# A study of $B^0\overline{B}{}^0$ oscillations with full reconstructed B mesons with the BABAR detector

Shahram Rahatlou University of California, San Diego Physics Department, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla CA 94306, USA representing the BABAR Collaboration

#### Abstract

Time-dependent  $B^0\overline{B}^0$  flavor oscillations are studied in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation data collected with the BABAR detector at center-of-mass energies near the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance. We report a preliminary result for the time-dependent  $B^0\overline{B}^0$  oscillation frequency,  $\Delta m_d = 0.512 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.022 \ \hbar \ ps^{-1}$ .

Contribued to The Meeting of The Division of Particle and Fields of the American Physical Society Columbus, Ohio, USA August 9 - August 12, 2000

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309 Work supported in part by Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

#### 1 Introduction

We have performed a measurement of time-dependent mixing at the PEP-II asymmetric  $e^+e^-$  collider at SLAC, where resonant production of the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  provides a copious source of  $B^0\overline{B}{}^0$  pairs. The data set used for this analysis corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 8.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> on the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance and 0.8 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected 40 MeV below the resonance. This corresponds to about  $10.1 \times 10^6$  produced  $B\overline{B}$  pairs.

The BABAR detector is described in detail elsewhere [1]. The analysis described here uses all the detector capabilities, including high resolution tracking and calorimetry, particle identification and vertexing.

### 2 Event Reconstruction

We fully reconstruct one B meson  $(B_{\text{REC}})$  in hadronic  $(B^0 \to D^{(*)-}\pi^+, D^{(*)-}\rho^+, D^{(*)-}a_1^+$  and  $J/\psi K^{*0})$  or semileptonic  $(B^0 \to D^{*-}\ell^+\nu)$  decay mode <sup>1</sup>. A total of 2577 neutral B candidates is reconstructed in hadronic decay modes, with an average purity close to 90%. The main background for these modes is combinatorial. 7517  $B^0$  candidates are reconstructed in the semileptonic mode, with an average purity close to 84%. Backgrounds to the semileptonic mode are due to combinatorial  $D^*$  fake leptons, uncorrelated  $D^* l$  combinations,  $c\bar{c}$  events, and charged B decays from  $B^- \to D^{*+}(n\pi)l^-\nu$ .

The other two important ingredients for this analysis are the vertex reconstruction and the identification of the flavor of the other B meson  $(B_{\text{TAG}})$  in the event. The flavor of the  $B_{\text{TAG}}$  is determined from the correlation between the particle types and the charge of its decay products [2]. If there is an identified lepton its charge is used; otherwise the summed charge of identified kaons provides the tag. An event with no tagging leptons or kaons can still be tagged by the use of a neural network that exploits the flavor information carried by other decay products, such as soft leptons from charm semileptonic decays and soft pions from  $D^*$  decays.

At PEP-II the *B* meson pairs produced in the decay of the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance are moving in the lab frame along the beam axis (*z* direction) with a Lorentz boost of  $\beta_z \gamma = 0.56$ . The separation between the two *B* vertices along the boost direction,  $\Delta z = z_{\text{REC}} - z_{\text{TAG}}$ , is measured and used to estimate the decay time difference,  $\Delta t \approx \Delta z / \beta_z \gamma c$ . The  $B_{\text{TAG}}$  vertex is determined via an inclusive procedure applied to all tracks not associated with the  $B_{\text{REC}}$  meson [3]. The typical separation between the two vertices is  $\Delta z = \beta_z \gamma c \tau_B \approx 260 \,\mu\text{m}$ , to be compared to the experimental resolution ~ 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . The  $\Delta t$  resolution is limited by the precision on the  $B_{\text{TAG}}$  vertex, and has little dependence on the decay mode of the  $B_{\text{REC}}$ . The  $\Delta t$  resolution function is well described by three Gaussians: core, tail and outlier. We calculate the uncertainty on  $\Delta t$  by using a globallyfitted rescaling of the event-by-event vertex separation errors. Most of the events, ~ 70%, are in the core Gaussian, with  $\sigma \sim 0.6$  ps.

