

A New Formulation of General Relativity - Part II: Pre-Radar Charts and Generating Functions in Arbitrary Space-Times

Joachim Schröter

Department of Physics, University of Paderborn,
D-33095 Paderborn, Germany

J@schroe.de

Abstract

In this paper (Part II), the so-called inverse problem is treated. This means the question whether it is possible to define pre-radar charts, i.e. generating functions in arbitrary space-times. This problem is subtle. A local general constructive solution of it is presented. Sufficient conditions for the existence of global solutions are given.

7 Generating Functions

7.1 General Characteristics

7.1.1: In Part I a function Ψ generating charts was introduced within the theory Φ_R (or Φ_R^*) by Definition (3.7), and in Proposition (5.15) it was stated that Ψ also generates g and v . In the present Part II the question is to be treated whether the concept of a generating function, i.e. a family of pre-radar charts, is definable only with the help of some special axioms of the theory Φ_R (or Φ_R^*) or whether it can be introduced also for arbitrary space-times. The conditions for a positive answer will be given in Chapter 8. In Chapter 7 we do some necessary preliminary work.

7.1.2: The goal of this section is summarizing *all* the properties Ψ has and to define the concept of a generating function also within relativistic theories which are different from Φ_R and Φ_R^* . In order to do so, at first the conditions are written down which later on will be seen to be satisfied by Ψ .

Let (M, \mathcal{A}^+) be a connected Hausdorff C^k -manifold, $k \geq 3$. Moreover, let g be a Lorentzian metric and v be a velocity field on (M, \mathcal{A}^+) , i.e. $g(v, v) = -1$, and let g and v be of class C^r , $r \geq 2$. Then the Conditions P1 to P5 governing a term Ψ read as follows:

P1: Ψ is a C^k -function, $k \geq 3$,

$$(7.1) \quad \Psi : \bigcup_{q \in M} V_q \times \{q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$

with V_q an open subset of M and $q \in V_q$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \{(V_q, \Psi(\cdot, q)) : q \in M\}$ is a C^k -atlas, $k \geq 3$ which is C^k -compatible with \mathcal{A}^+ .

P2: Ψ generates g , i.e.

$$(7.2) \quad g(p) = \eta_{\kappa\lambda} d_p \Psi^\kappa(p, q)|_{q=p} \otimes d_p \Psi^\lambda(p, q)|_{q=p}$$

for all $p \in M$.

P3: Ψ generates v , i.e.

$$(7.3) \quad v(p) = \partial_{\Psi^4(p, q)}|_{q=p}.$$

for all $p \in M$.

P4: For each $q \in M$ let $\gamma_q : J_q \rightarrow M$, $J_q \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a solution of $\dot{\gamma}_q = v(\gamma_q)$ such that there is a $t_q \in J_q$ for which $\gamma_q(t_q) = q$. Then J_q is an interval and

$$(7.4) \quad \gamma_q(t) = \Psi(\cdot, q)^{-1}(0, 0, 0, t)$$

for all $t \in J_q$. Moreover, J_q is also the domain of the right-hand side of (7.4).

P5: For all $q' \in W_q := \text{ran}\gamma_q$ the equation $\Psi(\cdot, q) = \Psi(\cdot, q')$ holds.

In other words, the function Ψ generates an atlas \mathcal{A} of pre-radar charts, a metric g , a velocity field v and the integral curves γ_q of v . Loosly speaking, Ψ knows almost everything one is interested in GR.

Then the following proposition is true.

Proposition (7.1): The function Ψ introduced within the theory Φ_R (or Φ_R^*) by Definition (3.7) satisfies the Conditions P1 to P5.

Proof: Since $\Psi(p, q) = \hat{\Psi}(F(q), p) = \psi_A(p)$ for each $q \in W_A$ the Conditions P1, P4 and P5 follow directly from the Axioms GK 1, 2 and 5. The Conditions P2 and P3 are satisfied because of Proposition (5.15).

7.1.3: These considerations suggest generalizing the concept of a generating function also to relativistic theories different from Φ_R and Φ_R^* as follows.

Definition (7.2): 1. Let (M, \mathcal{A}^+) be a C^k -manifold, $k \geq 3$, for which g is a Lorentzian metric and v a velocity field. Then any term Ψ satisfying the Conditions P1 to P5 is called a (full) generating function. If Ψ satisfies P1 and perhaps some, but not all of the conditions P2 to P5 it is called a partial generating function. If Ψ satisfies only P1 and P2 the coordinates $\Psi(\cdot, q)$ are known as locally Minkowskian.

2. If Ψ generates a C^k -atlas \mathcal{A} , $k \geq 3$, and if \mathcal{D} is the differential structure of class C^k containing \mathcal{A} we say that \mathcal{D} is generated by Ψ .

3. The coordinates generated by a full generating function are called pre-radar coordinates.

Remark (7.3): If Ψ is a partial generating function which satisfies P1 and P 4 then it satisfies also P3. For from P4 we have the equations

$$(7.5) \quad \Psi(\gamma_q(t), q) = (0, 0, 0, t)$$

and $\dot{\gamma}_q(t) = v(\gamma_q(t))$ for each $t \in J_q$. Furthermore, it follows from (7.5) that

$$(7.6) \quad \dot{\gamma}_q(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \Psi^\alpha(\gamma_q(t), q) \left. \partial_{\Psi^\alpha(\cdot, q)} \right|_{\gamma_q(t)} = \partial_{\Psi^4(\cdot, q)} \Big|_{\gamma_q(t)}.$$

Therefore, for each $q \in M$ we find

$$(7.7) \quad v(q) = v(\gamma_q(t_q)) = \dot{\gamma}_q(t_q) = \partial_{\Psi^4(\cdot, q)} \Big|_q$$

so that (7.3) holds.

Hence P3 in Definition (7.2) is superfluous, but there are practical reasons to take P3 as a separate condition. This will be seen e.g. in Section 7.2.

Remark (7.4): 1. From Condition P5 one concludes that $\gamma_q = \gamma_{q'}$ for each $q' \in W_q := \text{ran } \gamma_q$. Similarly we have $J_q = J_{q'}$ and $W_q = W_{q'}$ for $q' \in W_q$.

