



KEK Preprint 2001-34

Belle Preprint 2001-9

Search for Direct CP Violation in $B \rightarrow K\pi$ Decays *

(The Belle Collaboration)

K. Abe⁹, K. Abe³⁷, R. Abe²⁷, I. Adachi⁹, Byoung Sup Ahn¹⁵, H. Aihara³⁹, M. Akatsu²⁰,
Y. Asano⁴⁴, T. Aso⁴³, V. Aulchenko², T. Aushev¹³, A. M. Bakich³⁵, E. Banas²⁵, S. Behari⁹,
P. K. Behera⁴⁵, A. Bondar², A. Bozek²⁵, T. E. Browder⁸, B. C. K. Casey⁸, P. Chang²⁴,
Y. Chao²⁴, K.-F. Chen²⁴, B. G. Cheon³⁴, R. Chistov¹³, S.-K. Choi⁷, Y. Choi³⁴,
L. Y. Dong¹², J. Dragic¹⁸, A. Drutskoy¹³, S. Eidelman², R. Enomoto^{9,11}, F. Fang⁸,
H. Fujii⁹, C. Fukunaga⁴¹, M. Fukushima¹¹, A. Garmash^{2,9}, A. Gordon¹⁸, K. Gotow⁴⁶,
R. Guo²², J. Haba⁹, H. Hamasaki⁹, K. Hanagaki³¹, F. Handa³⁸, K. Hara²⁹, T. Hara²⁹,
N. C. Hastings¹⁸, H. Hayashii²¹, M. Hazumi²⁹, E. M. Heenan¹⁸, I. Higuchi³⁸, T. Higuchi³⁹,
H. Hirano⁴², T. Hojo²⁹, T. Hokuue²⁰, Y. Hoshi³⁷, S. R. Hou²⁴, W.-S. Hou²⁴, S.-C. Hsu²⁴,
H.-C. Huang²⁴, Y. Igarashi⁹, T. Iijima⁹, H. Ikeda⁹, K. Inami²⁰, A. Ishikawa²⁰, H. Ishino⁴⁰,
R. Itoh⁹, G. Iwai²⁷, H. Iwasaki⁹, Y. Iwasaki⁹, D. J. Jackson²⁹, P. Jalocha²⁵, H. K. Jang³³,
M. Jones⁸, J. H. Kang⁴⁸, J. S. Kang¹⁵, P. Kapusta²⁵, N. Katayama⁹, H. Kawai³,
H. Kawai³⁹, Y. Kawakami²⁰, N. Kawamura¹, T. Kawasaki²⁷, H. Kichimi⁹, D. W. Kim³⁴,
Heejong Kim⁴⁸, H. J. Kim⁴⁸, Hyunwoo Kim¹⁵, S. K. Kim³³, T. H. Kim⁴⁸, K. Kinoshita⁵,
H. Konishi⁴², P. Krokovny², R. Kulasiri⁵, S. Kumar³⁰, E. Kurihara³, A. Kuzmin²,
Y.-J. Kwon⁴⁸, J. S. Lange⁶, S. H. Lee³³, D. Liventsev¹³, R.-S. Lu²⁴, D. Marlow³¹,
T. Matsubara³⁹, S. Matsui²⁰, S. Matsumoto⁴, T. Matsumoto²⁰, Y. Mikami³⁸,
K. Miyabayashi²¹, H. Miyake²⁹, H. Miyata²⁷, G. R. Moloney¹⁸, T. Mori⁴, A. Murakami³²,

*Submitted to PRD Rapid Communications.

