CHIRAL INVARIANCE AND LATTICE FERMIONS WITH MINIMAL DOUBLING

M. PERNICI *

INFN, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italy

ABSTRACT

A few years ago some attention has been given to a fermionic action on the lattice, with a Wilson-like term which is chirally invariant but breaks the hypercubic space-time lattice symmetry. This action describes two Dirac fields in the continuum limit, provided the coefficient λ of the Wilson-like term satisfies $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$.

In this letter it is shown that for $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda \leq 1$ the theory is link-reflection positive. The propagator has the expected real energy poles. Modulo a phase shift on the fermions, the only relevant terms which can be added to the action respecting its symmetries have dimension 4.

^{*}Work supported in part by M.U.R.S.T. and EEC, Science Project SC1*-CT92/0789.

Gauge theories can be studied non-perturbatively using a lattice approximation [1] of the euclidean theory.

One of the axioms of euclidean quantum field theory is the Osterwalder-Schrader reflection positivity condition [2], which is needed for continuing the euclidean correlation functions to Minkowski space.

Lattice QCD with Wilson fermions [3] has site-reflection positivity, and a transfer matrix has been constructed [4]; it has also link-reflection positivity [5].

The disadvantage of using Wilson fermions is that chiral symmetry is not an exact symmetry. It follows that the partially-conserved-axial- current approach used in the continuum to explain the existence of light mesons is not easily implemented on the lattice. In this respect Kogut-Susskind fermions [6] give better results, since there is a residual U(1) axial symmetry in a theory describing four Dirac fermions in the continuum limit [7].

There is a theorem by Nielsen and Ninomiya [8] stating that a theory on a space cubic lattice, with a bilinear Hamiltonian which is local, hermitian, translation invariant, and with bilinear locally defined conserved charges, has fermions appearing in pairs, with opposite chirality and the same internal quantum numbers. The presence of doublers is related to the fact that the axial currents are necessarily non-anomalous [9].

Karsten has given a space- time lattice version of this theorem [10]. In fact, reflection symmetry, hypercubic space-time symmetry, chiral invariance and locality impose the presence of a 2^d degeneracy of fermions in d dimensions [11].

Giving up the hypercubic symmetry, it is possible to reduce the number of doublers. In [10, 12] a model with minimal doubling has been presented; it contains a Wilson-like term which breaks the hypercubic symmetry to cubic symmetry.

In this letter it is shown that this model is link-reflection positive in the range $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda \leq 1$ of the Wilson-like parameter. For $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ a positive transfer matrix is constructed explicitly using a double time-slice Hilbert space formalism [13].

The propagator has two real energy poles with the correct continuum limit; for $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ there are also two complex energy poles, which decouple in the continuum limit; for $\lambda = 1$ these extra poles are absent.

Reflection positivity is maintained in presence of gauge fields.

In the continuum limit, the most relevant operator which can be added to the action respecting its symmetries is a Lorentz symmetry breaking operator of dimension 3, which can be absorbed in the kinetic term with a phase shift on the fermions.

It is argued that, in the continuum limit of lattice QCD, the fine-tuning required to recover the Lorentz symmetry in this approach might be easier to perform than the fine-tuning required to recover the chiral symmetry in the case of Wilson fermions. As an example of possible application, a two-flavour QCD model is mentioned, in which the mirror fermion is interpreted as a new flavour; this model has a U(1) baryon symmetry and an exact U(1) axial symmetry on the lattice, which is traceless in flavour space, as required by the cancellation of the axial anomaly. If the U(1) axial symmetry is spontaneously broken, as it is expected in the confined phase of QCD, then the corresponding Goldstone boson has the quantum numbers of a pion.

The naive fermionic action for massless fermions on a space-time cubic lattice is, in lattice units a = 1,

$$I_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_x \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \bar{\psi}_x \gamma_\mu (\psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} - \psi_{x-\hat{\mu}})$$
(1)

where x_{μ} is an integer; the gamma matrices are hermitian and satisfy $\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu,\nu}$. It will be convenient to choose a representation of the gamma matrices in which $\gamma_1\gamma_4$ is symmetric; for instance $\gamma_j = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_j$ for j = 1, 2, 3 and $\gamma_4 = \sigma_3 \otimes 1$.