2. It follows from Condition P4 that for each $q \in M$ the function $\Psi(\cdot, q)^{-1}(0, 0, 0, \cdot)$ is an integral curve of v .

3. Condition P1 has the consequence that any two partial generating functions have a common domain because $q \in V_q$, and that they generate the same differential structure \mathcal{D} .

7.2 Relations between generating functions

In this section the problem is to be treated to which extent the Conditions P1 to P5 determine the partial generating functions. In a first step two partial generating functions Ψ and Ψ' are considered which satisfy the Conditions P1 and P2. Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition (7.5): Let Ψ, Ψ' satisfy P1. Moreover, let g be generated by Ψ . Then Ψ' generates g exactly if

$$(7.8) \quad \Psi'(p, q) = L(q) \cdot \Psi(p, q) + R(p, q)$$

where $L(q) = ((L_\beta^\alpha)(q))$ is a Lorentz matrix and $d_p R(p, q) |_{q=p} = 0$. (Here Ψ', Ψ and R are column vectors.)

Proof: Let $\Theta'^\kappa = d\Psi'^\kappa |_{q=p}$ and $\Theta^\alpha = d\Psi^\alpha |_{q=p}$. Then by definition we have $g = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \Theta^\alpha \otimes \Theta^\beta$. Moreover, let $g' := \eta_{\kappa\lambda} \Theta'^\kappa \otimes \Theta'^\lambda$. Now assume (7.8) to be valid. Then $\Theta'^\kappa = L_\alpha^\kappa \Theta^\alpha$ and because of $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\kappa\lambda} L_\alpha^\kappa L_\beta^\lambda$ we have $g = g'$.

Conversely, let g and g' be as above and assume $g' = g$. Moreover, let e_β denote the duals of Θ^α . Then $g(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\kappa\lambda} \Theta'^\kappa(e_\alpha) \Theta'^\lambda(e_\beta)$. Therefore, the matrix $L = ((L_\alpha^\kappa))$ with $L_\alpha^\kappa = \Theta'^\kappa(e_\alpha)$ is a Lorentz matrix. Since $L_\alpha^\kappa = L_\varrho^\kappa \Theta^\varrho(e_\alpha)$ one concludes that

$$(7.9) \quad \Theta'^\kappa = L_\varrho^\kappa \Theta^\varrho.$$

This equation reads explicitly $d\Psi'^\kappa(p, q) |_{q=p} = L_\varrho^\kappa(p) d\Psi^\varrho(p, q) |_{q=p}$.

Hence $d(\Psi'^\kappa(p, q) - L_\varrho^\kappa(q) \Psi^\varrho(p, q)) |_{q=p} = 0$ so that (7.8) results.

Remark (7.6): If (7.8) is true the elements L_α^κ of the Lorentz matrix L are given by $L_\alpha^\kappa = \Theta'^\kappa(e_\alpha)$. Hence this equation holds if Ψ' and Ψ both generate the metric g . Therefore, in each case, L_α^κ is of class C^r , $r \geq 2$. Consequently, $R(p, \cdot)$ is of class C^r , $r \geq 2$, too. Mixed derivatives and derivatives with respect to p alone exist up to third order.

In the next step we consider two partial generating functions which satisfy the Conditions P1, P2 and P3. Clearly the result of Proposition (7.5) holds. But it turns out that the Lorentzian matrix L has a more special form.

Proposition (7.7): Let Ψ, Ψ' satisfy P1. Moreover let Ψ generate g and v , and let v' be a velocity field. Then Ψ' generates g and v' exactly if Ψ' is given by (7.8) where L satisfies the condition

$$(7.10) \quad v'^b = -L_\alpha^4 \Theta^\alpha.$$

Proof: If Ψ' generates g and v' the Relation (7.8) is valid and $v'^b = -\Theta'^4$. Moreover, Equation (7.9) holds because Ψ' generates g . Because of $v'^b = -\Theta'^4$ Equation (7.10) holds, too. Now suppose the converse to be true. If (7.8) holds then Ψ' generates g . Therefore there is a Lorentz matrix L for which Equation (7.9) is valid. If Equation (7.10) is true we find that $v'^b = -\Theta'^4$. Hence Ψ' generates g and v' .

Corollary (7.8): The unique solution of (7.10) is

$$(7.11) \quad L_\alpha^4 = -v'^b(e_\alpha).$$

Therefore (7.10) is equivalent to (7.11). Since $v'^b(e_\alpha) = v'_\alpha$ at any point $q \in M$ are the covariant components of v' in $\Psi(\cdot, q)$ - coordinates we have $\eta^{\alpha\beta} v'_\alpha v'_\beta = -1$.

This equation has the consequence that there is a Lorentz matrix L such that (7.10) holds. The proof of this statement can be read off from the parts 3 and 5 of the proof of Proposition (8.2), where a somewhat more general case is treated.

Remark (7.9): Since the velocity fields v and v' define time orientations, they define the same orientation if $g(v, v') < 0$. In this case $L_4^4 > 0$ so that finally $L_4^4 \geq 1$. For $g(v', v) = v'^b(v) = -L_4^4$ because $v = e_4$.

Corollary (7.10): Let the suppositions be as in Proposition (7.7). Then Ψ' generates g and v exactly if Ψ' is given by (7.8) with

$$(7.12) \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $Q(q)$ is an orthogonal 3×3 matrix for each $q \in M$.

Proof: Let (7.8) and (7.12) be valid. Then $g = g'$ and

$$(7.13) \quad \Psi'^4 = \Psi^4 + R^4$$

Therefore, $v^b = -\Theta'^4 = -\Theta^4 = v^b$ so that $v' = v$. Now let $g = g'$ and $v = v'$. Since g' and v' are generated by Ψ' , Equation (7.11) holds. It reads in this case: $L_\alpha^4 = \Theta^4(e_\alpha) = \delta_\alpha^4$. Then it follows from general properties of Lorentz matrices that L has the form (7.12).