T. Nagamine³⁸, Y. Nagasaka¹⁰, Y. Nagashima²⁹, E. Nakano²⁸, M. Nakao⁹, J. W. Nam³⁴,
S. Narita³⁸, Z. Natkaniec²⁵, K. Neichi³⁷, S. Nishida¹⁶, S. Noguchi²¹, T. Nozaki⁹, S. Ogawa³⁶,
T. Ohshima²⁰, T. Okabe²⁰, S. Okuno¹⁴, H. Ozaki⁹, P. Pakhlov¹³, H. Palka²⁵, C. S. Park³³,
C. W. Park¹⁵, H. Park¹⁷, L. S. Peak³⁵, M. Peters⁸, L. E. Piilonen⁴⁶, E. Prebys³¹, N. Root²,
M. Rozanska²⁵, K. Rybicki²⁵, J. Ryuko²⁹, H. Sagawa⁹, Y. Sakai⁹, H. Sakamoto¹⁶,
M. Satapathy⁴⁵, A. Satpathy^{9,5}, S. Schrenk⁵, S. Semenov¹³, K. Senyo²⁰, M. E. Sevir¹⁸,
H. Shibuya³⁶, B. Shwartz², S. Stanić⁴⁴, A. Sugiyama²⁰, K. Sumisawa⁹, T. Sumiyoshi⁹,
J.-I. Suzuki⁹, K. Suzuki³, S. Suzuki⁴⁷, S. Y. Suzuki⁹, S. K. Swain⁸, T. Takahashi²⁸,
F. Takasaki⁹, M. Takita²⁹, K. Tamai⁹, N. Tamura²⁷, J. Tanaka³⁹, M. Tanaka⁹,
Y. Tanaka¹⁹, G. N. Taylor¹⁸, Y. Teramoto²⁸, M. Tomoto⁹, T. Tomura³⁹, S. N. Tovey¹⁸,
K. Trabelsi⁸, T. Tsuboyama⁹, T. Tsukamoto⁹, S. Uehara⁹, K. Ueno²⁴, Y. Unno³, S. Uno⁹,
Y. Ushiroda⁹, K. E. Varvell³⁵, C. C. Wang²⁴, C. H. Wang²³, J. G. Wang⁴⁶, M.-Z. Wang²⁴,
Y. Watanabe⁴⁰, E. Won³³, B. D. Yabsley⁹, Y. Yamada⁹, M. Yamaga³⁸, A. Yamaguchi³⁸,
H. Yamamoto⁸, Y. Yamashita²⁶, M. Yamauchi⁹, S. Yanaka⁴⁰, K. Yoshida²⁰, Y. Yusa³⁸,
H. Yuta¹, J. Zhang⁴⁴, H. W. Zhao⁹, Y. Zheng⁸, V. Zhilich², and D. Žontar⁴⁴

¹Aomori University, Aomori

²Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk

³Chiba University, Chiba

⁴Chuo University, Tokyo

⁵University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati OH

⁶University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt

⁷Gyeongsang National University, Chinju

⁸University of Hawaii, Honolulu HI

⁹High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba

¹⁰Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima

¹¹Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Tokyo

¹²Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing

¹³Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow

¹⁴Kanagawa University, Yokohama

¹⁵Korea University, Seoul

¹⁶Kyoto University, Kyoto

¹⁷Kyungpook National University, Taegu

- ¹⁸University of Melbourne, Victoria
- ¹⁹Nagasaki Institute of Applied Science, Nagasaki
- ²⁰Nagoya University, Nagoya
- ²¹Nara Women's University, Nara
- ²²National Kaohsiung Normal University, Kaohsiung
- ²³National Lien-Ho Institute of Technology, Miao Li
- ²⁴National Taiwan University, Taipei
- ²⁵H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow
- ²⁶Nihon Dental College, Niigata
- ²⁷Niigata University, Niigata
- ²⁸Osaka City University, Osaka
- ²⁹Osaka University, Osaka
- ³⁰Panjab University, Chandigarh
- ³¹Princeton University, Princeton NJ
- ³²Saga University, Saga
- ³³Seoul National University, Seoul
- ³⁴Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon
- ³⁵University of Sydney, Sydney NSW
- ³⁶Toho University, Funabashi
- ³⁷Tohoku Gakuin University, Tagajo
- ³⁸Tohoku University, Sendai
- ³⁹University of Tokyo, Tokyo
- ⁴⁰Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo
- ⁴¹Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo
- ⁴²Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo
- ⁴³Toyama National College of Maritime Technology, Toyama
- ⁴⁴University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba
- ⁴⁵Utkal University, Bhubaneswer
- ⁴⁶Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg VA
- ⁴⁷Yokkaichi University, Yokkaichi
- ⁴⁸Yonsei University, Seoul

Abstract

We search for direct CP violation in flavor specific $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays by measuring the rate asymmetry between charge conjugate modes. The search is performed on a data sample of 11.1 million $B\bar{B}$ events recorded on the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance by the Belle experiment at KEKB. We measure 90% confidence intervals in the partial rate asymmetry \mathcal{A}_{CP} of $-0.25 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp\pi^\pm) < 0.37$, $-0.40 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp\pi^0) < 0.36$, and $-0.53 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^0\pi^\mp) < 0.82$. By combining the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ and $K^\mp\pi^0$ final states, we conclude that $-0.22 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp(\pi^\pm + \pi^0)) < 0.25$ at the 90% confidence level.

