{q\overline{q} \to N\overline{N}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} = 0)$$
(14)

Therefore, at large s we have the following behavior of nucleon form factors:

$$|G_{m,e}(s)| \sim |s|^{-1} \left| \widetilde{T}^{q\overline{q} \to N\overline{N}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} = 0) \right| \sim \frac{1}{R_D(s)} |s|^{(\alpha_D(0)-1)/2}$$
(15)

In the case of $\pi \overline{\pi}$ pair produced in the final state (Fig.2a), the formulas for the amplitude and form factor can be written as:

$$A^{\gamma \to \pi \overline{\pi}}(s) = \frac{i}{2s} T^{\gamma \to q \overline{q}}(s) \cdot \widetilde{T}^{q \overline{q} \to \pi \overline{\pi}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} = 0)$$
(16)

$$|F_{\pi}(s)| \sim |s|^{-1} \left| \tilde{T}^{q\bar{q} \to \pi\bar{\pi}}(s, \mathbf{b}_{\perp} = 0) \right| \sim \frac{1}{R_M(s)} |s|^{(\alpha_M(0)-1)/2}$$
(17)

Taking the values $\alpha_M(0) \simeq -0.5$, $\alpha_M(0) \simeq -1$, $\alpha_{(D)}(0) = (2\alpha_B(0) - \alpha_M(0)) \simeq -1.5$ we get

$$F_{\pi}(s) \sim |s/s_0|^{-1/4},$$

 $G_{m,e}(s)| \sim |s/s_0|^{-5/4}$

Such asymptotic of the form factors differs from the predictions of the quark counting rules [30] and pQCD calculations [4]. Note also that this power behavior does not agree also with experimental behavior for pionic $F_{\pi}(s) \sim s^{-1}$ and nucleon form factors $G_{m,e} \sim s^{-2}$. The main reason of this disagreement is that we ignored up to now additional suppression caused by Sudakov form factor. It will be demonstrated in Sections 3 and 4 that taking into account the Sudakov form factor we shall be able to describe correctly q^2 dependences of the pion and nucleon form factors as well as to explain their differences in the space-like and time-like regions. However, before coming to the discussion of Sudakov form factor and to numerical calculations we should at first consider spin effects and possibility to separate charge and magnetic nucleon form factors. We shall also demonstrate that the chirality conservation leads to additional suppression of the pion form factor $\sim 1/\sqrt{s}$ at large s.

3 Spin structure of amplitudes and form factors.

Let us introduce necessary notations. The pion and nucleon form factors can be defined as follows:

$$A^{\gamma \to \pi \overline{\pi}}_{\mu}(s) = F_{\pi} \left(p_{h\mu} - p_{\overline{h}\mu} \right) \tag{18}$$

and

$$A^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}_{\mu}(q^2) = \overline{u}_{\lambda_N} \left[G_m(q^2)\gamma_{\mu} + 2M(G_e(q^2) - G_m(q^2)) \frac{(p_h - p_{\overline{h}})_{\mu}}{(p_h - p_{\overline{h}})^2} \right] \upsilon_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}} = \overline{u}_{\lambda_N} \left[F_1(q^2)\gamma_{\mu} - \frac{\kappa_p F_2(q^2)}{2M_h} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q_{\nu} \right] \upsilon_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}} ,$$

$$(19)$$

where p_h and $p_{\overline{h}}$ are the 4-momenta of final hadrons, $\kappa_p = \mu_p - 1$ and μ_p is the proton magnetic moment $\mu_p = 2.793$.

Our aim is to introduce the spin structure of the amplitudes $A^{q\overline{q}\to\pi\overline{\pi}}$ and $A^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}$. We define the invariants s and t for a two-body scattering amplitude $q\overline{q} \to h\overline{h}$ in the standard way

$$s = (p_q + p_{\overline{q}})^2 = (p_h + p_{\overline{h}})^2,$$

$$t = (p_q - p_h)^2 = (p_{\overline{q}} - p_{\overline{h}})^2$$
(20)

and introduce the relative momenta $p_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(p_{q\mu} - p_{\overline{q},\mu}), P_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}(p_{h\mu} - p_{\overline{h},\mu}), k_{\mu} = P_{\mu} - p_{\mu},$ where $p_{q\mu}$, k_{μ} and $P_{h\mu}$ are the four-momenta of the quark, antiquark (or diquark) and hadron respectively.

At large s and finite t we have $\{\vec{P}^2, \vec{p}^2\} \gg \{M^2, m^2\}$, where m is the mass of the light quark and $\mathbf{P}_{\perp} = 0, P_z$. Then the following relation for the longitudinal momenta:

$$k_z = P_z - p_z \approx \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} - \frac{M^2}{\sqrt{s}} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} - \frac{m^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{\sqrt{s}}\right) \sim \left(\frac{M^2}{\sqrt{s}}, \frac{m^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{\sqrt{s}}\right)$$
(21)

is satisfied. As it follows from (21) k_z can be neglected as compared to $|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|$: $k_z \approx 0$.

Let us consider the pion form factor. The amplitude $T^{q\bar{q}\to\pi\bar{\pi}}$ can be expressed through two invariant amplitudes which correspond to the odd and even angular momenta of the $\pi^+\pi^$ pair:

$$T^{q\overline{q}\to\pi\overline{\pi}}_{\lambda_q\lambda_{\overline{q}}}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2\right) = \left(\chi^{\star}_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}\sigma_i\chi_{\lambda_q}\right) \left[\widetilde{B}_1\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2\right)P_i + \widetilde{B}_2\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2\right)p_i\right].$$
(22)

We assume that the amplitudes B_1 , B_2 have the same asymptotics at large s and parameterize them in the following way:

$$\tilde{B}_{\{1,2\}}(s,t) = \beta_{\{1,2\}}^0 \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_M(0)/2} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(R_0^2 + \alpha'(0)\ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)\right)t\right].$$
(23)

The factor $s^{1/2}$ has been removed from $\left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_M(0)+1)/2}$ (see eq.(8)) to account for parameterization (22) where $|\vec{P}|, |\vec{p}| \sim s^{1/2}$.

It is convenient to rewrite eq.(22) in the following form:

$$T_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\overline{q}}}^{q \overline{q} \to \pi \overline{\pi}} \left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \right) = \left(\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}^{\star} \sigma_i \chi_{\lambda_q} \right) \left[B_1 \left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \right) P_i + B_2 \left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \right) k_i \right].$$
(24)

Here $B_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$, $B_2(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$ have the same dependence on s and \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 (see (23)) as $\tilde{B}_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$, $\tilde{B}_2(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$. As $|\mathbf{k}|/|\vec{P}| \sim s^{-1/2}$, the contribution of the second term of (24) into form factors is parametrically small and can be neglected (see also below).