Now let Ψ and Ψ' be partial generating functions satisfying P1, P2 and P3. What can be said about Ψ' if in addition Ψ satisfies P4? The answer is given by

Proposition (7.11): Assume that Ψ and Ψ' satisfy Condition P1. Moreover, assume that Ψ generates g and v and that it satisfies P4 where γ_q is related to Ψ by (7.4). Then Ψ' generates g and v , and satisfies Condition P4 exactly if Ψ' is given by (7.8) and (7.12) where the additional condition

$$(7.14) \quad R(\gamma_q(t), q) = 0$$

holds for all $t \in J_q$.

Proof: First assume that Ψ' generates g and v , and satisfies P4. Hence, in P4 the integral curves with respect to Ψ' are the same as with respect to Ψ . Then, because Ψ' and Ψ are related by (7.8) together with (7.12), we find

$$(0, 0, 0, t)^T = \Psi'(\gamma_q(t), q) = \begin{pmatrix} Q(q) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (0, 0, 0, t)^T + R(\gamma_q(t), q),$$

so that Equation (7.14) holds.

Conversely, if Ψ' is given by (7.8) and (7.12) it generates g and v so that the integral curves in P4 with respect to Ψ' and Ψ are the same. Therefore

$$\Psi'(\gamma_q(t), q) = \begin{pmatrix} Q(q) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (0, 0, 0, t)^T + R(\gamma_q(t), q)$$

so that condition P4 for Ψ' is satisfied because of (7.14).

A similar result holds if one takes condition P5 into account. At first some suppositions are specified.

It is supposed that Ψ and Ψ' satisfy Condition P1. Moreover, it is assumed that Ψ generates g and v , and that for each $q \in M$ there is an integral curve γ_q of v where $J_q := \text{dom } \gamma_q$ is an interval and where there is a $t_q \in J_q$ with $\gamma_q(t_q) = q$. Finally it is supposed that Ψ satisfies P5 with $W_q := \text{ran } \gamma_q$.

Proposition (7.12): If these assumptions are true then Ψ' generates g and v , and satisfies P5 exactly if Ψ' is given by (7.8) and (7.12) where the additional conditions

$$(7.15) \quad Q(q') = Q(q) \quad \text{and} \quad R(\cdot, q') = R(\cdot, q)$$

hold for all $q' \in W_q$.

Proof: If Ψ' is given by (7.8) and (7.12) then it generates g and v . In addition, if (7.15) are true Ψ' satisfies also P5. Conversely, if Ψ' generates g and v , it is given by (7.8) and (7.12). If in addition Ψ' satisfies P5 the equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(p, \gamma_q(t)) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}\Psi'(p, \gamma_q(t)) = 0$$

hold for all $p \in V_q$. Hence one concludes from (7.8) that

$$\Psi^\beta(p, \gamma_q(t)) \frac{d}{dt} L_\beta^\alpha(\gamma_q(t)) + \frac{d}{dt} R^\alpha(p, \gamma_q(t)) = 0.$$

Since R is a least of class C^2 one can apply the d-Operator with respect to p at the point $p = \gamma_q(t)$. Then we obtain

$$(7.16) \quad \Theta^\beta(\gamma_q(t)) \frac{d}{dt} L_\beta^\alpha(\gamma_q(t)) = 0.$$

For $\alpha = 4$ it is an identity, and for $\alpha = l = 1, 2, 3$ it reads

$$(7.17) \quad \Theta^j(\gamma_q(t)) \frac{d}{dt} Q_j^l(\gamma_q(t)) = 0.$$

Since $\Theta^j, j = 1, 2, 3$ are linearly independent we find $Q(q') = Q(q)$ for all $q' \in W_q$. Inserting this result into (7.8) and (7.12) we obtain also the second part of (7.15).

8 Construction of Generating Functions

8.1 The inverse problem

In the Chapters 3 and 4 (of Part I) an axiomatic formulation of the frame theory Φ_R is given (and analogously for Φ_R^* in Chapter 6) which is based on the existence of pre-radar charts. The set of all these charts forms a generating function for the metric g and the velocity v . Since in the usual formulation of GR the existence of pre-radar charts or of a generating function is not postulated, the question arises whether it is possible to construct a generating function in this case. I call this question the *inverse problem*.

In order to formulate the problem precisely let us first describe a relativistic (frame) theory Φ^+ which represents the usual account of GR. The base sets of Φ^+ are the set of (signs for) events M and the reals \mathbb{R} (and possibly other sets). The structural terms are an atlas \mathcal{A}^+ , a metric g , a velocity v , a mass density η and an empirical temperature ϑ (and possibly other fields). In any case the axioms of Φ^+ contain geometrical and kinematical axioms, the equations of motion of matter, Einstein's equation and additional conditions. In vacuum theories the density of matter is zero so that the equations of motion are empty and the right-hand side of Einstein's equation is zero. Then the inverse problem can be stated thus:

- Problem (8.1):** 1. If Φ^+ is given, is there a (full) generating function Ψ in Φ^+ in the sense of Definition (7.2)?
 2. If Φ^+ is given, is there a local solution to the problem in the following

sense: there is a covering of M by open sets V so that a generating function Ψ exists for each open submanifold with base set V ?

This problem can be solved in two ways: first one shows that a solution exists, and second, one constructs an explicit term which represents a generating function for each given theory Φ^+ . In what follows I shall present a general constructive solution of the local problem. But in addition, this result allows to formulate sufficient conditions for solutions of the global problem.

8.2 Construction of a field of tetrads

8.2.1: In this section we assume that a theory of type Φ^+ is given. More specific, we consider a C^k -manifold (M, \mathcal{A}^+) , $k \geq 3$ with a Lorentz metric g and a velocity v defined on M such that $g(v, v) = -1$ and such that g and v are of class C^r , $r \geq 2$. The construction of a local (full) generating function in Section 8.3 is based on the existence of a tetrad field the components of which determine the components of g and v in the sense of Formulae (5.1) and (5.2). For this purpose let us take a chart $(V, \chi) \in \mathcal{D}$ where \mathcal{D} is the differential structure of class C^k , $k \geq 3$ which contains \mathcal{A}^+ , and let $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and v^κ be the χ -components of g and v . Then the following result holds.