The most straightforward indication for CP violation in the B meson system would be a time-independent rate asymmetry between CP conjugate decays into flavor specific or self tagging final states. Direct CP violation (DCPV) [1] of this type will occur in a decay containing at least two amplitudes that have different CP conserving and CP violating phases. The charge asymmetry will be most evident in decays where the two amplitudes are of comparable strength. The partial rate asymmetry can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}} = \frac{N(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{f}) - N(B \rightarrow f)}{N(\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{f}) + N(B \rightarrow f)} = \frac{2|A_1||A_2| \sin \delta \sin \phi}{|A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2 + 2|A_1||A_2| \cos \delta \cos \phi},$$

where δ and ϕ are the CP conserving and CP violating relative phases between amplitudes A_1 and A_2 ; B represents either a B_d^0 or B^+ meson, f represents a self tagging final state, and \bar{B} and \bar{f} are the conjugate states.

In the Standard Model, DCPV occurs in charmless hadronic B decay modes that involve both penguin (P) amplitudes and weak $b \rightarrow u$ tree (T) amplitudes containing the CP violating weak phase $\phi_3 = \arg(V_{ub}^*)$ (in a standard convention [2]). Two examples are $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ and $B^+ \rightarrow K^+\pi^0$. Uncertainties in the relative size of the P and T amplitudes as well as their relative strong phase make it difficult to predict the magnitude of \mathcal{A}_{CP} . Attempts have been made to estimate or calculate these quantities [3–5]; however, the different methods give conflicting results. Although absolute predictions vary, they tend to agree that \mathcal{A}_{CP} has approximately the same magnitude and sign in the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ and $K^\mp\pi^0$ final states [3,5,6]. This suggests that we can combine these two modes to increase the statistical precision.

At the quark level, the $B^+ \rightarrow K^0\pi^+$ process does not include a contribution from the tree diagram; thus one expects a negligible asymmetry in this mode. DCPV can be induced in this mode through rescattering effects [7]; however, these are expected to be small [3,5,6].

In this paper, we describe a search for DCPV by measuring the difference between the yields of \bar{B} and B decays into the self tagging final states $K^\mp\pi^\pm$, $K^\mp\pi^0$, and $K_S^0\pi^\mp$. Searches for partial rate asymmetries in the $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays have been reported in [8]. DCPV has been observed in the kaon system [9,10].

The analysis is based on data taken by the Belle detector [11] at the KEKB [12] e^+e^- storage ring. The data set consists of 10.4 fb^{-1} on the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance, which corresponds to 11.1×10^6 $B\bar{B}$ events. A 0.6 fb^{-1} data sample is taken 60 MeV below the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance to perform systematic studies of the continuum $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ background. KEKB is a two-ring, energy-asymmetric storage ring with electrons stored at 8 GeV and positrons stored at 3.5 GeV giving an $\Upsilon(4S)$ center-of-mass system (cms) boosted by $\gamma\beta = 0.425$ in the electron beam direction. The Belle detector is described in detail in [11]. Charged π and K mesons are identified based on their energy loss (dE/dx) in the central drift chamber and their Čerenkov light yield in an array of silica Aerogel counters (ACC). For each K and π hypothesis, the dE/dx and ACC probability density functions are combined to form a single