The expression for the matrix element of the current operator $A^{\gamma \to \pi \overline{\pi}}_{\mu}$ can be written as the convolution of the quark current and quark-pion transition amplitude (15)

$$A^{\gamma \to \pi\overline{\pi}}_{\mu}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^2 s)} \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp} T^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}}_{\mu}\left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2\right) T^{q\overline{q} \to \pi\overline{\pi}}\left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2\right) \,. \tag{25}$$

The quark current operator $A_i^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}} = \overline{u}(p_q, \lambda_q)\gamma_i \upsilon(p_{\overline{q}}, \lambda_{\overline{q}})$ can be written in c.m.s., where $\vec{p}_q = -\vec{p}_{\overline{q}} = \vec{p}$, in the following form:

$$A_i^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}} = 2(\varepsilon + m)^{-1} \chi_{\lambda_q}^{\star} \left[\varepsilon(\varepsilon + m)\sigma_i - p_i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \right] \chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}} .$$
⁽²⁶⁾

For the following applications it is convenient to separate the quark current operator into transversal and longitudinal parts:

$$A_i^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}} = 2 \left[\varepsilon \left(\delta_{uj} - \frac{p_i p_j}{\vec{p}^2} \right) + m \frac{p_i p_j}{\vec{p}^2} \right] (\chi_{\lambda_q}^* \sigma_j \chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}).$$
(27)

Taking into account spin variables in (25) we can express the transition amplitude $A^{\gamma \to \pi\pi}$ in the following form

 $A_{i}^{\gamma \to \pi\pi}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ 2Tr\left[\sigma_{j}\sigma_{l}\right] \left[\left(\varepsilon - (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2\vec{p}^{2}}\right) \delta_{ij}^{\perp} + \left(m + (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{\vec{p}^{2}}\right) \frac{P_{i}P_{j}}{\vec{p}^{2}} \right] \times \qquad (28)$ $\times \left[B_{1}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right) P_{l} + B_{2}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right) k_{l} \right],$

where $\delta_{ij}^{\perp} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{P_i P_j}{\vec{P}^2}$. It is easy to see that the term proportional to $B_2(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$ gives relative contribution $\sim \frac{m^2 + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2}{s}$ as compared with the term proportional to $B_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$. Therefore we get:

$$A_{i}^{\gamma \to \pi \overline{\pi}}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ 2\left[m + (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{\vec{p}^{2}}\right] B_{1}\left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right) P_{i} \approx \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ 2m \ B_{1}\left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right) P_{i} \ ,$$

$$(29)$$

where in the last expression all the pre-asymptotic terms of the order of $s^{-1/2}$, s^{-1} and so on are neglected.

It is important to note that the transversal and longitudinal components of the quark current $\gamma \to q\overline{q}$ behave differently at large s:

$$\mathbf{A}_{\perp}^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}} \sim \varepsilon \sigma_{\perp} \sim s^{1/2} \sigma_{\perp},$$

$$A_{z}^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}} \sim m \sigma_{z} .$$
(30)

The small value of the longitudinal component is related to the conservation of chirality. This component vanishes in the limit $m \to 0$.

In the case of the transition $\gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ only the longitudinal components of the quark current gives contribution, which is suppressed at large s as $\sim s^{-1/2}$. That is the reason why the pion form factor has additional suppression $\sim s^{-1/2}$ as compared with estimation (17), which was presented in the previous section, where spin effects were neglected. Note that such behaviour of the pion form factor was predicted by Ravndal [31] in parton model. Such a suppression should be absent in the transitions $\gamma \to \pi \rho$ and $\gamma \to \pi \omega$. Therefore QGSM predicts that the ratios of the form factors $\frac{F_{\pi\pi}}{F_{\pi\rho}}$ and $\frac{F_{\pi\pi}}{F_{\pi\omega}}$ should decrease with s as $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$. Note that in the perturbative QCD the quark helicities are conserved, and this would lead to the different behaviour of those ratios $\left(\frac{F_{\pi\pi}}{F_{\pi\rho}}\right)_{PQCD} \sim \left(\frac{F_{\pi\pi}}{F_{\pi\omega}}\right)_{PQCD} \sim s$. Therefore the QGSM predictions for the form factor ratios $\gamma \to \pi\pi$ and $\gamma \to \pi\rho(\omega)$ at large s are very different from the PQCD predictions. Note that in the nucleon case both components A_{\perp} and A_z contribute and there is no such power suppression of the nucleon charge or magnetic form factor (see eq.(38)).

Let us show that from two invariant amplitudes $B_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$ and $B_2(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$, defined by eq.(24), the main contribution into the planar pion diagram of Fig.1a) is given by the first amplitude $B_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$:

$$A^{\pi\overline{\pi}\to\pi\overline{\pi}}(s,\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^{2}) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \sum_{\lambda_{q}\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} (\chi_{\lambda_{q}}^{\star}\sigma_{i}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}) (\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}^{\star}\sigma_{j}\chi_{\lambda_{q}}) \times \\ \times \left[B_{1}^{\star}(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2})P_{i} + B_{2}^{\star}(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2})k_{i} \right] \left[B_{1}(s,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})_{\perp}^{2})P_{j} + B_{2}(s,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})_{\perp}^{2})k_{j} \right] =$$
(31)
$$= \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \left[B_{1}^{\star}(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2})P_{i} + B_{2}^{\star}(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2})k_{i} \right] \times \left[B_{1}(s,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})_{\perp}^{2})P_{i} + B_{2}(s,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})_{\perp}^{2})k_{i} \right] =$$
$$= \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} B_{1}^{\star}(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2})B_{1}(s,(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k})_{\perp}^{2})s + O\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{s},\frac{m_{q}^{2}}{s}\right).$$

Provided that $B_1 \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_M(0)/2}$ eq.(31) garantees the correct *s*-dependence of $A^{\pi\pi\to\pi\pi} \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_M(0)}$. Moreover, eq.(31) can be used to fix the normalization constant for $B_1(s, (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})_{\perp}^2)$.

The pion form factor F_{π} can be expressed directly through $B_1(s, (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})_{\perp}^2)$:

$$F_{\pi}(s) \simeq m \frac{i}{(8\pi^2 s)} \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp} B_1\left(s, (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k})_{\perp}^2\right) = N_{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(R_0^2 + \alpha' \ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)\right)^2}} \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_{M0}/2 - 1)} , \quad (32)$$

where N_{π} is a normalization constant, which we consider here as a free parameter.

Let us now discuss nucleon form factors. The $q\overline{q} \rightarrow N\overline{N}$ transition amplitude can be parameterized in terms of eight invariant amplitudes:

$$T^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) = \chi^{\star}_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}\chi^{\star}_{\lambda_{N}}U_{(\lambda_{\overline{N}}\lambda_{N}\lambda_{q}\lambda_{\overline{q}})}\chi_{\lambda_{q}}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}} , \qquad (33)$$

where U is a spin-spin operator that acts on spin variables of nucleons and quarks. The general spin structure of U can be written in terms of eight invariant amplitudes:

$$U = D_{1}(s, \mathbf{q}_{\perp}) \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} + D_{2}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) (\vec{\sigma} \ \vec{n}) \cdot \mathbf{1} + D_{3}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \mathbf{1} \cdot (\vec{\sigma}' \ \vec{n}) + D_{4}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \sigma_{x} \cdot \sigma_{x}' + D_{5}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \sigma_{y} \cdot \sigma_{y}' + D_{6}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \sigma_{z} \cdot \sigma_{z}' + D_{7}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \sigma_{x} \cdot \sigma_{z}' + D_{8}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \sigma_{z} \cdot \sigma_{x}'$$
(34)

Here matrices σ_i and σ'_i act on spin indices of nucleon and quark (antinucleon and antiquark) respectively, and \vec{n} is the unit vector normal to the scattering plane:

$$\vec{n} = \frac{\left[\vec{P} \times \vec{p}\right]}{\left|\left[\vec{P} \times \vec{p}\right]\right|} \,. \tag{35}$$