Proposition (8.2): For each $x \in \chi[V]$ there is a matrix $\Lambda(x) = ((\Lambda_\sigma^\rho(x)))$ with $\det \Lambda(x) \neq 0$ and such that

$$(8.1) \quad g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \Lambda_\alpha^\kappa(x) \Lambda_\beta^\lambda(x) \eta_{\kappa\lambda}$$

and

$$(8.2) \quad v^\kappa(x) = \Lambda^{-1}{}^\kappa{}_\alpha(x).$$

Moreover, the covectors $\Theta^\alpha(p) = \Lambda_\beta^\alpha(x) dx^\beta$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ and the vectors $e_\kappa(p) = \Lambda^{-1}{}^\lambda{}_\kappa(x) \partial_{x^\lambda}$, $\kappa = 1, \dots, 4$ with $x = \chi(p)$, $p \in V$ form orthogonal tetrads in T_p^*V resp. in T_pV .

The **proof** is effected in five steps.

1. Since the matrix $((g_{\alpha\beta}))$ is nonsingular, symmetric and of signature 2 there is an orthogonal matrix $((Q_\sigma^\rho))$ such that $g_{\alpha\beta} = Q_\alpha^\rho Q_\beta^\sigma d_{\rho\sigma}$ where

$$d_{\rho\sigma} = \text{diag}(a_1^2, a_2^2, a_3^2, -a_4^2)_{\rho\sigma}, \quad a_\lambda > 0, \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, 4.$$

Now let $f_\mu^\nu = \sum_j^4 \delta_j^\nu \delta_\mu^j a_j$. Then $d_{\rho\sigma} = f_\rho^\kappa f_\sigma^\lambda \eta_{\kappa\lambda}$. Defining $K := ((K_\alpha^\kappa))$ by $K_\alpha^\kappa = Q_\alpha^\rho f_\rho^\kappa$ we obtain the relations $\det K \neq 0$ and

$$(8.3) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = K_\alpha^\kappa K_\beta^\lambda \eta_{\kappa\lambda}.$$

2. From (8.1) and (8.3) it follows that K and the matrix Λ we are looking for, can differ at most by a Lorentz matrix L , i.e.

$$(8.4) \quad \Lambda_\alpha^\kappa = L_\rho^\kappa K_\alpha^\rho.$$

Since (8.2) is equivalent to

$$(8.5) \quad \Lambda_\alpha^4 = -g_{\alpha\kappa} v^\kappa$$

the next task is to find a Lorentz matrix L such that

$$(8.6) \quad L_\rho^4 K_\alpha^\rho = -g_{\alpha\kappa} v^\kappa$$

for the given terms $K_\alpha^\rho, g_{\alpha\kappa}$ and v^κ . The unique solution of (8.6) reads simply

$$(8.7) \quad L_\beta^4 = -K^{-1}{}^\alpha{}_\beta g_{\alpha\kappa} v^\kappa =: h_\beta.$$

But up to now it is not clear whether the four components h_β are possible candidates for the fourth row of a Lorentz matrix. It is shown in the next three steps that such Lorentz matrix exists.

3. In this step a special Lorentz matrix is introduced. By simple calculations one can see that the following equations hold:

$$(8.8) \quad h_\beta = -\eta_{\beta\sigma} K_\kappa^\sigma v^\kappa,$$

$$(8.9) \quad \eta^{\alpha\beta} h_\alpha h_\beta = -1.$$

From (8.9) we get $|h_4| \geq 1$. Since both K and $-K$ satisfy Equation (8.3), because of (8.8) we can choose $h_4 \geq 1$. Now let $r := -(h_4^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\bar{v} := (1 - h_4^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Because of $h_4 \geq 1$ we get $\bar{v} \in [0, 1[$. This means that \bar{v} is a speed parameter. Moreover, by a simple calculation we find that

$$h_4 = (1 - \bar{v}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r = -\bar{v}(1 - \bar{v}^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus, the matrix

$$(8.10) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} h_4 & 0 & 0 & r \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ r & 0 & 0 & h_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a special Lorentz matrix.

4. In this step two orthogonal matrices are defined. Let

$$(8.11) \quad b_l^1 := -(h_4^2 - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} h_l, \quad l = 1, 2, 3,$$

then $\sum_l^3 (b_l^1)^2 = 1$. Moreover, let $B^1 = (b_1^1, b_2^1, b_3^1)$ and in addition let $B^\varrho = (b_1^\varrho, b_2^\varrho, b_3^\varrho)$, $\varrho = 2, 3$ be vectors such that $\{B^1, B^2, B^3\}$ is an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then define the orthogonal matrix B by $B = (B^1, B^2, B^3)^T$. Finally, let A be an arbitrary orthogonal 3×3 matrix and define \hat{A}, \hat{B} by

$$(8.12) \quad \hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

5. In the last step of the proof let us consider the matrix $L = \hat{A} \cdot S \cdot \hat{B}$. By definition, L is a Lorentz matrix and

$$(8.13) \quad L_\beta^4 = S_1^4 \hat{B}_\beta^1 + S_4^4 \hat{B}_\beta^4.$$

Inserting $S_1^4 = r$, $\hat{B}_\beta^1 = r^{-1} \sum_l^3 h_l \delta_\beta^l$, $S_4^4 = h_4$ and $\hat{B}_\beta^4 = \delta_\beta^4$ we obtain $L_\beta^4 = h_\beta$. Hence a matrix Λ satisfying (8.1) and (8.2) is given by $\Lambda = L \cdot K$. Then it is easily seen that the dual tetrads $\Theta^\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, 4$ and $e_\kappa, \kappa = 1, \dots, 4$ are orthogonal.

Corrolary (8.3): It is seen from the proof that there is not only one matrix Λ , but there are infinitely many Λ which generate $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and v^κ by (8.1) and (8.2). Moreover, if there is a Lorentz matrix L such that $\Lambda = L \cdot K$ satisfies (8.1) and (8.2) for a given K , then $L = \hat{A} \cdot S \cdot \hat{B}$ where \hat{A}, S and \hat{B} are the matrices introduced by (8.10) and (8.12). This can be seen quite easily starting with a general Ansatz $L = \tilde{A} \cdot \tilde{S} \cdot \tilde{B}$ and showing that $\tilde{S} = S, \tilde{B}_\beta^1 = \hat{B}_\beta^1$ and \tilde{A} is any matrix of the form \hat{A} in (8.12).

