

Global Monte Carlo for fermions using ordered statistics

A. Boriçi ^a

^aUniversity of Edinburgh, Department of Physics and Astronomy
Mayfield Rd, JCMB, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ

In this talk I discuss a new possibility for stochastic representation of the fermion determinant. The method can be used for global Monte Carlo fermion algorithms and is tested in the case of the Schwinger model.

1. INTRODUCTION

The standard method for stochastic representation of the fermion determinant is the pseudofermion method [1]. It allows to trade the computation of the determinant in favour of extra noise in the path integral of lattice QCD. The other alternative is to use the stochastic Taylor expansion of [2]. This suffers from the so called probability sign problem which is created by the noise [3]. The problem is less apparent if the method is applied to a fractional power of the determinant, a fact which is used by the Kentucky Monte Carlo algorithm [4].

In this talk I show that the sign problem created by the noise can be eliminated altogether if one uses a certain statistic from a sample of unbiased noisy estimators of the effective fermion action. As expected, a statistics which is an unbiased estimation of the effective fermion action cannot yield an unbiased estimation of the fermion determinant. The opposite logic suggests that a biased estimation of the former could lead in principle to an unbiased estimation of the latter if this is done "correctly". This is indeed the case if one chooses a certain order statistic from the sample. I show below how this can be realized using the central limit theorem and the asymptotic distribution of a central order statistic.

2. USING THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be unbiased noisy estimators of the fermion effective action $S_{\text{eff}} =$

$-\text{Tr} \log(D^\dagger D)$, where D is a generic Dirac. A particular way (and popular) to do it is using real (there is nothing special, one can use complex numbers as well) random vectors Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n such that:

$$X_i = -Z_i^\dagger [\log(D^\dagger D)] Z_i \quad (1)$$

Computation of the right hand side can be done for example using Lanczos methods as described in detail in [5,6]. It is easy to show that X_i is an unbiased estimator of S_{eff} . Another useful result (that so far went unappreciated and wasn't used) is the normality of the X_i distribution. This can be easily shown for Z_2 noise vectors using the central limit theorem. Note that the whole idea relies on this result.

3. BASICS OF ORDER STATISTICS

Everyone has encountered at least once the notion of median as an unbiased estimator of the population mean. It is identified as the middle point after the sample of real numbers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n drawn from the same continuous (to fix the idea) distribution $f(x), x \in R$ has been ordered in the ascending order:

$$X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)} \quad (2)$$

This is possible if the sample size n is odd. In case n is even the median is defined to be any number in the interval $[X_{(n/2)}, X_{(n/2+1)}]$.

The median is a statistic as it is the arithmetic average. It is nothing particular to the median as an instance of a statistic calculated from the

ordered data. In general, $X_{(k)}, k = 1, \dots, n$ is called the k 'th *ordered statistic*.

Distribution of $X_{(k)}$ can be found using a simple heuristic. First, let $f_k(x)dx$ be the probability that $X_{(k)}$ is in an infinitesimal interval dx about x . This means that one of the sample variables is in this interval, $k-1$ are less than x and $n-k$ are greater than x . The number of ways of choosing these variables is the multinomial coefficient $C(n; k-1, 1, n-k)$. If $F(x)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the sample variables then one gets:

$$f_k(x)dx = [F(x)]^{k-1}[1-F(x)]^{n-k}f(x)dx \quad (3)$$

This result can be formally proven. As a starting classic is the book of H.A. David [7]. A recent introduction to the subject is provided in [8].

4. ASYMPTOTIC THEORY

The distribution of an order statistic can be of little use for direct analytical calculations of moments for most of known parent distributions. Of course one can use numerical methods to compute numerical values to the desired accuracy. However, as the sample size grows the order statistic distribution approaches some limiting distributions. The situation is analogous to the central limit theorem. In general the distribution is not necessarily asymptotically normal as one could have expected although this will be the case for the *central order statistics* that will be dealt with below.

For $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $i = [n\alpha] + 1$, where $[n\alpha]$ represents the integer part of $n\alpha$. Let also F be absolutely continuous with f positive at $F^{-1}(\alpha)$ and continuous at that point. Then the following result applies:

Theorem (asymptotic distribution of a central order statistic). As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sqrt{n}f[F^{-1}(\alpha)]\frac{X_{(i)} - F^{-1}(\alpha)}{\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}} \rightarrow N(0, 1) \quad (4)$$

The proof can be found eg, in [8].

Example. Suppose that the distribution is symmetric around the population mean μ . Let also assume that the variance σ^2 is finite and $f(\mu)$ is

finite and positive. For simplicity n is taken to be odd. Then the median $X_{(n+1)/2}$ is an unbiased estimator of μ and asymptotically normal. Further, $\text{Var}[X_{(n+1)/2}] \approx [4nf(\mu)^2]^{-1}$.