At first we assume that all the amplitudes D_i have the same Regge asymptotic:

$$D_i(s,t) = \gamma_i^0 \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_D(0)+1)/2} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(R_0^2 + \alpha'(0)\ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)\right)t\right]$$
(36)

Then the amplitude of the transition $\gamma \to N\overline{N}$ can be written as:

$$A_{i}^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} T_{i}^{\gamma \to q\overline{q}}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) T^{q\overline{q} \to N\overline{N}}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) =$$

$$= \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \sum_{\lambda_{q}\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} 2 \left[\left(\varepsilon - (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2\overrightarrow{p}^{2}} \right) \delta_{ij}^{\perp} + \left(m + (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{\overrightarrow{p}^{2}} \right) \frac{P_{i}P_{j}}{\overrightarrow{p}^{2}} \right] \times \qquad (37)$$

$$\times \left(\chi_{\lambda_{q}}^{\star} \sigma_{j} \chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \right) \chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star} \chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}^{(c)\star} \hat{U}_{(\lambda_{N}\lambda_{\overline{N}}; \lambda_{q}\lambda_{\overline{q}})} \chi_{\lambda_{q}} \chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}^{(c)} = 2 \left[\varepsilon G_{m} \delta_{ij}^{\perp} + M G_{e} \frac{P_{i}P_{j}}{\overrightarrow{p}^{2}} \right] \left(\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star} \sigma_{j} \chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}^{(c)} \right).$$

Let us write now expressions for the longitudinal and transversal components of the current matrix elements. It is clear from eq. (37) that Sachs form factors can now easily be separated: G_e contributes to longitudinal component only and G_m to the transversal one. It is also clear that the main asymptotic terms in quark current are not dependent on \mathbf{k}_{\perp} . Taking into account that after integration over $d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}$ the terms which are not invariant under rotation around z-axis should disappear, we can write the longitudinal and transversal components of current operator in the following form:

$$A_{z}^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}} = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \times \\ \times \sum_{\lambda_{q}\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \sum_{l} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} D_{l}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}) 2m\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star} \sigma_{n_{l}}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}^{(c)\star} \left(\chi_{\lambda_{q}}^{\star}\sigma_{z}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}\right) \chi_{\lambda_{q}}\sigma_{n_{l}}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}^{c} = 2M G_{e}(\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star}\sigma_{z}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}^{(c)}), \\ \mathbf{A}_{\perp}^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}} = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \times \\ \times \sum_{\lambda_{q}\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \sum_{l} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} D_{l}(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}) (2\varepsilon)\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star}\sigma_{n_{l}}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}^{(c)\star} \left(\chi_{\lambda_{q}}^{\star}\sigma_{\perp}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{q}}}\right) \chi_{\lambda_{q}}\sigma_{n_{l}}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}^{c} = 2\varepsilon G_{m}(\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star}\sigma_{\perp}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}^{(c)}),$$

$$(38)$$

where l = 1, 4, 5 and 6. It means that the form factors G_e and G_m can be expressed through linear superpositions of the amplitudes D_1 , D_4 , D_5 and D_6 . If all these amplitudes will have the same asymptotics then the asymptotics of G_e and G_m will also be the same:

$$G_m(s) , \ G_e(s) \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_D(0)-1)/2} .$$
 (39)

However the ratio $\frac{G_e}{G_m}$ can be dependent on the model of the invariant amplitudes.

It follows also from eqs.(38) that the Pauli form factor F_2 is suppressed at large s as s^{-1} with respect to G_m and G_e . This suppression is purely kinematical and follows from the definition of F_2 :

$$F_2(s) = \left(\frac{q^2}{4M^2} - 1\right)^{-1} \left[G_e(s) - G_m(s)\right], \qquad F_2(s) \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_D(0)/2 - 1.5)}.$$
 (40)

As explicit relations between the amplitudes D_1 , D_4 , D_5 and D_6 are not known we perform calculations for two different simple models:

i) dominant contribution comes from the non-spin flip amplitude D_1 ;

ii) the amplitude $T^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}$ can be described by a scalar diquark exchange in the *t*-channel. In the case i) we have:

$$T^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}_{\lambda_N\lambda_{\overline{N}}\lambda_q\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \to D_1\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} \equiv D_1\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) \delta_{\lambda_N\lambda_q} \cdot \delta_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}\lambda_{\overline{q}}} \tag{41}$$

and the expression for the amplitude $A_i^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}$ is essentially simplified:

$$A_{i}^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ D_{1}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) \left(\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star}\sigma_{j}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}\right) \times \\ \times 2\left[\left(\varepsilon - (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2\vec{p}^{2}}\right)\delta_{ij}^{\perp} + \left(m + (\varepsilon - m)\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{\vec{p}^{2}}\right)\frac{P_{i}P_{j}}{\vec{P}^{2}}\right].$$

$$\tag{42}$$

In the leading order in s we can neglect all the terms proportional to $\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{\vec{p}^{2}}$ and write the amplitude $A_{i}^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}$ in the form:

$$A_{i}^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \ D_{1}\left(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) \left(\chi_{\lambda_{N}}^{\star}\sigma_{j}\chi_{\lambda_{\overline{N}}}\right) 2\left[\varepsilon\delta_{ij}^{\perp} + m\frac{P_{i}P_{j}}{\vec{P}^{2}}\right] \ . \tag{43}$$

Then we get the following expressions for the Sachs form factors:

$$G_{m}(s) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} D_{1}\left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) = \frac{C}{\sqrt{R_{0}^{2} + \alpha'\left(0\right)\ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)}} \left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{(\alpha_{D}(0)-1)/2},$$

$$G_{e}(s) = \frac{m}{M} \frac{i}{(8\pi^{2}s)} \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp} D_{1}\left(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) = \frac{\frac{m}{M}C}{\sqrt{R_{0}^{2} + \alpha'\left(0\right)\ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)}} \left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{(\alpha_{D}(0)-1)/2},$$
(44)

where C is the normalization constant. The Pauli form factor F_2 can be expressed through G_m , G_e as follows (see eq.(40)):

$$F_2(s) = -\left(\frac{s}{4M^2} - 1\right)^{-1} \frac{C\left(1 - \frac{m}{M}\right)}{\sqrt{R_0^2 + \alpha'(0)\ln\left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)}} \cdot \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_D(0) - 3)/2} .$$
 (45)

As it follows from eqs.(33), (34) the parametrization (36) corresponds to the proper Regge behaviour of the amplitude $T^{N\overline{N}\to N\overline{N}}$. Indeed the amplitude $T^{N\overline{N}\to N\overline{N}}$ can be expressed through the quadratic form

$$T^{N\overline{N}\to N\overline{N}}(s,\mathbf{q}_{\perp}) = \frac{i}{(8\pi^2 s)} \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp} D_1(s,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) D_1(s,\mathbf{q}_{\perp}-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) , \qquad (46)$$

and therefore has the following asymptotics

$$T^{N\overline{N}\to N\overline{N}}(s, \mathbf{q}_{\perp}) \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha_D\left(-\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^2\right)} ,$$
 (47)

which coincides with eq.(5).