Remark (8.4). In what follows we have to differentiate Λ . If, as usual, $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and v^κ are of class C^r , $r \geq 2$ then the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are of class $C^r, r \geq 2$ (cf. [14], p. 122; due to a private communication of H. Sohr, Paderborn the result of Kato can be generalized to the present case.) Hence the matrix K is of class $C^r, r \geq 2$, and consequently $h_\beta, \beta = 1 \dots, 4$ and $b_l^1, l = 1, 2, 3$, too. Then the vectors $B^\varrho, \varrho = 2, 3$ can be adjusted to form an orthonormal basis together with B^1 , so that the matrix B is of class $C^r, r \geq 2$. Finally, let A be any sufficiently smooth field of orthogonal matrices. Then L and Λ are of class $C^r, r \geq 2$.

8.2.2.: In this section as well as in the subsequent ones the chart (V, χ) is specialised. It is assumed that χ is a comoving coordinate system with respect to v . This supposition has the following consequences.

Remark (8.5): By definition of χ we get for the components v^α of the velocity: $v^\alpha = \delta_4^\alpha w$. Since $g_{44} w^2 = -1$, both g_{44} and w are unequal zero, and by a special choice of χ^4 we can attain the relation $w > 0$. Moreover, since $\Lambda^{-1} \overset{\alpha}{4} = v^\alpha = \delta_4^\alpha w$ we obtain the equation $\Lambda^{-1} \overset{4}{4} = w$ whereas the other elements of the fourth column of Λ are zero. Since $\Lambda = (\Lambda^{-1})^{-1}$, a similar result holds for Λ , i.e. $\Lambda_4^\alpha = \delta_4^\alpha w^{-1}$.

In a further step the integral curves of v are determined. First of all we introduce

Notation (8.6): 1. Let, as above, $\tilde{V} = \chi[V] = \text{ran}\chi$. Then define

$$(8.14) \quad \bar{V} := \{(x^1, x^2, x^3) : \text{there is an } x^4 \text{ such that } (x^1, \dots, x^4) \in \tilde{V}\}.$$

If $x = (x^1, \dots, x^4)$ the abbreviations $\bar{x} = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$ and $x = (\bar{x}, x^4)$ are used.

2. If $z \in \tilde{V}$ and if w is as in Remark (8.5) then f is defined for a fixed x_0^4 by

$$(8.15) \quad f(\bar{z}, x^4) = \int_{x_0^4}^{x^4} \frac{d\xi}{w(\bar{z}, \xi)}.$$

3. For each $\bar{z} \in \bar{V}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\bar{z}} &:= \{x^4 : (\bar{z}, x^4) \in \tilde{V}\} \\ J_{\bar{z}} &:= \{\tau : \text{there is an } x^4 \in T_{\bar{z}} \text{ and } \tau = f(\bar{z}, x^4)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark (8.7): In what follows it is assumed that there is an x_0^4 such that $\bar{V} \times \{x_0^4\} \subset \tilde{V}$. By restriction of V such x_0^4 can always be found. Then f is defined with exactly one of these numbers x_0^4 . For the same reason we may assume that $T_{\bar{z}}$ and $J_{\bar{z}}$ are intervals. Because of $w > 0$ the function $f(\bar{z}, \cdot)$ is strictly increasing and of class C^{r+1} , $r \geq 2$. Therefore the inverse function exists and is also of class C^{r+1} , $r \geq 2$. We write $\varphi(\bar{z}, t) := f(\bar{z}, \cdot)^{-1}(t)$.

Then the following proposition holds.

Lemma (8.8): The path γ is a solution of

$$(8.16) \quad \dot{\gamma}^\alpha = v^\alpha(\gamma) = \delta_4^\alpha w(\gamma)$$

exactly if there is a $\bar{z} \in \bar{V}$ such that

$$(8.17) \quad \gamma^l(t) = z^l, \quad l = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(8.18) \quad \gamma^4(t) = \varphi(\bar{z}, t)$$

for all $t \in J_{\bar{z}}$.

Proof: If γ is a solution of (8.16), then $\gamma^l, l = 1, 2, 3$ is constant, and by separation of variables one gets (8.17). The converse holds because $\dot{\gamma}^l(t) = 0, l = 1, 2, 3$ and

$$\dot{\gamma}^4(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi(\bar{z}, t) = w(\bar{z}, \gamma^4(t)).$$

To complete the tools needed in the next section we introduce the

Notation (8.9): If $\gamma^l(t) = z^l, l = 1, 2, 3$, we write $\gamma = \gamma_{\bar{z}}$ and $W_{\bar{z}} = \text{ran} \gamma_{\bar{z}}$. Sometimes it is convenient to write also $\gamma_{z'} := \gamma_{\bar{z}}$ and $W_{z'} := W_{\bar{z}}$ for $z' \in W_{\bar{z}}$.

8.3 Solution of the local inverse problem

8.3.1 Suppositions: In what follows we consider again a relativistic theory of type Φ^+ which is characterized by the base sets M and \mathbb{R} , the structural terms \mathcal{A}^+, g and v and possibly others, and the axioms ruling these terms (and possibly others). (Cf. the Sections 8.1 and 8.2.1.)

Let us assume that a comoving chart (V, χ) with respect to the velocity v is given. Then the Notation (8.6) and (8.9) is used as well as the results of Remark (8.7) and Lemma (8.8).

Finally, it is assumed that the construction of the tetrad components Λ_{β}^{α} determining g and v by (8.1) and (8.2) is carried through in the chart (V, χ) . But with respect to differentiability we assume a stronger condition to be valid: the components $\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4$ are of class $C^k, k \geq 3$.

8.3.2: At first a function $\tilde{\Phi}$ is defined. Later on it turns out that a restriction of it determines the generating function we are looking for. In the definition Notation (8.6) is used.