The inverse propagator for the naive fermionic action is

$$S^{-1}(p) = i \sum_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} \sin p_{\mu} \tag{2}$$

with zeroes for $\sin p_{\mu} = 0$, that is for $p_{\mu} = 0, \pi$; it describes 16 Dirac fields in the continuum limit. I_0 shares this property with any bilinear and translationally invariant fermionic action, whose propagator satisfies the following properties [11]:

i) reflection (Θ) symmetry: $S^{-1}(p_i, p_4) = \gamma_4 S^{-1\dagger}(p_i, -p_4)\gamma_4;$

ii) hypercubic space-time lattice symmetry, i.e. invariance under $\frac{\pi}{2}$ rotations of the coordinate axis, which together with (i) implies $S^{-1}(p) = \gamma_{\mu}S^{-1\dagger}(R_{\mu}p)\gamma_{\mu}$, where R_{μ} is the reflection operator on the μ -th coordinate, $(R_{\mu}x)_{\nu} = (1 - 2\delta_{\mu,\nu})x_{\nu}$;

- iii) chiral symmetry: $S^{-1}(p) = -\gamma_5 S^{-1}(p) \gamma_5;$
- iv) locality, in the sense that $S^{-1}(p)$ is continuous with its first derivatives.

From (ii) and (iii) it follows that $S^{-1}(p) = -S^{-1}(-p)$, which together with periodicity $p_{\mu} \equiv p_{\mu} + 2\pi$ gives $S^{-1}(\bar{p}) = 0$ for $\bar{p}_{\mu} = 0, \pi$; therefore a propagator satisfying these conditions propagates 16 modes.

Wilson [3] eliminated this degeneracy introducing a term which breaks the chiral symmetry,

$$I_W = \frac{r}{2} \sum_x \sum_{\mu=1}^4 \bar{\psi}_x (2\psi_x - \psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} - \psi_{x-\hat{\mu}}) \quad . \tag{3}$$

The Wilson action is site-reflection positive for r = 1 [4] and it is link-reflection positive for $0 < r \le 1$ [5] (for a review see [14]). It describes one massless mode and 15 massive modes, which decouple in the continuum limit. On a cubic space-time lattice, translation-invariance, locality, chiral symmetry and $CP\Theta$ (charge-conjugation × parity × reflection) invariance of the action imply the existence of an equal number of left-handed and of right-handed fermions [10, 11]. Therefore under these conditions there is at least a single doubling of the Dirac modes on the lattice. Then, in order to have the minimal doubling allowed under these assumptions, either reflection symmetry or hypercubic invariance must be dropped.

Reflection symmetry is a necessary (not sufficient) condition to have reflection positivity, which is used to construct a positive definite transfer matrix, and hence a hermitian Hamiltonian. While it might be sufficient to have the reflection positivity condition in the continuum limit, according to the Osterwalder- Schrader axiom [2], to be on the safe side and avoid physical ghosts it is better to require reflection positivity on the lattice. Adding reflection positivity to the above assumptions, it is necessary to give up hypercubic space-time symmetry, in order to have less than 15 doublers.

The Kogut-Susskind fermionic action [6] is a well-known model in which the hypercubic space-time lattice symmetry is absent (there is however a hypercubic symmetry mixing space-time and flavour indices) and in which there is an exact U(1) chiral symmetry on the lattice [7]. This action describes four Dirac fermions in the continuum limit.

Karsten [10] and Wilczek [12] have given an example of lattice translation invariant and chirally symmetric fermionic action which breaks the hypercubic space-time symmetry to cubic symmetry and which has minimal doubling. The action is

$$I = I_0 + \frac{i\lambda}{2} \sum_{x} \sum_{\mu \neq 1} \bar{\psi}_x \gamma_1 (2\psi_x - \psi_{x+\hat{\mu}} - \psi_{x-\hat{\mu}})$$
(4)

where with respect to the notation in [10, 12] the axis 1 and 4 are exchanged. I_0 is the naive fermionic action (1). The inverse propagator is

$$S^{-1}(p) = i \sum_{\mu} \sin p_{\mu} \gamma_{\mu} + i\lambda \sum_{\mu \neq 1} (1 - \cos p_{\mu}) \gamma_{1} \quad .$$
 (5)