In the case ii) the transition amplitude $q\overline{q} \rightarrow N\overline{N}$ can be expressed in the covariant way through the Dirac spinors of quarks and nucleons:

$$T^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}(\lambda_q\lambda_{\overline{q}}\lambda_N\lambda_{\overline{N}}) = (\overline{u}_N^{\lambda_N}u_q^{\lambda_q}) \cdot (\overline{u}_{\overline{N}}^{\overline{\lambda_N}}u_{\overline{q}}^{\overline{\lambda_q}}).$$
(48)

Then the nucleon current $A^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}}$ can be written as

$$A^{\gamma \to N\overline{N}} = \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp} A\left(s, -\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2\right) \left(\left[(M+m)^2 + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \right] \gamma_{\mu} - 2 \left(M+m \right) k_{\mu} + 2k_{\mu}k_{\nu}\gamma_{\nu} \right) =$$

$$= G_m \gamma_{\mu} + 2M \left(G_e - G_m \right) \left(\frac{P_{\mu}}{P^2} \right).$$
(49)

In the first approximation we can change \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 to the effective value $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$, taken from the diquark momentum distribution in the nucleon wave function. Then we get the following expressions for the Sachs form factors:

$$G_{m} = \tilde{C} \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{\sqrt{R_{0}^{2} + \alpha'(0) \ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)}} \cdot \left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{(\alpha_{D}(0)-1)/2},$$

$$G_{e} - G_{m} = \tilde{C} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{m}{M}\right) \left(m^{2} - M^{2} + \left\langle\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right\rangle\right) + \left\langle\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{R_{0}^{2} + \alpha'(0) \ln\left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)}} \cdot \left(-\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{(\alpha_{D}(0)-1)/2},$$
(50)

where \tilde{C} is the normalization constant which in principle may be different from C in eqs.(44).

Note that in the limit $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0$ eqs.(50) for G_m and G_e coincide with eqs. (44) and the ratio $\frac{G_e}{G_m}$ is equal to the ratio of the quark and nucleon masses:

$$\frac{G_e}{G_m} = \frac{m}{M} \ . \tag{51}$$

The pion and nucleon form factors (32), (44) and (50) were calculated at positive s. However, they can analytically be continued to negative s (and complex s). Therefore they are defined in the whole s-complex plane.

4 SUDAKOV FORM FACTOR.

A collinear configuration of quarks, which leads to the production of a two-body hadronic final state, can be formed only if no hard gluon is emitted in the initial state. If this condition does not hold and in the initial stage of interaction a hard $(|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}| > R^{-1})$ gluon is emitted, the collinearity of the $q\bar{q}$ configuration is not preserved and this hard gluon develops a jet in the final state in addition to the $h\bar{h}$ -system. The necessity to preserve the collinear $q\bar{q}$ configuration results in the Sudakov suppression. Sudakov form factor is related to the initial stage of interaction when a high-energy virtual photon produces a pair of fast quark and antiquark and an intermediate quark gluon string is not yet formed.

In the double logarithmic approximation (DLA) the Sudakov form factor can be written as follows (for possible parameterizations of Sudakov form factor and its role in jet production see e.g. review [32] and references therein):

$$S^{(0)}(q^2) = C_1 \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_S^{eff}}{2\pi} C_F \ln^2\left(-\frac{q^2}{\omega^2}\right)\right) , \qquad (52)$$

where $C_F = \frac{4}{3}$, and ω has the meaning of the characteristic energy or transverse momentum of emitted gluons when the collinearity of $q\bar{q}$ configuration is still preserved. In formula (52) both values α_s^{eff} and ω are introduced as free phenomenological parameters. We shall vary them within the limits which are in reasonable agreement with available theoretical considerations and experimental data.

It is important to note that the modulus of Sudakov form factor is different for positive and negative s due to the double logarithmic term in the exponent:

$$r_{ts} = \frac{\left|S^{(0)}\left(s\right)\right|}{\left|S^{(0)}\left(-s\right)\right|} \simeq \exp\left(C_F \;\frac{\alpha_s^{eff}}{2}\pi\right) \tag{53}$$

and for $\alpha_s^{eff} \simeq 0.4$ the ratio $r_{ts} \simeq 2$.

In DLA the value of α_S is considered as a constant. This approximation is reasonable at not very high s, when characteristic transverse momenta of emitted gluons are about $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle \sim$ 1 GeV² ($\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle \ll s$). In this region $\alpha_S(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$ can be considered as a constant $\alpha_s^{eff} \approx 0.4 \div 0.5$ [33]-[34].

However, at very large s it is necessary to take into account logarithmic dependence of α_s on s. In this case it is convenient to write the Sudakov form factor in the following form

$$S^{(1)}(s) \sim \exp\left[-\frac{C_f}{2\pi}\ln\left(-\frac{s}{\mu_1^2}\right)\int_{\mu_2^2}^s \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2}\alpha_S(\mu^2)\right]$$
 (54)

where the first logarithm $\ln \frac{s}{\mu_1^2}$ corresponds to the integration on the longitudinal momentum (energy), and the second one $\ln \frac{s}{\mu_2^2}$ - to the integration on the transverse momentum. Using the one-loop expression for $\alpha_S(\mu^2)$:

$$\alpha_S(\mu^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(-\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} , \qquad (55)$$

where
$$\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}N_c - \frac{2}{3}N_f = 9$$
, (56)

we get the following expression for the Sudakov form factor [35]

$$S^{(1)}(s) = C_2 \exp\left[-\frac{2C_F}{\beta_0} \ln\left(-\frac{s}{\mu_1^2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_S(\mu_2^2)}{\alpha_S(s)}\right)\right]$$
(57)

The choice of the sign before s is defined by analytical properties of the form factors. The constants μ_1 , μ_2 in(57) will be considered as free parameters.

The (time-like)-to-(space-like) ratio for $S^{(1)}(s)$ is in general smaller as compared to that of $S^{(0)}(q^2)$ from (52). Nevertheless it can also reach the value ~ 2 at $5 \div 15 \ GeV^2$. At very large s the ratio $r_{ts}^{(1)}$ can be written as

$$r_{ts}^{(1)}|_{s\to\infty} = \exp\left[\frac{2C_F}{\beta_0}\frac{\pi^2}{\ln(s)}\right]$$
(58)

(compare with eq.(53)) and slowly decreases with s. At very large s in (58) the ratio $r_{ts}^{(1)} \rightarrow 1$ and Sudakov suppression disappears.

Effective power which characterizes decrease of hadronic form factors with account of the Sudakov form factor (57), can be defined as

$$G \sim \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_D(0)-1)/2} S^{(1)}(s) \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\xi_N(s)}, \quad F_\pi \sim \left(\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{(\alpha_M(0)-2)/2} S^{(1)}(s) \sim \left(-\frac{s}{s_0}\right)^{\xi_\pi(s)}, \text{ where}$$

$$\xi_N(s) = -\frac{2C_f}{\beta_0} \ln\left[\ln\left(-s\right)\right] + \frac{\alpha_D(0)-1}{2} \text{ for nucleon form factors, and}$$

$$\xi_\pi(s) = -\frac{2C_F}{\beta_0} \ln\left[\ln\left(-s\right)\right] + \frac{\alpha_M(0)-2}{2} \text{ for pion form factor.}$$

Therefore hadronic form factors, calculated in QGSM, decrease faster than any Finite

Therefore hadronic form factors, calculated in QGSM, decrease faster than any Finite power of s. This means that at very large s the perturbative contribution, which is proportional to $\sim \frac{\alpha_s(s)}{s}$ and $\sim \frac{\alpha_s^2(s)}{s^2}$ for pion and nucleon form factors respectively, becomes dominant. However, the decrease of $\xi_{N,\pi}(s)$ with s, which is defined by the term $\ln [\ln (-s)]$, is rather slow and the scale \tilde{s} , where nonperturbative contribution becomes comparable with perturbative one, is much larger than experimentally available values of s ($\tilde{s} > 10^2 \ GeV^2$).