Definition (8.10): The function

$$(8.19) \quad \tilde{\Phi} : \bigcup_{z \in \chi[V]} (\mathbb{R}^3 \times T_{\bar{z}}) \times \{z\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$

is defined by

$$(8.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}^j(x, z) &= \sum_l^3 (x^l - z^l) \Lambda_l^j(\bar{z}, x^4), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{\Phi}^4(x, z) &= \sum_l^3 (x^l - z^l) \Lambda_l^4(\bar{z}, x^4) + f(\bar{z}, x^4). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{\Phi} \in C^k$, $k \geq 3$ if Λ_β^α , $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 4$ are of class C^k , $k \geq 3$.

Before proofing that a restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ is a generating function two lemmas have to be proved.

Lemma (8.11): For each $z \in \chi[V]$ there is an open set $V_{\bar{z}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times T_{\bar{z}}$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, z)$ restricted to $V_{\bar{z}}$ is bijective and $\{\bar{z}\} \times T_{\bar{z}} \subset V_{\bar{z}}$.

Proof: 1. Let $y = \tilde{\Phi}(x, z)$ and $X = (x^1 - z^1, \dots, x^3 - z^3, w(\bar{z}, x^4) f(\bar{z}, x^4))$. Then (8.20) is equivalent to $\Lambda^{-1}(\bar{z}, x^4) \cdot y^T = X^T$, i.e.

$$(8.21) \quad \sum_l^3 \Lambda^{-1}{}^j{}_l(\bar{z}, x^4) y^l + z^j = x^j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$(8.22) \quad \Lambda^{-1}{}^4{}_\alpha(\bar{z}, x^4) y^\alpha = w(\bar{z}, x^4) f(\bar{z}, x^4).$$

Now assume that Equation (8.22) is solvable for x^4 . This means there is a function $F(\bar{z}, \cdot)$ defined on a set $U_{\bar{z}} \subset \text{ran} \tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, z)$ such that $x^4 = F(\bar{z}, y)$ satisfies (8.22) if $y \in U_{\bar{z}}$. Then $\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, z)^{-1}$ exists. It is defined for all $y \in U_{\bar{z}}$ by

$$(8.23) \quad \sum_l^3 \Lambda^{-1}{}^i{}_l(\bar{z}, F(\bar{z}, y)) y^l + z^i = x^i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$(8.24) \quad F(\bar{z}, y) = x^4.$$

Hence, the only thing we need to prove is that Equation (8.22) is solvable for x^4 if y is an element of a certain set $U_{\bar{z}}$.

2. It is helpful to simplify the notation. In this and the next steps of the proof the fixed vector \bar{z} is omitted. Since $\Lambda^{-1} \frac{4}{4} = w > 0$, Equation (8.22) reads

$$(8.25) \quad \sum_l^3 \sigma_l(x^4)y^l + y^4 = f(x^4)$$

where $\sigma_l = w^{-1}\Lambda^{-1} \frac{4}{l}$. Since f is bijective by Remark (8.7) one defines $\varrho_l(t) = \sigma_l(f^{-1}(t))$, so that Equation (8.25) becomes

$$(8.26) \quad \sum_l^3 \varrho_l(t)y^l + y^4 = t,$$

and we arrive at the result, that (8.25) has a unique solution $x^4 \in T_{\bar{z}}$ exactly if (8.26) has a unique solution $t \in J_{\bar{z}}$.

Let $N_{\bar{z}} := \{(0, 0, 0, \tau) : \tau \in J_{\bar{z}}\}$ then (8.26) is solvable if $y \in N_{\bar{z}}$, namely $t = y^4$. In the next steps of the proof the solvability of (8.26) is extended to a neighborhood $U_{\bar{z}}$ of $N_{\bar{z}}$.

3. Since each open interval is the union of a countable family of increasing closed finite intervals we need to prove the solvability of (8.26) only for closed finite intervals. Thus let $J_i \subset J_{\bar{z}}$ be such an interval, then ϱ_l and $\dot{\varrho}_l$ are bounded in J_i because ϱ_l is of class C^r , $r \geq 2$, i.e. there is a number m_i such that

$$(8.27) \quad \sum_l^3 \varrho_l^2(t) < m_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_l^3 |\dot{\varrho}_l(t)| < m_i$$

for all $t \in J_i$. If $J_i = [a_i, b_i]$ and $0 < \zeta < 1$ define

$$(8.28) \quad \delta_i(y^4) = \min\{|y^4 - a_i|, |y^4 - b_i|, \zeta\}$$

and

$$(8.29) \quad U_i = \{y : a_i < y^4 < b_i, \sum_l^3 (y^l)^2 < \frac{1}{m_i} \delta_i(y^4)\}.$$

Then δ_i is continuous in J_i , and therefore U_i is open. Now let us consider the function H defined by

$$(8.30) \quad H(y, t) = \sum_l^3 \varrho_l(t) y^l + y^4$$

for $y \in U_i$ and $t \in J_i$.

4. It is shown that for each $y \in U_i$ the function $H(y, \cdot)$ maps J_i into J_i and is contracting. Let $t \in J_i$ and $t' = H(y, t)$ then

$$(8.31) \quad |t' - y^4| \leq \sum_l^3 \varrho_l(t)^2 \cdot \sum_r^3 (y^r)^2 < \delta_i(y^4).$$

From (8.31) one concludes that $t' \in J_i$. Moreover let $t, t' \in J_i$, $t < t'$ and $H(y, t) = \tau$, $H(y, t') = \tau'$. Then there is a $\tilde{t} \in J_i$ with $t \leq \tilde{t} \leq t'$ such that

$$(8.32) \quad \tau - \tau' = \sum_l^3 \dot{\varrho}_l(\tilde{t}) y^l (t - t').$$

Hence for all $y \in U_i$

$$(8.33) \quad |\tau - \tau'| < \delta_i(y^4) |t - t'| \leq \zeta |t - t'|$$

where $0 < \zeta < 1$, so that $H(y, \cdot)$ is contracting. Then by Banach's fixed-point theorem (cf. e.g. [15] p. 251, [16] p. 151) we get that for each $y \in U_i$ there is exactly one $t \in J_i$ so that the equation $H(y, t) = t$ holds. Consequently, there is a function G_i such that $G_i(y) = t$ for each $y \in U_i$ and G_i is uniquely determined.