## 3 Likelihood Fit method

The time-dependent asymmetry between same sign  $B^0 B^0 / \overline{B}{}^0 \overline{B}{}^0$  (unmixed) and opposite sign  $B^0 \overline{B}{}^0$  (mixed) events,  $A(\Delta t) = (N_{unmix} - N_{mix})/(N_{unmix} + N_{mix})$  is calculated as a function of  $\Delta t$  and is given by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Throughout this paper, charge conjugate modes are implied.

$$A(\Delta t) \approx (1 - 2w) \cos \Delta m_d \Delta t \otimes \mathcal{R}(\Delta t | \hat{a}),$$

where  $\hat{a}$  are the parameters of the  $\Delta t$  resolution function [2] and w is the probability of incorrect tagging (mistag fraction). A simultaneous unbinned likelihood fit to the  $\Delta t$  distribution of mixed and unmixed events in all tagging categories, assuming a common resolution function, allows the simultaneous determination of both  $\Delta m_d$  and the mistag fractions,  $w_i$ . An empirical description of the  $\Delta t$  structure of the backgrounds is determined from a fit to background control samples taken from data, allowing for the following components: zero lifetime, non-zero lifetime with no mixing, non-zero lifetime with mixing.

#### 4 **Results and Conclusions**

We measure the  $B^0\overline{B}^0$  oscillation frequency to be  $\Delta m_d = 0.516 \pm 0.031 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.018 \text{ (syst)} \ \hbar \text{ps}^{-1}$ in the hadronic sample and  $\Delta m_d = 0.508 \pm 0.020 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.022 \text{ (syst)} \ \hbar \text{ps}^{-1}$  in the  $D^{*-}\ell^+\nu$  sample . Figure 1 shows the asymmetry  $A(\Delta t)$  distributions for each sample with the fit result superimposed.

The systematic errors include uncertainty due to Monte Carlo statistics,  $\Delta t$  resolution function, background  $\Delta t$  shape, fraction of background events,  $B^0$  lifetime, z scale and the boost. In addition, we have looked at the uncertainty due to feeddown from  $B^- \rightarrow D^{*+}(n\pi)l^-\nu$  in the semileptonic sample. The dominant contribution in the hadronic sample comes from the  $\Delta t$  resolution function, while the semileptonic sample is dominated by the uncertainty on the fraction of background events (see [2] for details).



Figure 1: Time-dependent asymmetry  $A(\Delta t)$  between unmixed and mixed events for (left) hadronic *B* candidates with  $m_{\rm ES} > 5.27 \,{\rm GeV}/c^2$  and (right) for  $B \to D^* l \nu$  candidates.

Combining the two  $\Delta m_d$  results, we obtain the preliminary result:

$$\Delta m_d = 0.512 \pm 0.017 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.022 (\text{syst}) \ \hbar \text{ps}^{-1}.$$

The effective flavor tagging efficiency is given by  $Q = \sum_i \epsilon_i (1 - 2w_i)^2$  where the sum is over tagging categories, each characterized by a tagging efficiency  $\epsilon_i$  and a mistag fraction  $w_i$ . Q is related to the statistical significance of the measurement  $(1/\sigma_{stat}^2 \sim N_{B_{TAG}}Q)$  and is found to be  $(27.9 \pm 1.6)\%$ . The mistag fractions and the  $\Delta t$  resolution function parameters are used in the *CP* asymmetry measurement [3]. The results for  $\Delta m_d$  are consistent with previous measurements [4] and are of similar precision. They are also compatible with other *BABAR* measurements [5, 6]. Significant improvements are expected in the near future with the accumulation of more data and further systematic studies.

# References

- BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., SLAC-PUB-8540, BABAR-CONF-00/01, contributed to ICHEP2000
- [2] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Preprint hep-ex/0008052.
- [3] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Preprint hep-ex/0008048.
- [4] D.E. Groom, et al., Eur. Phys. Jour. C 15(2000) 1.
- [5] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Preprint hep-ex/0008053.
- [6] BABAR Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Preprint hep-ex/0008054.