Moreover we should stress that at available now values $s \simeq 30 \div 50 \text{ GeV}^2$ the nonperturbative contribution is dominant and the gluon virtuality is not large ($\mu^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2 \ll s$). The value of $\alpha_s(\mu^2)$ in this region of μ^2 is frozen and practically does not depend on μ^2 [33].

Thus the s dependence of hadronic form factors in QGSM has very transparent interpretation: the probability for a virtual photon to produce a two-body hadronic state contains two suppression factors. The first one is related to a suppression of the production of a collinear $q\bar{q}$ pair. It can be calculated using the perturbative QCD and is described by the Sudakov form factor. The second small factor is related to suppression of the production of a two-body hadronic state after hadronization of a $q\bar{q}$ string at large s. This factor is related to the large distance physics and has nonperturbative nature.

5 Numerical Results. Comparison with Experiment.

In figs. 3-8 we compare the results of our calculations for hadronic form factors $G_m(q^2)$, $G_e(q^2)$, $F_2(q^2)$ and $F_{\pi}(q^2)$ with experimental data in the time-like and space-like regions of q^2 . Parameters m, $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ and R_0^2 were taken to be equal to $m = 0.22 \ GeV$, $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.2 \ GeV^2$ and $R_0^2 = 3 \ GeV^2$.

Both parameterizations of Sudakov form factors were employed: a) $S^{(0)}(q^2)$ (see (52)) with frozen α_S^{eff} ; b) $S^{(1)}(q^2)$ (57).

The best fit of nucleon form factors in the case a) was found at: $\alpha_s^{eff} = 0.45$, $\omega^2 = 0.35$ GeV^2 . In the case b) the following values of parameters were chosen: $\Lambda_{QCD} = 0.4 \ GeV$, $\mu_1 = 1.3 \ GeV$ and $\mu_2 = 0.6 \ GeV$.

In Fig. 3 we present the proton magnetic form factor in the space-like region of $Q^2 = -q^2 = -s$. The bold and thin solid curves are calculated for the model a) and b) of Sudakov form factor respectively. The normalization constant C was also considered as a free parameter in each case. Both curves describe the Q^2 dependence of G_m rather well. The bold and thin dashed curves are calculated dividing the corresponding solid curves by Sudakov form factor. It is clear that the effect of Sudakov form factor is quite important: at $Q^2 = 5 \ GeV^2$ the dashed and solid curves are different by approximately factors of 2 and 1.5 for models a) and b) respectively.

In Fig. 4 we present the proton magnetic form factor in the time-like region. The meaning of the curves is the same as in Fig. 3. The normalization factor in the time-like region was taken the same as in the space-like region. The solid curves which are calculated with Sudakov suppression describe the q^2 dependence of $q^4G_m(q^2)$. The model *a*) (bold solid curve) agrees with the experimental data rather well, while model *b*) (thin solid curve) is below the data by a factor ~ 1.5. The dashed curves were calculated without Sudakov suppression. They correspond to rising $q^4G_m(q^2)$ and are below the bold curves by a factor ~ 1.2 ÷ 1.5 at $q^2 = 5$ GeV^2 .

In section 3 two types of parametrization of $T_i^{q\overline{q}\to N\overline{N}}$ were considered: model i) and model ii). The q^2 dependence of the proton magnetic form factor appeared to be not very sensitive to the choice of a model. However the choice of the spin structure is important for separation of $G_e(q^2)$ and $G_m(q^2)$, and therefore for the description of $F_2(q^2)$. Our predictions for F_2 are sensitive to the choice of parameters m and $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ which enter eqs.(45) and (50). For model i) when the non-spin-flip amplitude $D_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2) \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ dominates the value of m is only relevant.

In Fig. 5 we show the ratio $\mu_p \frac{G_e}{G_m}$ in the space-like region. This ratio is slowly dependent on Q^2 in the region $0.5 \div 3.5 \ GeV^2$ and reaches the value of $\mu_p \frac{G_e}{G_m} \approx 0.6 \div 0.7$. Therefore for the ratio of electric to magnetic form factors we have $\frac{G_e}{G_m} \approx 0.2 \div 0.25$. In our models i) and ii) the ratio $\frac{G_e}{G_m}$ is not dependent on q^2 :

$$\frac{G_e}{G_m} = \frac{m}{M} \quad \text{in model i)} ,$$

$$\frac{G_e}{G_m} = \frac{m}{M} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle}{(m+M)^2} \left(2 + \frac{m}{M}\right) \quad \text{in model ii)} . \tag{59}$$

If the natural quark mass $m = 0.22 \ GeV$ is chosen model i) reproduces the experimental data on $\frac{G_e}{G_m}$ very well. Experimental data on Pauli formfactor F_2 taken from [40] suggest a

slightly larger value of $\mu_p \frac{G_e}{G_m} \approx 0.7 \div 0.75$. However, employing the same quark mass m = 0.22 GeV model i) describes quite well the experimental data [40].

Model ii) gives the ratio G_e/G_m larger than model i) at the same values of quark mass and $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ (see 59). As it follows from (59) to reproduce the experimental data for Pauli form factor F_2 (or equivalently for G_e/G_m) in model ii) we should take $m \simeq 0$, $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle \simeq 0.15 \ GeV^2$, the choice seeming quite unnatural. Note, that if we put $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0$ (in this case the rapid quark moves in the direction of the hadron), model ii) provides for helicity conservation and the second formula in (59) reduces to the first one.

In fig 6. we present our results for Pauli form factor $F_2(Q^2)$ for two parameterizations of Sudakov form factor. The solid line refers to the parametrization of model a), the thin line - model b). The bold dashed curve corresponds to the calculations with the scalar diquark exchange model ii). For this curve the Sudakov form factor was taken in the $\alpha_S = const$ approximation (model a). It is seen that even for small values of $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ the magnitude of F_2 can not be reproduced by model ii) but can be explained very well by model i).

Thus, the model developed in this paper reproduces experimental data for nucleon form factors $G_m(q^2)$, $G_e(q^2)$ at negative (and positive in case of G_m) q^2 . Both parametrizations of Sudakov form factor result in the suggested by experimental data q^2 -dependence of the form factors. The required normalization of Sach's form factor G_m is achieved in model a). As for the spin structure of the quark-to-nucleon transition amplitudes, model a), where $D_1(s, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2)$ dominates, correctly reproduces spin effects even at modetate and small Q^2 . In model ii) it is possible to describe experimental data if unnaturally small values of m and $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ are employed.

To describe experimental data on F_2 quark mass was chosen at its natural value of $m = 0.22 \ GeV$. In model ii) a combination of m and $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ accounts for the difference $[G_e(q^2) - G_m(q^2)]$ (see (50)). To provide an adequate description of $F_2(q^2)$ in the space-like region one has to take $m \simeq 0 \ GeV$ and $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.15 \ GeV^2$, a rather doubtful choice. Thus, the comparison with experiment suggests, that the helicity conservation model i) captures correctly spin effects even at moderate q^2 .