For $\lambda > 1/2$ there are only two propagating modes, p = (0, 0, 0, 0) and $p = (\pi, 0, 0, 0)$ [12]; in fact the inverse propagator vanishes provided $\sin p_{\mu} = 0$ for $\mu \neq 1$, which means $p_{\mu} = 0, \pi$ for $\mu \neq 1$; and provided

$$\sin p_1 + \lambda \Sigma_{\mu \neq 1} (1 - \cos p_\mu) = 0$$

which cannot be satisfied if $\lambda > 1/2$ and $p_{\mu} = \pi$ for some $\mu \neq 1$.

The hypercubic symmetry is broken to the cubic symmetry in the directions x_2, x_3 and x_4 , including the axis-inversion symmetry $\psi_x \to i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi_{R_\mu x}$, with $\mu \neq 1$. The action (4) has a discrete symmetry reflecting the fermion in its mirror fermion:

$$\psi_x \to (-)^{x_1} \psi_{R_1 x} \quad ; \quad \bar{\psi}_x \to (-)^{x_1} \bar{\psi}_{R_1 x} \quad .$$
 (6)

The action is link-reflection invariant, that is, invariant under the antilinear mapping

$$\Theta\psi_{\underline{x},t} = \bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},1-t}\gamma_4 \qquad ; \quad \Theta\bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},t} = \gamma_4\psi_{\underline{x},1-t} \tag{7}$$

(it is also site-reflection invariant, but not site-reflection positive). It is invariant under CP transformations $\psi_{\underline{x},t} \to \gamma_4 C \bar{\psi}_{-\underline{x},t}^T$ and $\bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},t} \to -\psi_{-\underline{x},t}^T C^{-1} \gamma_4$, where C is the charge conjugation matrix. The propagator satisfies the CP-symmetry condition

$$S^{-1}(p) = \gamma_4 C^{-1} S^{-1T}(R_4 p) C \gamma_4 \quad .$$

Therefore the action is $\mathrm{CP}\Theta\text{-invariant}.$

As in [5, 14], define $\psi^{(+)}$ and $\bar{\psi}^{(+)}$ to be the field variables at times $t \ge 1$ and $\psi^{(-)}$ and $\bar{\psi}^{(-)}$ those at times $t \le 0$. The action decomposes in three parts,

$$I = I_{+}[\psi^{(+)}, \bar{\psi}^{(+)}] + I_{-}[\psi^{(-)}, \bar{\psi}^{(-)}] + I_{c}[\psi^{(+)}, \bar{\psi}^{(+)}, \psi^{(-)}, \bar{\psi}^{(-)}]$$
(8)

where

$$I_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\underline{x}} \left[(\bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},0} \gamma_4 \psi_{\underline{x},1} - \bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},1} \gamma_4 \psi_{\underline{x},0}) - i\lambda (\bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},0} \gamma_1 \psi_{\underline{x},1} + \bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},1} \gamma_1 \psi_{\underline{x},0}) \right] \quad . \tag{9}$$

Under the link-reflection symmetry one has

$$\Theta \psi_{\underline{x},t}^{(\pm)} = \bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},1-t}^{(\mp)} \gamma_4 \quad ; \quad \Theta I_+ = I_- \quad ; \quad \Theta I_c = I_c \quad . \tag{10}$$

Redefine the Grassmann variables in the following way:

$$\xi_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} = \bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},2n} \quad ; \quad \xi_{\underline{x},n} = \gamma_4 \psi_{\underline{x},2n+1} \quad ; \quad \eta_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} = \psi_{\underline{x},2n}^T \quad ; \quad \eta_{\underline{x},n}^T = \bar{\psi}_{\underline{x},2n+1} \gamma_4 \tag{11}$$

which satisfy $\Theta \xi_{\underline{x},n} = \xi_{\underline{x},-n}^{\dagger}$ and $\Theta \eta_{\underline{x},n} = \eta_{\underline{x},-n}^{\dagger}$. One has

$$I_c = \sum_{\underline{x}} (\xi_{\underline{x},0}^{\dagger} B \xi_{\underline{x},0} + \eta_{\underline{x},0}^{\dagger} B \eta_{\underline{x},0})$$
(12)

where

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i\lambda\gamma_1\gamma_4) = B^{\dagger} = B^T \quad . \tag{13}$$

B is a positive matrix for $-1 < \lambda < 1$. We will restrict λ in the range $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ in the following.