5. If $y \in U_i \cap U_j$, $i \neq j$ then $G_i(y) = G_j(y)$ because G_i and G_j are the solutions to the same equation, i.e. Equation (8.26). Now we can define the

function F we are looking for (cf. (8.24)) as follows: Define $U_{\bar{z}}$ by $U_{\bar{z}} = \bigcup_i U_i$ and G by $G(\bar{z}, y) = G_i(y)$ for $y \in U_i$. Then let F be defined by

$$(8.34) \quad F(\bar{z}, y) = f^{-1}(\bar{z}, G(\bar{z}, y))$$

for all $y \in U_{\bar{z}}$. By construction $x^4 = F(\bar{z}, y)$ is a solution of (8.22).

6. Therefore, by the considerations of step one we conclude that $\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, z)$ restricted to

$$(8.35) \quad V_{\bar{z}} := \{x : \tilde{\Phi}(x, z) \in U_{\bar{z}}\}$$

is bijective. The set $V_{\bar{z}}$ is open because $\tilde{\Phi}(\cdot, z)$ is continuous and because $U_{\bar{z}}$ is open.

8.3.3: This lemma now enables us to define a function which later on turns out to be the coordinate representation of the generating function we are looking for.

Definition (8.12): The function Φ is defined by $\Phi(x, z) = \tilde{\Phi}(x, z)$ for all

$$(8.36) \quad (x, z) \in \bigcup_{z' \in \chi[V]} V_{z'} \times \{z'\} =: \text{dom}\Phi.$$

The second lemma we need concerns the differentiability of $\Phi(\cdot, z)^{-1}$.

Lemma (8.13): If $\Lambda \in C^k, k \geq 3$ (cf. Section 8.3.1) then $\Phi(\cdot, z)^{-1} \in C^k, k \geq 3$.

Proof: To simplify notation the fixed vector \bar{z} is omitted. From (8.23) and (8.24) we see that $\Phi(\cdot, z)^{-1} \in C^k$, if $F := F(\bar{z}, \cdot)$ is of class C^k . Let us write (8.25) in the form

$$(8.37) \quad Z(y, x^4) := \sum_l^3 \sigma_l(x^4) y^l + y^4 - f(x^4)$$

where $y \in U_{\bar{z}}$ and $x^4 \in T_{\bar{z}}$. Then $Z(y, F(y)) = 0$ by definition of F . Since $\sigma_l = w^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}_l{}^4$, $w = \Lambda^{-1}_4{}^4$, $w > 0$ the functions $\sigma_l, l = 1, 2, 3$ and f are of class C^k , so that Z is also of class C^k .

Now using the notation of (8.26) we obtain $\sigma_l(x^4) = \varrho_l(f(x^4))$ and therefore

$$\frac{\partial \sigma_l}{\partial x^4} = \frac{\partial \varrho_l}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^4} = \dot{\varrho}_l \frac{1}{w}.$$

Hence

$$(8.38) \quad \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x^4} = \frac{1}{w} \sum (\dot{\varrho}_l y^l - 1).$$

For each $t \in J_{\bar{z}}$ and each $y \in U_{\bar{z}}$ there is an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $t = f(x^4) \in J_i$ and $y \in U_i$. Therefore

$$\left| \sum_l^3 \dot{\varrho}_l y^l \right| < \delta_i(y^4) \leq \zeta < 1,$$

so that $|\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x^4}| > 0$. Applying the Implicite Function Theorem (cf. e.g. [17] p. 117) we conclude that $F = F(\bar{z}, \cdot)$ is also of class $C^k, k \geq 3$. Thus the proof is complete.

8.3.4: With the help of the Lemmas (8.11) and (8.13) we are now able to prove the main result of Section 8.3.

Proposition (8.14): If the suppositions of Section (8.3.1) are fulfilled and if Φ is given by Definition (8.12) then $\Psi = \Phi(\chi, \chi)$ is a generating function in the submanifold V with the global coordinate system χ .

Proof: 1. The function Ψ is of class $C^k, k \geq 3$, because Φ is of class $C^k, k \geq 3$, by the Definitions (8.10) and (8.12). It follows from the Lemmas (8.11) and (8.13) that for each $z \in \chi[V]$ the function $\Phi(\cdot, z)$ is a diffeomorphism of class $C^k, k \geq 3$, i.e. it is a transformation of coordinates. Hence for each $q \in V$ the function $\Psi(\cdot, q)$ is a coordinate function, and the set of all these functions is an atlas on V which is compatible with the (global) chart (V, χ) . Thus Condition P1 is satisfied.

2. By a simple calculation one can see that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}(x, z) \Big|_{z=x} = \Lambda_\beta^\alpha(x).$$

Since by construction of Λ the equations (5.1) and (5.2) hold, and because of Formulae (5.9) and (5.10) one can see quite easily that Ψ generates g and v . Hence the Conditions P2 and P3 are fulfilled.

3. The integral curves $\hat{\gamma}_q$ of v are determined by the Formulae (8.17) and (8.18) and by $\hat{\gamma}_q(t) = \chi^{-1}(\gamma_z(t))$ where $z = \chi(q)$. Then for each $z = \chi(q), q \in V$ and $t \in J_z$ we have $\Psi(\hat{\gamma}_q(t), q) = \Phi(\gamma_z(t), z) = (0, 0, 0, t)$. Hence P4 is fulfilled.

4. Let $\hat{W}_q := \text{ran } \hat{\gamma}_q$. Then $\hat{W}_q = \chi^{-1}[W_z]$ where $z = \chi(q)$. Moreover, $\Psi(\cdot, q') = \Psi(\cdot, q)$ for $q' \in \hat{W}_q$ exactly if $\Phi(\cdot, z') = \Phi(\cdot, z)$ for $z' \in W_z$. But the latter equation is true because Φ depends only on \bar{z} . Therefore Condition P5 is satisfied, too.