The effective power dependence of $|G_m(s)|$, $|G_e(s)| \sim s^{-2}$ suggested by experimental data can be explained by two factors:

1) Power fall off of the $q\bar{q} \to N\bar{N}$ transition amplitude $\frac{1}{s} \left| T^{q\bar{q} \to N\bar{N}}(s) \right| \sim |s|^{-(1-2\alpha_B(0)+\alpha_M(0))/2} \sim |s|^{-5/4}$,

2) Sudakov form factor, which decreases with s in the region 10 $GeV^2 < s < 30 \ GeV^2$ as approximately $|S(s)| \sim |s|^{-3/4}$. Both parametrizations of Sudakov form factor $S^{(0)}$ and $S^{(1)}$ have the same s-dependence in this region of $s |S(s)| \sim |s|^{-3/4}$.

The model reproduces the data at negative as well at positive s very well. However, while both $S^{(0)}(s)$ (52) and $S^{(1)}(s)$ (57) can describe s-dependence of $G_m(s)$, $F_2(s)$ and $F_{\pi}(s)$ in the space-like region only $S^{(0)}(s)$ accounts for difference in magnitudes of the form factors in the space- and time-like regions. The ratios that $S^{(0)}(s)$, $S^{(1)}(s)$ predict (see (53), (58)) are changed slightly because of the analytical behavior of the square root that enters formulas (32), (44), (45) and (50).

Pauli form factor $F_2(Q^2)(Q^2)^3$ in the low $Q^2 \approx 1 \ GeV^2$ -region rises as $\sim Q^2$, while at

asymptotically large Q^2 behaves as a constant. Threshold effects are taken into account by the factor $\left(\frac{q^2}{4M^2}-1\right)$.

In the case of pion form factor there is one important difference. In the reaction $\gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ the virtual photon produces a pair of pseudoscalar particles in the final state, thus, the produced pions have orbital momentum l = 1. In this case amplitude the $A_z^{\gamma \to q\bar{q}}$ suppressed by the chirality conservation contributes, which results in an additional $\sim |q^2|^{-1/2}$ factor in the pion form factor (see (32)).

The asymptotic q^2 -behavior of the transition *T*-amplitude governed by the meson Regge trajectory $\alpha_M(t)$ has the power fall off: $\frac{1}{q^2} \left| A^{q\bar{q} \to \pi^+\pi^-}(q^2) \right| \sim \left| q^2 \right|^{(\alpha_M(0)-1)/2} = \left| q^2 \right|^{-1/4}$. Additional $(q^2)^{-1/2}$ suppression arises due to the chirality conservation. Therefore, in the absence

of Sudakov form factor we have $|F_{\pi}(q^2)| \sim |q^2|^{\alpha_M(0)/2-1} = |q^2|^{-3/4}$. Sudakov form factor in the region of $(-q^2) \approx 5 - 10 \ GeV^2$ behaves approximately as: $S^{(0)}(q^2), S^{(1)}(q^2) \sim (-q^2)^{-(0.3, \div 0.4)}$. Thus, the function $Q^2 F_{\pi}(Q^2)$ decreases slowly as $(Q^2)^{-0.1-0.3}$ in this region of q^2 .

We fixed parameters of Sudakov form factor in models a) and b) fitting experimental data for the pion form factor:

 $\alpha_S = 0.45, \ \omega^2 = 2.5 \ GeV^2; \ \Lambda_{QCD} = 0.5 \ GeV, \ \mu_1 = 1.5 \ GeV, \ \mu_2 = 1.5 \ GeV.$

This choice makes it possible to get the desired behavior of the pion form factor $q^2 F_{\pi}(q^2) \sim (q^2)^0$ in the region where experimental data are available. Model *a*) where the ratio r_{ts} (53) is completely defined by the choice of α_S correctly reproduces the magnitude of the pion form factor in the time-like region.

In fig. 7 we show our results for the pion form factor in the space-like region. Solid lines refer to calculations when Sudakov form factor is taken into account: model a) – thick line, model b) – thin line. The remaining dashed line shows the calculations without Sudakov form factor, which results in a slow increase of $Q^2 F_{\pi}(Q^2)$ in the considered domain of Q^2 .

Our results for the pion form factor in the time-like region are presented in fig. 8. Here solid lines refer to parameterizations of Sudakov form factor in the models a) and b). The thick and thin dashed lines represent calculations without Sudakov form factor. As it is the case in the space-like region $q^2 F_{\pi}(q^2)$ is approximately constant in the domain of available experimental data. In the model a) the ratio of the modulus of the pion form factor in the time-like to space-like region is determined by the analytical properties of Sudakov form factor and is equal to $|F_{\pi}(q^2)|/|F_{\pi}(-q^2)| \approx 2.5$ at $q^2 \approx 10 \ GeV^2$.

The difference in modulus of meson form factors in the space-like and time-like regions in the framework of perturbative QCD with Sudakov effects taken into account was discussed in ref. [44]. However, in the model [44] the the difference of $|F_{\pi}(q^2)|$ and $|F_{\pi}(-q^2)|$ is attributed mainly to the singularities of the hard scattering amplitudes. In the model [45] the pion form factor is described using a phenomenological parameterization of its imaginary part, and, thus, the different magnitude of $|F_{\pi}|$ is provided for by q^2 -dependence of the imaginary part of $F_{\pi}(q^2)$. Note, that in our model such corrections as discussed in [45] decrease as inverse powers of q^2 $\sim \frac{M^2}{q^2}$, and if the characteristic mass varies in the range of a few GeV $M = 1 \div 2$ GeV (as it is the case in most hadronic reactions) this effect doesn't contribute substantially already at $q^2 \sim 10 \div 20 \ GeV^2$. Moreover, if the analytical behavior of Sudakov form factor is the major source of the enhancement of hadronic form factors in the time-like region, the ratios $r_{ts}^{\pi} = |F_{\pi}(q^2)|/|F_{\pi}(-q^2)|$ and $r_{ts}^N = |F_m(q^2)|/|F_m(-q^2)|$ will decrease very slowly as q^2 increases (see (53) and (58)). For instance, in the model *a*) $r_{ts}^{(0)}$ is constant and is approximately equal to $r_{ts}^{(0)} \approx 2.5$. In model *b*) $r_{ts}^{(1)} \approx 1.8$ at $q^2 = 5 \ GeV^2$ and decreases to $r_{ts}^{(1)} = 1.35$ at $q^2 = 100 \ GeV^2$. Thus, measurements of $r_{ts}(q^2)$ for pions and nucleons at large q^2 will resolve a fundamental problem, whether or not the behavior of hadronic form factors at $q^2 \sim 10 \div 50 \ GeV^2$ is governed by perturbative or nonperturbative QCD. The effect of difference of $q^2F_{\pi}(q^2)$ at $q^2 > 0$ and $q^2 < 0$ predicted in our model also waits for experimental test.