For a generic function $F[\psi^{(+)}, \bar{\psi}^{(+)}]$ of the fields at positive times $t \ge 1$ one has

$$\langle (\Theta F)F \rangle = Z^{-1} \int [d\bar{\psi}^{(+)}d\psi^{(+)}]e^{-I_+}F[\psi^{(+)},\bar{\psi}^{(+)}] \times \\ \int [d\Theta(\bar{\psi}^{(+)})d\Theta(\psi^{(+)})]e^{-\Theta I_+}F^{\dagger}[\Theta\psi^{(+)},\Theta\bar{\psi}^{(+)}] \times \\ \exp[-\sum_{\underline{x}}(\Theta(\xi_{\underline{x},0})B\xi_{\underline{x},0} + \Theta(\eta_{\underline{x},0})B\eta_{\underline{x},0})] \ge 0$$
(14)

where $e^{-I_+}F[\psi^{(+)}, \bar{\psi}^{(+)}]$ depends on $\xi_{\underline{x},0}$ and $\eta_{\underline{x},0}$, but not on $\xi_{\underline{x},0}^{\dagger}$ and $\eta_{\underline{x},0}^{\dagger}$. Therefore there is link-reflection positivity.

One can construct a positive transfer matrix translating the fields by two lattice spacings in time, using a double time-slice Hilbert space formalism [13].

Let us consider the Hilbert space which is the Fock space built from the operator spinor fields $\hat{X}_{\underline{x}}$ and $\hat{Y}_{\underline{x}}$ satisfying the canonical anticommutation relations $\{\hat{X}_{\underline{x}}, \hat{X}_{\underline{y}}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{\underline{x},\underline{y}}$ and $\{\hat{Y}_{\underline{x}}, \hat{Y}_{y}^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{\underline{x},\underline{y}}$ while the other anticommutators vanish.

Define the following transfer matrix operator

$$\hat{T} = (\det B)^{2V} \exp(-\hat{X}^{\dagger} A' \hat{Y}^{\dagger T}) \exp(\hat{X}^{\dagger} M \hat{X} + \hat{Y}^{\dagger} M \hat{Y}) \exp(\hat{Y}^{T} A' \hat{X})$$
(15)

where V is the number of lattice sites at equal time,

$$A' = B^{-\frac{1}{2}}AB^{-\frac{1}{2}} ; \quad e^{M} = B^{-\frac{1}{2}}DB^{-\frac{1}{2}} ; \quad D = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\lambda\gamma_{1}\gamma_{4}) = D^{T}$$
(16)

and A is the following anti-hermitian matrix on equal-time lattice sites

$$A_{\underline{x},\underline{y}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_j (\delta_{\underline{y},\underline{x}+\underline{\hat{j}}} - \delta_{\underline{y},\underline{x}-\underline{\hat{j}}}) + i\lambda\gamma_1 \delta_{\underline{y},\underline{x}} + \frac{i}{2}\lambda \sum_{j=2}^{3} \gamma_1 (2\delta_{\underline{y},\underline{x}} - \delta_{\underline{y},\underline{x}+\underline{\hat{j}}} - \delta_{\underline{y},\underline{x}-\underline{\hat{j}}}) \quad . \tag{17}$$

The partition function is defined as

$$Z = \operatorname{Tr} \hat{T}^{N} = \int \prod_{\underline{x},n} d\xi^{\dagger}_{\underline{x},n} d\xi_{\underline{x},n} d\eta^{\dagger}_{\underline{x},n} d\eta_{\underline{x},n} \ e^{-I}$$
(18)

Introduce the Grassmann variables $X_{\underline{x},n}$, $X_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger}$, $Y_{\underline{x},n}$ and $Y_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger}$. Let us use Grassmann coherent states, satisfying $\hat{X}|X,Y\rangle = X|X,Y\rangle$, $\hat{Y}|X,Y\rangle = Y|X,Y\rangle$, $\langle X,Y|\hat{X}^{\dagger} = \langle X,Y|X^{\dagger}$ and $\langle X,Y|\hat{Y}^{\dagger} = \langle X,Y|Y^{\dagger}$.