5. UNBIASED ESTIMATION OF THE FERMION DETERMINANT

Let the $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ be a normally distributed sample of unbiased estimators of the fermion effective action. I am interested in the expected value of $e^{-X_{(i)}}$ with $i = [n\alpha] + 1$ and α as above. Let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma)$. It is easy to show that:

$$\langle e^{-X} \rangle = e^{-\mu + \sigma^2/2} \quad (5)$$

Hence, an unbiased estimation of the effective action gives a biased estimation of the fermion determinant. Now let $x_\alpha := (F^{-1}(\alpha) - \mu)/\sigma$. Then $X_{(i)}$ is asymptotically normal with mean $\mu + x_\alpha\sigma$ and variance $2\pi\sigma^2\alpha(1-\alpha)e^{x_\alpha^2}/n$. For the ordered statistic one gets:

$$\langle e^{-X_{(i)}} \rangle = e^{-\mu - x_\alpha\sigma + \pi\sigma^2\alpha(1-\alpha)e^{x_\alpha^2}/n} \quad (6)$$

To have an unbiased estimator of the fermion determinant in terms of an ordered statistic one should have $\langle e^{-X_{(i)}} \rangle = e^{-\mu}$. This can be achieved if:

$$x_\alpha = \pi\sigma\alpha(1-\alpha)e^{x_\alpha^2}/n \quad (7)$$

The crucial question here is: given n and σ is there any solution of the above equality in terms of x_α ? To answer this question I express first α in terms of x_α . Since $(X - \mu)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1)$ then I have:

$$\alpha = P(X < x_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\text{erf}\left(\frac{x_\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \quad (8)$$

Hence, the equation to be solved is:

$$x_\alpha = \frac{\pi\sigma}{4n}[1 - \text{erf}(\frac{x_\alpha}{\sqrt{2}})^2]e^{x_\alpha^2} \quad (9)$$

Using Taylor expansion around $x_\alpha = 0$ one gets:

$$x_\alpha = \frac{\pi\sigma}{4n}[1 + O(x_\alpha^2)] \quad (10)$$

For small x_α the $O(x_\alpha^2)$ can be neglected one gets a unique solution:

$$x_\alpha = \frac{\pi\sigma}{4n}, \quad x_\alpha \ll 1 \quad (11)$$

In this case the sample size should be much greater than σ and i can be calculated to give:

$$i = [n\alpha] + 1 = \left[\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{4}\right] + 1 \quad (12)$$

Thus, using order statistics it is possible to have an unbiased estimator of the fermion determinant from a biased high estimator of the fermion effective action.

However, the estimator is only asymptotically unbiased meaning that the sample size has to be large enough. In practice, at least from the examples below the asymptotic regime is reached for $n = 40$. In many application to lattice fermions σ is large anyway. Thus, requiring $n \gg \sigma$ will satisfy the conditions of the asymptotic normality as well.

Note also that σ is unknown and has to be estimated from the sample. This is a source of systematic error that may bias the stochastic estimation of the determinant. To compute the error one has to substitute σ with its estimator S in the formula for x_α . Then the average error is given by:

$$\langle e^{-x_\alpha\sigma + \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{4n}} \rangle = \langle e^{\frac{\pi\sigma}{4n}(\sigma - S)} \rangle \quad (13)$$

The error has to be computed in order to control the systematic errors. This can be done using for σ large samples to guarantee small errors and then substitute in the above formula.

6. APPLICATION TO GLOBAL MONTE CARLO ALGORITHMS

The application of choice of the stochastic determinant is in Monte Carlo algorithms. I have tested here in case of lattice Schwinger model. To simulate the model I made new gauge field proposals using the gauge action which consists of 10000 sweeps of local Metropolis steps followed by a global accept/reject step to include two degenerate flavors of fermions. Figure 1 shows the




Figure 1. Two flavors of Swchinger model on a 16×16 lattice at $\beta = 5$ and bare quark Kogut-Susskind fermion mass $m = 0.01$

square Wilson loops as a function of the linear size of the loop using three algorithms: 1) computing exactly the determinant ratios, 2) using the stochastic method with $n = 30$ noisy estimators and 3) the same as in 2) but with $n = 40$ noisy estimators. This example shows that already $n = 40$ estimators are enough to reach the exact result.

REFERENCES

1. D.H. Weingarten, D.N. Petcher, Phys.Lett.B99:333,1981
2. G. Bhanot, A.D. Kennedy, Phys.Lett.B157:70,1985
3. A.D. Kennedy, J. Kuti, Phys.Rev.Lett.54:2473-2476,1985
4. B. Joo, I. Horvath, K. F. Liu, Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 074505
5. Z. Bai, M. Fahey, and G. H. Golub, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 74:71-89, 1996.
6. A. Boriçi, *Computational Methods for UV-Suppressed Fermions*, J. Comp. Phys.189 (2003) 454-462.
7. H.A. David, *Order Statistics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1970
8. B. C. Arnold, N. Balakrishnan, H. N. Nagaraja, *A Firsr Course in Order Statistics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1992