likelihood, \mathcal{L}_K or \mathcal{L}_π . K and π mesons are distinguished by cutting on the likelihood ratio $\mathcal{L}_K/(\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_\pi)$. The particle identification (PID) efficiency is determined using nearly pure samples of K and π mesons tagged using the continuum D^{*+} decay chain $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ [13]. For tracks in the $B \rightarrow hh$ ($h = K$ or π) signal region of $2.4 \text{ GeV}/c < p_{\text{cms}} < 2.8 \text{ GeV}/c$ ($1.5 \text{ GeV}/c < p_{\text{lab}} < 4.5 \text{ GeV}/c$) the K efficiency and fake rate are $\epsilon_K = 0.85$ and $f_K = 0.10$ (true K fakes π); the π efficiency and fake rate are $\epsilon_\pi = 0.92$ and $f_\pi = 0.04$ (true π fakes K). The relative systematic error is 2.5% in the efficiencies and 10% in the fake rates.

In the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ modes, the asymmetry is diluted by double mis-identification of $K^+\pi^-$ as π^+K^- . The dilution is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}^{\text{meas.}} = (1 - 2w)\mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}^{\text{true}},$$

where $w = f/(\epsilon + f)$ is the wrong tag fraction, $f = f_K f_\pi = 0.0040 \pm 0.0006$ is the double mis-identification rate and $\epsilon = \epsilon_K \epsilon_\pi = 0.78 \pm 0.03$ is the PID efficiency. This gives a wrong tag fraction of $w = 0.0051 \pm 0.0007$ and a dilution of $1 - 2w = 0.990 \pm 0.002$. The value of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp\pi^\pm)$ is corrected by this factor.

The identification procedure of $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays has been documented in [14]. Here we give a brief overview of the analysis. All charged tracks that originate from the interaction point are considered as kaon or pion candidates. K_S^0 mesons are reconstructed using pairs of charged tracks that have an invariant mass with $|m(\pi^+\pi^-) - m(K^0)| < 30 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. The candidate must have a displaced vertex and flight direction consistent with a high momentum K_S^0 originating from the interaction point. Candidate π^0 mesons are formed by requiring pairs of γ s to have $|m(\gamma\gamma) - m(\pi^0)| < 16 \text{ MeV}/c^2$. Continuum background is reduced by cutting on a likelihood ratio formed from event topology variables and initial state angular momentum variables such as the B flight direction or the decay axis direction. This cut removes more than 95% of the continuum background while retaining 40 to 50% of the signal depending on the final state. Further details can be found in [14].

To reconstruct the B meson, we form two quantities: the energy difference, $\Delta E = E_B - E_{\text{beam}}$, and the beam constrained mass, $m_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{\text{beam}}^2 - p_B^2}$, where $E_{\text{beam}} = \sqrt{s}/2 = 5.29 \text{ GeV}$, and E_B and p_B are the reconstructed energy and momentum of the B candidate in the cms. For the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ and $K_S^0\pi^\mp$ final states, the signal yield is extracted by fitting the ΔE distribution in the region $m_{bc} > 5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $|\Delta E| < 250 \text{ MeV}$. As the ΔE distributions for the $K^\mp\pi^0$ final states have large asymmetric tails, we apply a two-dimensional fit to the ΔE and m_{bc} distributions in the region $m_{bc} > 5.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $-450 \text{ MeV} < \Delta E < 150 \text{ MeV}$. The Gaussian signal shapes are calibrated using the $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0\pi^+$ data sample and high momentum $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$, $K^-\pi^+\pi^0$, and $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0\pi^+$ decays where the daughter particles have the same momenta as signal particles [13]. The continuum background shapes are determined from sideband data. We use a linear function for the ΔE background; we

parameterize the background for m_{bc} with a function that behaves like phase space near the endpoint (the ARGUS shape [15]). In all fits, the signal and background yields are the only free parameters.




FIG. 1. The ΔE distributions for \bar{B} candidates (left) and B candidates (right). The solid line represents the sum of signal Gaussian (asymmetric for $K^\pm\pi^0$) and a linear background function determined from the fit. The signal component is represented by the dashed line. In the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ fits, the signal component is centered at -44 MeV due to π mass assignment to the K track. A component centered at zero (dotted line) is also included to account for mis-identified $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ backgrounds. The $K^\mp\pi^0$ distributions are projections of a two-dimensional m_{bc} and ΔE fit onto the ΔE distribution in the m_{bc} signal region.