Useful identities are

$$\langle X, Y | e^{\hat{X}^{\dagger}M\hat{X} + \hat{Y}^{\dagger}M\hat{Y}} | X, Y \rangle = \exp[X^{\dagger}e^{M}X + Y^{\dagger}e^{M}Y]$$

and

$$\int dX^{\dagger} dX dY^{\dagger} dY e^{-(X^{\dagger}X+Y^{\dagger}Y)} |X,Y\rangle \langle X,Y| = 1 \quad .$$

Using antiperiodic boundary conditions in time, the partition function is

$$Z = \int \prod_{\underline{x},n} dX_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} dX_{\underline{x},n} dY_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} dY_{\underline{x},n} e^{-\sum_{\underline{x},n} (X_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} X_{\underline{x},n} + Y_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} Y_{\underline{x},n})} \prod_{n} \langle X_{\underline{x},n+1} Y_{\underline{x},n+1} | \hat{T} | X_{\underline{x},n} Y_{\underline{x},n} \rangle$$
(19)

giving the action

$$I = \sum_{n} [X_{n}^{\dagger}X_{n} + Y_{n}^{\dagger}Y_{n} - X_{n+1}^{\dagger}e^{M}X_{n} - Y_{n+1}^{\dagger}e^{M}Y_{n} + X_{n+1}^{\dagger}A'Y_{n+1}^{\dagger T} - Y_{n}^{T}A'X_{n}] \quad .$$
(20)

For

$$X_{\underline{x},n} = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_{\underline{x},n} \quad ; \quad Y_{\underline{x},n} = B^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta_{\underline{x},n} \quad ; \quad X_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} = \xi_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} B^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad ; \quad Y_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} = \eta_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger} B^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{21}$$

the action becomes

$$I = \sum_{n} [\xi_{n}^{\dagger} B \xi_{n} - \eta_{n}^{T} B \eta_{n}^{\dagger T} - \xi_{n+1}^{\dagger} D \xi_{n} + \eta_{n}^{T} D \eta_{n+1}^{\dagger T} + \xi_{n}^{\dagger} A \eta_{n}^{\dagger T} - \eta_{n}^{T} A \xi_{n}] \quad .$$
(22)

The Jacobian of the transformation cancels the determinant in front of the transfer matrix operator.

Making the change of variables (11) one obtains the action (4). Therefore (15) is the transfer matrix for the action (4) with $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$.

Given a normal-ordered polynomial $\hat{\phi} = :f(\hat{\xi}^{\dagger}, \hat{\eta}^{\dagger}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}):$ at n = 0, that is a polynomial of the field operators at times t = 0 and t = 1, in which $\hat{\xi}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{\eta}^{\dagger}$ go to the left of $\hat{\xi}$ and $\hat{\eta}$, its time-translate by 2n steps in time is given by $\hat{\phi}_n = \hat{T}^n \hat{\phi} \hat{T}^{-n}$. The Schwinger function of a sequence of such operators, for $n_1 < \dots < n_k$ is given by

$$S(\hat{\phi}_{1n_1}...\hat{\phi}_{kn_k}) = Z^{-1}Tr[\hat{T}^N\hat{\phi}_{1n_1}...\hat{\phi}_{kn_k}] = Z^{-1}Tr[\hat{T}^{N+n_1}\hat{\phi}_1\hat{T}^{n_2-n_1}\hat{\phi}_2...\hat{\phi}_k\hat{T}^{-n_k}]$$

= $Z^{-1}\int [d\bar{\psi}^{(+)}d\psi^{(+)}d\bar{\psi}^{(-)}d\psi^{(-)}]\phi_{1n_1}...\phi_{kn_k}\exp(-I)$ (23)