Corollary (8.15): For a given theory Φ^+ of the type described in Section 8.2.1 the velocity field v is defined on M . Hence, for each $q \in M$ there is a neighborhood V such that a comoving coordinate system χ exists on V . Therefore, by Proposition (8.14) the second part of Problem (8.1), the local form of the inverse problem, is solved. If $V = M$ one has obtained a solution of the global Problem (8.1).

8.4 Examples

8.4.1: The simplest case for which the inverse problem can be solved explicitly is the following: let (M, \mathcal{A}) be a space-time manifold with metric g and velocity v , and let (V, χ) be a chart such that

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \eta_{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha^2(x), \quad v_\alpha(x) = -\delta_\alpha^4 a_4(x)$$

where $x \in \chi[V]$. Then $a_\beta(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \chi[V]$, so that

$$\Lambda_\alpha^\kappa(x) = \delta_\alpha^\kappa a_\alpha(x), \quad \Lambda^{-1}{}^\mu{}_\beta(x) = \delta_\beta^\mu a_\beta^{-1}(x).$$

Therefore

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda_\alpha^\kappa \Lambda_\beta^\lambda \eta_{\kappa\lambda}, \quad v_\alpha = -\Lambda_\alpha^4$$

and

$$(8.39) \quad \Phi^j(x, z) = a_j(\bar{z}, x^4)(x^j - z^j), \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$(8.40) \quad \Phi^4(x, z) = f(\bar{z}, x^4)$$

where f is defined by Notation (8.6).

8.4.2: These considerations can be applied to the Robertson-Walker space-time (M, \mathcal{A}) with $k = 0$. Here the same notation is used as in Subsection 8.4.1. In this case $M = \mathbb{R}^3 \times T$ with $T =]0, \infty[$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{(M, id_M)\}$. For the global chart (M, id_M) we have

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(x) = K^2(x^4) \sum_i^3 \delta_\alpha^i \delta_\beta^i - \delta_\alpha^4 \delta_\beta^4$$

where $K(x^4) \neq 0$ for all $x^4 \in T$, and $v_\alpha(x) = -\delta_\alpha^4$. Therefore

$$\Phi(x, z) = (K(x^4)(x^1 - z^1), \dots, K(x^4)(x^3 - z^3), x^4).$$

The covariant velocity $v = -dx^4$ is solely owing to massive particles.

8.4.3: A similarly simple case is the outer Schwarzschild space-time (M, \mathcal{A}) where $M = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \|y\| > r_0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{(M, id_M)\}$. Using the (nonglobal) Schwarzschild coordinates $x = (r, \vartheta, \varphi, t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^2(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{-1}, & a_2^2(x) &= r^2 \\ a_3^2(x) &= r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta, & a_4^2(x) &= 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the outer Schwarzschild solution is a vacuum solution the velocity field can be chosen such that the set of testparticles is comoving. This means:

$$v_\alpha(x) = -\delta_\alpha^4 \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Now let $z = (r', \vartheta', \varphi', t')$. Then the result is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^1(x, z) &= \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r'}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r - r'), \\ \Phi^2(x, z) &= r'(\vartheta - \vartheta'), \\ \Phi^3(x, z) &= r'\sin\vartheta'(\varphi - \varphi'), \\ \Phi^4(x, z) &= \left(1 - \frac{r_0}{r'}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}t.\end{aligned}$$

8.4.4: The last result can be generalized. For each vacuum solution g which is diagonal in a chart χ a generating function Ψ is given by (8.39) and (8.40). Especially if χ is a global chart then Ψ is a global solution of Problem (8.1).

Acknowledgement

I want to thank Mr. Gerhard Lessner for valuable discussions and critical remarks and Mr. Wolfgang Rothfritz for correcting my English.

References

- [1] Schröter, J. (1987) Rep. on Math. Physics **26**, 303.
- [2] Schröter, J., Schelb, U. (1992) Rep. on Math. Physics **31**, 5.
- [3] Schelb, U. (1992) Rep. on Math. Physics **31**, 297.
- [4] Schröter, J., Schelb, U. (1993) *On the Relation between Space-Time Theory and General Relativity*, Rep. Nr. 18/93 Zentrum für interdisziplinäre Forschung, Universität Bielefeld, Germany.
- [5] Schelb, U. (1997) *Zur physikalischen Begründung der Raum-Zeit-Geometrie*, Habilitation Thesis, Universität Paderborn, Germany; www.phys.uni-paderborn.de/udo/habil.html .
- [6] Ehlers, J., Pirani, F. A. E., Schild, A. (1972) *The geometry of free fall and light propagation*. In: O’Raifeartaigh, L. (ed.) *General Relativity. Papers in honour of J. L. Synge*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [7] Coleman, R. A., Korté, H. (1992) *The Relation between Measurement and Cauchy Problems of GTR*. In: Ruffini, R.(ed.)*Proceedings of the 6th Marcel Grossmann Meeting*, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- [8] Hehl, F. W., Obukhov, Y. N. (2005) *Spacetime metric from local and linear electrodynamics: a new axiomatic scheme*, arXiv.org/gr-qc/0508024.

- [9] Andréka, H., Madarász, J. X., Németi, I. (2004) *Logical analysis of relativity theories* In: Hendricks et al. (eds.) *First-Order Logic Revisited*, Logos Verlag, Berlin.
- [10] Neugebauer, G. (1980) *Relativistische Thermodynamik*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin.
- [11] Sachs, R. K., Wu H. (1977) *General Relativity for Mathematicians*, Springer Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [12] Geroch, R. (1968) *J. of Math. Phys.* **9**, 1739.
- [13] Synge, J. L. (1971) *Relativity: The General Theory*, North-Holland Publ. Company, Amsterdam.
- [14] Kato, T. (1966) *Perturbation theory for linear operator*, Springer Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [15] Müller, H. (1972) *Höhere Analysis I*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- [16] Reed, M., Simon, B. (1972) *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London.
- [17] Fleming, W. H. (1964) *Functions of Several Variables*, Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., Inc., Reading (Mass.), London, Dallas, Atlanta.