Figure 1 shows the ΔE distributions for the \bar{B} and B candidates. For $K^\mp\pi^\pm$, the particle energy is calculated assuming a pion mass which shifts the signal peak to -44 MeV. A component centered at zero is also included to account for mis-identified $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ backgrounds. For the $K^\mp\pi^0$ and $K_S^0\pi^\mp$ distributions, the correct mass assignments are used. The results are listed in Table I. For the combined $K^\mp\pi$ asymmetry, the number of events is $N(\bar{B}) = N(K^-\pi^0) + \frac{1}{1-2w}N(K^-\pi^+)$. In all cases, the measured asymmetry is consistent with zero. The results are also compared to the predictions in [5] assuming $\phi_3 = 90^\circ$. Other authors [3] expect asymmetries that are less than 10%.

The systematic error in the fitting procedure is determined by varying the fixed parameters of the signal and background functions within their errors. The parameters are varied

TABLE I. Results of searches for DCPV in $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays. Listed are the number of signal events for each final state, the \mathcal{A}_{CP} values with error, their 90% confidence intervals, and theoretical estimates from Ref. [5]. The first error is statistical; the second is systematic. The 90% statistical confidence intervals have been increased by the systematic error. In the $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ final states, the asymmetry is corrected for the dilution $(1-2w)$, due to double mis-identification. For the combined $K^\mp\pi$ asymmetry, the number of events are combined as $N(\bar{B}) = N(K^-\pi^0) + \frac{1}{1-2w}N(K^-\pi^+)$.

Mode	$N(\bar{B})$	$N(B)$	\mathcal{A}_{CP}	90% C.L.	Theory [5]
$K^\mp\pi^\pm$	$27.7^{+6.8}_{-6.1}$	$25.4^{+7.0}_{-6.3}$	$0.044^{+0.186}_{-0.167} {}^{+0.018}_{-0.021}$	$-0.25 : 0.37$	-0.19
$K^\mp\pi^0$	$16.5^{+5.3}_{-4.7}$	$18.6^{+5.7}_{-5.0}$	$-0.059^{+0.222}_{-0.196} {}^{+0.055}_{-0.017}$	$-0.40 : 0.36$	-0.18
$K_S^0\pi^\mp$	$5.6^{+3.4}_{-2.7}$	$4.6^{+2.8}_{-2.2}$	$0.098^{+0.430}_{-0.343} {}^{+0.020}_{-0.063}$	$-0.53 : 0.82$	-0.01
$K^\mp(\pi^\pm + \pi^0)$	$44.5^{+8.7}_{-7.7}$	$44.2^{+9.1}_{-8.1}$	$0.003^{+0.142}_{-0.126} {}^{+0.017}_{-0.014}$	$-0.22 : 0.25$	

simultaneously for the conjugate final states and the deviation in \mathcal{A}_{CP} is taken as the systematic error. These deviations are on the order of ± 0.01 . We estimate the error due to other rare B decay backgrounds such as $B \rightarrow \rho\pi$ or $K^*\pi$ from the change in \mathcal{A}_{CP} when the negative ΔE region is excluded from the fit. These backgrounds are shifted in ΔE by the mass of the missing particle, typically -140 MeV. This is the dominant systematic error in each mode: -0.017 for $K^\mp\pi^\pm$, $+0.05$ for $K^\mp\pi^0$, and -0.06 for $K_S^0\pi^\mp$. All deviations are then added in quadrature.




FIG. 2. The $K^\pm\pi^\mp$ invariant mass distributions for D meson candidates. The D peaks are fitted with a $\sigma = 5.5$ MeV Gaussian and a second $\sigma = 13.6$ MeV Gaussian containing 26% of the area. The solid curve is the sum the signal Gaussians and a linear background function (dashed line). The asymmetry in the yield is $(1 \pm 6) \times 10^{-3}$.