Let us consider the case $\lambda = 1$. In that case, B is a positive semi-definite matrix, so that (14) still holds, and the theory is link-reflection positive. However a transfer matrix cannot be constructed as easily as above, since $B^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ does not exist; in fact B is a projector operator for $\lambda = 1$. The situation is somewhat similar to the case of the Wilson action for r = 1. Using link-reflection positivity, the physical Hilbert space and the transfer matrix can be constructed in a standard way [5]. The physical Hilbert space is defined with the norm $||F||^2 = \langle (\Theta F)F \rangle > 0$, where $F = F[\psi^{(+)}, \bar{\psi}^{(+)}]$ and where the zero norm states have been factored out. One can associate to F the state WF in the Hilbert space with the inner product $(WF, WF) \equiv \langle (\Theta F)F \rangle$. The transfer matrix T is the operator that shifts F by two lattice units in the time direction. Then the corresponding operator is defined on this Hilbert space by $(WF, \hat{T} WF) = \langle (\Theta F)(TF) \rangle$. The physical states correspond to the poles of the propagator in the energy variable $E = -ip_4$, that is to the real solutions of

$$\sinh^2 E = \sin^2 p_2 + \sin^2 p_3 + [\sin p_1 + \lambda(3 - \cosh E - \cos p_2 - \cos p_3)]^2 \quad . \tag{24}$$

For $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$ there are four roots; the physical states correspond to the two real energy solutions $\pm E_1$, where $E_1 = \ln[k_1 + \sqrt{k_1^2 - 1}]$. There are two complex energy solutions $E = i\pi \pm E_2$, where $E_2 = \ln[-k_2 + \sqrt{k_2^2 - 1}]$ with $k_1 \ge 1$ and $k_2 \le -1$ given by

$$k_{1,2} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2} \{ -\lambda [\sin p_1 + \lambda (3 - \cos p_2 - \cos p_3)] \\ \pm \sqrt{[\sin p_1 + \lambda (3 - \cos p_2 - \cos p_3)]^2 + (\sin^2 p_2 + \sin^2 p_3 + 1)(1 - \lambda^2)} \} \quad .$$
(25)

The two complex poles have a form similar to those of the Wilson propagator in the range 0 < r < 1 [15]; in particular for $\underline{p}' = 0$ one gets the same expression in the two cases, $E_2 = \ln[\frac{1+\lambda}{1-\lambda}]$. These 'time doublers' decouple in the continuum limit.

For $\lambda = 1$, there are only two energy poles, provided $p_1 \neq -\frac{\pi}{2}$, and $p_2, p_3 \neq 0$; in the latter case there are no poles. One gets $E = \pm \ln[k + \sqrt{k^2 - 1}]$, where

$$k = \frac{\sin^2 p_2 + \sin^2 p_3 + 1 + (3 + \sin p_1 - \cos p_2 - \cos p_3)^2}{2(3 + \sin p_1 - \cos p_2 - \cos p_3)} \ge 1 \quad .$$
(26)

The situation is analogous to the case of the Wilson fermions for r = 1.

Taking the continuum limit, one finds that for $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda \leq 1$ the two real energies tend to the relativistic values $\pm |\underline{p}'|$, where $|\underline{p}'| << 1$ with $\underline{p}' = \underline{p}$ or $\underline{p}' = \underline{p} - (\pi, 0, 0)$, which are the positions of the energy poles in the continuum propagator of the two Dirac modes.

For $\lambda > 1$ there are four real energy poles (and no complex pole), for any value of \underline{p} , instead of the two real energy poles required to describe a Dirac fermion. Therefore the dispersion relation is not relativistic. This is another way of seeing, without referring to reflection positivity, that the case $\lambda > 1$ should be excluded.

Let us discuss the continuum limit of this model.

Let $\Psi(x)$ denote the Dirac fermion which is the continuum limit of the lattice fermion ψ_x with momentum support near the pole p = 0 of the lattice propagator; the mirror fermion $\Phi(x)$ is the continuum limit of $(-)^{x_1} i \gamma_1 \gamma_5 \psi_x$, with ψ_x near the pole $p = (\pi, 0, 0, 0)$. Under the discrete symmetry (6) the fermion Ψ is transformed in the mirror fermion Φ , $\Psi(x) \to i \gamma_1 \gamma_5 \Phi(R_1 x)$. The fields Ψ and Φ have opposite chiral charge.