6 CONCLUSION.

Employing QGSM we have investigated the form factors of pion and nucleon in the space-like and time-like regions. Spin effects were taken into account by introducing the respective quarksto-hadrons $T^{q\bar{q}\to h\bar{h}}$ and hadrons-to-quarks amplitudes $T^{h\bar{h}\to q\bar{q}}$, which allows one to analyze spin effects of binary hadronic reactions and hadronic form factors. In the framework of the developed model the experimental q²-dependence of the form factors $G_{m,e} \sim (q^2)^{-2}$, $F_{\pi} \sim (q^2)^{-1}$ up to $q^2 \approx 100 \ GeV^2$ is explained in terms of the intercept of the respective Regge trajectory and Sudakov form factor. The introduction of spin variables provides the possibility to distinguish nucleon Sach's form factors G_m , G_e and calculate F_2 . The pion form factor $F_{\pi}(q^2)$ has additional suppression $\sim 1/\sqrt{q^2}$ in the model due to the approximate chirality conservation in the process $\gamma \to q\bar{q}$. QGSM predicts asymptotic ratios of form factors $F_{\pi\pi}/F_{\pi\omega}$, $F_{\pi\pi}/F_{\pi\rho} \sim 1/\sqrt{q^2}$, while in perturbative QCD those ratios rise linearly as q^2 increases. The obtained expressions for hadronic form factors are analytical in the q^2 complex plained and, thus, can be continued from the time-like to space-like region. The difference of the modulus of $G_{m,e}(s)$ and $F_{\pi}(s)$ at positive and negative s is mainly related to analytical properties of the doubly logarithmic term in the exponent of Sudakov form factor. Sudakov form factor in KGSM leads to hadronic form factors which decrease faster than any power of $1\sqrt{q^2}$ at very large $|q^2|$. However, this exponential suppression doesn't contribute strongly in the domain of currently accessible q^2 . As a result nonperturbative effects predicted in the framework of KGSM may be dominant up to $q^2 \approx 10^2 \; GeV^2$.

The authors are grateful to R. Baldini, K.G. Boreskov, B.V. Geshkenbein, O.V. Kancheli and Yu.A. Simonov for useful discussion and to C.F. Perdrisat for sending us experimental data on G_e/G_m before publication. This work was partially supported by the grants RFFI 98-02-17463 and NATO OUTR.LG.971390.

References

- Sterman G., Stoler P. Hadronic Form Factors and Perturbative QCD // Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, 1997. Vol. 47. P. 193.
- [2] Baldini R. *et al.* Measurement of $J/\psi \rightarrow N\bar{N}$ branching ratios and estimate of the phase of the strong decay amplitude // Phys. Lett. 1998. Vol. B444. P. 111.
- [3] Gauzzi P. Nucleon form-factors in the timelike region // Phys. At. Nucl. 1996. Vol. 59. P. 1441.
- [4] Lepage G.P., Brodsky S.J. Exclusive Processes In Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics // Phys. Rev. 1980. Vol. D22. P. 2157.
- [5] Ioffe B.L., Smilga A.V. Meson Widths And Form-Factors At Intermediate Momentum Transfer In Nonperturbative QCD // Nucl. Phys. 1983. Vol. B216, P. 373.
- [6] Isgur N., Llewellyn Smith C.H. The Applicability Of Perturbative QCD To Exclusive Processes // Phys. Lett. 1989. Vol. B217. P. 535;
 Isgur N., Llewellyn Smith C.H. Asymptopia In High Q² Exclusive Processes In QCD // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1984. Vol. 52. P. 1080.
- [7] Radyushkin A.V. Hadronic form-factors: Perturbative QCD vs. QCD sum rules // Nucl. Phys. 1991. Vol. A532. P. 141.
- [8] Aznaurian I.G., Bagdasarian A.S., Esaibegian S.V., Ter-Isaakian N. L. Nucleon formfactors in the relativistic quark model: Behavior at small and intermediate momentum transfers and QCD asymptotic behavior // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 1992. Vol. 55. P. 1099.
- [9] Botts J., Sterman G. Hard Elastic Scattering In QCD: Leading Behavior // Nucl. Phys. 1989. Vol. B325. P. 62.
- [10] Li H., Sterman G. The Perturbative pion form-factor with Sudakov suppression // Nucl. Phys. 1992. Vol. B381. P. 129.
- [11] Li H. Sudakov suppression and the proton form-factor in QCD // Phys. Rev. 1993. Vol. D48. P. 4243.
- [12] Jakob R., Kroll P. The Pion form-factor: Sudakov suppressions and intrinsic transverse momentum // Phys. Lett. 1993. Vol. B315. P. 463; Erratum // Phys. Lett. 1993. Vol. B319. P. 545(E).
- [13] Bolz J., Jakob R., Kroll P., Bergmann M., Stefanis N.G. Neutron form-factor: Sudakov suppression and intrinsic transverse size effect // Phys. Lett. 1995. Vol. B342. P. 345.
- [14] Hyer T. Sudakov effects in $p\bar{p}$ annihilation // Phys. Rev. 1993. Vol. D47. P. 3875.

- [15] Kroll P. Electromagnetic form-factors at large momentum transfer // Kroll P., Pilsner T., Schurmann M., Schweiger W. On exclusive reactions in the timelike region // Phys. Lett. 1993. Vol. B316. P. 546.
- [16] Jain P., Kundu B., Li H., Ralston J.P., Samuelsson J. A review of pQCD calculations of electromagnetic form factors of hadrons // Talk given at Workshop on the Structure of the Nucleon (NUCLEON 99), Frascati, 7-9 June, 1999. Italy.
- [17] Kaidalov A.B. Electromagnetic Form-Factors Of Hadrons At Large Q² And Effects Of Confinement // JETP. 1980. Vol. 32. P. 494.
- [18] Kaidalov A.B. On The Possible Connection Between Hard And Soft Processes // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 1981. Vol. 33. P. 733.
- [19] Kaidalov A.B. Hadronic Mass Relations From Topological Expansion And String Model // Z. Phys. 1982. Vol. C12. P. 63.
- [20] 't Hooft G. A Planar Diagram Theory For Strong Interactions // Nucl. Phys. 1974. Vol. B72. P. 461; 't Hooft G. A Two-Dimensional Model For Mesons // Nucl. Phys. 1974. Vol. B75. P. 461.
- [21] Veneziano G. Regge Intercepts And Unitarity In Planar Dual Models // Nucl. Phys. 1974. Vol. B74. P. 365; Veneziano G. Some Aspects Of A Unified Approach To Gauge, Dual And Gribov Theories // Nucl. Phys. 1976. Vol. B117. P. 519.
- [22] Chan Hong Mo, Paton J.E., Sheung Tsun Tsou. Diffractive Scattering In The Dual Model // Nucl. Phys. 1975. Vol. B86. P. 479; Regge Parameters From Duality And Unitarity // Nucl. Phys. 1975. Vol. B92. P. 13.
- [23] Chew G.F., Rosenzweig. Asymptotic Planarity: An S Matrix Basis For The Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka Rule // Nucl. Phys. 1976. Vol. B104. P. 290; Chew G.F., Rosenzweig. Dual Topological Unitarization: An Ordered Approach To Hadron Theory // Phys. Rept. 1978. Vol. 41. P. 263.
- [24] Casher A., Kogut J., Susskind L. Vacuum Polarization And The Quark Parton Puzzle // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1973. Vol. 31. P. 792.
- [25] Artru X., Mennessier G. String Model And Multiproduction // Nucl. Phys. 1974. Vol. B70. P. 93.
- [26] Casher A, Neuberger H., Nussinov, S. Chromoelectric Flux Tube Model Of Particle Production // Phys. Rev. 1979. Vol. D20. P. 179.
- [27] Gurvich E.G. The Quark Anti-Quark Pair Production Mechanism In A Quark Jet // Phys. Lett. 1979. Vol. B87. P. 386; Multiplicity Distribution And Correlations In Quark Jet // Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 1980. Vol. 32. P. 491.