We study the effects of detector-based asymmetries using a sample of high momentum $D \rightarrow K\pi$ decays. Figure 2 shows mass distributions for $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+$ and $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ candidates. The daughter tracks are required to have $|p_{\text{lab}}| > 1.5$ GeV/ c and the same

PID requirements as signal tracks. The D mass peak is fitted with a double Gaussian and a linear background function. We float all parameters in the fit to test for differences in resolution between the conjugate states. The signal and background shapes all agree within the errors for the conjugate states. We measure 19091 ± 172 D^0 candidates and 19055 ± 164 \bar{D}^0 candidates giving an asymmetry of $(1 \pm 6) \times 10^{-3}$. We study this further using an inclusive sample of 2.2×10^6 charged tracks (h^\pm) [16] required to have the same momentum and angular distributions as signal tracks. We measure an asymmetry of $(N(h^+) - N(h^-))/(N(h^+) + N(h^-)) = (8.1 \pm 6.7) \times 10^{-4}$, which is consistent with Monte Carlo expectations. We test for asymmetries in the PID performance by applying kaon and pion selection cuts to the charged hadron sample. The sample contains 1.4×10^6 identified pions and 0.7×10^6 identified kaons. We measure asymmetries of $(N(\pi^+) - N(\pi^-))/(N(\pi^+) + N(\pi^-)) = (1.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(N(K^+) - N(K^-))/(N(K^+) + N(K^-)) = (-0.9 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$. These asymmetries result from a small charge dependence in the dE/dx measurement. Taking these numbers into account, we conservatively assign a 1% systematic error for detector-based asymmetries in all modes. This is added in quadrature to the fitting systematics discussed above, as well as the error in the dilution factor where appropriate. We add the systematic errors to the statistical 90% confidence intervals to obtain the final 90% confidence intervals listed in Table I.

In conclusion, we have performed a search for DCPV in self tagging $B \rightarrow K\pi$ decays. All results are consistent with a null asymmetry and we conclude at the 90% confidence level that $-0.25 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp\pi^\pm) < 0.37$, $-0.40 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp\pi^0) < 0.36$, and $-0.53 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K_S^0\pi^\mp) < 0.82$. For the combined $K^\mp\pi^\pm$ and $K^\mp\pi^0$ data samples we determine $-0.22 < \mathcal{A}_{\text{CP}}(K^\mp(\pi^\pm + \pi^0)) < 0.25$. These results are consistent with the limits set in [8]. The excellent performance of the Belle high momentum PID allows us to reduce significantly the dilution due to double mis-identification. This will become a crucial factor as the Belle data samples increase significantly.

We wish to thank the KEKB accelerator group for the excellent operation of the KEKB accelerator. We acknowledge support from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan and the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; the Australian Research Council and the Australian Department of Industry, Science and Resources; the Department of Science and Technology of India; the BK21 program of the Ministry of Education of Korea and the CHEP SRC program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation; the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research under contract No.2P03B 17017; the Ministry of Science and Technology of Russian Federation; the National Science Council and the Ministry of Education of Taiwan; the Japan-Taiwan Cooperative Program of the Interchange Association; and the U.S. Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

- [1] In neutral B decays, this asymmetry can also be induced by CP violation in mixing; however, this effect is small. See for instance OPAL Collaboration, K. Ackerstaff *et al.*, Z. Phys. C **76**, 401 (1997).
- [2] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 1945 (1983).
- [3] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, C.T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B **591** 313 (2000), and hep-ph/0104110.
- [4] D. Delepine, J.M. Gerard, J. Pestieau, J. Weyers, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 106 (1998).
- [5] Y.-Y. Keum, H.-N. Li, A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D **63** 054008 (2001).
- [6] M. Gronau, J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **59** 113002 (1999).
- [7] W.S. Hou, K.C. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4806 (2000).
- [8] CLEO Collaboration, S. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 525 (2000).
- [9] NA48 Collaboration, V. Fanti *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **465**, 335 (1999).
- [10] KTeV Collaboration, A. Alavi-Harati *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 22 (1999).
- [11] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, KEK Progress Report 2000-4, submitted to Nuc. Inst. Meth. A.
- [12] KEK B-Factory Design Report KEK-Report-95-7 (1995) (unpublished).
- [13] For these calibration samples, inclusion of charge conjugate modes is implied.
- [14] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe *et al.*, Belle Preprint 2001-5, hep-ex/0104030, submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.
- [15] ARGUS Collaboration, H. Albrecht *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **241**, 278 (1990).
- [16] Electrons and positrons are removed using calorimeter and dE/dx information.