In the continuum limit the action (4) becomes the Dirac action

$$I = \int d^4x \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu \Psi(x) + \bar{\Phi}(x) \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu \Phi(x)$$
(27)

where the irrelevant higher-derivative terms coming from (4) have been neglected; therefore in the continuum limit Lorentz invariance is restored. The most relevant operator which can be added to the continuum action (27) and which respects all the previously mentioned symmetries of the action (4) is

$$i\alpha \int d^4x [\bar{\Psi}(x)\gamma_1\Psi(x) - \bar{\Phi}(x)\gamma_1\Phi(x)]$$
(28)

where α is a real constant. In particular this term is invariant under the discrete symmetry (6). No other operator of dimension 3 respects all required symmetries; for instance $\int d^4x \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_1 \gamma_5 \Psi(x)$ breaks the inversion symmetry, $\int d^4x [\bar{\Psi}\gamma_5 \Phi + \bar{\Phi}\gamma_5 \Psi](x)$ breaks the discrete symmetry (6), while $\int d^4x [\bar{\Psi}\gamma_5 \Phi - \bar{\Phi}\gamma_5 \Psi](x)$ is the continuum limit of $\sum_x (-)^{x_1} \bar{\psi}_x \gamma_1 \psi_x$, which breaks lattice translation invariance.

If the Lorentz symmetry breaking term (28) is added to the Dirac action (27), it can be absorbed in the kinetic term by a phase shift

$$\Psi(x) \to \exp[-i\alpha x_1] \Psi(x) \quad ; \quad \Phi(x) \to \exp[+i\alpha x_1] \Phi(x)$$
 (29)

recovering Lorentz invariance. Modulo this shift, the only relevant Lorentz symmetry breaking term which can be added to the action (4) respecting its symmetries is the dimension 4 operator $\int d^4x \bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_1 \partial_1 \Psi(x) + \bar{\Phi}(x) \gamma_1 \partial_1 \Phi(x)$.

Few remarks are in order.

i) In [10, 12] the Wilson-like term is added to the action in the time direction. In that case one can define a reflection symmetry in any one of the space directions, but not in the time direction; since reflection symmetry in time is needed to have the usual reflection positivity, and a transfer matrix translating fields in the time direction, in this letter the coordinates 1 and 4 have been interchanged with respect to those in [10, 12]. A related reason for which 4 is not the true Euclidean time direction in [10, 12] is that rotating p_4 to $E = -ip_4$, the fermionic propagator in [10, 12] has no real poles for generic value of p.

ii) One can half the number of propagating modes introducing a chiral projector $P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \gamma_5)$. Defining

and proceeding with the same Hilbert space construction as above, one gets the action (4), with ψ_x substituted by $P_+\psi_x$; it describes a right-handed fermion and its mirror fermion, which is left-handed. Together they give one Dirac fermion.

iii) A mass term, which breaks softly the axial symmetry,

$$m\sum_{x}\bar{\psi}_{x}\psi_{x} = m\sum_{\underline{x},n}(\xi_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger}\eta_{\underline{x},n}^{\dagger T} + \eta_{\underline{x},n}^{T}\xi_{\underline{x},n}) \simeq m\int d^{4}x[\bar{\Psi}\Psi + \bar{\Phi}\Phi](x)$$
(30)

can be added, maintaining reflection positivity (since the mass term goes into $I_+ + I_-$, not in I_c) and respecting all remaining symmetries of the action (4). The energy poles are given by the same expressions given above, but with $\sin^2 p_2 + \sin^2 p_3$ replaced by $\sin^2 p_2 + \sin^2 p_3 + m^2$. The two real poles have the correct continuum limit.

iv) It is straightforward to introduce gauge fields, placing as usual the gauge variables on the links. Quantizing the gauge field in the gauge $U_{\underline{x},\hat{4}} = 1$ on the links between t = 0and t = 1, the proof of link-reflection positivity is the same as in (14), since *B* does not depend on the gauge variables in this gauge.