- [28] Kaidalov A.B. // In "QCD at 200 TeV", edited by L. Cifarelli and Yu. Dokshitzer, Plenum Press, N. Y. and London, 1992, p.1.
- [29] Grigorian A.A., Ivanov N.Y., Kaidalov A.B. Spin In The Quark Gluon Picture Of Strong Interactions. Secondary Regge Trajectories // Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 1982. Vol. 36. P. 867.
- [30] Brodsky S.J., Farrar G.R. Scaling Laws At Large Transverse Momentum // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1973. Vol. 31. P. 1153; Brodsky S.J., Farrar G.R. Scaling Laws For Large Momentum Transfer Processes // Phys. Rev. 1975. Vol. D11. P. 1309; Matveev V.A., Muradian R.M., Tavkhelidze A.N. Automodellism In The Large - Angle Elastic Scattering And Structure Of Hadrons // Nuovo Cim. Lett. 1973. Vol. 7. P. 719.
- [31] Ravndal F. On the pion form factor in the parton model // Phys. Lett. 1973. Vol. B47. P. 67.
- [32] Dokshitzer Yu.L., Troian S.I. Nonleading Perturbative Corrections To The Dynamics Of Quark - Gluon Cascades And Soft Hadron Spectra In E+ E- Annihilation // (St. Petersburg, INP). LENINGRAD-84-922, January 1984. P. 45.; Dokshitser Yu.L., Diakonov D.I. Quantum Chromodynamics And Hadron Jets // Proceedings of the XIV winter school of LIYaF, 1979 P. 27.
- [33] Badalian A.M., Simonov Yu. A. Freezing of $\alpha_S(Q^2)$ in e^+e^- annihilation // Phys. Atom. Nucl. 1997. Vol. 60. P. 630.
- [34] Dokshitzer Yu. L., Marchesini G., Webber B. R. Dispersive Approach to Power-Behaved Contributions in QCD Hard Processes // Nucl.Phys. 1996. Vol. B469. P. 93.
- [35] Sterman G., Weinberg S. Jets From Quantum Chromodynamics // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1977. Vol. 39. P. 1436.
- [36] Arnold R.G., et. al. Measurement Of Elastic Electron Scattering From The Proton At High Momentum Transfer // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1986. Vol. 57. P. 174.
- [37] Armstrong, T. et.al. Measurement of the proton electromagnetic form-factors in the timelike region at 8.9 GeV² - 13 GeV² // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1992. Vol. 70. P. 1212.
- [38] Bardin G., et. al. Determination of the electric and magnetic form factors of the proton in the time-like region // Nucl. Phys. 1994, Vol. B411. P. 3.
- [39] Jones M.K., et. al. G(E(p))/G(M(p)) ratio by polarization transfer in e(polarized) $p \to e p(\text{polarized}) // \text{nucl-ex/9910005}$ (to be published in Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999).
- [40] Bosted P., et. al. Measurements of the electric and magnetic form-factors of the proton from $Q^2 = 1.75 \ GeV/c^2$ to 8.83 GeV/c^2 // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1992. Vol. 68. P. 3841.
- [41] Bebek C.J., et. al. Measurement Of The Pion Form-Factor Up To $Q^2 = 4 \ Gev^2$ // Phys. Rev. 1976. Vol. D13. P. 25.

- [42] Bisello D., et al. The Pion Electromagnetic Form-Factor In The Timelike Energy Range $1.35 \ Gev \leq S^{(1/2)} \leq 2.4 \ Gev \ //$ Phys. Lett. 1989. Vol. 220, P. 321.
- [43] Milana J., Nussinov S., Olsson M.G. Does $J/\psi \to pi^+pi^-$ fix the electromagnetic formfactor $F_{\pi}(t)$ at $t = M^2(J/\psi)$? // Phys. Rev. 1993. Vol. 71. P. 2533.
- [44] Gousset T., Pire B. Timelike form-factors at high-energy // Phys. Rev. 1995. Vol. D51. P. 15.
- [45] Geshkenbein B. V. Pion electromagnetic formfactor in the space-like region and *P*-phase $\delta_1^1(s)$ of $\pi\pi$ scattering from the value of the modulus of formfactor in the time-like region.// Phys. Rev. 2000. Vol. D61. P. 033009.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Planar diagrams for binary reactions **a**) $\pi^0 \pi^0 \to \pi^- \pi^+$, **b**) $\pi \bar{\pi} \to N\bar{N}$, **c**) $N\bar{N} \to N\bar{N}$.

Fig. 2. Planar diagrams for reactions **a**) $\gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, **b**) $\gamma \to N\bar{N}$.

Fig. 3. Proton magnetic form factor $G_m(Q^2)$ in the space-like region as function of $Q^2 = -q^2$. Thick and thin solid lines refer to QGSM calculations with parameterizations (52) and (57) of Sudakov form factor. Thick and thin dashed line are obtaind from the respective solid lines by dividing by Sudakov form factor. The experimental data are taken from [36].

Fig. 4. Proton magnetic form factor $G_m(q^2)$ in the time-like region as a function of q^2 . Thick and thin solid lines refer to to QGSM calculations with parameterizations (52) and (57) of Sudakov form factor. Thick and thin dashed line are obtained from the respective solid lines by dividing them by Sudakov form factor. The experimental data are taken from [37, 38].

Fig. 5. The ratio of electric to magnetic proton form factors $\mu_p G_e(Q^2)/G_m(Q^2)$ in the spacelike region as a function $Q^2 = -q^2$. The calculations were done using model i) for the spin structure of the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow N\bar{N}$ amplitude with quark mass taken $m = 0.22 \ GeV$. The experimental data are taken from [39].

Fig. 6. Pauli proton form factor $F_2(Q^2)$ in the space-like region as a function of $Q^2 = -q^2$. Solid lines are the results of our calculations within model i) for the $A^{q\bar{q}\to N\bar{N}}$ amplitude; thick line represents calculations with parameterization (52) of Sudakov form factor, thin line – with parametrization (57). The respective dashed lines are the calculations without Sudakov form factor. The lower bold dashed line corresponds to parameterization ii) of spin amplitudes and (52) of Sudakov form factor. Parameters m and $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ were taken equal to $m = 0.22 \ GeV$, $\langle \mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 \rangle = 0.2 \ GeV^2$. Experimental data are taken from [40].

Fig. 7. Pion form factor $F_{\pi}(Q^2)$ in the space-like region as function of $Q^2 = -q^2$. Bold and thin solid lines refer to calculations with parametrizations (52) and (57) for Sudakov form factor respectively. Bold and thin dashed lines refer to QGSM calculations without Sudakov form factor. These curves are obtained from the respective solid ones dividing them by Sudakov form factor. Experimental data are taken from [41].

Fig. 8. Pion form factor $F_{\pi}(q^2)$ in the time-like region as function of $Q^2 = -q^2$. Thick and thin solid lines refer to calculations with parameterizations ((52) and (57) for Sudakov form factor respectively. Bold and thin dashed lines refer to QGSM calculations without Sudakov form factor. These curves are obtained from the respective solid ones dividing them by Sudakov form factor. Experimental data are taken from [42, 43].