To obtain the correct continuum limit, one must introduce new relevant operators, which have cubic but not hypercubic symmetry; in absence of fermions, the only such term is $\sum_{\mu\neq 1} F_{\mu,1}^2$ [12]. After the phase shift (29), all the hypercubic-breaking relevant operators have dimension 4. If one computes correlation functions of composite operators which are invariant under the phase transformation (29), as for instance $\langle \bar{\Psi}(x)\Gamma\Psi(x')\bar{\Psi}(y)\Gamma\Psi(y')\rangle$, with $x_1 = x'_1$ and $y_1 = y'_1$, the transformation (29) is not observable, and the renormalized quantities do not depend on it. It follows that in the renormalization of these correlation functions only hypercubic-symmetry-breaking operators of dimension 4 must be added; the corresponding counterterms are at most logarithmically divergent. The situation is similar to the case of Wilson fermions; in both cases there is a dimension 5 operator which breaks a symmetry, the hypercubic symmetry in the present case, the chiral symmetry in the case of Wilson fermions. In the latter case, the most relevant axial-symmetry breaking operator which can be introduced by radiative corrections is $\bar{\Psi}\Psi$, which has dimension 3; the mass counterterm is linearly divergent, so that the fine-tuning needed to recover the chiral symmetry is more difficult to perform than the fine-tuning required in the presently discussed case. These issues will be studied further.

v) As a possible application, consider the action (4) with fermions in the irreducible representation \underline{N} of the colour group SU(N). In the continuum limit it describes two 'flavours', that is the two continuum Dirac fields described above. On the lattice there is a U(1) baryon symmetry and a U(1) axial symmetry, which is traceless in flavour space, since a fermion and its mirror fermion have opposite chiral charges. Following [7, 16] it can be expected that a strong-coupling analysis would show, in $\frac{1}{N}$ or $\frac{1}{d}$ expansion, that the axial current is broken spontaneously in a dynamical way. The corresponding Goldstone boson is a flavour non-singlet pseudo-scalar. In the usual PCAC interpretation, adding the mass term (30) to the model, the Goldstone boson becomes a pseudo-Goldstone boson, which can be interpreted as a low-mass pion.

I would like to thank C. Destri and G. Marchesini for discussions.

References

- [1] K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **D10** (1974) 2445.
- [2] K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, Helv. Phys. Acta 46 (1973) 277; Comm.Math. Phys. 42 (1975) 281.
- [3] K. G. Wilson, 'Quarks and strings on a lattice', in New Phenomena in Subnuclear Physics, ed. A. Zichichi, Part A, p. 69.
- [4] M. Lüscher, Comm. Math. Phys. **54** (1977) 283.
- [5] K. Osterwalder and E. Seiler, Ann. Phys. **110** (1978) 440.
- [6] J. Kogut and L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 395;
 L.Susskind, Phys. Rev. D16 (1977) 3031.
- [7] H. Kluberg-Stern, A. Morel, O. Napoly and B. Petersson, Nucl. Phys. B220 [FS8] (1983) 447.
- [8] H.B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B185 (1981) 20; Erratum: B195 (1982) 541.
- [9] L.H. Karsten and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. **B183** (1981) 103.
- [10] L. H. Karsten, Phys. Lett. **104B** (1981) 315.
- [11] A. Pelissetto, Ann. Phys. (N.Y) **182** (1988) 177.
- [12] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 2397.
- [13] H. S. Sharatchandra, H. J. Thun and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B192 (1981) 205;
 C. P. van den Doel and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B228 (1983) 122;
 J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B20 (Proc. Suppl.) (1991) 542.
- [14] I. Montvay and G. Münster, 'Quantum fields on a lattice', Cambridge University Press (1994).
- [15] D. B. Carpenter and C. F. Baillie, Nucl. Phys. **B260** (1985) 103.
- [16] H. Kluberg-Stern, A. Morel, O. Napoly and B. Petersson, Nucl. Phys. B190 [FS3] (1981) 504; N. Kawamoto and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B192 (1981) 100.